

A micro-hot-wire anemometry probe with elongated stubs for turbulent boundary layer measurements

Baptiste Baradel, Alain Giani, Fabien Méry, Philippe Combette, Olivier Léon

▶ To cite this version:

Baptiste Baradel, Alain Giani, Fabien Méry, Philippe Combette, Olivier Léon. A micro-hot-wire anemometry probe with elongated stubs for turbulent boundary layer measurements. Experiments in Fluids, 2024, 65 (9), pp.133. 10.21203/rs.3.rs-4109150/v1. hal-04648653v2

HAL Id: hal-04648653 https://hal.science/hal-04648653v2

Submitted on 28 Aug2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A micro-hot-wire anemometry probe with elongated stubs for turbulent boundary layer measurements

Baptiste Baradel^{1,2} · Alain Giani^2 · Fabien Méry^1 · Philippe Combette^2 · Olivier Léon^{1,\dagger}

Post-print, 12/08/2024, accepted for publication in Experiments in Fluids (10.1007/s00348-024-03871-4)

Abstract The development of micro-hot-wire anemometry probes for turbulence studies requires mitigating spatial filtering, end-conduction effects and probe intrusivity. Keeping these factors in mind, this work analytically and experimentally investigates the relevance of a micro-hot-wire probe design featuring elongated stubs, inspired by Wollaston-wire probes but fabricated using modern micro-fabrication techniques. The resulting probes are shown to be relatively easy to manufacture and capable of providing satisfactory velocity measurements in a zero-pressure-gradient turbulent boundary layer at $\text{Re}_{\tau} \approx 1150$ with $\delta = 18 \text{ mm}$. Different probes were tested, all featuring a micro-wire length sufficiently small to alleviate spatial filtering of near-wall small-scale turbulent structures. The investigation focuses on assessing end-conduction effects and probe intrusivity, with the latter still observable close to the wall for such micro-probes.

1 Introduction

Hot-wire anemometry stands as a major measurement technique for investigating turbulence in free and wallbounded flows (Bruun 1996; McKeon et al 2007; Smits 2022) as it offers continuous measurement of velocity fluctuations at a single point over a large range of frequencies. However, obtaining accurate results requires careful consideration of several important limitations. These include the spatial resolution of the probe, determined by the ratio of the wire's length to the characteristic length-scale of the flow under study. Additionally, internal heat-transfer effects can alter the probe spectral response, while the probe's cut-off frequency limits the resolvable time scales. Lastly, the intrusivity of the probe can introduce measurement errors.

Many of these challenges can be mitigated by reducing the dimensions of both the wire and the probe. The importance of wire length was notably emphasized by Johansson and Alfredsson (1983) who early evidenced the effects of imperfect spatial resolution on hotwire anemometry measurements in turbulent boundary layers. This idea was then particularly pursued by Willmarth and Sharma (1984) and Ligrani and Bradshaw (1987b) who used Wollaston wires to manufacture subminiature hot-wire probes. These wires consist of a thin silver-coated platinum(-rhodium) wire that is chemically etched to expose its core over a length ℓ , which defines the active part of the hot-wire probe. In their work, Ligrani and Bradshaw (1987a) and Ligrani and Bradshaw (1987b) reported the fabrication and use of such subminiature hot-wire probes with active sensing lengths ranging from 50 µm to 300 µm, for wire diameters d as low as $0.625 \,\mu\text{m}$. While measuring near-wall velocity fluctuations in a turbulent boundary layer (TBL), they notably emphasized the detrimental effects of thermal end-losses on the wire response for aspect ratios ℓ/d below 200 and significant spatial resolution issues with viscous-scaled wire lengths $\ell^+ = \ell U_{\tau} / \nu$ greater than 20. Here, U_{τ} refers to the mean friction velocity and ν denotes the fluid kinematic viscosity. The ratio of these two parameters defines the viscous length scale $l_{\rm v} = \nu/U_{\tau}$. These criteria on ℓ and d are now regarded as standards for designing hot-wire probes employed in wall-bounded turbulence measurements. It highlights the importance of carefully selecting these geometrical parameters with respect to the viscous length scale of the flow of interest, with the condition $200d < \ell < 20l_v$ serving as a guideline for optimal design.

These bounds were reassessed by Hutchins et al (2009) at higher Reynolds numbers using different Wollaston hot-wire probes. While reaffirming the previous recommendations, they also suggested that the frequency response $f_{\rm p}$ of the hot-wire probe should be such that $f_{\rm p}^+ = f_{\rm p}\nu/U_{\tau}^2 \geq 1/3$ to effectively capture all relevant energetic scales. In the case of turbulent boundary layers commonly encountered in laboratory set-

^{1.} DMPE, ONERA, Université de Toulouse, France

^{2.} IES, Université de Montpellier, France

[†] Corresponding author: olivier.leon@onera.fr

Distributed under license CC-BY 4.0

tings, this implies an anemometer capable of accurately resolving turbulence at frequencies typically exceeding 10 kHz. This frequency range may appear attainable with conventional hot-wire probes (i.e. $\ell \approx 1 \,\mathrm{mm}$ and $d = 5 \,\mu\text{m}$ typically) operated with a constanttemperature anemometry (CTA) system since it usually yields $-3 \, dB$ cut-off frequencies greater than 50 kHz based on an electronic square-wave test (SWT) response (Freymuth 1977). Yet, particular caution should be exercised when interpreting values obtained using electronic test responses since they do not directly account for unsteady heat conduction in the wire. This critical aspect has been extensively discussed in the works of Khoo et al (1999), Morris and Foss (2003), Li (2004) and Hutchins et al (2015) among others. These studies emphasize that the actual anemometer/probe cut-off frequency (from which deviation from a flat response is observed) can be about one order of magnitude lower than estimates obtained using the standard $-3 \,\mathrm{dB}$ drop-off from a SWT. This is the consequence of attenuation of heat waves along the wire. The attenuation magnitude ε is driven by the ratio ℓ/d among other factors (Hultmark et al 2011) and a characteristic attenuation time scale can be estimated by $\tau_{\rm w} \approx \pi \ell^2 / (20 \alpha_{\rm w})$ for a cylindrical wire (Li 2004) with $\alpha_{\rm w}$ the wire material thermal diffusivity. Hot-wires are generally designed to reduce the attenuation ε , but another strategy can be to design a wire with a characteristic time $\tau_{\rm w}$ lower than the minimum turbulent time scale $t^+ = 3$ proposed by Hutchins et al (2009). This yields the criterion $\ell < 2\sqrt{\frac{15}{\pi Pr}\frac{\alpha_w}{\alpha_f}}l_v$, with Pr the Prandtl number of the fluid and α_f its thermal diffusivity. For a platinum wire used in air under normal conditions, this translates to $\ell < 6l_{\rm v}$, an even more stringent criterion than the one previously obtained from spatial resolution considerations.

Overall, these recommendations point towards a more systematic use of small-scale hot-wire probes in turbulence studies, even at moderate Reynolds numbers. However, fabricating miniature hot-wire probes using Wollaston wires is a highly delicate task. Consequently, achieving functional probes smaller than those manufactured by Ligrani and Bradshaw (1987b) appears challenging without resorting to more recent micro-fabrication techniques. Early efforts were made by Löfdahl et al (1989) and Löfdahl et al (1992), leveraging MEMS (micro-electro-mechanical systems) fabrication technology. The designed probes provided adequate velocity measurements in a turbulent boundary layer, emphasizing the potential of the fabrication technique. However, these probes featured relatively large active elements, with the heating/sensing part having a minimum length of 300 µm and a cross-section of $60 \,\mu\text{m} \times 30 \,\mu\text{m}$. Subsequently, Jiang et al (1994) micromachined probes with a structural design closer to conventional hot-wires, using doped polysilicon. While achieving wire cross-section dimensions of $1 \, \mu m \times 0.5 \, \mu m$ and a length ℓ ranging from 10 µm to 160 µm, these probes had relatively low wire aspect ratios, potentially making them susceptible to significant end-conduction effects. Additionally, temporal drifts were reported, and no clear demonstration of the probes for turbulence measurement was presented. Keeping the criterion $\ell/d > 200$ in mind, Löfdahl et al (2003) fabricated wallmounted subminiature hot-wire sensors for shear-stress measurement. These sensors had minimum dimensions of $200 \,\mu\text{m} \times 2 \,\mu\text{m} \times 1 \,\mu\text{m}$ and utilized aluminum for the wire material. While satisfactory results were obtained, to the best of our knowledge, their use was not expanded to more general turbulence measurement.

