

Landscape-scale spatial variations of pre-Columbian anthropogenic disturbances at three ring ditch sites in French Guiana

Testé Marc, Engel Julien, Mabobet Kevin, Mestre Mickael, Louise Brousseau

▶ To cite this version:

Testé Marc, Engel Julien, Mabobet Kevin, Mestre Mickael, Louise Brousseau. Landscape-scale spatial variations of pre-Columbian anthropogenic disturbances at three ring ditch sites in French Guiana. 2024. hal-04633316

HAL Id: hal-04633316 https://hal.science/hal-04633316v1

Preprint submitted on 3 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1

2

Landscape-scale spatial variations of pre-Columbian anthropogenic disturbances at three ring ditch sites in French Guiana

3

4 Testé Marc¹, Engel Julien¹, Mabobet Kevin¹, Mestre Mickael², Brousseau Louise¹

5 ¹ AMAP, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, CNRS, INRAE, IRD, Montpellier, France

6 ² INRAP, French national Institute for Preventive Archaeological Research

7

Key words : Pre-Columbian societies, ring ditch, Amazonia, Guiana shield, French Guiana, forest
landscapes, interfluvial region, anthropogenic disturbances, geoarchaeology, soil properties, charcoal,
radiocarbon dating, Amazonian Dark Earths, anthrosols, Amazonian Brown Earths, *terra mulata*,
ferralsol, gleysol.

12

13 <u>Abstract</u>

In the past two decades, repeated discoveries of numerous geometric earthworks in interfluvial regions of Amazonia have shed new light onto the territorial extent and the long-term impact of pre-Columbian populations on contemporary landscapes. In particular, the recent development of LiDAR imagery has accelerated the discovery of earthworks in densely forested hinterlands throughout the Amazon basin and the Guiana Shield.

This study aimed to evaluate the extent and landscape-scale spatial variations of pre-Columbian disturbances at three ring ditch sites in the French Guiana hinterland. We carried out extensive soil surveys along approximately 1 km-long transects spanning from ring ditches through the surrounding landscapes, and drawn upon multiple indicators, including archaeological artifacts, macro- and microcharcoals, soil colorimetry, and physicochemical properties to retrace the pre-Columbian history of these sites in terms of occupation periods, anthropogenic soil alteration, and ancient land use.

25 Our results revealed a perennial occupation of these sites over long periods ranging from the 5th and 26 15th centuries CE, with local enrichments in chemical indicators (Corg, N, Mg, K, Ca) both within the enclosures of ring ditches and in the surrounding landscapes. Physicochemical properties variations 27 were accompanied by variations in soil colorimetry, with darker soils within the enclosure of ring ditches 28 29 in terra-firme areas. Interestingly however, soil properties did not meet all the characteristics of the socalled Amazonian Dark Earths, thus advocating a paradigm shift towards a better integration of 30 31 Amazonian Brown Earths into the definition of anthropogenic soils in Amazonia. Soil disturbances were 32 also associated to local enrichments in macro- and micro-charcoals that support in situ fire management 33 that could be attributed to forest clearance and/or slash-and-burn cultivation.

Taken together, our results support the idea that pre-Columbian societies made extensive use of theirlandscapes in the interfluvial regions of the French Guiana hinterlands.

36 Introduction

37 Covering more than 5,500,000 km², the Amazon rainforest is one of the largest tropical forests in the 38 world. Despite increasing attention to its extraordinary biodiversity, the Amazon rainforest remains 39 largely unexplored and has long been considered by environmental researchers as an undisturbed, pristine forest. From another point of view, archaeological research has shed new light on pre-40 Columbian occupation in Amazonia, suggesting high population density and long-term impact on soil 41 42 and vegetation. This recent paradigm shift is accompanied by hot debates over the territorial extent of pre-Columbian societies, their long-term impact on contemporary wild landscapes and underlying land-43 44 use strategies (1,2).

The Territorial Extent of Pre-Columbian Societies in Amazonia. Geometric earthworks represent 45 one the most meaningful proxy of the territorial extent of pre-CE 1492 societies in Amazonia. However, 46 they are often hidden below the forest cover, and, until recently, they were mostly discovered by 47 pedestrian surveys in easily accessible areas. This long fueled the idea that pre-Columbian societies 48 49 settled mainly along major rivers and coastal areas (3), which represent a very restricted fraction of the 50 Amazon region. However, both extensive deforestation and fast development of airbone imagery 51 technologies (e.g., LiDAR) in remote, densely forested areas has accelerated the discovery of numerous 52 earthworks all over the region, thus arguing for a reconsideration of the pre-Columbian population 53 density both in the Amazon floodplains and in interfluvial (terra-firme) forests (4-7). On the southern 54 rim of the Amazon, geometric earthworks are organized into complex and continuous networks (4,8,9). 55 Pärssinen et al. (10) reviewed the distribution of earthworks in the Brazilian state of Acre (western 56 Amazonia), totalling about 200 sites over a region more than 250 km across. In 2022, Prümers et al. (5) 57 mapped monumental settlements in a savanna-forest mosaic in Llanos des Mojos (Bolivia, western Amazonia), arguing for a region densely and continuously populated. Other authors, on the contrary, 58 argued that human occupation was heterogeneous in western Amazonia. For example, McMichael et al. 59 (11) surveyed 55 sites over a surface of 3,000,000 km² in central (Brazil) and western Amazonia (from 60 Peru to Bolivia) and detected only sparse evidence of human impacts in interfluvial forests. The 61 62 distribution of earthworks is not restricted to the Amazon basin (that is, the region drained by the Amazon River). In French Guiana (Guiana shield, northeast Amazonia), ring ditches are found 63 throughout the hinterland. In the 1990s, about 50 sites of ring ditches were already identified (12). Some 64 of them have been the subject of dedicated archaeological or paleoenvironmental research (13–16), 65 66 while many others have been discovered more recently through preventive archaeology operations (17) 67 or mapping operations in managed or protected areas.

Geometric Earthworks: Temporality and Functions. Radiocarbon dates suggest that geometric
earthworks were built over very long periods of time, varying between regions and sites from the first
millennium to the decline of pre-Columbian societies, see supplementary table 1. Earlier periods of ring

ditch construction dating back to BCE 1600 were detected in the Acre, Brazil (18), while occupation 71 72 prior to ring ditch construction has also been suggested in some regions such as Llanos de Mojos, Bolivia 73 (19). Geometric earthworks are of various forms and sizes. Although their exact function remains 74 uncertain, two kinds of architectural-function categories seem to stand out: ceremonial centers on one 75 side and fortified villages on the other (4,10). Ceremonial centers, such as geoglyphs found in the Acre, are characterized by almost perfect geometric forms and symmetry combining different shapes (circles, 76 77 squares, hexagones), sparse ceramic deposits, the presence of votive artifacts, and no alteration of soils (18). On the contrary, ring ditch sites are commonly attributed to fortified (i.e., palisaded) villages based 78 79 on their asymmetrical – irregularly shaped – enclosures, high-density of ceramics, and soils enriched in 80 organic matter and burning deposits (i.e., charcoals), suggesting permanent habitation. Ring ditches are 81 often attributed to Arawak speakers, but determining the exact earth builders is hard due to the linguistic 82 and cultural diversity in Amazonia (4,6,20). Although geographically distant, the ring ditches of the 83 Guiana shield share geometric features like those found in the Amazon basin. They are called 'kalana 84 tapele' (i.e., 'Kalana's ancient villages') in Wayapi oral traditions, a mysterious cultural group that lived in French Guiana until the 18th century. In 1982, Grenand and Dreyfus recounted the story of a Wayapi 85 86 chief who mentions Kalana villages: 'Throughout the region, there were many Kalana. They built villages that they protected with ditches two meters wide and one meter deep. The bottom was planted 87 with stakes. Those who did not know fell and died.' (21). The last traces of the Kalana (also named 88 'Carannes' by Europeans) are found in French national archives overseas that relate a war between 89 Europeans and the Kalana in 1703-1704 (22,23) who probably disappeared soon afterwards. 90

91 Environmental Impact of Pre-Columbian Societies and Land Use. The long-term impacts of pre-92 Columbian occupation extend beyond the mere presence of geometric earthworks. Both below and 93 above ground features provide information on the extent of human impact and land use strategies. 94 Above-ground, modern vegetation helps to understand how pre-Columbian societies lived in their 95 environment in terms of subsistence and management of natural resources. For example, spatial variations in present-day vegetation that are correlated with pre-Columbian occupation are interpreted 96 97 as evidence of ancient landscape management for plant cultivation (24,25). Such vegetation turnover is 98 commonly interpreted as 'domesticated landscapes' (26) or 'cultural forests' (8,27), where secondary 99 forests replaced primary forests. In 1989, W. Balée (27) has estimated that more than 11% of Brazilian 100 terra-firme forests were anthropogenic. In the same vein, some useful plant taxa and crop species are significantly more abundant in forest areas containing evidence of pre-Columbian occupation, such as 101 102 ring ditch sites, compared to supposedly natural forest areas without evidence of human occupation (28– 103 30). These observations are also supported by palynological records, which reveal the presence of major 104 crops (e.g., maize, squash, manioc) during periods of human occupation of ring ditch sites (19). Based 105 on the abundance of charcoal, paleoenvironmental research has documented repeated fire activity in 106 Amazonia through the Holocene. Because natural fires are rare in Amazonia, it is commonly admitted

