



HAL
open science

Regularity of the $(N-1)$ -particle electronic reduced density matrix for molecules with fixed nuclei and N electrons

Thierry Jecko, Camille Noûs

► **To cite this version:**

Thierry Jecko, Camille Noûs. Regularity of the $(N-1)$ -particle electronic reduced density matrix for molecules with fixed nuclei and N electrons. 2024. hal-04634495

HAL Id: hal-04634495

<https://hal.science/hal-04634495>

Preprint submitted on 4 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Regularity of the $(N-1)$ -particle
electronic reduced density matrix
for molecules with fixed nuclei and N electrons.

Thierry Jecko

AGM, UMR 8088 du CNRS, site de Saint Martin,
2 avenue Adolphe Chauvin,
F-95000 Cergy-Pontoise, France.
e-mail: jecko@math.cnrs.fr
web: <http://jecko.perso.math.cnrs.fr/index.html>

Camille Noûs

Laboratoire Cogitamus
e-mail: camille.nous@cogitamus.fr
web: <https://www.cogitamus.fr/>

04-07-2024

Abstract

We consider an electronic bound state of the usual, non-relativistic, molecular Hamiltonian with Coulomb interactions, fixed nuclei, and N electrons ($N > 1$). Near appropriate electronic collisions, we determine the regularity of the $(N - 1)$ -particle electronic reduced density matrix.

Keywords: Analytic regularity, molecular Hamiltonian, electronic reduced densities, electronic reduced density matrices, Coulomb potential, Kustaanheimo-Stiefel transform.

1 Introduction.

Motivated by the Density Functional Theory (DFT) (cf. [E, LiSe]), we aim, as in [JN], to study the regularity of some specific object that is associated by the DFT to an electronic bound state of a molecular, Coulombic Hamiltonian with fixed nuclei and N electrons (with $N > 1$). In the past, many results proved the real analyticity on large domains of the electronic (reduced) density matrices, that are associated to such a bound state: see [FHHS1, FHHS2, HS1, J1, J2]. Are these results optimal? In other words, is the real analyticity of these matrices valid on a larger domain? We refer to [J2] for a review on the proofs of the real analyticity of these matrices and to [JN] for a review of results on their optimality and of strategies to treat it.

In [JN], for the $(N - 1)$ -particle reduced density matrix (defined in (1.3)) (actually, the conjugate was considered but this does not affect the results), it was proven that the real analyticity breaks down near some points of the boundary of the domain on which its real analyticity is known. It was even shown that the $(N - 1)$ -particle reduced density matrix cannot be smooth near such points and one has some information on its regularity there. In the present paper, we improve the results in [JN] in two directions: we enlarge the set of points where the non-smoothness of the $(N - 1)$ -particle reduced density matrix is proven and, more importantly, we determine its regularity (in the sense defined below) near those points. In particular, we address the problem of a “fifth order cusp” for the $(N - 1)$ -particle reduced density matrix, that appears in the litterature in Chemistry (cf. [C1, C2]). See also [He].

We point out that the lack of smoothness of density matrices is studied with another point of view in [HS2, So1, So2].

As in [JN] and for the same reason, our method allows us to treat the $(N - 1)$ -particle reduced density matrix only. We believe that the extension of the present results to other reduced density matrices or reduced densities is a quite involved task.

Let us first present our framework. We consider a molecule with N moving electrons, with $N > 1$, and L fixed nuclei, with $L \geq 1$ (according to Born-Oppenheimer idealization). The L distinct vectors $R_1, \dots, R_L \in \mathbb{R}^3$ represent the positions of the nuclei. The positions of the electrons are given by $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The charges of the nuclei are respectively given by the positive Z_1, \dots, Z_L and the electronic charge is set to -1 . The Hamiltonian of the electronic system is

$$H := \sum_{j=1}^N \left(-\Delta_{x_j} - \sum_{k=1}^L Z_k |x_j - R_k|^{-1} \right) + \sum_{1 \leq j < j' \leq N} |x_j - x_{j'}|^{-1} + E_0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\text{where } E_0 := \sum_{1 \leq k < k' \leq L} Z_k Z_{k'} |R_k - R_{k'}|^{-1}$$

and $-\Delta_{x_j}$ stands for the Laplacian in the variable x_j . Here we denote by $|\cdot|$ the euclidian norm on \mathbb{R}^3 . Setting $\Delta := \sum_{j=1}^N \Delta_{x_j}$, we define the potential V of the system as the multiplication operator satisfying $H = -\Delta + V$. It is well-known that the Hamiltonian H is a self-adjoint operator on the Sobolev space $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$. Let us now fix an electronic bound state $\psi \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \setminus \{0\}$ such that, for some real E , $H\psi = E\psi$ (there does exist

such a state, see [FH, Si, Z]).

Associated to that bound state ψ , we consider the following notions of electronic density (see [E, Le, LiSe, LSc]). Let k be an integer such that $0 < k < N$. Let $\rho_k : (\mathbb{R}^3)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the almost everywhere defined, $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{3k})$ -function given by, for $\underline{x} = (x_1; \dots; x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{3k}$,

$$\rho_k(\underline{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-k)}} |\psi(\underline{x}; y)|^2 dy. \quad (1.2)$$

It is called the *k-particle reduced density*.

Define also $\gamma_k : (\mathbb{R}^3)^{2k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as the almost everywhere defined, complex-valued function given by, for $\underline{x} = (x_1; \dots; x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{3k}$ and $\underline{x}' = (x'_1; \dots; x'_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{3k}$,

$$\gamma_k(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-k)}} \psi(\underline{x}; y) \overline{\psi(\underline{x}'; y)} dy. \quad (1.3)$$

It is called the *k-particle reduced density matrix*.

Thanks to Kato's important contribution in [K], we know that the bound state ψ is in fact a continuous function. Therefore, the above densities ρ_k and γ_k are actually everywhere defined and continuous, and satisfy $\rho_k(\underline{x}) = \gamma_k(\underline{x}; \underline{x})$, everywhere.

We need to introduce the following subsets of \mathbb{R}^{3k} . Denoting for a positive integer p by $\llbracket 1; p \rrbracket$ the set of the integers j satisfying $1 \leq j \leq p$, the closed set

$$\mathcal{C}_k := \{ \underline{x} = (x_1; \dots; x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{3k}; \exists (j; j') \in \llbracket 1; k \rrbracket^2; j \neq j' \text{ and } x_j = x_{j'} \} \quad (1.4)$$

gathers all possible collisions between the first k electrons. We describe such collisions as “internal electronic collisions”. The closed set

$$\mathcal{R}_k := \{ \underline{x} = (x_1; \dots; x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{3k}; \exists j \in \llbracket 1; k \rrbracket, \exists \ell \in \llbracket 1; L \rrbracket; x_j = R_\ell \} \quad (1.5)$$

groups together all possible collisions of these k electrons with the nuclei. We set

$$\mathcal{U}_k^{(1)} := \mathbb{R}^{3k} \setminus (\mathcal{C}_k \cup \mathcal{R}_k), \quad (1.6)$$

which is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^{3k} .

The set of all possible collisions between particles is then $\mathcal{C}_N \cup \mathcal{R}_N$ and the potential V is real analytic precisely on $\mathbb{R}^{3N} \setminus (\mathcal{C}_N \cup \mathcal{R}_N)$. Classical elliptic regularity applied to the equation $H\psi = E\psi$ shows that ψ is also real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^{3N} \setminus (\mathcal{C}_N \cup \mathcal{R}_N)$ (cf. [Hö1]). A better regularity for ψ is not expected (and false in some cases), therefore such a regularity for ρ_k and γ_k is not clear. It is however granted on some appropriate region.

We also need to consider two sets of positions for the first k electrons and introduce the set of all possible collisions between positions of different sets, namely

$$\mathcal{C}_k^{(2)} := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\underline{x}; \underline{x}') \in (\mathbb{R}^{3k})^2; \underline{x} = (x_1; \dots; x_k), \underline{x}' = (x'_1; \dots; x'_k), \\ \exists (j; j') \in \llbracket 1; k \rrbracket^2; x_j = x'_{j'} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (1.7)$$

A point $(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') \in (\mathbb{R}^{3k})^2$ with $k \geq 2$ such that $x_1 = x_2 = x'_1$ does belong to $\mathcal{C}_k^{(2)}$. Such a point “contains” an internal electronic collision, namely $x_1 = x_2$. For any $0 < k < N$, we

say that a point $(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') \in \mathcal{C}_k^{(2)}$ represents an (several) “external electronic collision(s)” if no internal electronic collision occur in \underline{x} nor in \underline{x}' . Therefore, the set of so called “external electronic collisions” is given by $(\mathcal{U}_k^{(1)} \times \mathcal{U}_k^{(1)}) \cap \mathcal{C}_k^{(2)}$.

We introduce the open subset of $(\mathbb{R}^{3k})^2$ defined by

$$\mathcal{U}_k^{(2)} := (\mathcal{U}_k^{(1)} \times \mathcal{U}_k^{(1)}) \setminus \mathcal{C}_k^{(2)}.$$

The above mentioned, known regularity results may be summed up in the following way: for any $0 < k < N$, the k -particle reduced density ρ_k is real analytic on $\mathcal{U}_k^{(1)}$ and the k -particle reduced density matrix γ_k is real analytic on $\mathcal{U}_k^{(2)} = (\mathcal{U}_k^{(1)} \times \mathcal{U}_k^{(1)}) \setminus \mathcal{C}_k^{(2)}$ (see [FHHS1, FHHS2, HS1, J1, J2]). Note that, for each k , the smoothness of ρ_k implies the smoothness of the map $\mathcal{U}_k^{(1)} \ni \underline{x} \mapsto \gamma_k(\underline{x}; \underline{x})$.

Now, we focus on the matrix γ_k for $k = N - 1$ and want to determine its regularity near a point

$$(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') \in (\mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)} \times \mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{N-1}^{(2)},$$

that represents an (several) external electronic collision(s). What do we precisely mean by ‘regularity’? We use the usual class of (integer) regularity C^p with $p \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Section 2). We do not consider Hölder spaces. For a positive integer d , we define the regularity of a continuous map $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ near a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by the integer p if, on some neighbourhood U of x_0 , the function is in the class C^p but, for all neighbourhood V of x_0 such that $V \subset U$, f does not belong to the class C^{p+1} on V .

Let us take a point

$$(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}') = (\hat{x}_1; \dots; \hat{x}_{N-1}; \hat{x}'_1; \dots; \hat{x}'_{N-1}) \in (\mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)} \times \mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{N-1}^{(2)}.$$

Our study crucially relies on a special decomposition of the bound state ψ near a two-particle collision that was obtained in [FHHS3]. We use such a decomposition near a nucleus-electron collision as well as near an electron-electron collision. This allows us to split the matrix γ_{N-1} , on a vicinity of the point $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}')$ into an appropriate, finite sum of integrals on regions \mathcal{Y} of \mathbb{R}^3 such that, on a neighbourhood of $\hat{\underline{x}}$ times \mathcal{Y} and on a neighbourhood of $\hat{\underline{x}}'$ times \mathcal{Y} , the bound state ψ may be decomposed as in [FHHS3]. Let us describe this result, that was obtained in [JN], in more precise terms. Let \mathcal{D} be a set that labels the external electronic collisions in $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}')$. For $j \in \mathcal{D}$, there is a unique integer k with $0 < k < N$ such that $\hat{x}_j = \hat{x}'_k$ and this k is denoted by $c(j)$. The mentioned sum is indexed by $j \in \mathcal{D}$, each term is an integral

$$\gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') := \int_{\mathcal{Y}_j} \psi(\underline{x}; y) \overline{\psi(\underline{x}'; y)} dy$$

over some bounded region \mathcal{Y}_j of \mathbb{R}^3 , there is an electron-electron collision at $y = \hat{x}_j$ for the first state ψ on \mathcal{Y}_j , there is an electron-electron collision at $y = \hat{x}'_{c(j)} = \hat{x}_j$ for the second state ψ on \mathcal{Y}_j . Moreover, on \mathcal{Y}_j , each state ψ can be decomposed as in [FHHS3]. On the mentioned neighbourhood of this point $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}')$, we can write, for $(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') = (x_1; \dots; x_{N-1}; x'_1; \dots; x'_{N-1})$,

$$\gamma_{N-1}(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}} \gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') + s(\underline{x}; \underline{x}'), \quad (1.8)$$

where s is some smooth function.

Since the special state decomposition is known at two-particle collisions only, one has to ensure that the two copies of the state ψ in the integral defining γ_k (cf. (1.3)) only “see” two-particle collisions. This forces $k = N - 1$ and requires that $\hat{\underline{x}}$ and $\hat{\underline{x}}'$ do not contain internal electronic collision (see Remark 3.7 in [JN] for details).

In [JN], a supplementary information on the state special decomposition was given. This information is of great importance for the study of the regularity in [JN] and also here. For the collision between the variable x_j (resp. $x'_{c(j)}$) and the last variable x_N , it is described by some nonnegative integer n_j (resp. $n'_{c(j)}$), that we call the *relevant valuation* of this collision (see Definition 3.2 for details).

Using the Fourier transform, we shall be able, for each $j \in \mathcal{D}$, to determine the regularity of $\gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}$ near $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}')$ in terms of n_j and $n'_{c(j)}$. Then, we obtain the regularity of γ_{N-1} from (1.8). The Fourier transform was already the main tool in the analysis in [JN]. Here we actually refine this analysis by an appropriate use of the inverse Fourier transform. This leads to

Theorem 1.1. *Consider a bound state ψ of the N -electron, molecular Hamiltonian (1.1) (with $N > 1$) and the associated $(N-1)$ -particle reduced density matrix γ_{N-1} . Let $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}') \in (\mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)} \times \mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{N-1}^{(2)}$. Let*

$$p = \min \{n_j + n'_{c(j)}; j \in \mathcal{D}\}. \quad (1.9)$$

There exist a neighbourhood \mathcal{G} of $\hat{\underline{x}}$, a neighbourhood \mathcal{G}' of $\hat{\underline{x}}'$, a function $S : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that belongs to \mathcal{C}^{5+p} , and, for $j \in \mathcal{D}$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $|\alpha| = n_j$, for all $\alpha' \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $|\alpha'| = n'_{c(j)}$, real analytic functions $\varphi_{\alpha;j}$, defined near \hat{x}_j , real analytic functions $\varphi'_{\alpha';c(j)}$, defined near $\hat{x}'_{c(j)}$, such that, for $(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}'$,

$$\gamma_{N-1}(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}} T_j(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') + S(\underline{x}; \underline{x}'), \quad (1.10)$$

where, writing $\underline{x} = (x_j; \underline{x}_j)$ and $\underline{x}' = (x'_{c(j)}; \underline{x}'_{c(j)})$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_j(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') & \quad (1.11) \\ &= \frac{-16\pi}{(6 + 2n_j + 2n'_{c(j)})!} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha| = n_j \\ |\alpha'| = n'_{c(j)}}} \varphi_{\alpha;j}((x_j + x'_{c(j)})/2; \underline{x}_j) \overline{\varphi'_{\alpha';c(j)}}((x_j + x'_{c(j)})/2; \underline{x}'_{c(j)}) \\ & \quad \times \left(P_{\alpha}(-\partial_x) P_{\alpha'}(\partial_x) |x|^{5+2n_j+2n'_k} \right)_{|x=x_j-x'_{c(j)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where, for $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^3$, the functions P_{β} are some universal homogeneous polynomials of degree $|\beta|$. Moreover, the regularity of each T_j near $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}')$ is precisely $4 + n_j + n'_{c(j)}$ and the regularity of γ_{N-1} near $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}')$ is exactly $4 + p$.

Remark 1.2. *Several comments on this result can be made.*

1. The functions $\varphi_{\alpha;j}$ (resp. $\varphi'_{\alpha';c(j)}$) come from the special state decomposition for the electron-electron collision of the variables x_j (resp. $x'_{c(j)}$) and x_N at \hat{x}_j .

