



HAL
open science

Sub-millisecond electric field sensing with an individual rare-earth doped ferroelectric nanocrystal

Athulya Muraleedharan, Jingye Zou, Maxime Vallet, Abdelali Zaki, Christine Bogicevic, Charles Paillard, Karen Perronet, François Treussart

► To cite this version:

Athulya Muraleedharan, Jingye Zou, Maxime Vallet, Abdelali Zaki, Christine Bogicevic, et al.. Sub-millisecond electric field sensing with an individual rare-earth doped ferroelectric nanocrystal. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2024, 10.1021/acsami.4c11825 . hal-04637623v2

HAL Id: hal-04637623

<https://hal.science/hal-04637623v2>

Submitted on 21 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

Sub-millisecond electric field sensing with an individual rare-earth doped ferroelectric nanocrystal

Journal:	<i>ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces</i>
Manuscript ID	am-2024-11825n.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Muraleedharan, Athulya; ENS Paris-Saclay, LuMIn ZOU, Jingye; Université Paris-Saclay CentraleSupélec, SPMS Vallet, Maxime; Université Paris-Saclay CentraleSupélec, SPMS Zaki, Abdelali; Université Paris-Saclay CentraleSupélec, SPMS Bogicevic, Christine; Université Paris-Saclay CentraleSupélec, SPMS Paillard, Charles; Université Paris-Saclay CentraleSupélec, SPMS; University of Arkansas Fayetteville, Smart Ferroic Materials, Institute for Nanoscience & Engineering and Department of Physics Perronet, Karen; ENS Paris-Saclay, LuMIn TREUSSART, François; ENS Paris-Saclay, LuMIn

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Sub-millisecond electric field sensing with an individual rare-earth doped ferroelectric nanocrystal

Athulya K. Muraleedharan,[†] Jingye Zou,[‡] Maxime Vallet,[‡] Abdelali Zaki,[‡]
Christine Bogicevic,[‡] Charles Paillard,^{‡,¶} Karen Perronet,[†] and François
Treussart^{*,†}

[†]*Université Paris-Saclay, ENS Paris-Saclay, CNRS, CentraleSupélec, LuMIn, 91190
Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

[‡]*Université Paris-Saclay, CentraleSupélec, CNRS, Laboratoire SPMS, 91190
Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

[¶]*Smart Ferroic Materials, Institute for Nanoscience & Engineering and Department of
Physics, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville 72701 Arkansas, USA*

E-mail: francois.treussart@ens-paris-saclay.fr

Abstract

Understanding the dynamics of electrical signals within neuronal assemblies is crucial to unraveling complex brain function. Despite recent advances in employing optically active nanostructures in transmembrane potential sensing, there remains room for improvement in terms of response time and sensitivity. Here, we report the development of such a nanosensor capable of detecting electric fields with a submillisecond response time at the single particle level. We achieve this by using ferroelectric nanocrystals doped with rare earth ions producing upconversion (UC). When such a

1
2
3 nanocrystal experiences a variation of surrounding electric potential, its surface charge
4 density changes, inducing electric polarization modifications that vary, via converse
5 piezoelectric effect, the crystal field around the ions. The latter variation is finally
6 converted into UC spectral changes, enabling optical detection of electric potential. To
7 develop such a sensor, we synthesized erbium and ytterbium-doped barium titanate
8 crystals of size ≈ 160 nm. We observed distinct changes in the UC spectrum when
9 individual nanocrystals were subjected to an external field via a conductive AFM tip,
10 with a response time of 100 μ s. Furthermore, our sensor exhibits a remarkable sensitiv-
11 ity of 4.8 kV/cm/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, enabling time-resolved detection of fast changing electric field
12 of amplitude comparable to that generated during a neuron action potential.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Keywords

25
26
27 Sensor, Ferroelectrics, Barium titanate, Nanocrystal, Rare-Earth ions, Up-conversion
28
29
30

31 Introduction

32
33
34
35 The generation and propagation of electrical signals in neurons and across neuronal as-
36 semblies, which occur at the nanometer and millisecond scales, remain poorly understood,
37 despite the development of several theoretical models. For instance, the theory of core
38 conductor cable and the Goldman-Hodgkin-Huxley-Katz models of ion motion and voltage
39 propagation have provided theoretical foundations for neuronal physiology.^{1,2} These theories
40 account for the action potential, the few millisecond lasting voltage variation of 100 mV across
41 the ≈ 5 nm thick membrane (corresponding to 200 kV/cm peak voltage), which supports
42 neuron-to-neuron communication. However, these models neglect electrodiffusion, that is,
43 concentration changes associated with ionic currents. This assumption is true at millimeter
44 scale like the squid giant axon but fails to describe accurately the processes taking place at
45 the sub-micrometer size structure of synapses.^{3,4} The Poisson-Nernst-Planck theory reveals
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 that the geometry of synaptic compartments influences the distribution of local electric field
4 generated during synaptic transmission, which subsequently changes the concentration of
5 charged neurotransmitters undergoing electrodiffusion⁵ and therefore has a functional im-
6 pact. As the shape of the synapse changes during the execution of cognitive tasks,⁶ being
7 able to measure the electric field on this scale would not only be an observable of such key
8 plasticity but could provide an experimental test of the theory. There is thus a clear need to
9 develop non-toxic, local methods to sense electric fields in biological media in order to refine
10 current models of neuronal activity.
11
12

13
14
15 The detection of electric fields at the nanometer scale has initially been addressed using
16 ultrahigh resolution nanopipette electrophysiology.⁷ Although very powerful, this technique
17 can only study a single synapse at a time. On the contrary, voltage indicators (VI) were
18 designed to stain the entire neuronal membrane and allow fluorescence recording of trans-
19 membrane voltage dynamics⁸ throughout the cell with optical diffraction resolution. Enor-
20 mous progress has been made in the development of brighter and faster VI, and in particular
21 thanks to the development of hybrid chemigenetic systems that allow to combine optimally
22 chemical compounds with genetically encoded proteins.⁹ However, subdiffraction imaging
23 of a large number of synapses at high temporal resolution has not yet been reported. The
24 development of a technology offering the necessary high spatiotemporal resolution (typically
25 1 ms, 200 nm) to reliably interrogate electrophysiological dynamics at multiple neuronal
26 nanodomains would provide invaluable insights into open questions in neuronal electrophys-
27 iology.
28
29

30
31
32 Optically active nanostructures that transduce electric field changes into optical proper-
33 ties modifications constitute possible candidates, with photon-shotnoise ultimately limiting
34 the sensitivity. In this domain, quantum dots (QD) are particularly attractive as their pho-
35 toluminescence (PL) is redshifted by the quantum confined Stark effect, and in addition,
36 with a core size of a few nanometers, they can fit within the neuron membrane lipid dou-
37 ble layer where the field is maximal. Based on a QD-doped polymer layer, Rowland *et al.*
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 developed a device that can detect an electric field as small as 10 kV/cm within 1 ms time
4 scale,¹⁰ however obtained by involving about one billion of QD (estimated from QD concen-
5 tration, sensing layer thickness and excitation laser spot size). Another strategy of electric
6 field optical sensing harnessed the coupling of an electroactive polymer to a metallic nanoan-
7 tenna that transduces an external electric field into a detectable change in localized surface
8 plasmon resonances.¹¹ Compared to sensing based on QD, this approach benefits from a
9 four orders of magnitude larger interaction cross-section with the incident light, expected
10 to translate into a higher sensitivity. Indeed with such a device, Habib *et al.* were able
11 to sense a stimulated electroactivity of cultured cardiomyocytes, with submillisecond time
12 resolution¹¹ but to achieve such performances, the authors had again to integrate the light
13 scattered by about a million nanoantenna, hence reducing the spatial resolution to about
14 1 mm.
15
16