107 that the presence of charcoal in palaeoecological records can be attributed to anthropogenic burning (31), although fires in evergreen forests probably result from a close interaction between human activity 108 109 and natural climate variations (seasonality and El-Ninõ climate oscillation); drier periods being more 110 favorable to burning (32). However, whether burning activity was associated with large-scale 111 deforestation is unclear and should be considered in light of natural, climate-driven vegetation dynamics. 112 In the ring ditch region of Llamos de Mojos (Bolivia), pre-Columbian earth builders may have first 113 settled in preexisting savannas they maintained open through slash-and-burn during late-Holocene forest expansion (33). The decline of pre-Columbian populations was characterized by a decrease in burning 114 activity ~600-500 B.P. (i.e., CE 1350-1450) and associated with forest expansion (19). A similar 115 hypothesis has been proposed in the Acre, where the earthworks were probably built in bamboo forests. 116 117 Only localized forest clearances were carried out without involving substantial deforestation (34). Soil properties may also provide interesting information on the extent of anthropogenic disturbances in 118 119 contemporary landscapes. In Brazil, pre-Columbian settlements are commonly associated with the so-120 called Amazonian Dark Earths, ADEs (i.e., 'terra preta'), which are acknowledged as highly fertile soils. Patches of ADEs are frequent along the Amazon River and its tributaries (35) but they are rare, or still 121 122 undiscovered in more distant regions and hinterlands (1). Model-based predictions suggest that only 123 3.2% of Amazonian forests might be propice for *terra preta* formation (36). They further confirm that the distance to rivers is an important predictor, the occurrence of *terra preta* being more probable within 124 10 km of a river. ADEs soils are often considered as anthropogenic soils formed by the addition of 125 inorganic and organic debris (e.g., bones, biomass wastes, excrements) and burning activity (37,38). 126 127 Indeed, ADEs are commonly enriched in organic matter and nutrients (38,39). Even if the anthropogenic origin of ADEs is still debated (38-41), it is commonly admitted that long-term landscape management 128 129 alters soil characteristics to varying degrees, ranging from soils with slight to moderate anthropogenic 130 modifications (i.e., 'terra mulata', 42-44) to anthropogenic soils or 'anthrosols' containing strong 131 anthropogenic modifications (i.e., 'terra preta').

However, soil properties in interfluvial pre-Columbian sites less propitious to *terra preta* formation have
been less documented. Furthermore, the specific location of French Guiana in northeast Amazonia also
questions the extent of soil alterations at pre-Columbian sites, and empirical data are profoundly lacking.

The ring ditches of the Guiana shield have been poorly studied compared to those of the Amazon basin, thus constituting a considerable knowledge gap. Whether ring ditches located in the Guiana shield are associated with anthropogenic soil alterations and/or burning activities remains poorly known. Furthermore, the extent of spatial variations in anthropogenic disturbances within forest landscapes surrounding ring ditches has rarely been assessed. This knowledge may have important implications in terms of ecosystem resilience and sustainable land use.

141 In this study, we investigated the landscape-scale extent and spatial variations of pre-Columbian

anthropogenic disturbances at three ring ditch sites in French Guiana. We carried out a continuous,
 standardized soil sampling along approximately 1 km-long transects that extend across ring ditch
 enclosures and surrounding forest landscapes. We built upon an integrated methodology that combine
 soil and charcoal analyses to address the following questions:

- What were the occupation periods of the sites? Do these periods coincide with previously
 documented periods of ring ditch construction in Amazonia?
- Do contemporary forest landscapes surrounding ring ditches contain evidence of long-term pre Columbian disturbances? How does the spatial distribution of anthropogenic disturbances vary
 in forest landscapes surrounding ring ditches?
- Do soil properties meet the characteristics of Amazonian Dark Earths?
- Do soil properties inform ancient land use and landscape management?
- 153

154 Materials & Methods

155

156 **1. Study Area and Ring Ditch Sites**

157 French Guiana lies to the east of the Guiana shield (northeast Amazonia), a 1.7-billion-year-old

158 Precambrian craton characterized by a soft relief alternating between low hills and slopes on ferralsol

159 (i.e., *terra-firme*) and flooded lowlands (i.e., bottomlands) on hydromorphic gleysols. French Guiana

is located in the Guianan moist forest ecoregion (NT0125) and is covered by approximately 97% of

161 the evergreen Amazon rainforest. This highly diverse rainforest grows in the Af climate according to

the Köppen–Geiger classification (45,46), which is marked by an annual rainfall ranging between

163 2000 and 4000 mm/year, a wet season that extends from December to July (interspersed with a 'little

- summer' in March) and an average annual temperature of 26°C. This study focused on three ring
- ditches in the French Guiana hinterland: MC87, Nouragues (NOUR), and Mont-Galbao (GALB).
- 166 These sites are distributed along rainfall and altitude gradients ranging from ~2700 to ~3700 mm/yr
- 167 (Fig.1).

168 MC87. MC87 is located in the Kourouaï River watershed (X: 4,057205; Y: -52,67196), Fig.1. The site occupies the top of one of many palaeoproterozoic bedrock hills of the zone (alt: 85 m AMSL) and is 169 surrounded by waterways and bottomlands (15). The local rainfall at this site approaches 3800 mm/year. 170 171 A previous archaeological and micromorphological survey has been carried out on this site, providing background information (15). The 2 m deep by 2-3 m wide ditch is located on the top of a hill and 172 encircles an area of almost 1 ha. Radiocarbon analyses dated the pre-Columbian occupation between 173 174 CE 500 and CE 1100. No human occupation has been reported at this site during the colonial period. 175 Despite a forest exploitation road to the east of the ring ditch, the site has been spared contemporary

logging operations and had been impacted by economic activities only at the eastern end of the transect(i.e., the last pit 'C20' in Fig.1).

Nouragues (NOUR). The Nouragues site lies on the flattened summit of a precambrian granite plateau 178 in the watershed of the Arataï River (x: -52.086023; y: 4.07993), Fig.1. The climate at this site is slightly 179 less humid than at MC87, with 3200-3400 mm annual rainfall. The site is located in the CNRS Research 180 Station within the Nouragues Natural Reserve, a fully protected area since 1995. This station has been 181 182 the subject of numerous ecological and archaeological research projects, including the LONGTIME project (47). This ditch studied here is located in the zone named 'grand plateau' and is made up of a 183 184 shallow, partially closed ditch 0.5 to 1 m deep and 2 m wide (Fig.1). Today, only an arc of a circle curved over around one hundred meters is still visible through LiDAR. Radiocarbon datings of the 185 ditched site indicate occupation between CE 650 and CE 1550 (16). An Amerindian presence in the 186 region was noted until the end of the 18th century: the 'Nouragues' or 'Norak' Tupi speakers (21). 187

Mont Galbao (GALB). The Mont Galbao site is located on a flat part of the eponymous mountain 188 range, within the metamorphic chain Inipi-Camopi (x: -53.29267; y: 4.59575), Fig.1. This area lies 189 190 upstream of the Makwali River watershed and is part of the protected area of the French National Park 191 (Parc Amazonien de Guyane, PAG). In 2020, a dozen ring ditches were discovered through a LiDAR 192 mapping operation ordered by the PAG. The studied ring ditch is an ellipsoidal and extends more than 193 300 m long and 130 wide. It is up to 3 m deep with a flattened outer edge in its southern section. Due to 194 the remoteness of this site, which is not deserved by public transports and is only accessible by helicopter, no archaeological excavations or radiocarbon dating have been carried out on the site before the present 195 196 study.

197 2. Sampling Design and Soil Sampling

198 \rightarrow Fig. 1. Location of study sites and sampling design. The LiDAR Digital Terrain Model (DTM) of

199 MC87 was provided by the National Forest Office (Office National des Forêts, ONF). The LiDAR DTM

200 of NOUR was provided by LONGTIME project. The LiDAR DTM of GALB was provided by the PAG

At each study site, we performed a continuous and standardized soil sampling along approximately 1 201 202 km-long transects that extended through the ring ditch hill (RH) and the surrounding forest landscape 203 with little or no evidence of pre-Columbian disturbance (Fig.1). For each transect, the soils were sampled every 50 meters with an auger (pits named: Cstart, C1, C2, etc.). Additional pits were also sampled 204 within the ditched enclosure (pits named: RH1, RH2, RH3, RH4) and on an adjacent hill (pits named: 205 206 AH1, AH2). For each pit, soil samples were collected every 5 centimeters, from the surface to a depth of 120 centimeters. However, given the absence of archaeological artifacts in deep samples, we focused 207 on the first 60 centimeters in the following analyses. 208

209 3. Soil Analyses

210

Archaeological Artifacts, Soots and Macrocharcoals

The bulk soil samples were dried in an oven (50°C), weighed and sieved (<5 mm) to remove roots and stones and extract archaeological artifacts (i.e., ceramic shards) and macroscopic charcoal (> 5mm). Macroscopic charcoals (~2-5 mm) and soots were extracted by hand from the sieved fraction. Given the reduced volume of dry soil obtained every 5 cm, the presence of archaeological artifacts, soots, and macrocharcoals was evaluated as discrete variables (i.e., presence/absence, encoded '1/0').

• Colorimetry

The color of the soil samples was measured using a PANTONE CAPSURE colorimeter implemented with the Munsell color chart. Each sample was subjected to five consecutive measures to determine the dominant color. The samples were then separated into two different fractions: a 100-200 g fraction was reserved for physico-chemical analysis. The remaining fraction was kept for evaluation of microcharcoal abundance.

