



HAL
open science

Finding student-author voice: a rubric for assessment in the AI era

Daire Maria Ni Uanachain

► To cite this version:

Daire Maria Ni Uanachain. Finding student-author voice: a rubric for assessment in the AI era. Creativity and artificial intelligence in education, Jul 2024, Nice, France. Unpublished, 2024, 10.13140/RG.2.2.25905.19041 . hal-04654141

HAL Id: hal-04654141

<https://hal.science/hal-04654141v1>

Submitted on 19 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 4.0 International License

Finding student-author voice: a rubric for assessment in the AI era.

Objective of the Project

Increasing pressure on education systems to evolve and focus on 21st Century Skills sees a new focus on cognitive skills and project-based learning (PBL). Schools also need to adapt to the arrival of generative AI (GenAI), which provides text output in seconds resulting in concerns over skills atrophy and over-reliance on AI, while rendering traditional assessments obsolete.

Objective

- Explore the integration of GenAI in formative assessment
- Propose a new rubric for evaluating student writing as part of SEL based PBL.

Significance


- Emphasise the importance of maintaining student-authored voice in the era of AI-assisted writing.

Purpose

- Develop a balanced approach to teaching and learning that incorporates GenAI without compromising critical thinking and writing skills.

Theoretical Background

- Self-Determination Theory
- Generativism
 - Constructivism
 - Connectivism
- Learning as a Generative Process
- PBL & EDGIM
- Flipped Assessment
- AIED frameworks and AI Assessment scales



Research on impact of AIED

In co-creation with GenAI activities most of the students:

- Felt AI helped them and made learning more enjoyable
- Felt more confident in their abilities when using AI
- Were aware of/concerned about the risks of skill atrophy

The higher the intrinsic motivation, the more they felt GenAI was helpful, the more motivated they were.




Students with lower intrinsic motivation or low self-efficacy were concerned about the impact of AI on education and/or future career.

Practical Background

- Need for new assessment parameters: over reliance on GenAI detection tools
- Need for new approach to teaching writing: by teaching students to edit for author voice, we teach students how to think critically about their writing; ESL focus on meaning of words/structure of sentences/communicative achievement
- Need to reposition writing within a broader set of skills

4 Cs of 21st Century Teaching

- Communication
- Collaboration
- Critical Thinking
- Creativity



Existing Frameworks

The PPai (Passive-Participatory) framework and subsequent rubric assess aspects of AI literacy along with 21st century skills such as creativity and communication.

- increasing complexity and depth of interaction, encourages students to move beyond passive consumption to active, critical engagement with AI
- development of critical thinking through the evolution of the learner's role as a co-creator of knowledge, stressing the importance of developing students' ability to question, interact, gain deeper understanding.

AIAS Level	Description
1 NO AI	The assessment is completed entirely without AI assistance. This level ensures that students rely solely on their knowledge, understanding, and skills. AI must not be used at any point during the assessment.
2 AI ASSISTED IDEA GENERATION AND STRUCTURING	AI can be used in the assessment for brainstorming, creating structures, and generating ideas for improving work. No AI content is allowed in the final submission.
3 AI ASSISTED EDITING	AI can be used to make improvements to the clarity or quality of student created work to improve the final output, but no new content can be created using AI. AI can be used, but your original work with no AI content must be provided in an appendix.
4 AI TASK COMPLETION, HUMAN EVALUATION	AI is used to complete certain elements of the task, with students providing discussion or commentary on the AI-generated content. This level requires critical engagement with AI generated content and evaluating its output. You will use AI to complete specified tasks in your assessment. Any AI created content must be cited.
5 FULL AI	AI should be used as a 'top-pick' in order to meet the requirements of the assessment, allowing for a collaborative approach with AI and enhancing creativity. You may use AI throughout your assessment to support your own work and do not have to specify which content is AI generated.

AIAS Perkins, Furze, Roe, & MacVaugh. (2024)

Key Insights


- Existing frameworks focus on Co-creativity and AI literacy; particularly in STEAM or PBL Learning
- Need for domain knowledge, communication skills and writing-editing skills to properly co-author
- Adopt ESL approach to teaching writing, with a focus on student-author voice.

