

Which domestic refrigerator temperatures in Europe? -Focus on shelf-life studies regarding Listeria monocytogenes (Lm) in ready-to-eat (RTE) foods

Ludivine Bonanno, Hélène Bergis, Nathalie Gnanou-Besse, Adrien Asséré,

Corinne Danan

▶ To cite this version:

Ludivine Bonanno, Hélène Bergis, Nathalie Gnanou-Besse, Adrien Asséré, Corinne Danan. Which domestic refrigerator temperatures in Europe? - Focus on shelf-life studies regarding Listeria monocytogenes (Lm) in ready-to-eat (RTE) foods. Food Microbiology, 2024, 123, pp.104595. 10.1016/j.fm.2024.104595. hal-04633366

HAL Id: hal-04633366 https://hal.science/hal-04633366

Submitted on 16 Jul2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Which domestic refrigerator temperatures in Europe?
2	- Focus on shelf-life studies regarding <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> (<i>Lm</i>) in ready-to-eat
3	(RTE) foods
4	
5	Ludivine BONANNO, Hélène BERGIS, Nathalie GNANOU-BESSE, Adrien ASSÉRÉ,
6	Corinne DANAN
7	Unit Salmonella & Listeria, Agence Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire (Anses), French Agency
8	for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health Safety. Laboratory for Food Safety, 14 rue
9	Pierre et Marie Curie, 94702 Maisons Alfort cedex, France
10	
11	Corresponding author: Ludivine BONANNO, ludivine.bonanno@anses.fr
12	
13	ABBREVIATIONS
14	Lm, Listeria monocytogenes; RTEF, Ready-To-Eat Food; FBO, Food Business Operator;
15	TGD, Technical Guidance Document; EURL, European Union Reference Laboratory; NRL,
16	National Reference Laboratory
17	
18	ABSTRACT
19	Listeria monocytogenes (Lm) is a pathogenic bacteria able to grow at refrigerated temperatures,
20	widely distributed in the environment. This bacteria is susceptible to contaminate various food
21	products of which refrigerated ready-to-eat foods (RTEF) may pose a risk for public health. In
22	Europe, food business operators (FBOs) shall ensure that foodstuffs comply with the relevant
23	microbiological criteria set out in the Regulation (EC) N°2073/2005. Food safety criteria for
24	Lm are defined in RTEF throughout their shelf-life. FBOs should implement studies to
25	demonstrate that the concentration of Lm does not exceed 100 CFU/g at the end of the shelf-

life, taking into account foreseeable conditions of distributions, storage and use, including the
use by consumers. However, this last part of the cold chain for food products is the most difficult
to capture and control.

For this purpose, the European Union Reference Laboratory for *Lm* (EURL *Lm*) launched an inquiry to its National Reference Laboratory network and reviewed the scientific literature from 2002 to 2020. The outcomes were integrated in the technical guidance document of the EURL *Lm* to assess shelf-life of RTEF which resulted in the recommendation to use 10°C as the reference temperature to simulate the reasonably foreseen storage conditions in domestic refrigerators.

35

36 KEYWORDS

37 Listeria monocytogenes, ready-to-eat foods, shelf-life studies, temperature, domestic

38 refrigerators, food safety

39

40 **1. INTRODUCTION**

41 Listeriosis is one of the most severe foodborne diseases under European Union 42 surveillance in terms of fatality case in high-risk groups of immunocompromised population 43 (EFSA and ECDC, 2023). This disease is mainly caused by Listeria monocytogenes (Lm), a 44 ubiquitous pathogenic bacterium that can contaminate and grow in a large variety of 45 environments. Due to its tolerance to various physico-chemical conditions and its ability to 46 grow at low temperature, Lm is considered as a major microbial hazard for the food safety management from farm to fork, especially in refrigerated ready-to-eat foods (RTEF). In this 47 48 frame, the European regulation lays down general food safety requirements, according to which 49 food must not be placed on the market if it is unsafe. Especially, specific microbiological criteria

for *Lm* are defined in the Regulation (EC) N°2073/2005¹ for different categories of RTEF. Pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 *Lm* is not to be detected in 25g of RTEF intended for infants and for special medical purposes, and in 25g of other RTEF able to support the growth of *Lm* before they have left the immediate control of the producing food business operator. For all other situations, the food safety criterion sets a limit of 100 CFU/g throughout the shelf-life.

56 When the limit is 100 CFU/g, food business operators (FBOs) shall conduct, as 57 necessary, studies to evaluate the growth of *Lm* that may be present in the product during the 58 shelf-life (Reg. (EC) N°2073/2005: article 3 and annex II). These studies should take into 59 account reasonably foreseeable storage conditions susceptible to impact bacterial growth and 60 their fluctuations along the cold chain (from production to consumption). As temperature has a 61 major effect on bacterial growth, challenge tests assessing *Lm* growth potential, and durability 62 studies for chilled RTEF shall mimic realistic time-temperature profiles at all steps of the food 63 chain (at manufacturer, retail and consumer level). Furthermore, storage periods are clearly 64 identified as a factor of tremendous influence on the risk of listeriosis associated with RTEF that support Lm growth (FAO and WHO, 2007). Indeed, the relative high and homogenous 65 growth of *Lm* population at refrigeration temperatures has been shown by Rosa Rodrigues de 66 Souza et al. (2023) for a collection of 39 strains. Moreover, quantitative modelling suggests that 67 68 more than 90% of invasive listeriosis are caused by ingestion of refrigerated RTEF containing *Lm* at concentrations higher than 2,000 CFU/g (EFSA BIOHAZ Panel, 2018). 69

The knowledge of the temperature of domestic fridges leads to assess the growth of *Lm* in potential contaminated foods and consumer exposure. This plays therefore a very important role to assess the risk and contribute to manage food safety.

¹ Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 of 15 November 2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs OJ L 338, 22.12.2005, pp. 1–26.

