



HAL
open science

Cell cycle-coordinated maintenance of the *Vibrio* bipartite genome

Théophile Niault, Jakub Czarnecki, Morgan Lambérioux, Didier Mazel,
Marie-Eve Val

► **To cite this version:**

Théophile Niault, Jakub Czarnecki, Morgan Lambérioux, Didier Mazel, Marie-Eve Val. Cell cycle-coordinated maintenance of the *Vibrio* bipartite genome. *EcoSal Plus*, 2023, 11 (1), eesp00082022. 10.1128/ecosalplus.esp-0008-2022 . hal-04653967

HAL Id: hal-04653967

<https://hal.science/hal-04653967v1>

Submitted on 23 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **CELL-CYCLE COORDINATED MAINTENANCE OF THE *VIBRIO* BIPARTITE GENOME**

2

3 Théophile Niaux^{1,2*}, Jakub Czarnecki^{1*}, Morgan Lambérioux^{1,2}, Didier Mazel^{1‡} and Marie-Eve
4 Val^{1‡}

5

6 ¹ Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR3525, Bacterial Genome Plasticity Unit,
7 F-75015 Paris, France

8 ² Sorbonne Université, Collège Doctoral, F-75005, Paris, France

9 * These authors contributed equally to this work

10 ‡ Corresponding authors : marie-eve.kennedy-val@pasteur.fr , didier.mazel@pasteur.fr

11

12 **RUNNING TITLE**

13 *Vibrio* Bipartite Genome Maintenance

14

15 **KEYWORDS**

16 iteron, plasmid, chromosome, multipartite, replication, segregation, *Vibrio*, DnaA, RctB,
17 initiation

18

19 **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

20 ABSTRACT

21

22 INTRODUCTION

23

24 ORGANIZATION OF VIBRIO GENOMES

25

26 REPLICATION OF THE BIPARTITE GENOME OF *V. CHOLERAE*

27 **Chr1 replication initiation control is analogous to that of the *E. coli* chromosome**

28 Chr1 origin of replication

29 Post-initiation inactivation of *ori1* by SeqA

30 DnaA inactivation

31 DnaA regeneration

32 Other regulatory mechanisms

33 **The control of Chr2 replication initiation resembles that of iteron plasmids but also involves additional coordination with the cell cycle**

34 Chr2 origin of replication

35 Comparison of RctB with Rep initiators from iteron plasmids.

36 Chr2 replication initiation

37 Control of Chr2 replication initiation - similarities to iteron plasmids

38 Regulation of Chr2 replication initiation - similarities to that of the main chromosome

39 ***crtS*-mediated synchronization of Chr1 and Chr2 replication termination**

40 Replication termination of Chr1 and Chr2 is synchronized

41 The *crtS* site coordinates Chr1 and Chr2 replication

42 Mechanism of action of *crtS* on *ori2*

43 (1) *crtS* activation upon replication

44 (2) *ori2* activation post-*crtS* replication

45 **Post-initiation replication stages in *V. cholerae***

46 Replisome loading

47 Replication elongation

48 Replication termination

49

50

51 CHROMOSOME SEGREGATION AND PARTITION

52 **Chr1 and Chr2 longitudinal arrangement**

53 **Cell division control**

54 **Organization and segregation of the Ter regions**

55 Ter organization

56 Ter segregation

57 **Origin segregation**

58 Ori partition systems

59 Interplay between Chromosome Partition and Replication Control

60 **Intra-chromosomal organization**

61 **Inter-chromosome interactions**

62

63 REFLEXION ON DOMESTICATION OF CHR2

64

65 PERSPECTIVES

66 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

67 REFERENCES

68 AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

69 FIGURE LEGENDS

70 **ABSTRACT**

71 To preserve the integrity of their genome, bacteria rely on several genome maintenance
72 mechanisms that are coordinated with the cell cycle. All members of the *Vibrio* family have a
73 bipartite genome consisting of a primary chromosome (Chr1) homologous to the single
74 chromosome of other bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* and a secondary chromosome (Chr2)
75 acquired by a common ancestor as a plasmid. In this review, we present our current
76 understanding of genome maintenance in *Vibrio cholerae*, which is the best studied model
77 for bacteria with multipartite genomes. After a brief overview on the diversity of *Vibrio*
78 genomic architecture, we describe the specific, common, and coordinated mechanisms that
79 control the replication and segregation of the two chromosomes of *V. cholerae*. Particular
80 attention is given to the unique checkpoint mechanism that synchronizes Chr1 and Chr2
81 replication.

INTRODUCTION

82 Bacteria use sophisticated mechanisms to maintain the integrity and stability of their
83 genomes. These mechanisms become more complex as the number of replicons increases.
84 Bacterial genomes were long thought to be composed of a single chromosome and
85 accessory plasmids, but the discovery of large secondary replicons of plasmid origin (i.e.,
86 megaplasmids, secondary chromosomes) in about 10% of bacteria from various lineages,
87 has raised new questions regarding the maintenance of these genomes. A growing body of
88 research indicates that chromosomes and large secondary replicons probably communicate
89 to coordinate their maintenance with the bacterial cell cycle (1-7). This coordination can
90 occur at different stages of the bacterial cycle, such as during replication, segregation, and
91 cell division, to guarantee a proper distribution of the genetic material to the next generation.

92 This review article explores the bipartite genomic organization of the *Vibrio* family, with a
93 focus on replication, segregation, and cell division in *Vibrio cholerae*, the best-studied
94 representative of the family and the pathogen responsible for cholera. The *V. cholerae*
95 genome consists of two essential replicons, a main chromosome (Chr1) and a secondary
96 chromosome (Chr2). Chr2 is also sometimes called a "chromid" as it has features of both
97 plasmids and chromosomes (8). It is believed that Chr2 was acquired by a *Vibrio* ancestor as
98 a plasmid and coevolved with Chr1, which transferred several housekeeping genes to Chr2
99 (9). While most of the core genes reside on Chr1, Chr2 is enriched in species- and strain-
100 specific genes and provides a platform for the acquisition of new adaptive functions (10).
101 Each chromosome has its own replication system. Chr1 is initiated by the universal bacterial
102 chromosome replication initiator DnaA, while Chr2 is initiated by the initiator RctB, which
103 shares structural similarities with iteron plasmid initiators. Despite using distinct initiators,
104 Chr1 and Chr2 have evolved by incorporating a unique checkpoint mechanism that allows
105 them to communicate to coordinate their replication. At the segregation level, Chr1 and Chr2

106 use both specific players, such as their own ParAB partition machineries, to segregate the
107 chromosome origins along the cell length, and common actors, such as the FtsK DNA
108 translocase, which segregates the chromosome termini across the closing septum during cell
109 division. In this review, we provide a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms that
110 evolved to maintain the bipartite genome of *V. cholerae* through comparisons with the well-
111 studied *E. coli* chromosome and iteron plasmids.

112 **ORGANIZATION OF VIBRIO GENOMES**

113 The *Vibrionaceae* family exhibits a conserved bipartite genome organization (Fig. 1, Table
114 S1), with Chr1 ranging in size from 2.5 Mb to 4.3 Mb (median = 3.3 Mb) and Chr2 from 0.6
115 Mb to 2.5 Mb (median = 1.7 Mb) (Table S2). This level of conservation is particularly high
116 compared to other bacteria with multipartite genomic architecture, where the presence of
117 secondary replicons is usually conserved within a single genus (8, 11). The high
118 conservation of the bipartite genome throughout the *Vibrionaceae* family suggests that this
119 genomic architecture is under positive selection. In *V. cholerae* species, Chr1 and Chr2 are
120 relatively smaller (about 3Mb and 1 Mb respectively) compared to the rest of the
121 *Vibrionaceae* (Table S2). There are also a few known examples of mono-chromosomal
122 strains of *V. cholerae* resulting from a fusion between Chr1 and Chr2 (12-14). The reason for
123 the stability of these fusions in a natural environment is puzzling, especially since under
124 laboratory conditions, when chromosome fusions have been obtained to compensate for a
125 Chr2 replication defect, they tended to spontaneously reverse to a bipartite genome
126 configuration via the acquisition of compensatory mutations (15). Similar examples of natural
127 fusion between large replicons have been reported in other bacteria with multipartite
128 genomes – *Sinorhizobium meliloti* (16), *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (17) and *Cupriavidus*
129 *necator* (18).

130 The genetic information of the *Vibrionaceae* is not equally distributed between Chr1 and
131 Chr2. The pangenome of 124 complete *Vibrionaceae* genomes shows that most of the core

132 genes are located on Chr1, while Chr2 contains more species- and strain-specific genes
133 (19). This imbalance in gene content is also found in other multipartite bacteria (8). Chr2 can
134 be seen as an adaptive platform into which new genes can be incorporated without
135 disrupting the conserved organization of Chr1 (10). As in other bacteria, *V. cholerae* Chr1
136 has been shown to be organized in a manner that provides the highest fitness. This
137 organization is due, at least in part, to the way Chr1 is replicated (20). Chr1 has been shown
138 to carry more growth rate-related genes, such as the rRNA operon and ribosomal genes,
139 close to its replication origin (19, 21). This may be partly explained by the fact that the
140 products of these genes are in increased demand under rapid growth conditions, when
141 multiple simultaneous replication rounds occur, increasing the dosage of genes lying around
142 the *ori1* (21). However, it has been proposed that this organization is also important under
143 free-growth conditions, indicating that it may have evolved for reasons that are independent
144 of gene dosage, such as the importance of the localization of the expression of certain genes
145 in the cell (which is due to the specific localization of particular Chr1 fragments) or the effect
146 of their expression on the overall fluidity of the cytoplasm (22, 23).

147 In addition to the bipartite core genome, *Vibrionaceae* strains carry a highly variable set of
148 plasmids that are not essential for host cell growth, but may have some adaptive functions
149 (Fig. 1) (8, 24). Interestingly, when comparing plasmid abundance in *Vibrionaceae* and *V.*
150 *cholerae*, we observe a much lower presence of plasmids in the latter (Table S2). This is due
151 to a sub-branch of *V. cholerae*, the El Tor type, which has been extensively sequenced
152 because of its prominent role in cholera epidemics and which does not carry plasmids. The
153 absence of plasmids in *V. cholerae* El Tor is due to the presence of defense systems located
154 in two genomic islands (*Vibrio* pathogenicity island 2, VPI-2, and *Vibrio* seventh pandemic
155 island II, VSP-II) that render plasmids unstable and eliminate them from the population (25).

156 **REPLICATION OF THE BIPARTITE GENOME OF *V. CHOLERAE***

157 *V. cholerae* El Tor N16961 is one of the most studied members of the *Vibrionaceae* family,
158 and its genome was one of the first to be sequenced (26). It consists of a 2.96 Mb Chr1 and
159 a 1.07 Mb Chr2. Distinct mechanisms initiate replication of Chr1 and Chr2. Chr1 follows a
160 chromosome-like initiation process, while Chr2 has an initiation mechanism similar to that
161 found in iteron plasmids (27, 28). Despite their difference, both chromosomes replicate in a
162 coordinated manner (7). This intriguing observation in *V. cholerae* led to its extensive study
163 to better understand the mechanisms that govern the coordinated replication of its two
164 chromosomes.

165 **Chr1 replication initiation control is analogous to that of the *E. coli* chromosome.**

166 **Chr1 origin of replication.** Chr1 replication is initiated by the universal bacterial initiator
167 DnaA, as is the case for *E. coli* chromosome replication. The Chr1 replication origin (*ori1*) in
168 *V. cholerae* shares a significant level of sequence identity (58%) with the replication origin of
169 the *E. coli* chromosome (*oriC*) (Fig. 2). Both origins contain five strong DnaA boxes, an AT-
170 rich region and an IHF box. Furthermore, the DnaA proteins of *V. cholerae* (DnaA_{Vc}) and *E.*
171 *coli* (DnaA_{Ec}) show a high level of amino acid identity (79%). In fact, a plasmid carrying *ori1*
172 can replicate in *E. coli* (28), and *ori1* can effectively replace *oriC* in the *E. coli* chromosome
173 (29, 30). These results reveal that the DnaA_{Ec}-*oriC* and DnaA_{Vc}-*ori1* systems are functionally
174 interchangeable.

175 In *E. coli*, several regulatory mechanisms work together to ensure adequate initiation of
176 chromosome replication at all growth rates (31, 32). While these pathways have not been
177 directly studied in *V. cholerae*, many are thought to be present based on the presence of
178 homologs of key regulatory genes and DNA sequences in its genome. However, certain
179 variations exist, such as the absence of a homolog of Hda in *V. cholerae* and differences in
180 the stringent response. These aspects are discussed in more detail in the subsequent
181 sections.

182 **Post-initiation inactivation of *ori1* by SeqA.** Both *E. coli oriC* and *V. cholerae ori1* are
183 characterized by an overrepresentation of GATC motifs (Fig. 2A) (29, 30). Like *E. coli*, *V.*
184 *cholerae* contains the Dam methylase that methylates the adenine of the GATC motif
185 throughout the genome (33). Following the passage of the replication fork, GATC motifs
186 become transiently hemi-methylated and are specifically recognized by the SeqA protein. In
187 *E. coli*, SeqA binding at *oriC* prevents immediate replication re-initiation by impeding the
188 recruitment of DnaA (34). In *V. cholerae*, the deletion of *seqA* leads to over-initiation of
189 replication at *ori1*, indicating a similar role to that of SeqA in *E. coli* (29).

190 **DnaA inactivation.** DnaA exists in two nucleotide-bound forms: DnaA-ADP and DnaA-ATP.
191 DnaA-ATP is the active form of the protein and is responsible for the initiation of DNA
192 replication. DnaA-ADP is the inactive form and has a lower affinity for DNA. The switch from
193 DnaA-ATP to DnaA-ADP is critical to regulate chromosome initiation (31). In *E. coli*, the
194 Regulatory Inactivation of DnaA (RIDA) is facilitated by the interaction of DnaA with ATP-
195 bound Hda (homologous to DnaA) (35). It is unclear whether RIDA occurs in *V. cholerae* as it
196 does in *E. coli*, given that no homologue of Hda has been identified (27). In *E. coli*, the
197 second system of direct inactivation of DnaA involves its binding to a specific chromosomal
198 region called *datA* (*datA*-dependent DnaA-ATP hydrolysis, DDAH) (36). *V. cholerae* Chr1
199 harbors a putative analog of the *datA* site, located within the same genomic context as the
200 *orn* (VC0341) and *queG* (VC0342) genes. In *E. coli*, together the two systems RIDA and
201 DDAH prevent *oriC* over-initiation by rapidly converting the free DnaA-ATP pool to DnaA-
202 ADP. It is possible that in *V. cholerae*, DDAH has a more prominent role in overcoming the
203 absence of RIDA, or an as-yet-unknown mechanism may substitute for RIDA. Since RIDA is
204 one of the primary mechanisms for the conversion of DnaA-ATP to DnaA-ADP in *E. coli*, it
205 will be important to understand how DnaA inactivation occurs in *V. cholerae*.