17
18
19 However, to maintain diffraction-limited imaging, an electric field must be sensed by a
20 single or very few nanoparticles only. There are a few examples of such systems that are based
21 on electric-field modulation of charge or Förster resonant energy transfer (FRET) between
22 the nanoparticle and another entity. For example, Nag *et al.* used a QD-fullerene (C₆₀)
23 conjugate, with the C₆₀ embedded in the cell membrane.¹² At resting membrane potential
24 the photoexcited QDs relax to the ground state partially by electron transfer to the C₆₀.
25 This transfer increases upon cell membrane depolarization, leading to a PL intensity relative
26 quenching $\Delta I/I_0$ of a few % that provides a transmembrane potential variation readout both
27 in cultured cell and in the cortex of live mouse. While chemical or electrical stimulation
28 leads to QD PL modulation, the fastest response time was about 100 ms, two order of
29 magnitude longer than the time response necessary to reproduce an action potential (AP)
30 with fidelity. Another conjugate introduced by Liu *et al.* consists of an up-converting
31 nanoparticle (UCNP) to which voltage sensing dyes were coupled, that serve on one hand
32 to anchor the UCNP into cell membrane and are also FRET acceptors.¹³ The authors of
33 this study report a relative change $\Delta I/I_0$ in UCNP luminescence intensity in the 0.4-6.1%
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 range in cultured cells, depending on the stimulation conditions, and a time response of
4 about 50 ms, still much longer than the duration of the AP. Hence, the spatial (≈ 200 nm)
5 and time (submillisecond) resolution required for membrane potential sensing remains to be
6 addressed.
7
8
9

10
11 Here, we report the development of ≈ 160 nm-sized standalone optically active nano-
12 crystals (NCs) capable of sensing, at the level of a single particle, a field of ≈ 152 kV/cm
13 slightly smaller than the peak amplitude field of an action potential, with a millisecond
14 response time. We based our sensing principle on a specific UCNP made of a ferroelectric
15 nanocrystal (NC) host doped with rare earth ions. When the NC is exposed to an external
16 electric field, its lattice undergoes deformations due to a converse piezoelectric effect, which
17 leads to spectral changes of the rare-earth ion up-conversion emission. The effectiveness of
18 this principle was established by Hao *et al.* who demonstrated, by a varying electric field
19 of 125 kV/cm amplitude, the modulation of the upconverted (UC) light from an ytterbium
20 (Yb^{3+}) and erbium (Er^{3+})-doped epitaxial barium titanate (BTO) thin film,¹⁴ at room
21 temperature (in BTO ferroelectric phase). The authors demonstrated an UC modulation at a
22 frequency of 0.1 Hz, far below the maximum frequency of ≈ 10 kHz limited by the UC process
23 response time of ≈ 100 μs in erbium-doped BTO.¹⁵ Thus, here we develop BTO:Yb,Er
24 individual nanocrystals of size ≈ 160 nm, which we expose to a fast varying electric field
25 with the conductive tip of an atomic force microscope (AFM), coupled to a fluorescence
26 microscope. We demonstrate that 47% of the nanocrystals exhibit a fast variation in UC
27 intensity with a response time of ≈ 100 μs , limited by the duration of the UC optical cycle.
28 Furthermore, BTO nanocrystals are ideal to develop sensors that could be rapidly tested in
29 biological contexts due to their pre-existing use in drug delivery or tissue engineering,¹⁶ but
30 also for their intrinsic optical two-photon excitation response¹⁷ (resulting from the crystal
31 second-order nonlinear response) in cultured cells¹⁸⁻²⁰ and larvae of small organisms,^{21,22}
32 without or with grafted functional groups.^{19,20,22}
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization of erbium and ytterbium-doped BTO nanocrystals

Erbium and ytterbium-doped BTO nanocrystals (nanoBTO:Yb,Er) were synthesized by a combination of coprecipitation and hydrothermal methods. Ytterbium was used to sensitize erbium up-conversion. By adapting the Ba/Ti stoichiometry, we aimed at incorporating Er^{3+} and Yb^{3+} ions in A and B sites of the ABO_3 barium titanate perovskite structure respectively, because the ytterbium ions have the closest radius to the one of titanium (B site) in the six coordinated state.²³ We targeted the stoichiometry $\text{Ba}_{0.985}\text{Er}_{0.01}\text{Ti}_{0.925}\text{Yb}_{0.1}\text{O}_3$, ensuring charge compensation, as described in Materials and Methods. The choice of an erbium concentration of 1% was made based on Zhang *et al.*,²⁴ who showed that higher concentrations enhance cross-relaxation, leading to lower UC.

The shape of the synthesized particles is mainly cubic with an average size of 158 ± 27 nm, as deduced from scanning electron microscopy analysis (Supporting Information Figure S1). Figure 1a displays the powder X-ray diffractogram (XRD) at the room temperature of 293 K indexed to the crystallographic planes of the tetragonal phase of BTO according to the standard International Center for Diffraction Data (ICDD PDF card no. 05-0626).²⁵ We did not observe any secondary phase structure, indicating the insertion of the doping ions in substitution of barium or titanium. Rietveld refinement of this diffractogram (see Figure S2) leads to $a = b = 3.9980 \pm 0.0001 \text{ \AA}$ and $c = 4.0216 \pm 0.0002 \text{ \AA}$ as the lattice parameters, hence $(c/a)_{\text{NC}} = 1.0059$ for the NC, a value lower than for the bulk BTO for which $(c/a)_{\text{bulk}} = 1.0101 \pm 0.0002$,²⁶ indicating that NC lattice is slightly less tetragonal and more cubic than the bulk one, in agreement with other reports on undoped BTO NCs.^{25,27} The lower tetragonality of BTO NCs may result from a variety of factors, including the presence of lattice defects which are primarily hydroxide ions (OH^-) substituting to oxygen, coming from hydrothermal synthesis precursor materials, as well as the doped nature of our BTO NCs, which is known

to often result in a smaller c/a ratio.²⁸




Figure 1: **Structural characterisation of erbium and ytterbium-doped barium titanate nanocrystals.** (a) XRD spectrum showing all detectable peaks of tetragonal BTO lattice. Inset graph: (002) plane peak splitting indicating tetragonality. (b) Bright-field TEM image of an aggregate of nanocrystals. Scale bar: 200 nm. (c) Indexed SAED pattern corresponding to the particle squared with a dashed line in (b). We selected this particle because it was located on the edge of the aggregate, therefore allowing to position the TEM diaphragm to collect electrons diffracted by this nanocrystal only. The high periodicity of this pattern indicates that the particle is a single crystal. Scale bar: 2 nm^{-1}

The powder diffraction analysis was confirmed by selected area electron diffraction (SAED) analysis using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Figure 1b displays a bright-field TEM image of a group of BTO nanocrystals, and Figure 1c shows SAED pattern realized on a single BTO NC after one of its crystallographic axes has been aligned with the TEM electron beam. The diffractogram shows a regular pattern of bright spots, which are the result, in reciprocal space, of constructive interferences caused by electrons interacting with the periodic atomic structure of the crystal. The presence of a single rectangular pattern evidences that the particle is made of a single crystal. Furthermore, the dimensions of the rectangle are $1/a = 2.532 \pm 0.010 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ and $1/c = 2.516 \pm 0.020 \text{ nm}^{-1}$, leading to $a = 0.395 \pm 0.002 \text{ nm}$ and $c = 0.397 \pm 0.003 \text{ nm}$, and a tetragonality value $c/a = 1.006 \pm 0.012$. These values are in good agreement with the ones derived from the XRD diffractogram (Fig. 1a).

To measure the atomic fraction of erbium and ytterbium incorporated in the BTO nanocrystals, we conducted an elemental analysis at the individual particle level, using energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) coupled to the TEM (Materials and Methods). We aim to observe the characteristic EDX peaks of erbium at 6.947 keV and ytterbium at




Figure 2: **Evaluation of ytterbium and erbium doping concentration by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy coupled to a scanning transmission electron microscope.** (a) HAADF STEM image of an aggregate of nanocrystals (scale bar: 70 nm) with a zoom, in bright field (BF), on corners of two particles (scale bar: 9 nm). (b) EDX image of the erbium distribution (scale bar: 70 nm) with a zoom (on the right, scale bar: 9 nm) on the same particle corners as in (a). (c) EDX image of the ytterbium distribution (scale bar: 70 nm), with a zoom (on the right, scale bar: 9 nm) on the same particle corners as in (a) and (b).