73 However, this part of the cold chain remains a critical point for harmonized shelf-life 74 studies. At international level, current recommendations of the Codex Alimentarius lead to 75 adjust the temperature of household refrigerators so that the temperature of products does not exceed 6°C (preferably 2°C – 4°C) (FAO and WHO, 2007). In Europe, EURL *Lm* Technical 76 77 Guidance Document (TGD) gives specifications to be considered by laboratories assessing the 78 shelf-life of RTEF related to Lm (EURL Lm, 2021). The EURL Lm TGD is specific for Lm and 79 is considered complementary to standard EN ISO 20976-1 for conducting challenge tests 80 studies especially for the growth potential and the maximum growth rate (EN ISO 20976-1, 81 2019). This TGD has also a part dedicated to durability studies. An important point is the use 82 of a time temperature profile to cover each cold chain (at manufacture, retail and consumer 83 level) when performing a challenge test allowing to determine a shelf-life of a product. The 84 TGD recommends that temperatures have to be properly justified and documented by FBOs. It 85 is indicated that "when the FBO has its own data, the use of this information is preferred. In this case, use the 95th percentile of the FBO's data observation. If no data is available, default 86 87 temperatures are defined in EURL Lm TGD on shelf-life studies. For the last step of the cold 88 chain (consumer storage), a default reference temperature of 12°C has been recommended in 89 the previous version of the EURL *Lm* TGD on shelf-life studies (2008), on the basis of expert 90 opinion. Since then, numerous studies had been carried out in Europe on domestic storage 91 temperatures.

Some studies have already reviewed temperature of household refrigerators on a world scale (James et al., 2008; James et al., 2017; Dumitrașcu *et al.*, 2020), but this is the first study to summarize domestic refrigerators temperatures at European level and to assess the impact of these temperatures on shelf-life studies and European application guidelines. Therefore, the goal of this study was to account and gather the recent available data on storage temperatures 97 at consumer level in order to provide FBOs a harmonized approach in setting up shelf-life of98 prepacked refrigerated products.

99

100 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

101 **2.1. Data collection**

102 To collect the highest number of available data on the temperature storage of foodstuff in
103 household refrigerators, EURL *Lm* used two information channels:

- the network of 42 National Reference Laboratories (NRLs) for *Lm*. EURL *Lm* launched an enquiry (Sphinx IQ2 software) in July 2019 among the NRLs *Lm* network
 in order to identify any surveys that may have been or conducted on the temperature
 of domestic refrigerator from 2000 to 2020, and to collect data from these surveys. In
 case of publications of such surveys, the corresponding author was contacted to
 collect the raw temperature data.
- 110
- in parallel, a review on domestic refrigerator temperatures was performed (PubMed)
 from the scientific literature published between 2000 to 2020 and referring to the
 keywords: refrigerator, temperature, food and household. Only scientific publications
- 114 providing data on the temperature of European household refrigerators were selected.
- 115

116 **2.2.** Temperature distribution and determination of percentiles.

Based on data collected from 10 national surveys and 17 scientific publications, the 75th and
95th percentiles of refrigerator temperature distribution were:

- 119 (1) Directly provided from the survey or the scientific publication (Method 1 M1),
- 120 (2) Calculated from raw temperature data (R software version 1.4.1106, "quantile"
- 121 function) (Method 2 M2),

- (3) Estimated from graphical temperature distribution reported in the survey report or
 the publication (Method 3 - M3) or

124 (4) Estimated from the mean temperature and standard deviation of N measurements "matrix" functions in R (Method 4 - M4) The function "rnorm" 125using "rnorm" and 126 generates a random simulation of temperatures following a normal distribution. The 127 function "matrix" repeats the simulation (1000 iterations were performed in this 128 study). In order to take into account the uncertainty related to the number of refrigerators investigated, the 75th and 95th percentiles were determined by bootstrap approach from 129 130each simulation by the function "rowQuantiles" " and the mean were calculated with the 131 associated 95% confidence intervals (CI).

132

133 **2.3.** Statistical analysis

134Three kind of statistical analyses were performed in this study. First, a Student's test was used

to evaluate the determination of percentiles (Method M4) in comparison to the other methods.

136Next, another Student's test was used to evaluate the difference between refrigerator137 temperatures measured in air or in food.

Finally, countries were grouped according to the Köppen-Geiger climate classification and the weighted average refrigerator temperatures was calculated to take into account the number of refrigerators investigated. A Kruskal-Wallis's test was used to compare the weighted average

142! Geoclimatic groups by pair.

143

144 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

145 **3.1. Data from national surveys**

146 Twenty-nine out of 42 enquired NRLs (69%) replied to the EURL *Lm* questionnaire and among
147 them, seven (24%), have conducted an inquiry in their country. Table 1 presents the general
148 information from each national survey.

149 The number of monitored refrigerators were comprised between 40 and 5 428, mainly 150 investigated in the whole randomly sampled population or by a stratified sampling strategy for 151 two studies (Anses, 2017; Daminelli, 2019). One survey used a selective strategy, targeting 152 elderly people (Denmark survey, 2015). Temperature measurements were performed mostly by 153 a home investigator who installed data loggers inside the refrigerators for a duration varying 154 from 30 min to 7 days. For some studies, the monitoring was performed in the refrigerator (air 155 measurement) at different areas (top, middle and bottom). For one study, loggers were placed 156 between packages of RTEF (Denmark survey, 2015). For two studies, the data loggers were 157 directly put into the food product purchased by consumers, allowing monitoring during several days (Derens et al., 2006; Derens-Bertheau et al., 2015). 158