• Physico-chemistry

For each pit, physicochemical analyses were carried out on one sample every 10 cm between 5 cm and 60 cm depth in *terra-firme*, totaling 6 samples per pit: 5-10 cm; 15-20 cm; 25-30 cm; 35-40 cm; 45-50 cm; 55-60 cm. In the bottomlands, dry soil samples were always well below 100 g due to important waterlogging. To remedy this, we pooled surface samples up to 20 cm and then every 10 cm up to 60 cm depth, thus totaling 5 samples per pit: 0-20 cm; 20-30 cm; 30-40 cm; 40-50 cm; 50-60 cm.

A total of 410 physicochemical samples were sent for analysis to the SADEF laboratory (Aspach-Le-Bas, France), which is accredited for the import of non-European soils. A total of 12 soil properties were evaluated, including granulometry (i.e., particle size distribution) and chemical properties (pH, major and minor elements). Table 1 synthesizes the methods used and standards, when applicable. The amount of soil carbonates (Cinorg) was null in all soil samples and was excluded from our analyses.

We tested whether soil properties varied between soil types (ferralsols, hydromorphic gleysols) through 233 Generalized Linear Models (GLM) using R version 3.5.1 (Model 1: Soil property \sim Soil type). We also 234 tested whether the physicochemical properties of the terra-firme soils varied between study sites (MC87, 235 NOUR, GALB), landscape-scale localization (i.e. ring ditch enclosure and ditch, hilltops and slopes of 236 237 the ring ditch, adjacent hills) and depth (Model 2: Soil property ~ Site + Localization + Depth). The 238 GLMs were encoded using the functions 'glm()' and 'drop1()', with the different soil properties as 239 response variables. The GLMs were completed by principal component analyses (PCAs) with packages 240 'ade4' and 'FactoMineR' to visualize variations in soil properties across soil types, study sites and

241 localization in a multidimensional space with *Nproperty* dimensions.

Property	Abbreviation	Method	Standard
Granulometry (i.e., particle-size distribution)	PSD	Pipette method without decarbonation	NFX 31-107
рН	рН	H ₂ O extraction (pH _{H20})	NF EN ISO 10390
Total Carbon Organic carbon	Ctot Corg	Dry combustion method	NF ISO 10694
Total carbonates (i.e. carbonates, mainly CaCO ₃)	Cinorg	Volumetric method	NF EN ISO 10693
Total Nitrogen	Ntot	Dumas method	NF ISO 13878
Phosphorus (P ₂ O ₅)	Р	Olsen method	NF ISO 11263
Potassium (K ₂ O), Magnesium (MgO) Calcium (CaO)	K Mg Ca	Inductively coupled plasma- atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES)	NFX 31-108
Sodium (exchangeable Na ₂ O)	Na		
Aluminum (exchangeable)	Al	Molar KCl extraction and ICP- AES	NA

242 Table 1. Soil Properties, Abbreviations and Methods.

• Microcharcoal Abundance

The abundance of microcharcoals (160 μ m - 2 mm) was evaluated every 5 cm from 0 to 60 cm on 8 to 9 pits in *terra-firme* areas at each study site, for a total of 300 microcharcoal sample counts. To deflocculate the clays, 2.5 cm³ of soil was exposed to Sodium hexametaphosphate at 40 g/L and incubated during 12 hours with an orbital shaker. The samples were then sieved (<160 μ m) and dried in an oven at 50°C for 12 hours (48). Microcharcoals were counted using a Zeiss stereo microscope at 40x magnification.

250 We tested whether microcharcoal varied between sites (MC87, NOUR, GALB), landscape-scale

localization (i.e., ring ditch enclosure and ditch, ring ditch hilltops and slopes, adjacent hills) and depth
through a Generalized Linear Model (GLM) using R version 3.5.1. GLM was encoded using the
functions 'glm()' and 'drop1()', with 'Site', 'Localization' and 'Depth' as explanatory variables, and
'Microcharcoal count' as response variable (Microcharcoal count ~ Site + Localization + Depth).

255

Composite Index of Anthropogenic Disturbances

To quantify the extent of landscape-scale anthropogenic disturbances, we developed a composite index based on the acquired data. This index was designed to integrate information provided by the presence of artifacts (ceramics, macrocharcoals, soots), soil physicochemical properties and soil color in a single, unidimensional, metric (see supplementary method).

260 4. Radiocarbon Dating

At each study site, 10 macroscopic charcoals (> 10 mg) were selected for radiocarbon dating, totaling 30 macrocharcoals. Macrocharcoals were selected from pits within or close to the ring ditch enclosure, at depths between 0 and 45 centimeters. Radiocarbon dating was performed by the CIRAM Radiocarbon Laboratory (Martillac, France). The calibration was performed using the OxCal 4.4 program (49) with the IntCal20 calibration curve (50).

266

267 <u>Results</u>

268 1. Radiocarbon Dating

→ Fig. 2. Radiocarbon date ranges obtained from the three study sites at 95.4% probability. Lower pane:
 all dates, upper pane: dates posterior to 430 CE. Radiocarbon data are provided in supplementary table
 2.

272 Radiocarbon dates obtained from the three study sites span from the mid-Holocene to the end of the pre-273 Columbian period; see Fig. 2 and supplementary table 2. At MC87, five calibrated dates obtained from the pits RH2 (30-35), C4 (5-10, 10-15) and C5 (10-15, 35-40) were spread over a period ranging from 274 275 662 to 945 CE. Four dates, obtained from the pits RH1 (0-5, 10-15) and C2 (15-20, 40-45), were spread over a period ranging from 1214 to 1398 CE. One intermediate date from RH2 (25-30) was dated 276 between 993 and 1155 CE. At NOUR and GALB, radiocarbon dates show three and four different (non-277 overlapping) chronological periods. At NOUR, one oldest date was obtained from the pit C12 (30-35) 278 279 and dated between 4230 and 3982 BCE. The nine other dates were posterior to 430 CE, with two non-280 overlapping sub-periods: charcoals from C11 (20-25, 25-30, 35-40), C15 (15-20, 20-25) and C17 (10-15) spanned over a period from 430 CE to 976 CE, while dates obtained from C13 (10-15, 15-20) and 281 282 C15 (5-10) spanned over a period from 1296 to 1446 CE At GALB, radiocarbon dating revealed a more

complex chronology. One ancient date calibrated at 7739 - 7588 BCE was obtained from C7 (40-45).

- Two other contemporary ancient dates, ranging from 2894 to 2585 BCE, were obtained from RH4 (15-
- 285 20) and C11 (20-25). The seven other dates were post-600 CE. As at NOUR, two non-overlapping
- subperiods emerged: three dates from pits C3 (5-10) and C12 (20-25, 30-35) ranged between 666 and
- 287 891 CE, while the four dates from C10 (10-15, 15-20, 20-25, 25-30) cover the period 1326 1490 CE.

288 2. Archaeological Artifacts, Soots and Macrocharcoals

- 289 \rightarrow Fig. 3. Spatial distribution of soil colors and archaeological artifacts along the transects in the three 290 study sites.
- 291 → Supplementary figure 1. Proportion of samples per pit containing ceramic shards (red triangles),
 292 macrocharcoals (gray squares), and soots (black circles).

Soil sampling revealed the presence of ceramic shards, macroscopic charcoals, and soots, Fig. 3. At the three study sites, the presence of artifacts was mostly concentrated in *terra-firme* areas, while none or few artifacts were found in the bottomlands.

- At MC87, ceramic shards were found in 8 samples from pits within the enclosure of the ring ditch (pits C1, RH1, C2) or close to the ditch (pit C3). In these pits, the proportion of samples containing ceramic shards varied between 8.3% and 36.3%, supplementary fig. 1. At NOUR, no ceramic shards were found during soil sampling. At GALB, three samples from the ring ditch enclosure (pits C9 and C6) contained ceramic shards. In addition, an inspection of soil retained within the roots of fallen trees revealed the presence of ceramics within the ring ditch enclosures at the three sites.
- In the three sites, macrocharcoals and soots were found in most of the *terra-firme* pits, both in the ring ditch and in adjacent hills. However, the highest proportions of samples containing charcoals and/or soots were found in pits from the ring ditches, supplementary fig. 1.
- 305 At MC87, a total of 48 soil samples from 12 pits contained macrocharcoals and 27 samples from 13 pits contained soots (out of 291 soil samples from 25 pits). The highest proportions of samples containing 306 307 macrocharcoals and soots were found in the pits RH2 (66.67% of the samples in the pit) and C2 (50% 308 of the samples in the pit), respectively, which were both within the ring ditch enclosure. Secondary peaks were also detected in pits C5 (58.3% of the samples containing macrocharcoals) and C6 (41.67% 309 310 of the samples containing soots), which were located on the slope of the ring ditch hill. The global 311 proportion of samples containing macrocharcoals was 28.8% in pits of the ring ditch enclosure and ditch (out of 59 samples ; pits C1 to C3, RH1 and RH2), 25.0% in pits of the ring ditch hilltop and slope 312 outside the enclosure (out of 60 samples ; pits Cstart, C4 to C7), and 13.3% in pits of the adjacent hill 313 314 (out of 120 samples ; pits C13 to C20, AH1 and AH2). The proportion of samples containing soots was

17.0% within the enclosure of the ring ditch, 11.7% on the hilltop and slope of the ring ditch outside the
enclosure, and 8.3% in the pits of the adjacent hill. At the bottom of the slopes of the ring ditch, the C7
pit contained neither macrocharcoals nor soots.