7.414 keV in the particles. Figure 2a (top) shows the high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) scanning TEM image of a group of three nanoBTO:Yb,Er. From the elemental analysis conducted on this group of NCs, we estimated (Materials and Methods) that erbium (Figure 2b) and ytterbium (Figure 2c) ions are incorporated at atomic concentrations of 1.7% and 1.2%, respectively. The erbium concentration is slightly higher than the targeted 1%, while ytterbium effective concentration is significantly lower than the target of 10%. In fact, the concentration of ytterbium is almost equal to that of erbium, likely to maintain charge neutrality by substituting both Ba^{2+} and Ti^{4+} ions equally by RE^{3+} ions, and thus avoiding the creation of charged defects such as oxygen vacancies.

1
2
3 One may wonder where Er^{3+} and Yb^{3+} ions insert themselves in the BTO nanocrystal.
4
5 Previous works^{29,30} indicate that Yb^{3+} ions predominantly substitute Ti^{4+} ions, whereas
6
7 Er^{3+} can occupy both sites. For charge compensation reasons, it is thus very likely that
8
9 Er^{3+} substitutes Ba^{2+} , but we cannot exclude that they also fill B sites,³¹ which would
10
11 lead to the appearance of oxygen vacancies. To further investigate effective substitution
12
13 sites and the presence of such defects, other studies, such as UC lifetime¹⁵ and conductive
14
15 measurements³² would be necessary but are beyond the scope of this article.
16

17
18 Increasing the effective content in Yb^{3+} may lead to brighter NC. However, despite our
19
20 efforts which consisted in varying multiple parameters during the synthesis (Ba/Ti ratio,
21
22 known to influence Er^{3+} site of incorporation;³¹ erbium precursor compound; and ions con-
23
24 centrations between 0.25 and 2% for Er^{3+} and between 2.5% and 13% for Yb^{3+}), we were not
25
26 able to introduce a larger proportion of ytterbium. The sample selected for the present study
27
28 to test the electric field sensing is the brightest we achieved among the different synthesis
29
30 we made. We noticed in high-resolution STEM of samples dimmer than the one selected for
31
32 this article, the presence of polycrystalline ytterbium oxide nanoparticles surrounding the
33
34 nanoBTO, which is consistent with a segregation of ytterbium outside the BTO host.

35
36 The characterization studies validated that the synthesized BTO nanocrystals are doped
37
38 with ytterbium and erbium, and keep the tetragonal structure of undoped nanocrystals.
39
40 Hence, nanoBTO:Yb,Er are very likely to maintain the ferroelectric and piezoelectric prop-
41
42 erties on which we based the electric field sensing mechanism. We then investigated the
43
44 upconversion photoluminescence (PL) of individual nanoBTO:Yb,Er, and the modulation of
45
46 its intensity by an external electric field.
47
48

49 **Up-conversion of single nanoBTO:Yb,Er and its modification by an** 50 **electric potential** 51 52

53
54 To be able to apply a voltage directly on a single nanocrystal while recording its up-
55
56 conversion PL, we used an AFM microscope in an electrical mode, coupled to a fluores-
57
58




Figure 3: **Experimental setup to study single nanoBTO:Yb,Er luminescence changes under an applied electric field.** (a) Conductive AFM-fluorescence microscope correlated setup. The AFM head along with the AFM piezostage are positioned above the microscope objective of the fluorescence microscope. (b) Topography of single nanoBTO (nanoBTO:Yb,Er #1) having a height of 153 nm (its lateral sizes are 180 nm \times 180 nm from SEM image, not shown). (c) UC intensity scan of the same particle as in (b) acquired at 10 mW laser excitation power. Intensity scale: photocounts in 10 ms bin duration, yielding 9.86 kcounts/s maximum counting rate. Scale bars in (b)-(c): 500 nm. (d) Energy level diagram of ytterbium-erbium co-doped system showing Er^{3+} upconversion transitions following direct laser excitation at 977 nm wavelength via ground state absorption (GSA) and excited state absorption (ESA), or GSA followed by resonant energy transfer from Yb^{3+} in its excited state (dashed curved arrow) and subsequent ESA. The UC spectrum consists of three series of lines, G1, G2 and R corresponding to ${}^2\text{H}_{11/2} \rightarrow {}^4\text{I}_{15/2}$, ${}^4\text{S}_{3/2} \rightarrow {}^4\text{I}_{15/2}$ and ${}^4\text{F}_{9/2} \rightarrow {}^4\text{I}_{15/2}$ transitions, respectively. Blue dashed lines represent cross-relaxation (CR). (e) Up-conversion spectrum from the same single nanocrystal as in (b), under excitation laser power of 10 mW and a spectrometer Charged-Couple Device (CCD) array sensor integration time of 15 s. In addition to the up-conversion bands G1, G2 and R, we can also observe the SHG line at 488.5 nm wavelength.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

cence microscope and we put the conductive AFM tip in contact with the top surface of a single nanoBTO:Yb,Er, with its bottom surface lying on a semi-transparent indium tin oxide (ITO) coated glass coverslip that is grounded (see Figure 3a, and Materials and Methods). To prevent the particle from being dragged by the tip while scanning and simultaneously ensure the electrical contact with ITO, we glued it to this substrate using poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate conductive polymer (Materials and Methods). Once both microscopes are aligned relative to each other, topography (Figure 3b) and UC luminescence (Figure 3c) scans can be acquired simultaneously on the same particle.

The spectral analysis of single nanoBTO:Yb,Er luminescence under near infrared laser excitation (977 nm wavelength) revealed the three groups of lines in the visible range resulting from UC by erbium, as displayed in Figure 3d,e. These lines correspond to the transitions $^2H_{11/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{15/2}$ (wavelength around 525 nm with multiple peaks due to Stark splitting, labelled G1), $^4S_{3/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{15/2}$ (around 550 nm, G2) and $^4F_{9/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{15/2}$ (around 657 nm, R). The narrow peak in Figure 3e at 488.5 nm, half the excitation laser wavelength, is due to the second harmonic generation (SHG) by the NC, confirming the high crystallinity previously evidenced by XRD and SAED (Figure 1a,c).

Note that 4f-4f electric dipole transitions of lanthanide ions are expected to be forbidden by quantum mechanics selection rules in a perfectly centrosymmetric crystalline environment, for which the odd orders of the crystal field vanish. The mere detection of erbium UC, even in absence of an external electric field, is the signature of lower local symmetry around erbium ions. In fact, the splitting of the (hkl) Miller indexes (002) and (200) Bragg peaks near $2\theta = 45^\circ$ in the inset of Fig. 1a already indicates the presence of the polar (hence non-centrosymmetric) phase of BTO, enabling the 4f-4f electric dipole transitions of lanthanide ions. Note also that Zou *et al.*³³ reported erbium UC even in the high-temperature centrosymmetric phase of BTO, which indicates that the local environment felt by the RE ions is likely even less symmetric than the symmetry of the overall matrix, which is consistent with the eight-site model developed in BTO³⁴ in which local symmetry breakings exist at

the unit cell level but vanish on a macroscopic scale.




Figure 4: Change of up-conversion spectrum of single nanoBTO:Yb,Er exposed to external electric field. (a) UC spectra of nanoBTO:Yb,Er #1 (same NC as in Figure 3b-c,e), under an excitation laser power of 10 mW and spectrometer CCD integration time of 15 s, at voltage of 0 V (black line), +10 V (red), and back again at 0 V (blue). (b) UC spectra of another particle, nanoBTO:Yb,Er #2 (excitation laser power: 5 mW, spectrometer CCD integration time: 15 s) at voltage of 0 V (black line), -10 V (red), and back again at 0 V (blue). nanoBTO:Yb,Er #2 height as measured by AFM (not shown) was 111 nm. (c) Time trace of the total UC intensity of nanoBTO:Yb,Er #1 (same as in (a)), while switching pseudo-periodically the applied voltage between 0 and +10 V. Bin time: 10 ms. (d) Pie-chart distribution of the different behaviors observed for a total of 28 nanocrystals studied. The number of particles per category is written in each section. NanoBTO:Yb,Er #1 and #2 are two of the eight particles displaying a fast change in UC (with constant SHG). The individual behaviors of these eight NC are summarized in Table S1.