A major progress was made at Princeton University with the development of their Nanoscale Thermal Anemometry Probe (NSTAP). In its initial version presented by Bailey et al (2010) and adapted from Kunkel et al (2006), a 60 µm long freestanding platinum wire with cross-section dimensions of $2 \,\mu m \times 0.1 \,\mu m$ was fabricated using chemical etching, focused ion beam milling and laser micromachining. This micro-wire was connected to the prongs by $60\,\mu m$ long and $15\,\mu m$ wide stubs to help minimize probe aerodynamic interference and thermal end-conduction effects. Although the probe proved effective for turbulence studies in a grid-generated turbulent flow, its application to wallbounded turbulence measurement necessitated refinement to further decrease the aerodynamic interference induced by the tip of the support structure (Vallikivi 2014). A redesign of the probe and a different manufacturing approach using deep reactive ion etching (DRIE) were then proposed by Vallikivi et al (2011) and Vallikivi and Smits (2014). A smooth, streamlined V-shaped structure supporting the 60 µm wire at its tip was obtained, and wire cross-section dimensions of $1\,\mu\mathrm{m} \times 0.1\,\mu\mathrm{m}$ were achieved. With this design, the $60\,\mu\text{m}$ long stubs extending the streamlined prongs were widened to 50 µm. This revisited probe was reported to significantly reduce intrusivity effects and vielded satisfactory measurements in turbulent pipe flows and boundary layers (Vallikivi et al 2015; Samie et al 2018b), provided that newly fabricated probes undergo an annealing process to prevent measurement drift. It can be noted, however, that possible residual blockage effects induced by the supporting structure may still be observable at high Reynolds numbers and close to the wall, as pointed out by Monkewitz (2021). Referring to Smits (2022), these effects could be at the origin of contradictory observations on the trend followed by the inner peak of velocity variance for increasing Re_{τ} (Örlü and Alfredsson 2012), supporting the need for alternative micro-hot-wire probe designs.

Variations of the NSTAP were designed to measure two velocity components (X-NSTAP, Fu et al (2019), Byers 2021), humidity (q-NSTAP, Fan et al (2015)) and for use in supersonic flows (Kokmanian et al 2019). While these applications involved variations in wire dimensions and the shape of stubs, the overall probe design remained similar. Lastly, a distinct probe featuring an even thinner freestanding platinum micro-wire with dimensions of 70 μ m×0.3 μ m×0.1 μ m was manufactured by Le-The et al (2021) at Twente University. This probe does not use stubs but instead relies on curved tapered prongs to support the micro-wire.

The current state-of-the-art in micro-fabricated hotwire probes opens up promising avenues for in-depth studies of turbulence. Yet, the latest micro-probes have primarily focused on reducing intrusivity by profiling the prongs and questions about possible residual interference effects are left unanswered. This calls for exploration of alternative designs. Taking inspiration from the geometry of Wollaston-wire probes, the present work explores the fabrication and use of microfabricated hot-wire probes that rely on elongated stubs to mitigate probe intrusivity and wire end-conduction effects. The present micro-hot-wire (µHW) probes are shown to be manufacturable using simple fabrication steps, remarkably stable over time, and capable of providing adequate velocity measurements in a zeropressure turbulent boundary layer.

2 Design and 1D model of a micro-hot-wire probe with thin elongated stubs

2.1 Design choices and dimensions

The geometry of the μ HW probe selected for the present study is depicted in Figure 1. The design is intentionally simple, aiming to assess both the relevance of the concept and the ease of probe fabrication. This probe comprises two prongs connected by an elongated micro-bridge, defining the stubs, which houses a ribbonshaped micro-wire with a length ℓ at its center. Downstream of this micro-wire, a second non-conductive wire was added primarily to enhance the structural integrity of the bridge.

The chosen materials include silicon (Si) for the base structure, platinum (Pt) for the main electrically conducting layer, and nitride silicon (SiN_x) in between for electrical isolation. Detailed information about these different layers and the fabrication process is provided



Fig. 1 Schematic and geometry of the micro-hot-wire probe.

in Section 3.1. Importantly, the micro-wire is not designed as a freestanding Pt wire to simplify fabrication. Instead, it is structurally similar to the stubs, featuring a 200 nm-thick Pt layer deposited on a SiN_x layer of similar thickness. The total thickness of the micro-wire and the stubs is then $h \approx 400$ nm. The implications of this two-layer design will be discussed in Section 2.2. Finally, the micro-wire width is set at $w = 1.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ to facilitate fabrication using relatively straightforward photolithographic techniques while still providing suitably thin micro-wires for this work.

One objective of the present study is to identify the range of lengths ℓ that can be used to provide velocity measurements in a zero-pressure-gradient TBL without detrimental end-conduction effects. Indeed, the significance of end-conduction in this type of ribbonshaped micro-wire with long stubs is largely unknown. To achieve this, spatial filtering effects must be avoided to eliminate this potential source of bias in the measurements. As detailed in Section 4, velocity measurements in the TBL are obtained at an axial location where the mean friction velocity U_{τ} is approximately 1 m s^{-1} , providing friction length scales l_v in the order of 15 µm. Adhering to the previously discussed criteria, only microwire lengths lower than 300 µm are then considered. Specifically, the chosen set of micro-wire lengths ℓ is $\{60, 80, 100, 150, 200\}$ µm.

At this stage, it can be instructive to position this study with respect to two existing criteria used to characterize end-conduction attenuation effects. One approach is to rely on the ℓ/d criterion mentioned earlier, assuming an equivalent diameter d^* based on the crosssectional area of the ribbon-shape micro-wires. This criterion would suggest that ℓ has to be larger than 174 µm. However, this is likely a conservative value because the micro-wire shape is not circular, and nongeometric, flow-related parameters also play a role in end-conduction effects. A potentially more suitable criterion was proposed by Hultmark et al (2011) and refined by Vallikivi (2014). In the latter work, the criterion was extended to rectangular wire cross-sections and can be expressed as $\Lambda > 1.7$ or 2.5 for the NSTAP, with

$$\Lambda = \frac{\ell}{2w} \sqrt{\frac{2(h+w)}{h}} \frac{k_{\rm f}}{k_{\rm w}} a \,\mathrm{Nu}\,,\tag{1}$$

where $k_{\rm f}$ and $k_{\rm w}$ refer to the thermal conductivity of the fluid (at film temperature) and wire, respectively, *a* to the wire resistance (overheat) ratio and Nu to the Nusselt number. As shown later in Section 4, the microwires in the present study cover a range of Λ values going from 2.07 to 6.95. However, it should be noted that this criterion was originally formulated for probes without stubs. Therefore, its applicability to the present probe geometry requires further investigation.

Regarding the stubs, their width was set to 10 µm, approximately one order of magnitude greater than that of the micro-wire, to ensure reduced electrical resistance while still preventing significant aerodynamic interference. The implications of this design choice in terms of heat generation and transfer is discussed in Section 2.2, relying on a analytical model of the µHW probe. The total length of the micro-bridge was set to 0.9 mm. This length was chosen based on our prior knowledge on the mechanical strength of similar elongated thermo-resistive wires manufactured for wall-stress measurements (Weiss et al 2017). It provides a separation between the prongs and the microwires ranging from 2ℓ to 7ℓ for the different micro-wire lengths considered.

2.2 A one-dimensional analytical model

With the design of the μ HW probes defined, an analysis is first conducted to estimate their theoretical static and dynamic responses and to compare them with more conventional HW probes. Drawing upon the works of Bruun (1996), Li (2004) and Li et al (2004), the problem is approximated with a 1D model that takes into account the rectangular shape of the wire, the stubs, and the presence of a layer of SiN_x (which is an electrical insulator but a good thermal conductor) below the layer of Pt. In its general form, the 1D conservation equation of energy providing the unsteady temperature distribution along wire and stubs may be written

$$\tau \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial \eta^2} - (p_\lambda - q_\lambda)\theta + Z_\lambda \,. \tag{2}$$

Using the subscript f to refer to the fluid conditions, θ is the local temperature ratio defined by

$$\theta(\eta) = \frac{T(\eta) - T_{\rm f}}{T_{\rm f}}, \qquad (3)$$

where $T(\eta)$ is the local temperature. The coordinate ξ in wire direction and shown in Figure 1 has been made dimensionless using the micro-wire half-length, such that $\eta = 2\xi/\ell$. The coefficients of this differential equation are given by

$$\tau = \frac{\rho_{\rm b} C_{\rm b} \ell^2}{4 k_{\rm b}} = \frac{\ell^2}{4 \alpha_{\rm b}} \,, \tag{4a}$$

$$p_{\lambda} = \frac{P_{\lambda} \ell^2 \operatorname{Nu}_{\lambda} k_{\mathrm{f}}}{4 k_{\mathrm{b}} A_{\lambda} w_{\lambda}}, \qquad (4b)$$

$$q_{\lambda} = \frac{I^2 \,\ell^2 \,\alpha_0 \,\chi_0}{4 \,k_{\rm b} \,A_{\lambda} \,A_{\lambda}^{\rm (Pt)}} \,, \tag{4c}$$

$$Z_{\lambda} = \frac{I^2 \,\ell^2 \,\chi_{\rm f}}{4 \,k_{\rm b} \,A_{\lambda} \,A_{\lambda}^{\rm (Pt)} \,T_{\rm f}},\tag{4d}$$

where the subscript $\lambda \in \{w, s\}$ refers to the wire or stubs parameters, highlighting that the coefficients are actually piecewise functions of the coordinate η .