318 At NOUR, a total of 66 soil samples from 21 pits contained macrocharcoals and 40 samples from 16 319 pits contained soots (out of 296 soil samples from 25 pits). The highest proportions of samples 320 containing macrocharcoals were found in pits C10 and C12 (75% and 66,7% of samples in each pit, 321 respectively), while the highest proportion of samples containing soots was found in pit C9 (50% of samples in the pit). These three pits were located on the ring ditch: C12 was located at the outer edge of 322 323 the ditch, and C10 and C9 were located on the plateau and slope of the ring ditch, outside the enclosure. 324 The global proportion of samples containing macrocharcoals was 40.0% in the pits of the enclosure and 325 ditch (out of 60 samples; pits C12 to C15 and RH3), 19.4% in the pits of the plateau and slope outside 326 the ditch (out of 180 samples; pits C16 to C20 on the north face of the plateau, and C2 to C11 on the 327 south face of the plateau and slope), 3.1% in the pits of the bottomland (out of 32 samples ; pits C1, Cstart and C24), and 25.0% in the pits of the adjacent hill (out of 24 samples ; pits C22 and C23). 328 Surprisingly, the global proportion of samples containing soots was higher in the pits of the adjacent hill 329 (29.2% of samples) than in the pits of the bottomland (18.8%), the plateau and slopes of the ring ditch 330 331 (12.8%) and the enclosure and ditch (6.7%).

332 At GALB, 20 soil samples from 9 pits contained macrocharcoals and 42 samples from 16 pits contained 333 soots (out of 248 soil samples from 21 pits). The highest proportions of samples containing macrocharcoals were found in C10 (33.3%), RH4 (25%), C11 (25%) and C3 (25%). C10 and RH4 were 334 located within the ring ditch enclosure, while C11 and C3 were located on the slopes and top of the ring 335 ditch. The highest proportions of samples containing soots were found in pit C4 (41.7%), C10 (33.3%), 336 RH4 (33.3%) and C12 (33.3%). Pits C4, C10 and RH4 were located within the enclosure, while C12 337 was located on the ring ditch slope. The global proportion of samples containing macrocharcoals was 338 8.33% in the pits of the ring ditch enclosure (out of 96 samples ; pits C4 to C10 and RH4), 10.0% in the 339 pits of the top and slopes of the ring ditch (out of 120 samples ; pits C11 to C14, Cstart, C1 to C3 and 340 341 C15 to C16), and 0% in the bottomland and adjacent slope (out of 20 and 12 samples ; pits C17 and C18 342 in the bottomland and C19 on the adjacent slope). The global proportion of samples containing soots 343 was 22.9% in the enclosure pits, 16.7% in the pits of the ring ditch plateau and slopes, and 0% in the 344 bottomland and adjacent hill.

345 **3. Soil Colorimetry**

346 Soil colorimetry showed different colorimetric ranges between bottomlands and *terra-firme* areas, while

347 soils from ring ditches and adjacent hills have similar color ranges. However, slight color variations

348 were detected in the depth of soil profiles from the ring ditches to the adjacent hills.

In bottomlands, gleysol colors varied from dark brown (e.g., 2.5 YR 2.5/2, 5 YR 3/2, 7.5 YR 3/2, 2.5Y
4/2) on the surface to light gray (e.g., 2.5 Y 7/2, 5 Y 7/2) on the depth. At NOUR, one pit (C24) located
in a seasonally waterlogged area was dominated by yellowish brown (10 YR 5/6, 10 YR 5/4, 10 YR
4/4).

353 In terra-firme areas, soil colors ranged from strong brown (e.g., 7.5 YR 4/6; 7.5 YR 5/6) to yellowish 354 brown (e.g., 10 YR 4/4; 10 YR 5/4). At MC87, the dominant colors were brown (7.5 YR 4/4) and dark 355 vellowish brown (10 YR 4/4). Dark-colored soils were found on the surface of the hilltops as revealed by the colors reddish brown (2.5 YR 3/4) and dark brown (7.5 YR 3/2), from the Cstart to C3 pits in the 356 357 ring ditch (6 pits) and C13 to C19 on the adjacent hill (8 pits). However, these dark soils were thicker in the ring ditch where two pits showed deep dark soils that extended from the surface to 30 and 35 cm 358 (pits C2 and RH2), while the thickness of dark soils did not exceed 20 cm in depth (pit C18) in the 359 360 adjacent hill. The soil colors in pit 20 showed discontinuous dark soils at depths of 0-5 cm, 20-25 cm and 35-40 cm in depth, probably due to a soil disturbance caused by recent forest logging. At NOUR, 361 the dominant color was dark yellowish brown (10 YR 4/4) on the plateau of the ring (pits C20 to C10), 362 while the soil profiles became gradually dominated by brown (7.5 YR 4/4) at the top of the slope (pits 363 C8 and C9) and strong brown (7.5 YR 4/6) on the slope (from pit C9 to C2) and on the adjacent hill 364 (C22 and C23). At GALB, the soil profiles were dominated by strong brown (7.5 YR 4/6, 7.5YR 5/6). 365 366 The surface soils of the west face of the ring ditch enclosure were dominated by dark yellowish brown 367 (10 YR 4/4) up to 30 cm deep (pits C10 to C7 and RH4). This color was also found on the surface 368 horizon (0-5 cm deep) of the eastern face of the enclosure (pits C4 and C5) and the top of the ring ditch 369 (C2, C1, Cstart, C15, C16).

370 4. Microcharcoal Counts

371 \rightarrow Fig. 4. Microcharcoal abundance evaluated from soil volumes of 2.5 cm³.

372 → Supplementary figure 2. Distribution of microcharcoal abundance across sites (GALB, MC87,
 373 NOUR), landscape-scale localizations (ring ditch enclosure and ditch, ring ditch plateau and slope,
 374 adjacent hill) and depth ranges.

Microcharcoal counts varied significantly between study sites (p-value $< 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$), localizations within the landscape (p-value = 4.6×10^{-9}), and depths (p-value = 2.5×10^{-12}) supplementary figure 2. As expected, the microcharcoal concentration was lower in deep samples between 35 and 60 cm than in upper samples between the surface and 30 cm, with a transition at 30-35 cm deep. MC87 had the highest overall microcharcoal concentration and GALB the lowest microcharcoal concentration. At the landscape scale, microcharcoal concentration was significantly higher within the ring ditch enclosures than on the ring ditch plateaus and slopes and on adjacent hills.

At MC87, one pit located within the enclosure of the ring ditch (pit C2) showed particularly high microcharcoal concentrations, whose counts varied between 655 and 1230 in the first 30 cm (Fig. 4). Microcharcoal counts in this pit were above 250 in the entire soil profile, with a peak at 5-10 cm deep. In the other pits, the microcharcoal abundance was almost always below 500 and decreased in soil profiles to less than 100 at depths between 25-30 cm (pit C17) and 45-50 cm (pits RH1, C15, C19).

387 At NOUR, the spatial distribution of microcharcoal counts was consistent with the landscape-scale 388 position of pits (Fig. 4). Four pits located within the enclosure (pits C15 and C13), on the plateau (C10) and slope (C7) of the ring ditch showed strong variations within soil profiles. Microcharcoal counts in 389 390 these pits varied between 127 (C10) and 323 (C15) from the surface to 30 cm, and between 29 (C15) and 354 (C10) below 30 cm deep. Pits C13 and C15 had a peak at 15-20 cm (abundance = 303 and 323), 391 C7 had a peak at 20-25 cm (abundance = 305) and C10 at 30-35 cm (abundance = 354). Other pits (C20, 392 393 C17, C4 and C23) show lower microcharcoal abundance (almost always below 200) and flatter curves. Only the most extreme pit of the adjacent hill (C22) exhibited an intermediate pattern of microcharcoal 394 395 concentration, with two peaks at 15-20 cm and 20-25 cm (abundance = 211 and 213, respectively) and

- 396 microcharcoal counts below 200 in other samples.
- At GALB, pits located on the hilltop of the ring ditch (C9, C7, C5, C1) showed microcharcoal counts between 59 (C7) and 217 (C7) from the surface up to 30 cm deep and between 19 (C7) and 207 (C5) below 30 cm deep, with higher concentrations between 15-20 cm (C7, count = 217) and 35-40 cm (C5, count = 207). These pits also showed a plateau of 150 to 200 microcharcoals over 30 to 40 centimeters from the surface. Except for C13, the pits located on the slope of the ring ditch (C11 and C16) and on the adjacent slope (C19) had microcharcoal counts below 150 with only slight variations in soil profiles. Only pit C13 had an irregular profile, with two peaks at 10-15 cm (count = 239) and 25-30 cm (count =
- 404 191), while samples below 30 cm deep had low microcharcoal concentrations (counts < 60).

405 **5. Physico-chemistry**

406 \rightarrow Fig. 5. Principal Component Analyses (PCAs) illustrating variations in soil properties between soil 407 types (upper pane) and between landscape-scale localizations in *terra-firme* areas (lower pane).

408 \rightarrow Supplementary Fig. 3. Distribution of averaged values of physicochemical properties according to 409 study sites, localizations, and depth of soil samples. Averaged values are calculated for each depth from 410 all pits in the same localization (RD Enclosure & Ditch, RD Hilltop & Slope, Bottomland, AH).