Table 1. General information from national surveys

Country	Year	Scope	Title of the survey	N refrigerators	Target population	Sampling strategy	Data reporting	Monitoring tool	Duration	Air (area of fridge) or Food
Austria	2011	National	Food safety and hygiene in the private household. (Austria survey 2011)	40	Whole population	Random	Interview by phone	TF	?	Air
Belgium	2004	National	Belgian food consumption survey 1–2004. (Devriese et al. 2004)	3001	Whole population	Random	Home investigator	?	?	Air
Denmark	2015	District	Investigate the temperature of elderly people refrigerators. (Denmark survey 2015, <i>Unpublished results</i>)	77	Elderly people	Selective	Health Care workers	DL	30 min	Air
France	1999	National	Study of domestic refrigerator temperature and analysis of factors affecting temperature: a French study. (Laguerre et al. 2002)	118	Whole population	Random	Home investigator	DL	7 days	Air (T; M; B)
France	2006	National	The cold chain of chilled products under supervision in France. (Derens et al. 2006)	251	Whole population covered French territory	Random	Recorder inside food returning by the consumer	DL	4 days	Food
France	2013	National	Cold chain of chilled food in France. (Derens-Bertheau et al. 2015)	75	Whole population	Random	Recorder inside food returning by the consumer	DL	6 days	Food
France	2014 2015	National	Estimate of food consumption & nutritional intake of people living in France. (Anses, 2017)	5428	Whole population	Stratified multi-stage design	Home investigator	TH	30 – 45 min	Air (T; M; B)
Ireland	2005	National	Food safety knowledge of consumers and the microbiological and temperature status of their refrigerators. (Kennedy et al., 2005)	100	Whole population	Random	Home investigator	DL	72 h	Air (M)
Italy	2019 2020	National	National survey of domestic refrigerators temperatures in Italy (preliminary results) (Daminelli, <i>Unpublished results</i>)	494	Whole population	Stratified sampling plan	Home investigator	DL	?	Air
The Netherlands	2012	National	Food waste. (The Netherland survey 2012, Unpublished results)	326	?	?	Self-reporting via a questionnaire	TH	12 h	Air

160 DL: Data Logger; TH: thermometer; TF: Temperature display on the fridge; T: Top; M: Middle; B: Bottom;?: unknown;

162 **3.2. Data from scientific literature**

The general information from the 17 selected publications is presented in Table 2. These studies were 163 164 conducted in 11 European countries. The number of monitored refrigerators varied from 30 and 250 165 and were mainly investigated in the whole population. Regarding the sampling strategy, five studies 166 used a random approach. For two studies, the sampling strategy was performed by a selective approach 167 by targeting specific population like students (Galvão et al., 2017) or listeria-sensitive population 168 (elderly, family with children and/or pregnant women (Dumitrascu et al., 2020)). Two studies used a 169 snowball approach which is used when researchers have difficulties finding participants for their 170 studies (Rossvoll et al., 2014; Ovca et al., 2020). This is a non-probabilistic sampling method where 171 currently enrolled participants help to recruit new participants to form part of the sample. One study 172 was performed by a passive approach based on volunteer families (Azevedo et al., 2005). Finally, the 173 sampling strategy was unknown for five studies.

As previously observed, temperature was monitored mainly by data loggers placed inside the refrigerators (air measurement) at different area (top, middle, bottom and door) excepted for two studies where they were placed in water (Garrido et al. 2010) or foods (Marklinder et al., 2004). The duration of the recording varied between 40 min to 14 days. For one study (Garrido et al. 2010), only three measures were performed with a calibrated probe.

179

Table 2. General information from scientific literature

Country	Year	Title of the publication	Target population	Sampling strategy	Monitoring tool	Duration	Air (area of fridge) / Food
Germany; Spain; France; United Kingdom	2002	Cold storage in private households: recommendations and consumer real life behaviour. (Geppert et al. 2010)	?	?	DL	11 days	Air (M)
Sweden	2004	Home storage temperatures and consumer handling of refrigerated foods in Sweden. (Marklinder et al. 2004)	Whole population (Uppsala)	Random	DL	40 min	Food
Portugal	2005	Incidence of <i>Listeria</i> spp. in domestic refrigerators in Portugal. (Azevedo et al. 2005)	North of Portugal	Passive	TH	?	Air
Greece	2005	Modelling the effect of house hold chilled storage condition on the risk distribution of meat product. (Taoukis et al. 2005)	Whole population (Athens metropolitan)	?	DL	7 days	Air
Spain	2007	Survey of Temperature and Consumption Patterns of Fresh-Cut Leafy Green Salads: Risk Factors for Listeriosis. (Carrasco et al. 2007)	Whole population (Cordoba)	Random	DL	24 h	Air
Spain	2010	Temperature distribution in Spanish domestic refrigerators and its effect on <i>Listeria</i> <i>monocytogenes</i> growth in sliced ready-to-eat ham. (Garrido et al. 2010)	Whole population (Pamplona)	?	Р	?	Air (T ; M ; B) Water (T ; M ; B)
United Kingdom	2010	Reducing food waste through the chill chain. (George et al. 2010)	Whole population	Representative fridge type	DL	4 days	Air (T ; M ; B)
Greece	2010	Probabilistic Model for <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> growth during distribution, retail storage, and domestic storage of pasteurized milk. (Koutsoumanis et al. 2010)	?	?	DL	24 h	Air (T ; M ; B ; D)
Italy	2013	Survey conducted in Italy on the consumer refrigeration temperatures and their impact on food safety illustrated with Salmonella. (Roccato, 2013)	Volunteers population	Random	DL	6 days	Air (T ; B ; D)
Norway	2014	Toxin production and growth of pathogens subjected to temperature fluctuations simulating consumer handling of cold cuts. (Rossvoll et al. 2014)	Specific population (Oslo and Akershus)	Snowball	DL	11 days	Air
Italy	2014	The management of the domestic refrigeration: microbiological status and temperature. (Vegara et al. 2014)	Whole population (Central and North Italy)	?	TH	24 h	Air (M)
United Kingdom	2016	Time-temperature profiling of United Kingdom consumers' domestic refrigerators. (Evans and Redmond, 2016)	Whole population (urban community, South Wales)	Random	Р	6 days	Air (M; D)
Portugal	2017	Thermal performance, usage behaviour and food waste of domestic refrigerators in a university student community: findings towards cities sustainability (Galvão et al. 2017)	Student community University (Beira Interior)	Selective	DL	8 days	Air (M)
Spain	2019	Domestic refrigerator temperatures in Spain: Assessment of its impact on the safety and shelf-life of cooked meat products. (Jofre et al. 2019)	Whole population	Random	DL	24 h	Air (M; D)
Greece	2019	Field survey on temperature distribution and hygienic status of domestic refrigerators in Lemnos, Greece. (Andritsos et al. 2021)	Island of Lemnos	Random	DL	24 h	Air (T ; B ; D)
Romania; France; Norway; Portugal; United Kingdom	2020	Time-temperature profiles and <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> presence in refrigerators from households with vulnerable consumers. (Dumitrașcu et al. 2020)	One city per country	Selective	DL	14 days	Air
Slovenia	nia 2020 Temperatures and storage conditions in domestic refrigerators - Slovenian scenario (Ovca et al. 2020)		?	Snowball	DL	7 days	Air (M)