The time constant τ is obtained relying on a bulk, average density $\rho_{\rm b}$, heat capacity $C_{\rm b}$ and heat conductivity $k_{\rm b}$ of the two layers of Pt and SiN_x lumped together. This approximation neglects heat transfers between the two layers, but this is reasonable at first order given the thinness of the layers and their comparable material properties. The associated cross-sectional Biot numbers are in the order of 1×10^{-4} , thus sufficiently small to consider uniform temperature in wire crosssections at all times. This characteristic time τ should be seen as indicative of the characteristic attenuation time scale of the wire and is thus similar to $\tau_{\rm w}$ previously discussed, with $\alpha_{\rm b}$ the bulk thermal diffusivity.

The dimensionless parameter p_{λ} is defined using the cross-sectional perimeter $P_{\lambda} = 2(w_{\lambda} + h)$, the cross-sectional area $A_{\lambda} = w_{\lambda}h$ and the Nusselt number. Considering the shape of the wire, the Nusselt number is

estimated using the relation for laminar flow over two sides of a flat plate, writing

$$Nu_{\lambda} = 0.664 \, \mathrm{Re}_{\lambda}^{0.5} \, \mathrm{Pr}^{0.33} \tag{5}$$

with the Reynolds number $\text{Re}_{\lambda} = Uw_{\lambda}/\nu$ (in the order of unity) and the Prandtl number $\text{Pr} \approx 0.71$. The characteristic convection length is taken as the local width w_{λ} . Particularly relevant for the wire itself, the parameter $p_{\rm w}$ can be regarded as equivalent to a Biot number $\text{Bi}_{\rm w}$ based on the length $L_{cw} = (\ell/2)^2 P_{\rm w}/A_{\rm w}$, such that $p_{\rm w} = \text{Bi}_{\rm w} = h_{cw}L_{cw}/k_{\rm b}$ where $h_{cw} = \text{Nu}_{\rm w}k_{\rm f}/w_{\rm w}$ refers to the convective heat transfer coefficient on the wire. This Biot number expresses the ratio of heat convection on the wire to internal heat conduction, which is generally desired to be as large as possible for HW probes to reduce end-conduction effects. It may be noted that it is related to Λ defined by Vallikivi (2014) (and similarly to Γ defined by Hultmark et al (2011)) since $\Lambda = \sqrt{a\text{Bi}_{\rm w}}$ with a the wire resistance overheat ratio.

Finally, the two dimensionless terms q_{λ} and Z_{λ} account for heat generation by Joule effect and are defined using the current *I*, the cross-sectional area of the Pt layer $A_{\lambda}^{(\text{Pt})}$ (since only the platinum layer is electrically conductive), the temperature coefficient of resistance α_0 (TCR) and the platinum resistivity χ_0 at $T_0 = 0 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$, and the platinum resistivity at fluid temperature $T_{\rm f}$ noted $\chi_{\rm f}$. The evolution law of the resistivity is assumed to be linear such that

$$\chi(T) = \chi_0 \left(1 + \alpha_0 (T - T_0) \right) \,. \tag{6}$$

The term q_{λ} can be seen as a ratio of heat generation to heat conduction. In the case of a wire without stubs, it appears in the definition of Betchov's cold length $\ell_{\rm c} = \ell/(2Y_{\rm w})$ with the dimensionless parameter $Y_{\rm w} = \sqrt{|p_{\rm w} - q_{\rm w}|}$ (Bruun 1996). This parameter is referred to by Li et al (2004) and McKeon et al (2007) as a Biot number because of the presence of $p_{\rm w}$, but it also integrates heat generation effects through $q_{\rm w}$. The important point is that $Y_{\rm w}$ can serve as a general parameter to quantify end-conduction effects since large values imply small wire cold lengths ℓ_c . As detailed by Bruun (1996), the parameter $Y_{\rm w}$ (noted K_1 in this reference) can be expressed using the (inverse) overheat resistance ratio $a_{\infty} = R_{\rm wa}/R_{\rm w\infty}$ with $R_{\rm wa}$ as the wire resistance at ambient temperature and $R_{w\infty}$ as the one in the (hypothetical) case where there are no end-conduction losses, such that $Y_{\rm w} = \sqrt{a_{\infty} p_{\rm w}} = \sqrt{a_{\infty} {\rm Bi}_{\rm w}}$. One can clearly see that $Y_{\rm w}$ is very similar to the parameter Λ , except for the different definitions of the resistance ratios a and a_{∞} . $Y_{\rm w}$ will be referred to as an extended Biot number in the present work.



Fig. 2 Dimensionless static temperature distribution for different hot-wire configurations. The gray area highlights the region where a stub is present, if any. Operating conditions are provided in Table 1. $T_{\rm mw}$ refers to the mean temperature of the wire.

2.3 Steady-state solution

In steady condition, equation (2) writes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \overline{\theta}}{\mathrm{d}\eta^2} - (\overline{p}_\lambda - \overline{q}_\lambda) \overline{\theta} + \overline{Z}_\lambda = 0\,,\tag{7}$$

with overbars denoting static variables. This ODE can be solved analytically (using symbolic computing in the case with stubs) to evaluate the static temperature distribution \overline{T} in the wire and the stubs. It is assumed that $\overline{\theta} = 0$ at the prongs only. Furthermore, at the junctions between wire and stubs ($\eta = \pm 1$), continuity of $\overline{\theta}$ and heat flux conservation are enforced.

Solutions obtained for HW probes without and with stubs are shown in Figure 2. Here, a mean flow velocity $U = 10 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ is selected to be similar to that observed at the location of maximum fluctuation in the TBL studied in Section 5, and the current I in each wire is selected to provide a mean temperature $T_{\rm mw}$ of the (micro-)wire itself of about 220 °C. The first probe is a conventional tungsten HW probe without stubs, with a diameter of $5 \, \mu m$ and a length of $1.25 \, mm$, thus having a ratio $\ell/d = 250$. Its dimensionless temperature distribution is relatively similar to that obtained considering a µHW probe of length $\ell = 60 \,\mu\text{m}$ without stubs, which is consistent with the work of Bailey et al (2010) on the NSTAP. However, a major difference between these two probes is found in the ratio of heat conducted to the prongs to total heat generated by Joule effect, noted $\sigma_{\rm w}$ (Freymuth 1979). The values are given in Table 1, showing that 54% of the heat is lost to the prongs in the case of a $60\,\mu\text{m}$ long μHW without stubs while it is 22% for the reference HW probe for this flow condition. This is the result of a significantly lower extended Biot

	Re_w	Nu_{w}	Λ	$Y_{\rm w}$	$\sigma_{ m w}$
ref. HW (no stubs)	3.32	1.26	5.09	2.49	0.22
$\ell = 60 \mu\mathrm{m}$ no stubs	1.0	0.59	2.08	0.59	0.54
$\ell = 60 \mu m$	1.0	0.59	2.08	0.92	0.42
$\ell = 80 \mu \mathrm{m}$	1.0	0.59	2.77	1.39	0.32
$\ell = 200 \mu \mathrm{m}$	1.0	0.59	6.95	3.98	0.12

Table 1 Dimensionless parameters for the modeled HWs and considering a flow velocity $U = 10 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ at a static temperature $T_{\rm f} = 20$ °C. The reference HW is a classical 1.25 mm long, 5 µm tungsten wire operated at an overheat ratio of 0.8. The modeled µHWs, with their geometry defined in Section 2.1, are operated without or with elongated stubs at a wire overheat ratio of 0.66.

number $Y_{\rm w}$ in the case of the micro-probe, suggesting potentially greater attenuation of heat waves compared to the reference probe. However, as demonstrated later, this attenuation manifests at much higher frequencies due to the reduced characteristic time of the micro-wire.

The addition of thin, elongated stubs significantly modifies this static temperature distribution. This is illustrated by considering three µHW probes with wire lengths of $60 \,\mu\text{m}$, $80 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $200 \,\mu\text{m}$. As depicted in Figure 2, more uniform temperature distributions along the micro-wires are achieved. While part of the generated heat is conducted to the stubs, where non-linear temperature profiles are then observed, this heat loss is reduced compared to the case without stubs. This is quantified in Table 1, showing for instance that the inclusion of stubs in the 60 μ m long μ HW increases $Y_{\rm w}$ from 0.59 to 0.92 and decreases $\sigma_{\rm w}$ from 54% to 42%. By construction, this improvement is not captured by the Λ parameter since the effects of stubs on the boundary conditions are discarded in its derivation. Increasing the micro-wire length further increases the extended Biot number and decreases the percentage of heat lost to the stubs, suggesting further improvement in mitigating heat-waves attenuation.