- Soil properties differed between hydromorphic gleysols and ferralsols (Fig. 5, upper pane).
 Granulometry and eight chemical properties varied significantly between soil types at a 1% threshold,
 supplementary table 3. Ferralsols contained significantly more clays while hydromorphic soils contained
- 414 significantly more coarse silt, total silt, and sand. Only fine silt was not significant between soil types.

Hydromorphic soils contained significantly more nutrients (Corg, Ntot, K, Mg, Ca, Na) and had a higher
pH. Only P and Al did not vary significantly between soil types.

417 In terra-firme, soil properties varied significantly between study sites, landscape-scale localization, and 418 depth range. All properties varied significantly across depth ranges at a 1% threshold, except coarse silt, 419 which showed significant variations at 5% threshold, and P, which did not vary significantly. Significant differences between study sites were detected for clay, sand, Corg, Mg and Al at a 1% threshold, and 420 421 for P at a 5% threshold. Soil properties also varied significantly between the landscape-scale position of the pits (Fig. 5, lower pane, and supplementary table 3). Pits located on the hills of ring ditches (within 422 423 and outside the enclosure) contained significantly more clays, Ntot, Mg and K than adjacent hills at a 424 1% threshold. The enclosures of the ring ditches also contained significantly more Corg and Ca than the 425 ring ditch slopes and the adjacent hill at a 1% threshold. Variations in Al and pH were poorly significant. Al was slightly higher in the slopes of the ring ditches than in the enclosures or adjacent hills, while pH 426

- 427 was slightly higher in adjacent hills at a 5% threshold.
- 428

429 6. Extent of anthropogenic disturbances

430 \rightarrow **Supplementary Fig. 4**. Composite index of anthropogenic disturbances at MC87 in the entire soil 431 profile (upper pane), between 0 and 30 cm deep (middle pane), between 30 and 60 cm deep (lower pane).

432 \rightarrow Supplementary Fig. 5. Composite index of anthropogenic disturbances at NOUR in the entire soil 433 profile (upper pane), between 0 and 30 cm deep (middle pane), between 30 and 60 cm deep (lower pane).

434 \rightarrow Supplementary Fig. 6. Composite index of anthropogenic disturbances at GALB in the entire soil 435 profile (upper pane), between 0 and 30 cm deep (middle pane), between 30 and 60 cm deep (lower pane). 436

437 The composite index quantified the extent of anthropogenic disturbances through a multiple proxy approach that integrated artifacts (ceramic shards, macrocharcoals, soots), chemical indicators, and soil 438 439 color. Variations in anthropogenic disturbances within study sites tend to increase from adjacent hills to 440 the enclosure of ring ditches (supplementary figures 4 to 6). It is also important to note that this index 441 was less variable between 30 and 60 cm depth than between 0 and 30 cm depth where variations across 442 landscape-scale localization and pits were more pronounced. At GALB and MC87, anthropogenic 443 disturbances were higher within the ring ditch enclosures than outside the enclosures and adjacent hills. 444 At NOUR, variations in anthropogenic disturbances were less pronounced, and the composite index 445 tends to gradually decrease from the enclosure of the ring ditch to the adjacent hill.

446

447 Discussion

448

449 This study provides a comprehensive, multi-proxy overview of anthropogenic disturbances in forest

450 landscapes surrounding ring ditch sites in French Guiana. By exploring multiple indicators, including 451 archaeological artifacts, soil color, and physicochemical properties, our study provides one of the first 452 landscape-scale spatialization of long-term anthropogenic disturbances, not only within the enclosure of 453 ring ditches, but in the extended surrounding landscape.

454

455 1. Occupation Periods

456 Our different cohorts of radiocarbon dates suggest two main periods of occupation that were coherent between study sites: one period extending from the 5th to the 10th century (430 CE - 976 CE) and one 457 from the 13th to the 15th century (1214 CE - 1490 CE). These periods are consistent with previous 458 459 radiocarbon dating reported at these sites. For example, Brancier et al. (15) reported occupation periods between 500 and 1100 CE at MC87, while the dates obtained in our study at this site ranged between 460 461 662 and 1398 CE. At Nouragues, Bodin et al. (16) reported radiocarbon dates between 688 and 1630 CE from the ring ditch of the 'grand plateau' (named 'site 9' in Bodin et al. suppl. file), while the main 462 periods of occupation at this site extended between 430 and 1446 CE in the present study. In two sites 463 (GALB and NOUR), occupation periods were discontinuous, echoing the bimodal distribution of 464 radiocarbon dates reported by Bodin et al. (16) at Nouragues and by (51) at another ring ditch site located 465 along the Oyapock river in eastern French Guiana. This suggests a transitional abandonment of the sites 466 467 followed a population re-expansion or reestablishment several centuries later. The radiocarbon dates 468 obtained in this study are also consistent with previous knowledge on the Amazonian scale. While the 469 earliest traces of geometric earthwork construction found in West Amazonia date back to the 1st to the 470 2^{nd} millennium BCE (6.18), most studies reported that the occupation of earthworks spread from the 471 first millennium CE (5) to very recent periods (4,8,10,19,33), sometimes after the European Contact 472 (4,8), see supplementary table 1.

Alongside the cohort of Common Era dates, we retrieved four surprisingly old dates between the 8th and 473 the 3rd millennium BCE (7739 to 2585 BCE, i.e. approximately 9.7 to 4.5 ka B.P.) at the sites of 474 475 Nouragues and Mont Galbao, whose interpretation is uncertain. Indeed, most anthracological and 476 geochemical studies highlight the rarity of natural fires in Amazon rainforests, thus postulating that 477 charcoals can be attributed to human activities (52–54). However, Amazonia experienced a drier period during the early to mid-Holocene. Cordeiro et al. (55) proposed two periods of drought in South-East 478 Amazonia between 11.8 ka and 4.75 ka B.P. (with a maximum between 7.6 ka and 4.75 ka B.P.), while 479 480 Mayle and Power (52) suggested that the dry period extended between 8 ka and 4 ka B.P. in lowland 481 Amazonia. In French Guiana, Charles-Dominique et al. (56) highlighted instances of paleo-fires over the periods 10000 - 8000 B.P. and 6000 - 4000 B.P., well before the earliest occupations of French 482 483 Guiana that are estimated at 6200 B.P. at site 'Plateau des Mines' in western French Guiana (57, p. 135). Although the anthropogenic origin of these ancient dates cannot be ruled out, a climatic origin remains 484

more probable. In-depth investigations of paleovegetations and paleofires in French Guiana are
 necessary to determine the natural or anthropogenic origin of these ancient charcoals.

487 2. Landscape-scale Spatial Anthropogenic Disturbances

488 Pre-Columbian societies had extended impacts on forest landscapes, not only within ring ditch enclosures but also on the surrounding territory. The composite index developed is a powerful tool to 489 capture multi-proxy anthropogenic disturbances in our vast and continuous study areas. As expected, 490 491 the composite index had slightly higher values between 0 and 30 cm depth than in deeper soil levels, 492 where the index values were lower and globally more homogeneous. In general, pre-Columbian 493 disturbances were stronger in the enclosures of the ring ditches. However, our results at three 494 independent study sites indicate that anthropogenic disturbances were not limited to the enclosures of 495 ring ditches. Interestingly, the composite index revealed a common trend between the three sites, decreasing from ring ditch enclosures to slopes and adjacent hills. This observation is notably supported 496 by soil color variations and the presence of macrocharcoals. However, dark-colored soils were always 497 thinner on the adjacent hills than on the ring ditches. At MC87, the colors 7.5 YR 3/2 and 2.5 YR 3/4 498 499 extended across the first 35 cm while these soil colors were detected over the first 20 cm on the adjacent 500 hill. At NOUR, the color 10 YR 4/4 extended across the entire profile on the ring ditch and was detected 501 only between the surface and 5 to 15 cm. At GALB, the color 10 YR 4/4 was found up to 30 cm in the 502 ring ditch enclosure and detected on the surface sample (0-5) in the adjacent hill. Similarly, enrichments 503 in microcharcoals were detected not only within ring ditch enclosures but also on several pits in ring 504 ditch slopes and adjacent hills. Contrary to terra-firme areas, bottomlands contain no or scarce 505 archaeological artifacts. Nevertheless, this lack of evidence does not preclude that these areas may have 506 been circulation zones and access to key resources not found in *terra-firme* such as water, hydrophilic plants (e.g. Euterpe oleracea) and gleysols. 507

Our results corroborate previous studies carried out in French Guiana and Amazonia, which also support 508 509 the extensive use of forest landscapes by pre-Columbian societies. For example, Bodin et al. (16) 510 suggested that anthropogenic disturbances affected forest recolonization on the adjacent hill at the ring ditch site of Saut Pararé, located about 8 km from NOUR site. In the Brazilian state of Acre, Watling et 511 512 al. (34) proposed that the joint increase in palm phytoliths and microcharcoals in soil profiles at both 513 geoglyph sites and surroundings areas (3.5 km apart) is an expression of an overall increase in human 514 land use. Robinson et al. (58) revealed the existence of anthropogenic brown and black soils near ditched 515 sites in northern Bolivia. Our results are therefore in line with recent findings that interfluvial regions 516 were subjected to pre-Columbian socio-environmental dynamics. At a regional scale, LIDAR analyses 517 revealed that pre-Columbian societies had a complex spatial organization where political, settlement, and agricultural sites were linked by causeway networks over areas of several hundred km^2 (4,8). 518 519 Through a continuous and standardized sampling design, our study introduces a complementary

520 approach to assess fine-scale anthropogenic disturbances in the landscapes surrounding ring ditches.