We then investigated the changes of UC spectrum of a single nanoBTO:Yb,Er (nanoBTO:Yb,Er #1) when we apply a static voltage of ± 10 V across the particle. For the average particle size of 158 nm, this voltage corresponds to an electric field of ≈ 630 kV/cm, more than twice smaller than the electric field breakdown of 1.5-3 MV/cm reported for thin BaTiO₃ in the literature.³⁵ Figure 4a shows UC spectral changes of nanoBTO:Yb,Er #1 under static voltage

1
2
3 exposure of +10 V, manifested by an overall increase in the intensity of all peaks. This varia-
4 tion was reversible when the voltage was returned to 0 V, reverting to its initial value, and no
5 change was detected with the opposite polarity of -10 V. For another NC (nanoBTO:Yb,Er
6 #2), we also detected a reversible UC emission increase under an opposite applied voltage of
7 -10 V (Figure 4b), also with no change for the opposite polarity (of +10 V). The intensities
8 of the green and red UC emission bands both increase with the applied voltage, regardless
9 of its polarity. This behavior differs from the one reported in BTO thin layer by Hao *et*
10 *al.*,¹⁴ where they observed an increase in the intensity of the green bands but none of the
11 red one. Hao *et al.* proposed an interpretation based on the transition probability predicted
12 by Judd–Ofelt theory, where the dominant contribution for the green emission transitions
13 involves the intensity parameter Ω_2 that increases when the symmetry of the site occupied by
14 Er^{3+} is reduced, which is what happens when the crystal is exposed to the external electric
15 field. In contrary, the red emission transition does not involve this symmetry sensitive term
16 and therefore should be almost insensitive to the electric field. Our observation of a variation
17 of intensity of the red emission transition under an external electric field indicates that in
18 our sample, there is a more complex coupling of the red transition to the site symmetry
19 changes. We hypothesize that the change of intensity of the red line could be due to an
20 increase of population of the $^4\text{F}_{9/2}$ upper level of the red transition resulting from a larger
21 cross-relaxation effect. The latter depends on the distance between ions, which indeed is
22 modulated by the lattice deformation resulting from the converse piezoelectric effect, under
23 the applied electric field. Indeed we evidenced that in our nanoBTO:Yb,Er sample the red
24 transition is governed by the cross-relaxation, because we observed that its intensity evolves
25 linearly with the excitation laser intensity (see Figure S3), consistently with two red photons
26 emitted for two infrared excitation photons absorbed, with one of them experiencing a cross
27 relaxation (see Figure 3d).
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 As for NC like nanoBTO:Yb,Er #1 and #2 the intensities of both the green and red bands
54 increase similarly under applied electric field, we considered the total UC intensity integrated
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 over the whole spectrum. Figure 4c displays this total UC intensity change under a voltage
4 square modulation for nanoBTO:Yb,Er #1, if whole the UC light is sent to the single-photon
5 counting module instead of the spectrometer. UC intensity modulation faithfully follows that
6 of applied voltage. We observed this overall behavior (fast reversible change of UC intensity)
7 in 8 of the 28 NCs studied (Figure 4d). As the SHG peak did not vary at all in these cases
8 during the voltage application and removal process (see the inset of Fig. 4b), we ruled out
9 a change of focus of the microscope objective. Furthermore, the SHG peak stability also
10 indicates that the applied electric field did not degrade the crystal lattice. Among these
11 eight particles displaying a fast change in UC with constant SHG signal, seven of them
12 reacted to only one polarity (among which nanoBTO:Yb,Er #1 and #2, Figure 4a,b) and
13 a single one reacted to both polarities in an opposite manner. The responses of each of the
14 eight nanocrystals to ± 10 V are summarized in Supporting Information Table S1.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 To determine whether the change in UC intensity in nanoBTO:Yb,Er is indeed attributed
28 to the ferroelectric properties of the crystal host as reported for BTO:Yb,Er thin film,¹⁴ we
29 conducted the following control experiments. First, we checked that the sole mechanical
30 contact of the unbiased tip with the crystal does not induce a UC spectral change by piezo-
31 electric effect (see Figure S4). Then, we considered erbium-doped nanocrystals of yttrium
32 oxide ($Y_2O_3:Er$, with 2% doping). Y_2O_3 has a cubic crystallographic structure and there-
33 fore is devoid of piezoelectric and ferroelectric properties. Supporting Figure S5 shows that
34 ± 10 V applied to one $Y_2O_3:Er^{3+}$ nanocrystal (kind gift of Diana Serrano, synthesis done
35 by Shuping Liu and detailed in Ref.³⁶) did not induce any change of erbium up-conversion
36 intensity. The absence of UC change under an applied voltage was confirmed for three other
37 nanocrystals, evidencing that the ferroelectric BTO matrix is essential for the effect to hap-
38 pen. Finally, as one may wonder if the co-doping with Yb^{3+} may play a key role in the
39 transduction mechanism, we also tested $NaYF_4$ nanoparticles doped with 2% erbium and
40 18% ytterbium (kind gift of Thierry Gacoin, synthesis by Godefroy Leménager detailed in
41 Ref.³⁷). We used nanocrystals in the β - $NaYF_4$ phase which structure belongs to the $P63/m$
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 space group. The latter has polar properties, and therefore a NaYF_4 NC may also deform
4 when exposed to an electric field. However, we did not observe any modulation of its UC
5 under a variable applied voltage, as shown in Supporting Figure S6. Hence, this additional
6 experiment demonstrates the superior properties of ferroelectric BaTiO_3 NCs over another
7 polar material such as $\text{NaYF}_4:\text{Yb,Er}$ nanocrystals.
8
9

10
11 For each of these 8 particles with fast unipolar response, we then investigated the response
12 time of its UC intensity variation when it was subjected to a modulated square electric
13 potential (switching duration of only $1 \mu\text{s}$, according to the bandwidth of the AFM electrical
14 mode) with a frequency of 0.4 Hz and an applied voltage of 10 V. Figure 5 displays, for one
15 nanocrystal (nanoBTO:Yb,Er #3), the total UC photocount rate time trace at bin durations
16 of 100 μs and 10 ms. Based on the 10-ms time base, we observe a 2.7-fold increase of the
17 photocount rate between 0 and 10 V. Moreover, zooming on the photocount rate trace at
18 the rising and falling edges of voltage changes, we observe that it changes within a single
19 time step of 100 μs , a value matching well the reported nanoBTO:Yb,Er up-conversion time
20 response.¹⁵ Furthermore, we estimated the signal-to-noise to be 1.6, calculated as the ratio
21 of the average amplitude difference (between 0 and 10 V) to the standard deviation of UC
22 intensity when 10 V is applied.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 The 20 other NCs studied exhibited different behaviors. First, 11 particles did not show
38 any UC or SHG variation whatever the polarity was (Figure S7a). In this case, bad physical
39 contact between the tip and the NC top facet was ruled out because we engaged and withdrew
40 the tip several times and obtained the same negative result. Two NC displayed slow (few
41 seconds) changes in UC with no change in SHG (Figure S7b); five displayed fast changes
42 in UC and also in SHG (Figure S7c), while two showed a slow change in UC and SHG
43 (Figure S7d). Note that we did not observe any correlation between the nanocrystals size and
44 UC response: nanoBTO:Yb,Er#1 to #3, that displayed UC changes upon applied voltage
45 variation, have measured height of 153, 111 and 130 nm respectively, while nanoBTO:Yb,Er
46 of Figure S7a, which did not manifest UC changes, has a height of 133 nm, similar to the
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 5: **Up-conversion intensity variation response time of a single nanoBTO:Yb,Er.** UC signal variation of a single 130 nm-sized doped-nanocrystal (nanoBTO:Yb,Er #3) upon applied square voltage (+10 V) modulation (top trace), with 100 μ s (grey) and 10 ms (red) bin duration (middle traces). Bottom: zooms on rising (left) and falling (right) edges showing UC intensity changes within a single time bin of 100 μ s.

one of reacting NC.