2.4 Dynamic response and wire attenuation

To support these results and gain a better understanding of the impact of such elongated stubs on the dynamic response of micro-wires, a simplified analytic approach is considered. Using equations (2) and (7), the equation governing small temperature fluctuations in the micro-wire is expressed as

$$\tau \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \theta'}{\partial \eta^2} - (p'_{\rm w} - q'_{\rm w})\overline{\theta} - (\overline{p}_{\rm w} - \overline{q}_{\rm w})\theta' + Z'_{\rm w}, \qquad (8)$$

where the primes indicate fluctuations. This equation can be reorganized to collect the terms related to velocity and intensity fluctuations, denoted as u' and i', respectively, and contained in p'_{w} , q'_{w} and Z'_{w} . The



Fig. 3 Dynamic response of the different hot-wires considered in Figure 2 and Table 1 in terms of magnitude of the complex transfer function $D = \hat{i}'/\hat{u}'$ for a range of the perturbation frequency f. Amplitudes are normalized using values at f =100 Hz.

reader is referred to the work of Li (2004) for details on the approach followed to assess the wire response in terms of magnitude of the transfer function $D(\omega) = \hat{i}'(j\omega)/\hat{u}'(j\omega)$ where $j\omega$ refers to the complex angular frequency and the hat to Laplace transformed variables. The normalized transfer function amplitude is expected to provide relevant quantitative results for comparing attenuation effects in different wires.

However, it is important to note that this analysis has limitations and will not perfectly model the true, experimental wire responses. Three key simplifications are emphasized. Firstly, resistance fluctuations of the stubs are ignored. It is assumed that overall resistance fluctuations are mainly influenced by those of the micro-wire, owing to the significant difference of thermal inertia and heat exchange with the flow between the two elements. This implies that the effect of the stubs on the dynamical response of the wires is solely captured through a modification of the static temperature distribution. Secondly, the analysis considers only uniform velocity perturbations along the micro-wire, despite the presence of various transverse turbulent length scales interacting with it. Lastly, perfect wire resistance compensation at all times is assumed, neglecting coupling with the CTA system. While this aspect is beyond the scope of this analysis, it may be explored further following the work of Li (2004) and Samie et al (2018a) for instance.

Figure 3 displays the magnitude of the transfer function for the different hot-wires considered in Figure 2. Similarly to Li (2004), the reference $1.25 \text{ mm} \log \text{HW}$ begins to exhibit attenuation at a few hundred Hertz. In contrast, a µHW designed without stubs (thus relatively similar to a NSTAP) significantly increases this cut-off frequency to around 8 kHz but also displays a larger and steeper attenuation beyond this threshold. This substantial high-frequency attenuation may not pose a severe limitation depending on the specific frequency content of the flow under consideration, as demonstrated for example by NSTAP measurements reported in the work of Samie et al (2018b). More importantly for the present study, the addition of stubs to this micro-wire appears to mitigate this high-frequency attenuation, approximately halving it and consequently improving the micro-wire cut-off frequency. Moreover, increasing the micro-wire length further reduces the attenuation, but at the cost of a reduction in the micro-wire cutoff frequency. These results suggest for instance that spectral differences may be experimentally observed between the 60 µm and 200 µm µHW probes for frequencies around 10 kHz. This point is further discussed in Section 5, where no such differences are reported.

This analysis suggests that incorporating thin, elongated stubs, as proposed in Section 2.1, should overall improve the spectral response of a micro-wire. However, an experimental validation is necessary due to the limitations of this analytical approach. This is addressed in the rest of this work. Section 3 provides details on the manufacturing process of such μ HW probes and analyses their steady electrical and aerodynamic responses. These probes are then tested to measure near-wall velocity fluctuations in a turbulent boundary layer: Section 4 provides a description of the experimental setup and the actual performance of the μ HW probes are finally discussed in Section 5.

3 Fabrication and characterization of the probe

3.1 Manufacturing process

The fabrication steps for the μ HW probes involve several key processes. The primary structural material is silicon, a semiconductor largely used in microtechnology. Starting with a 4 inch 200 µm-thick double-side polished Si wafer, 200 nm-thick layers of silicon nitride (SiN_x) are formed on both sides through Low-Pressure Chemical Vapor Deposition (LPCVD). This deposition method yields flat and robust electrically insulating layers with low residual tensile stresses and ensures consistent repeatability in fabrication (Temple-Boyer et al 1998).

Subsequently, a single 200 nm-thick layer of platinum is added using Electron Beam Physical Vapor Deposition (EBPVD), providing thermo-resistive parameters closely matching those of bulk Pt. Platinum is chosen as the conductive material for the micro-wires due to its durability and stability. Importantly, a very



Fig. 4 Schematic of the manufacturing steps of the μ HW probes (cross-sectional view containing the micro-wire).

thin tantalum oxide (Ta_xO_y) adhesive layer is added before Pt deposition (Johnson et al 1990). This adhesive layer plays a crucial role in ensuring the long-term stability of the thermo-resistive properties of the wires discussed in Section 3.2.

Once this stack of materials is obtained, standard semi-conductor fabrication techniques are used to produce the µHWs. The different steps are summarized in Figure 4. Before each step, the samples undergo a careful cleaning process in ultrasound baths filled with acetone and ethanol, followed by rinsing with de-ionized water and dehydration. Each layer of Pt and SiN_x is then sequentially patterned using photo-lithography and etched by Reactive Ion Etching (RIE) (Figures 4(ad)). The final step aims at shaping the Si substrate, without the requirement to produce streamlined sensors as explained in Section 2.1. An alkaline solution of potassium hydroxide (KOH) is thus used to etch the silicon and free the elongated bridge and the microwire. Importantly, this solution etches the silicon substrate following its crystal planes. Using a $\langle 100\rangle$ orientation Si wafer, etching occurs at an angle of 54.7° from the horizontal axis (Figure 4(e)). Therefore, particular attention has to be given to the design of the photo-lithographic masks to account for this. Finally, the samples are rinsed in a deionized water solution and are briefly immersed in acetone and isopropanol to remove potential residues.

An example of a μ HW probe is presented in Figure 5(a) with a detailed focus on the micro-wire itself shown in Figure 5(b). The μ HW probe is mounted onto a custom Printed Circuit Board (PCB) connector, specifically designed to fit into standard HW probe





Fig. 5 (a) Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) image of a μ HW probe with $\ell=60\,\mu$ m mounted on a custom PCB connector; (b) Close-up of the micro-wire.

supports. This connector eliminates the need for conductive glue, which could introduce additional contact resistances between the sensor and its support. In this design, the connection is done using aluminum bonding wires that were found to be very robust across various flow conditions.

3.2 Electrical properties

Adjusting the operating overheat ratio of each μ HW probe requires knowledge of their thermo-resistive parameters, namely R_0 , which is the resistance at 0 °C, and α_0 , the temperature coefficient of resistance.

These parameters are estimated for each μ HW probe by placing them in a calibration oven and measuring the total probe resistance R(T) across a temperature range using a constant current of 0.1 mA. A linear-regression then provides estimates of R_0 and α_0 . These parameters apply to the entire μ HW probe rather than the micro-wire alone. The value of R_0 thus depends on the lengths of both the stubs and the microwire. For instance, at an ambient temperature of 20 °C, the total resistance of a µHW probe with $\ell = 60 \,\mu\text{m}$ is in the order of 100 Ω , while it is approximately 160 Ω for $\ell = 200 \,\mu\text{m}$. The resistances of the micro-wires themselves can be estimated knowing the geometry of the probes and the resistivity of Pt, resulting in values of approximately 35 Ω and 110 Ω at ambient temperature, respectively.

The TCR α_0 is solely determined by the wire material and remains constant for every µHW probe, regardless of the micro-wire length. The values obtained are in the order of $0.0036 \,\mathrm{K^{-1}}$. These values are near that of bulk platinum $\alpha_0^{(\mathrm{Pt})} = 0.0039 \,\mathrm{K^{-1}}$, which is beneficial since large TCR values enhance the probe sensitivity. For comparison, the Pt-based micro-probes fabricated at Princeton University and at Twente University have significantly lower TCR values, ranging from $0.0016 \,\mathrm{K^{-1}}$ to $0.0022 \,\mathrm{K^{-1}}$ (Vallikivi and Smits 2014; Le-The et al 2021).

The stability of the μ HW probes was studied to evaluate the magnitude of temporal drift of resistance during operation. Tests were performed by setting a newly fabricated μ HW probe at a temperature of 200 °C for several days. Its resistance was continuously monitored and the largest amplitude variation observed over 17 days was about $\pm 1.1\%$ of the mean value. These minute variations are however likely the result of minor changes in the oven temperature rather than changes in the thermo-resistive parameters of the probe. This excellent stability was finally also observed during operation in wind tunnels, confirming that no prior annealing of the probe is necessary, an aging process that is sometimes required for HW probes (Vallikivi and Smits 2014).

3.3 Operation and aerodynamic calibration

The µHW probes were operated at constant temperature to perform velocity measurements. This was achieved using a commercial CTA module from Dantec Dynamics (90C10) operated with a 1:1 bridge and an external resistor to set the resistance overheat ratio at an approximate value of 0.7.