2. The family P_β for $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is related to the iterated derivatives of the function $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \ni \xi \mapsto |\xi|^{-4}$. See (4.9) for details.
3. Each term T_j is actually smooth w.r.t. the variables \underline{x}_j and $\underline{x}'_{c(j)}$. The limitation of its regularity comes from the last factor and takes place on the collision set $\{(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}'; x_j = x'_{c(j)}\}$. Outside this set, T_j is smooth w.r.t. all variables. To find the regularity of T_j , we make use Lemma 3.4 in [JN] (see Lemma 3.4 in the present text).
4. The term T_j describes the behaviour of the above $\gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}$ that “contains” a collision of the variables x_j and x_N for the first copy of ψ and a collision of variables $x'_{c(j)}$ and x_N for the second copy. While the regularity of T_j is $4 + n_j + n'_{c(j)}$, it was shown in [JN] that the regularity of the first copy (resp. the second copy) near the collision is n_j (resp. $n'_{c(j)}$).
5. The lack of smoothness of the density matrix γ_{N-1} in $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}'$ takes place on

$$\{(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}'; \exists j \in \mathcal{D}; x_j = x'_{c(j)}\} \subset \mathcal{C}_{N-1}^{(2)}.$$
6. Lower and upper bounds on the regularity of the density matrix γ_{N-1} was provided in [JN], only in the case $\hat{\underline{x}} = \hat{\underline{x}}'$.
7. If $p = 0$ then the density matrix γ_{N-1} has a “fifth order cusp” at $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}')$. Indeed, the lack of smoothness of the density matrix γ_{N-1} there is due to terms containing a factor $|x_j - x'_{c(j)}|^5$. In the case $N = 2$, independently of the value of p , our result is consistent with the result obtained in [He] and improves it.
8. If we consider a bosonic (resp. fermionic) bounded state ψ , it turns out that all n_j and $n'_{c(j)}$ are even (resp. odd) by Proposition 3.5.
9. In the fermionic case, the density matrix γ_{N-1} belongs to the class \mathcal{C}^6 near $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}')$. This does not fit to the results in [C1, C2] when $N = 2$. Maybe, the fermionic antisymmetry was not used appropriately in these papers.
10. We point out that our proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on rather elementary arguments.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we now give a result on the operator Γ with kernel γ_{N-1} , viewed as bounded operator from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$. Since Theorem 1.1 provides a local information near a point $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}') \in (\mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)} \times \mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{N-1}^{(2)}$, our second result concerns a “localisation” of Γ . For appropriate cut-off functions χ and χ' , that localise near $\hat{\underline{x}}$ and $\hat{\underline{x}}'$ respectively, we consider $\chi\Gamma\chi'$, that is the composition of the multiplication by χ' , the action of Γ , and the multiplication by χ . Our second result states that there exists a unitary transformation U on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$ such that $\chi\Gamma\chi'U$ is a pseudodifferential operator, the symbol of which belongs to a classical class of smooth symbols (see [Hö3], p. 65). This was shown in [JN] only in the case $\hat{\underline{x}} = \hat{\underline{x}}'$ (U being the identity) and the present result is more precise, as far as the symbol class is concerned. We refer to Section 5 and Proposition 5.1 for details.

As already pointed out, our results rest on the special decomposition of the bound state ψ at two-particle collisions, that was derived in [FHHS3]. If one can extend this decomposition to other collisions, preserving its “analytic” structure, one can reasonably hope to use the arguments of the present paper to get a precise information on the regularity of all the densities ρ_k and all the density matrices γ_k at collisions.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce some notation and recall well-known facts on electronic bound states. In Section 3, we recall known results on two-particle collisions, in particular the special decomposition from [FHHS3], and focus on two-electron collisions. We also recall the decomposition (1.8) for the matrix γ_{N-1} , that was obtained in [JN]. Section 4 is devoted to the Fourier analysis of appropriate localisations of γ_{N-1} leading to our proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 5, we extract from appropriate localisations of Γ a smooth pseudodifferential structure. We provide technical results and proofs in an Appendix at the end of the paper.

Acknowledgments: The first author warmly thanks Sébastien Breteaux, Jérémy Faupin, and Victor Nistor, for fruitful discussions and advice.

2 Notation and well-known facts.

We start with a general notation. We denote by \mathbb{R} the field of real numbers and by \mathbb{C} the field of complex numbers.

Let d be a positive integer. For $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we write $|u|$ for the euclidian norm of u and we denote by “ \cdot ” the corresponding scalar product. We set $\langle u \rangle := (1 + |u|^2)^{1/2}$. Given such a vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a nonnegative real number r , we denote by $B(u; r[$ (resp. $B(u; r]$) the open (resp. closed) ball of radius r and centre u , for the euclidian norm $|\cdot|$ in \mathbb{R}^d .

In the one dimensional case, we use the following convention for (possibly empty) intervals: for $(a; b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let $[a; b] = \{t \in \mathbb{R}; a \leq t \leq b\}$, $[a; b[= \{t \in \mathbb{R}; a \leq t < b\}$, $]a; b] = \{t \in \mathbb{R}; a < t \leq b\}$, and $]a; b[= \{t \in \mathbb{R}; a < t < b\}$.

We denote by \mathbb{N} the set of nonnegative integers and set $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. If $p \leq q$ are non negative integers, we set $\llbracket p; q \rrbracket := [p; q] \cap \mathbb{N}$, $\llbracket p; q \llbracket := [p; q[\cap \mathbb{N}$, $\llbracket p; q \rrbracket =]p; q] \cap \mathbb{N}$, and $\llbracket p; q \llbracket :=]p; q[\cap \mathbb{N}$.

Given an open subset O of \mathbb{R}^d and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $W^{n,2}(O)$ the standard Sobolev space of those L^2 -functions on O such that, for $n' \in \llbracket 0; n \rrbracket$, their distributional partial derivatives of order n' belong to $L^2(O)$. In particular, $W^{0,2}(O) = L^2(O)$. Without reference to O , we denote by $\|\cdot\|$ (resp. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$) the L^2 -norm (resp. the right linear scalar product) on $L^2(O)$.

On \mathbb{R}^d , we use a standard notation for partial derivatives. For $j \in \llbracket 1; d \rrbracket$, we denote by ∂_j or ∂_{x_j} the j 'th first partial derivative operator. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we set $D_x^\alpha := (-i\partial_x)^\alpha := (-i\partial_{x_1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (-i\partial_{x_d})^{\alpha_d}$, $D_x = -i\nabla_x$, $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_d^{\alpha_d}$, $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d$, $\alpha! := (\alpha_1!) \cdots (\alpha_d!)$, $|x|^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2$, and $\langle x \rangle := (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$. Given $(\alpha; \beta) \in (\mathbb{N}^d)^2$, we write $\alpha \leq \beta$ if, for all $j \in \llbracket 1; d \rrbracket$, $\alpha_j \leq \beta_j$. In that case, we define the multiindex $\beta - \alpha := (\beta_j - \alpha_j)_{j \in \llbracket 1; d \rrbracket} \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

We choose the same notation for the length $|\alpha|$ of a multiindex $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and for the euclidian norm $|x|$ of a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ but the context should avoid any confusion.

For $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, we denote by $C^k(O)$ the vector space of functions from O to \mathbb{C} which have continuous derivatives up to order k and by $C_c^k(O)$ the intersection of $C^k(O)$ with the set of functions with compact support in O . If a function f satisfies $f \in C^k(O)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, we often write for this that the function f belongs to the class C^k on O . In the case $k = \infty$, we also write that f is smooth on O if $f \in C^\infty(O)$.

Recall that real analytic functions on O are smooth on O . We refer to [Ca, Hö4] for details on the analyticity w.r.t. several variables.

We shall frequently use standard “continuity and partial derivation under the integral sign”. See [D, M] for details.

Integration by parts in integrals will be used and often combined with identities of the following types:

$$\forall (x; \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}), \quad -i \frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2} \cdot \nabla_x e^{i\xi \cdot x} = e^{i\xi \cdot x} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\forall (x; \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \langle \xi \rangle^{-2} (1 + (1/i) \xi \cdot \nabla_x) e^{i\xi \cdot x} = e^{i\xi \cdot x}. \quad (2.2)$$

We shall make use of the usual Fourier transform on \mathbb{R}^d . Given an integrable function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, its Fourier transform is the continuous, bounded map $F_g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad F_g(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} g(x) dx \quad (2.3)$$

while its inverse Fourier transform is the continuous, bounded map $F_g^i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad F_g^i(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi \cdot x} g(x) dx. \quad (2.4)$$

If F_g is integrable then g is continuous and is given by the inverse Fourier transform of F_g , that is

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad g(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi \cdot x} F_g(\xi) d\xi. \quad (2.5)$$

We shall use the following elementary lemma, that is a slightly modified version of Lemma 4.4 in [JN]. For completeness, we provide a proof of it.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an integrable function. We denote by F_g its Fourier transform. Given a real r , we denote by $E(r)$ the integer part of r , that is the biggest integer less or equal to r .*

1. *If the function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to the class C^k and is compactly supported then F_g is smooth and there exists $C > 0$ such that, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|\xi| \geq 1$,*

$$|F_g(\xi)| \leq C |\xi|^{-k}.$$

2. *Assume that the function $F_g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to the class C^0 and satisfies, for some real $r > E(r) \geq 0$ and some $C > 0$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|\xi| \geq 1$,*

$$|F_g(\xi)| \leq C |\xi|^{-r-d}.$$

Then the function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to the class $C^{E(r)}$.

Proof: See the Appendix. □

We shall also exploit another elementary, but important lemma, namely

Lemma 2.2. [JN]. *Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \ni x \mapsto |x|^{2q+1} \cdot \tau(|x|)$ where $\tau \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\tau = 1$ near 0. Then, its Fourier transform F_f is a real analytic, bounded function on \mathbb{R}^3 , which is given, for $\xi \neq 0$, by*

$$F_f(\xi) = \frac{4\pi}{|\xi|} \int_0^{+\infty} \tau(r) r^{2q+2} \sin(r|\xi|) dr. \quad (2.6)$$

It has the following behaviour at infinity:

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3, \exists C_\alpha > 0; \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, |\partial^\alpha F_f(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha |\xi|^{-4-2q-|\alpha|}. \quad (2.7)$$

Furthermore, there exists a smooth function $G : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for $\xi \neq 0$,

$$F_f(\xi) = 4\pi(-1)^{q+1}((2q+2)!) |\xi|^{-4-2q} + G(\xi)$$

and such that, for all $k \in \llbracket 4 + 2q + 1; +\infty \llbracket$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$,

$$\exists C_{k;\alpha} > 0; \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, |\partial^\alpha G(\xi)| \leq C_{k;\alpha} |\xi|^{-k-|\alpha|}. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof: The case $q = 0$ was stated and proved in [JN]. The proof there actually extends to the general case. By Theorem 7.1.14 in [Hö2], F_f is real analytic. □

Thanks to Hardy's inequality

$$\exists c > 0; \forall f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3), \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |t|^{-2} |f(t)|^2 dt \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla f(t)|^2 dt,$$

one can show that V is Δ -bounded with relative bound 0. Therefore the Hamiltonian H is self-adjoint on the domain of the Laplacian Δ , namely $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ (see Kato's theorem in [RS2], p. 166-167). We point out (cf. [Si, Z]) that a bound state ψ exists at least for appropriate $E \leq E_0$ (cf. [FH]) and for $N < 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^L Z_k$. A priori, it belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$, a space that contains non-continuous functions. But, as shown in [K], ψ is actually continuous. Since the integrand in (1.2) (resp. in (1.3)) is integrable and continuous, a standard result on the continuity of integrals depending on parameters shows that ρ_k (resp. γ_k) is everywhere defined and continuous.

Finally we recall further, well-know properties of a bound state of H (see [JN] for details).

Proposition 2.3. *Recall that \mathcal{C}_N (resp. \mathcal{R}_N) is defined in (1.4) (resp. (1.5)). The bound state ψ is a continuous function that also belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$. On the open set $\mathbb{R}^{3N} \setminus (\mathcal{C}_N \cup \mathcal{R}_N)$, ψ is a real analytic function. Take a subset \mathcal{E} of $\mathbb{R}^{3N} \setminus (\mathcal{C}_N \cup \mathcal{R}_N)$ such that its distance to the collisions set $\mathcal{C}_N \cup \mathcal{R}_N$ is positive. Then any partial derivative of ψ belongs to $L^2(\mathcal{E})$. For any non-empty open set \mathcal{O} of \mathbb{R}^{3N} , the bound state ψ does not vanish identically on \mathcal{O} .*

3 Two-electron collisions.

In this section, we recall several results, that were obtained in [JN] and are based on the special state decomposition at a two-particle collision from [FHHS3]. We first focus on two-particle collisions of electrons and introduce the notion of relevant valuation associated to the collision. Then we present the decomposition (1.8) of γ_{N-1} in details. We use basic notions of real analytic functions of several variables (see [Hö4]).

Proposition 3.1. [JN].

The set \mathcal{R}_N being defined in (1.5), we consider a point $\hat{\underline{z}} = (\hat{z}_1; \dots; \hat{z}_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{3N} \setminus \mathcal{R}_N$ such that there exists an unique $(j; k) \in \llbracket 1; N \rrbracket^2$ such that $\hat{z}_j = \hat{z}_k$ and $j \neq k$. According to (1.4), $\hat{\underline{z}} \in \mathcal{C}_N$ and a two-electron collision occurs at $\hat{\underline{z}}$. Then there exists a neighbourhood Ω of $\hat{\underline{z}}$ in \mathbb{R}^{3N} and two sums of power series $\tilde{\varphi}_1$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ on Ω such that, setting $\underline{z} = (z_1; \dots; z_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$,

$$\forall \underline{z} \in \Omega, \quad \psi(\underline{z}) = \tilde{\varphi}_1(\underline{z}) + (1/2) |z_j - z_k| \tilde{\varphi}_2(\underline{z}). \quad (3.1)$$

Furthermore, the function $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ is not zero identically. Both functions $\tilde{\varphi}_1$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ are uniquely determined by ψ and the two-electron collision $\hat{\underline{z}}$.

In this situation, the nonzero function $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ may vanish on the collision set $\{\underline{z} \in \Omega; z_j = z_k\}$. If it does, it will be important to describe how it vanishes. For instance, is it like $|z_j - z_k|$ or like $|z_j - z_k|^3$? To this end, we use the notion of *relevant valuation* at the two-electron collision $\hat{\underline{z}}$, that was introduced in [JN].

Given a nonzero, real analytic function φ in several variables $\underline{z} = (z_1; \dots; z_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$, it may be written, near any point $\hat{\underline{z}} = (\hat{z}_1; \dots; \hat{z}_N)$ of its domain of analyticity, as the sum of a power series in the variables $((z_1 - \hat{z}_1); \dots; (z_N - \hat{z}_N))$. For $j \in \llbracket 1; N \rrbracket$, this sum may be rearranged in the following form

$$\varphi(\underline{z}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3} \varphi_\alpha((z_k)_{k \neq j}) (z_j - \hat{z}_j)^\alpha, \quad (3.2)$$

for sums φ_α of appropriate power series in the variables z_k with $k \neq j$. Since the function φ is nonzero, so is at least one function φ_α . This means that the set $\{|\alpha|; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3, \varphi_\alpha \neq 0\}$ is a non empty subset of \mathbb{N} . By definition, the valuation of φ in the variable z_j at $\hat{\underline{z}}$ is the minimum of this set. When φ is identically zero, we decide to set its valuation in the variable z_j at $\hat{\underline{z}}$ to $-\infty$.

