

### Assessing the environmental footprint of digital agriculture: research perspectives

Clémence Huck, Thibault Salou, Alexia Gobrecht, Eléonore Loiseau,

Véronique Bellon-Maurel

#### ► To cite this version:

Clémence Huck, Thibault Salou, Alexia Gobrecht, Eléonore Loiseau, Véronique Bellon-Maurel. Assessing the environmental footprint of digital agriculture : research perspectives. European Conference on Precision Agriculture, Jun 2023, Bologne (Italy), Italy. hal-04638335

### HAL Id: hal-04638335 https://hal.science/hal-04638335

Submitted on 8 Jul2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.




# Assessing the environmental footprint of digital agriculture : research perspectives

Clémence Huck<sup>a,b</sup>, Thibault Salou<sup>a,b</sup>, Alexia Gobrecht<sup>a</sup>, Eleonore Loiseau<sup>a,b</sup>, Véronique Bellon-Maurel<sup>a</sup> a: ITAP, Univ Montpellier, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France b: Elsa, Research Group for Environmental Lifecycle & Sustainability Assessment, Montpellier, France Contact: clemence.huck@inrae.fr

# Introduction

- **Digital tools for agriculture** are one of the levers to accelerate the agroecological transition. However, little is known about the impacts of their use on the environment and how to assess them.
- The **progressive adoption** of digital agriculture makes its environmental assessment necessary.
- **Three review** of the environmental impacts of digital agriculture have already been published, but the **methods** used to assess these impacts remain **poorly understood**.




This study aims at establishing a **state-of-the-art** of the digital tools evaluated and evaluation methods used. The methodological challenges of assessing the environmental impacts of these tools will be highlighted.

## General statement

9%

Out of the 56 papers reviewed...



... A majority deals with crop production while digital tools for livestock production and supply chain are

poorly assessed.

Other

14%

1

2

3

• -

• -

**V**-

# LCA methodological challenges

By LCA steps, from the 28 LCA studies

## **Goal and Scope**

The functional unit must represent the function of the digital tool. The system boundaries should

Interpretation

... Most of them are used Logistics to manage agricultural inputs. Other functions were identified like time savings and animal welfare.

Agricultural inputs management 77%

50% Of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

LCA is the environmental assessment method used by half of the papers. It is a standardised method to quantify the environmental impacts of a tool or a product. It is a multicriteria and life-cycle based analysis.

include both life cycle impacts of the digital tool and impacts from the introduction of the tool in the agricultural system.

### Life cycle inventory

**Data** about digital tools for agriculture **may lack** due to their emerging use and the recent concern about their environmental footprint.

## **Environmental impacts** assessment

All the environmental indicators of the impact assessment method should be presented. Digitalisation has negative consequences on indicators like mineral resource consumption, while agricultural practices impacts water use, ecotoxicity...

Uncertainties are often high because data come from various sources and some of them are approximated. The numerous LCA indicators make it difficult to rank scenarios.

**In-site impacts** related to the stakeholders territory are



not taken into account in the results

To date, the studies assessing the environmental impacts of digital tools for agriculture focused on input management in crop production. Further research must be carried out to have a better insight into the environmental footprint of digital agriculture in all its forms. Furthermore, guidelines must be provided in order to consider the specificities of digital agriculture in environmental assessment, such as consistent system boundaries and helpful indicators for stakeholders.











Liberté Égalité

Fraternité