206 **DnaA regeneration.** When replication progresses and cell volume increases, the batch of
207 DnaA-ADP is progressively converted back to DnaA-ATP, to allow further initiation. DnaA-
208 ATP is exclusively produced through the passive binding of free DnaA proteins to ATP, which

209 is abundantly present in the cytosol. Three mechanisms for the production of free DnaA
210 protein have been identified: *de novo* translation of DnaA, DnaA-ADP dissociation stimulated
211 by interaction with membrane phospholipids, and binding to chromosomal sites called DnaA-
212 Reactivating Sequences (DARS1 and DARS2) (31). When these sites are replicated, they
213 double in copy number, leading to an acceleration in the rate of DnaA-ATP formation. Hence,
214 the positioning of these sites on the genome is crucial and relocating them to other loci alters
215 the regulation of *oriC* initiation (37). In *V. cholerae*, two DARS analogues, DARS1 and
216 DARS2, are located at the same positions as in *E. coli*, at the *uvrB* and *mutH* promoters on
217 Chr1 (Fig. 2B) (38).

218 **Other regulatory mechanisms.** *E. coli* and *V. cholerae* potentially share additional
219 mechanisms to regulate DnaA activity and ensure the timely initiation of chromosomal
220 replication during the cell cycle. In *E. coli*, the protein DiaA acts as an accessory factor,
221 facilitating the loading of DnaA onto *oriC* by interacting with DnaA and promoting the
222 formation of an active DnaA-ATP complex (39, 40). In *V. cholerae*, a DiaA/GmhA homolog
223 encoded by VC0579 contains the L190 and F191 residues in its C-terminal domain, which
224 are essential for interacting with DnaA in *E. coli* (39). Another phenomenon observed in both
225 *E. coli* chromosome and *V. cholerae* Chr1 is the arrest of replication during amino acid
226 starvation, also known as the stringent response. The increase in intracellular (p)ppGpp
227 levels activates the Lon protease, which specifically degrades DnaA-ADP, inhibiting
228 replication initiation (41, 42). During the activation of the stringent response, replication forks
229 that have already formed can complete genome replication. This phenomenon can be used
230 to synchronize cell populations by inducing stringent response with a serine analogue (serine
231 hydroxamate - SHX) in both *E. coli* and *V. cholerae* (42, 43). Curiously, *E. coli* and *V.*
232 *cholerae* do not respond similarly to the induction of the stringent response. In *E. coli*, under
233 SHX treatment, new rounds of initiation are blocked, the cells complete their replication but
234 do not divide, resulting in cells with two sets of complete genomes. *V. cholerae* behaves
235 differently. Firstly, cell division is not inhibited by SHX. Moreover, after SHX treatment the

236 replication process follows three stages: an initial shutdown phase, a restart phase, and a
237 final shutdown phase. During the first stage, initiation is blocked, the cells complete their
238 replication and divide. Then, cells re-initiate replication once (second stage), complete it and
239 ultimately divide (final stage). The end result is daughter cells containing a single set of
240 genomes (44). These differences suggest a distinct mechanism of stringent response in *V.*
241 *cholerae* compared to *E. coli*, which would deserve further study.

242 **The control of Chr2 replication initiation resembles that of iteron plasmids but also**
243 **involves additional coordination with the cell cycle.**

244 **Chr2 origin of replication.** Chr2 contains a replication origin (*ori2*) similar to that found in
245 iteron plasmids, a class of autonomous genetic elements frequently carrying antibiotic
246 resistance genes and virulence factors. Iteron plasmids are named after their repetitive and
247 directed sequences known as iterons, which are organized into an array located at the origin
248 of replication. Examples of well-known iteron plasmids include RK2, F, R6K, P1, pPS10, and
249 pSC101 (45). The number of iteron sites in the array can vary, with at least three in *ori*_{pSC101}
250 and up to seven in *ori*_{R6K} (Fig. 3). The size of iterons also varies, ranging from 17 bp for *ori*_{RK2}
251 to 22 bp for *ori*_{R6K}. Iteron plasmids encode an initiator protein, typically called "Rep," located
252 near their origin. Rep proteins bind to the iteron array and introduce torsional forces that
253 facilitate melting of the double-stranded DNA helix at an adjacent AT-rich region known as
254 the DNA Unwinding Element (DUE) (46). The iteron origins contain binding sites for host
255 factors important for replication initiation, such as DnaA and IHF (46). In addition, the Rep
256 protein binds to its own promoter as a dimer on an operator formed of inverted iteron
257 repeats. This causes a negative feedback loop limiting the number of Rep proteins in the cell
258 (47, 48). Some iteron plasmids may contain an *inc* region ("incompatibility" region) that
259 contains additional iteron repeats, which are not directly involved in DNA unwinding but
260 regulate replication initiation (Fig. 3).

261 The organization of *ori2* in Chr2 resembles that of iteron plasmids. Chr2 encodes its own
262 initiator protein, RctB, next to the origin. *ori2* harbors an array of six regularly spaced iterons
263 (12-mer), a DUE, a DnaA box and an *inc* region containing additional iterons. Unlike plasmid
264 iterons, all iterons in *ori2* have a built-in GATC motif that must be fully methylated by Dam
265 methylase in order to be bound by RctB (29). Furthermore, RctB binds to a second type of
266 sites that has no similarity to iterons, called 39-mer (39m) or 29-mer (29m) depending on
267 their size (49, 50). These sites have a negative regulatory role on *ori2* initiation. They are not
268 found in plasmids but conserved in all *Vibrionaceae* Chr2. Two 39m sites are located in the
269 *inc* region and the 29m site is in the *rctB* promoter (Fig. 3). RctB is thought to bind to the
270 29/39m as a dimer forming a dyadic structure, but direct experimental evidence is lacking as
271 there is no published structure of RctB on DNA. 29/39m contain GC-rich imperfect direct
272 repeats at their ends with an AT-rich intermediate sequence. The GC-rich repeats, which are
273 recognized by RctB, are on the same side of the DNA helix (49). The 29m site contains an
274 internal deletion of 10 nt, causing a complete rotation of the DNA helix that does not disrupt
275 the phasing of the two GC-rich repeats (49). Importantly, unlike the iterons, RctB binding to
276 29/39m is not influenced by their methylation, as they do not contain GATC motifs.

277 **Comparison of RctB with Rep initiators from iteron plasmids.** The large majority of
278 iteron plasmid replication initiators (Reps) are proteins of about 300 amino acids long and
279 typically composed of two domains, WH1 and WH2 (Fig. 4 A-C). Each of these domains
280 contains a winged helix-turn-helix motif that participates in iteron binding. RctB, with its 658
281 residues, is twice the size of Reps and is divided into four structural domains (I to IV) (51).
282 Domain II (aa 182-360) and domain III (aa 361-472) of RctB were crystallized together to
283 form a stable segment of approximately 38 kDa (52). Both of these domains contain a HTH
284 motif required for DNA binding and are structurally homologous to the WH1 and WH2
285 domains of Rep initiator proteins (ρ , RepE, RepA) (Fig. 4 D). In solution, the Reps exist as a
286 dimer, in which the interaction occurs between the WH1 domains in a head-to-head
287 arrangement. The crystal structure of RctB (domains II-III) reveals that the interaction surface

288 within the RctB dimer is located between domains II (52). The dimeric head-to-head
289 organization of Reps and RctB, however, is not consistent with the organization they must
290 adopt when cooperatively binding to the iteron array. In fact, Reps and RctB are active for
291 initiation through their monomerization which is performed by DnaK/J chaperones (53, 54).
292 RctB interacts with DnaK at the K-I site, extending from residues 150 to 163 (55). In the case
293 of Reps, monomerization can also be achieved when the Rep dimer interacts with an iteron
294 (56). Whether RctB is monomerized upon DNA binding is unknown.

295 As already mentioned, RctB contains two additional domains, domain I and IV, located,
296 respectively, at the N- and C-terminus of the protein's conserved core (Fig. 5 A-B). Domain I
297 contains a winged HTH DNA-binding motif involved in iteron binding. This domain is
298 essential for the initiation of replication at *ori2*. In the model proposed by Orlova *et al.* (52),
299 domain I and domains II-III bind to opposite surfaces of the iteron, with domain I and domain
300 II binding directly to the iteron and domain III binding to adjacent sequences in a non-specific
301 manner (Fig. 5 C-D). Acquisition of an additional N-terminal DNA-binding domain has been
302 observed in some Reps, such as TrfA from the RK2 plasmid. Its additional N-terminal DNA-
303 binding domain (DBD), is analogous to the domain I of RctB, and is essential for interaction
304 with iterons (57).

305 Unlike domain I, domain IV is not essential for replication initiation, but is involved in Chr2
306 copy number down-regulation (51, 53, 58). So far, the crystal structure of the domain IV has
307 not been published. However, modeling shows that it does not resemble any known structure
308 and is only found in proteins closely related to RctB. The domain IV plays a central role in the
309 negative regulation of *ori2* (51). Although it lacks a DNA-binding domain, domain IV is
310 essential for binding to 29/39m (51). The same domain IV was shown to promote self-
311 interaction with other RctB. This suggests that two RctB monomers can interact not only
312 through domain II, but also through domain IV. Mutations that disrupt interactions through
313 domain IV also prevent RctB from binding to 29/39m sites (51). This suggests that binding of
314 29/39m may require dimerization of RctB through domain IV.

315 **Chr2 replication initiation.** The minimal *ori2* (*ori2min*) is a compact region of DNA
316 containing numerous regulatory sequences. The *ori2min* consists of six regularly spaced
317 iterons, a DnaA box, an IHF binding site (IBS), and an AT-rich region (DUE). Upon binding of
318 RctB to the iterons, unwinding of the DNA duplex occurs in the DUE region (Fig. 6A). The
319 organization and function of the *ori2min* region are under strict constraints, since neither the
320 position nor the orientation of these regulatory sites can be changed, nor can the sequence
321 and spacing of the iterons. Only one iteron can be inactivated at a time, and some cannot be
322 inactivated at all (59). Both the DnaA-box and the IBS are also essential for Chr2 replication
323 (59). To promote replication initiation at *ori2*, RctB binds cooperatively to the six-iteron array
324 and simultaneously to the DUE lower strand, forming a ternary complex (60). The DUE
325 contains six regularly spaced direct tetra-nucleotide repeats of 5'-ATCA recognized by RctB.
326 Formation of the ternary complex requires the binding of the IHF protein downstream of the
327 iteron array, which causes a 180° bend in the DNA. This bending results in the opening of
328 DNA at the two ATCA sites closest to the IBS (Fig. 6B) (60). The mechanism of DNA duplex
329 unwinding by RctB is analogous to that of DnaA (61, 62). In both cases, the initiator protein
330 oligomerizes on double-stranded DNA and interacts with the single-stranded DNA of the
331 DUE (Fig. 6B). DnaA interacts with single-stranded DNA through the H/B motif (V211 and
332 R245 residues) contained in its domain III (63, 64). The region within the RctB protein that
333 mediates the interaction with ssDNA is still unknown. The subsequent steps involving
334 replisome recruitment and assembly will be covered in the upcoming section titled "Post-
335 initiation replication stages in *V. cholerae*"

336 **Control of Chr2 replication initiation - similarities to iteron plasmids.**

337 Iteron plasmids can be present inside the cell at medium to low copy number, so their
338 maintenance requires tight regulation of replication. There are four main mechanisms for
339 regulating initiation: autoregulation of the *rep* gene, titration of the initiator, dimerization of the
340 Rep protein, and origin handcuffing. These mechanisms have been thoroughly reviewed in
341 (46, 65-67).

342 The mechanisms of titration and autoregulation involve regulating the amount of Rep
343 available in the cytoplasm. Plasmids contain iteron sites that are not essential for replication
344 but that regulate the pool of Rep proteins. In many iteron plasmids, the Rep promoter
345 contains an operator site consisting of two inverted iterons (Fig. 3). In this arrangement, Rep
346 proteins bind as dimers, obstructing access for RNA polymerase (47, 68-70). This creates a
347 negative autoregulatory loop to produce Rep protein at the appropriate level for controlled
348 initiation. Similarly, Chr2 exhibits autoregulation through a feedback loop of *rctB* expression
349 (50), which does not rely on inverted iteron repeats but on the 29m site (Fig. 3), which
350 coincides with the *rctB* mRNA transcription start site, spanning from position -4 to +25 (50).

351 Another crucial mechanism regulating replication initiation is the existence of Rep as free
352 dimers. The dimeric form is considered inactive for initiation since Rep dimers bind less
353 efficiently to iterons (71). Rep must be monomerized by chaperones to become active (54,
354 72). As mentioned earlier, RctB also exists as an inactive dimer and is converted into an
355 active form through monomerization mediated by DnaK/J chaperones (53, 55, 58). It remains
356 uncertain whether DNA binding triggers RctB monomerization as for Rep.

357 The final mechanism involves inactivation of the origin through DNA bridging by Rep
358 proteins, known as "handcuffing". This process occurs when Rep proteins bound to the iteron
359 array interact with Rep proteins bound to other sites, preventing replication initiation by steric
360 hindrance (73, 74). Rep proteins can either interact with Rep bound to the same sites on
361 different plasmids (intermolecular handcuffing) or to different iterons within the same plasmid
362 (intramolecular handcuffing). For instance, in the plasmid pPS10, RepA proteins bound to a
363 four-iteron array of a plasmid can interact with those of another plasmid. This intermolecular
364 handcuffing prevents replication initiation when the copy number of pPS10 increases (75). In
365 plasmid F, RepE mediates handcuffing between iterons in the *ori* region and iterons in the *inc*
366 region. This intramolecular handcuffing causes DNA looping, leading to inhibition of
367 replication initiation (76, 77). In the case of Chr2, intermolecular handcuffing is unlikely to
368 regulate initiation due to the immediate segregation of newly replicated *ori2* at two distant
369 locations in the cell (see section on origin segregation). Thus, similar to the F plasmid,

370 intramolecular handcuffing within *ori2* must occur. However, this mechanism must differ from
371 the bridging between iterons from the *inc* region and the *ori2*min region. The *inc* region of
372 Chr2 contains five regulatory iterons. When these iterons are inactivated, the copy number of
373 *ori2*-driven plasmids decreases, indicating that these iterons play a positive role in the
374 initiation of Chr2 replication, contrary to the iterons in the *inc* region of plasmid F (49).
375 Therefore, it has been proposed that Chr2 handcuffing is dependent on RctB bound to the
376 39m also present in the *inc* region (49, 53). One may wonder why *ori2* acquired a new type
377 of binding sites for RctB (29/39m), when iteron plasmids already have very tight control over
378 their replication. For example, the F plasmid is maintained very stable in the population with
379 a copy number of 1 per cell, while it contains only one type of binding sites for Rep. The
380 29/39m could therefore be a functional innovation on *ori2* that would have led to the
381 emergence of replication coordination between Chr1 and Chr2. This will be discussed later.