Definition 3.2. Let $\hat{\underline{z}} = (\hat{z}_1; \dots; \hat{z}_N) \in \mathcal{C}_N \setminus \mathcal{R}_N$ as in Proposition 3.1. We introduce new variables by setting, on Ω , $\mathfrak{z}_\ell = z_\ell$, if $\ell \notin \{j; k\}$, $\mathfrak{z}_j = (z_j - z_k)/2$, and $\mathfrak{z}_k = (z_j + z_k)/2$. Replacing each z_j by \hat{z}_j , we similarly define the $\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_\ell$ from \hat{z}_ℓ and set $\hat{\underline{\mathfrak{z}}} = (\hat{\mathfrak{z}}_1; \dots; \hat{\mathfrak{z}}_N)$. The sum of a power series $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ on Ω may be rewritten as $\underline{\mathfrak{z}} \mapsto \varphi_2(\underline{\mathfrak{z}})$, for $\underline{\mathfrak{z}} = (\mathfrak{z}_1; \dots; \mathfrak{z}_N)$ in some neighbourhood of $\hat{\underline{\mathfrak{z}}}$ and for some sum of a power series φ_2 near $\hat{\underline{\mathfrak{z}}}$. In that case, we define the relevant valuation of the two-electron collision at $\hat{\underline{z}}$ as the valuation of φ_2 in the variable \mathfrak{z}_j at $\hat{\underline{\mathfrak{z}}}$.

Remark 3.3. Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, we can use the new variables, introduced in Definition 3.2, to rewrite (3.1) as

$$\forall \underline{z} \in \Omega, \quad \psi(\underline{z}) = \varphi_1(\underline{\mathfrak{z}}) + |\mathfrak{z}_j| \varphi_2(\underline{\mathfrak{z}}) \quad (3.3)$$

where, if $j < k$,

$$\psi(\underline{z}) = \psi(\mathfrak{z}_1; \dots; \mathfrak{z}_{j-1}; \mathfrak{z}_k + \mathfrak{z}_j; \mathfrak{z}_{j+1}; \dots; \mathfrak{z}_{k-1}; \mathfrak{z}_k - \mathfrak{z}_j; \mathfrak{z}_{k+1}; \dots; \mathfrak{z}_N)$$

and where φ_1 and φ_2 are sums of a power series. We observe that, when \underline{z} runs in Ω , the variables $(\mathfrak{z}_k)_{\ell \notin \{j,k\}}$ runs in some neighbourhood of $(\hat{z}_k)_{\ell \notin \{j,k\}}$, while the variable \mathfrak{z}_j runs in some neighbourhood of 0 and the variable \mathfrak{z}_k runs in some neighbourhood of $\hat{z}_j = \hat{z}_k$. For simplicity, we set, for $\underline{z} \in \Omega$ and $j < k$,

$$(z_j; z_k; Z_{j;k}) := (z_1; \dots; z_{j-1}; z_j; z_{j+1}; \dots; z_{k-1}; z_k; z_{k+1}; \dots; z_N)$$

where $Z_{j;k} = (z_\ell)_{\ell \notin \{j,k\}}$.

In the framework of Proposition 3.1, it was proved in [JN] that, if n denotes the relevant valuation of the two-electron collision, then the regularity (in the above sense) of the bound state ψ near this collision is exactly n . This was derived from the following result, that we shall use here also.

Lemma 3.4. [JN]. Let \mathcal{W} be a bounded neighbourhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^3 and $\varphi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a nonzero real analytic function with valuation $q \in \mathbb{N}$ (w.r.t. its 3-dimensional variable in the above sense). Then the function $N_\varphi : \mathcal{W} \ni x \mapsto |x| \varphi(x) \in \mathbb{C}$ belongs to the class C^q but does not belong to the class C^{q+1} . Furthermore, any partial derivative of order $q+1$ of N_φ is well-defined away from zero and bounded.

It was claimed without proof in [JN] that, if the state ψ is bosonic (resp. fermionic) then so are the functions $\tilde{\varphi}_1$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ appearing in Proposition 3.1 and therefore the relevant valuation of the two-electron collision is even (resp. odd). We now prove this.

Recall that the bound state ψ is bosonic if it is invariant under any exchange of two electronic coordinates, that is, for the exchange of z_j and z_k ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(z_1; \dots; z_{j-1}; z_k; z_{j+1}; \dots; z_{k-1}; z_j; z_{k+1}; \dots; z_N) \\ &= \psi(z_1; \dots; z_{j-1}; z_j; z_{j+1}; \dots; z_{k-1}; z_k; z_{k+1}; \dots; z_N), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for all $(z_1; \dots; z_N) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^N$. It is fermionic if any exchange of two electronic coordinates changes its sign, that is, for the exchange of z_j and z_k ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(z_1; \dots; z_{j-1}; z_k; z_{j+1}; \dots; z_{k-1}; z_j; z_{k+1}; \dots; z_N) \\ &= -\psi(z_1; \dots; z_{j-1}; z_j; z_{j+1}; \dots; z_{k-1}; z_k; z_{k+1}; \dots; z_N), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for all $(z_1; \dots; z_N) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^N$ (cf. [RS1] p. 53-54 or [LiSe] p. 35).

Proposition 3.5. In the framework of Proposition 3.1, we consider a bound state ψ that is bosonic (resp. fermionic). Then, on an appropriate vicinity of \hat{z} , that is included in Ω , (3.4) (resp. (3.5)) holds true with ψ replaced by $\tilde{\varphi}_1$ and also with ψ replaced by $\tilde{\varphi}_2$. In particular, the relevant valuation of the two-electron collision at \hat{z} is even (resp. odd).

Proof: Let $r > 0$ and, for $\ell \in \llbracket 1; N \rrbracket$, let \mathcal{V}_ℓ be the ball of radius r and centre \hat{z}_ℓ , such that the cartesian product $C := \mathcal{V}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{V}_N$ is included in Ω . The set C is invariant under the exchange of the coordinates z_j and z_k . Assume that, for $\epsilon \in \{-1; 1\}$, for all $\underline{z} \in C$, we have

$$\psi(z_k; z_j; Z_{j;k}) := \epsilon \psi(z_j; z_k; Z_{j;k}). \quad (3.6)$$

If $\epsilon = 1$, ψ is bosonic and, if $\epsilon = -1$, ψ is fermionic. By (3.1), we get, for $\underline{z} \in C$,

$$\tilde{\varphi}_1(z_k; z_j; Z_{j;k}) - \epsilon \tilde{\varphi}_1(z_j; z_k; Z_{j;k}) = \frac{|z_j - z_k|}{2} (\tilde{\varphi}_2(z_k; z_j; Z_{j;k}) - \epsilon \tilde{\varphi}_2(z_j; z_k; Z_{j;k})).$$

While the l.h.s. is a real analytic, therefore smooth function, the r.h.s. does not belong to the class C^{n+1} , if n is the relevant valuation, by Lemma 3.4. Thus, both functions must be zero identically. This shows that $\tilde{\varphi}_1$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ are bosonic (resp. fermionic) if so is ψ since $\epsilon = 1$ (resp. $\epsilon = -1$).

For $\underline{\mathfrak{z}}$ in an appropriate neighbourhood of $(0; \hat{z}_k; \hat{Z}_{j;k})$,

$$\varphi_2(-\mathfrak{z}_j; \mathfrak{z}_k; Z_{j;k}) = \epsilon \varphi_2(\mathfrak{z}_j; \mathfrak{z}_k; Z_{j;k}).$$

Using the expansion (3.2) for $\varphi = \varphi_2$, we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3} ((-1)^{|\alpha|} - \epsilon) \varphi_\alpha((\mathfrak{z}_k)_{k \neq j}) \mathfrak{z}_j^\alpha.$$

Thus all the φ_α for odd $|\alpha|$ are zero identically if $\epsilon = 1$ and all the φ_α for even $|\alpha|$ are zero identically if $\epsilon = -1$. Therefore the relevant valuation, that is the valuation of φ_2 , is even if $\epsilon = 1$ and odd if $\epsilon = -1$. \square

Making use of the special state decompositions in [FHHS3] at a nucleus-electron collision and at an electron-electron collision, the decomposition (1.8) was derived in [JN]. We need some notation.

Recall that we introduced the set $\mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}$ in (1.6) and the set $\mathcal{C}_{N-1}^{(2)}$ in (1.7). Let us take $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}') \in (\mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)} \times \mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{N-1}^{(2)}$. As vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$, we write $\hat{\underline{x}} = (\hat{x}_1; \cdots; \hat{x}_{N-1})$ and $\hat{\underline{x}}' = (\hat{x}'_1; \cdots; \hat{x}'_{N-1})$. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{G} := \{(j; j') \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket^2; \hat{x}_j = \hat{x}'_{j'}\}.$$

Since $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}') \in \mathcal{C}_{N-1}^{(2)}$, \mathcal{G} is not empty. Since $\hat{\underline{x}} \in \mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}$ and $\hat{\underline{x}}' \in \mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}$, \mathcal{G} is the graph of an injective map $c : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket$ with the domain of definition

$$\mathcal{D} := \{j \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket; \exists j' \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket; (j; j') \in \mathcal{G}\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Using [FHHS3] and Proposition 2.3, we have

Proposition 3.6. [JN]. *Let $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}') \in (\mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)} \times \mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{N-1}^{(2)}$. Then there exist an open neighbourhood \mathcal{V} of $\hat{\underline{x}}$, an open neighbourhood \mathcal{V}' of $\hat{\underline{x}}'$, a smooth function $s : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and, for $j \in \mathcal{D}$, an open neighbourhood \mathcal{W}_j of \hat{x}_j , a function $\tilde{\chi}_j \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R})$, and two*

sums of power series $\tilde{\varphi}_j : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}_j \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}'_{c(j)} : \mathcal{V}' \times \mathcal{W}_j \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that $\tilde{\chi}_j = 1$ near $\hat{x}_j = \hat{x}'_{c(j)}$ and the support of $\tilde{\chi}_j$ is included in \mathcal{W}_j , and such that, for all $(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') \in (\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}')$, (1.8) holds true, namely

$$\gamma_{N-1}(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') = s(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}} \gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}(\underline{x}; \underline{x}'),$$

where

$$\gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x_j - y| \tilde{\varphi}_j(\underline{x}; y) |x'_{c(j)} - y| \overline{\tilde{\varphi}'_{c(j)}}(\underline{x}'; y) \tilde{\chi}_j(y) dy, \quad (3.7)$$

for $j \in \mathcal{D}$. For such j , the function $\tilde{\varphi}_j$ is precisely the function $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ in formula (3.1) near $(\hat{x}; \hat{x}_j)$ and the function $\tilde{\varphi}'_{c(j)}$ is precisely the function $\tilde{\varphi}_2$ in formula (3.1) near $(\hat{x}'; \hat{x}'_j)$. Furthermore, one may require that, for $(j; \ell) \in \mathcal{D}^2$ with $j \neq \ell$, $\mathcal{W}_j \cap \mathcal{W}_\ell = \emptyset$, and that, for $j \in \mathcal{D}$, \mathcal{W}_j is included in the range of the map $\mathcal{V} \ni \underline{x} \mapsto x_j$ and also included in the range of the map $\mathcal{V}' \ni \underline{x}' \mapsto x'_{c(j)}$.

4 Fourier transform of localisations of the density matrix.

In order to find the regularity of γ_{N-1} near a point $(\hat{x}; \hat{x}') \in (\mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)} \times \mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{N-1}^{(2)}$, it suffices to consider γ_{N-1} multiplied by a cut-off function that is 1 near $(\hat{x}; \hat{x}')$. We shall require that the support of this cut-off function is so small that we can exploit Proposition 3.6. Now we want to study the Fourier transform (2.3) of the localised version of γ_{N-1} and, by (1.8), it suffices to do so for each $\gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}$, that is defined in (3.7). Using inverse Fourier transform (2.4), we shall get an expansion of each $\gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}$, from which we shall be able to derive our main result Theorem 1.1.

Let $(\hat{x}; \hat{x}') \in (\mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)} \times \mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{N-1}^{(2)}$. Recall that, for $\underline{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{N-1}$ and $q \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket$, we sometimes write $\underline{x} = (x_q; \underline{x}_q)$. We use the objects introduced in Proposition 3.6. For $j \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket$, let $\chi_{0;j} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the following requirements:

- If $j \notin \mathcal{D}$, $\chi_{0;j} = 1$ near \hat{x}_j ;
- If $j \in \mathcal{D}$, $\chi_{0;j} = 1$ near \hat{x}_j and $\chi_{0;j} = \chi_{0;j} \tilde{\chi}_j$;
- For $(j; \ell) \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket^2$ with $j \neq \ell$, $\chi_{0;j} \chi_{0;\ell} = 0$.

Let χ_0 be the tensor product $\otimes_{j \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket} \chi_{0;j}$, that is the map

$$(\mathbb{R}^3)^{N-1} \ni \underline{x} \mapsto \prod_{j \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket} \chi_{0;j}(x_j).$$

Note that $\chi_0 \in C_c^\infty((\mathbb{R}^3)^{N-1}; \mathbb{R})$. Recall that, for $j \in \mathcal{D}$, the support of $\tilde{\chi}_j$ is included in \mathcal{W}_j . Now, we can choose the support of the functions $\chi_{0;j}$, for $j \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket$, so small such that, for $j \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists an open neighbourhood $\mathcal{V}_{\neq j}$ of \hat{x}_j such that $\mathcal{W}_j \times \mathcal{V}_{\neq j} \subset \mathcal{V}$

and the support of $\otimes_{\ell \in [1; N-1] \setminus \{j\}} \chi_{0;\ell}$ is included in $\mathcal{V}_{\neq j}$. This ensures, in particular, that the support of χ_0 is included in \mathcal{V} .

For $k \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket$, let $\chi'_{0;k} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the following requirements:

- If $k \notin c(\mathcal{D})$, $\chi'_{0;k} = 1$ near \hat{x}'_k ;
- If $k = c(j)$ for $j \in \mathcal{D}$, $\chi'_{0;k} = 1$ near $\hat{x}'_{c(j)} = \hat{x}_j$ and $\chi'_{0;k} = \chi'_{0;k} \tilde{\chi}_j$.
- For $(k; \ell) \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket^2$ with $k \neq \ell$, $\chi'_{0;k} \chi'_{0;\ell} = 0$.

Let χ'_0 be the tensor product $\otimes_{k \in [1; N-1]} \chi'_{0;k}$, that is the map

$$(\mathbb{R}^3)^{N-1} \ni \underline{x}' \mapsto \prod_{k \in [1; N-1]} \chi'_{0;k}(x'_k).$$

As above, we may assume that, for $k \in c(\mathcal{D})$, there is an open neighbourhood $\mathcal{V}'_{\neq k}$ of \hat{x}'_k such that $\mathcal{W}_j \times \mathcal{V}'_{\neq k} \subset \mathcal{V}'$ and the support of $\otimes_{\ell \in [1; N-1] \setminus \{k\}} \chi'_{0;\ell}$ is included in $\mathcal{V}'_{\neq k}$. In particular, $\chi'_0 \in C_c^\infty((\mathbb{R}^3)^{N-1}; \mathbb{R})$ and the support of χ'_0 is included in \mathcal{V}' .

The considered localised version of γ_{N-1} is $\gamma_{N-1}(\chi_0 \otimes \chi'_0)$, that is the map

$$((\mathbb{R}^3)^{N-1})^2 \ni (\underline{x}; \underline{x}') \mapsto \chi_0(\underline{x}) \gamma_{N-1}(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') \chi'_0(\underline{x}').$$

As explained above, it suffices to consider the localised version $\gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}(\chi_0 \otimes \chi'_0)$ of $\gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}$, that is the map

$$((\mathbb{R}^3)^{N-1})^2 \ni (\underline{x}; \underline{x}') \mapsto \chi_0(\underline{x}) \gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') \chi'_0(\underline{x}'),$$

for $j \in \mathcal{D}$.