DL: Data Logger; TH: thermometer; P: Calibrated probe; T: Top; M: Middle; B: Bottom; D: Door; ?: Unknown

182 **3.3.** Combined data and temperature analysis

183 The refrigerator data were collected from 16 European countries: 14 out of the 27 EU member states 184 (MSs) and two non-MSs (Norway and the United Kingdom) (Figure 1). Published storage temperatures 185 at consumer level may present a large scale at European level, depending on multi-criteria factors 186 linked to, the area of the refrigerator where the temperature was registered, individual knowledge of 187 proper management of domestic refrigerator, consumer awareness on their role in food safety, etc. A 188 total 10 national surveys and 17 scientific publications were collected for this study to have a general 189 overview of refrigerator temperature at European level. Most of the studies/surveys targeted the whole 190 population of a defined geographic area. Few of them focused on a specific population such as students, 191 elderly, families with infants and/or pregnant women and young single men. The temperature 192 measurements were mainly carried out using data loggers at different places inside the refrigerator, 193 including the top, middle, bottom and the door or inside a food, mainly RTEF. Measurement using the 194 data logger method allows the true operating conditions of the refrigerator to be represented.

195 However, some limitations can be pointed out. In some surveys/studies the sampling was not randomly 196 performed and the number of refrigerators investigated was lower than 100, which may lead to a bias 197 and not reflect the overall population. For a few studies, the measurement method was performed with 198 a thermometer and did not allow continuous measurements to be taken over a given period of time, as 199 with data loggers, in order to establish a precise mean temperature value. Finally, there was an under-200 representation of Northern Europe (i.e. Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Latvia and Lithuania). It would 201 have been preferable to have data from a European survey that covered all European countries and use 202 the same methodology to measure the temperature of domestic refrigerators as the method described 203 by Derens-Bertheau et al. 2015.

204

In total, from the 27 studies, temperature measures were performed on 9,926 refrigerators. The mean temperature and the percentile (75th and 95th) were determined from each of them (Table 3). The 75th and 95th percentiles were obtained for 25 out of the 27 studies. For one study, no raw data or graphic

representation of the data was available to perform the percentile determination (Rossvoll et al. 2014). 208 For 13 of the 26 studies (50%), the 75th and 95th percentiles were calculated using method M2. For the 209 210 remaining 13 studies, percentiles were estimated using methods M4 (8), M1 (3) and M3 (2). Regarding 211 the eight studies (30%) for which the determination of percentiles was estimated based on a simulation 212 (Method M4) from available data (number of refrigerators, the average of refrigerator temperature and 213 the associated standard deviation), this method is conditioned by a normal distribution assumption of 214 the data (Pouillot et al. 2007). The normality of the data sets was verified with statistical test within 215 three studies: Kennedy et al., 2005 (by Roccato et al., 2017), Taoukis et al., 2005, Carrasco et al., 2007. 216 For three other studies (Koutsoumanis et al., 2010; Roccato, 2013; Evans and Redmond, 2016), it was 217 assumed that the data follow a normal distribution based on the visual appearance of the temperature 218 distribution curves presented in the publications. Finally, for two last studies, this information was 219 unknown (Austria survey, 2011; The Netherland survey, 2012). This approach allowed to take these 220 studies into account, and not reject them (due to the absence of raw data), in order to cover as many 221 European countries as possible, such as Austria, Republic of Ireland and the Netherlands. The 222 robustness of this approach was also assessed by comparing percentiles obtained from studies with M2 method (based on raw data). For these studies, percentiles were calculated with M4 method. Results 223 224 obtained with M2 method were included in the confidence interval obtained from M4 method. The 75th percentiles average and the 95th percentiles were not significantly different by the use of the four 225 different methods (p-value = 0.48 and 0.79, respectively). 226

227!

The refrigerator temperatures measured either in the air or in food, ranged respectively between 4.1 to 8.7°C and 5.7 to 8.0°C. The average of these temperatures from air $(6.4^{\circ}C\pm1.0^{\circ}C)$ and food $(6.6^{\circ}C\pm0.6^{\circ}C)$ were not significantly different (p-value=0.44, Student test). Based on these results, the analysis was conducted combining air and food data. Figure 2 presents a graph of the refrigerator temperature mean (A), the 75th percentile (B) and the 95th percentile (C) per European country analyzed in this study. The refrigerator temperatures in European countries ranging from 4.1 to 8.7°C, with a mean of $6.4^{\circ}C\pm0.9^{\circ}C$ (dotted line in figure 2A). This mean is close to the temperature that products should not exceed according to the Codex guidelines (i.e. 6°C). Moreover, this value is similar to the global mean air temperatures (6.1°C) measured in the 35 worldwide surveys reviewed by James et al., 2017. The 75th percentiles are comprised between 5.0 and 10.4°C, with a mean of 7.9°C±1.1°C (dotted line in Figure 2B). The 95th percentiles are comprised between 8.0 and 13.9°C, with a mean of 10.3°C±1.4°C (dotted line in Figure 2C).

All these results indicated that, variability of temperature depends on the refrigerator age, the internal thermostat that allows temperature adjustment, the frequency and the duration of door opening and the refrigerator's loading rate.

243

244 The 16 European countries were classified according to the Köppen-Geiger climate classification 245 (Beck et al., 2018) (Figure 1). The data used for this classification correspond to the period considered 246 in our study. The aim was to compare the temperature of domestic refrigerators according to geoclimatic zones. Our results showed that the weighted average refrigerator temperatures of the three 247 geoclimatic groups was 6.9°C, 6.3°C and 6.6°C respectively for temperate climate with dry summer 248 249 (Cs), temperate climate without dry season (Cf) and cold climate without dry season (Df) (Table 4). 250 The Kruskal-Wallis's test showed that there was a significant difference (p-value = 0.0311) in the 251251 mean refrigerator temperature mean within the three categories. The Dunn's test showed that the mean refrigerator temperature of the Cf group countries was significantly lower than that of the Cs group 252 countries (p-value = 0.0283). On the other hand, there was no significant difference between the Cf 253 254 group and the Df group and between the Df group and the Cs group. However, in the case of Df group, 255 the low number of studies and refrigerators investigated could explain the lack of significant results. Moreover, these results only represent between 0.6 to 10.5% of the households in European countries 256 257 surveyed (Table 4). Thus, it would be interesting to recommend an update of these data according to 258 or on the basis of more representative population data and climate change.



