

## Experience of dupilumab treatment in inherited epidermolysis bullosa: A short series

Nathalia Bellon, Pauline Bataille, Julie Bonigen, Fabienne Charbit-Henrion, Céline Dietrich, Laura Polivka, Smail Hadj-Rabia, Maria Leite de Moraes, Christine Bodemer

## ▶ To cite this version:

Nathalia Bellon, Pauline Bataille, Julie Bonigen, Fabienne Charbit-Henrion, Céline Dietrich, et al.. Experience of dupilumab treatment in inherited epidermolysis bullosa: A short series. Journal of The American Academy of Dermatology, 2024, 91 (2), pp.373-376. 10.1016/j.jaad.2024.04.037. hal-04660901

## HAL Id: hal-04660901 https://hal.science/hal-04660901v1

Submitted on 1 Aug 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Article type: Research letter 2 Title: Experience of dupilumab treatment in inherited epidermolysis bullosa: a short 3 4 5 Nathalia Bellon<sup>1</sup> MD, Pauline Bataille<sup>1</sup> MD, Julie Bonigen<sup>1</sup> MD, Fabienne Charbit-Henrion<sup>2</sup> 6 MD, PhD, Céline Dietrich<sup>3</sup> BS, Laura Polivka<sup>1</sup> MD, PhD, Smail Hadj-Rabia<sup>1</sup> MD, PhD, 7 Maria Leite de Moraes<sup>3</sup> PhD, Christine Bodemer<sup>1</sup> MD, PhD 8 9 <sup>1</sup>Dermatology Department, Necker-Enfants Malades hospital, expert centre for 10 genodermatoses MAGEC-Necker, Filière Maladies Rares Dermatologiques (FIMARAD), 11 APHP, Paris-Cité University, Paris, France 12 13 <sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Genetics, Necker-Enfants Malades hospital, APHP, Paris-Cité University, 14 15 Paris, France 16 <sup>3</sup>Université Paris Cité, Inserm UMR1151, CNRS UMR8253, Institut Necker Enfants Malades, 17 Team Immunoregulation and Immunopathology, Paris, France 18 19 20 Corresponding author: Dr Nathalia Bellon 21 CHU Necker-Enfants malades, 149 rue de Sèvres, 75015 Paris 22 nathalia.bellon@aphp.fr 23 24 Conflicts of interest: Nathalia Bellon and Christine Bodemer: consulting fees from Sanofi in 25 26 the 5 past years Funding sources: None 27 Patient Consent on File: Consent for the publication of recognizable patient photographs or 28 other identifiable material was obtained by the authors and included at the time of article 29 submission to the journal stating that all patients gave consent with the understanding that this 30 information may be publicly available. 31 IRB approval status: Reviewed and approved by AP-HP5 Department of Data Protection 32 (reference: 2022 1013152932). 33 34 Reprint requests: Nathalia Bellon Manuscript word count: 500 words 35 36 References: 5 Figures: 1 37 Tables: 1 38 Supplementary tables: 1 39 Supplementary figures: 1 40 Mendeley link for supplementary data: https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/322c766siw/1 41 42 Key words: Genodermatoses, inherited epidermolysis bullosa, pruritus, dupilumab, biologics, 43 cytokines, inflammation, pediatric dermatology 44 45 Attachments: research protocol and methods; Epidermolysis bullosa physician's global 46 47 assessment score

48 49 Body of the manuscript:

Inherited epidermolysis bullosa (EB) are orphan diseases with untractable pruritus as a main and frequent complaint (1). Dupilumab (IL-4-IL-13 blocking agent), a treatment of moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis (AD), has been used off-label in few cases of genodermatoses including EB pruriginosa. We report the use of dupilumab in a series of dystrophic (DEB), junctional (JEB) and simplex (EBS) EB patients with major pruritus and failure of classical antipruritic treatments.

From March 2021 to April 2023, 11 EB patients (median age: 9[3-50] years, SR 7/4 F/M) received dupilumab for pruritus. Molecular diagnosis confirmed recessive DEB (RDEB, n=7: 6 severe, 1 intermediate), dominant DEB (DDEB, n=2), *KRT14* mottled pigmentation EBS (n=1) and integrin  $\alpha6\beta4$  JEB (n=1) (table 1, 2s). Previous ineffective antipruritic treatments were topical corticosteroids and oral anti-histaminics for all patients, and doxepin, aprepitant, gabapentin, clonazepam, apremilast, dapsone, cyclosporin and cannabidiol for some patients (table 1). Dupilumab was administrated according to AD treatment doses.