Let us now fix $j \in \mathcal{D}$. To simplify notation, we denote $\gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}$ by $\tilde{\gamma}$, the localised version of $\gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}$ by $\tilde{\gamma}_0$, i.e. $\tilde{\gamma}_0 = \tilde{\gamma}(\chi_0 \otimes \chi'_0)$, $\tilde{\chi}_j$ by $\tilde{\chi}$, $c(j)$ by k , $\mathcal{V}_{\neq j}$ by \mathcal{V}_{\neq} , $\mathcal{V}'_{\neq k}$ by \mathcal{V}'_{\neq} , and \mathcal{W}_j by \mathcal{W} . Therefore (3.7) reads

$$\tilde{\gamma}(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x_j - y| \tilde{\varphi}_j(\underline{x}; y) |x'_k - y| \overline{\tilde{\varphi}'_k}(\underline{x}'; y) \tilde{\chi}(y) dy. \quad (4.1)$$

According to (2.3) and to the Fubini theorem, the Fourier transform F of $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ is the map $F : (\mathbb{R}^3)^{2(N-1)} \ni (\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') \mapsto F(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}')$ where

$$\begin{aligned} & F(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^{2N-1}} e^{-i(\underline{\xi} \cdot \underline{x} + \underline{\xi}' \cdot \underline{x}')} \chi_0(\underline{x}) |x_j - y| \tilde{\varphi}_j(\underline{x}; y) \chi'_0(\underline{x}') |x'_k - y| \overline{\tilde{\varphi}'_k}(\underline{x}'; y) \tilde{\chi}(y) d\underline{x} d\underline{x}' dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

It is convenient to write the variable $\underline{x} \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{N-1}$ as $(x_j; \underline{x}_j)$ with $\underline{x}_j := (x_\ell)_{\ell \neq j}$. We also simplify the notation for the tensor product χ_0 by setting

$$\chi_j(\underline{x}_j) := \prod_{\substack{\ell \in [1; N-1] \\ \ell \neq j}} \chi_{0;\ell}(x_\ell)$$

if $N > 2$, else $\chi_j = 1$. Thus $\chi_0(\underline{x}) = \chi_{0;j}(x_j) \chi_j(\underline{x}_j)$. We perform the same simplifications for \underline{x}' and χ'_0 , replacing j by k .

To analyse the function F , we first rewrite it in the following form, using the function φ that is associated to $\tilde{\varphi}$ in Definition 3.2.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ be such that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $y \in S_{\tilde{\chi}}$, the support of $\tilde{\chi}$, $\chi(|x|) = 1$ if $\chi_{0;j}(x+y) \neq 0$ and also $\chi(|x|) = 1$ if $\chi'_{0;k}(x+y) \neq 0$. Then $\chi(|\cdot|) = 1$ near 0 in \mathbb{R}^3 . For all $(\xi; \xi') \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{2N-1}$,*

$$F(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^{2N-1}} e^{-i(\xi_j \cdot x_j + \xi'_k \cdot x'_k)} e^{-i(\xi_j + \xi'_k) \cdot y} e^{-i(\underline{\xi}_j \cdot \underline{x}_j + \underline{\xi}'_k \cdot \underline{x}'_k)} \quad (4.3)$$

$$|x_j| \varphi_j(x_j/2; x_j/2 + y; \underline{x}_j) \chi(|x_j|) |x'_k| \overline{\varphi}'_k(x'_k/2; x'_k/2 + y; \underline{x}'_k) \chi(|x'_k|)$$

$$\chi_{0;j}(x_j + y) \chi'_{0;k}(x'_k + y) \chi_j(\underline{x}_j) \chi'_k(\underline{x}'_k) \tilde{\chi}(y) dx_j dx'_k d\underline{x}_j d\underline{x}'_k dy,$$

where $\underline{\xi} := (\xi_j; \underline{\xi}_j)$ and $\underline{\xi}' := (\xi'_k; \underline{\xi}'_k)$ with $\underline{\xi}_j := (\xi_\ell)_{\ell \neq j}$ and $\underline{\xi}'_k := (\xi'_\ell)_{\ell \neq k}$.

Proof: First of all, it is convenient to write $(\underline{x}; y)$ as $(x_j; y; \underline{x}_j)$ and $(\underline{x}'; y)$ as $(x'_k; y; \underline{x}'_k)$ in (4.2). For $y \in S_{\tilde{\chi}}$, $\chi_{0;j}(x+y) \neq 0$ if x is close to zero. Thus $\chi(|x|) = 1$ for such x . Similarly, for $y \in S_{\tilde{\chi}}$, $\chi'_{0;k}(x+y) \neq 0$ if x is close to zero. Thus $\chi(|x|) = 1$ for such x , as well.

For fixed y , we make the change of variables $\tilde{x} = x_j - y$ and $\tilde{x}' = x'_k - y$ in the $(x_j; x'_k)$ -integral in (4.2) and rename the new variables $(\tilde{x}; \tilde{x}')$ as $(x_j; x'_k)$, to arrive at

$$F(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^{2N-1}} e^{-i(\xi_j \cdot x_j + \xi'_k \cdot x'_k)} e^{-i(\xi_j + \xi'_k) \cdot y} e^{-i(\underline{\xi}_j \cdot \underline{x}_j + \underline{\xi}'_k \cdot \underline{x}'_k)}$$

$$|x_j| \tilde{\varphi}_j(x_j + y; y; \underline{x}_j) |x'_k| \overline{\tilde{\varphi}}'_k(x'_k + y; y; \underline{x}'_k)$$

$$\chi_{0;j}(x_j + y) \chi'_{0;k}(x'_k + y) \chi_j(\underline{x}_j) \chi'_k(\underline{x}'_k) \tilde{\chi}(y) dx_j dx'_k d\underline{x}_j d\underline{x}'_k dy.$$

By Definition 3.2, we can write $\tilde{\varphi}_j(x_j + y; y; \underline{x}_j) = \varphi_j(x_j/2; x_j/2 + y; \underline{x}_j)$, for $y \in S_{\tilde{\chi}}$ and $(x_j + y) \in S_{\chi_{0;j}}$, and $\overline{\tilde{\varphi}}'_k(x'_k + y; y; \underline{x}'_k) = \overline{\varphi}'_k(x'_k/2; x'_k/2 + y; \underline{x}'_k)$, for $y \in S_{\tilde{\chi}}$ and $(x'_k + y) \in S_{\chi'_{0;k}}$. By the properties of the cut-off function χ , we may insert $\chi(|x_j|)$ and $\chi(|x'_k|)$ into the integral without changing it, yielding (4.3). \square

Recall that $\hat{x}_j = \hat{x}'_k$. Let \mathcal{R}_0 be the range of the map $\mathcal{W}^2 \ni (x; y) \mapsto ((x-y)/2; (x+y)/2)$. It is an open neighbourhood of $(0; \hat{x}_j) = (0; \hat{x}'_k)$. Let

$$\mathcal{S} := \{(s; t) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^2; (s/2; s/2 + t) \in \mathcal{R}_0\}.$$

On the support of the integrand in (4.3), we have $(x_j/2; x_j/2 + y) \in \mathcal{R}_0$, that is $(x_j; y) \in \mathcal{S}$, and $(x'_k/2; x'_k/2 + y) \in \mathcal{R}_0$, that is $(x'_k; y) \in \mathcal{S}$. Let us denote by n_j (resp. n'_k) the relevant valuation at the two-electron collision $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{x}_j)$ (resp. $(\hat{\underline{x}}'; \hat{x}'_k)$). The sums of a power series φ_j and φ'_k both satisfy a formula like (3.2). It was shown in [JN] that, for almost all $y \in S_{\tilde{\chi}}$, n_j is the valuation of the map

$$\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}_\neq \ni (x_j; y; \underline{x}_j) \mapsto \varphi_j(x_j/2; x_j/2 + y; \underline{x}_j)$$

at 0 in the variable x_j and that n'_k is the valuation of the map

$$\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}'_\neq \ni (x'_k; y; \underline{x}'_k) \mapsto \varphi'_k(x'_k/2; x'_k/2 + y; \underline{x}'_k)$$

at 0 in the variable x'_k .

Let m be an integer larger than $\max(n_j; n'_k)$. Then, for $(x_j/2; x_j/2 + y; \underline{x}_j) \in \mathcal{R}_0 \times \mathcal{V}_{\neq}$,

$$\varphi_j(x_j/2; x_j/2 + y; \underline{x}_j) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3 \\ n_j \leq |\alpha| < m}} \varphi_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) x_j^\alpha + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \hat{\varphi}_\alpha(x_j; y; \underline{x}_j) x_j^\alpha, \quad (4.4)$$

where the functions $\hat{\varphi}_\alpha$ are the sum of a power series on $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}_{\neq}$ and the functions φ_α are the sum of a power series on $\mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{V}_{\neq}$. By definition of n_j , the functions φ_α for $|\alpha| = n_j$, are not all identically zero.

Similarly, we can write, for $(x'_k; x'_k + 2y; \underline{x}'_k) \in \mathcal{R}_0 \times \mathcal{V}'_{\neq}$,

$$\varphi'_k(x'_k/2; x'_k/2 + y; \underline{x}'_k) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3 \\ n'_k \leq |\alpha| < m}} \varphi'_\alpha(y; \underline{x}'_k) (x'_k)^\alpha + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3 \\ |\alpha| = m}} \hat{\varphi}'_\alpha(x'_k; y; \underline{x}'_k) (x'_k)^\alpha, \quad (4.5)$$

where the functions $\hat{\varphi}'_\alpha$ are the sum of a power series near on $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}'_{\neq}$ and the functions φ'_α are the sum of a power series on $\mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{V}'_{\neq}$. By definition of n'_k , the functions φ'_α for $|\alpha| = n'_k$, are not all identically zero.

Now we want to insert the formulae (4.4) and (4.5) into (4.3). To control the remainders, we shall use Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2.

For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, we denote by F_α the Fourier transform of the continuous, compactly supported function $\mathbb{R}^3 \ni x \mapsto |x| x^\alpha \chi(|x|)$. We observe that $F_\alpha = (-i\partial)^\alpha F_0$, where F_0 is the Fourier transform of the continuous, compactly supported function $\mathbb{R}^3 \ni x \mapsto |x| \chi(|x|)$ and to which one can apply Lemma 2.2. This yields

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$. There exists a smooth function $G_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following properties.*

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, \quad F_\alpha(\xi) = -8\pi (-i)^{|\alpha|} (\partial^\alpha | \cdot |^{-4})(\xi) + G_\alpha(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\forall \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^3, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists C_{\gamma;k}^{(\alpha)} > 0; \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, |\partial^\gamma G_\alpha(\xi)| \leq C_{\gamma;k}^{(\alpha)} |\xi|^{-k-|\gamma|}. \quad (4.7)$$

In particular, we have

$$\forall \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^3, \exists C_\gamma^{(\alpha)} > 0; \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, |\partial^\gamma F_\alpha(\xi)| \leq C_\gamma^{(\alpha)} |\xi|^{-4-|\alpha|-|\gamma|}. \quad (4.8)$$

We can check by induction that, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, there exists a polynomial P_α on \mathbb{R}^3 , that has real coefficients and is homogeneous of degree $|\alpha|$, such that

$$\forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, \quad \left(\partial^\alpha | \cdot |^{-4} \right)(\eta) = \frac{P_\alpha(\eta)}{|\eta|^{4+2|\alpha|}}. \quad (4.9)$$

For further purpose, we state the following

Lemma 4.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The functions F_α for $|\alpha| = n$ are linearly independent. So are the functions P_α for $|\alpha| = n$.*

Proof: See the Appendix. □

Coming back to (4.3), this leads to the

Proposition 4.4. *Let m be any integer larger than $\max(n_j; n'_k; 3)$. Then there exist a family $(a_\alpha)_{n_j \leq |\alpha| < m}$ of smooth functions, defined near \hat{x} in $\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$, a family $(a'_\alpha)_{n'_k \leq |\alpha| < m}$ of smooth functions, defined near \hat{x}' in $\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$, and a smooth function R_m on $(\mathbb{R}^3)^{2(N-1)}$, such that, for all $(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{2(N-1)}$,*

$$F(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') = \sum_{\substack{n_j \leq |\alpha| < m \\ n'_k \leq |\alpha'| < m}} F_\alpha(\xi_j) F_{\alpha'}(\xi'_k) A_{\alpha; \alpha'}(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') + R_m(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}'), \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$A_{\alpha; \alpha'}(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-i(\xi_j + \xi'_k) \cdot y} B_{\alpha; \alpha'}(\underline{\xi}_j; \underline{\xi}'_k; y) \tilde{\chi}(y) dy \quad (4.11)$$

and $B_{\alpha; \alpha'}(\underline{\xi}_j; \underline{\xi}'_k; y)$ is the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(2N-4)}} e^{-i(\underline{\xi}_j \cdot \underline{x}_j + \underline{\xi}'_k \cdot \underline{x}'_k)} a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \bar{a}'_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) \chi_j(\underline{x}_j) \chi'_k(\underline{x}'_k) d\underline{x}_j d\underline{x}'_k. \quad (4.12)$$

The functions $A_{\alpha; \alpha'}$ and $B_{\alpha; \alpha'}$ are smooth.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $|\alpha| = n_j$, we have $a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) = \varphi_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \chi_{0;j}(y)$, where the function φ_α appears in the formula (4.4), and, for $\alpha' \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $|\alpha'| = n'_k$, we have $a'_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) = \varphi'_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) \chi'_{0;k}(y)$, where the function $\varphi'_{\alpha'}$ appears in the formula (4.5).

Moreover, we have the following estimates.

$$\forall q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \sup_{(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{2(N-1)}} \langle \xi_j \rangle^m \langle \xi'_k \rangle^m \langle \xi_j \rangle^q \langle \xi'_k \rangle^q |R_m(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}')| < +\infty. \quad (4.13)$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $m > |\alpha| \geq n_j$ and $\alpha' \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $m > |\alpha'| \geq n'_k$, for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{2(N-1)}} \langle \xi_j \rangle^{4+|\alpha|} \langle \xi'_k \rangle^{4+|\alpha'|} \langle \xi_j \rangle^q \langle \xi'_k \rangle^q |F_\alpha(\xi_j) F_{\alpha'}(\xi'_k) A_{\alpha; \alpha'}(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}')| < +\infty. \quad (4.14)$$

Proof: Let $y \in S_{\tilde{\chi}}$ be fixed. We write a Taylor formula for $\chi_{0;j}$ at fixed y with exact remainder as an integral:

$$\chi_{0;j}(x_j + y) = \sum_{|\delta| < m - n_j} \frac{\partial^\delta \chi_{0;j}(y)}{\delta!} x_j^\delta + \sum_{|\delta| = m - n_j} \hat{\chi}_\delta(x_j; y) x_j^\delta,$$

where the functions $\hat{\chi}_\delta$ are smooth near $(0; \hat{x}_j)$. Using this formula together with (4.4), we get the following expansion on $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}_\neq$,

$$\varphi_j(x_j/2; x_j/2 + y; \underline{x}_j) \chi_{0;j}(x_j + y) = \sum_{n_j \leq |\alpha| < m} a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) x_j^\alpha + \sum_{m \leq |\delta| \leq 2m - n_j} r_\delta(x_j; y; \underline{x}_j) x_j^\delta,$$

for some smooth functions a_α and r_δ . For $|\alpha| = n_j$, $a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) = \varphi_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \chi_{0;j}(y)$. In the last formula, denote by $p(x_j; y; \underline{x}_j)$ the first sum and by $r(x_j; y; \underline{x}_j)$ the second one.