382 **Regulation of Chr2 replication initiation - similarities to that of the main chromosome.**

383 Chr2 has additional levels of regulation of replication initiation that resemble those of Chr1.
384 The first mechanism links Chr2 replication initiation to DNA methylation status. Like Chr1,
385 Chr2 harbors an overrepresentation of GATC motifs in its origin. The binding of SeqA at the
386 hemi-methylated *ori2* prevents immediate re-initiation (29). As for *ori1*, the absence of *seqA*
387 provokes an over-initiation at *ori2* (29). Interestingly, most iteron plasmids do not show
388 enrichment for GATC motifs in their origin. The exception is plasmid P1, where full Dam
389 methylation of GATC sites located near iterons is essential for replication as it facilitates DNA
390 unwinding at the DUE (78-80).

391 In addition to SeqA hindering *ori2* re-initiation, another control by Dam methylation occurs at
392 *ori2*. RctB is only able to bind to fully Dam-methylated iterons (29). In the absence of Dam,
393 Chr2 initiation is therefore impossible, resulting in cell death (81). The only way to rescue a
394 *dam*-deleted mutant is through spontaneous fusion of Chr2 into Chr1 so that it can benefit
395 from the replicative machinery of Chr1 (81).

396 A second mechanism that makes Chr2 regulation related to that of main chromosomes is the
397 existence of titration sites for the replication initiator. Chr2 has six RctB binding sites (five
398 iterons and one 39m motif) in a region spanning 74 kb near *ori2*. These loci are duplicated
399 early after Chr2 replication initiation and negatively regulate re-initiation by binding RctB
400 molecules (82).

401 ***crtS*-mediated synchronization of Chr1 and Chr2 replication termination**

402 **Replication termination of Chr1 and Chr2 is synchronized.** Chr1 and Chr2 are replicated
403 once per cell cycle (83). Overlapping rounds of replication can occur under conditions of
404 rapid growth, resulting in amplification of regions around the origins, however termination of
405 replication occurs only once per cell cycle for both chromosomes (84). Chr1 and Chr2 follow
406 a sequential initiation choreography. Chr1 is initiated first and when it is 2/3 replicated, Chr2
407 initiates replication (7). Since Chr2 is about one-third the size of Chr1, the two chromosomes
408 complete replication synchronously. Synchronous termination of Chr1 and Chr2 replication
409 appears to be an evolutionarily selected trait in the *Vibrionaceae* (42).

410 The regulation of *ori2* by Dam methylation, by handcuffing with 39m, or by *rctB*
411 autorepression may explain how Chr2 replication is limited to once per cell cycle but does not
412 explain how Chr2 replication is timed to Chr1 replication. A new layer of regulation was
413 uncovered, directly linking Chr1 replication to Chr2 replication.

414 **The *crtS* site coordinates Chr1 and Chr2 replication.** In 2014, Baek *et al.* performed a
415 ChIP-on-chip experiment with RctB and discovered additional binding sites outside of *ori2*
416 (82). Among them, RctB bound in an intergenic region of two co-directional non-essential
417 genes, VC0764 (predicted function of alanyl-tRNA synthetase) and VC0765 (homolog of *yfgJ*
418 ECOLI:G7318 in *E. coli*, no known function) located on the right arm of Chr1, 690 kb
419 downstream of *ori1*. This region does not contain any known RctB binding sequence (i.e.
420 iteron and 29/39 m). This RctB binding region was found to have an enhancing effect on *ori2*
421 initiation and, when placed in *trans* on a plasmid, increased Chr2 copy number (82). In 2016,

422 Val *et al.* demonstrated that this site acts as a timer that triggers the initiation of Chr2 upon
423 replication on Chr1 (15). They showed that when the Chr1 RctB binding site was moved near
424 *ori1*, Chr2 replicated earlier and when it was moved near *ter1* (terminus of Chr1), Chr2
425 replicated later in the cell cycle (Fig. 7). The site was therefore named *crtS* for Chr2
426 replication triggering Site (15). Based on marker frequency analysis (MFA), there is a
427 constant delay between *crtS* replication and *ori2* triggering, which corresponds to the
428 replication of ~200 kb (Fig. 7), probably due to the time required to recruit and assemble the
429 replisome.

430 The sequence of *crtS* is conserved with a shared synteny in all *Vibrionaceae* genomes and
431 the distance between *crtS* and *ter1* is correlated with the size of Chr2 (42). The evolutionary
432 selection of *crtS* location on the main chromosome ensures the simultaneous termination of
433 replication of both chromosomes in all *Vibrionaceae* (42). Why is synchronizing the end of
434 replication of Chr1 and Chr2 an evolutionary choice? Could it serve as a backup mechanism
435 that allows correct segregation of the two chromosomes in coordination with cell division? In
436 mutants where *crtS* is displaced on Chr1 and replication termination of the two chromosomes
437 is no longer synchronous, no growth defects was observed under laboratory conditions (15).
438 However, it would be necessary to test the growth of these mutants in conditions closer to
439 their natural environment.

440 The *crtS* locus controls not only the timing of initiation, but also the number of Chr2 copies.
441 By adding more copies of *crtS* in the *V. cholerae* genome, the number of Chr2 copies
442 gradually increases (85). *crtS* is also active in *E. coli* and can regulate the copy number of an
443 *ori2*-driven plasmid (82, 85). This finding offers the capacity to manipulate the dosage of
444 genes of interest encoded on an *ori2*-driven plasmid by modifying the *E. coli* host with
445 various numbers of *crtS* sites (85).

446 Successive deletion experiments demonstrated that the minimum size of *crtS* is 62 bp (85).
447 In *crtS*-deleted mutants, time-lapse fluorescence microscopy reveals sporadic and infrequent

448 instances of Chr2 initiation (15). Although not absolutely essential under laboratory
449 conditions, the deletion of *crtS* results in a filamentous phenotype, severely impaired growth,
450 and DNA damage (15). Δ *crtS* mutants are therefore unstable and prone to mutations, such
451 as genome rearrangements like chromosome fusion, as well as the acquisition of
452 compensatory mutations in the *rctB* gene or in *ori2* (15, 51).

453 **Mechanism of action of *crtS* on *ori2*.** The precise mechanism underlying *crtS* role in the
454 initiation of Chr2 replication remains unclear, raising two key questions: (1) How does *crtS*
455 become activated to initiate replication at *ori2* after passage of the replication fork? (2) What
456 molecular events occur at *ori2* when *crtS* has replicated?

457 (1) *crtS* activation upon replication. The passage of a replication fork through a DNA locus
458 can have many consequences. The most obvious is the doubling of its copy number. The
459 replicated locus also transitions from a fully methylated to a hemi-methylated state, which is
460 important for many replication-related mechanisms, such as blocking re-initiation at *oriC* or
461 DNA mismatch repair (86). Replication also induces changes in DNA topology, such as the
462 formation of positive supercoiling upstream of the replication fork (87). Replication forks can
463 also transiently remove DNA-binding proteins (88). Finally, replication promotes the
464 structuring of single-stranded hairpins between Okazaki fragments (89). Some of the
465 consequences of replication fork transitions have been tested for *crtS*.

466 The *crtS* locus is flanked by several GATC methylation sites. Since RctB binding is sensitive
467 to the methylation state of the iterons, the methylation state of *crtS* could also be important
468 for RctB binding at this locus. However, mutation of the GATC sites in the vicinity of *crtS* had
469 no effect on its activity (85).

470 Further studies have shown that *crtS* duplication alone could be sufficient to send a
471 replication initiation signal to *ori2* (15, 85, 90). Ramachandran *et al.* set up a system allowing
472 them to block the replication of Chr1 (by flanking *ori1* by the *Ter/tus* replication fork trap) and
473 showed that two unreplicated copies of *crtS* are able to increase Chr2 copy number in a

474 subpopulation of cells which suggests that *crtS* gene dosage may be a sufficient signal to
475 trigger Chr2 initiation (90).

476 Ciaccia *et al.* have discovered that the global regulator Lrp (Leucine Responsive regulatory
477 Protein) binds to *crtS* (91). *crtS* contains in its 5' part the AT-rich sequence
478 TAGATTTATTCTT very close (12/15 nucleotides) to the Lrp binding site consensus
479 (YAGHAWATTWTDCTR, (92)). In the Δlrp strain, the *crtS* site does not function, and Chr2 is
480 under-replicated. *In vitro*, Lrp facilitates the binding of RctB to *crtS*. Lrp is known to form a
481 nucleosome-like structure which wraps DNA. This local DNA conformational change could
482 allow RctB to recognize the *crtS* site (93). In this aspect, *crtS* is analogous to DARS-2 in *E.*
483 *coli*, which requires the binding of two Nucleoid Associated Proteins (NAPs), Fis and IHF, to
484 regenerate DnaA-ATP (94). Moreover, Fis binding to DARS2 was recently shown to fluctuate
485 during the cell cycle, which is important for coordinating replication initiation (95). Perhaps
486 just as Fis is key to DARS-2 function, Lrp may be key to sensing replication fork crossing
487 through *crtS*. Lrp has already been shown to sense fork crossing in some cases (96). Further
488 research on *crtS* regulation by Lrp is needed.

489 **(2) ori2 activation post-crtS replication.** Val *et al.* gained significant insights into the
490 mechanism of *ori2* activation by *crtS* by studying a mutant called *crtS*_{WT/VC23}, which contains
491 two chromosomal copies of *crtS* located at distant positions (15). In this mutant, an additional
492 copy of *crtS* is located near *ori1*, in addition to the native locus. Using fluorescence
493 microscopy to observe cells tagged at *ori2*, they discovered that daughter cells were born
494 with two *ori2*, then progressed through a three *ori2* stage, and finally reached a four *ori2*
495 stage before undergoing cell division. This sequential activation of *ori2* replication suggests
496 that the duplication of a single *crtS* site activates a single *ori2*, implying that *crtS* exerts a
497 localized effect (15). *In vivo*, a 3C (chromosome conformation capture) analysis revealed
498 frequent interactions between the regions surrounding *ori2* and *crtS*, suggesting that the
499 initiation of Chr2 replication may require a direct interaction between *crtS* and *ori2* (15). Baek
500 *et al.* observed that the presence of *crtS* increases the binding of RctB to iterons and

501 decreases its binding to 39m (82). They proposed a model in which *crtS* could act as a DNA
502 chaperone by remodeling RctB to alter its binding efficiency to iterons and to the 29/39m
503 sites at *ori2*. More recently, it was shown that the effect of *crtS* on *ori2* depends on the
504 presence of the 29/39m. When the 29/39m sites are mutated, replication at *ori2* proceeds
505 independently of *crtS*. Furthermore, when RctB is mutated in its domain IV (L651P mutation),
506 it no longer interacts with 29/39m and initiation at *ori2* occurs independently of *crtS* (51).
507 Another recent study showed that monomeric RctB mutants (D292R, D314P, A320R and
508 S313W/D314W) present at the dimerization interface of domain II are insensitive to the
509 presence of *crtS* (97). Perhaps the 29/39m handcuffing requires a dimeric form of RctB
510 interacting through domain II, as is the case for RepE in plasmid F (76). Structural data on
511 the 29/39m mediated handcuffing would be key to understanding the full mechanism of *crtS*.
512 All these compiled results allow us to speculate on a model of *ori2* activation by *crtS* (Fig. 8).

513 **Post-initiation replication stages in *V. cholerae***

514 **Replisome loading.** In bacteria, after DNA melting at the origin of replication, the replication
515 helicase DnaB is recruited to ssDNA. DnaB forms a ring-shaped hexameric complex. This
516 complex translocates across ssDNA in the 5'→3' direction, separating the two DNA strands
517 before the replisome as it progresses (reviewed in (98, 99)). In *E. coli*, loading the DnaB
518 hexamer onto ssDNA requires a loading protein, DnaC, which allows the DnaB hexamer to
519 open (100). In *B. subtilis*, this process is provided by a protein called DnaI (101).
520 Interestingly, the DnaC/DnaI homologs are not present in most bacteria, including *V.*
521 *cholerae*, where their function is performed by the DciA protein. It turns out that DnaC/I
522 proteins are derived from domesticated prophages and have displaced DciA in some
523 bacterial lineages, including the most important models of Gram-negative and Gram-positive
524 bacteria, which are *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* (102). In the case of *V. cholerae ori1*, it has been
525 shown that the non-DNA-bound DnaB hexamer adopts a partially open conformation, unlike
526 that of *E. coli*, and is able to load onto ssDNA without the engagement of a loading protein.
527 Thus, the DciA protein is not necessary for DnaB loading in *V. cholerae*. However, DciA has

528 been shown to facilitate the loading of DnaB onto ssDNA and affect helicase activity (103,
529 104).

530 Recruitment of the helicase to *ori2* has yet to be investigated. Several scenarios of this
531 process have been observed in iteron plasmids (reviewed in (98)). In the case of pSC101,
532 RepA, DnaA and DnaC are co-participating in the loading of DnaB (105). In contrast, in the
533 case of plasmid RK2 in *Pseudomonas putida*, the initiator TrfA-44 can recruit DnaB by itself
534 ((106, 107). Further studies are needed to determine how helicase recruitment occurs after
535 melting of *ori2* by RctB.

536 **Replication elongation.** Immediately after DnaB is loaded onto ssDNA, the rest of the
537 replicon is assembled, comprising a DnaG primase, a clamp loader and a DNA polymerase
538 III complex (99, 108). From their MFA profile, it appears that the replication of both
539 chromosomes is bidirectional from *ori* to *ter* (Fig. 7) (15). Thus, it is expected that both *ori1*
540 and *ori2* are loaded with two replisomes, working in opposite directions. MFA of *V. cholerae*
541 in exponential phase indicates that the replication rate is constant for the whole genome and
542 identical for Chr1 and Chr2 (15). This is expected because there are no additional genes
543 involved in replication on Chr2, neither polymerase nor helicase, so Chr2 replication depends
544 entirely on the machinery encoded by Chr1. Chromosome conformation capture data show
545 physical contacts between the bottom third Chr1 and the entire Chr2 during exponential
546 growth (15). These contacts are lost in the non-replicative stationary phase indicating that the
547 replication machineries of both chromosomes are in close proximity until termination.
548 Espinosa *et al.* developed a new method to capture cohesion behind replication fork named
549 HiSC2 for High-Resolution Whole-Genome Analysis of Sister Chromatid Contacts (109).
550 After the passage of the replication fork, the two sister chromatids remain in preferential
551 contact in certain areas. There are five major regions of cohesion along the genome: *ori1*,
552 *ori2*, *dif1*, *dif2* and around the pathogenicity island VPI-1. Cohesion is not related to
553 differences in replication fork speed, nor to initiation and termination processes. Presumably,
554 cohesion is caused by sequence specific unknown factors except for VPI-1. In that case,

555 cohesion is due to H-NS protein which extends cohesion within the VPI-1 pathogenicity
556 island and to a lesser extent on the O-antigen locus. Then, at the end of the cell cycle most
557 of the cohesion between sister chromatids are released prior to cell division, except near *dif1*
558 (109).