The diversity of behaviors could rather have its origin in at least two phenomena: elec-

1
2
3 trical current leakage through the nanocrystal, preventing the electric field \mathbf{E} to be applied,
4 and/or the complex nanocrystal polarization texture that renders its crystal deformation
5 under \mathbf{E} dependent on the orientation of the field relative to the NC crystallographic axis
6 c as we recently evidenced in Ref.³⁸ Current leakage has been reported in rare earth-doped
7 ferroelectric thin films and was attributed to mobile oxygen vacancies appearing to ensure
8 charge compensation. More precisely, it has been shown in (Ba,Sr)TiO₃ thin films, that
9 when doping with a RE³⁺ ion only on the A²⁺ or on B⁴⁺ site, the resulting charge imbalance
10 generates oxygen vacancies responsible for a large increase in leakage.³² We hypothesize that
11 the lack of electric field modulation of the UC may be due entirely or partly to a similar
12 mechanism where Er³⁺ and/or Yb³⁺ mainly dope either the A²⁺ or B⁴⁺ site, but not both
13 at the same time. Further experiments involving conductive-AFM and nanoscale analytical
14 techniques (Electron Energy Loss Spectroscopy, EDX or Atom Probe Tomography^{39,40}),
15 outside of the scope of this article, are needed to test this hypothesis.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 As for the other source of variable response related to the polarization texture, we have
30 to take into account the orientation of \mathbf{E} relative to the NC crystallographic c axis. Indeed,
31 our geometrical configuration differs from the well defined one reported in Hao *et al.*,¹⁴
32 which involved BTO:Yb,Er doped thin film with c oriented faces and \mathbf{E} parallel to c . In
33 this later case, \mathbf{E} applied parallel to c (being the direction z , indexed by 3) induces, by
34 inverse piezoelectric effect, a strain $d_{33}E$, where d_{33} is one of the piezoelectric coefficients of
35 BTO. This strain is accompanied with ions relative displacement leading to variations of the
36 crystal field around erbium and ytterbium ions, thereby changing the transition coefficients
37 and subsequently UC intensity.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 In the case of BTO NCs we recently showed by simulations and piezoresponse force
48 microscopy that the polarization along the polar c axis rotates by 90° over about 10 nm,
49 to become in-plane within the c facets, preventing a strong electrostatic depolarizing field.
50 An electric field of amplitude E applied to the c facet by the AFM tip induces a shear
51 strain displacement of amplitude $d_{15}E$, with $d_{15} = 270$ pm/V being even larger than $d_{33} =$
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

191 pm/V, according to Ref.⁴¹ This shear strain is also expected to induce crystal field deformations leading to UC intensity changes. Furthermore, our simulations showed also that the lateral piezoresponse amplitude is one order of magnitude larger for \mathbf{E} perpendicular to c plane than to a or b planes.³⁸ This dependence of the deformation amplitude to the electric field orientation relative to the c axis, may contribute to the diversity of UC variation observed in the present work.

Polarization-resolved second-harmonic generation radiative pattern measurement is conventionally used to determine the crystalline axis orientation of a monocrystal, even nanometric size ones.⁴² However, this method does not work with BTO nanocrystals due to their complex polarization texture.³⁸ Hence we are not able to determine the c axis orientation of the individual NC probed for their electric-field sensing ability, which prevents us to check the influence of \mathbf{E} orientation relative to c on the signal intensity.

Sensitivity of the nanoBTO:Yb,Er electric field sensor

With nanoBTO:Yb,Er #3, of size 130 nm, we were able to detect a field amplitude $E_{\min} \equiv 10/(130 \times 10^{-7}) \approx 770$ kV/cm within $T = 100 \mu\text{s}$ with a signal-to-noise ratio of 1.6. The minimum field detectable during an optical cycle is then $E_{\min} = 770/1.6 \approx 480$ kV/cm, leading to a sensitivity $S_{\text{InanoBTO}} \equiv E_{\min} \sqrt{T} = 4.8$ kV/cm/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$. Considering this sensitivity, if we integrate over 1 ms (a third of the total duration of a neuron action potential), we should be able to detect a field $E = S_{\text{InanoBTO}}/\sqrt{10^{-3}} \approx 152$ kV/cm. This value is slightly smaller than the peak-to-peak axonal membrane potential variation of ≈ 200 kV/cm during an action potential, opening prospects in the detection of such an event. Moreover, the sensitivity should improve by considering larger particles as the signal increases with more ions being exposed to the electric field.

We now compare the nanoBTO:Yb,Er sensor sensitivity to that of other single nanostructures envisioned to sense trans-membrane potential fast changes mentioned in the introduction. In particular, PL modulation of QDs attributed to electric field ionization was

1
2
3 reported to detect a minimum field of $E_{\min}^N \approx 10$ kV/cm with 1 ms time resolution,¹⁰ but
4 it involved $\approx 10^9$ QDs. Hence, we extrapolate the single QD sensitivity of this system to
5 be $S_{\text{1QD}} \approx 10 \times \sqrt{10^9} \times \sqrt{10^{-3}} = 1000$ kV/cm/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, which is 208 times less sensitive than
6 a single nanoBTO:Yb,Er sensor. Similarly, the FRET couple formed by a voltage detecting
7 dye and an up-conversion nanoparticle¹³ is capable of reporting an electrophysiological signal
8 by variation in relative intensity, but it also requires a large number of probes and has a
9 slow response time of 50 ms, which prevents to resolve the temporal profile of an individual
10 action potential. Finally, the other optical signal-based neuron activity sensing approach
11 recently reported, harnessing electroactive polymer coupled to plasmonic nanoantenna,¹¹
12 yields both a very low detection threshold of 0.1 kV/cm and a fast (200 μs) response, but
13 here also, it requires the accumulation of the signal from a large number (one million) of
14 antenna reducing the spatial resolution down to 1 mm. Furthermore, the extrapolated single
15 antenna sensitivity is $S_{\text{1antenna}} = 0.1 \times \sqrt{10^6} \times \sqrt{0.2 \times 10^{-3}} \approx 1.4$ kV/cm/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$. Although
16 this extrapolated S_{1antenna} is better than S_{1nanoBTO} , its experimental realization has not yet
17 been demonstrated, contrary to that of a single nanoBTO:Yb,Er.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 Conclusions

36
37
38 Fluorescent voltage indicators, including the advanced genetically encoded ones (GEVI),
39 have become the gold standard in optical recording of electrophysiological activity of an
40 assembly of neurons, as they provide the millisecond time scale resolution required for a
41 faithful rendering of electrical potential variations.⁹ However, to yield a sufficiently strong
42 fluorescence, GEVI need to be over-expressed, which may impact neuron physiology. More-
43 over, like all dyes, the fluorescent protein(s) in the GEVI photobleach(es) over time. Finally,
44 interrogating electrical response with VI or GEVI at synaptic nanodomains remains challeng-
45 ing. For these reasons, alternative nanosensors are still worth developing, as the rare earth
46 ions-doped ferro/piezo-electric nanocrystals we introduced in this article, that are capable
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of transducing an applied electric field in changes in the up-conversion emission intensity.
4

5 We synthesized, by hydrothermal method, erbium and ytterbium-doped barium titanate
6 NCs of average size 158 nm, with effective doping estimated to be 1.7% and 1.2% for Er³⁺
7 and Yb³⁺ respectively. Despite the doping, the nanoBTO retained its tetragonal structure to
8 which ferro- and piezo-electric properties are associated at room temperature of 293 K. We
9 observed that 61% of the nanocrystals displayed a variation of up-conversion intensity upon
10 application of +10 V, -10 V or rarely for both polarities. Moreover, about half (47%) of the
11 nanocrystals had a fast response (characteristic duration <100 μ s), compatible with a faithful
12 sampling of action potential temporal profile. UC intensity changes are attributed to fast
13 modifications of the crystal field around erbium ions, that lower the symmetry inducing larger
14 intra-4f transitions probabilities. We suggested that the detectable UC change may be due
15 either to some current leakage through the particle or/and to unfavorable crystallographic
16 axis orientation relative to the applied electric field.
17
18

19 Mobile oxygen vacancies are considered to be responsible for current leakage in perovskite
20 oxide ferroelectrics ABO₃, strongly affecting their ferroelectric and piezoelectric properties.
21 In rare-earth ions doped ABO₃ crystals, such vacancies appear to ensure charge neutral-
22 ity in circumstances when RE³⁺ substitute to A and/or B sites without compensating the
23 charges. Conduction measurements on individual NC will help to state on the presence of
24 such vacancies,³² and if it is confirmed, the synthesis will need to be further improved.
25
26