Similarly, using (4.5), we can write on $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{V}'_\neq$

$$\varphi'_k(x'_k/2; x'_k/2 + y; \underline{x}'_k) \chi'_{0;k}(x'_k + y) = p'(x'_k; y; \underline{x}'_k) + r'(x'_k; y; \underline{x}'_k).$$

Inserting these expansions into (4.3), we obtain

$$F(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^{2N-1}} e^{-i(\xi_j \cdot x_j + \xi'_k \cdot x'_k)} e^{-i(\xi_j + \xi'_k) \cdot y} e^{-i(\underline{\xi}_j \cdot \underline{x}_j + \underline{\xi}'_k \cdot \underline{x}'_k)} \quad (4.15)$$

$$|x_j| \chi(|x_j|) |x'_k| \chi(|x'_k|) (p(x_j; y; \underline{x}_j) + r(x_j; y; \underline{x}_j)) \tilde{\chi}(y)$$

$$(\overline{p}'(x'_k; y; \underline{x}'_k) + \overline{r}'(x'_k; y; \underline{x}'_k)) \chi_j(\underline{x}_j) \chi'_k(\underline{x}'_k) dx_j dx'_k d\underline{x}_j d\underline{x}'_k dy$$

and set $R_m(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}')$ as

$$F(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') - \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^{2N-1}} e^{-i(\xi_j \cdot x_j + \xi'_k \cdot x'_k)} e^{-i(\xi_j + \xi'_k) \cdot y} e^{-i(\underline{\xi}_j \cdot \underline{x}_j + \underline{\xi}'_k \cdot \underline{x}'_k)}$$

$$|x_j| \chi(|x_j|) |x'_k| \chi(|x'_k|) p(x_j; y; \underline{x}_j) \tilde{\chi}(y)$$

$$\overline{p}'(x'_k; y; \underline{x}'_k) \chi_j(\underline{x}_j) \chi'_k(\underline{x}'_k) dx_j dx'_k d\underline{x}_j d\underline{x}'_k dy .$$

By Fubini theorem, we have

$$F(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') - R_m(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}')$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{n_j \leq |\alpha| < m \\ n'_k \leq |\alpha'| < m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{-i(\xi_j \cdot x_j + \xi'_k \cdot x'_k)} x_j^\alpha |x_j| \chi(|x_j|) (x'_k)^{\alpha'} |x'_k| \chi(|x'_k|) dx_j dx'_k$$

$$\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-i(\xi_j + \xi'_k) \cdot y} B_{\alpha; \alpha'}(\underline{\xi}_j; \underline{\xi}'_k; y) \tilde{\chi}(y) dy ,$$

where $B_{\alpha; \alpha'}(\underline{\xi}_j; \underline{\xi}'_k; y)$ is given by (4.12), yielding (4.10).

For such $(\alpha; \alpha')$, we can use (2.2) in (4.12) to get, for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\forall (\underline{\xi}_j; \underline{\xi}'_k; y) \in (\mathbb{R}^{3(N-2)})^2 \times S_{\tilde{\chi}}, \quad |B_{\alpha; \alpha'}(\underline{\xi}_j; \underline{\xi}'_k; y)| \leq C_q \langle \underline{\xi}_j \rangle^{-q} \langle \underline{\xi}'_k \rangle^{-q}$$

for some $(\underline{\xi}_j; \underline{\xi}'_k; y)$ -independent constant C_q . Combining this estimate with (4.11) and (4.8), we derive (4.14).

The rest $R_m(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}')$ is given by

$$\sum_{\substack{s \in \{p; r\}; s' \in \{p'; r'\}; \\ (s; s') \neq (p; p')}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^{2N-1}} e^{-i(\xi_j \cdot x_j + \xi'_k \cdot x'_k)} e^{-i(\xi_j + \xi'_k) \cdot y} e^{-i(\underline{\xi}_j \cdot \underline{x}_j + \underline{\xi}'_k \cdot \underline{x}'_k)}$$

$$|x_j| \chi(|x_j|) |x'_k| \chi(|x'_k|) s(x_j; y; \underline{x}_j) \tilde{\chi}(y)$$

$$\overline{s}'(x'_k; y; \underline{x}'_k) \chi_j(\underline{x}_j) \chi'_k(\underline{x}'_k) dx_j dx'_k d\underline{x}_j d\underline{x}'_k dy .$$

Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Take a term in the above sum that contains the function r . By Lemma 3.4, we know that the map $x_j \mapsto |x_j| \chi(|x_j|) r(x_j; y; \underline{x}_j)$ belongs to the class C^m . Thus we can apply precisely m times the identity (2.2) with $(x; \xi)$ replaced by $(x_j; \xi_j)$ and integrations by parts in the x_j -integral, we use q times (2.2) with $(x; \xi)$ replaced by $(\underline{x}_j; \underline{\xi}_j)$ and integrations by parts in the \underline{x}_j -integral, and we use q times (2.2) with $(x; \xi)$ replaced by $(\underline{x}'_k; \underline{\xi}'_k)$ and integrations by parts in the \underline{x}'_k -integral, to get

$$\langle \xi_j \rangle^m \langle \xi'_k \rangle^m \langle \underline{\xi}_j \rangle^q \langle \underline{\xi}'_k \rangle^q |R_m(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}')| \leq C , \quad (4.16)$$

for some $(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}')$ -independent constant C . The remaining term contains the function r' . By Lemma 3.4, the map $x'_k \mapsto |x'_k| \chi(|x'_k|) \bar{r}'(x_j; y; \underline{x}_j)$ belongs to the class C^m . We use m times the identity (2.2) with $(x; \xi)$ replaced by $(x'_k; \xi'_k)$ and integrations by parts in the x'_k -integral, we use q times (2.2) with $(x; \xi)$ replaced by $(\underline{x}_j; \underline{\xi}_j)$ and integrations by parts in the \underline{x}_j -integral, and we use q times (2.2) with $(x; \xi)$ replaced by $(\underline{x}'_k; \underline{\xi}'_k)$ and integrations by parts in the \underline{x}'_k -integral, to get the estimate (4.16), for a possibly different, $(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}')$ -independent constant C . This yields (4.13). \square

The estimates (4.13) and (4.14) ensure, by the Fubini theorem, that each term in (4.10) is integrable over $(\mathbb{R}^3)^{2(N-1)}$. This allows us to apply (2.5), with F_g replaced by these terms. In particular, $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ is the inverse Fourier transform of F . It is convenient to introduce the map $\gamma_0 : \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is defined by

$$\gamma_0(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) = \tilde{\gamma}_0(X/2 + X'; \underline{x}_j; X' - X/2; \underline{x}'_k). \quad (4.17)$$

Of course, we can recover $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ from γ_0 by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_0(x_j; \underline{x}_j; x'_k; \underline{x}'_k) = \gamma_0(x_j - x'_k; (x_j + x'_k)/2; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k). \quad (4.18)$$

In particular, $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ and γ_0 have the same regularity. Let us denote by \mathcal{R} the range of the map $\mathcal{W}^2 \ni (x; y) \mapsto (x - y; (x + y)/2)$. It is a neighbourhood of $(0; \hat{x}_j) = (0; \hat{x}'_k)$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let m be any integer larger than $4 + n_j + n'_k$. Then there exists a function $R_m : \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{V}_{\neq} \times \mathcal{V}'_{\neq} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, that belongs to the class C^m , such that, for all $(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) \in \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{V}_{\neq} \times \mathcal{V}'_{\neq}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_0(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) - R_m(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) \\ &= \chi_j(\underline{x}_j) \chi'_k(\underline{x}'_k) \sum_{\substack{n_j \leq |\alpha|, n'_k \leq |\alpha'| \\ 4 + |\alpha| + |\alpha'| < m}} \sum_{4 + |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\alpha'| + |\beta'| < m} \frac{1}{\beta! \beta'!} \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{\partial_y}{2} \right)^{\beta + \beta'} \left(\tilde{\chi}(y) a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \bar{a}'_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) \right) \Big|_{y=X'} \\ & \quad \times (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX \cdot \eta} F_{\alpha + \beta}(\eta) F_{\alpha' + \beta'}(-\eta) d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Moreover, the term indexed by $(\alpha; \beta; \alpha'; \beta')$ in the previous double sum belongs to the class $C^{4 + |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\alpha'| + |\beta'|}$.

Proof: We start with formula (4.10) with m replaced by $m + 4$. Denote by $F^A(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}')$ the sum on the r.h.s. of this formula. Thanks to the estimate (4.13) and Lemma 2.1, the inverse Fourier transform of R_{m+4} belongs to the class C^m . For two functions f and g , we write $f \sim g$ if $f - g$ belongs to the class C^m .

Now, we apply the inverse Fourier transform (2.4) to F^A . For $(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{2(N-1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi)^{-6(N-1)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^{2(N-1)}} e^{i(\underline{x} \cdot \underline{\xi} + \underline{x}' \cdot \underline{\xi}')} F^A(\underline{\xi}; \underline{\xi}') d\underline{\xi} d\underline{\xi}' \quad (4.20) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-6} \chi_j(\underline{x}_j) \chi'_k(\underline{x}'_k) \sum_{\substack{n_j \leq |\alpha| < m+4 \\ n'_k \leq |\alpha'| < m+4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{i(x_j \cdot \xi_j + x'_k \cdot \xi'_k)} F_\alpha(\xi_j) F_{\alpha'}(\xi'_k) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-i(\xi_j + \xi'_k) \cdot y} \tilde{\chi}(y) \\ & \quad \times a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \overline{a'}_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) dy d\xi_j d\xi'_k. \end{aligned}$$

Actually, this is zero unless $(x_j; x'_k; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) \in \mathcal{W}^2 \times \mathcal{V}_\neq \times \mathcal{V}'_\neq$. In the latter case, let us denote by $\tilde{C}_{\alpha; \alpha'}(x_j; x'_k; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k)$ the terms appearing in the sum in (4.20) and set

$$C_{\alpha; \alpha'}(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) := \tilde{C}_{\alpha; \alpha'}(X' + X/2; X' - X/2; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k).$$

where $(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) \in \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{V}_\neq \times \mathcal{V}'_\neq$. Making the change of variables $\eta := (\xi_j - \xi'_k)/2$ and $\eta' := (\xi_j + \xi'_k)/2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_{\alpha; \alpha'}(x_j; x'_k; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{i(x_j \cdot (\eta + \eta') + x'_k \cdot (\eta' - \eta))} F_\alpha(\eta + \eta') F_{\alpha'}(\eta' - \eta) \\ & \quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2i\eta' \cdot y} \tilde{\chi}(y) a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \overline{a'}_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) dy d\eta d\eta' \end{aligned}$$

and, setting $X := x_j - x'_k$ and $X' := (x_j + x'_k)/2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha; \alpha'}(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{i(X \cdot \eta + 2X' \cdot \eta')} F_\alpha(\eta + \eta') F_{\alpha'}(\eta' - \eta) \\ & \quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2i\eta' \cdot y} \tilde{\chi}(y) a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \overline{a'}_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) dy d\eta d\eta'. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identity (2.2) with $(x; \xi)$ replaced by $(2y; \eta')$, we see by integration by parts that, for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_q > 0$ such that, for \underline{x}_j in the support of χ_j and \underline{x}'_k in the support of χ'_k ,

$$\left| \langle \eta' \rangle^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2i\eta' \cdot y} \tilde{\chi}(y) a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \overline{a'}_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) dy \right| \leq C_q. \quad (4.21)$$

Using the boundedness of the functions F_α (cf. (4.8)) and the estimate (4.21), we see that contribution to the integral of the region $\{\eta; |\eta| < 1\}$ is a smooth function (by standard derivation under the integral sign). This holds also true for the contribution to the integral of the region $\{(\eta; \eta'); |\eta| \geq 1, |\eta| < 2|\eta'|\}$. Thus $C_{\alpha; \alpha'} \sim C_{\alpha; \alpha'}^A$ where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha; \alpha'}^A(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) &= \int_{\substack{|\eta| \geq 1 \\ 2|\eta'| \leq |\eta|}} e^{i(X \cdot \eta + 2X' \cdot \eta')} F_\alpha(\eta + \eta') F_{\alpha'}(\eta' - \eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2i\eta' \cdot y} \tilde{\chi}(y) \\ & \quad \times a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \overline{a'}_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) dy d\eta d\eta'. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the following Taylor formula with exact remainder as an integral. For $\epsilon \in \{-1; 1\}$, $Q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^3$, $F_\alpha(\eta' + \epsilon\eta) = F_\alpha^A(\epsilon\eta; \eta') + F_\alpha^R(\epsilon\eta; \eta')$ with

$$F_\alpha^A(\epsilon\eta; \eta') = \sum_{q=0}^{Q-1} \frac{1}{q!} \left((\eta' \cdot \nabla)^q F_\alpha \right) (\epsilon\eta)$$

and

$$F_\alpha^R(\epsilon\eta; \eta') = \int_0^1 \left((\eta' \cdot \nabla)^Q F_\alpha \right) (t\eta' + \epsilon\eta) \frac{(1-t)^{Q-1}}{(Q-1)!} dt.$$

For $\epsilon \in \{-1; 1\}$, $|\eta| \geq 1$, $|\eta| \geq 2|\eta'|$, and $t \in [0; 1]$, $|t\eta' + \epsilon\eta| \geq |\eta|/2$ and, thanks to (4.8), there exist $C, C_Q, C'_Q > 0$ such that

$$|F_\alpha^A(\epsilon\eta; \eta')| \leq C |\eta|^{-4-|\alpha|} |\eta'|^Q, \quad |F_\alpha^R(\epsilon\eta; \eta')| \leq C_Q |\eta|^{-4-|\alpha|-Q} |\eta'|^Q,$$

and

$$|F_\alpha(\eta' + \eta) F_{\alpha'}^R(-\eta; \eta')| \leq C'_Q |\eta|^{-8-|\alpha|-|\alpha'|-Q} |\eta'|^{2Q}.$$

Set $Q = m - |\alpha| - |\alpha'| - 4$ if $|\alpha| + |\alpha'| + 4 < m$, else $Q = 1$. Using (4.21) and Lemma 2.1, we have $C_{\alpha; \alpha'}^A \sim C_{\alpha; \alpha'}^{A_1}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha; \alpha'}^{A_1}(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}_k) &= \int_{\substack{|\eta| \geq 1 \\ 2|\eta'| \leq |\eta|}} e^{i(X \cdot \eta + 2X' \cdot \eta')} F_\alpha(\eta + \eta') F_{\alpha'}^A(-\eta; \eta') \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2i\eta' \cdot y} \tilde{\chi}(y) \\ &\quad \times a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \bar{a}'_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) dy d\eta d\eta'. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can find some $C''_Q > 0$ such that, for $|\eta| \geq 1$ and $|\eta| \geq 2|\eta'|$,

$$|F_\alpha^R(\eta; \eta') F_{\alpha'}^A(-\eta; \eta')| \leq C''_Q |\eta|^{-8-|\alpha|-|\alpha'|-Q} |\eta'|^{2Q}$$

and obtain $C_{\alpha; \alpha'}^A \sim C_{\alpha; \alpha'}^{A_2}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha; \alpha'}^{A_2}(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}_k) &= \int_{\substack{|\eta| \geq 1 \\ 2|\eta'| \leq |\eta|}} e^{i(X \cdot \eta + 2X' \cdot \eta')} F_\alpha^A(\eta; \eta') F_{\alpha'}^A(-\eta; \eta') \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2i\eta' \cdot y} \tilde{\chi}(y) \\ &\quad \times a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \bar{a}'_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) dy d\eta d\eta'. \end{aligned}$$

As above, adding to this integral the contribution of the regions $\{(\eta; \eta'); |\eta| \geq 1, |\eta| < 2|\eta'|\}$ and $\{\eta; |\eta| < 1\}$ amounts to add to the function $C_{\alpha; \alpha'}^{A_2}$ a smooth function. Therefore $C_{\alpha; \alpha'}^A \sim C_{\alpha; \alpha'}^B$ where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha; \alpha'}^B(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}_k) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} e^{i(X \cdot \eta + 2X' \cdot \eta')} F_\alpha^A(\eta; \eta') F_{\alpha'}^A(-\eta; \eta') \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2i\eta' \cdot y} \tilde{\chi}(y) \\ &\quad \times a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \bar{a}'_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) dy d\eta d\eta'. \end{aligned}$$

By the multinomial theorem, we have

$$\frac{1}{q!} (\eta' \cdot \nabla) F_\alpha = \frac{1}{q!} \sum_{|\beta|=q} \frac{q!}{\beta!} (\eta')^\beta \partial^\beta F_\alpha = \sum_{|\beta|=q} \frac{1}{\beta!} (i\eta')^\beta F_{\alpha+\beta},$$

since $F_\alpha = (-i\partial)^\alpha F_0$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha;\alpha'}^B(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}_k) &= \sum_{\substack{|\beta| < Q \\ |\beta'| < Q}} \frac{1}{\beta! \beta'!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX \cdot \eta} F_{\alpha+\beta}(\eta) F_{\alpha'+\beta'}(-\eta) d\eta \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2iX' \cdot \eta'} (i\eta')^{\beta+\beta'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2i\eta' \cdot y} \tilde{\chi}(y) a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \overline{a'}_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) dy d\eta'. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2iX' \cdot \eta'} (i\eta')^{\beta+\beta'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2i\eta' \cdot y} \tilde{\chi}(y) a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \overline{a'}_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) dy d\eta' \\ &= (\partial_{X'}/2)^{\beta+\beta'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2iX' \cdot \eta'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2i\eta' \cdot y} \tilde{\chi}(y) a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \overline{a'}_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k) dy d\eta' \\ &= (2\pi)^3 (\partial_y/2)^{\beta+\beta'} (\tilde{\chi}(y) a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \overline{a'}_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k))|_{y=X'} \end{aligned}$$

by (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha;\alpha'}^B(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}_k) &= (2\pi)^3 \sum_{\substack{|\beta| < Q \\ |\beta'| < Q}} \frac{1}{\beta! \beta'!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX \cdot \eta} F_{\alpha+\beta}(\eta) F_{\alpha'+\beta'}(-\eta) d\eta \\ &\quad \times (\partial_y/2)^{\beta+\beta'} (\tilde{\chi}(y) a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \overline{a'}_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k))|_{y=X'}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Recall that we worked for $n_j \leq |\alpha| < m+4$ and $n'_k \leq |\alpha'| < m+4$ with $m > 4 + n_j + n'_k$. By the properties of the functions F_α (cf. (4.8)) and Lemma 2.1, a term in the sum in (4.22) belongs to the class $C^{4+|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\alpha'|+|\beta'|}$. In particular, it belongs to the class C^m if $4 + |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\alpha'| + |\beta'| \geq m$.