559 **Replication termination.** When the two replication forks reach the end of each replicore,
560 they eventually meet in the Ter regions of Chr1 and Chr2. In both *E. coli* and *B. subtilis*, there
561 is an active termination trap system, Tus/*ter* and RTP/*ter*, respectively (110). These systems
562 block fork progression to ensure termination in the Ter region. However, these systems
563 appear to have been acquired recently by horizontal gene transfer from plasmids (111). *V.*
564 *cholerae*, although closely related to *E. coli*, has no Tus or RTP homolog. It has been shown
565 that in *V. cholerae*, if the *ori* is relocated, or if an ectopic *ori* is inserted into the chromosome,
566 the point of fork convergence is shifted. This convergence point is therefore not dependent
567 on an active trapping system. Replication spontaneously terminates when two replication
568 forks meet and merge (111).

569 **CHROMOSOME SEGREGATION AND PARTITION**

570 After replication, the two copies of the bacterial genome segregate towards opposite poles,
571 allowing each daughter cell to inherit a copy of the genome after cell division. To facilitate
572 this process, various factors organize the chromosomes to ensure their proper segregation.
573 These factors have been extensively studied in *E. coli*, *C. crescentus*, and *B. subtilis*.
574 Currently, there is growing interest in studying these factors in more unconventional bacterial
575 models, such as *V. cholerae* or *A. tumefaciens* (6), where the division of the genome into
576 multiple replicons poses new questions for investigation.

577 **Chr1 and Chr2 longitudinal arrangement**

578 The organization of bacterial chromosomes can be broadly divided into two categories:
579 transversal and longitudinal arrangements (112). In the transversal arrangement, which is

580 that of the *E. coli* chromosome, the origin (*ori*) and terminus (*ter*) of replication are positioned
581 in the middle of the cell at the onset of the cell cycle, while the left and right chromosome
582 arms (replichores) are located on opposite halves of the cell. In the longitudinal arrangement,
583 the *ori* and *ter* are located at opposite poles of the bacterium at the onset of the cell cycle,
584 and both replichores lie along the longitudinal axis. Based on the spatial location of the *ori* in
585 *V. cholerae*, it was thought that the arrangement of Chr1 and Chr2 in the cell might be
586 different, since *ori1* was polar and *ori2* was located near mid-cell (113). However, a more in-
587 depth analysis of the location of 12 loci on Chr1 and 7 loci on Chr2 revealed that both Chr1
588 and Chr2 are arranged in a longitudinal pattern (114). Chr1 occupies the entire cell length,
589 with its origin (*ori1*) located at the old pole and its termination (*ter1*) at the new pole. Chr2
590 occupies only the younger half of the cell, with its origin (*ori2*) at mid-cell and its termination
591 (*ter2*) located between the new pole and mid-cell (Fig. 9) (114).

592 **Cell division control**

593 The process of cell division in bacteria involves the formation and assembly of the divisome,
594 a complex of proteins that are recruited to the division site during the cell cycle. The
595 assembly of the divisome usually occurs in two stages. In *E. coli*, the initial stage, which
596 occurs at about 25-40% of the cell cycle, begins with the FtsZ protein forming a ring-like
597 structure called a Z-ring in the middle of the cell (115). The Z-ring is anchored to the cell
598 membrane by a set of proteins (FtsA, ZipA) that are recruited at the same time. In a second
599 stage, at about 50% of the cell cycle, proteins involved in cell wall remodeling and
600 chromosome segregation (FtsK, FtsQ, FtsL, FtsB, FtsW, FtsI, FtsN) are recruited to the
601 divisome (115). The process of septation, which separates the mother cell into two daughter
602 cells, begins after the arrival of the second set of proteins and continues through the second
603 half of the cell cycle. In *V. cholerae*, the timing and choreography of divisome assembly and
604 cell division are very different from those of *E. coli* (116). In *V. cholerae*, all components of
605 the divisome are located at the new pole at the beginning of the cell cycle and only begin to
606 migrate to the middle of the cell at about 50% of the cell cycle, where they form a loose pre-

607 divisional Z-ring (Fig. 9) (116, 117). The remaining cell division proteins join the early
608 divisome complex in the middle of the cell at about 80% of the cell cycle, and the pre-
609 divisional FtsZ structures fuse into a compact Z-ring (116). The septation process does not
610 begin until about 90% of the cell cycle, leaving a very short time to complete cell division
611 (116). This is puzzling considering the short generation time (18 min.) of *V. cholerae*.

612 In *E. coli*, two systems called Min and nucleoid occlusion (SlmA) work together to allow cell
613 division to occur in the middle of the cell (118, 119). Min directs Z-ring formation to the
614 middle of the cell by inhibiting its formation at the cell poles. SlmA links Z-ring formation to
615 the replication/segregation cycle of the *E. coli* chromosome by preventing its formation over
616 the nucleoid. Min is composed of three proteins: MinC, which blocks Z-ring formation; MinD,
617 which activates MinC; and MinE, which regulates MinCD activity. SlmA binds specifically to
618 DNA and inhibits FtsZ polymerization (120). SlmA binding sites (SBS) are asymmetrically
619 distributed on the *E. coli* chromosome and are mostly absent from *ter*. This allows cell
620 division to occur only at the very end of the replication/segregation cycle, when the only
621 chromosomal regions remaining in the middle of the cell are the *ter* regions, which lack SBS.
622 *V. cholerae* has orthologs of Min and SlmA. Although MinD shuttles between the poles in *V.*
623 *cholerae*, Min does not appear to be as important as SlmA in the regulation of cell division
624 (117). SBS sites are present on both *V. cholerae* chromosomes except for their *ter*, and their
625 distribution has been shown to be the main driver determining the timing and location of
626 divisome assembly (117). Indeed, the distribution of SBS on Chr1 and Chr2 causes the
627 confinement of FtsZ to the new pole of nascent cells and then contributes to the delay in Z-
628 ring assembly at midcell, after the bulk of Chr1 and Chr2 has replicated and segregated
629 (117).

630 **Organization and segregation of the Ter regions**

631 **Ter organization.** The organization, positioning, and segregation of the chromosome
632 terminus in bacteria, such as *E. coli* or *V. cholerae*, depends on the specific DNA binding

633 protein MatP (121). MatP binds to DNA motifs called *matS*, which are over-represented in
634 the *ter* region and also interacts with components of the divisome (122). Through these
635 interactions, MatP maintains the *ter* region in close proximity to the division site, thereby
636 integrating its segregation into the cell cycle. In *V. cholerae*, both the *ter1* and *ter2* regions
637 have *matS* sites where MatP mediates their spatial confinement (123). However *ter1* and
638 *ter2* behave differently prior to segregation. Sister copies of *ter1* remain together at mid-cell
639 until the end of septation, whereas sister copies of *ter2* segregate before septation is initiated
640 (114, 124). Despite this, sister copies of *ter2* remain in close proximity to the division site and
641 continue to collide with each other during septation (123). When Chr2 completes replication
642 well before Chr1 in a specific mutant strain (where *crtS* was displaced near *ori1*), *ter2*
643 relocates much earlier to the middle of the cell, remains there until Chr1 completes its
644 replication, and segregates at about the same time as *ter1* (15). This suggests that
645 colocalization of *ter1* and *ter2* where cell division occurs is important to coordinate proper
646 segregation prior to cell division. When MatP is inactivated, the position of *ter2* sister copies
647 is no longer restricted and the number of collision events is significantly reduced. On the
648 other hand, without MatP, *ter1* is no longer tethered to the new pole in nascent cells and
649 sister chromatids separate earlier in the cell cycle, while remaining near the center of the cell
650 (123).

651 **Ter segregation.** In most bacterial species, circular chromosomes can form dimers by
652 homologous recombination between sister chromatids, which can compromise chromosome
653 segregation. These dimers are therefore resolved into monomers by adding a crossover at a
654 specific site in the *ter* region, called *dif*, using tyrosine recombinases (XerC and XerD). FtsK,
655 a septal protein, plays two roles in this process: it pumps DNA between daughter cell
656 compartments after divisome assembly but before final septation and activates Xer
657 recombination by interacting directly with XerD. Polar DNA motifs called KOPS direct the
658 loading of FtsK onto the DNA and direct the direction of translocation by pointing from the
659 origin of replication to the *dif* site. It was found in *V. cholerae* that KOPS are overrepresented

660 and polarized on both Chr1 and Chr2 and that the Chr1 dimer resolution machinery,
661 XerC/XerD/FtsK, also tightly regulates the resolution of Chr2 dimers, even though their
662 resolution sites, *dif1* and *dif2*, are divergent (125). In *E. coli*, FtsK also contributes to the
663 segregation of sister chromosomes in monomeric form under slow growth conditions but is
664 primarily involved in the resolution of chromosome dimers under fast growth conditions (126).
665 In *V. cholerae*, FtsK is involved in the segregation of monomeric sister copies of Chr1 under
666 slow and fast growth conditions due to the prolonged presence of *ter1* sister copies in the
667 middle of the cell (126).

668 **Origin segregation**

669 **Ori partition systems.** Partitioning complexes contribute to the positioning and segregation
670 of *ori* regions. *V. cholerae* has two distinct ParAB-*parS* systems called ParAB1-*parS1* and
671 ParAB2-*parS2* controlling the localization and partition of *ori1* and *ori2*, respectively (113).
672 ParB1 binds specifically and exclusively to three *parS1* sites proximal to *ori1* (127) and
673 contribute to *ori1* polar positioning (128). A transmembrane protein called HubP acts as a
674 polar organizing factor in *V. cholerae*. HubP interacts directly with ParA1, which in turn
675 directs the ParB1-*parS1* partition complex. As the cell cycle progresses, HubP accumulates
676 at the new pole, followed shortly by ParB1 and then the newly replicated copy of *ori1* (Fig. 9).
677 In the absence of HubP, the pole anchoring of *ori1* is lost, however the partitioning activity of
678 ParAB1 is retained (129). Disruption of ParAB1 leads to *ori1* delocalization from the poles,
679 but this does not seem to affect the segregation of Chr1. It is possible that ParAB1, as in
680 other bacteria, has redundant roles with other players in chromosome segregation, such as
681 cohesins (for example, MukBEF) (128). The polar positioning of *ori1* may also promote the
682 correct sublocalization of certain proteins in the polar region. For example, the ParAB1
683 system could play a role in launching cell division by driving one of the two SlmA-bound *ori1*
684 regions to the new pole, thereby moving FtsZ to the middle of the cell and triggering septum
685 assembly at the future division site (117, 129).

686 Unlike ParAB1, the ParAB2 segregation system of Chr2 is essential (130). In its absence,
687 segregation problems result in cells without Chr2 that will undergo genomic DNA degradation
688 and eventually stop dividing and die (130, 131). In plasmids, partitioning systems allow them
689 to reside in the nucleoid space where they can interact with the chromosome, rather than at
690 the cell periphery (132, 133). The ParAB2-*parS2* system could also be involved in guiding
691 Chr2 to the nucleoid space.

692 **Interplay between Chromosome Partition and Replication Control.** ParAB proteins are
693 also involved in replication initiation on both chromosomes. Deletion of *parB1* leads to over-
694 initiation of Chr1, and this effect depends on the presence of the *parA1* gene. The exact
695 mechanism by which ParA1 stimulates *ori1* initiation remains unclear, but it appears to
696 involve a direct interaction with DnaA (134). A similar interaction between Soj (ParA ortholog)
697 and DnaA has been reported in *Bacillus subtilis*, where it promotes initiation (135). On the
698 other hand, in the case of Chr2, an indirect positive regulation takes place, as RctB does not
699 interact with ParA2/B2. Instead, regulation occurs through competition for access to DNA
700 binding sites. ParB2 binds to a *parS* site located upstream of the *parA2* gene, spreading
701 locally and partially overlapping with a 39m site (see (136) for review). Additionally, ParB2
702 directly binds to the 5' part of another 39m site, which contains a half *parS2* site. Both ParB2
703 and RctB compete for the binding on this site. As 39m sites are negative regulators of
704 replication, ParB2 here participates in *ori2* activation (85, 137, 138).

705

706 **Intra-chromosomal organization**

707 Using 3C, the conformation of both chromosomes was captured and found to be different
708 (15). While Chr1 adopts an open structure with little interaction of the two replichores, the
709 replichores of Chr2 are more intertwined and often interact suggesting that structural factors
710 may act differently on the two chromosomes (Fig. 9) (15). Such intra-chromosomal
711 interactions, similar to Chr2, have been observed in *Bacillus subtilis* and *Caulobacter*

712 *crescentus* and reflect the bridging of the left and right replichores by SMC condensins (139-
713 141). SMC condensins are recruited to *parS* sites near replication origins and then glide
714 along the replichores tethering them together (140, 141). Given that *V. cholerae* has
715 chromosome-specific ParAB-*parS* machineries, a structural factor may be specifically
716 recruited to one of the chromosomes, a hypothesis that would need more investigation. In *V.*
717 *cholerae*, MukBEF-like condensins, similar to that of *E. coli*, are found. While their function is
718 critical in *E. coli* for chromosome positioning and segregation, deletion of *mukBEF* in *V.*
719 *cholerae* confers no loss of viability and does not alter the positioning and segregation of
720 Chr1 markers including *ori1* (114).

721 **Inter-chromosome interactions**

722 The 3C-derived contact maps reveal *trans* contacts between the two chromosomes. Chr2
723 replichores have a high frequency of contacts with the lower third of Chr1. These contacts
724 start at the chromosome termini (*ter1* and *ter2*) and extend along the chromosomes up to
725 *ori2* for Chr2 and halfway for Chr1 between *ori1* and *ter1* (15). These contacts are not
726 observed in stationary phase, implying that they arise from the replication process. The
727 strong interactions at the termini probably result from the proximity of *ter1* and *ter2* at the
728 division site (mediated by MatP) and their common processing by the segregation
729 machineries (125, 126). Additionally, *ori2* on Chr2 exhibits a strong preference for interacting
730 with the right replichore of Chr1. These interactions start at *crtS* and strengthen downstream,
731 with a focus on a specific region on the left side of *ori2*, near the *parS2* partition sites. This
732 suggests a potential functional interaction that facilitates the action of *crtS* on *ori2* (Fig. 9)
733 (15).