The static response of the μ HW probes was studied using a jet calibration unit regulated in velocity. The mean CTA output voltage E is given as a function of the mean flow velocity U in Figure 6 for a μ HW probe with $\ell = 60 \,\mu$ m. An excellent fit with relative errors lower than 0.25% is observed using the classical King's law expressed as $E^2 = a + b U^n$ where a, b, and n are the calibration parameters. This excellent fit, even observed for low velocities, supports the use of such a law despite the non-cylindrical shape of the micro-wires,



Fig. 6 Calibration results for a µHW probe with $\ell = 60$ µm and fitted with King's law. Uncertainties on velocity measurements of $\pm 1\%$ are indicated using red error bars. Resulting uncertainties on the parameters a, b and n are $\pm 0.23\%, \pm 0.61\%, \pm 0.37\%$, respectively. Relative errors provide relative differences between the fit and measurements.

which is consistent with the work of Vallikivi (2014) on the NSTAP for example. Similar fit results were obtained with the others μ HW probes.

For TBL measurements in the wind tunnel, each μ HW calibration was performed with an assumed uncertainty on the mean flow velocity measured by a Pitot tube of $\pm 1\%$. The uncertainties and covariances of the calibration parameters were assessed based on this estimate, resulting in uncertainty intervals provided in the caption of Figure 6. Finally, errors between calibration results obtained before and after a complete TBL profile measurement as performed in Section 4 were experimentally evaluated to be on the order of 0.1%. These errors were found to be induced by minor flow temperature drifts, confirming the thermo-resistive stability of the probes during operation.

3.4 Square wave test response

As mentioned in Section 1, the SWT does not fully capture the frequency response of a HWA system. It is primarily used here to demonstrate the stability of the HWA system operating with a µHW probe in CTA mode and to compare responses with conventional HW probes.

Figure 7(a) presents examples of SWT responses obtained using both a reference 1.25 mm HW and a 80 µm µHW in a uniform flow at 25 m s^{-1} . For these measurements, the CTA amplifier filter and gain were set to their minimum values, allowing a comparison of the responses of the two HWA systems with similar con-



Fig. 7 SWT responses for (a) a reference 1.25 mm HW probe and an 80 µm µHW placed in a uniform flow at 25 m s^{-1} with minimal tuning of the CTA system, and (b) the same µHW probe but with improved CTA tuning, tested at two flow velocities. The vertical red dashed line marks the temporal delay τ_3 for which 3% of the maximum amplitude is reached. This value is commonly used to estimate the SWT cut-off frequency as $1/(1.3\tau_3)$ (Freymuth 1977).

figurations. Characteristic time responses are provided, corresponding to SWT cut-off frequencies of approximately 51 kHz for the system with the reference HW probe and 118 kHz for the one with the µHW probe.

Tuning the CTA module can reduce this characteristic time. An optimized response for the HWA system operating the μ HW probe is illustrated in Figure 7(b) for mean flow velocities of 10 m s^{-1} and 25 m s^{-1} . The former corresponds to the mean velocity measured at the location of maximum fluctuation in the TBL discussed in Section 5. Similar stable operation is observed in both cases, with a SWT cut-off frequency of approximately 145 kHz. Furthermore, both temporal responses are slightly under-damped, aligning with recommendations for achieving flat spectral responses (Bruun 1996) and suggesting suitable tuning for conducting velocity measurements across the TBL (Hutchins et al 2015).



Fig. 8 Sensitivity to pitch angle ϕ of a µHW probe ($\ell = 60 \,\mu$ m) and a NSTAP. U is the mean velocity measured by the probe and U_0 is the one measured at $\phi = 0^{\circ}$.

3.5 Angular sensitivity

The angular configuration of a HW probe can significantly impact measurements due to disturbances induced by elements like the prongs and the stem (Comte-Bellot et al 1971). In addition, the present micro-wire is not circular but has a ribbon shape, and the current probes have to be slightly inclined to perform near-wall velocity measurements. Therefore, a sensitivity analysis to pitch angle ϕ was conducted to gain further insight into the performance of the µHW probes.

The pitch sensitivity of a 60 µm µHW probe is displayed in Figure 8 alongside measurements obtained with a NSTAP. While both probes exhibit relatively similar trends, slight differences can nonetheless be emphasized. Firstly, for negative pitch angles, the velocities measured by the µHW probe tend to be underestimated, whereas the NSTAP yields slightly overestimated values. Secondly, the derivative $\partial(U/U_0)/\partial\phi$ for the µHW probe is close to zero around $\phi = 0^\circ$, indicating minimal sensitivity of the probe to pitch angle (or equivalently to velocity vector angle) within the range of $\pm 5^\circ$. This result could be attributed to the use of elongated stubs, which are expected to reduce the influence of the prongs on the flow interacting with the micro-wire.

For velocity measurements in a TBL, it is then recommended to operate the μ HW probes with a pitch angle in the range of $\pm 5^{\circ}$ to minimize probe disturbance effects. However, this analysis was conducted in a free flow, and additional disturbances should be expected when the probe is placed close to a wall as highlighted in Section 5.



Fig. 9 Photograph of a μ HW probe positioned on a flat plate with an absolute pitch angle $\phi = 5.3^{\circ}$.

4 Experimental study in a turbulent boundary layer

The performance of μ HW probes with different microwire lengths was experimentally assessed by measuring velocity profiles in a zero-pressure-gradient turbulent boundary layer. This section details the experimental setup used (Section 4.1), some characteristics of the TBL (Section 4.2), the way TBL parameters and uncertainties are evaluated (Section 4.3) and the different types of probes that were operated (Section 4.4).

4.1 Experimental setup

The experiments were conducted in an open return wind tunnel with a working section measuring $2.5 \text{ m} \times 0.4 \text{ m} \times 0.3 \text{ m}$, where a 1.8 m aluminum flat plate featuring an elliptical leading edge was mounted. The boundary layer developing on this plate was triggered using a 0.3 mm thick zig-zag tape positioned 10 cm away from the leading edge.

Velocity measurements with the different HW probes considered in this work were obtained at an axial location 1.3 m from the leading edge. A Pitot tube was installed at this location, in the uniform freestream region, serving as a reference for in-situ velocity calibration of the HW probes.

Positioning of all the HW probes relatively to the wall was achieved using a 5 Mpx camera equipped with a 180 mm macro lens. This allowed to adjust the vertical location of the probes with a visual accuracy of about 50 µm, but it also provided a way to evaluate the pitch angle, as illustrated in Figure 9 where $\phi = 5.3^{\circ}$. As also shown in this figure, the µHW probes were used upside-down. This orientation of the probe allows to position the micro-wire closer to wall, without being constrained by the thickness of the Si substrate. However, this positioning approach does not provide a sufficiently accurate estimate of the distance of the wire from the wall, which is an important variable in the identification process of the TBL parameters (Örlü et al 2010). The

exact wall location is then later corrected during postprocessing of the velocity profiles as detailed in Section 4.3. Finally, the HW probes are traversed within the TBL using a precision linear stage (Newport UTS 150-PP), having a displacement accuracy of $\pm 1.7 \,\mu\text{m}$.

All the HW probes were controlled with the same CTA module (90C10, Dantec Dynamics). The uHW probes and the NSTAP were operated as detailed in Section 3.3 whereas the reference $1.25 \,\mathrm{mm}$ HW probe was operated using a 1:20 bridge. The CTA module output signal was directly acquired using a 24-bit acquisition card (NI-9239) at a frequency of 50 kHz. For each measurement point in the TBL, the CTA signal was acquired over a duration $T_{\rm ac}$ of 30 s, yielding more than 40 000 boundary layer turnover times δ/U_{∞} , which is considered sufficient to provide converged TBL statistics (Hutchins et al 2009). Concurrently, freestream measurements obtained from the Pitot tube and a thermocouple were acquired to discard measurements for which external velocity and temperature varied by more than $\pm 0.5\%$ during one complete traverse. The classical square-root temperature correction is applied during post-processing to reduce residual biases induced by any remaining flow temperature drifts (Bruun 1996).

4.2 Details on the TBL

The wind tunnel was operated at a freestream velocity $U_{\infty} = 25 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ with its ceiling adjusted to yield a zero-pressure-gradient (ZPG) TBL. At the axial location where HW measurements were performed, the resulting mean friction velocity U_{τ} is in the order of $1.0 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$ and the TBL thickness δ_{99} is approximately $18 \,\mathrm{mm}$. Under normal atmospheric conditions, with $T_{\mathrm{atm}} = 20 \,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$, the friction Reynolds number is then $\mathrm{Re}_{\tau} = \delta_{99}^{+} \approx 1150$. Significant energetic content is observed up to $f_{\mathrm{max}} \approx 20 \,\mathrm{kHz}$ and $f_{\mathrm{max}}^{+} \approx 0.3$, a value in agreement with the upper bound proposed by Hutchins et al (2009). This frequency is below the Nyquist frequency of the acquisition system, preventing aliasing.