Coming back to the inverse Fourier transform F_{FA}^i of F^A in (4.20), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &F_{FA}^i(X' + X/2; X' - X/2; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}_k) \sim G(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}_k) \quad \text{where} \\ &G(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}_k) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-3} \chi_j(\underline{x}_j) \chi'_k(\underline{x}'_k) \sum_{\substack{n_j \leq |\alpha|, n'_k \leq |\alpha'| \\ 4+|\alpha|+|\alpha'| < m}} \sum_{4+|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\alpha'|+|\beta'| < m} \frac{1}{\beta! \beta'!} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX \cdot \eta} F_{\alpha+\beta}(\eta) F_{\alpha'+\beta'}(-\eta) d\eta \\ &\quad \times (\partial_y/2)^{\beta+\beta'} (\tilde{\chi}(y) a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \overline{a'}_{\alpha'}(y; \underline{x}'_k))|_{y=X'}. \end{aligned}$$

We showed at the beginning of the proof that $\tilde{\gamma}_0 \sim F_{FA}^i$. Thus

$$\gamma_0(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}_k) \sim F_{FA}^i(X' + X/2; X' - X/2; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}_k).$$

Defining R_m by $\gamma_0 - G$, we obtain (4.19). \square

We now focus on the integrals remaining in (4.19), using Lemma 4.2 and (4.9).

Lemma 4.6. *Let $(\alpha; \alpha') \in (\mathbb{N}^3)^2$. There exists a smooth function $S : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that, for all $X \in \mathbb{R}^3$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX \cdot \eta} F_\alpha(\eta) F_{\alpha'}(-\eta) d\eta = \frac{-8(2\pi)^4}{(6 + 2|\alpha| + 2|\alpha'|)!} \left(P_\alpha(-\partial_x) P_{\alpha'}(\partial_x) |x|^{5+2|\alpha|+2|\alpha'|} \right)_{|x=X} + S(X). \quad (4.23)$$

Proof: For two functions f and g , we write here $f \sim g$ if $f - g$ is a smooth function. We shall frequently use the following fact: if $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ then the map

$$\mathbb{R}^3 \ni X \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX \cdot \eta} f(|\eta|) g(\eta) d\eta \quad (4.24)$$

is smooth. This indeed follows from Lemma 2.1. Denote by $N(X)$ the l.h.s. of (4.23). Consider a cut-off function $\tau \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R})$ such that $\tau = 0$ on $[0; 1]$ and $\tau = 1$ on $[2; +\infty[$. Since $(1 - \tau) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we can write, by (4.24), that $N \sim N_1$ where

$$N_1(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX \cdot \eta} \tau(|\eta|) F_\alpha(\eta) F_{\alpha'}(-\eta) d\eta.$$

We use the decomposition (4.6) for F_α , the estimate (4.7), and Lemma 2.1, to see that $N \sim N_2$ where

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(X) &= (8\pi)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX \cdot \eta} \tau(|\eta|) ((-i\partial^\alpha)| \cdot |^{-4})(\eta) ((-i\partial^{\alpha'})| \cdot |^{-4})(-\eta) d\eta \\ &= (8\pi)^2 i^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX \cdot \eta} \tau(|\eta|) P_\alpha(\eta) P_{\alpha'}(-\eta) |\eta|^{-8-2|\alpha|-2|\alpha'|} d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Since the function $\mathbb{R}^3 \ni \eta \mapsto \tau(|\eta|)|\eta|^{-8}$ is integrable, standard derivation under the integral shows that

$$N_2(X) = (8\pi)^2 P_\alpha(-\partial_X) P_{\alpha'}(\partial_X) N_3(X).$$

where

$$N_3(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{iX \cdot \eta} \tau(|\eta|) |\eta|^{-8-2|\alpha|-2|\alpha'|} d\eta.$$

Using spherical coordinates (see [JN]), we get, for $X \neq 0$,

$$N_3(X) = 4\pi \int_1^{+\infty} \tau(r) r^{-7-2|\alpha|-2|\alpha'|} \frac{\sin(r|X|)}{|X|} dr,$$

since the support of τ is included in $[1; +\infty[$. By integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} N_3(X) &= \frac{4\pi}{-6 - 2|\alpha| - 2|\alpha'|} \left(\left[\tau(r) \frac{\sin(r|X|)}{|X|} r^{-6-2|\alpha|-2|\alpha'|} \right]_{r=1}^{r=+\infty} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_1^{+\infty} r^{-6-2|\alpha|-2|\alpha'|} \partial_r \left(\tau(r) \frac{\sin(r|X|)}{|X|} \right) dr \right) \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{6 + 2|\alpha| + 2|\alpha'|} \int_1^{+\infty} r^{-6-2|\alpha|-2|\alpha'|} \partial_r \left(\tau(r) \frac{\sin(r|X|)}{|X|} \right) dr, \end{aligned}$$

since τ is flat at 1. The contribution of the derivative of τ in the previous integral is a smooth function by (4.24). Thus

$$N_3(X) \sim \frac{4\pi}{6 + 2|\alpha| + 2|\alpha'|} \int_1^{+\infty} r^{-6-2|\alpha|-2|\alpha'|} \tau(r) \cos(r|X|) dr.$$

Repeating the integration by parts, we get, by a finite induction, that

$$N_3(X) \sim \frac{4\pi |X|^{4+2|\alpha|+2|\alpha'|}}{(6 + 2|\alpha| + 2|\alpha'|)!} \int_1^{+\infty} r^{-2} \tau(r) \cos(r|X|) dr.$$

Now, using again an integration by parts, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{+\infty} r^{-2} \tau(r) \cos(r|X|) dr &= \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_1^R r^{-2} \tau(r) \cos(r|X|) dr \\ &\sim - \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} |X| \int_1^R r^{-1} \tau(r) \sin(r|X|) dr \\ &\sim - |X| \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^R r^{-1} \sin(r|X|) dr \\ &\sim - |X| \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{R|X|} s^{-1} \sin(s) ds \\ &\sim - \frac{\pi}{2} |X| \end{aligned}$$

since the semi-convergent Dirichlet integral equals $\pi/2$. Thus

$$N_2(X) \sim \frac{-8 (2\pi)^4 i^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|}}{(6 + 2|\alpha| + 2|\alpha'|)!} P_\alpha(-\partial_X) P_{\alpha'}(\partial_X) |X|^{5+2|\alpha|+2|\alpha'|},$$

yielding (4.23). □

In the expansion (4.19), we hope that the regularity of γ_0 is the one of the sum of the terms indexed by $(\alpha; \beta; \alpha'; \beta')$ with $|\alpha| = n_j$, $\beta = 0$, $|\alpha'| = n'_k$, and $\beta' = 0$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $T : (\mathbb{R}^3)^{2(N-1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the function defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} &T(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) \\ &= \frac{-16\pi}{(6 + 2n_j + 2n'_k)!} \chi_j(\underline{x}_j) \chi'_k(\underline{x}'_k) \tilde{\chi}(X') \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=n_j \\ |\alpha'|=n'_k}} a_\alpha(X'; \underline{x}_j) \bar{a}'_{\alpha'}(X'; \underline{x}'_k) \\ &\quad \times \left(P_\alpha(-\partial_x) P_{\alpha'}(\partial_x) |x|^{5+2n_j+2n'_k} \right)_{|x=X}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{2(N-1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &T(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) \\ &= \frac{-16\pi}{(6 + 2n_j + 2n'_k)!} \chi_0(X'; \underline{x}_j) \chi'_0(X'; \underline{x}'_k) \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=n_j \\ |\alpha'|=n'_k}} \varphi_\alpha(X'; \underline{x}_j) \bar{\varphi}'_{\alpha'}(X'; \underline{x}'_k) \\ &\quad \times \left(P_\alpha(-\partial_x) P_{\alpha'}(\partial_x) |x|^{5+2n_j+2n'_k} \right)_{|x=X}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

where the functions φ_α appear in the formula (4.4) and the functions $\varphi'_{\alpha'}$ appear in the formula (4.5). Moreover, the regularity of the function T near $(0; \hat{x}_j; \hat{x}_k)$ is $4 + n_j + n'_k$.

Proof: The equality (4.26) immediately follows from Proposition 4.4 and the properties of the cut-off functions χ_0 , χ'_0 , $\chi_{0;j}$, $\chi'_{0;k}$, and $\tilde{\chi}$. By Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, the function T belongs to the class $C^{4+n_j+n'_k}$.

Assume that T belongs to the class $C^{5+n_j+n'_k}$. By the properties of χ_0 and χ'_0 , there exist some non empty open sets \mathcal{U} of \mathbb{R}^3 , \mathcal{O} of $(\mathbb{R}^3)^{(N-1)}$, and \mathcal{O}' of $(\mathbb{R}^3)^{(N-1)}$, such that, for all $(X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}'$, the product $\chi_0(X'; \underline{x}_j) \chi'_0(X'; \underline{x}'_k) = 1$.

Let $(X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}'$. We know from the properties of the functions P_α and (4.26) that, on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, any partial derivative of the map $X \mapsto T(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k)$ of order $5 + n_j + n'_k$ is homogeneous of degree zero and therefore a function of $X/|X|$ only. We assume that such a derivative exists at 0 and is continuous there. Thus this derivative must be constant. In particular, this map is smooth on \mathbb{R}^3 . Since T is smooth w.r.t. the other variables, T is smooth.

Let $\tau \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\tau = 1$ near 0. Since τ is flat at zero and the map $x \mapsto |x|^{5+2n_j+2n'_k}$ is smooth away from zero, the map $T_1 : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} & T_1(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=n_j \\ |\alpha'|=n'_k}} \varphi_\alpha(X'; \underline{x}_j) \overline{\varphi'_{\alpha'}}(X'; \underline{x}'_k) \left(P_\alpha(-\partial_x) P_{\alpha'}(\partial_x) \tau(|x|) |x|^{5+2n_j+2n'_k} \right)_{|x=X} \end{aligned}$$

is smooth as well. Let K be a compact subset of $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}'$. By the proof of Lemma 2.1, there exists some $c > 0$ such that, for all $(X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}'$, for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|\eta| \geq 1$,

$$|F_{T_1}(\eta; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k)| \leq c |\eta|^{-(9+n_j+n'_k)}. \quad (4.27)$$

Let us denote by f the Fourier transform of the map $x \mapsto \tau(|x|) |x|^{5+2n_j+2n'_k}$. Let $\mathcal{U}_1 := \{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3; |\eta| > 1\}$. For $(\eta; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) \in \mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}'$, we have

$$F_{T_1}(\eta; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) = f(\eta) \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=n_j \\ |\alpha'|=n'_k}} \varphi_\alpha(X'; \underline{x}_j) \overline{\varphi'_{\alpha'}}(X'; \underline{x}'_k) P_\alpha(-i\eta) P_{\alpha'}(i\eta).$$

By Lemma 2.2 applied to f ,

$$F_{T_1}(\eta; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}'_k) = \frac{\lambda}{|\eta|^{8+2n_j+2n'_k}} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=n_j \\ |\alpha'|=n'_k}} \varphi_\alpha(X'; \underline{x}_j) \overline{\varphi'_{\alpha'}}(X'; \underline{x}'_k) P_\alpha(-i\eta) P_{\alpha'}(i\eta) + g(\eta)$$

and $|g(\eta)| \leq c |\eta|^{-(9+n_j+n'_k)}$, for some $\lambda \neq 0$ and some $c > 0$. By (4.27) and homogeneity, the last double sum must be zero identically on $\mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}'$. Thus, the product

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=n_j} \varphi_\alpha(X'; \underline{x}_j) P_\alpha(-i\eta) \right) \left(\sum_{|\alpha'|=n'_k} \overline{\varphi'_{\alpha'}}(X'; \underline{x}'_k) P_{\alpha'}(i\eta) \right)$$

is zero identically on $\mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}'$. Since it is a product of real analytic functions, one factor must be zero on $\mathcal{U}_1 \times \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}'$ (cf. [Ca]). By Lemma 4.3, this implies that

either all the functions φ_α with $|\alpha| = n_j$ are zero identically on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{O}$ or all the functions $\varphi'_{\alpha'}$ with $|\alpha'| = n'_k$ are zero identically on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{O}'$. This contradicts the properties of these functions stated just after (4.4) and (4.5), respectively.

The regularity of T near $(0; \hat{x}_j; \hat{\underline{x}}_j; \hat{\underline{x}}'_k)$ is therefore $4 + n_j + n'_k$. \square

By Proposition 4.5, Lemma 4.6, and Lemma 4.7, we have $\gamma_0 = T + S$, for some function S that belongs to the class $C^{5+n_j+n'_k}$. This shows that the regularity of γ_0 near $(0; \hat{x}_j; \hat{\underline{x}}_j; \hat{\underline{x}}'_k)$ is precisely the one of T , that is $4 + n_j + n'_k$. We have proven

Proposition 4.8. *The regularity of γ_0 near $(0; \hat{x}_j; \hat{\underline{x}}_j; \hat{\underline{x}}'_k)$ is $4 + n_j + n'_k$. So is also the one of $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ near $(\hat{x}_j; \hat{x}_j; \hat{\underline{x}}_j; \hat{\underline{x}}'_k)$.*

Now, we are able to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let us take a neighbourhood \mathcal{G} of \hat{x} and a neighbourhood \mathcal{G}' of \hat{x}' such that $\chi_0 = 1$ on \mathcal{G} and $\chi'_0 = 1$ on \mathcal{G}' . Thanks to the Propositions 3.6 and 4.5 and to the Lemmata 4.6 and 4.7, we get (1.10) and (1.11). Proposition 4.8 provides the regularity of each T_j and (1.11) shows that the less regular term in T_j cannot be compensated by a term coming from some T_ℓ with $\ell \neq j$. Therefore, the regularity of γ_{N-1} is the minimum of the set $\{4 + n_j + n'_{c(j)}; j \in \mathcal{D}\}$ of regularities, that is $4 + p$. \square

5 Pseudodifferential structure of operators associated to localisations of the density matrix.

In this section, we consider the integral operator Γ , the kernel of which is the density matrix γ_{N-1} . It naturally acts on squared integrable functions f on $\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$ as

$$(\Gamma f)(\underline{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} \gamma_{N-1}(\underline{x}; \underline{x}') f(\underline{x}') d\underline{x}'$$

and is actually a bounded, self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$. We focus on localisations of this operator of the form $\Gamma^0 = \chi_0 \Gamma \chi'_0$ for cut-off functions $\chi_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}; \mathbb{R})$ and $\chi'_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}; \mathbb{R})$. Precisely, Γ^0 is the composition of the multiplication operator by χ'_0 , of Γ , and of the multiplication operator by χ_0 , in this order.