521

522 **3. Moving Beyond the ADE Paradigm**

523 The soil properties within the ring ditch enclosures did not meet anthrosol criteria and cannot be 524 considered as ADE sensu stricto (i.e. terra-preta). According to the World Reference Base for Soil Resources (59), an anthrosol is formed by long and intensive agricultural use. It is defined as a soil with 525 526 a pretic horizon thicker than 50 cm, which itself is defined as a dark horizon (Munsell color value ≤ 4 and a chroma \leq 3) enriched in Corg, P, Ca, and Mg with charcoal and/or archaeological artifacts: shards, 527 528 lithic instruments, bones (38,39,44,60–63). The soils of the ring ditch enclosures revealed enrichments in artifacts, Corg, and nutrients (Ca, Mg, K) over the first 30 to 35 centimeters only, and the darkest 529 horizons were dark reddish brown (2.5 YR 3/4) to dark yellowish brown (10 YR 4/4) with Munsell 530 values between 3 and 4 and a chroma of 4. 531

532 Nevertheless, soil properties revealed a significant anthropogenic influence compared to adjacent soils. The concentrations of Corg (between 11.5 and 177 g/Kg), Ca (between 0.01 and 0.78 g/Kg), and Mg 533 (between 0.001 and 0. 085 g/Kg) were lower than those commonly found in ADEs (58,60, 62–64) but 534 535 higher than those of adjacent soils in our study sites. Indeed, soil modification by human activities is a 536 multidirectional and gradual process that leads to various trajectories and degrees of soil transformation. 537 Soil properties in the present study are comparable to the properties of 'transitional' soils, also known 538 as 'Amazonian Brown Earths' (ABEs) or formerly 'terra mulata' (58). For example, ABEs can exhibit colors ranging from dark brown (7.5 YR 3/2) to yellowish brown (10 YR 5/6), and concentrations of Ca 539 (0.2 to 0.7 g/Kg) and Mg (0.04 to 0.09 g/Kg) that are comparable to the concentrations found in our 540 541 study (39,58,62). This is also consistent with the observations by Brancier et al. (15) who argued, based on micromorphology and the abundance of anthropogenic artifacts, that the soils in MC87 were closer 542 to 'terra mulata' than to 'terra preta'. Although Costa et al. (62) proposed that 'terra mulata' is the 543 result of ADE degradation, Robinson et al. (58) argue that these two soil types are the result of distinct 544 processes driven by different management of resources and space. Moreover, regional differences 545 546 between the Amazon basin - where most ADEs are found - and the Guiana Shield, and between fluvial 547 and interfluvial regions - where ADEs are rare - should be taken with caution as the pedogenesis 548 processes may differ. The specific location of our study sites in interfluvial areas of the Guiana shield 549 argues for a better consideration of this region in our understanding of the long-term influence of human 550 activities on soil properties and pedogenesis in Amazonia.

4. Land-use and Landscape Management at Ring Ditch Sites

Both long periods of occupation, artifacts and soil properties argue in favor of perennial settlements.
Indeed, soils from ring ditches contained the highest occurrence of macro- and microcharcoals, ceramic

shards, and significant enrichments in chemical indicators (Corg, Ntot, Ca, Mg, K). Such patterns are 554 frequent at pre-Columbian sites and are interpreted as evidence of early domestic occupation 555 556 (4,62,65,66) and can unveil ancient land use and management. For example, ceramic shards were almost 557 exclusively detected within ring ditch enclosures and ditches, suggesting housing areas. The overall 558 higher concentrations of N, K and Ca within the ring ditches traduce a higher soil fertility. High concentrations of nutrients at pre-Columbian sites are commonly attributed to an accumulation of 559 560 organic wastes of animal and plant origin (62,67), possibly reinforced by plant ash amendments (68). The present study also revealed the presence of macro- and microcharcoals in the three study areas. 561 These new results are consistent with the previous study by Brancier et al. (15) that provided evidence 562 563 of burned soils within the enclosure of MC87. The frequency of fire indicators in archaeological and 564 paleoenvironmental records is commonly interpreted as a marker of fire management in Amazonia (69,70). For Watling et al. (34), charcoal particles larger than 100-125 µm are more likely to result from 565 566 a local or supralocal fire signal, and those larger than 250 µm correspond to *in-situ* burning. Therefore, 567 the abundance of macrocharcoals between 2 and 5 mm found in our study thus suggest *in-situ* fire 568 management. Differences in microcharcoal concentrations between pits along the transects however 569 suggest that not all areas were subjected to the same fire regime. Burning was more likely a local process 570 in small patchy areas than an extensive practice affecting vast areas. Fire management may have served 571 different domestic (e.g. forest clearance) and/or agricultural purposes that are not necessarily mutually 572 exclusive. For example, Arroyo-Kalin (71) suggests that microcharcoal concentrations in anthropogenic 573 soils can be interpreted as evidence of highly localized slash-and-burn cultivation. Bodin et al. (16) point 574 out, however, that anthracological deposits alone cannot be used to decide whether charcoal is of 575 agricultural or domestic origin. Indeed, archaeobotanical and isotopic $(d^{13}C)$ analyses are necessary to investigate the extent of forest clearance, and to unveil the exact uses of managed landscapes. At NOUR, 576 Bodin et al. (16) suggested based on anthracological assemblages that human activities favored a 577 578 secondary vegetation composed of pioneer and heliophilic taxa, thus suggesting forest openings during 579 occupation periods. Conversely, Watling et al. (34) suggested that the landscapes remained relatively 580 closed (i.e. 'anthropogenic forests') during the occupation based on phytolith assemblages and d¹³C.

Although it is impossible to conclude about the exact uses of pre-Columbian landscapes at ring ditch sites, our study corroborates the hypothesis of 'domestic landscapes' (25), where human occupation had extended and long-term impacts on contemporary forest landscapes, either directly (through plant cultivation by early agriculturists) or indirectly (through landscape management). Nonetheless, the present study paves the way to in-depth investigations of both contemporary and ancient vegetation to tackle the exact purposes of landscape management at ring ditch sites of French Guiana.

587

588 <u>Conclusion</u>

Taken together, our results support the idea that pre-Columbian societies made extensive use of their 589 landscapes in the French Guiana hinterlands, leaving lasting traces of their activities in the soils of ring 590 591 ditch sites and their surrounding territories. Soil surveys revealed anthropogenic alteration corroborated by local enrichments in chemical indicators, darker soils, and abundant macro- and microcharcoals, 592 593 whose overall intensity decreased from ring ditch enclosures to surrounding areas. Furthermore, detected anthropogenic soils do not meet the characteristics of "Amazonian Dark Earths" sensu stricto, 594 595 thus arguing for an inclusive consideration of "Amazonian Brown Earths" as Amazonian anthrosols. Finally, further investigation of ancient vegetation through phytolith analyses is essential to deepen our 596 597 understanding of the exact land uses and aims of landscape management at ring ditch sites.

598

599 Acknowledgements

600 The authors are grateful to all institutions that supported this study and facilitated its implementation.

601 We acknowledge support from the CNRS Nouragues Ecological Research Station which benefits from

602 "Investissement d'Avenir" grants managed by Agence Nationale de la Recherche (AnaEE France ANR-

603 11-INBS-0001; Labex CEBA ANR-10-LABX-25-01). We also acknowledge support from the Parc

Amazonien de Guyane (PAG) and the Regional Archaeology Department of the Direction Générale de

- la Cohésion et des Populations (DGCOPOP). We are also grateful to the National Forest Office (Office
- National des Forêts, ONF) and to the Direction de l'Environnement, de l'Agriculture, de l'Alimentationet de la Forêt (DEAAF).

608 We are particularly grateful to the curator of archaeology in French Guiana Regis Isseman, the team of 609 the CNRS research station (Nina Marchand, Marie-Françoise Lecanu, Sophie Ménager, Florian Jeanne, 610 Patrick Chatelet, and Elodie Schloesing), Julien Cambou and Hélène Delvaux from the PAG Natural 611 and Cultural Heritage Department, Sebastien Sant from the PAG territorial delegation in Saül who accompanied us during the first field expedition at Mont Galbao, as well as Olivier Brunaux from the 612 ONF for his help to trace the track to MC87. We are also grateful to Stéphane Calmant, our IRD 613 614 representative in French Guiana, as well as all the administrative staff of IRD Cayenne for their kind 615 welcome and support. We are finally grateful to Cyril Gaertner and Michèle Pernak (CNRS, UAR LEEISA) for their assistance in the field at Nouragues. 616

617

618 Funding

619 DOPAMICS is funded by the European Union under grant no. 101039272. Views and opinions 620 expressed are those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or 621 the European Research Council Executive Agency (ERCEA). Neither the European Union nor the 622 granting authority can be held responsible for them.

623

624 Specific Regulations

This study complies with local and European regulations. Archaeological surveys were authorized by

626	prefectoral decision n°2022-73 of 12 September 2022 (Principal Investigator: Louise Brousseau;
627	Operations Manager: Marc Testé). The access to the French National Park 'Parc Amazonien de Guyane'
628	for scientific purposes was authorized by authorizations $n^\circ 1187\text{-}22$ of 23 September 2022 and $n^\circ 2023\text{-}$
629	003 of 13 February 2023. In accordance with the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2019/829 of
630	14 March 2019, the import of non-European soil samples into the European Union territory for
631	physicochemical analyses was authorized by an Official Authorization Letter of 27 July 2023.
632	
633	Conflict of Interest
634	The authors declare no conflict of interest.