734 **REFLEXION ON DOMESTICATION OF CHR2**

735 *V. cholerae* Chr2 is an example of a well-established and conserved secondary chromosome
736 that has been extensively studied. The evolution of plasmids to megaplasmids and
737 secondary chromosomes has been widely discussed in the literature, mainly with regard to

738 changes in genetic content (accumulation of accessory genes in megaplasms and
739 acquisition of core genes by secondary chromosomes), nucleotide composition
740 (convergence of overall %GC and codon usage during the long coevolution of chromosomal
741 and extra-chromosomal replicons) and mobility (plasmids and megaplasms are often
742 conjugative or mobilizable, while secondary chromosomes are usually not) (8, 9, 24). The
743 extensive knowledge of Chr2 presented in this review allows us to look at plasmid
744 domestication from a different perspective - the evolution of maintenance systems to better
745 suit the cell cycle, that ensure stable maintenance of large replicons with low copy numbers
746 in the cell. Compared to other megaplasms or secondary chromosomes, *V. cholerae* Chr2
747 is the best-studied replicon in this regard.

748 On the one hand, the Chr2 replication system shares similarities with iteron plasmids, but at
749 the same time its maintenance has undergone a number of adaptations. Some of them, such
750 as methylation sites in its iterons or *crtS*-based activation of RctB (81, 85, 142), allow Chr2 to
751 respond well to the cell cycle and fire its replication at the right time. Others enable the
752 proper Chr2 segregation and its specific spatial organization in the cell. These are the
753 *parAB2*-dependent *ori2* partition and the segregation of Chr2 in the closing divisional septum,
754 by hijacking the host systems originally dedicated for the main chromosome (which is
755 achieved by the presence and specific organization of such motifs like KOPS or *dif* in the
756 Chr2 sequence (143)).

757 The presence of all these features, which could not have been acquired simultaneously by
758 the Chr2 ancestor, raises the question of the chronology of evolutionary events during the
759 domestication of Chr2. The sequence of these events can be proposed on the basis of
760 observations of different evolutionary forms on the plasmid-megaplasmid-secondary
761 chromosome continuum in other bacteria, with a particular focus on plasmids carrying
762 replication systems related to *V. cholerae* Chr2.

763 Only two examples of replicons carrying RctB-like replication initiators have been described
764 in the literature : megaplasmid pA1066 from *V. nigrapulchritudo* (144) and Chr2 from
765 *Plesiomonas shigelloides* (145). In terms of their size, which is 250 kb and 300 kb,
766 respectively, they could be expected to be much more plasmid-like than *V. cholerae* Chr2.
767 These replicons exhibit features that may suggest adaptations at various stages in the
768 evolutionary trajectory of Chr2. For instance, their iterons contain methylation sites, which
769 could indicate an early and common adaptation among RctB-type replicons. Additionally,
770 both replicons carry *parAB* partition genes located close to their origin of replication (144,
771 145), as typically observed in replicons larger than 25 kb (133). It is worth noting that pA1066
772 carries a putative conjugation system and is non-essential (144) while most plasmids larger
773 than 250 kb are non-mobilizable (146), suggesting the loss of mobility and acquisition of
774 housekeeping genes as potential late evolutionary events. Interestingly, despite its relatively
775 small size, *P. shigelloides* already demonstrates the appropriate organization of the KOPS
776 motif and putative *dif* site, suggesting the early utilization of FtsK and XerCD mechanisms in
777 the division partition (145). This finding indicates that such adaptations can occur relatively
778 early in the plasmid domestication process. In *P. shigelloides* Chr1, no *crtS*-like sequence
779 was identified, and no synchronization of termination between Chr1 and Chr2 was observed
780 in the *P. shigelloides* strain (145). These observations suggest that the *crtS* mechanism may
781 have appeared later in the evolution of *V. cholerae* Chr2. Furthermore, the RctB proteins of
782 both pA1066 and *P. shigelloides* Chr2 find a nearly complete structural match to RctB from
783 *V. cholerae* Chr2, spanning all four domains. This suggests that the appearance of domains I
784 and IV likely occurred early in the evolution of RctB. To gain a deeper understanding of the
785 evolutionary process of plasmids transitioning into secondary chromosomes, it is imperative
786 to conduct further analysis on the replication of these replicons, as well as other replicons
787 carrying replication systems related to *V. cholerae* Chr2.

788 **PERSPECTIVES**

789 *Vibrio* is the best-studied model of bacteria with a bipartite genome in terms of DNA
790 replication mechanisms. Despite significant progress in understanding the regulation of Chr2
791 replication, there are still many details that remain unknown. Current research aims to
792 unravel the full picture of *ori2* regulation. These studies include such questions as how the
793 passage of replication forks through the *crtS* site is sensed and the role of Lrp in this
794 process, how *crtS* alters RctB activity, and how activated RctB affects inactive *ori2*. Still
795 unresolved is the mechanism of intramolecular handcuffing in *ori2*, dependent on 39m and
796 29m, and the complete structure of the RctB protein, in particular the domain IV, which is
797 involved in this process.

798 The limited number of described plasmids and megaplasmids with replication initiators
799 related to RctB has hindered our full understanding of the evolutionary processes involved in
800 establishing a multipartite genome. However, recent exploration of databases has now
801 facilitated the identification of RctB relatives not only in *Vibrio* but also in strains from
802 Enterobacterales (145). Conducting comparative genomics and functional studies on
803 plasmids containing these initiator genes should provide valuable insights into these
804 evolutionary questions.

805 Finally, similar analyses should be extended to other important bacterial models with
806 multipartite genomes, such as *Agrobacterium*, *Brucella*, *Burkholderia*, *Leptospira*,
807 *Sinorhizobium* or *Rhodobacter*. It should be remembered that the secondary replicons in
808 each of these groups of bacteria arose independently, from plasmids carrying different
809 replication systems and in different genetic contexts. Therefore, the mechanisms that govern
810 their replication and maintenance may be quite different to those described for *Vibrio*.

811

812 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

813 Our lab is funded by the Institut Pasteur, the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche
814 Médicale (INSERM) and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS). This
815 work was supported by the French National Research Agency, Jeunes Chercheurs [ANR-19-
816 CE12-0001] and Laboratoires d'Excellence [ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID]. This work was
817 supported by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale, Equipe FRM EQU202103012569,
818 and FDM202106013531 to LAMBERIOUX Morgan. The authors have no conflict of interest
819 to declare.

820

821

REFERENCES

- 822 1. Frage B, Dohlemann J, Robledo M, Lucena D, Sobetzko P, Graumann PL, Becker A. 2016.
823 Spatiotemporal choreography of chromosome and megaplasmids in the *Sinorhizobium*
824 *meliloti* cell cycle. *Mol Microbiol* 100:808-23.
- 825 2. Deghelt M, Mullier C, Sternon JF, Francis N, Laloux G, Dotreppe D, Van der Henst C, Jacobs-
826 Wagner C, Letesson JJ, De Bolle X. 2014. G1-arrested newborn cells are the predominant
827 infectious form of the pathogen *Brucella abortus*. *Nat Commun* 5:4366.
- 828 3. Dubarry N, Willis CR, Ball G, Lesterlin C, Armitage JP. 2019. In Vivo Imaging of the Segregation
829 of the 2 Chromosomes and the Cell Division Proteins of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* Reveals an
830 Unexpected Role for MipZ. *MBio* 10.
- 831 4. Du WL, Dubarry N, Passot FM, Kamgoue A, Murray H, Lane D, Pasta F. 2016. Orderly
832 Replication and Segregation of the Four Replicons of *Burkholderia cenocepacia* J2315. *PLoS*
833 *Genet* 12:e1006172.
- 834 5. Xie BB, Rong JC, Tang BL, Wang S, Liu G, Qin QL, Zhang XY, Zhang W, She Q, Chen Y, Li F, Li S,
835 Chen XL, Luo H, Zhang YZ. 2021. Evolutionary Trajectory of the Replication Mode of Bacterial
836 Replicons. *mBio* 12.
- 837 6. Ren Z, Liao Q, Karaboja X, Barton IS, Schantz EG, Mejia-Santana A, Fuqua C, Wang X. 2022.
838 Conformation and dynamic interactions of the multipartite genome in *Agrobacterium*
839 *tumefaciens*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 119.
- 840 7. Rasmussen T, Jensen RB, Skovgaard O. 2007. The two chromosomes of *Vibrio cholerae* are
841 initiated at different time points in the cell cycle. *EMBO J* 26:3124-31.
- 842 8. Harrison PW, Lower RP, Kim NK, Young JP. 2010. Introducing the bacterial 'chromid': not a
843 chromosome, not a plasmid. *Trends Microbiol* 18:141-8.
- 844 9. diCenzo GC, Finan TM. 2017. The Divided Bacterial Genome: Structure, Function, and
845 Evolution. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 81.
- 846 10. Reen FJ, Almagro-Moreno S, Ussery D, Boyd EF. 2006. The genomic code: inferring
847 *Vibrionaceae* niche specialization. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 4:697-704.
- 848 11. diCenzo GC, Mengoni A, Perrin E. 2019. Chromids Aid Genome Expansion and Functional
849 Diversification in the Family *Burkholderiaceae*. *Mol Biol Evol* 36:562-574.
- 850 12. Xie G, Johnson SL, Davenport KW, Rajavel M, Waldminghaus T, Detter JC, Chain PS,
851 Sozhamannan S. 2017. Exception to the Rule: Genomic Characterization of Naturally
852 Occurring Unusual *Vibrio cholerae* Strains with a Single Chromosome. *Int J Genomics*
853 2017:8724304.
- 854 13. Bruhn M, Schindler D, Kemter FS, Wiley MR, Chase K, Koroleva GI, Palacios G, Sozhamannan
855 S, Waldminghaus T. 2018. Functionality of Two Origins of Replication in *Vibrio cholerae*
856 Strains With a Single Chromosome. *Front Microbiol* 9:2932.
- 857 14. Yamamoto S, Lee KI, Morita M, Arakawa E, Izumiya H, Ohnishi M. 2018. Single Circular
858 Chromosome Identified from the Genome Sequence of the *Vibrio cholerae* O1 bv. El Tor
859 Ogawa Strain V060002. *Genome Announc* 6.
- 860 15. Val ME, Marbouty M, de Lemos Martins F, Kennedy SP, Kemble H, Bland MJ, Possoz C, Koszul
861 R, Skovgaard O, Mazel D. 2016. A checkpoint control orchestrates the replication of the two
862 chromosomes of *Vibrio cholerae*. *Sci Adv* 2:e1501914.
- 863 16. Guo X, Flores M, Mavingui P, Fuentes SI, Hernandez G, Davila G, Palacios R. 2003. Natural
864 genomic design in *Sinorhizobium meliloti*: novel genomic architectures. *Genome Res*
865 13:1810-7.
- 866 17. Liao Q, Ren Z, Wiesler EE, Fuqua C, Wang X. 2022. A dicentric bacterial chromosome requires
867 XerC/D site-specific recombinases for resolution. *Curr Biol* 32:3609-3618 e7.
- 868 18. Mori JF, Kanaly RA. 2022. Natural Chromosome-Chromid Fusion across rRNA Operons in a
869 *Burkholderiaceae* Bacterium. *Microbiol Spectr* doi:10.1128/spectrum.02225-21:e0222521.

- 870 19. Sonnenberg CB, Kahlke T, Haugen P. 2020. Vibrionaceae core, shell and cloud genes are non-
871 randomly distributed on Chr 1: An hypothesis that links the genomic location of genes with
872 their intracellular placement. *BMC Genomics* 21:695.
- 873 20. Couturier E, Rocha EP. 2006. Replication-associated gene dosage effects shape the genomes
874 of fast-growing bacteria but only for transcription and translation genes. *Mol Microbiol*
875 59:1506-18.
- 876 21. Soler-Bistue A, Mondotte JA, Bland MJ, Val ME, Saleh MC, Mazel D. 2015. Genomic location
877 of the major ribosomal protein gene locus determines *Vibrio cholerae* global growth and
878 infectivity. *PLoS Genet* 11:e1005156.
- 879 22. Soler-Bistue A, Timmermans M, Mazel D. 2017. The Proximity of Ribosomal Protein Genes to
880 oriC Enhances *Vibrio cholerae* Fitness in the Absence of Multifork Replication. *MBio* 8.
- 881 23. Soler-Bistue A, Aguilar-Pierle S, Garcia-Garcera M, Val ME, Sismeiro O, Varet H, Sieira R, Krin
882 E, Skovgaard O, Comerci DJ, Rocha EPC, Mazel D. 2020. Macromolecular crowding links
883 ribosomal protein gene dosage to growth rate in *Vibrio cholerae*. *BMC Biol* 18:43.
- 884 24. Hall JPJ, Botelho J, Cazares A, Baltrus DA. 2022. What makes a megaplasmid? *Philos Trans R*
885 *Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 377:20200472.
- 886 25. Jaskolska M, Adams DW, Blokesch M. 2022. Two defence systems eliminate plasmids from
887 seventh pandemic *Vibrio cholerae*. *Nature* doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04546-y.
- 888 26. Heidelberg JF, Eisen JA, Nelson WC, Clayton RA, Gwinn ML, Dodson RJ, Haft DH, Hickey EK,
889 Peterson JD, Umayam L, Gill SR, Nelson KE, Read TD, Tettelin H, Richardson D, Ermolaeva
890 MD, Vamathevan J, Bass S, Qin H, Dragoi I, Sellers P, McDonald L, Utterback T, Fleishmann
891 RD, Nierman WC, White O, Salzberg SL, Smith HO, Colwell RR, Mekalanos JJ, Venter JC, Fraser
892 CM. 2000. DNA sequence of both chromosomes of the cholera pathogen *Vibrio cholerae*.
893 *Nature* 406:477-83.
- 894 27. Duigou S, Knudsen KG, Skovgaard O, Egan ES, Lobner-Olesen A, Waldor MK. 2006.
895 Independent control of replication initiation of the two *Vibrio cholerae* chromosomes by
896 DnaA and RctB. *J Bacteriol* 188:6419-24.
- 897 28. Egan ES, Waldor MK. 2003. Distinct replication requirements for the two *Vibrio cholerae*
898 chromosomes. *Cell* 114:521-30.
- 899 29. Demarre G, Chattoraj DK. 2010. DNA adenine methylation is required to replicate both *Vibrio*
900 *cholerae* chromosomes once per cell cycle. *PLoS Genet* 6:e1000939.
- 901 30. Koch B, Ma X, Lobner-Olesen A. 2010. Replication of *Vibrio cholerae* chromosome I in
902 *Escherichia coli*: dependence on dam methylation. *J Bacteriol* 192:3903-14.
- 903 31. Katayama T, Kasho K, Kawakami H. 2017. The DnaA Cycle in *Escherichia coli*: Activation,
904 Function and Inactivation of the Initiator Protein. *Front Microbiol* 8:2496.
- 905 32. Berger M, Wolde PRT. 2022. Robust replication initiation from coupled homeostatic
906 mechanisms. *Nat Commun* 13:6556.
- 907 33. Marinus MG, Lobner-Olesen A. 2014. DNA Methylation. *EcoSal Plus* 6.
- 908 34. von Freiesleben U, Krekling MA, Hansen FG, Lobner-Olesen A. 2000. The eclipse period of
909 *Escherichia coli*. *EMBO J* 19:6240-8.
- 910 35. Katayama T, Ozaki S, Keyamura K, Fujimitsu K. 2010. Regulation of the replication cycle:
911 conserved and diverse regulatory systems for DnaA and oriC. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 8:163-70.
- 912 36. Kasho K, Katayama T. 2013. DnaA binding locus *datA* promotes DnaA-ATP hydrolysis to
913 enable cell cycle-coordinated replication initiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110:936-41.
- 914 37. Frimodt-Moller J, Charbon G, Krogfelt KA, Lobner-Olesen A. 2016. DNA Replication Control Is
915 Linked to Genomic Positioning of Control Regions in *Escherichia coli*. *PLoS Genet*
916 12:e1006286.
- 917 38. Fujimitsu K, Senriuchi T, Katayama T. 2009. Specific genomic sequences of *E. coli* promote
918 replicational initiation by directly reactivating ADP-DnaA. *Genes Dev* 23:1221-33.
- 919 39. Keyamura K, Fujikawa N, Ishida T, Ozaki S, Su'etsugu M, Fujimitsu K, Kagawa W, Yokoyama S,
920 Kurumizaka H, Katayama T. 2007. The interaction of DiaA and DnaA regulates the replication