To better assess the state of the present TBL, a simple approach is to rely on a variant of the diagnostic plot proposed by Alfredsson and Örlü (2010), which does not require any estimate of the wall position or friction velocity. In Figure 10, the ratio u'/U of (sample) velocity standard deviation to mean velocity is displayed as a function of the normalized mean velocity U/U_{∞} for measurements obtained using a reference 1.25 mm HW probe and using a NSTAP provided by Princeton University. These results can be compared to the linear empirical relation proposed by Sanmiguel Vila et al (2017) in the outer region of the TBL (such that



Fig. 10 Diagnostic plot of velocity measurements obtained with a reference 1.25 mm HW and with a NSTAP. The inset highlights the comparison with the linear relation proposed by Sanmiguel Vila et al (2017) for a well-behaved ZPG TBL and with DNS results provided by Schlatter and Örlü (2010) for a TBL profile at $\delta^+ = 1145$.

 $0.7 < U/U_{\infty} < 0.9$), and expressed as

$$\frac{u'}{U} = \gamma - \beta \frac{U}{U_{\infty}} \tag{9}$$

with $\gamma = 0.28$ and $\beta = 0.245$ for "well-behaved" ZPG TBLs. A satisfactory agreement is obtained in this region of the TBL for both sets of measurements, indicating a relative canonical state. Differences between the reference HW and the NSTAP measurements are however observed in regions of the TBL closer to the wall, for $U/U_{\infty} < 0.7$. This discrepancy reflects the effect of spatial filtering of the small eddies by the reference 1.25 mm HW. This is particularly emphasized by comparing the measurements with DNS results provided by Schlatter and Örlü (2010) for a ZPG TBL at $\delta^+ = 1145$, showing a satisfactory match with NSTAP data.

4.3 Measurement uncertainities and TBL parameters estimation

This section aims to evaluate uncertainties in µHW velocity measurements and TBL parameter estimates. Sources of bias or systematic measurement errors are addressed later, alongside the results presented in Section 5. Importantly, it should be noted that no corrections are applied to the measurements to account for errors induced by near-wall effects, for instance. Therefore, the uncertainties evaluated here can only indicate plausible measurement dispersion around potentially biased values.

The main source of uncertainty in the present HW velocity measurements was estimated to originate from the HW calibration. Other sources of uncertainty such as statistical estimation, atmospheric variations and voltage reading were found to be comparatively negligible by at least one order of magnitude. Calibration uncertainties were propagated to mean and velocity variance estimates obtained from HW measurements using a Monte Carlo approach that relies on the uncertainty covariance matrix of the calibration parameters, as detailed for example by Rezaeiravesh et al (2018).

TBL parameters were then evaluated by fitting a composite analytical profile proposed by Chauhan et al (2007) to the mean velocity measurements with uncertainty, thus providing estimates for the mean friction velocity U_{τ} , the wake parameter Π and the (theoretical) boundary layer thickness δ . Furthermore, given the relative canonical state of the present ZPG TBL, the minimized objective function was augmented by an additional loss on the wall location z_0 , promoting a location of the peak of velocity variance near $(z - z_0)^+ = 15$. The value of the von Kármán constant is here assumed to be 0.384 following Nagib and Chauhan (2008). Finally, near-wall measurements clearly biased by conduction or intrusivity effects were discarded prior to fitting. The diagnostic plot shown later in Section 5.1was particularly useful in this regard, indicating that the first 5 points in the present measurements should typically be omitted.

As a result, uncertainties on the estimated TBL parameters were also obtained, with a typical interval of $\pm 0.5\%$ for the mean friction velocity U_{τ} . However, this approach assumes that no bias is present in the measurements and that the chosen model is perfect. As discussed in Section 5, the present near-wall HW measurements are most likely biased due to intrusivity effects. Given the definite influence of near-wall mean velocity on the estimated parameters, it was considered more reasonable to assume an actual uncertainty on U_{τ} of $\pm 1\%$ for this indirect approach. This uncertainty on U_{τ} was finally algebraically propagated to estimates of inner-scaled quantities such as U^+ and $(u'^2)^+$.

4.4 Tested HW probes

The five μ HW probes selected in Section 2.1 were manufactured and used for TBL measurements. Dimensional characteristics are summarized in Table 2 and can be compared with those of the reference HW probe and the NSTAP. Notably, only the reference probe presents a viscous-scaled wire length ℓ^+ greater than 13, thus yielding spatially-filtered results, as already illustrated in Figure 10.

Probe	ℓ (µm)	ℓ^+	ℓ/w	ℓ/t	Λ	$Y_{\rm w}$	$\sigma_{ m w}$	
ref. HW	1250	81.34	250	250	5.09	2.49	0.22	
$\mu \mathrm{HW}$	60	3.90	40	150	2.07	0.92	0.42	
μHW	80	5.21	53	200	2.77	1.39	0.32	
μHW	100	6.51	67	250	3.45	1.85	0.26	
μHW	150	9.76	100	375	5.19	2.93	0.16	
μHW	200	13.01	133	500	6.95	3.98	0.12	

2.01

1.17

0.52

600

Table 2 Characteristics of the different probes used for TBL measurements: length of the hot-wire element ℓ , ratios to other characteristic lengths $(\ell^+, \ell/w \text{ and } \ell/t)$, and estimated parameters for quantification of end-conduction effects for a mean flow velocity of $10 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ (Λ , Y_{w} and σ_{w}). The last two parameters are estimated relying on the analytical model described in Section 2.2.

30

Table 2 also presents estimates for three parameters defined in Section 2.2, aiming to evaluate the importance of end-conduction effects. Values of the Λ parameter range from 2 to 7 for the µHW probes; however, theses values are provided for reference only, as this parameter is not specifically tailored to account for the presence of elongated stubs, as discussed in Section 2.3. More relevant for this study, the values of the extended Biot number $Y_{\rm w}$ range from 0.9 to 4, while the percentage of heat conducted to the stubs ranges from 42% to 12%, respectively.

5 Results and discussion

5.1 Velocity statistics

re

NSTAP

60

3.90

The diagnostic plot in Figure 11 shows velocity measurements obtained with the HW probes listed in Table 2. The various µHW probes tested exhibit consistent profiles, aligning well with NSTAP results and DNS data. Although minor differences may be observed in the outer region (see the discussion in Appendix A for a plausible explanation), the main deviations between µHW measurements (NSTAP included) and DNS results are found in the near-wall region, for $U/U_{\infty} < 0.4$, approximately corresponding to $z^+ < 20$. These discrepancies highlight the presence of biases in the nearwall measurements of U or u'. Interestingly, these biases appear to be relatively independent of the microwire length, as no discernible trend is evident in Figure 11. This suggests that the observed bias might be due to the prongs. The simple design of the µHW prototypes likely causes a blockage effect, particularly near the wall, which can vary between probes. For instance,



Fig. 11 Diagnostic plot of velocity measurements obtained using a reference HW probe, μ HW probes with different microwire lengths ℓ and a NSTAP. The same DNS dataset as in Figure 10 is used for comparison.

their orientations relative to the wall are not precisely controlled and can differ by about $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ in pitch angle, potentially contributing to the observed variations. Similar deviations are seen with the NSTAP, which also shows slight but comparable near-wall intrusivity effects.

Profiles along the viscous-scaled wall-normal direction z^+ of velocity statistics estimated from measurements obtained with the different HW probes are displayed in Figure 12. Examining the profiles of mean velocity U^+ in Figure 12(a), an overall satisfactory collapse of all the acquired data is observed. Compared to the reference HW probe, micro-wires achieve measurements much closer to the wall, reaching into the viscous sub-layer down to $z^+ = 2$ for the present flow configuration. However, for such near-wall locations, a bias arises due to heat transfer from the wire to the wall. This results in overestimated values of flow velocity as compared to the law of the wall for $z^+ < 5$, in agreement with Lange et al (1999). The second critical aspect of the µHW measurements is the presence of another source of bias for z^+ below 20. This can be seen by comparing the present results with the DNS data of Schlatter and Örlü (2010): as shown in the inset of Figure 12(a), mean velocity values are slightly underestimated in the buffer layer, for z^+ around 10. As previously discussed using the diagnostic plot shown in Figure 11, these discrepancies are most likely the consequence of near-wall intrusivity effects.

Examining now the profiles of viscous-scaled variance, skewness and excess kurtosis shown in Figures 12(b-d) reveals satisfactory results, as highlighted by comparison with the DNS data. Notably, all the



Fig. 12 Profiles of the first four moments of velocity obtained with the probes listed in Table 2: (a) inner-scaled mean velocity U^+ , (b) inner-scaled velocity variance $(u'^+)^2$, (c) skewness and (d) excess kurtosis. The DNS dataset is the one used in Figure 10. Figures (a) and (b) display error-bars associated with uncertainties as evaluated in Section 4.3. Details on the TBL are given in Section 4.2.