After 6 months of dupilumab administration, median NRS (numerical rating scale of pruritus) scores (8 [7.00-10.00] then 3 [0.00-8.00] after treatment, p<0.05) and median erythema scores (3 [1-3] then 1 [0-2] after treatment, p<0.05) were significantly lower (fig.1, table 1). Median BSA (body surface area) and PGA (physician's global assessment) scores remained not significantly lower (25% [2-28] then 10% [7-80], p=0,457 after treatment and 18 [3-23] then 12 [1-22], p=0,184 after treatment respectively). Other antipruritic treatments were stopped for all patients. Median of follow-up under dupilumab was 16 [6-25] months. Plasma levels of 40 cytokines and chemokines implicated on Type 1, Type 2, and Type 17 inflammation were measured in 6 patients before and after dupilumab treatment, showing a tendency to decreased IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-17A, IL-17F and IL-22 levels in RDEB patients, and TSLP and IL-33 levels in 4 out of 6 EB patients (Fig.2 supplementary data). Treatment was well-tolerated in all patients.

In previous reports, dupilumab has been tried with efficacy on pruritus and wound healing in DEB pruriginosa, characterized by a skin mast cell infiltration, elevated IgE and increased Th2 subset, supporting the role of Th2-mediated immunity in this EB subtype (2). Although different pathomechanisms are involved in EB subtypes, the efficiency of Th2 pathway blockade and the frequency of increased serum total IgE levels in these genodermatoses may indicate a shared Th2 signature, with a chronic barrier disruption leading

84	to sensitizations and inflammation. A few studies have highlighted cytokine imbalances in EB
85	with increased levels of interleukin (IL)-1 $\beta$ , IL-2, IL-6, IL-10, IL-12, tumor necrosis factor- $\beta$ ,
86	and interferon-γ, correlated with disease severity (3,4). Despite heterogeneous results, which
87	can possibly be related to the clinical and molecular diversity of the patients in this series, it is
88	noteworthy that several IL, TSLP and IL-33 levels decreased, as observed in AD (5).
89	To conclude, in this short series, dupilumab was an effective treatment for pruritus and
90	erythema in different orphan itchy EB including severe RDEB, and not only in DEB
91	pruriginosa, reducing scratching and the secondary skin involvement, with a rapid and durable
92	response.
93	
94	
95	References:
96 97	1. Tang JY, Marinkovich MP, Lucas E, Gorell E, Chiou A, Lu Y, et al. A systematic
98 99	literature review of the disease burden in patients with recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 13 avr 2021;16(1):175.
100 101 102	<ol> <li>Darbord D, Hickman G, Pironon N, Barbieux C, Bonnet-des-Claustres M, Titeux M, et al. <u>Dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa pruriginosa: a new case series of a rare phenotype</u> <u>unveils skewed Th2 immunity. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. janv 2022;36(1):133-43.</u></li> </ol>
103 104 105	3. Esposito S, Guez S, Orenti A, Tadini G, Scuvera G, Corti L, et al. Autoimmunity and Cytokine Imbalance in Inherited Epidermolysis Bullosa. Int J Mol Sci. 24 sept 2016;17(10).
106 107 108	4. Annicchiarico G, Morgese MG, Esposito S, Lopalco G, Lattarulo M, Tampoia M, et al. Proinflammatory Cytokines and Antiskin Autoantibodies in Patients With Inherited Epidermolysis Bullosa. Medicine (Baltimore). oct 2015;94(42):e1528.
109 110 111	5. Guttman-Yassky E, Bissonnette R, Ungar B, Suárez-Fariñas M, Ardeleanu M, Esaki H, et al. Dupilumab progressively improves systemic and cutaneous abnormalities in patients with atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. janv 2019;143(1):155-72.
112	
113	
114 115	
116	
117	
118 119	
120	
121	
122 123	