- 921 cycle in *E. coli* by directly promoting ATP DnaA-specific initiation complexes. *Genes Dev*
 922 21:2083-99.
- 923 40. Ishida T, Akimitsu N, Kashioka T, Hatano M, Kubota T, Ogata Y, Sekimizu K, Katayama T. 2004.
 924 DiaA, a novel DnaA-binding protein, ensures the timely initiation of *Escherichia coli*
 925 chromosome replication. *J Biol Chem* 279:45546-55.
- 926 41. Gross MH, Konieczny I. 2020. Polyphosphate induces the proteolysis of ADP-bound fraction
 927 of initiator to inhibit DNA replication initiation upon stress in *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic Acids*
 928 *Res* doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa217.
- 929 42. Kemter FS, Messerschmidt SJ, Schallopp N, Sobetzko P, Lang E, Bunk B, Sproer C, Teschler JK,
 930 Yildiz FH, Overmann J, Waldminghaus T. 2018. Synchronous termination of replication of the
 931 two chromosomes is an evolutionary selected feature in *Vibrionaceae*. *PLoS Genet*
 932 14:e1007251.
- 933 43. Ferullo DJ, Cooper DL, Moore HR, Lovett ST. 2009. Cell cycle synchronization of *Escherichia*
 934 *coli* using the stringent response, with fluorescence labeling assays for DNA content and
 935 replication. *Methods* 48:8-13.
- 936 44. Kemter FS, Schallopp N, Sperlea T, Serrania J, Sobetzko P, Fritz G, Waldminghaus T. 2019.
 937 Stringent response leads to continued cell division and a temporal restart of DNA replication
 938 after initial shutdown in *Vibrio cholerae*. *Mol Microbiol* 111:1617-1637.
- 939 45. Kim JW, Bugata V, Cortes-Cortes G, Quevedo-Martinez G, Camps M. 2020. Mechanisms of
 940 Theta Plasmid Replication in Enterobacteria and Implications for Adaptation to Its Host.
 941 *EcoSal Plus* 9.
- 942 46. Konieczny I, Bury K, Wawrzycka A, Wegrzyn K. 2014. Iteron Plasmids. *Microbiol Spectr* 2.
 943 47. Filutowicz M, Davis G, Greener A, Helinski DR. 1985. Autorepressor properties of the pi-
 944 initiation protein encoded by plasmid R6K. *Nucleic Acids Res* 13:103-14.
- 945 48. Vocke C, Bastia D. 1985. The replication initiator protein of plasmid pSC101 is a
 946 transcriptional repressor of its own cistron. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 82:2252-6.
- 947 49. Venkova-Canova T, Chatteraj DK. 2011. Transition from a plasmid to a chromosomal mode of
 948 replication entails additional regulators. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108:6199-204.
- 949 50. Venkova-Canova T, Saha A, Chatteraj DK. 2012. A 29-mer site regulates transcription of the
 950 initiator gene as well as function of the replication origin of *Vibrio cholerae* chromosome II.
 951 *Plasmid* 67:102-10.
- 952 51. Fournes F, Niaux T, Czarnecki J, Tissier-Visconti A, Mazel D, Val ME. 2021. The coordinated
 953 replication of *Vibrio cholerae*'s two chromosomes required the acquisition of a unique
 954 domain by the RctB initiator. *Nucleic Acids Res* 49:11119-11133.
- 955 52. Orlova N, Gerding M, Ivashkiv O, Olinares PDB, Chait BT, Waldor MK, Jeruzalmi D. 2017. The
 956 replication initiator of the cholera pathogen's second chromosome shows structural
 957 similarity to plasmid initiators. *Nucleic Acids Res* 45:3724-3737.
- 958 53. Jha JK, Demarre G, Venkova-Canova T, Chatteraj DK. 2012. Replication regulation of *Vibrio*
 959 *cholerae* chromosome II involves initiator binding to the origin both as monomer and as
 960 dimer. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40:6026-38.
- 961 54. Wickner S, Skowrya D, Hoskins J, McKenney K. 1992. DnaJ, DnaK, and GrpE heat shock
 962 proteins are required in oriP1 DNA replication solely at the RepA monomerization step. *Proc*
 963 *Natl Acad Sci U S A* 89:10345-9.
- 964 55. Jha JK, Li M, Ghirlando R, Miller Jenkins LM, Wlodawer A, Chatteraj D. 2017. The DnaK
 965 Chaperone Uses Different Mechanisms To Promote and Inhibit Replication of *Vibrio cholerae*
 966 Chromosome 2. *MBio* 8.
- 967 56. Diaz-Lopez T, Lages-Gonzalo M, Serrano-Lopez A, Alfonso C, Rivas G, Diaz-Orejas R, Giraldo R.
 968 2003. Structural changes in RepA, a plasmid replication initiator, upon binding to origin DNA.
 969 *J Biol Chem* 278:18606-16.
- 970 57. Wegrzyn K, Zabrocka E, Bury K, Tomiczek B, Wieczor M, Czub J, Uciechowska U, Moreno-Del
 971 Alamo M, Walkow U, Grochowina I, Dutkiewicz R, Bujnicki JM, Giraldo R, Konieczny I. 2021.

- 972 Defining a novel domain that provides an essential contribution to site-specific interaction of
973 Rep protein with DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 49:3394-3408.
- 974 58. Jha JK, Ghirlando R, Chattoraj DK. 2014. Initiator protein dimerization plays a key role in
975 replication control of *Vibrio cholerae* chromosome 2. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42:10538-49.
- 976 59. Gerding MA, Chao MC, Davis BM, Waldor MK. 2015. Molecular Dissection of the Essential
977 Features of the Origin of Replication of the Second *Vibrio cholerae* Chromosome. *MBio*
978 6:e00973.
- 979 60. Chatterjee S, Jha JK, Ciaccia P, Venkova T, Chattoraj DK. 2020. Interactions of replication
980 initiator RctB with single- and double-stranded DNA in origin opening of *Vibrio cholerae*
981 chromosome 2. *Nucleic Acids Res* doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa826.
- 982 61. Ozaki S, Katayama T. 2012. Highly organized DnaA-oriC complexes recruit the single-stranded
983 DNA for replication initiation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40:1648-65.
- 984 62. Sakiyama Y, Kasho K, Noguchi Y, Kawakami H, Katayama T. 2017. Regulatory dynamics in the
985 ternary DnaA complex for initiation of chromosomal replication in *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic*
986 *Acids Res* 45:12354-12373.
- 987 63. Duderstadt KE, Chuang K, Berger JM. 2011. DNA stretching by bacterial initiators promotes
988 replication origin opening. *Nature* 478:209-13.
- 989 64. Ozaki S, Kawakami H, Nakamura K, Fujikawa N, Kagawa W, Park SY, Yokoyama S, Kurumizaka
990 H, Katayama T. 2008. A common mechanism for the ATP-DnaA-dependent formation of open
991 complexes at the replication origin. *J Biol Chem* 283:8351-62.
- 992 65. Ramachandran R, Jha J, Paulsson J, Chattoraj D. 2017. Random versus Cell Cycle-Regulated
993 Replication Initiation in Bacteria: Insights from Studying *Vibrio cholerae* Chromosome 2.
994 *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 81.
- 995 66. Das N, Valjavec-Gratian M, Basuray AN, Fekete RA, Papp PP, Paulsson J, Chattoraj DK. 2005.
996 Multiple homeostatic mechanisms in the control of P1 plasmid replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
997 *U S A* 102:2856-61.
- 998 67. Chattoraj DK. 2000. Control of plasmid DNA replication by iterons: no longer paradoxical. *Mol*
999 *Microbiol* 37:467-76.
- 1000 68. Komori H, Matsunaga F, Higuchi Y, Ishiai M, Wada C, Miki K. 1999. Crystal structure of a
1001 prokaryotic replication initiator protein bound to DNA at 2.6 Å resolution. *EMBO J* 18:4597-
1002 607.
- 1003 69. Ingmer H, Fong EL, Cohen SN. 1995. Monomer-dimer equilibrium of the pSC101 RepA
1004 protein. *J Mol Biol* 250:309-14.
- 1005 70. Giraldo R, Fernandez-Tornero C, Evans PR, Diaz-Orejas R, Romero A. 2003. A conformational
1006 switch between transcriptional repression and replication initiation in the RepA dimerization
1007 domain. *Nat Struct Biol* 10:565-71.
- 1008 71. Wickner S, Hoskins J, McKenney K. 1991. Monomerization of RepA dimers by heat shock
1009 proteins activates binding to DNA replication origin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 88:7903-7.
- 1010 72. Dibbens JA, Muraiso K, Chattoraj DK. 1997. Chaperone-mediated reduction of RepA
1011 dimerization is associated with RepA conformational change. *Mol Microbiol* 26:185-95.
- 1012 73. McEachern MJ, Bott MA, Tooker PA, Helinski DR. 1989. Negative control of plasmid R6K
1013 replication: possible role of intermolecular coupling of replication origins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
1014 *U S A* 86:7942-6.
- 1015 74. Chattoraj DK, Mason RJ, Wickner SH. 1988. Mini-P1 plasmid replication: the autoregulation-
1016 sequestration paradox. *Cell* 52:551-7.
- 1017 75. Molina-Garcia L, Gasset-Rosa F, Moreno-Del Alamo M, Fernandez-Tresguerres ME, Moreno-
1018 Diaz de la Espina S, Lurz R, Giraldo R. 2016. Functional amyloids as inhibitors of plasmid DNA
1019 replication. *Sci Rep* 6:25425.
- 1020 76. Zzaman S, Bastia D. 2005. Oligomeric initiator protein-mediated DNA looping negatively
1021 regulates plasmid replication in vitro by preventing origin melting. *Mol Cell* 20:833-43.

- 1022 77. Uga H, Matsunaga F, Wada C. 1999. Regulation of DNA replication by iterons: an interaction
1023 between the ori2 and incC regions mediated by RepE-bound iterons inhibits DNA replication
1024 of mini-F plasmid in *Escherichia coli*. *EMBO J* 18:3856-67.
- 1025 78. Brendler T, Abeles A, Austin S. 1995. A protein that binds to the P1 origin core and the oriC
1026 13mer region in a methylation-specific fashion is the product of the host seqA gene. *EMBO J*
1027 14:4083-9.
- 1028 79. Brendler T, Abeles A, Austin S. 1991. Critical sequences in the core of the P1 plasmid
1029 replication origin. *J Bacteriol* 173:3935-42.
- 1030 80. Abeles AL, Austin SJ. 1987. P1 plasmid replication requires methylated DNA. *EMBO J* 6:3185-
1031 9.
- 1032 81. Val ME, Kennedy SP, Soler-Bistue AJ, Barbe V, Bouchier C, Ducos-Galand M, Skovgaard O,
1033 Mazel D. 2014. Fuse or die: how to survive the loss of Dam in *Vibrio cholerae*. *Mol Microbiol*
1034 91:665-78.
- 1035 82. Baek JH, Chatteraj DK. 2014. Chromosome I controls chromosome II replication in *Vibrio*
1036 *cholerae*. *PLoS Genet* 10:e1004184.
- 1037 83. Egan ES, Lobner-Olesen A, Waldor MK. 2004. Synchronous replication initiation of the two
1038 *Vibrio cholerae* chromosomes. *Curr Biol* 14:R501-2.
- 1039 84. Stokke C, Waldminghaus T, Skarstad K. 2011. Replication patterns and organization of
1040 replication forks in *Vibrio cholerae*. *Microbiology (Reading)* 157:695-708.
- 1041 85. de Lemos Martins F, Fournes F, Mazzuoli MV, Mazel D, Val ME. 2018. *Vibrio cholerae*
1042 chromosome 2 copy number is controlled by the methylation-independent binding of its
1043 monomeric initiator to the chromosome 1 crtS site. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46:10145-10156.
- 1044 86. Sanchez-Romero MA, Casadesus J. 2020. The bacterial epigenome. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 18:7-
1045 20.
- 1046 87. Postow L, Crisona NJ, Peter BJ, Hardy CD, Cozzarelli NR. 2001. Topological challenges to DNA
1047 replication: conformations at the fork. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98:8219-26.
- 1048 88. Arias-Cartin R, Dobihal GS, Campos M, Surovtsev IV, Parry B, Jacobs-Wagner C. 2017.
1049 Replication fork passage drives asymmetric dynamics of a critical nucleoid-associated protein
1050 in *Caulobacter*. *EMBO J* 36:301-318.
- 1051 89. Loot C, Bikard D, Rachlin A, Mazel D. 2010. Cellular pathways controlling integron cassette
1052 site folding. *EMBO J* 29:2623-34.
- 1053 90. Ramachandran R, Ciaccia PN, Filsuf TA, Jha JK, Chatteraj DK. 2018. Chromosome 1 licenses
1054 chromosome 2 replication in *Vibrio cholerae* by doubling the crtS gene dosage. *PLoS Genet*
1055 14:e1007426.
- 1056 91. Ciaccia PN, Ramachandran R, Chatteraj DK. 2018. A Requirement for Global Transcription
1057 Factor Lrp in Licensing Replication of *Vibrio cholerae* Chromosome 2. *Front Microbiol* 9:2103.
- 1058 92. Cui Y, Wang Q, Stormo GD, Calvo JM. 1995. A consensus sequence for binding of Lrp to DNA.
1059 *J Bacteriol* 177:4872-80.
- 1060 93. Beloin C, Jeusset J, Revet B, Mirambeau G, Le Hagarat F, Le Cam E. 2003. Contribution of DNA
1061 conformation and topology in right-handed DNA wrapping by the *Bacillus subtilis* LrpC
1062 protein. *J Biol Chem* 278:5333-42.
- 1063 94. Kasho K, Fujimitsu K, Matoba T, Oshima T, Katayama T. 2014. Timely binding of IHF and Fis to
1064 DARS2 regulates ATP-DnaA production and replication initiation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42:13134-
1065 49.
- 1066 95. Miyoshi K, Tatsumoto Y, Ozaki S, Katayama T. 2021. Negative feedback for DARS2-Fis
1067 complex by ATP-DnaA supports the cell cycle-coordinated regulation for chromosome
1068 replication. *Nucleic Acids Res* 49:12820-12835.
- 1069 96. Zamora M, Ziegler CA, Freddolino PL, Wolfe AJ. 2020. A Thermosensitive, Phase-Variable
1070 Epigenetic Switch: pap Revisited. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 84.
- 1071 97. Kothapalli R, Ghirlando R, Khan ZA, Chatterjee S, Keddi N, Chatteraj DK. 2022. The
1072 dimerization interface of initiator RctB governs chaperone and enhancer dependence of
1073 *Vibrio cholerae* chromosome 2 replication. *Nucleic Acids Res* doi:10.1093/nar/gkac210.