The Student-Author Voice Rubric

SAV measures the ability of students to author and/or use AI to effectively co-author, integrate domain knowledge, and demonstrate critical thinking and editing skills.

Skills	1 Beginning	2 Developing	3 Sufficient	4 Advanced	5 Exemplary
Balance of AI Assistance and Author Voice	Written work sounds like AI output rather than text written by a human; little to no original tone and diction.	Written work alternates between sounding like AI output and human; some evidence of original author voice.	Written work sounds mostly human; at times sounds like AI output/AI slightly overwhelms author's voice.	Written work sounds human; where AI is used to enhance the work it doesn't compromise author's voice.	Written work sounds human; AI may have been used to enhance the work but author's voice is evident throughout.
Domain Knowledge Integration	Lacks domain knowledge and relies on AI output; text is incoherent and/or does not align with the subject matter	Some domain knowledge is integrated into AI output; inconsistencies and inaccuracies are present.	Adequate domain knowledge is evident; most content aligns with the subject matter, some minor inaccuracies.	Strong domain knowledge is integrated; content aligns well with subject matter. Few inaccuracies are present.	Excellent domain knowledge is demonstrated; content is coherent and accurate.
Critical Thinking and Editing	Struggles to edit text, including AI output. Lacks critical engagement and does not recognise errors or inconsistencies	Edits text with limited effectiveness. Some critical engagement, but many errors remain.	Edits text showing good critical thinking skills, but minor issues persist.	Edits text effectively, demonstrating strong critical thinking skills. Most errors are corrected.	Exemplary editing of text. Demonstrates excellent critical thinking and corrects all errors, resulting in a polished work.

Student-Author Voice Rubric (SAV) Ni Uanachain. (2024)



PPai6 Romero, Duguay, Isaac, Barma, Duret, Heiser & Lake. (2023).

Use of GenAI in education: a rubric of creative uses of GenAI.				
1. Basic prompt engineering, including text, image, and audio generation, and using AI for research and learning.	2. Advanced prompt engineering, including text, image, and audio generation, and using AI for research and learning.	3. Creative use of GenAI, including text, image, and audio generation, and using AI for research and learning.	4. Critical use of GenAI, including text, image, and audio generation, and using AI for research and learning.	5. Exemplary use of GenAI, including text, image, and audio generation, and using AI for research and learning.


Use of GenAI in education: a rubric of creative uses of GenAI Vaillant, Mériaux & Lyon. (2023)

The AI Assessment Scale (AIAS) defines the permissible extent of AI assistance for maintaining academic integrity and skill development.

- a structured approach to integrating AI into assessments, ensuring that students develop necessary skills
- maintains the integrity of the assessment process, ensuring that AI assistance does not undermine the learning objectives.

Application and Implications

Mitigates worries over lack of skill development as students actively engage with GenAI to develop writing and editing skills producing human-sounding text as per project deliverables. Scaffolded learning; gradual immersion into complex tasks using GenAI.




Strengths and Limitations

Further research on the effectiveness of the SAV rubric and exploration of additional AI-integrated pedagogical frameworks needed. Need for teacher training and potential resistance to change.

Research, Policy, and Practice

1. Need for updated assessment rubrics that recognise AI assistance.
2. Policy recommendations for ethical AI integration in education.
3. Practical strategies for teachers to balance AI use and skill development.



AI-Assisted Assessment Through Collaborative Dialogues McMinn. (2023)

Related Literature

Ali, S., Payne, B.H., Williams, R., Park, H., & Brezaoui, C. (2019). Constructionism, Ethics, and Creativity: Developing Primary and Middle School Artificial Intelligence Education. *MT.edu*. <https://royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsos/170309/08/Constructionism...Ethics...and...Creativity.pdf>

Stauschard, S., Covarros, A., & P. Arnold. (2023). Beyond Algorithmic Solutions: The Significance of Academic Debate for Learning Assessment and Skill Cultivation in the AI World. *Social Science Research Network*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4562346>

Carver, A. (2020, November 30). Developing critical thinking skills in the ESL classroom | Contact. <https://contact.tesolantonio.com/developing-critical-thinking-skills-in-the-esl-classroom/>