259

- 260 Figure 1. European countries where data on temperature of domestic refrigerators (from
- 261 national surveys and scientific literature) were collected clustered according the Köppen-Geiger
- 262 climate classification (described by Beck et al., 2018)
- 263 Legend of figure 1: Dark grey, Cold climate without dry season (Df); Medium grey, Temperate climate without
- 264 *dry season (Cf); Light grey, Temperate climate with dry summer (Cs)*
- 265 (*Map created from https://www.mapchart.net/europe.html*)

266266

- 267 Table 3. Collected data from national surveys and scientific literature and determination of the
- 268 **75th and 95th percentile of refrigerator temperatures**

Country	Reference	N refrigerator	Monitoring tool	Recorder position (Air / Food)	T° Mean ± SD (°C)	T° min (°C)	T° max (°C)	75 th percentile (°C)	95 th percentile (°C)	Percentile method
AT	Austria survey 2011	40	TF	Air	7.0 ± 2.5	2.0	13.0	$8.6 [7.6, 9.7]^a$	10.8 [9.4, 12.4] ^a	M4
BE	Devriese et al., 2004	3001	?	Air	7.0 (±?)	?	?	9.0	?	M1
DE	Geppert et al, 2010	100	DL	Air	6.2 (±?)	0.0	11.0	7.0	10.0	M3
DK	Denmark survey 2015	77	DL	Air	6.1 ± 2.1	0.0	10.0	7.2	9.5	M2
	Carrasco et al,. 2007	30	DL	Air	6.6 ± 2.6	?	?	8.3 [7.0, 9.6] ^a	$10.5[8.9, 12.3]^a$	M4
	Gemile et el 2010	22	п	Air	8.3 ± 2.8	3.9	14.6	9.3	13.5	M2
ES	Garrido et al. 2010	33	P	Food	8.0 ± 2.7	3.9	14.4	8.9	12.6	M2
	Geppert et al. 2010	100	DL	Air	4.1 (±?)	0.0	10.0	6.0	9.0	M3
	Jofre et al. 2019	106	DL	Air	5.4 ± 2.1	0.6	12.7	6.9	9.2	M1
	Anses 2017	3767	TH	Air	5.9 ± 2.9	-3.0	15.0	8.0	11.0	M2
			DL	Food 1	5.7 ± 3.2	-4.8	13.8	7.4	11.1	M2
	Derens et al. 2006	251		Food 2	6.1 ± 2.9	-2.0	12.5	7.9	11.7	M2
FD				Food 3	6.0 ± 2.2	1.1	10.8	7.5	9.7	M2
IK	Derens-Bertheau et al., 2015	75	DL	Food	6.3 ± 2.2	1.1	10.7	8.1	9.9	M2
	Dumitrașcu et al., 2020	15	DL	Air	6.4 ± 1.4	4.0	8.3	7.3	8.2	M2
	Geppert et al., 2010	100	DL	Air	6.7 (±?)	1.0	12.0	9.0	12.0	M3
	Laguerre et al. 2002	118	DL	Air	6.6 ± 2.2	0.9	11.4	8.2	10.2	M2
				Air (Top area)	7.6 ± 3.0	-1.8	14.5	$9.6 [8.8, 10.4]^a$	$12.4 [11.3, 13.6]^a$	M4
GR	Koutsoumanis et al., 2010	100	DL	Air (Middle area)	6.3 ± 2.7	-0.7	13.0	$8.1 [7.4, 8.8]^a$	$10.6 [9.6, 11.7]^a$	M4
				Air (Bottom area)	6.7 ± 2.3	-2.7	18.1	$8.2 [7.6, 8.8]^a$	$10.4 [9.5, 11.3]^a$	M4
	Andritsos et al. 2021	70	DL	Air	8.7 ± 3.2	-0.8	17.5	10.4	13.9	M2
	Taoukis et al., 2005	250	DL	Air	6.3 ± 2.7	?	?	$8.1 [7.7, 8.6]^a$	$10.7 [10.0, 11.4]^a$	M4
IE	Kennedy et al., 2005 ; Roccato et al.2017	100	DL	Air	5.9 ± 2.5	-1.7	11.8	$7.6 [6.9, 8.2]^a$	9.9 [8.9, 10.9] ^a	M4
	Daminelli 2019	494	DL	Air	7.5 ± 3.1	-1.2	16.4	9.5	12.5	M1
IT	Roccato 2013	106	DL	Air	7.2 ± 2.5	-3.5	15.2	$8.9 [8.2, 9.5]^a$	$11.2 [10.3, 12.2]^a$	M4
	Vegara et al. 2014	84	TH	Air	8.1 (±?)	2.5	15.9	?	10.1	M3
NL	The Netherland survey 2012	326	TH	Air	5.3 ± 1.7	?	?	$6.4 [6.2, 6.7]^a$	$8.1[7.7, 8.5]^a$	M4
NO	Dumitrașcu et al., 2020	13	DL	Air	5.4 ± 2.1	1.2	8.8	7.0	8.3	M2
NO	Rossvoll et al., 2014	46	DL	Air	6.2 (±?)	2.9	12.0	?	?	-
	Azevedo et al., 2005	86	TH	Air	7.3 ± 2.6	0.9	15.2	9.0	10.9	M2
PT	Dumitrașcu et al., 2020	15	DL	Air	5.5 ± 1.9	3.2	9.1	6.3	8.9	M2
	Galvão et al., 2017	51	DL	Air	5.5 ± 2.7	-1.8	11.9	6.9	10.5	M2
RO	Dumitrașcu et al., 2020	14	DL	Air	5.3 ± 2.2	1.7	9.5	6.4	8.7	M2
				Food 1	6.2 ± 2.3	0.8	11.3	7.3	10.1	M2
			DL	Food 2	6.5 ± 2.5	0.2	12.8	7.9	10.6	M2
				Food 3	6.8 ± 2.0	2.4	13.6	8.1	9.9	M2
SE	Marklinder et al., 2004	102		Food 4	6.9 ± 2.2	0.6	13.2	8.4	10.4	M2
				Food 5	7.2 ± 2.4	1.1	12.3	9.1	10.4	M2
				Food 6	7.1 ± 2.5	0.2	12.3	8.9	10.8	M2
				Food 7	6.9 ± 2.8	1.8	18.2	9.1	12.1	M2
ст	Over at al. 2020	50	DL	Air	5.9 ± 2.2	-0.3	10.7	7.2	9.6	M2
51	0vca et al. 2020			Food	6.0 ± 2.2	1.0	10.7	7.5	9.6	M2
IW	Evans and Redmond, 2016	43	Р	Air	5.8 ± 1.9	1.8	11.4	$7.1 [6.3, 7.8]^a$	$8.7 [7.7, 9.9]^a$	M4
	Dumitrașcu et al., 2020	13	DL	Air	5.0 ± 2.7	-0.1	9.3	6.2	8.7	M2
	George et al., 2010	50	DL	Air	6.8 ± 2.3	1.5	11.6	8.4	10.2	M2
	Geppert et al. 2010	100	DL	Air	5.2 (±?)	3.0	10.0	5.0	8.0	M3