- 1074 98. Wegrzyn KE, Gross M, Uciechowska U, Konieczny I. 2016. Replisome Assembly at Bacterial
1075 Chromosomes and Iteron Plasmids. *Front Mol Biosci* 3:39.
- 1076 99. Baker KS, Dallman TJ, Field N, Childs T, Mitchell H, Day M, Weill FX, Lefevre S, Tourdjman M,
1077 Hughes G, Jenkins C, Thomson N. 2018. Horizontal antimicrobial resistance transfer drives
1078 epidemics of multiple *Shigella* species. *Nat Commun* 9:1462.
- 1079 100. Arias-Palomo E, O'Shea VL, Hood IV, Berger JM. 2013. The bacterial DnaC helicase loader is a
1080 DnaB ring breaker. *Cell* 153:438-48.
- 1081 101. Smits WK, Goranov AI, Grossman AD. 2010. Ordered association of helicase loader proteins
1082 with the *Bacillus subtilis* origin of replication in vivo. *Mol Microbiol* 75:452-61.
- 1083 102. Brezellec P, Vallet-Gely I, Possoz C, Quevillon-Cheruel S, Ferat JL. 2016. DciA is an ancestral
1084 replicative helicase operator essential for bacterial replication initiation. *Nat Commun*
1085 7:13271.
- 1086 103. Marsin S, Adam Y, Cargemel C, Andreani J, Baconnais S, Legrand P, Li de la Sierra-Gallay I,
1087 Humbert A, Aumont-Nicaise M, Velours C, Ochsenbein F, Durand D, Le Cam E, Walbott H,
1088 Possoz C, Quevillon-Cheruel S, Ferat JL. 2021. Study of the DnaB:DciA interplay reveals
1089 insights into the primary mode of loading of the bacterial replicative helicase. *Nucleic Acids*
1090 *Res* 49:6569-6586.
- 1091 104. Cargemel C, Walbott H, Durand D, Legrand P, Ouldali M, Ferat JL, Marsin S, Quevillon-Cheruel
1092 S. 2022. The apo-form of the *Vibrio cholerae* replicative helicase DnaB is a labile and inactive
1093 planar trimer of dimers. *FEBS Lett* 596:2031-2040.
- 1094 105. Datta HJ, Khatri GS, Bastia D. 1999. Mechanism of recruitment of DnaB helicase to the
1095 replication origin of the plasmid pSC101. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96:73-8.
- 1096 106. Jiang Y, Pacek M, Helinski DR, Konieczny I, Toukdarian A. 2003. A multifunctional plasmid-
1097 encoded replication initiation protein both recruits and positions an active helicase at the
1098 replication origin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100:8692-7.
- 1099 107. Caspi R, Pacek M, Consiglieri G, Helinski DR, Toukdarian A, Konieczny I. 2001. A broad host
1100 range replicon with different requirements for replication initiation in three bacterial species.
1101 *EMBO J* 20:3262-71.
- 1102 108. Bartlett TM, Bratton BP, Duvshani A, Miguel A, Sheng Y, Martin NR, Nguyen JP, Persat A,
1103 Desmarais SM, VanNieuwenhze MS, Huang KC, Zhu J, Shaevitz JW, Gitai Z. 2017. A
1104 Periplasmic Polymer Curves *Vibrio cholerae* and Promotes Pathogenesis. *Cell* 168:172-185
1105 e15.
- 1106 109. Espinosa E, Paly E, Barre FX. 2020. High-Resolution Whole-Genome Analysis of Sister-
1107 Chromatid Contacts. *Mol Cell* 79:857-869 e3.
- 1108 110. Duggin IG, Wake RG, Bell SD, Hill TM. 2008. The replication fork trap and termination of
1109 chromosome replication. *Mol Microbiol* 70:1323-33.
- 1110 111. Galli E, Ferat JL, Desfontaines JM, Val ME, Skovgaard O, Barre FX, Possoz C. 2019. Replication
1111 termination without a replication fork trap. *Sci Rep* 9:8315.
- 1112 112. Wang X, Rudner DZ. 2014. Spatial organization of bacterial chromosomes. *Curr Opin*
1113 *Microbiol* 22:66-72.
- 1114 113. Fogel MA, Waldor MK. 2005. Distinct segregation dynamics of the two *Vibrio cholerae*
1115 chromosomes. *Mol Microbiol* 55:125-36.
- 1116 114. David A, Demarre G, Muresan L, Paly E, Barre FX, Possoz C. 2014. The two Cis-acting sites,
1117 parS1 and oriC1, contribute to the longitudinal organisation of *Vibrio cholerae* chromosome
1118 I. *PLoS Genet* 10:e1004448.
- 1119 115. Du S, Lutkenhaus J. 2017. Assembly and activation of the *Escherichia coli* divisome. *Mol*
1120 *Microbiol* 105:177-187.
- 1121 116. Galli E, Paly E, Barre FX. 2017. Late assembly of the *Vibrio cholerae* cell division machinery
1122 postpones septation to the last 10% of the cell cycle. *Sci Rep* 7:44505.
- 1123 117. Galli E, Poidevin M, Le Bars R, Desfontaines JM, Muresan L, Paly E, Yamaichi Y, Barre FX.
1124 2016. Cell division licensing in the multi-chromosomal *Vibrio cholerae* bacterium. *Nat*
1125 *Microbiol* 1:16094.

- 1126 118. Schumacher MA. 2017. Bacterial Nucleoid Occlusion: Multiple Mechanisms for Preventing
1127 Chromosome Bisection During Cell Division. *Subcell Biochem* 84:267-298.
- 1128 119. Adams DW, Wu LJ, Errington J. 2014. Cell cycle regulation by the bacterial nucleoid. *Curr*
1129 *Opin Microbiol* 22:94-101.
- 1130 120. Cho H, McManus HR, Dove SL, Bernhardt TG. 2011. Nucleoid occlusion factor SlmA is a DNA-
1131 activated FtsZ polymerization antagonist. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108:3773-8.
- 1132 121. Mercier R, Petit MA, Schbath S, Robin S, El Karoui M, Bocard F, Espeli O. 2008. The
1133 MatP/matS site-specific system organizes the terminus region of the E. coli chromosome into
1134 a macrodomain. *Cell* 135:475-85.
- 1135 122. Espeli O, Borne R, Dupaigne P, Thiel A, Gigant E, Mercier R, Bocard F. 2012. A MatP-divisome
1136 interaction coordinates chromosome segregation with cell division in E. coli. *EMBO J*
1137 31:3198-211.
- 1138 123. Demarre G, Galli E, Muresan L, Paly E, David A, Possoz C, Barre FX. 2014. Differential
1139 management of the replication terminus regions of the two *Vibrio cholerae* chromosomes
1140 during cell division. *PLoS Genet* 10:e1004557.
- 1141 124. Srivastava P, Fekete RA, Chatteraj DK. 2006. Segregation of the replication terminus of the
1142 two *Vibrio cholerae* chromosomes. *J Bacteriol* 188:1060-70.
- 1143 125. Val ME, Kennedy SP, El Karoui M, Bonne L, Chevalier F, Barre FX. 2008. FtsK-dependent dimer
1144 resolution on multiple chromosomes in the pathogen *Vibrio cholerae*. *PLoS Genet*
1145 4:e1000201.
- 1146 126. Galli E, Midonet C, Paly E, Barre FX. 2017. Fast growth conditions uncouple the final stages of
1147 chromosome segregation and cell division in *Escherichia coli*. *PLoS Genet* 13:e1006702.
- 1148 127. Debaugny RE, Sanchez A, Rech J, Labourdette D, Dorignac J, Geniet F, Palmeri J, Parmeggiani
1149 A, Boudsocq F, Anton Leberre V, Walter JC, Bouet JY. 2018. A conserved mechanism drives
1150 partition complex assembly on bacterial chromosomes and plasmids. *Mol Syst Biol* 14:e8516.
- 1151 128. Fogel MA, Waldor MK. 2006. A dynamic, mitotic-like mechanism for bacterial chromosome
1152 segregation. *Genes Dev* 20:3269-82.
- 1153 129. Possoz C, Yamaichi Y, Galli E, Ferat JL, Barre FX. 2022. *Vibrio cholerae* Chromosome
1154 Partitioning without Polar Anchoring by HubP. *Genes (Basel)* 13.
- 1155 130. Yamaichi Y, Fogel MA, Waldor MK. 2007. par genes and the pathology of chromosome loss in
1156 *Vibrio cholerae*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104:630-5.
- 1157 131. Yuan J, Yamaichi Y, Waldor MK. 2011. The three *vibrio cholerae* chromosome II-encoded ParE
1158 toxins degrade chromosome I following loss of chromosome II. *J Bacteriol* 193:611-9.
- 1159 132. Le Gall A, Cattoni DI, Guilhas B, Mathieu-Demaziere C, Oudjedi L, Fiche JB, Rech J,
1160 Abrahamsson S, Murray H, Bouet JY, Nollmann M. 2016. Bacterial partition complexes
1161 segregate within the volume of the nucleoid. *Nat Commun* 7:12107.
- 1162 133. Planchenault C, Pons MC, Schiavon C, Siguier P, Rech J, Guynet C, Dauverd-Girault J, Cury J,
1163 Rocha EPC, Junier I, Cornet F, Espeli O. 2020. Intracellular Positioning Systems Limit the
1164 Entropic Eviction of Secondary Replicons Toward the Nucleoid Edges in Bacterial Cells. *J Mol*
1165 *Biol* 432:745-761.
- 1166 134. Kadoya R, Baek JH, Sarker A, Chatteraj DK. 2011. Participation of chromosome segregation
1167 protein ParA1 of *Vibrio cholerae* in chromosome replication. *J Bacteriol* 193:1504-14.
- 1168 135. Murray H, Errington J. 2008. Dynamic control of the DNA replication initiation protein DnaA
1169 by Soj/ParA. *Cell* 135:74-84.
- 1170 136. Val ME, Soler-Bistue A, Bland MJ, Mazel D. 2014. Management of multipartite genomes: the
1171 *Vibrio cholerae* model. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 22:120-6.
- 1172 137. Yamaichi Y, Gerding MA, Davis BM, Waldor MK. 2011. Regulatory cross-talk links *Vibrio*
1173 *cholerae* chromosome II replication and segregation. *PLoS Genet* 7:e1002189.
- 1174 138. Venkova-Canova T, Baek JH, Fitzgerald PC, Blokesch M, Chatteraj DK. 2013. Evidence for two
1175 different regulatory mechanisms linking replication and segregation of *vibrio cholerae*
1176 chromosome II. *PLoS Genet* 9:e1003579.

- 1177 139. Le TB, Imakaev MV, Mirny LA, Laub MT. 2013. High-resolution mapping of the spatial
1178 organization of a bacterial chromosome. *Science* 342:731-4.
- 1179 140. Marbouty M, Le Gall A, Cattoni DI, Cournac A, Koh A, Fiche JB, Mozziconacci J, Murray H,
1180 Koszul R, Nollmann M. 2015. Condensin- and Replication-Mediated Bacterial Chromosome
1181 Folding and Origin Condensation Revealed by Hi-C and Super-resolution Imaging. *Mol Cell*
1182 59:588-602.
- 1183 141. Wang X, Le TB, Lajoie BR, Dekker J, Laub MT, Rudner DZ. 2015. Condensin promotes the
1184 juxtaposition of DNA flanking its loading site in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Genes Dev* 29:1661-75.
- 1185 142. Val ME, Skovgaard O, Ducos-Galand M, Bland MJ, Mazel D. 2012. Genome engineering in
1186 *Vibrio cholerae*: a feasible approach to address biological issues. *PLoS Genet* 8:e1002472.
- 1187 143. Touzain F, Petit MA, Schbath S, El Karoui M. 2011. DNA motifs that sculpt the bacterial
1188 chromosome. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 9:15-26.
- 1189 144. Le Roux F, Labreuche Y, Davis BM, Iqbal N, Mangenot S, Goarant C, Mazel D, Waldor MK.
1190 2011. Virulence of an emerging pathogenic lineage of *Vibrio nigripulchritudo* is dependent on
1191 two plasmids. *Environ Microbiol* 13:296-306.
- 1192 145. Adam Y, Brezellec P, Espinosa E, Besombes A, Naquin D, Paly E, Possoz C, van Dijk E, Barre FX,
1193 Ferat JL. 2022. *Plesiomonas shigelloides*, an Atypical Enterobacterales with a Vibrio-Related
1194 Secondary Chromosome. *Genome Biol Evol* 14.
- 1195 146. Smillie C, Garcillan-Barcia MP, Francia MV, Rocha EP, de la Cruz F. 2010. Mobility of plasmids.
1196 *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 74:434-52.
- 1197 147. Jiang C, Tanaka M, Nishikawa S, Mino S, Romalde JL, Thompson FL, Gomez-Gil B, Sawabe T.
1198 2021. *Vibrio* Clade 3.0: New Vibrionaceae Evolutionary Units Using Genome-Based Approach.
1199 *Curr Microbiol* 79:10.
- 1200 148. Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
1201 improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* 30:772-80.
- 1202 149. Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, Lanfear R.
1203 2020. IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the
1204 Genomic Era. *Mol Biol Evol* 37:1530-1534.
- 1205 150. Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. 2018. UFBoot2: Improving the
1206 Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. *Mol Biol Evol* 35:518-522.
- 1207 151. Pellicciari S, Dong MJ, Gao F, Murray H. 2021. Evidence for a chromosome origin unwinding
1208 system broadly conserved in bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res* 49:7525-7536.
- 1209 152. Nakamura A, Wada C, Miki K. 2007. Structural basis for regulation of bifunctional roles in
1210 replication initiator protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104:18484-9.
- 1211 153. Mirdita M, Schutze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M. 2022. ColabFold:
1212 making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat Methods* 19:679-682.
- 1213 154. Ekundayo B, Bleichert F. 2019. Origins of DNA replication. *PLoS Genet* 15:e1008320.