If the tensor product $\chi_0 \otimes \chi'_0$ localises on a region where γ_{N-1} is smooth then Γ^0 transforms $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$ -functions to a smooth function. Here we are more interested in the case where this tensor product localises near a point

$$(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}') \in (\mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)} \times \mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{N-1}^{(2)}$$

as in Section 4. If $\hat{\underline{x}} = \hat{\underline{x}}'$, it was shown in [JN] that Γ^0 can be viewed as a pseudodifferential operator, the symbol of which belongs to a well-known class of smooth symbols. This property was detected after a study of the wave front set of γ_{N-1} . In the same spirit, we now use Theorem 1.1 and the notion of singular support (cf. [Hö2], p. 42) to detect a

regular pseudodifferential structure in Γ^0 . First of all, we introduce the notion of global, smooth symbols that is studied in [Hö3], Chapter 18.1.

For positive intergers n and p , for $m \in \mathbb{R}$, let $S^m(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p)$ be the set of smooth functions a on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p$ such that, for all $(\alpha; \beta) \in (\mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^p)$,

$$\sup_{(\underline{x}; \underline{\xi}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p} \langle \underline{\xi} \rangle^{-m+|\beta|} \left| (\partial_{\underline{x}}^\alpha \partial_{\underline{\xi}}^\beta a)(\underline{x}; \underline{\xi}) \right| < +\infty.$$

We denote by $S^{-\infty}$ the intersection of all such space S^m .

It is well-known (see Theorem 18.1.6. in [Hö3]) that, if K is the kernel of a pseudodifferential operator on $\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$, the symbol of which belongs to the class $S^m(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$, for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$, then its singular support must be contained in the diagonal of $(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})^2$, namely

$$D := \left\{ (\underline{x}; \underline{x}) \in (\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})^2; \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \right\}.$$

According to [Hö3], Chapter 18.1, Γ^0 can always be considered as a pseudodifferential operator. But, from Theorem 1.1, we see that the singular support of γ_{N-1} inside $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}'$ contains points $(\underline{x}; \underline{x}')$ such that, for all $j \in \mathcal{D}$, $x_j = x'_{c(j)}$. These points do not belong to D unless the map c is the identity on \mathcal{D} .

These considerations suggest, in the general case, that an appropriate permutation of some variables should reveal a regular pseudodifferential structure in Γ^0 . That is precisely what we are going to show. Let us take a point

$$(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}') \in (\mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)} \times \mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{N-1}^{(2)}$$

and consider the map $c : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket$, that is associated to it. Since c is injective, the sets $c(\mathcal{D}) \setminus \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D} \setminus c(\mathcal{D})$ have the same, finite cardinal (possibly 0). We choose an arbitrary bijection $b : c(\mathcal{D}) \setminus \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \setminus c(\mathcal{D})$. Now, we define a permutation σ of $\llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket$ in the following way: for $\ell \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket$, we set

$$\sigma(\ell) = \ell, \text{ if } \ell \notin (\mathcal{D} \cup c(\mathcal{D})); \quad \sigma(\ell) = b(\ell), \text{ if } \ell \in c(\mathcal{D}) \setminus \mathcal{D}; \quad \sigma(\ell) = c(\ell) \text{ if } \ell \in \mathcal{D}.$$

We let σ act on $\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}$ as

$$\sigma \cdot \underline{x} := (x_{\sigma(\ell)})_{\ell \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket} := (x_{\sigma(1)}; \dots; x_{\sigma(N-1)}).$$

It is a linear map and the modulus of its Jacobian is 1. Its inverse $\sigma^{-1} \cdot$ acts on \underline{x} as $\sigma^{-1} \cdot \underline{x} := (x_{\sigma^{-1}(\ell)})_{\ell \in \llbracket 1; N-1 \rrbracket}$, where σ^{-1} is the inverse of the permutation σ . We observe that the maps $\sigma \cdot$ and $\sigma^{-1} \cdot$ both preserve the set $\mathcal{U}_{N-1}^{(1)}$. Let us define an unitary map $U : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$ by, for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$, $(Uf)(\underline{x}) := f(\sigma^{-1} \cdot \underline{x})$. Now, let us take cut-off functions χ_0 and χ'_0 as in Section 4. One can check that the kernel of $\Gamma^0 U$ is given by

$$K(\underline{x}; \underline{x}'') = \chi_0(\underline{x}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi(\underline{x}; y) \bar{\psi}(\sigma \cdot \underline{x}''; y) dy \chi'_0(\underline{x}''), \quad (5.1)$$

if we define the cut-off function χ''_0 by $\chi''_0(\underline{x}'') = \chi'_0(\sigma \cdot \underline{x}'')$. It localises near the point $\hat{\underline{x}}'' := \sigma^{-1} \cdot \hat{\underline{x}}'$. By the choice of σ , the map c associated to $(\hat{\underline{x}}; \hat{\underline{x}}'')$ is the identity on \mathcal{D} .

As we shall see, the composition $\Gamma^0 U$ is a pseudodifferential operator, the symbol of

which belongs to some S^m . According to Theorem 18.5.10 in [Hö3], we may choose the quantisation to see this property. It will be convenient to take the Weyl quantisation. If $L \in C_c^k((\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})^2; \mathbb{C})$, its Weyl symbol s_L is given by, for $(\underline{x}; \underline{\xi}) \in (\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & s_L(\underline{x}; \underline{\xi}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} e^{-i\underline{\xi} \cdot \underline{t}} L(\underline{x} - \underline{t}/2; \underline{x} + \underline{t}/2) d\underline{t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} e^{i\underline{\xi} \cdot \underline{t}} L(\underline{x} + \underline{t}/2; \underline{x} - \underline{t}/2) d\underline{t}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

by the change of variables $\underline{t}' = -\underline{t}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let p be defined in (1.9). Then the Weyl symbol of the kernel K belongs to the symbol class $S^{-8-p}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$. Moreover, if a real $q > 8 + p$, this symbol does not belong to $S^{-q}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$.*

Remark 5.2. *Our proof of Proposition 5.1 below provides a sequence of symbols such that the Weyl symbol of K is the asymptotic sum of this sequence, in the sense of Proposition 18.1.3 in [Hö3].*

Proof of Proposition 5.1: First of all, we check that the treatment of γ_{N-1} that was performed in Proposition 3.6, Lemma 4.1, Proposition 4.4, Proposition 4.5, Lemma 4.6, and Lemma 4.7, can be applied to the kernel K .

In [FHHS3] (see also Theorem 3.1 in [JN]), a decomposition of ψ near a two-particle collision is provided. Using the change of variables $\underline{x}' = \sigma \cdot \underline{x}''$, such decomposition holds true for the map $(\underline{x}''; y) \mapsto \psi(\sigma \cdot \underline{x}''; y)$. This allows us to follow the proof of Proposition 3.6 in [JN] to get the statement of Proposition 3.6 with γ_{N-1} replaced by K , $\hat{\underline{x}}'$ replaced by $\hat{\underline{x}}''$, \mathcal{V}' replaced by some neighbourhood \mathcal{V}'' of $\hat{\underline{x}}''$, and, for $j \in \mathcal{D}$, $c(j)$ replaced by j , and $\tilde{\varphi}'_{c(j)}$ replaced by the sum of a power series $\tilde{\varphi}''_j$ in the variables $(\underline{x}''; y)$. For $j \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}''_j(\underline{x}''; y) = \tilde{\varphi}'_{c(j)}(\sigma \cdot \underline{x}''; y).$$

In particular, the valuation of $\tilde{\varphi}''_j$ w.r.t. the variable x''_j is exactly the one of $\tilde{\varphi}'_{c(j)}$ w.r.t. the variable x'_j . We thus have on $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}''$, for some smooth function s ,

$$K(\underline{x}; \underline{x}'') = s(\underline{x}; \underline{x}'') + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}} K_j(\underline{x}; \underline{x}''), \quad \text{where} \quad (5.3)$$

$$K_j(\underline{x}; \underline{x}'') = \chi_0(\underline{x}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x_j - y| \tilde{\varphi}_j(\underline{x}; y) |x''_j - y| \overline{\tilde{\varphi}''_j(\underline{x}''; y)} \tilde{\chi}_j(y) dy \chi_0''(\underline{x}''), \quad (5.4)$$

for $j \in \mathcal{D}$. Recall that $\chi_0''(\underline{x}) = \chi'_0(\sigma \cdot \underline{x})$. Let $j \in \mathcal{D}$. Set

$$\chi''_j(\underline{x}_j) := \prod_{\substack{\ell \in [1; N-1] \\ \ell \neq c(j)}} \chi'_{0; \ell}(x_{\sigma(\ell)}) = \prod_{\substack{q \in [1; N-1] \\ q \neq j}} \chi'_{0; \sigma^{-1}(q)}(x_q)$$

if $N > 2$, else $\chi''_j = 1$. In particular, $\chi_0''(\underline{x}) = \chi'_{0; c(j)}(x_j) \chi''_j(\underline{x}_j)$.

Since K_j has the same structure as $(\chi_0 \otimes \chi'_0) \gamma_{N-1}^{(j)}$, the results of Proposition 4.4, Proposition 4.5, Lemma 4.6, and Lemma 4.7, hold true for K_j in place of $\tilde{\gamma}$ with the following

changes: $k = c(j)$ is replaced by $k = j$, n'_k replaced by $n'_{c(j)}$, χ'_0 by χ''_0 , χ'_k by χ''_j , $\chi'_{0;k}$ by $\chi'_{0;c(j)}$, $\mathcal{V}'_{\neq k}$ by $\mathcal{V}''_{\neq j}$, φ'_α by φ''_α and a'_α by a''_α , where $\varphi''_\alpha(\underline{x}) = \varphi'_\alpha(\sigma \cdot \underline{x})$, and $a''_\alpha(\underline{x}) = a'_\alpha(\sigma \cdot \underline{x})$. We denote by \mathcal{R}_j the range of the map $\mathcal{W}_j^2 \ni (x; y) \mapsto (x - y; (x + y)/2)$. It is a neighbourhood of $(0; \hat{x}_j) = (0; \hat{x}''_j)$. Then, for any integer m larger than $4 + n_j + n'_{c(j)}$, there exists a function $R_m^{(j)} : \mathcal{R}_j \times \mathcal{V}_{\neq j} \times \mathcal{V}''_{\neq j} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, that belongs to the class C^m , such that, for $(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}''_j) \in \mathcal{R}_j \times \mathcal{V}_{\neq j} \times \mathcal{V}''_{\neq j}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & K_j(X/2 + X'; X' - X/2; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}''_j) - R_m^{(j)}(X; X'; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}''_j) \\ &= \chi_j(\underline{x}_j) \chi''_j(\underline{x}''_j) \sum_{\substack{n_j \leq |\alpha|, n'_{c(j)} \leq |\alpha'| \\ 4 + |\alpha| + |\alpha'| < m}} \sum_{4 + |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\alpha'| + |\beta'| < m} \frac{1}{\beta! \beta'!} \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{\partial y}{2} \right)^{\beta + \beta'} \left(\tilde{\chi}_j(y) a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j) \overline{a''_{\alpha'}}(y; \underline{x}''_j) \right)_{|y=X'} \\ & \quad \times \frac{-2\pi}{(6 + 2|\alpha| + 2|\beta| + 2|\alpha'| + 2|\beta'|)!} \\ & \quad \times \left(P_{\alpha+\beta}(-\partial_x) P_{\alpha'+\beta'}(\partial_x) |x|^{5+2|\alpha|+2|\beta|+2|\alpha'|+2|\beta'|} \right)_{|x=X}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

According to (5.2), the Weyl symbol S_j of the operator with kernel K_j is given by, for $(\underline{x}; \underline{\xi}) \in (\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})^2$,

$$S_j(\underline{x}; \underline{\xi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} e^{i\underline{\xi} \cdot \underline{t}} K_j(x_j + t_j/2; x_j - t_j/2; \underline{x}_j + \underline{t}_j/2; \underline{x}_j - \underline{t}_j/2) d\underline{t}.$$

Using (5.4) and a change of variables in the y -integral, we can show that S_j is smooth (see the proof of Proposition 4.10 in [JN] for details). By the localisation properties of χ_0 and χ''_0 , S_j vanishes outside a compact set in the variable \underline{x} .

Let us consider the term with indices $(\alpha; \beta; \alpha'; \beta')$ and without the numerical factors in the double sum in (5.5). Its Weyl symbol is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{\alpha; \beta; \alpha'; \beta'}^{(j)}(\underline{x}; \underline{\xi}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} e^{i\underline{\xi} \cdot \underline{t}} \chi_{0;j}(x_j + t_j/2) \chi'_{0;c(j)}(x_j - t_j/2) \chi_j(\underline{x}_j + \underline{t}_j/2) \chi''_j(\underline{x}_j - \underline{t}_j/2) \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{\partial y}{2} \right)^{\beta + \beta'} \left(\tilde{\chi}_j(y) a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j + \underline{t}_j/2) \overline{a''_{\alpha'}}(y; \underline{x}_j - \underline{t}_j/2) \right)_{|y=x_j} \\ & \quad \times \left(P_{\alpha+\beta}(-\partial_x) P_{\alpha'+\beta'}(\partial_x) |x|^{5+2|\alpha|+2|\beta|+2|\alpha'|+2|\beta'|} \right)_{|x=t_j} d\underline{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{\chi}_{0;j} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R})$ such that $\tilde{\chi}_{0;j} = 1$ on the support of $\chi_{0;j}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{0;j} \tilde{\chi}_j = \tilde{\chi}_{0;j}$. Let $\tilde{\chi}'_{0;c(j)} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R})$ such that $\tilde{\chi}'_{0;c(j)} = 1$ on the support of $\chi'_{0;c(j)}$ and $\tilde{\chi}'_{0;c(j)} \tilde{\chi}_j = \tilde{\chi}'_{0;c(j)}$. By Fubini's Theorem, the previous symbol splits into a product $S_{\alpha; \beta; \alpha'; \beta'}^{(j; \infty)}(\underline{x}; \underline{\xi}_j) S_{\alpha; \beta; \alpha'; \beta'}^{(j; j)}(x_j; \xi_j)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\alpha; \beta; \alpha'; \beta'}^{(j; \infty)}(\underline{x}; \underline{\xi}_j) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-2)}} e^{i\underline{\xi}_j \cdot \underline{t}_j} \chi_j(\underline{x}_j + \underline{t}_j/2) \chi''_j(\underline{x}_j - \underline{t}_j/2) \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{\partial y}{2} \right)^{\beta + \beta'} \left(\tilde{\chi}_j(y) a_\alpha(y; \underline{x}_j + \underline{t}_j/2) \overline{a''_{\alpha'}}(y; \underline{x}_j - \underline{t}_j/2) \right)_{|y=x_j} dt_j \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S_{\alpha;\beta;\alpha';\beta'}^{(j;j)}(x_j; \xi_j) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\xi_j \cdot t_j} \tilde{\chi}_{0;j}(x_j + t_j/2) \tilde{\chi}'_{0;c(j)}(x_j - t_j/2) \\ \times \left(P_{\alpha+\beta}(-\partial_x) P_{\alpha'+\beta'}(\partial_x) |x|^{5+2|\alpha|+2|\beta|+2|\alpha'|+2|\beta'|} \right)_{|x=t_j} dt_j,$$

In both integrals, the integrand vanishes outside a compact set. By standart derivation under the integral sign, we see that $S_{\alpha;\beta;\alpha';\beta'}^{(j;\infty)}$ (resp. $S_{\alpha;\beta;\alpha';\beta'}^{(j;j)}$) is a smooth function of $(x_j; \underline{x}_j; \underline{\xi}_j)$ (resp. $(x_j; \xi_j)$). By (5.5), the Weyl symbol (see (5.2)) of the map

$$(\underline{x}; \underline{x}'') \mapsto \chi_0(\underline{x}) R_m^{(j)}(x_j - x_j''; (x_j + x_j'')/2; \underline{x}_j; \underline{x}_j'') \chi_0''(\underline{x}'') \quad (5.6)$$

is also a smooth function. Since the function $R_m^{(j)}$ belongs to the class C^m , we can show, using m times the identity (2.1) with $(x; \xi)$ replaced by $(\underline{t}; \underline{\xi})$, for nonzero $\underline{\xi}$, and integrations by parts, that the Weyl symbol of (5.6) belongs to $S^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$.