635

625

636 **Protocol and Data Availability**

- 637 This study complies with the Open Access and FAIR Principles.
- The protocol is available under DOI: dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.14egn768pv5d/v1
- Geoarchaeology data are available under DOI 10.5281/zenodo.10430417
- 640 (to be published once the article is formally accepted for publication)
- 641

642 <u>Author Contributions</u>

LB supervised the study. MT, LB and JE designed the study and managed the protocols. JE and MT installed the transects in the field. MT and KM collected soil samples. MT, LB and JE managed the samples. JE managed GPS and LiDAR data and created the maps in QGIS. MT and KM performed laboratory analyses and acquired geoarchaeological data. MT and LB managed the geoarchaeological data. MT and LB analyzed the data. MT designed the figures. MM provided external advice throughout the study. MT, LB and JE wrote the article.

649 **References**

- Barlow J, Gardner TA, Lees AC, Parry L, Peres CA. How pristine are tropical forests? An
 ecological perspective on the pre-Columbian human footprint in Amazonia and implications
 for contemporary conservation. Vol. 151, Biological Conservation. Elsevier; 2012. p. 45–9.
- 653 2. Stahl PW. Interpreting interfluvial landscape transformations in the pre-Columbian Amazon.
 654 Holocene. 2015;25(10):1598–603.
- Benevan WM. A Bluff Model of Riverine Settlement in Prehistoric Amazonia. Annals of the
 Association of American Geographers. 1996;86(4):654–81.
- 4. De Souza JG, Schaan DP, Robinson M, Barbosa AD, Aragão LEOCOC, Marimon BHBSBH,
 et al. Pre-Columbian earth-builders settled along the entire southern rim of the Amazon. Nat
 Commun. 2018;9(1):1–10.
- 660 5. Prümers H, Betancourt CJ, Iriarte J, Robinson M, Schaich M. Lidar reveals pre-Hispanic low661 density urbanism in the Bolivian Amazon. Nature. 2022;606(7913):325–8.

662 663 664	6.	Schaan D, Pärssinen M, Saunaluoma S, Ranzi A, Bueno M, Barbosa A. New radiometric dates for precolumbian (2000–700 b.p.) earthworks in western Amazonia, Brazil. J Field Archaeol. 2012;37(2):132–42.
665 666	7.	Rostain S, Dorison A, De Saulieu G, Prümers H, Le Pennec J-L, Mejía Mejía F, et al. Two thousand years of garden urbanism in the Upper Amazon. Science. 2024;383: 183–189.
667 668	8.	Heckenberger MJ, Kuikuro A, Kuikuro UT, Russell JC, Schmidt M, Fausto C, et al. Amazonia 1492: pristine forest or cultural parkland? Science. 2003;301(5640):1710–4.
669 670 671	9.	Heckenberger MJ, Russell JC, Fausto C, Toney JR, Schmidt MJ, Pereira E, et al. Pre- Columbian urbanism, anthropogenic landscapes, and the future of the Amazon. Science. 2008;321(5893):1214–7.
672 673	10.	Pärssinen M, Schaan D, Ranzi A. Pre-Columbian geometric earthworks in the upper Purús: A complex society in western Amazonia. Antiquity. 2009;83(322):1084–95.
674 675 676	11.	McMichael CH, Piperno DR, Bush MB, Silman MR, Zimmerman AR, Raczka MF, et al. Sparse Pre-Columbian Human Habitation in Western Amazonia. Science. 2012;336(6087):1429–31.
677 678 679	12.	Petitjean Roget H. 50 Sites de Montagnes en Guyane Française: Contribution A L'inventaire des Sites Archeologiques D'Emile Abonnenc. In: Proceedings of the Twelfth Congress of the International Association for Caribbean Archaeology. 1991. p. 241–58.
680 681 682	13.	Toutouri C. La roche gravée de l'Inipi, Guyane française. In: Compte rendu du IXe congrès international d'études des civilisations précolombiennes des Petites Antilles. Montréal: Centre de recherches caraïbes de l'université de Montréal ; 1983. p. 363–74.
683 684 685	14.	Mazière G, Mazière M. « Maripasoula – Montagne couronnée de Yaou » [notice archéologique]. ADLFI. Archéologie de la France - Informations [En ligne], Espace Caraïbes, mis en ligne le 21 septembre 2020. Ministère de la Culture; 1992.
686 687 688	15.	Brancier J, Cammas C, Todisco D, Fouache E. A micromorphological assessment of anthropogenic features in pre-Columbian French Guiana dark soils (FGDS): First results. Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie. 2014 Oct 1;58:109–39.
689 690 691	16.	Bodin SC, Molino JF, Odonne G, Bremond L. Unraveling pre-Columbian occupation patterns in the tropical forests of French Guiana using an anthracological approach. Veg Hist Archaeobot. 2020;29(5):567–80.
692 693	17.	Mestre M, Rostan P, Hildebrand M, Coulaud A, Delpech S, van den Bèl M. Guyane, Saint- Laurent-du-Maroni - Projet minier de la montagne d'or. 2017.
694 695	18.	Saunaluoma S, Schaan D. Monumentality in Western Amazonian formative societies: geometric ditched enclosures in the Brazilian state of Acre. Antiqua. 2012;2(1):e1.
696 697	19.	Carson JF, Watling J, Mayle FE, Whitney BS, Iriarte J, Prümers H, et al. Pre-Columbian land use in the ring-ditch region of the Bolivian Amazon. Holocene. 2015;25(8):1285–300.
698 699	20.	Walker JH. Pre-Columbian ring ditches along the Yacuma and Rapulo rivers, Beni, Bolivia: A Preliminary Review. J Field Archaeol. 2008;33(4):413–27.
700 701	21.	Grenand P, Dreyfus S. Ainsi parlaient nos ancêtres - Essai d'ethnohistoire wayapi. Travaux et documents de l'ORSTOM, editor. ORSTOM; 1982.

702 22. de Férolles PE (gouverneur). Extraits d'une lettre de M. de Férolles (Cayenne). Secrétariat d'Etat à la Marine - Correspondance à l'arrivée de la Martinique (1635-1815). Archives 703 704 Nationales d'Outre-mer; 1703. de Férolles PE (gouverneur). Extraits de lettres reçues des Iles d'Amérique. 12 p. Secrétariat 705 23. 706 d'Etat à la Marine - Correspondance à l'arrivée de la Martinique (1635-1815). Archives 707 Nationales d'Outre-mer; 1704. 708 Levis C, Costa FRC, Bongers F, Peña-Claros M, Clement CR, Junqueira AB, et al. Persistent 24. effects of pre-Columbian plant domestication on Amazonian forest composition. Science. 709 710 2017;355(6328):925-31. 25. Clement CR, Denevan WM, Heckenberger MJ, Junqueira AB, Neves EG, Teixeira WG, et al. 711 The domestication of amazonia before european conquest. Vol. 282, Proceedings of the Royal 712 713 Society B: Biological Sciences. Royal Society of London; 2015. 714 26. Erickson CL. Amazonia: The Historical Ecology of a Domesticated Landscape. In: The Handbook of South American Archaeology. Springer New York; 2008. p. 157-83. 715 27. Balée W. The Culture of Amazonian Forests. Advances in Economic Botany. 1989;7:1–21. 716 717 28. Odonne G, Bel M, Burst M, Brunaux O, Bruno M, Dambrine E, et al. Long-term influence of 718 early human occupations on current forests of the Guiana Shield. Ecology. 719 2019;100(10):e02806. 720 29. Balée W, Swanson T, Zurita-Benavides MG, Ruiz Macedo JC. Evidence for Landscape Transformation of Ridgetop Forests in Amazonian Ecuador. Latin American Antiquity. 721 2023;1-15. 722 Fraser JA, Junqueira AB, Kawa NC, Moraes CP, Clement CR. Crop Diversity on 723 30. 724 Anthropogenic Dark Earths in Central Amazonia. Hum Ecol. 2011;39(4):395–406. Bush MB, Silman MR, De Toledo MB, Listopad C, Gosling WD, Williams C, et al. Holocene 725 31. fire and occupation in Amazonia: Records from two lake districts. Philosophical Transactions 726 727 of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2007;362(1478):209–18. Bush MBB, Silman MRR, McMichael C, Saatchi S. Fire, climate change and biodiversity in 728 32. 729 Amazonia: a Late-Holocene perspective. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: 730 Biological Sciences. 2008;363(1498):1795-802. 33. Carson JF, Whitney BS, Mayle FE, Iriarte J, Prumers H, Soto JD, et al. Environmental impact 731 of geometric earthwork construction in pre-Columbian Amazonia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 732 733 2014;111(29):10497-502. 734 34. Watling J, Iriarte J, Mayle FE, Schaan D, Pessenda LCR, Loader NJ, et al. Impact of pre-Columbian geoglyph builders on amazonian forests. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 735 2017;114(8):1868-73. 736 737 35. Glaser B. Prehistorically modified soils of central Amazonia: A model for sustainable agriculture in the twenty-first century. Vol. 362, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal 738 Society B: Biological Sciences. Royal Society; 2007. p. 187-96. 739 McMichael CH, Palace MW, Bush MB, Braswell B, Hagen S, Neves EG, et al. Predicting pre-740 36. Columbian anthropogenic soils in Amazonia. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological 741 742 Sciences. 2014;281(1777).