1214

1215

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

1216 Théophile Niault

1217 Théophile Niault holds a degree in life sciences from the Pierre and Marie Curie University in
1218 Paris, followed by a master's degree in molecular and cellular biology from Sorbonne
1219 University. He went on to pursue his Ph.D. in microbial genetics from Sorbonne University,
1220 where he worked as a member of the Bacterial Genome Plasticity Unit at Pasteur Institute,
1221 under the guidance of Professor Didier Mazel and Marie-Eve Val. Currently, Théophile is a
1222 post-doctoral fellow at the same laboratory, where he continues his research on the initiation
1223 of replication of the secondary chromosome of *Vibrio cholerae*.

1224 Jakub Czarnecki

1225 Jakub Czarnecki completed all his higher education at the University of Warsaw, Poland,
1226 where he received his PhD in molecular biology in 2015. He then continued to work at the
1227 same university for 2 years as an associate professor in Prof. Dariusz Bartosik's group,
1228 dealing with the organization of multi-partite genomes of bacteria of the genus *Paracoccus*,
1229 as well as the genetic basis of their methylotrophic metabolism. For the past five years, he
1230 has held a post-doc position in the laboratory of Professor Didier Mazel, studying the
1231 organization and replication of multi-partite genomes in bacteria from various taxonomic
1232 groups.

1233 Morgan Lamberieux

1234 Morgan Lamberieux graduated in pharmaceutical sciences from the University of Tours and
1235 holds a master's degree in molecular and cellular biology from the University of Paris. He is
1236 currently a PhD student at the Institut Pasteur and Sorbonne Université in microbial genetics
1237 within the Bacterial Genome Plasticity Unit under the supervision of Professor Didier Mazel.
1238 His PhD topic is the development of a killer conjugative plasmid as an innovative
1239 antibacterial.

1240

1241 **Didier Mazel**

1242 Didier Mazel received his PhD in Genetics (1990) and is Professor at the Institut Pasteur,
1243 Paris. His projects are centered on an evolutionary mechanism in bacteria, in particular on
1244 the horizontal gene transfer and gene capture mechanisms. His group also works on the
1245 genome structure of bacteria which carry multiple chromosomes, such as *Vibrio cholerae*,
1246 the agent of cholera. He is the head of the Bacterial Genome Plasticity unit and was the
1247 director of the Genomes and Genetics department of the Institut Pasteur until 2018. He has
1248 published more than 130 articles on these topics. He has been elected a fellow of the
1249 American Academy of Microbiology, of the European Academy of Microbiology, and of
1250 Academia Europaea, and his work has been awarded several scientific prizes.

1251 **Marie-Eve Val**

1252 M.-E. Val is a French researcher in microbiology at the Institut Pasteur, Paris. She obtained
1253 an engineering degree in biotechnology at ESBS, Strasbourg, France, and completed her
1254 master's thesis at UMBI, Baltimore, USA, in 2003. She obtained her PhD in bacterial
1255 genetics at the Université de Paris-Saclay in 2008, focusing on *Vibrio* chromosome
1256 segregation and on the lysogeny mechanism of the cholera toxin phage. As a post-doctoral
1257 fellow, she joined Didier Mazel's team at the Institut Pasteur, where she studied the selective
1258 advantages of the two-chromosome genomic architecture of *Vibrio*, discovering a novel
1259 replication checkpoint mechanism. In 2013, she obtained a permanent research position
1260 from INSERM (National Institute of Health and Medical Research).

1261 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

1262 **Figure 1. Genome organization of *Vibrionaceae* reference genomes.** The reference
1263 strain of *E. coli* K-12 and 47 *Vibrionaceae* reference genomes were included in the tree. The
1264 concatenated sequences of 8 genes (*ftsK*, *gapA*, *gyrB*, *mreB*, *pyrH*, *recA*, *rpoA* and *topA*)
1265 were used for phylogenetic analysis as described by Jiang et al (147). Alignment was
1266 performed using MAFFT software (148). Phylogenetic tree was made with IQ-TREE
1267 multicore v2.0.3 (149). 286 DNA models were tested and GTR+F+R6 was selected as the
1268 best-fitting model to the IQ-TREE -m TESTNEW algorithm according to the Bayesian
1269 Information Criterion (BIC). The statistical data were derived from 1000 ultrafast bootstrap
1270 values and 1000 replicates of SH approximate likelihood ratio test (150). The raw data used
1271 to perform this analysis are in Table S1.

1272 **Figure 2. Replication regulated sequences are highly similar between *V. cholerae* and**
1273 ***E. coli*. A.** Sequence alignment between *oriC* of *E. coli* (GenBank: U00096.3) and *ori1* from
1274 *V. cholerae* (GenBank: CP028827.1) reveals a highly similar organization. The *oriC* consists
1275 of a DNA unwinding element (DUE) containing single-stranded binding sites for DnaA (L, M
1276 and R elements and DnaA trios), as well as a DnaA assembly region (DAR) containing
1277 double-stranded binding sites for DnaA with strong boxes (R1, R2, and R4) and weak boxes
1278 (t1, R5, t2, I2, R3, I3). The IHF and FIS binding sequences are highlighted in green and
1279 yellow respectively. GATC Dam-methylation sites are in bold. **B.** Alignment of DARS2 site
1280 from *V. cholerae* and *E. coli*. Strong DnaA boxes (I, II, III, V) are highlighted in dark blue and
1281 weak DnaA boxes (V-c, V-b, V-a) are in light blue. FIS binding site is indicated in yellow.
1282 Alignments and annotations were retrieved from (30, 31, 95, 151).

1283 **Figure 3. Schematic of the origin organization of iteron plasmids and Chr2 of *V.***
1284 ***cholerae*.** Initiator genes are displayed in purple and initiator binding sites (iterons and
1285 inverted repeats) in green. The 29/39m RctB binding sites (specific to Chr2) are shown in
1286 red. DnaA binding sites are shown in light blue. The incompatibility regions (*inc*) and DNA

1287 unwinding elements (DUE) are indicated. These organization schemes are in scale. The
1288 replicon sequences were retrieved from the NCBI database (GenBank: pSC101: X01654.1,
1289 pPS10: X58896.1, R6K: LT827129.1, F: AP001918.1, RK2: CP116242.1, P1: OP279344.1,
1290 Chr2: CP028828.1).

1291 **Figure 4. Domain II and III from RctB are structural homologues to WH1 and WH2 from**
1292 **Rep proteins. A.** Pi_{R6K} , **B.** $RepA_{pPS10}$, **C.** $RepE_F$, **D.** $RctB_{Chr2}$. Rep proteins dimerize through
1293 their WH1 domain, RctB dimerize through its domain II. RepE and RctB dimer structures
1294 were retrieved from PDB (2Z9O and 5UBF respectively) (52, 152). Pi_{R6K} and $RepA_{pPS10}$ dimer
1295 structures have been predicted using ColabFold v1.5.2 (153) (Fig. S1). Initiator sequences
1296 were retrieved from replicon sequences present on the NCBI database (pPS10: X58896.1,
1297 R6K: LT827129.1)

1298 **Figure 5. The RctB initiator is organized into four structural domains. A.** RctB is a 658-
1299 residue protein that contains four structural domains I II III and IV. Domains I, II and III are all
1300 involved in DNA binding via an HTH motif. Domains II and III are structurally similar to the
1301 WH1 and WH2 domains of iteron plasmid initiators. Domain IV allows oligomerization and is
1302 dedicated to regulating Chr2 initiation. **B.** Predicted structure of RctB using ColabFold v1.5.2
1303 (153) (Fig. S1). **C.** Structure of RctB with amino acids involved in DNA binding in dark red
1304 (52). **D.** Schematic depicting the binding of RctB to the iteron array (six iterons) of the *V.*
1305 *cholerae* Chr2 origin of replication. Note that the precise conformation of RctB to its various
1306 sites remains an open question. The same color code as in panel A is used throughout the
1307 figure.

1308 **Figure 6. Model for replication origin opening in Chr1 and Chr2. A.** *ori1* : The origin of
1309 replication of Chr1 is a 245-bp-long sequence. It contains three types of predicted DnaA
1310 binding sites (30, 151) : Strong double-stranded sites (dark blue: R1, R2, R4); Weak double-
1311 stranded sites (light blue: T1, R5, T2, I1, I2, C3, R3, C2, I3, C1); Single-stranded sites inside
1312 the DNA unwinding element (grey: DUE, red: L, M, R elements, orange: DnaA trios). *ori2min*

1313 : The minimal Chr2 origin of replication is 258bp long and contains a strong DnaA box, six
1314 regularly interspaced repeats of 12mer (iterons), an IHF binding site (IBS), and six
1315 tetranucleotide ATCA repeats inside the DUE (59, 60). **B.** DnaA and RctB loopback models
1316 adapted from (60, 61, 154). DnaA and RctB initiators are shown in yellow and purple,
1317 respectively. For clarity, the oligomerization domains of DnaA (domain I) and RctB (domain
1318 IV) are not shown. Association of DnaA with ATP is mandatory for binding to weak DnaA
1319 boxes (dark green circles). For binding to strong DnaA boxes, DnaA can be associated with
1320 either ATP or ADP (light green circles). IHF is shown in pink, DUE open ssDNA is shown in
1321 grey. DnaA binding sites are displayed in the same color code as panel A.

1322 **Figure 7. Chr2 initiation is activated by *crtS* replication, the timing of which can be**
1323 **modulated by the distance of *crtS* from the Chr1 origin. Left panel:** Schematic
1324 representing the replicative state of Chr1 (red) when Chr2 (green) initiation is triggered. The
1325 blue rectangle indicates the location of *crtS* when replicated. **Middle panel:** Schematic
1326 representation of marker frequency analysis (MFA) of exponentially growing cultures with
1327 Log₂ of the number of reads plotted against their relative position on Chr1 (red) and Chr2
1328 (green). The blue dashed lines indicate the number of reads of loci where *crtS* is located
1329 (*crtS*_{VC23}, *crtS*_{WT}, *crtS*_{VC963}, *crtS*_{VC2238}). The positions of *ori1* and *ori2* are set to 0 for a better
1330 visualization of the bidirectional replication. The difference between the number of reads at
1331 *ter1* and *ter2* is shaded in gray and indicated by a double arrow. Figure adapted and based
1332 on real sequencing data from published work (15). **Right panel:** Effect of *crtS* relocation on
1333 Chr1 and Chr2 termination synchrony.

1334 **Figure 8. Model for coordination of replication between Chr1 and Chr2 by *crtS*.** The
1335 cooperative binding of RctB to the fully methylated iteron array causes DNA opening and
1336 RctB oligomerization on the ssDNA DUE (green arrow). RctB binding to the 29/39m sites
1337 inhibits replication initiation at *ori2* by intra-molecular handcuffing and represses its own
1338 transcription at the 29m (red arrows). Upon replication, *crtS* triggers *ori2* initiation by
1339 counteracting the inhibitory effect of the 29/39m sites (blue arrows). The four domains of

1340 RctB are represented (I-IV). RctB dimers (domain II-interface) are monomerized by the
1341 DnaK/J chaperones. RctB monomers cooperatively bind to the iteron array. RctB binding to
1342 the 29/39m requires domain IV mediated interactions. Lrp enhances RctB binding to *crtS*.
1343 RctB binding sites are indicated : iteron array (green), 29m and 39m (red) and *crtS* (blue).
1344 The iterons present in the *inc* region have been omitted for clarity. Positive and negative
1345 regulations are represented by arrows associated with (+) and (-), respectively.

1346 **Figure 9. *V. cholerae* chromosome segregation and divisome assembly.** The single
1347 flagellum of *V. cholerae* is shown to be localized at the old pole, while Chr1 and Chr2 are
1348 arranged longitudinally with their *ter* regions indicated by broken lines. 3C data is
1349 represented by dashed arcs, showing interactions between the left and right replichores of
1350 Chr2. Inter-chromosomal contacts are also shown, with *ori2* in proximity to *crtS*, as well as
1351 the *ter1* and *ter2* regions. The partitioning systems (ParAB-*parS*) of each chromosome are
1352 represented by colored halos around the replication origins. **(I)** In nascent cells, HubP is
1353 present at the old pole along with *ori1* and the ParAB1-*parS1* partition complex. All cell
1354 division proteins (early and late) localize to the new pole along with *ter1*. **(II)** As the cell cycle
1355 progresses to ~50%, cells elongate and early cell division proteins move to mid-cell, forming
1356 a pre-divisional Z-ring. Late cell division proteins remain at the new pole where HubP starts
1357 accumulating. A duplicated copy of *ori1* complexed with ParAB1 is recruited by HubP to the
1358 new pole. The duplication of *crtS* has triggered *ori2* replication. The duplicated copies of *ori2*
1359 moved to the $\frac{1}{4}$ - $\frac{3}{4}$ positions of the cell. **(III)** At ~80% of the cell cycle, all division proteins
1360 have migrated to mid-cell, assembling into a tighter Z-ring. The *ter2* are already segregated
1361 while *ter1* are still at the future division site. **(IV)** At 90% of the cell cycle, constriction begins
1362 and HubP is evenly distributed at both poles. *ter1* are segregated by FtsK anchored to the
1363 closing septum.

1364

1365




Figure 1

Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5





Figure 6

A



B








Figure 7





crtS located closer to *ori1*

Chr2 terminate its replication before Chr1





crtS at the wild type position

Chr1 and Chr2 terminate their replication synchronously



crtS at the same distance from *ori1* as the wild type

Chr1 and Chr2 terminate their replication synchronously



crtS located closer to *ter1*

Chr2 terminate its replication after Chr1

Figure 8




Figure 9