µHW probes, including the NSTAP, yield overlapping profiles for the three moments. Classical near-wall features are well retrieved: the peak of variance and a zerocrossing of the skewness are observed at $z^+ = 15$, and the excess kurtosis reaches its minimum slightly closer to the wall. These excellent agreements suggest that the near-wall intrusivity effects previously identified for z^+ around 10 mainly influence local mean velocity values, while having a lesser effect on the shape of the velocity distribution. However, closer examination of the velocity variance profiles reveals some discrepancies between DNS and µHW probe measurements in the logarithmic region of the TBL (for $50 < z^+ < 500$, approximately). Slightly overestimated values of $(u'^+)^2$ are systematically observed. This bias may be attributed to the probe response to instantaneous flow velocity angle, as discussed in Appendix A. Finally, as expected, the reference probe with a wire length $\ell^+ = 81$ shows inaccurate profiles, mainly due to spatial filtering of near-wall small-scale structures.

5.2 Turbulence spectra

The velocity statistics obtained from the tested μ HW probes indicate no major differences, suggesting minimal spatial filtering or end-conduction effects. While limited spatial filtering was anticipated since the microwires meet the criterion $\ell^+ < 13$, the absence of end-conduction effects is less expected based on the analysis conducted in Section 2.4.

A finer investigation is conducted by examining viscous-scaled pre-multiplied frequency spectra in Figure 13 at the two wall-normal locations $z^+ = 15$ and $z^+ = 300$. Remarkably, consistent results are observed across all the µHW probes in both cases. Even for viscous-scaled frequencies f^+ exceeding 5×10^{-2} , roughly corresponding to a frequency of 3 kHz under the current flow conditions, no significant differences are apparent. In comparison, the reference HW probe clearly yields attenuated spectra over the entire range of displayed frequencies in Figure 13(a) and for $f^+ > 1 \times 10^{-2}$ in Figure 13(b).

Referring to the frequency cut-off and attenuation predicted by the model of μ HW probes and illustrated in Figure 3, these results suggest two potential scenarios: either the frequency cut-off of the current μ HW probes is higher than anticipated and difficult to observe with the present spectral content, or attenuation effects are less severe than estimated. It is plausible that the model, as described in Section 2, is overly conservative, owing to approximations made in estimating the dynamic response of μ HW probes, and possibly due to uncertainties in the actual parameters of the



Fig. 13 Viscous-scaled pre-multiplied velocity spectra obtained with the reference HW and the μ HW probes listed in Table 2, at the wall-normal locations (a) $z^+ = 15$ and (b) $z^+ = 300$.

model (such as material thicknesses, thermal and electrical properties of thin layers, etc.).

6 Conclusion

The concept of micro-hot-wire probes, designed with thin elongated stubs to mitigate intrusivity and spectral attenuation, was evaluated through both of an analytical 1D model and measurements in a zero-pressuregradient TBL.

The model highlighted the effects of this design choice on both the static temperature distribution within the micro-wire and its frequency response. Overall, compared to a micro-probe without stubs, an increase of the wire extended Biot number, noted $Y_{\rm w}$ in this work, appears achievable. As a result, notable reduction in spectral attenuation can be expected. While the developed model effectively captured the associated trends and orders of magnitude, therefore providing guidance for designing new µHW probes and exploring the parameter space, experimental results obtained in the TBL suggest either that the model may need refinement to yield more accurate estimates of the wire frequency response, or that the model parameters are not known with sufficient accuracy. With the current model, the micro-wire spectral responses appear to be underestimated. To address these two points, dedicated studies may be considered. First, similar to Hutchins et al (2015), application to higher Reynolds-number flows should provide broadened turbulence spectra, allowing for a better identification of attenuation profiles and cut-off frequencies of the µHW probes. Second, it is known that electrical and thermal properties of thin layers can differ from bulk values (see for example Zhang et al (2005)). A finer characterization of the Pt and SiN_x layers used in this work should thus be considered.

The experimental part of this work demonstrated the ability of these "easy-to-fabricate" prototypes of µHW probes to provide satisfactory measurements of various moments of velocity in a ZPG TBL. Notably, the measured velocity statistics compared favorably with those obtained using the NSTAP, and these new µHWs showed remarkable stability in thermo-resistive properties. As expected, no significant spatial filtering was observed in the results obtained with probes featuring different micro-wire lengths, all satisfying $\ell^+ < 13$. This suggests that the effective sensing part of the probe is primarily defined by the micro-wire itself, with a contribution of heated parts of the elongated stubs likely negligible. Furthermore, no discernible endconduction effects were observed under the present flow configuration. This could be attributed to the fact that the frequency cut-offs of the µHW probes exceed the maximum relevant frequency of the flow, calling for measurements in different flow conditions with higher Reynolds numbers.

Despite the satisfactory results achieved, attributed in part to the use of elongated stubs, intrusivity effects were still identified. These effects were particularly noticeable in mean velocity measurements in close proximity to the wall and in velocity variance estimates in the logarithmic region of the TBL. The primary cause of these biases was attributed to the geometry of the prongs, indicating that while the stubs improve performance, they do not completely resolve this inherent issue with HWA. This underscores the need for further investigation into prong design. It is expected, for instance, that stationary simulations can help reproduce



Fig. 14 Profiles of (a) mean velocity and (b) velocity variance in wall-units comparing the DNS data of Schlatter and Örlü (2010) at $\delta^+ = 1145$ to a synthetically-generated HW signal accounting for flow angle sensitivity deduced from Figure 8.

such intrusivity effects and thus offer insights toward improved designs for accurate near-wall measurements.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the support of the staff at the Centrale de Technologie en Micro et Nanoélectronique (CTM), Université of Montpellier, France, during the micro-fabrication process. They also particularly thank Pr. M. Hultmark and A. Piqué for providing the NSTAPs used in this study.

A Effect of angular sensitivity on velocity fluctuation measurements

Pitch angle sensitivity of the probe, as described in Section 3.5 can alternatively be understood as the probe sensitivity to instantaneous flow velocity angle. This appendix highlights how the probe response shown in Figure 8 could bias HW velocity measurements.

To qualitatively illustrate this, a synthetic case is considered using the DNS results of Schlatter and Örlü (2010) at $\delta^+ = 1145$. For every wall-normal position z, a 2D synthetic signal $(u_i, v_i)^T$ is generated by drawing 100 000 samples from a bivariate normal distribution defined by a mean vector $(U, 0)^T$ and a 2 × 2 covariance matrix built with u'^2 , v'^2 and the crosscorrelation term \overline{uv} . This approach is intended to generate a 2D synthetic signal with first and second moments matching those of the DNS data, even though real TBL velocity samples are 3D and non-normally distributed. For each sample, the instantaneous velocity angle is calculated to evaluate the associated probe response factor deduced from Figure 8, which is then applied to the axial velocity sample.

The mean and variance profiles of this synthetic HW signal are shown in Figure 14 and are compared to the reference DNS profiles. While almost no difference is observed between mean velocity profiles, with relative errors below 0.2%, a clear overestimation is found in the profiles of velocity variance. This overestimation is particularly evident in the logarithmic region of the TBL, where maximum instantaneous flow angles are experienced, but is also present in the buffer layer and at the inner peak to a lesser extent. These results remarkably reproduce the trends shown in Figure 12(b), where μ HW measurements of velocity variance in the logarithmic region are consistently slightly above DNS results. This suggests that this potential source of bias, which likely also affects skewness and kurtosis, should be further considered (and possibly corrected for) when using micro-probes to precisely study velocity fluctuations in turbulent flows.

Declarations

Ethical Approval

Not applicable.

Funding

The PhD work of B.B. was funded by the Région Occitanie, France, and ONERA.

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Open Access

For the purpose of Open Access, a CC-BY public copyright license has been applied by the authors to the present document and will be applied to all subsequent versions up to the authoraccepted manuscript arising from this submission.

References

- Alfredsson PH, Örlü R (2010) The diagnostic plot a litmus test for wall bounded turbulence data. European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids 29(6):403–406, doi:10.1016/j.euromechflu.2010.07.006
- Bailey SCC, Kunkel GJ, Hultmark M, Vallikivi M, Hill JP, Meyer KA, Tsay C, Arnold CB, Smits AJ (2010) Turbulence measurements using a nanoscale thermal anemometry probe. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 663:160–179, doi:10.1017/s0022112010003447
- Bruun HH (1996) Hot-wire an emometry: principles and signal analysis. Measurement Science and Technology $7(10){:}024$