27 Regarding the influence of the NC orientation relative to the electric field on sensing, we
28 established in a recent piezoresponse force microscopy study,³⁸ that the PFM displacement
29 amplitude is one order of magnitude smaller for NC with their *c* axis perpendicular the
30 applied electric field than for *c* parallel to the field (*i.e.*, perpendicular to the substrate).
31 It is desirable to make the nanosensor more isotropic and less sensitive to the electric field
32 orientation. As the largest crystal deformations induced by the electric field take place at the
33 NC surface, we envision that core-shell perovskite structures (like the one reported in Ref.⁴³)
34 may be grown by epitaxy in such a way that shell *c* axis orientation is orthogonal to all facets.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In such composite, the in-plane crystal deformation should be large and independent of the
4 external field direction.
5

6
7 With the nanoBTO:Yb,Er sensor we developed, we demonstrated a single particle sensi-
8 tivity to electric field sensing of $4.8 \text{ kV/cm}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, corresponding to the ability to distinguish
9 a field of 152 kV/cm from the noise, with a millisecond temporal resolution. As the peak-to-
10 peak field amplitude of 200 kV/cm (during the 1 ms duration of an action potential) remains
11 only slightly larger than the sensor sensitivity, improving the latter is desirable. To do so
12 we could try to enhance erbium emission. In particular, we could incorporate lithium ions
13 in addition to erbium and ytterbium, as it has been shown that lithium (by either A site
14 substitution or by interstitial insertion) can increase the UC intensity by further lowering
15 crystal symmetry around Er^{3+} ions.⁴⁴ Furthermore, a very thin shell (thickness < 5 nm) of
16 silanized ytterbium complex could be used to enhance the absorption cross-section of the
17 near-infrared excitation light by the nanocrystal.
18

19
20 The application of the nanoBTO:Yb,Er to neuron cell membrane potential variation
21 sensing requires the sensor to be brought into contact with the membrane as the Debye
22 layer electric field shielding takes place within a few nanometers. We envision that fulfilling
23 this localization constrain is much more critical for sensing than controlling the nanocrystal
24 crystalline axis orientation relative to the electric field. Such membrane localization may be
25 achieved by surface functionalization of the nanocrystals. Indeed, grafting of bioconjugates to
26 BTO has been reported by several groups.¹⁹⁻²¹ Following similar strategies, our collaborators
27 are currently functionalizing the nanocrystals with polyethylene glycol (PEG)-cholesterol
28 conjugates, able to anchor the sensor in the cell membrane and at the same time, thanks
29 to the PEG chains, prevent the adsorption of culture medium proteins that would lead to
30 non-specific interactions.
31

32
33 Finally, the nanoBTO:Yb,Er electric field sensor may also find applications in other
34 scientific areas where electric field need to be probed with nanometer and sub-millisecond
35 resolutions at room temperature. In these domains, the nitrogen-vacancy center in a diamond
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

nanopillar offers a record sensitivity of only 0.24 kV/cm/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, but its implementation is still complex.⁴⁵

Materials and Methods

Synthesis of erbium and ytterbium-doped BTO nanocrystals

The Yb/Er-doped BaTiO₃ nanocrystals were prepared via the hydrothermal method in a designed stoichiometric ratio of Ba_{0.985}Er_{0.01}Ti_{0.925}Yb_{0.1}O₃. Note that the chosen composition allows charge compensation as the total positive charge is equal to $0.985 \times (+2) + 0.01 \times (+3) + 0.925 \times (+4) + 0.1 \times (+3) = +6$, opposite to the total negative charges of $3 \times (-2) = -6$. We used barium carbonate (BaCO₃) and titanium isopropoxide (Ti(O*i*Pr)₄) as the starting materials. BaCO₃ was dissolved in diluted acetic acid and warmed on a hot plate of a magnetic stirrer for complete dissolution. Er(CH₃COO)₃ and Yb(NO₃)₃ were added subsequently. The solution was then cooled down to room temperature, Ti(O*i*Pr)₄ was slowly added, and the mixture was agitated during 2 h. Subsequently, the solution was dried in the oven at 100°C for 6 h and transferred to a Teflon-lined autoclave, accompanied by the NaOH. The autoclave was kept in an oven for hydrothermal reaction at 220°C for 24 h and naturally cooled down to room temperature in air. The collected product was washed several times with distilled water and dried at 100°C for 12 h.

Characterization instruments and methods

X-Ray diffraction spectrum was acquired using a Bruker D2 diffractometer using Cu K α 1 radiation (wavelength: 1.5418 Å) and Cu K α 2 radiation (wavelength: 1.5444 Å). XRD data were collected at room temperature between angles 20° and 60° by steps of 0.02°. The EVA software was then used to determine the phase composition of the material. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyses of Figure 1b-c was done with a JEOL JEM-2100Plus operated at 200 kV. ~~in parallel and in scanning mode (TEM-STEM) Scanning TEM (STEM)~~

1
2
3 ~~acquisition~~ were conducted utilising a FEI Thermofisher Titan3 G2 80-300 microscope, op-
4 erated at 300 kV and equipped with a Cs probe corrector, STEM detectors, an Ultrascan
5 1000 XP TEM camera and a SuperX detector for energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) analyses.
6
7 EDX quantifications have been performed by using the Cliff-Lorimer method.⁴⁶ As Ti- K_{α}
8 (4.510 keV) and Ba- L_{α} (4.465 keV) rays have very close energies, an optimisation of k -factors
9 has been performed using pure BTO.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **Electrical mode AFM coupled to a fluorescence microscope**

18
19 The AFM (Asylum MFP-3D, Oxford Instruments) was coupled to a homemade fluorescence
20 microscope based on a commercial microscope stand (Eclipse TE300, Nikon). A conductive
21 ASYELEC-01-R2 tip with titanium/iridium coating (spring constant: 2.8 N/m) was used
22 to apply a voltage on the nanocrystal. In this AFM model, the tip is static and the sample
23 is scanned laterally using a piezostage, whose position can be finely adjusted relative to
24 the microscope stand so that the AFM tip coincides with the luminescence detection vol-
25 ume. The upconversion from nanoBTO:Yb,Er was excited using a continuous-wave fibered
26 Bragg grating laser diode operating at 977 nm wavelength (BL976-SAG300, Thorlabs). The
27 laser beam is sent through an apochromatic $\times 100$ magnification and 1.49 numerical aper-
28 ture oil immersion microscope objective (MRD01991, Nikon) by a short-pass dichroic mirror
29 (700dcsx, Chroma Technology) which reflects it, and transmits the UC signal. The latter
30 is then sent to a single photon counting module detector (SPCM AQR-14, PerkinElmer)
31 through a band-pass filter of transmission range 450-700 nm (FF01-715/SP, Semrock) to
32 filter out residual excitation laser light, thanks to an optical density larger than 6 at 977 nm.
33 The UC spectrum is acquired using a 140 mm focal length imaging spectrometer (MicroHR,
34 Jobin-Yvon/Horiba) using a 600 lines/mm grating blazed at 500 nm. The detector attached
35 to this spectrograph is a cooled (sensor temperature of -70°C) front-illuminated open elec-
36 trode CCD array (Symphony, Jobin-Yvon/Horiba). All the measurements were performed
37 on samples maintained at room temperature.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Immobilization of nanoBTO

A 1% weight poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS) in water suspension was spin coated on a 170 μm thick cover glass covered with a semitransparent layer of ITO (80 nm ITO layer thickness), forming a ≈ 50 nm thick layer, on top of which the nanoBTO:Yb,Er aqueous suspension is deposited. Due to the good wettability of BTO by water,⁴⁷ the concave meniscus on the edges of the NCs drags them down by surface tension, leading to a final position of the particle emerging from the polymer layer as we showed in another work.³⁸

Author contributions

Conceptualization: CP, CB, KP and FT; Methodology: AKM, JZ, CB, MV, AZ, KP, and FT; Validation: AKM, KP and FT; Investigation: AKM, JZ, MV, KP and FT; Resources: CB and JZ; Data curation: AKM, MV, AZ, and FT; Writing – Original draft: AKM and FT; Writing – Review and Editing: CP, AKM, KP, and FT; Visualization: AKM, MV, AZ, KP, and FT; Supervision: FT; Project administration: FT; Funding acquisition: CP and FT. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Shuping Liu and Godefroy Leménager for the synthesis of non ferroelectric $\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3\text{:Er}$ and of $\text{NaYF}_4\text{:Yb,Er}$ nanocrystals, respectively, used for the control experiments, and Céline Fiorini-Debuisschert for her help in finding a solution to firmly attach the nanocrystals to the conductive coverglass substrate. We also thank Brahim Dkhil, Aleix G. Güell, Julien Boudon, Lionel Maurizi and Nadine Millot for fruitful discussions. The AFM used in this work was purchased thanks to the FOSTER program of ENS Paris-Saclay. This work has received financial support to B.D. and F.T. from the CNRS through the MITI inter-