Table 3 legend:

- 271 DL: Data Logger; TH: thermometer; TF: Temperature display on the fridge? P: Calibrated probe; SD: Standard deviation; ^a: bootstrap
- 272 95% Confidence interval;?: Unknown
- 273



Figure 2. Graph of the refrigerator temperature mean (A), 75th percentile mean (B) and 95th

²⁷⁵ percentile mean (C) and associated standard deviation per country.

²⁷⁶ Legend of Figure 2A: Underlined country, country for which a single study was collected.

277277

278 Table 4: Weighted average refrigerator temperatures according to the Köppen-Geiger climate

279 classification of European countries

Group	Number of studies	Number of refrigerators included in the studies	Weighted average refrigerator temperatures	Estimated number of households*	Proportion of households surveyed	
cold climate without dry season (Df)	6	392	6.6°C	132 901 000	0.6%	
temperate climate without dry season (Cf)	12	8009	6.3°C	170 590 000	10.5%	
temperate climate with dry summer (Cs)	13	1525	6.9°C	127 633 000	2.8%	

280 Legend of Table 4: *Global population number/number of people per household, sources: INSEE 2020

2811 (for UK) and 2021 (others countries); Eurostat 2017 (for UK) and 2022 (others countries)

2821

283 **3.4.** Taking these data into account for shelf-life studies

The results of this study were taken into account in order to revise the EURL *Lm* TGD for conducting shelf-life studies on *Listeria monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat foods (EURL *Lm* 2019). This revision had been conducted by the EURL *Lm* with a working group of representatives from seven EU NRLs *Lm* (Belgium, Denmark, Ireland, Italy, Netherland, Portugal and Sweden) and one non-EU member (United Kingdom).

This working group was also consulted in 2018 to revise the section "Storage conditions" of the EURL *Lm* TGD for conducting shelf-life studies on *Listeria monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat foods

291 (EURL *Lm*, 2014) in order to refine the temperature at retail level. In this revision, the value of the

temperature at retail level was based on the 95th percentile temperature distribution (EURL *Lm*, 2015)

and defined as consensus in the amendment 1 of version 3 of the EURL Lm TGD for conducting shelf-

life studies on *Listeria monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat foods (EURL *Lm*, 2019).

295 To be consistent with the consensus value recommended for temperature at retail level of the EURL Lm

TGD v3 amendment 1 (EURL *Lm*, 2019), the working group decided to select the rounded value of

297 the 95th percentile distribution as value for the temperature at consumer level. Therefore the value of

298 10°C was kept to propose the revision of the Table 4 of the EURL *Lm* TGD version 4 on challenge
299 tests and durability studies for assessing shelf-life of ready-to-eat foods related to
300 *Listeria monocytogenes* (EURL *Lm*, 2021).

301 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

For the first time, a study was carried out to collect data on the temperatures of household refrigerators in 16 European countries and to define a more realistic temperature to mimic the storage of RTEF at consumer stage, used in challenge tests and durability studies for shelf-life validation or verification. A temperature of 10°C, representing the 95th percentile of the studied dataset, was taken for the consumer level to revise the fourth version of the EURL *Lm* TGD on challenge tests and durability studies for assessing shelf-life of ready-to-eat foods related to *Listeria monocytogenes* (EURL *Lm*, 2021).

The choice of a lower temperature for these tests may lead to increase shelf-life evaluations for RTEF, especially for those based on higher temperature at consumer level (e.g. 12° C as mentioned in the previous versions of the EURL *Lm* TDG). As RTEF shelf-life is not limited to the assessment of *Lm* growth, Food Business Operators may be limited by the growth of spoilage organisms and other quality criteria if they decide to increase the shelf-life of their products. This global approach should be included in a comprehensive food safety management system.

These results might be also impacted by several factors, e.g. impact of climate change including overall increased temperatures and other climatic conditions at regional level, but also geopolitical situations which put pressure on energy prices, economic crisis associated to lack of financial resources particularly dedicated for keeping the cold chain. Thus, the situation of the status of home and retail temperatures should be regularly revised.

320 Finally this should nevertheless not replace the need of continuous consumer education and awareness 321 raising in a shared responsibility approach. These observations can be taken into account for the 322 determination of the shelf-life in a RTE foods.

323 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 324 We thank the NRL network for their collaboration and all authors who shared their raw data.
- 325 We also thank Laurent Guillier, Researcher in quantitative microbiology and risk assessment at
- 326 ANSES, for his helping regarding the statistical part.
- 327

328 FOUNDING

EURL *Listeria monocytogenes* is co-funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Health and Digital Executive Agency (HaDEA). Neither the European Union nor HaDEA can be held responsible for them.