EUR-Lex - 52023AP0236 - EN - EUR-Lex. (n.d.). Eur-Lex.europa.eu. Retrieved March 13, 2024, from <http://data.europa.eu/eli/C/2024/506/oj>

Fathoni, A. (2023). Leveraging Generative AI Solutions in Art and Design Education: Bridging Sustainable Creativity and Fostering Academic Integrity for Innovative Society. *ESB Web of Conferences*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/ESB/20234260102>

Koduru, K. (2023). Empowering Education through Generative AI: Innovative Instructional Strategies for Tomorrow's Learners. *International Journal of Business, Law, and Education*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56442/ijbale.v4i2.215>

Kop, R., & Hill, A. (2008). Connectivism: Learning theory of the future or vestige of the past? *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 9(3). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.19173/irori.v9i3.523>

Marche, S. (2022, December 6). The College Essay Is Dead. *The Atlantic*. <https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2022/12/chatgpt-ai-writing-college-student-essays/672371/>

McMinn, S. (2023, May 1). AI-Assisted Assessment: Enhancing Technical Writing Through Collaborative Dialogues. *LinkedIn*. <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/ai-assisted-assessment-enhancing-technical-writing-through-mcminn/>

Ni Uanachain, D.M. (2024, May 14). CreaTech: A technology-enhanced Project-Based Learning framework applied in an Italian context. *Medium*. <https://medium.com/@dairemaria/createch-a-technology-enhanced-project-based-learning-framework-applied-in-an-italian-context-18d2248b734c>

Pickens, H. (2023, October 25). How ineffective use of Generative AI can Atrophy Your Brain. *ECMUTutors*. <https://www.ecmututors.com/how-ineffective-use-of-generative-ai-can-atrophy-your-brain/>

Perkins, M., Furze, L., Roe, J., & MacVaugh, J. (2024). The Artificial Intelligence Assessment Scale (AIAS): A Framework for Ethical Integration of Generative AI in Educational Assessment. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, 21(6). <https://doi.org/10.53761/jqt2024.6>

Pratschke, B. M. (2023). Generativism: the new hybrid. *ArXiv.org*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2309.12468>

Pupita Septilari, D., Kostakis, P., & Romero, M. (2023). Analysis of Creative Engagement in AI Tools in Education Based on the #PPai6 Framework. *Lecture Notes in AI Tools in Education*, 48-58. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-42134-1_5

Romero, M. (2023). Creative engagement in #AIed: from the passive AI content consumer to expansive learning supported by AI (#PPai6). *Margadonnano*. <https://margadonnano.blog/2023/04/28/expansive-learning-supported-by-ai/>

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2020). Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivation from a self-determination theory perspective: Definitions, theory, practices, and Future Directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 61(1), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2020.101650>

Sanabria, J.C. (2015). The gradual immersion method (GIM): Pedagogical transformation into Digital Reality. *Procedia Computer Science*, 75, 369-374. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1878705915027057v2i3D0ib>

Sanabria, J.C. & Arribas-Ladrona, J. (2017). Enhancing 21st Century Skills with AR: Using gradual immersion method to develop collaborative creativity. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(2), 487-501. <https://www.ejstnet.com/article/enhancing-21st-century-skills-with-ar-using-the-gradual-immersion-method-to-develop-collaborative-creativity>

Siegel, D. J., Siegel, M. W., & Parker, S. C. (2016). Internal Education and the Roots of Resilience: Relationships and Reflection as the New P's of Education. *Mindfulness in Behavioral Health*, 47-63. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3506-2_4

Siemens, G. (n.d.). Connectivism: a learning theory for the digital age. *Academia.edu*. https://www.academia.edu/289237/Connectivism...a_learning_theory_for_the_digital_age

UNESCO. (2023). Guidance for generative AI in education and research. *Unesco.org*. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000386693>

Witrock, M.C. (2010). Learning as a Generative Process. *Educational Psychologist*, 45(1), 40-45. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520903433954>

World Economic Forum. (2016). *Industry Agenda New Vision for Education: Fostering Social and Emotional Learning through Technology* Prepared in collaboration with The Boston Consulting Group. https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_New_Vision_for_Education.pdf



Scan to follow!