- Chauhan K, Nagib H, Monkewitz P (2007) On the Composite Logarithmic Profile in Zero Pressure Gradient Turbulent Boundary Layers. In: 45th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reno, Nevada, doi:10.2514/6.2007-532
- Comte-Bellot G, Strohl A, Alcaraz E (1971) On aerodynamic disturbances caused by single hot-wire probes. Journal of Applied Mechanics 38(4):767–774, doi:10.1115/1.3408953
- Fan Y, Arwatz G, Buren TWV, Hoffman DE, Hultmark M (2015) Nanoscale sensing devices for turbulence measurements. Experiments in Fluids 56(7), doi:10.1007/s00348-015-2000-0
- Freymuth P (1977) Frequency response and electronic testing for constant-temperature hot-wire anemometers. Journal of Physics E: Scientific Instruments 10(7):705–710, doi:10.1088/0022-3735/10/7/012
- Freymuth P (1979) Engineering estimate of heat conduction loss in constant temperature thermal sensors. TSI Quart 4:3-6
- Fu MK, Fan Y, Hultmark M (2019) Design and validation of a nanoscale cross-wire probe (x-NSTAP). Experiments in Fluids 60(6), doi:10.1007/s00348-019-2743-0
- Hultmark M, Ashok A, Smits AJ (2011) A new criterion for endconduction effects in hot-wire anemometry. Measurement Science and Technology 22(5):055,401, doi:10.1088/0957-0233/22/5/055401
- Hutchins N, Nickels TB, Marusic I, Chong MS (2009) Hot-wire spatial resolution issues in wall-bounded turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 635:103–136, doi:10.1017/S0022112009007721
- Hutchins N, Monty JP, Hultmark M, Smits AJ (2015) A direct measure of the frequency response of hot-wire anemometers: temporal resolution issues in wall-bounded turbulence. Experiments in Fluids 56(1), doi:10.1007/s00348-014-1856-8
- Jiang F, Tai YC, Ho CM, Li WJ (1994) A micromachined polysilicon hot-wire anemometer. In: Solid-State Sensor and Actuator Workshop, pp 264–267
- Johansson AV, Alfredsson PH (1983) Effects of imperfect spatial resolution on measurements of wall-bounded turbulentbx shear flows. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 137:409–421, doi:10.1017/s0022112083002487
- Johnson RG, Holmen JO, Foster RB, Sridhar U (1990) Adhesion layer for platinum based sensors. US Patent 4,952,904
- Khoo BC, Chew YT, Teo CJ, Lim CP (1999) The dynamic response of a hot-wire anemometer: III. voltageperturbation versus velocity-perturbation testing for nearwall hot-wire/film probes. Measurement Science and Technology 10(3):152–169, doi:10.1088/0957-0233/10/3/009
- Kokmanian K, Scharnowski S, Bross M, Duvvuri S, Fu MK, Kähler CJ, Hultmark M (2019) Development of a nanoscale hot-wire probe for supersonic flow applications. Experiments in Fluids 60(10), doi:10.1007/s00348-019-2797-z
- Kunkel G, Arnold C, Smits A (2006) Development of nstap: nanoscale thermal anemometry probe. In: 36th AIAA Fluid Dynamics Conference and Exhibit, p 3718
- Lange CF, Durst F, Breuer M (1999) Correction of hot-wire measurements in the near-wall region. Experiments in Fluids 26(5):475–477, doi:10.1007/s003480050312
- Le-The H, Küchler C, van den Berg A, Bodenschatz E, Lohse D, Krug D (2021) Fabrication of freestanding Pt nanowires for use as thermal anemometry probes in turbulence measurements. Microsystems & Nanoengineering 7(1):28, doi:10.1038/s41378-021-00255-0
- Li JD (2004) Dynamic response of constant temperature hot-wire system in turbulence velocity measurements.

Measurement Science and Technology 15(9):1835–1847, doi:10.1088/0957-0233/15/9/022

- Li JD, McKeon BJ, Jiang W, Morrison JF, Smits AJ (2004) The response of hot wires in high reynolds-number turbulent pipe flow. Measurement Science and Technology 15(5):789– 798, doi:10.1088/0957-0233/15/5/003
- Ligrani PM, Bradshaw P (1987a) Spatial resolution and measurement of turbulence in the viscous sublayer using subminiature hot-wire probes. Experiments in Fluids 5(6):407– 417, doi:10.1007/BF00264405
- Ligrani PM, Bradshaw P (1987b) Subminiature hot-wire sensors: development and use. Journal of Physics E: Scientific Instruments 20(3):323–332, doi:10.1088/0022-3735/20/3/019
- Löfdahl L, Stemme G, Johansson B (1989) A sensor based on silicon technology for turbulence measurements. Journal of Physics E: Scientific Instruments 22(6):391–393, doi:10.1088/0022-3735/22/6/013
- Löfdahl L, Stemme G, Johansson B (1992) Silicon based flow sensors used for mean velocity and turbulence measurements. Experiments in Fluids 12-12(4-5):270–276, doi:10.1007/bf00187305
- Löfdahl L, Chernoray V, Haasl S, Stemme G, Sen M (2003) Characteristics of a hot-wire microsensor for timedependent wall shear stress measurements. Experiments in Fluids 35(3):240–251, doi:10.1007/s00348-003-0624-y
- McKeon B, Comte-Bellot G, Foss J, Westerweel J, Scarano F, Tropea C, Meyers J, Lee J, Cavone A, Schodl R, Koochesfahani M, Andreopoulos Y, Dahm W, Mullin J, Wallace J, Vukoslavčević P, Morris S, Pardyjak E, Cuerva A (2007) Velocity, vorticity, and mach number. In: Springer Handbook of Experimental Fluid Mechanics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp 215–471, doi:10.1007/978-3-540-30299-5.5
- Monkewitz PA (2021) Asymptotics of streamwise reynolds stress in wall turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 931, doi:10.1017/jfm.2021.924
- Morris SC, Foss JF (2003) Transient thermal response of a hot-wire anemometer. Measurement Science and Technology 14(3):251–259, doi:10.1088/0957-0233/14/3/302
- Nagib HM, Chauhan KA (2008) Variations of von kármán coefficient in canonical flows. Physics of Fluids 20(10), doi:10.1063/1.3006423
- Örlü R, Alfredsson PH (2012) Comment on the scaling of the near-wall streamwise variance peak in turbulent pipe flows. Experiments in Fluids 54(1), doi:10.1007/s00348-012-1431-0
- Örlü R, Fransson JH, Henrik Alfredsson P (2010) On near wall measurements of wall bounded flows—the necessity of an accurate determination of the wall position. Progress in Aerospace Sciences 46(8):353–387, doi:10.1016/j.paerosci.2010.04.002
- Rezaeiravesh S, Vinuesa R, Liefvendahl M, Schlatter P (2018) Assessment of uncertainties in hot-wire anemometry and oil-film interferometry measurements for wall-bounded turbulent flows. European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids 72:57-73, doi:10.1016/j.euromechflu.2018.04.012
- Samie M, Hutchins N, Marusic I (2018a) Revisiting end conduction effects in constant temperature hot-wire anemometry. Experiments in Fluids 59(9):133, doi:10.1007/s00348-018-2587-z
- Samie M, Marusic I, Hutchins N, Fu MK, Fan Y, Hultmark M, Smits AJ (2018b) Fully resolved measurements of turbulent boundary layer flows up to. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 851:391–415, doi:10.1017/jfm.2018.508
- Sanmiguel Vila C, Vinuesa R, Discetti S, Ianiro A, Schlatter P, Örlü R (2017) On the identification of well-behaved turbu-

lent boundary layers. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 822:109–138, doi:10.1017/jfm.2017.258

- Schlatter P, Örlü R (2010) Assessment of direct numerical simulation data of turbulent boundary layers. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 659:116–126, doi:10.1017/S0022112010003113
- Smits AJ (2022) Batchelor prize lecture: Measurements in wall-bounded turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 940, doi:10.1017/jfm.2022.83
- Temple-Boyer P, Rossi C, Saint-Etienne E, Scheid E (1998) Residual stress in low pressure chemical vapor deposition SiNx films deposited from silane and ammonia. Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A: Vacuum, Surfaces, and Films 16(4):2003–2007, doi:10.1116/1.581302
- Vallikivi M (2014) Wall-bounded turbulence at high reynolds numbers. PhD thesis, Princeton University
- Vallikivi M, Smits AJ (2014) Fabrication and Characterization of a Novel Nanoscale Thermal Anemometry Probe. Journal of Microelectromechanical Systems 23(4):899–907, doi:10.1109/JMEMS.2014.2299276
- Vallikivi M, Hultmark M, Bailey SCC, Smits AJ (2011) Turbulence measurements in pipe flow using a nano-scale thermal anemometry probe. Experiments in Fluids 51(6):1521– 1527, doi:10.1007/s00348-011-1165-4
- Vallikivi M, Hultmark M, Smits AJ (2015) Turbulent boundary layer statistics at very high reynolds number. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 779:371–389, doi:10.1017/jfm.2015.273
- Weiss J, Jondeau E, Giani A, Charlot B, Combette P (2017) Static and dynamic calibration of a MEMS calorimetric shear-stress sensor. Sensors and Actuators A: Physical 265:211–216, doi:10.1016/j.sna.2017.08.048
- Willmarth WW, Sharma LK (1984) Study of turbulent structure with hot wires smaller than the viscous length. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 142:121–149, doi:10.1017/s0022112084001026
- Zhang X, Xie H, Fujii M, Ago H, Takahashi K, Ikuta T, Abe H, Shimizu T (2005) Thermal and electrical conductivity of a suspended platinum nanofilm. Applied Physics Letters 86(17), doi:10.1063/1.1921350