We further observe that the function $S_{\alpha;\beta;\alpha';\beta'}^{(j;\infty)}$ vanishes outside a compact set in the variable \underline{x} and so does $S_{\alpha;\beta;\alpha';\beta'}^{(j;j)}$ outside a compact set in the variable x_j .

Using repetitively the identity (2.1), with $(x; \xi)$ replaced by $(\underline{\xi}_j; \underline{t}_j)$ with nonzero $\underline{\xi}_j$, and integrations by parts, we see that $S_{\alpha;\beta;\alpha';\beta'}^{(j;\infty)} \in S^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-2)})$.

We now claim that $S_{\alpha;\beta;\alpha';\beta'}^{(j;j)} \in S^{-(8+|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\alpha'|+|\beta'|)}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. This property will imply that the symbol $S_{\alpha;\beta;\alpha';\beta'}^{(j)}$ belongs to the class $S^{-(8+|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\alpha'|+|\beta'|)}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$.

We first use (4.23) in Lemma 4.6 to write, for some $(\alpha; \beta; \alpha'; \beta')$ -dependent constant c ,

$$S_{\alpha;\beta;\alpha';\beta'}^{(j;j)}(x_j; \xi_j) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\xi_j \cdot t_j} \tilde{\chi}_{0;j}(x_j + t_j/2) \tilde{\chi}'_{0;c(j)}(x_j - t_j/2) \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it_j \cdot \eta} F_{\alpha+\beta}(\eta) F_{\alpha'+\beta'}(-\eta) d\eta dt_j \\ + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\xi_j \cdot t_j} \tilde{\chi}_{0;j}(x_j + t_j/2) \tilde{\chi}'_{0;c(j)}(x_j - t_j/2) S(t_j) dt_j.$$

Since the function S is smooth, we can check, using the identity (2.1), with $(x; \xi)$ replaced by $(\xi_j; t_j)$ and integrations by parts, that the last integral belongs to $S^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. By Fubini's theorem, we rewrite the previous double integral as

$$c \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_{\alpha+\beta}(\eta) F_{\alpha'+\beta'}(-\eta) \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i(\xi_j+\eta) \cdot t_j} \tilde{\chi}_{0;j}(x_j + t_j/2) \tilde{\chi}'_{0;c(j)}(x_j - t_j/2) dt_j d\eta \\ = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F_{\alpha+\beta}(\eta' - \xi_j) F_{\alpha'+\beta'}(\xi_j - \eta') s_j(\eta') d\eta', \quad (5.7)$$

$$\text{where } s_j(\eta') := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\eta' \cdot t_j} \tilde{\chi}_{0;j}(x_j + t_j/2) \tilde{\chi}'_{0;c(j)}(x_j - t_j/2) dt_j.$$

Using again (2.1), with $(x; \xi)$ replaced by $(\eta'; t_j)$ and integrations by parts, s_j satisfies

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists c_k > 0; \forall \eta' \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, |\eta'|^k |s_j(\eta')| \leq c_k. \quad (5.8)$$

Take $\xi_j \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|\xi_j| \geq 1$. By (5.8) and the boundedness of the functions F_γ (cf. (4.8)), the contribution to the integral (5.7) of the region $\{\eta' \in \mathbb{R}^3; |\eta'| \geq |\xi_j|/2\}$ is bounded above, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by $2^k c_k |\xi_j|^{-k}$ times the L^∞ -norm of $F_{\alpha+\beta}$ times the one of $F_{\alpha'+\beta'}$. For the contribution of the complement, we use the Taylor expansions with exact integral remainder

$$F_{\alpha+\beta}(\eta - \xi_j) = F_{\alpha+\beta}(-\xi_j) + \int_0^1 \nabla F_{\alpha+\beta}(r\eta - \xi_j) \cdot \eta dr$$

and

$$F_{\alpha'+\beta'}(\xi_j - \eta) = F_{\alpha'+\beta'}(\xi_j) - \int_0^1 \nabla F_{\alpha'+\beta'}(\xi_j - r\eta) \cdot \eta dr$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|\eta| \leq |\xi_j|/2} F_{\alpha+\beta}(\eta - \xi_j) F_{\alpha'+\beta'}(\xi_j - \eta) s_j(\eta) d\eta \\ &= F_{\alpha+\beta}(-\xi_j) F_{\alpha'+\beta'}(\xi_j) \int_{|\eta| \leq |\xi_j|/2} s_j(\eta) d\eta + R(\xi_j) \end{aligned}$$

such that $|R(\xi_j)| \leq d |\xi_j|^{-9-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\alpha'|+|\beta'|)}$, for some ξ_j -independent constant $d > 0$, thanks to (4.8). Thus

$$\int_{|\eta| \leq |\xi_j|/2} F_{\alpha+\beta}(\eta - \xi_j) F_{\alpha'+\beta'}(\xi_j - \eta) s_j(\eta) d\eta = F_{\alpha+\beta}(-\xi_j) F_{\alpha'+\beta'}(\xi_j) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} s_j(\eta) d\eta + R'(\xi_j)$$

where R' satisfies the same estimate as R , thanks to (5.8). Using (4.8) again, we obtain that, uniformly w.r.t. x_j ,

$$S_{\alpha;\beta;\alpha';\beta'}^{(j;j)}(x_j; \xi_j) = c |\xi_j|^{-8-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\alpha'|+|\beta'|)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} s_j(\eta) d\eta + O(|\xi_j|^{-9-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\alpha'|+|\beta'|)}).$$

Now, we observe that we may apply the above argument to any partial derivative of the function $S_{\alpha;\beta;\alpha';\beta'}^{(j;j)}$. This proves the claim.

Coming back to S_j , we use (5.5) and the previous results for an integer $m > 8 + n_j + n'_{c(j)}$ to get $S_j = S_j^0 + R$ where $R \in S^{-9-n_j-n'_{c(j)}}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$ and S_j^0 is given, up to a multiplicative nonzero constant, by

$$\begin{aligned} S_j^0(\underline{x}; \underline{\xi}) &= \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=n_j, \\ |\alpha'|=n'_{c(j)}}} \tilde{\chi}_j(x_j) \tilde{\chi}'_{0;j}(x_j) \tilde{\chi}'_{0;c(j)}(x_j) F_\alpha(-\xi_j) F_{\alpha'}(\xi_j) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-2)}} e^{i\underline{\xi}_j \cdot \underline{t}_j} \chi_j(\underline{x}_j + \underline{t}_j/2) \chi_j''(\underline{x}_j - \underline{t}_j/2) \\ &\quad \times a_\alpha(x_j; \underline{x}_j + \underline{t}_j/2) \overline{a''_{\alpha'}}(x_j; \underline{x}_j - \underline{t}_j/2) d\underline{t}_j \\ &= \tilde{\chi}'_{0;j}(x_j) \tilde{\chi}'_{0;c(j)}(x_j) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-2)}} e^{i\underline{\xi}_j \cdot \underline{t}_j} \chi_j(\underline{x}_j + \underline{t}_j/2) \chi_j''(\underline{x}_j - \underline{t}_j/2) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{|\alpha|=n_j} F_\alpha(-\xi_j) a_\alpha(x_j; \underline{x}_j + \underline{t}_j/2) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{|\alpha'|=n'_{c(j)}} F_{\alpha'}(\xi_j) \overline{a''_{\alpha'}}(x_j; \underline{x}_j - \underline{t}_j/2) d\underline{t}_j. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $S_j \in S^{-8-n_j-n'_{c(j)}}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$. Assume that, for some $q > 8+n_j+n'_{c(j)}$, $S_j \in S^{-q}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$ then S_j^0 must vanish identically. This means that, on a small neighbourhood of $(\hat{x}; \hat{x}'')$, the above Fourier transform is zero. Since the Fourier transform is injective, the product of the two sums must vanish identically as well. Each sum being real analytic, one of them must vanish identically. By Lemma 4.3, this implies that either all the functions a_α , with $|\alpha| = n_j$, vanish near \hat{x} or all the functions $a''_{\alpha'}$, with $|\alpha'| = n'_{c(j)}$, vanish near \hat{x}'' . As in the end of the proof of Lemma 4.7, this contradicts the definition of n_j or the one of $n'_{c(j)}$.

By (5.3), we see that the Weyl symbol of K belongs to $S^{-8-p}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$. Let $\ell \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{j\}$. We saw that the nonzero S_j^0 and S_ℓ^0 have different asymptotics as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore any term in the sum in (5.3) cannot be compensated. Since these terms do not belong to $S^{-q}(\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)})$, so does the Weyl symbol of K . \square

Appendix.

For completeness, we prove in this appendix Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 4.3.

Proof of Lemma 2.1: Recall that, for the integrable function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, its Fourier transform F_g is given, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, by (2.3), namely

$$F_g(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} g(x) dx. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

1. Since g is continuous and compactly supported, we can derivate indefinitely many times w.r.t. to ξ through the integral in (A.9). This shows that F_g is smooth. Since g belongs to the class C_c^k , we can integrate by parts k times in (A.9), thanks to the identity (2.1). This leads to

$$F_g(\xi) = |\xi|^{-k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} g_k(x) dx$$

for some compactly supported, continuous function g_k . Since the latter integral is bounded w.r.t. ξ , we get the desired result.

2. By assumption, F is integrable on \mathbb{R}^d . Thus, we can recover g from F_g by the Fourier inverse formula (2.5): for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$g(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi \cdot x} F_g(\xi) d\xi. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

By assumption, the partial derivatives of $(x; \xi) \mapsto e^{i\xi \cdot x} F_g(\xi)$ w.r.t. x up to order $E(r)$ are ξ -integrable thus, by Lebesgue's derivation theorem, we can continuously differentiate $E(r)$ times under the integral sign in (2.5) yielding the $C^{E(r)}$ regularity for g . \square

Proof of Lemma 4.3: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that the map

$$\mathbb{R}^3 \ni \xi \mapsto \sum_{|\alpha|=n} c_\alpha F_\alpha(\xi),$$

for complex coefficients c_α , is zero identically. Since the Fourier transform is injective, the map

$$\mathbb{R}^3 \ni x \mapsto \sum_{|\alpha|=n} c_\alpha |x| x^\alpha \chi(|x|) \tag{A.11}$$

is also zero identically. Thus the real analytic map

$$\mathbb{R}^3 \ni x \mapsto \sum_{|\alpha|=n} c_\alpha x^\alpha$$

is zero identically on the non-empty open set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}; \chi(|x|) = 1\}$ and therefore everywhere. Since the homogeneous polynomials $x \mapsto x^\alpha$, for $|\alpha| = n$, are linearly independent, all the c_α are zero. Thus, the functions F_α are linearly independent.

Assume that the map

$$\mathbb{R}^3 \ni \xi \mapsto \sum_{|\alpha|=n} c_\alpha P_\alpha(\xi),$$

for complex coefficients c_α , is zero identically. By Lemma 4.2, we thus have, for $|\xi| \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=n} c_\alpha F_\alpha(\xi) = O(|\xi|^{-5-n}).$$

By Lemma 2.1, the function (A.11) belongs to C^{n+1} . By Lemma 3.4, this function must be zero identically near 0. By a previous argument, this shows that all the c_α are zero, yielding the linear independence of the P_α , for $|\alpha| = n$. \square

References

- [Ca] H. Cartan: *Elementary Theory of Analytic Functions of One or Several Complex Variables*. Dover book on Mathematics, 1963.
- [C1] J. Cioslowski: *Off-diagonal derivative discontinuities in the reduced density matrices of electronic systems*. J. Chem. Phys. 153, 154108 (2020); doi: 10.1063/5.0023955 .
- [C2] J. Cioslowski: *Reverse engineering in quantum chemistry: how to reveal the fifth-order off-diagonal cusp in the one-electron reduced density matrix without actually calculating it*. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 2022; 122:e26651 .
- [D] J. Dieudonné: *Éléments d'analyse*. Tome II. Gauthiers-Villars 1968.
English version: *Treatise on analysis*. Vol. II, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 10-II, New York-London: Academic Press.

- [E] H. Eschrig: *The fundamentals of density functional theory*. B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft Stuttgart-Leipzig 1996.
- [FHHS1] S. Fournais, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Østergaard Sørensen: *The electron density is smooth away from the nuclei*. Comm. Math. Phys. **228**, no. **3** (2002), 401-415.
- [FHHS2] S. Fournais, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Østergaard Sørensen: *Analyticity of the density of electronic wave functions*. Ark. Mat. **42**, no. **1** (2004), 87-106.
- [FHHS3] S. Fournais, M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Østergaard Sørensen: *Analytic structure of many-body coulombic wave functions*. Comm. Math. Phys. **289**, (2009), 291-310.
- [FH] R.G. Froese, I. Herbst: *Exponential bounds and absence of positive eigenvalues for N -body Schrödinger operators*. Comm. Math. Phys. **87**, no. **3**, 429-447 (1982).
- [He] P. Hearnshaw: *Boundedness of the fifth off-diagonal derivative of the one-particle coulombic density matrix*. ArXiv: “ <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.02848> ”.
- [HS1] P. Hearnshaw, A.V. Sobolev: *Analyticity of the one-particle density matrix*. Ann. Henri Poincaré **23**, 707–738 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-021-01120-6> .
- [HS2] P. Hearnshaw, A.V. Sobolev: *The diagonal behaviour of the one-particle Coulombic density matrix*. Prob. Math. Phys. **4** (4), 935-964, 2023.
- [Hö1] L. Hörmander: *Linear partial differential operators*. Fourth printing Springer Verlag, 1976.
- [Hö2] L. Hörmander: *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I*. Springer Verlag, 1983.
- [Hö3] L. Hörmander: *The analysis of linear partial differential operators III*. Springer Verlag, 1985.
- [Hö4] L. Hörmander: *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*. Elsevier science publishers B.V., 1990.
- [J1] Th. Jecko: *A new proof of the analyticity of the electronic density of molecules*. Lett. Math. Phys. **93**, pp. 73-83, (2010).
- [J2] Th. Jecko: *On the analyticity of electronic reduced density matrices for molecules*. J. Math. Phys. **63**, 013509 (2022); “<https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0056488> ”
See also: “ <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.14181> ” .
- [JN] Th. Jecko, C. Noûs: *Limited regularity of a specific electronic reduced density matrix for molecules*. To appear in Adv. Theor. Math. Physics. See also “ hal-04197687 ” on HAL and “ arXiv:2309.06318 ” on ArXiv.

- [K] T. Kato: *On the eigenfunctions of many-particle systems in Quantum Mechanics*. Comm. Pure Appl. Maths, vol. **10**, 151-177, (1957).
- [Le] M. Lewin: *Geometric methods for non-linear many-body quantum systems*. J. Funct. Ana. **260**, pp. 3535-3595, (2011).
- [LSc] E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer: *Current Densities in Density Functional Theory*. Physical Review A, **88**, 10.1103/PhysRevA.88.032516, (2013).
- [LiSe] E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer: *The stability of matter in quantum mechanics*. Cambridge university press (2010).
- [M] P. Malliavin: *Integration and probability*. Springer-Verlag New York 1995.
- [RS1] M. Reed, B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. I : Functional Analysis*. Academic Press, 1980.
- [RS2] M. Reed, B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. II : Fourier Analysis, Self-adjointness*. Academic Press, 1979.
- [Si] B. Simon: *On the infinitude vs. finiteness of the number of bound states of a N-body quantum system, I*. Helv. Phys. Acta **43**, pp. 607-630, (1970).
- [So1] A.V. Sobolev: *Eigenvalues asymptotics for the one-particle density matrix*. Duke Math. J. 171 (17), 3481-3513, 2022.
- [So2] A.V. Sobolev: *Eigenvalues asymptotics for the one-particle kinetic energy density operator*. Journal of Functional Analysis 283 (8), 2022.
- [Z] G. M. Zhislin: *Discreteness of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator for systems of many particles*. Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč **9**, pp. 81-128, (1960).