1
2
3 disciplinary program and from the French National Research Agency (ANR, grant numbers
4 ANR-21-CE09-0028 and ANR-21-CE09-0033).
5
6
7
8

9 Supporting Information Available

10
11
12 Supporting information file contains Supporting Data Figures: Size and shape distributions
13 of nanoBTO:Yb,Er; Rietvelt refinement analysis on nanoBTO:Yb,Er; Variation of intensity
14 of the green and red up-conversion bands with the laser excitation power; Absence of UC
15 spectrum modification on nanoBTO:Yb,Er due to the AFM tip mechanical force applied at
16 the contact; Absence of UC spectrum modification under applied voltage in non-ferroelectric
17 Y₂O₃:Er nanocrystals; Examples of other up-conversion signal variation behaviors with ap-
18 plied electric field, differing from the fast variation with constant SHG.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 References

- 30
31
32 1. Goldman, D. E. Potential, Impedance, and Rectification in Membranes. *The Journal of*
33 *General Physiology* **1943**, *27*, 37–60.
34
35
36
37 2. Hodgkin, A. L.; Huxley, A. F. A quantitative description of membrane current and its
38 application to conduction and excitation in nerve. *The Journal of Physiology* **1952**, *117*,
39 500–544.
40
41
42
43
44 3. Savtchenko, L. P.; Poo, M. M.; Rusakov, D. A. Electrodiffusion phenomena in neuro-
45 science: a neglected companion. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **2017**, *18*, 598–612.
46
47
48
49 4. Lagache, T.; Jayant, K.; Yuste, R. Electrodiffusion models of synaptic potentials in
50 dendritic spines. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience* **2019**, *47*, 77–89.
51
52
53
54 5. Sylantyev, S.; Savtchenko, L. P.; Niu, Y.-P.; Ivanov, A. I.; Jensen, T. P.; Kull-
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 mann, D. M.; Xiao, M.-Y.; Rusakov, D. A. Electric Fields Due to Synaptic Currents
4 Sharpen Excitatory Transmission. *Science* **2008**, *319*, 1845–1849.
5
6
7
8 6. Magee, J. C.; Grienberger, C. Synaptic Plasticity Forms and Functions. *Annual Review*
9 *of Neuroscience* **2020**, *43*, 1–23.
10
11
12
13 7. Jayant, K.; Hirtz, J. J.; Plante, I. J.-L.; Tsai, D. M.; Boer, W. D. A. M. D.; Semonche, A.;
14 Peterka, D. S.; Owen, J. S.; Sahin, O.; Shepard, K. L.; Yuste, R. Targeted intracellular
15 voltage recordings from dendritic spines using quantum-dot-coated nanopipettes. *Nature*
16 *Nanotechnology* **2017**, *12*, 335–342.
17
18
19
20
21 8. Peterka, D. S.; Takahashi, H.; Yuste, R. Imaging Voltage in Neurons. *Neuron* **2011**, *69*,
22 9–21.
23
24
25
26 9. Knöpfel, T.; Song, C. Optical voltage imaging in neurons: moving from technology
27 development to practical tool. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **2019**, *20*, 719–727.
28
29
30
31 10. Rowland, C. E.; Susumu, K.; Stewart, M. H.; Oh, E.; Mäkinen, A. J.;
32 O’Shaughnessy, T. J.; Kushto, G.; Wolak, M. A.; Erickson, J. S.; Efros, A. L.; Hus-
33 ton, A. L.; Delehanty, J. B. Electric Field Modulation of Semiconductor Quantum Dot
34 Photoluminescence: Insights Into the Design of Robust Voltage-Sensitive Cellular Imag-
35 ing Probes. *Nano Letters* **2015**, *15*, 6848–6854.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42 11. Habib, A.; Zhu, X.; Can, U. I.; McLanahan, M. L.; Zorlutuna, P.; Yanik, A. A. Electro-
43 plasmonic nanoantenna: A nonfluorescent optical probe for ultrasensitive label-free de-
44 tection of electrophysiological signals. *Science Advances* **2019**, *5*, 9786.
45
46
47
48
49 12. Nag, O. K.; Stewart, M. H.; Deschamps, J. R.; Susumu, K.; Oh, E.; Tsytsarev, V.;
50 Tang, Q.; Efros, A. L.; Vaxenburg, R.; Black, B. J.; Chen, Y.; O’Shaughnessy, T. J.;
51 North, S. H.; Field, L. D.; Dawson, P. E.; Pancrazio, J. J.; Medintz, I. L.; Chen, Y.;
52 Erzurumlu, R. S.; Huston, A. L. *et al.* Quantum Dot–Peptide–Fullerene Bioconjugates
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 for Visualization of in Vitro and in Vivo Cellular Membrane Potential. *ACS Nano* **2017**,
4 *11*, 5598–5613.
5
6
7
- 8 13. Liu, J.; Zhang, R.; Shang, C.; Zhang, Y.; Feng, Y.; Pan, L.; Xu, B.; Hyeon, T.; Bu, W.;
9 Shi, J.; Du, J. Near-Infrared Voltage Nanosensors Enable Real-Time Imaging of Neuronal
10 Activities in Mice and Zebrafish. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2020**, *142*,
11 7858–7867.
12
13
14
15
- 16 14. Hao, J.; Zhang, Y.; Wei, X. Electric-induced enhancement and modulation of upcon-
17 version photoluminescence in epitaxial BaTiO₃:Yb/Er thin films. *Angewandte Chemie -*
18 *International Edition* **2011**, *50*, 6876–6880.
19
20
21
22
- 23 15. Mahata, M. K.; Koppe, T.; Mondal, T.; Brüsewitz, C.; Kumar, K.; Rai, V. K.; Hof-
24 säss, H.; Vetter, U. Incorporation of Zn²⁺ ions into BaTiO₃:Er³⁺/Yb³⁺ nanophosphor:
25 an effective way to enhance upconversion, defect luminescence and temperature sensing.
26 *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2015**, *17*, 20741–20753.
27
28
29
30
31
- 32 16. Sood, A.; Desseigne, M.; Dev, A.; Maurizi, L.; Kumar, A.; Millot, N.; Han, S. S. A
33 Comprehensive Review on Barium Titanate Nanoparticles as a Persuasive Piezoelec-
34 tric Material for Biomedical Applications: Prospects and Challenges. *Small* **2023**, *19*,
35 e2206401.
36
37
38
39
40
- 41 17. Genchi, G. G.; Marino, A.; Rocca, A.; Mattoli, V.; Ciofani, G. Barium titanate
42 nanoparticles: promising multitasking vectors in nanomedicine. *Nanotechnology* **2016**,
43 *27*, 232001.
44
45
46
47
- 48 18. Hsieh, C.-L.; Grange, R.; Pu, Y.; Psaltis, D. Three-dimensional harmonic holographic
49 microscopy using nanoparticles as probes for cell imaging. *Optics Express* **2009**, *17*, 2880.
50
51
- 52 19. Sugiyama, N.; Sonay, A. Y.; Tussiwand, R.; Cohen, B. E.; Pantazis, P. Effective La-
53 beling of Primary Somatic Stem Cells with BaTiO₃ Nanocrystals for Second Harmonic
54 Generation Imaging. *Small* **2018**, *14*, 1703386.
55
56
57
58


- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
20. Jordan, T.; O'Brien, M. A.; Spatarelu, C.-P.; Luke, G. P. Antibody-Conjugated Barium Titanate Nanoparticles for Cell-Specific Targeting. *ACS Applied Nano Materials* **2020**, *3*, 2636–2646.
 21. Pantazis, P.; Maloney, J.; Wu, D.; Fraser, S. E. Second harmonic generating (SHG) nanoprobes for in vivo imaging. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2010**, *107*, 14535–14540.
 22. Čulić Viskota, J.; Dempsey, W. P.; Fraser, S. E.; Pantazis, P. Surface functionalization of barium titanate SHG nanoprobes for in vivo imaging in zebrafish. *Nature Protocols* **2012**, *7*, 1618–1633.
 23. Xue, L.; Chen, Y.; Brook, R. The influence of ionic radii on the incorporation of trivalent dopants into BaTiO₃. *Materials Science and Engineering: B* **1988**, *1*, 193–201.
 24. Zhang, Y.; Hao, J.; Mak, C. L.; Wei, X. Effects of site substitutions and concentration on upconversion luminescence of Er³⁺-doped perovskite titanate. *Optics Express* **2011**, *19*, 1824.
 25. Lee, H. W.; Moon, S.; Choi, C. H.; Kim, D. K. Synthesis and size control of tetragonal barium titanate nanopowders by facile solvothermal method. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society* **2012**, *95*, 2429–2434.
 26. Megaw, H. Crystal Structure of Barium Titanate. *Nature* **1945**, *155*, 484–485.
 27. Huang, Y.; Lu, B.; Li, D.; Tang, Z.; Yao, Y.; Tao, T.; Liang, B.; Lu, S. Control of tetragonality via dehydroxylation of BaTiO₃ ultrafine powders. *Ceramics International* **2017**, *43*, 16462–16466.
 28. Hao, S.; Yao, M.; Vitali-Derrien, G.; Gemeiner, P.; Otoničar, M.; Ruello, P.; Bouyanfif, H.; Janolin, P.-E.; Dkhil, B.; Paillard, C. Optical absorption by design in a ferroelectric: co-doping in BaTiO₃. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* **2022**, *10*.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 29. Tsur, Y.; Dunbar, T. D.; Randall, C. A. Crystal and Defect Chemistry of Rare Earth
- 5 Cations in BaTiO₃. *Journal of Electroceramics* **2001**, *7*, 25–34.
- 6
- 7
- 8 30. Vega, M.; Alemany, P.; Martin, I. R.; Llanos, J. Structural properties, Judd–Ofelt calcu-
- 9 lations, and near infrared to visible photon up-conversion in Er³⁺ /Yb³⁺ doped BaTiO₃
- 10 phosphors under excitation at 1500 nm. *RSC Advances* **2017**, *7*, 10529–10538.
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15 31. Buscaglia, M. T.; Viviani, M.; Buscaglia, V.; Bottino, C.; Nanni, P. Incorporation of
- 16 Er³⁺ into BaTiO₃. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society* **2002**, *85*, 1569–1575.
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 32. Wang, J.; Trolier-McKinstry, S. Oxygen vacancy motion in Er-doped barium strontium
- 21 titanate thin films. *Applied Physics Letters* **2006**, *89*, 172906.
- 22
- 23
- 24 33. Zou, J.; Hao, S.; Gemeiner, P.; Guiblin, N.; Ibder, O.; Dkhil, B.; Paillard, C. Photo-
- 25 luminescence and structural phase transition relationship in Er-doped BaTiO₃ model
- 26 ferroelectric system. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* **2023**, *12*, 600–606.
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31 34. Pirc, R.; Blinc, R. Off-center Ti model of barium titanate. *Physical Review B* **2004**, *70*,
- 32 134107.
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36 35. Wu, H.; Ponath, P.; Lin, E. L.; Wallace, R. M.; Young, C.; Ekerdt, J. G.; Demkov, A. A.;
- 37 McCartney, M. R.; Smith, D. J. Dielectric breakdown in epitaxial BaTiO₃ thin films.
- 38 *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology B, Nanotechnology and Microelectronics: Ma-*
- 39 *terials, Processing, Measurement, and Phenomena* **2020**, *38*, 044007.
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45 36. Alqedra, M. K.; Deshmukh, C.; Liu, S.; Serrano, D.; Horvath, S. P.; Rafie-Zinedine, S.;
- 46 Abdelatif, A.; Rippe, L.; Kröll, S.; Casabone, B.; Ferrier, A.; Tallaire, A.; Goldner, P.;
- 47 de Riedmatten, H.; Walther, A. Optical coherence properties of Kramers' rare-earth ions
- 48 at the nanoscale for quantum applications. *Physical Review B* **2023**, *108*, 075107.
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54 37. Leménager, G.; Tusseau-Nenez, S.; Thiriet, M.; Coulon, P.-E.; Lahlil, K.; Larquet, E.;
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

- 1
2
3 Gacoin, T. NaYF₄ Microstructure, beyond Their Well-Shaped Morphology. *Nanomaterials* **2019**, *9*, 1560.
4
5
6
7
8 38. Muraleedharan, A.; Co, K.; Vallet, M.; Zaki, A.; Karolak, F.; Bogicevic, C.; Perronet, K.;
9 Dkhil, B.; Paillard, C.; Fiorini-Debuisschert, C.; Treussart, F. Ferroelectric texture of in-
10 dividual barium titanate nanocrystals. *ACS Nano* **2024**, doi: 10.1021/acsnano.4c02291.
11
12
13
14 39. Gault, B.; Chiamonti, A.; Cojocaru-Mirédin, O.; Stender, P.; Dubosq, R.;
15 Freysoldt, C.; Makineni, S. K.; Li, T.; Moody, M.; Cairney, J. M. Atom probe to-
16 mography. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers* **2021**, *1*, 51.
17
18
19
20
21 40. Qu, J.; Yang, W.; Wu, T.; Ren, W.; Huang, J.; Yu, H.; Zhao, C.; Griffith, M. J.;
22 Zheng, R.; Ringer, S. P.; Cairney, J. M. Atom probe specimen preparation methods for
23 nanoparticles. *Ultramicroscopy* **2022**, *233*, 113420.
24
25
26
27
28 41. Berlincourt, D.; Jaffe, H. Elastic and Piezoelectric Coefficients of Single-Crystal Barium
29 Titanate. *Physical Review* **1958**, *111*, 143–148.
30
31
32
33 42. Brasselet, S.; Floch, V. L.; Treussart, F.; Roch, J.-F.; Zyss, J.; Botzung-Appert, E.;
34 Ibanez, A. In Situ Diagnostics of the Crystalline Nature of Single Organic Nanocrystals
35 by Nonlinear Microscopy. *Physical Review Letters* **2003**, *92*, 207401.
36
37
38
39
40 43. Fujii, I.; Yamashita, K.; Nakashima, K.; Kumada, N.; Magome, E.; Moriyoshi, C.;
41 Kuroiwa, Y.; Wada, S. The Dielectric and Piezoelectric Properties of KNbO₃ / BaTiO₃
42 Composites With A Wide BaTiO₃ Size Distribution. *Transactions of the Materials Re-*
43 *search Society of Japan* **2013**, *38*, 57.
44
45
46
47
48
49 44. Chen, X.; Liu, Z.; Sun, Q.; Ye, M.; Wang, F. Upconversion emission enhancement in
50 Er³⁺/Yb³⁺-codoped BaTiO₃ nanocrystals by tridoping with Li⁺ ions. *Optics Communi-*
51 *cations* **2011**, *284*, 2046–2049.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 45. Huxter, W. S.; Sarott, M. F.; Trassin, M.; Degen, C. L. Imaging ferroelectric domains
4 with a single-spin scanning quantum sensor. *Nature Physics* **2023**, *19*, 644–648.
5
6
7
8 46. Cliff, G.; Lorimer, G. W. The quantitative analysis of thin specimens. *Journal of Mi-*
9 *croscopy* **1975**, *103*, 203–207.
10
11
12
13 47. Li, X.; Wang, B.; Zhang, T. Y.; Su, Y. Water adsorption and dissociation on BaTiO₃
14 single-crystal surfaces. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2014**, *118*, 15910–15918.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

TOC Graphic



Left: Electrical and optical scanning probe and fluorescence microscopy setup, to modulate and detect the up-conversion from single barium titanate nanocrystals doped with ytterbium and erbium ions (BaTiO₃:Yb,Er). Right top: intensity of up-conversion (UC) under a voltage alternating between 0 and 10 V applied to a single nanocrystal, demonstrating the ability to sense such variations with UC at 100 μs temporal resolution. Right bottom: Spectral variations associated to applied voltage of 10 V.