Table	1:															
Patients, <u>Sex</u>	Age (years)	EB subtype	<u>Previous</u> treatments	Previous NBS	NRS after	<u>Previous</u>	PGA after	<u>Previous</u>	BSA after	Erythema before	<u>Erythema</u> <u>after</u>	Total IgE before	Total IgE  after	Eo before	Eo after	Follow-up
<u>1, F</u>	7	<u>RDEB</u> <u>severe</u>	<u>Doxepin,</u> <u>anti-</u> histaminic	l∞	2	<u>18</u>	12	<u>15</u>	<u>10</u>	Jω	lЬ		2.8	0.27	0.07	13
<u>2, F</u>	7	<u>RDEB</u> <u>severe</u>	clonazepam, doxepin, anrenitant Oleogel-	∞	l∞	<u>18</u>	18	12	12	2	₽			<u>0.3</u>	0.18	21
3, F	lm	<u>RDEB</u> <u>severe</u>	doxepin, aprepitant,	10 20	2	<u>19</u>	12	25	7	Ιω	2			0.4	0.11	<u>16</u>
4, F	14	<u>RDEB</u> <u>severe</u>	<u>aprepitant,</u> <u>betamethaso</u>	<u> </u>	4	<u>19</u>	13	40	18	lω	2		<u>842</u>	0.23	0.17	22
5, F	12	<u>RDEB</u> <u>severe</u>	amitriptyline, Anti-		lω	20	15	38	20	lω	lҺ	<u>15.4</u>	10.4	0.19	0.14	16
<u>6, F</u>	18	RDEB severe	Cannabidiol, Anti-	<u> </u>	14	23	22	30	<u>28</u>	2	2	22.7		0.06	0.15	lo
7, M	19	intermedi	gabapentin, diazenam RDEB	10	ιω	10	4	9	10	2	1	669	392	0.07	0.20	<u>16</u>
<u>8, M</u>	21	pruriginos	anti- DDEB	<u>S</u>	Ιω	ω	2	7	lω	2	lΗ	<u>65.2</u>	44.4	0.1	0.0	<u>25</u>
9, M	وا	pruriginos	anti- DDEB		2	14	2	14	Ю	ļμ	10			<u>1,36</u>		<u>15</u>
10, M	50	integrin	<u>JEB</u>	Aprenmasy.	10	11	lЬ	30	2	lm	12	<u>965</u>	223	0.17	0.05	20

<u>11, F</u>	24	<u>EBS</u> <u>KRT14</u>	<u>Detametnaso</u> <u>ne.</u> <u>anti-</u>	μω	14	2	80	20	lω	2	1721	0.2	<u>0.20</u>	<u>19</u>
			19											

139

147

155 156

164

166

170 171

.72 .73	Figure legend Figure 1: Photographs before and under dupilumab treatment
74	Photographs: Examples of marked decrease of erythema and wounds in different subtypes of
175	EB under dupilumab treatment: A, B: Patient 1 (RDEB) before (A) and after (B) 9 months of
176	dupilumab; C, D: patient 4 (RDEB) before (C) and after (D) 15 months of dupilumab: marked
177	decrease of erythema, wounds and milia; E, F, G: patient 7 (RDEB) before (E) and after 7
178	months (F) and 13 months (G) of dupilumab; H, I, J, K: patient 10 (JEB) before (H, J) and
179	after (I, K) 14 months of dupilumab.
180	
181	
182	Figure 1S (supplementary data): Heat map analysis of plasmatic cytokines
183	Heat map analysis of plasmatic cytokines ratios calculated as: levels measured after
184	dupilumab treatment/levels measured before dupilumab treatment. Decreased cytokine ratios
185	are represented in blue, and increased cytokine ratios in red. The rows and columns are
186	ordered based on the results of the agglomerative hierarchical clustering, with dendrograms
187	for the patients (7, 3, 10, 4, 1 and 6) and cytokines shown on the vertical and horizontal axes
188	respectively. Heat map was created using Qlucore Omics Explorer software 3.7.
189	
190	Tables legend:
191	Table 1: Baseline patients' characteristics and scores, evolution of disease severity scores
192	under dupilumab treatment and baseline and follow-up IgE and blood eosinophil counts (Eo)
193	levels before and after dupilumab treatment. F: female; M: male; RDEB: recessive dystrophic
194	epidermolysis bullosa; DDEB: dominant dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa; JEB: junctional
195	epidermolysis bullosa; EBS: epidermolysis bullosa simplex; NRS: numerical rating scale of
196	pruritus; PGA: EB physician's global assessment; BSA: body surface area. NRS ranges from
197	0 to 10. PGA scores <4.5, between 4.5 and 13 and >13 respectively correspond to mild,
198	moderate and severe disease. Erythema score ranges from 0 (no erythema) to 3 (marked
199	erythema). Eosinophil count ranges from 0 to 0.3 x 10 9 /L. IgE normal values: <114 kU/L.
200	

Table 2S (supplementary data): Molecular diagnosis

201