333 **REFERENCES**

 Andritsos N. D., Stasinou V., Tserolas D., Giaouris E. 2021. "Temperature distribution and hygienic status of domestic refrigerators in Lemnos island, Greece". Food Control 127: 108121 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108121.

335 Anses, 2017. Avis n° « 2014-SA-0234 » relatif à la troisième étude individuelle nationale des

- 336 consommations alimentaires 3 (Etude INCA 3).
 337 https://www.anses.fr/sites/default/files/NUT2014SA0234Ra.pdf
- Austria survey., 2011. "Food safety and hygiene in the private household."
 https://www.ages.at/download/sdl-
- 340 eyJ0eXAiOiJKV1QiLCJhbGciOiJIUzI1NiJ9.eyJpYXQiOjE2MDk0NTkyMDAsImV4cCI6N
- 341 DA3MDkwODgwMCwidXNlciI6MCwiZ3JvdXBzIjpbMCwtMV0sImZpbGUiOiJmaWxIY
- 342 WRtaW5cL0FHRVNfMjAyMlwvMl9NRU5TQ0hcL0Vyblx1MDBlNGhydW5nX0xlYmVuc
- 343 21pdHRlbFwvRXJuXHUwMGU0aHJ1bmdzZW1wZmVobHVuZ2VuXC9TaWNoZXJfa29j
- 344 aGVuXC9MZWJlbnNtaXR0ZWxzaWNoZXJoZWl0X3VuZF9IeWdpZW5lX2ltX1ByaXZhd
- 345 GhhdXNoYWx0XzEzXzEyXzIwMTMucGRmIiwicGFnZSI6MTM5N30.bbm1C4eyGJzqDZ
- 346 1U Rm0Hq-4t-
- 347 toV0hmxb4bBx4hEhc/Lebensmittelsicherheit_und_Hygiene_im_Privathaushalt_13_12_2013
 348 .pdf.
- Azevedo, I., Regalo, M., Mena, C., Almeida, G., Carneiro, L., Teixeira, P., Hogg, T., Gibbs, P.A., 2005.
 "Incidence of *Listeria spp.* in domestic refrigerators in Portugal." *Food Control* 16 (2): 121124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2003.12.006.
- Beck, H. E., Zimmermann, N. E., McVicar, T.R., Vergopolan, N., Berg, A., Wood, E.F., 2018. "Present
 and future Koppen-Geiger climate classification maps at 1-km resolution." *Sci Data* 5: 180214.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.214.
- Carrasco, E., Perez-Rodriguez, F., Valero A., Garcia-Gimeno, R.M., Zurera, G., 2007. "Survey of
 temperature and consumption patterns of fresh-cut leafy green salads: risk factors for
 listeriosis." *J Food Prot* 70 (10): 2407-12. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-70.10.2407.

- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nation (FAO) and World Health Organization (WHO). 2007. Codex Alimentarius guidelines on the application of the general principles of food hygiene to the control of Listeria monocytogenes in foods (CAC/GL 61 - 2007).
- 361 Daminelli, P.. 2019. National survey of domestic refrigerators temperatures in Italy. edited by Network
 362 of the Italian Experimental Zooprophylactic Institutes (I.I.Z.Z.S.S.). Research Project IZS LER
- 363 2016/018 RC. Research funded by the Italian Ministry of Health. Unpublished results.
- 364 Denmark survey, 2015. Investigate the temperature of elderly people refrigerators. Unpublished
 365 results.
- Derens-Bertheau, E., Osswald ,V., Laguerre, O., Alvarez, G., 2015. "Cold chain of chilled food in
 France." *International Journal of Refrigeration* 52: 161-167.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2014.06.012.
- Derens, E., Palagos B., Guilpart, J., 2006. "The cold chain of chilled products under supervision in
 France." *IUFoST World Congress*, 13th World Congress of Food Science & Technology.
 https://doi.org/10.1051/IUFoST:20060823.
- 372 Devriese, S., Huybrechts, I., Moreau, M., Van Oyen, H.. 2004. Enquête de consommation alimentaire
 373 Belge 1 2004. Institut scientifique de Santé publique. https://www.wiv374 isp.be/Epidemio/epifr/foodfr/food04fr/food1fr.pdf.
- 375 Dumitrașcu, L.,, Nicolau, A.I., Neagu, C., Didier, P., Maître, I., Nguyen-The, C., Skuland, S.E.,
- 376 Møretrø, T., Langsrud, S., Truninger, M., Teixeira, P., Ferreira, V., Martens, L., Borda, D.,
- 377 2020. "Time-temperature profiles and *Listeria monocytogenes* presence in refrigerators from
- households with vulnerable consumers." Food Control 111.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.107078.
- 380 EFSA BIOHAZ Panel (EFSA Panel on Biological Hazards), 2018. Scientific Opinion on the *Listeria*
- 381 *monocytogenes* contamination of ready-to-eatfoods and the risk for human health in the EU.
- 382 EFSA Journal 2018;16(1):5134, 173 pphttps://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5134.

- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control
 (ECDC). 2023. "The European Union One Health 2022 Zoonoses Report." *EFSA Journal* 21
 (12): e8442. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2023.8442.
- EN ISO 20976-1. 2019. Microbiology of the Food Chain—Guidelines for Conducting Challenge Tests
 of Food and Feed Products—Part 1: Challenge Tests to Study the Growth Potential, Lag Time
 and the Maximum Growth Rate.
- European Union Reference Laboratory for *Listeria monocytogenes* (EURL *Lm*). 2014. "EURL *Lm* Technical Guidance Document for conducting shelf-life studies on *Listeria monocytogenes* in
 ready-to-eat foods version 3 of 6 July 2014." https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10651272.
- European Union Reference Laboratory for *Listeria monocytogenes* (EURL *Lm*). 2015. "Report on
 temperature distribution of ready-to-eat food at retail level in Europe"
 https://zenodo.org/records/11073001.
- 395 European Union Reference Laboratory for Listeria monocytogenes (EURL Lm). 2019. "EURL Lm 396 Technical Guidance Document for conducting shelf-life studies on Listeria monocytogenes in 397 21 ready-to-eat foodsversion 3 amendement 1 of February 2019" 398 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10651320.
- European Union Reference Laboratory for *Listeria monocytogenes* (EURL *Lm*). 2021. "EURL *Lm* Technical Guidance Document on challenge tests and durability studies for assessing shelf-life
 of ready-to-eat foods related to *Listeria monocytogenes* version 4 of 1 July 2021."
 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7760700.
- Evans, E. W., ad Redmond, E.C., 2016. "Time-Temperature Profiling of United Kingdom Consumers'
 Domestic Refrigerators." *J Food Prot* 79 (12): 2119-2127. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362028X.JFP-16-270.
- Galvão, D., Dinis Gaspar, P., Dinho Da Silva, P., Pires L., 2017. "Thermal Performance, Usage
 Behaviour and Food Waste of Domestic Refrigerators in a University Student Community:

- 408 Findings Towards Cities Sustainability." The Sustainable City XII., 223, 539-550.
 409 http://dx.doi.org/10.2495/SC170471
- Garrido, V., García-Jalón I., Vitas A.I., 2010. "Temperature distribution in Spanish domestic
 refrigerators and its effect on *Listeria monocytogenes* growth in sliced ready-to-eat ham." *Food Control* 21 (6): 896-901. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2009.12.007.
- George, R.M., Burgess, P.J., Thorn, R.D., 2010. Reducing Food Waste through the Chill Chain. An
 extensive study of consumer refrigerated food practices in the home and experimental
 measurements of refrigerated food temperatures during their transit from the retail store to the
 domestic fridge. Final report for WRAP funded project RSC007-003 Banbury, UK
- 417 Geppert, J., Reger, O., Bichler, S., Stamminger, R., 2010. "Cold storage in private households:
 418 recommendations and consumer real life behaviour." 4th Cold chain management workshop,
 419 Bonn, DE..
- James, C., Bukola, A.O., Stephen J.J., 2017. "The Use and Performance of Household Refrigerators: A
 Review." *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety* 16 (1): 160-179.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12242.
- James, S.J., Evans, J., James, C., 2008. "A review of the performance of domestic refrigerators." *Journal of Food Engineering* 87 (1): 2-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2007.03.032.
- Jofre, A., Latorre-Moratalla, M.L., Garriga, M., Bover-Cid, S., 2019. "Domestic refrigerator
 temperatures in Spain: Assessment of its impact on the safety and shelf-life of cooked meat
 products." *Food Res Int* 126: 108578. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108578.
- Kennedy, J., Jackson, V., Blair, I.S., McDowell, D.A., Cowan, C., Bolton D.J., 2005. "Food safety
 knowledge of consumers and the microbiological and temperature status of their refrigerators." *J Food Prot* 68 (7): 1421-30. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-68.7.1421.
- Koutsoumanis, K., Pavlis, A., Nychas, G.J., Xanthiakos, K, 2010. "Probabilistic model for
 Listeria monocytogenes growth during distribution, retail storage, and domestic storage of

- 433 pasteurized milk." *Appl Environ Microbiol* 76 (7): 2181-91.
 434 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02430-09.
- Laguerre, O., Derens, E., Palagos, B., 2002. "Study of domestic refrigerator temperature and analysis
 of factors affecting temperature: a French survey." I.J.R.,25,5,653:659.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-7007(01)00047-0.
- Marklinder, I. M., M. Lindblad, L. M. Eriksson, A. M. Finnson, and R. Lindqvist. 2004. "Home storage
 temperatures and consumer handling of refrigerated foods in Sweden." *J Food Prot* 67 (11):
 2570-7. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-67.11.2570.
- 441 Ovca, A., Skufca, T., Jevsnik, M., 2020. "Temperatures and storage conditions in domestic
 442 refrigerators Slovenian scenario." *Food Control*: 107715.
 443 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.107715.
- Pouillot, R., Miconnet, N., Afchain A.L., Delignette-Muller, M. L., Beaufort, A., Rosso, L., Denis, J.
 B., Cornu, M., 2007. "Quantitative risk assessment of Listeria monocytogenes in French coldsmoked salmon: I. Quantitative exposure assessment." *Risk Anal* 27 (3): 683-700.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2007.00921.x.
- Roccato, A. 2013. "Survey conducted in Italy on the consumer refrigeration temperatures and their
 impact on food safety illustrated with *Salmonella*." IAFP 15th-17th May 2013, Marseille, FR.
- 450 Roccato, A., Uyttendaele, M., Membré, J. M., 2017. "Analysis of domestic refrigerator temperatures
 451 and home storage time distributions for shelf-life studies and food safety risk assessment."
 452 *Food Res Int* 96: 171-181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2017.02.017.
- Rosa Rodrigues de Souza, C., Bergis, H., Ng, P., Guillier, L., Felix, B., Leclercq, A., Gnanou Besse
 N., 2023. "Assessment of the relationship between the MLST genetic diversity of Listeria
 monocytogenes and growth under selective and non-selective conditions." *Food Microbiol*114: 104303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2023.104303.
- Rossvoll, E., Ronning, H.T., Granum, P.E., Moretro, T., Hjerpekjon, M.R., Langsrud S., 2014. "Toxin
 production and growth of pathogens subjected to temperature fluctuations simulating consumer

- 459 handling of cold cuts." Int J Food Microbiol 185: 82-92.
 460 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.05.020.
- Taoukis, P.S., Giannakourou, M.C., Koutsoumanis, K., Bakalis, S., 2005. "Modelling the Effect of
 House Hold Chilled Storage Conditions on the Risk Distribution of Meat Products." III
 International Symposium on Applications of Modelling as an Innovative Technology in the
 Agri-Food Chain; MODEL-IT, 29th May 2005, Leuven, BE.
- 465 The Netherland survey. 2012. Food waste. Unpublished results.
- Vegara, A., Festino, A.R., Di Ciccio, P., Costanzo, C., Pennisi, L., Ianieri, A., 2014. "The management
 of the domestic refrigeration: microbiological status and temperature." *British Food Journal*
- 470 116 (6): 1047-1057. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-05-2012-0103.
- 471 World Health Organization., 2022. *Listeria monocytogenes in ready-to-eat (RTE) food: attribution,*472 *characterization and monitoring: meeting report.* Food and Agriculture Organization of the
 473 United Nations.
- 473 United Nations.