743 744	37.	Smith NJH. Anthrosols and human carrying capacity in Amazonia. Annals of the Association of American Geographers. 1980;70(4):553–66.
745 746 747	38.	Glaser B, Birk JJ. State of the scientific knowledge on properties and genesis of Anthropogenic Dark Earths in Central Amazonia (terra preta de índio). Geochim Cosmochim Acta. 2012;82:39–51.
748 749	39.	Woods WI, McCann JM. The Anthropogenic Origin and Persistence of Amazonian Dark Earths. Yearb Conf Lat Am Geogr. 1999;25:7–14.
750 751	40.	Silva LCR, Corrêa RS, Wright JL, Bomfim B, Hendricks L, Gavin DG, et al. A new hypothesis for the origin of Amazonian Dark Earths. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):1–11.
752 753 754	41.	Lombardo U, Arroyo-Kalin M, Schmidt M, Huisman H, Lima HP, de Paula Moraes C, et al. Evidence confirms an anthropic origin of Amazonian Dark Earths. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):3444.
755 756	42.	Balee W. Amazonian Dark Earths. Tipití: Journal of the Society for the Anthropology of Lowland South America. 2010;8(1).
757 758 759	43.	Kern DC, Lima HP, da Costa JA, de Lima HV, Browne Ribeiro A, Moraes BM, et al. <i>Terras pretas</i> : Approaches to formation processes in a new paradigm. Geoarchaeology. 2017;32(6):694–706.
760 761 762 763	44.	Kern DC, D'aquino G, Rodrigues TE, Frazao FJL, Sombroek W, Myers TP, et al. Distribution of Amazonian Dark Earths in the Brazilian Amazon. In: Lehmann J, Kern DC, Glaser B, Wodos WI, editors. Amazonian Dark Earths: Origin Properties Management. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 2003. p. 51–75.
764 765	45.	Peel MC, Finlayson BL, McMahon TA. Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci. 2007;11(5):1633–44.
766 767	46.	Beck HE, Zimmermann NE, McVicar TR, Vergopolan N, Berg A, Wood EF. Present and future köppen-geiger climate classification maps at 1-km resolution. Sci Data. 2018;5(1):1–12.
768 769	47.	Odonne G, Molino JF. Methods in Historical Ecology: Insights from Amazonia. Odonne G, Molino JF, editors. Routledge; 2020. 185 p.
770 771 772	48.	Dussol L, Vannière B, Purdue L, Orange F, Testé M, Castanet C, et al. How to highlight slash- and-burn agriculture in ancient soils? A modern baseline of agrarian fire imprint in the Guatemalan lowlands using charcoal particle analysis. J Archaeol Sci Rep. 2021;35:102725.
773	49.	Ramsey CB. Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates. Radiocarbon. 2009;51(1):337-60.
774 775 776	50.	Reimer PJ, Austin WEN, Bard E, Bayliss A, Blackwell PG, Bronk Ramsey C, et al. The IntCal20 Northern Hemisphere Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curve (0-55 cal kBP). Radiocarbon. 2020;62(4):725–57.
777 778	51.	Mestre M. Saint-Georges-de-l'Oyapock-Pointe Blondin Sondage (2011). http://journals.openedition.org/adlfi. ministère de la Culture.
779 780 781	52.	Mayle FE, Power MJ. Impact of a drier Early-Mid-Holocene climate upon Amazonian forests. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2008;363(1498):1829–38.
782 783	53.	Freycon V, Krencker M, Schwartz D, Nasi R, Bonal D. The impact of climate changes during the Holocene on vegetation in northern French Guiana. Quat Res. 2010;73(2):220–5.

784 785 786	54.	Cordeiro RC, Turcq B, Moreira LS, Rodrigues R de AR, Lamego Simões Filho FF, Martins GS, et al. Palaeofires in Amazon: Interplay between land use change and palaeoclimatic events. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol. 2014;415:137–51.
787 788 789	55.	Cordeiro RC, Turcq B, Suguio K, Oliveira da Silva A, Sifeddine A, Volkmer-Ribeiro C. Holocene fires in East Amazonia (Carajás), new evidences, chronology and relation with paleoclimate. Glob Planet Change. 2008;61(1–2):49–62.
790 791 792	56.	Charles-Dominique P, Blanc P, Larpin D, Ledru MP, Riéra B, Sarthou C, et al. Forest perturbations and biodiversity during the last ten thousand years in French Guiana. Acta Oecologica-international Journal of Ecology. 1998;19:295–302.
793 794	57.	Van Den Bel MM. Archaeological Investigations between Cayenne Island and the Maroni River. Sidestone Press; 2015. 752 p.
795 796 797	58.	Robinson M, Jaimes-Betancourt C, Elliott S, Maezumi SY, Hilbert L, Alves D, et al. Anthropogenic soil and settlement organisation in the Bolivian Amazon. Geoarchaeology. 2021 May 22;36(3):388–403.
798 799	59.	World Reference Base FAO SOILS PORTAL Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
800 801 802	60.	Lima HN, Schaefer CER, Mello JWV, Gilkes RJ, Ker JC. Pedogenesis and pre-Colombian land use of "Terra Preta Anthrosols" ("Indian black earth") of Western Amazonia. Geoderma. 2002;110(1–2):1–17.
803 804	61.	Walker JH. Amazonian Dark Earth and Ring Ditches in the Central Llanos de Mojos, Bolivia. Cult Agric Food Environ. 2011;33(1):2–14.
805 806 807	62.	Costa JA, Lima da Costa M, Kern DC. Analysis of the spatial distribution of geochemical signatures for the identification of prehistoric settlement patterns in ADE and TMA sites in the lower Amazon Basin. J Archaeol Sci. 2013;40(6):2771–82.
808 809 810	63.	Barbosa JZ, Motta ACV, Corrêa RS, Melo V de F, Muniz AW, Martins GC, et al. Elemental signatures of an Amazonian Dark Earth as result of its formation process. Geoderma. 2020;361:114085.
811 812 813	64.	Macedo RS, Teixeira WG, Corrêa MM, Martins GC, Vidal-Torrado P. Pedogenetic processes in anthrosols with pretic horizon (Amazonian Dark Earth) in Central Amazon, Brazil. Paz- Ferreiro J, editor. PLoS One. 2017;12(5):e0178038.
814 815 816	65.	Schmidt MJ, Rapp Py-Daniel A, de Paula Moraes C, Valle RBM, Caromano CF, Texeira WG, et al. Dark earths and the human built landscape in Amazonia: a widespread pattern of anthrosol formation. J Archaeol Sci. 2014;42:152–65.
817 818 819	66.	Heckenberger MJ. The ecology of power: Culture, place and personhood in the southern Amazon, AD 1000-2000. The Ecology of Power: Culture, Place and Personhood in the Southern Amazon, AD 1000-2000. Routledge; 2004. 1–404 p.
820 821 822	67.	Kern DC, Costa JA, da Silveira MI, de Oliveira ER, Frazão FJL, Berredo JF, et al. Pedo-Geochemical Signatures of Archeological Sites in the Tapirapé-Aquiri National Forest in Marabá, Amazonia, Brazil. Geoarchaeology. 2015;30(5):430–51.
823 824 825	68.	Arroyo-Kalin M, Neves EG, Woods WI. Anthropogenic dark earths of the central Amazon region: Remarks on their evolution and polygenetic composition. In: Amazonian Dark Earths: Wim Sombroek's Vision. Springer Netherlands; 2009. p. 99–125.

- 826 69. Mcmichael CNH, Bush MB. Spatiotemporal patterns of pre-columbian people in amazonia.
 827 Quaternary Research (United States). 2019;92(1):53–69.
- 70. Lyu J, Bush MB, McMichael CNH, Hwang JI, Zimmerman AR. Fire history of the western
 Amazon basin recorded by multiple pyrogenic carbon proxies. Quat Sci Rev.
 2023;310:108111.
- 831 71. Arroyo-Kalin M. Slash-burn-and-churn: Landscape history and crop cultivation in pre832 Columbian Amazonia. Quaternary International. 2012;249:4–18.

833

834

835

Supplementary materials

836 Supplementary Table 1. Non-exhaustive literature overview of earthwork radiocarbon dates in837 Amazonia.

Region	Reference	Radiocarbon dates
North Amazonia (Guiana shield, French Guiana)	Bodin et al. 2020	CE 650- 950 (1300-1000 B.P.) and CE 1350-1550 (600-400 B.P.)
North Amazonia (Guiana shield, French Guiana)	Brancier et al. 2014	CE 500 -1100
South Amazonia (Upper Tapajos, Brazil)	de Souza et al. 2018	CE 1250-1500
South Amazonia (Upper Xingu, Brazil)	Heckenberger et al. 2003	CE 1200-1600
South-west Amazonia (Llanos de Mojos, Bolivia)	Prümers et al. 2022	CE 500-1400
South-west Amazonia (Llanos de Mojos, Bolivia)	Carson et al. 2015	CE 1200-1400 (750-550 B.P.), with decline in population activity 1350-1450 (600-500 B.P.)
South-west Amazonia (Llanos de Mojos, Bolivia)	Carson et al. 2014	CE 1150-1450 (500-800 B.P.)
West Amazonia (Acre, Brazil)	Pärssinen et al. 2009	CE 1244-1378
West Amazonia (Acre, Brazil)	Schaan et al. 2012	BCE 50 – CE 1250 (2000-700 B.P.)
West Amazonia (Acre, Brazil)	Saunaluoma and Schaan 2012	BCE 1600 - CE 1300, with the most intensive period BCE 200 - CE 900

838

- 839 Supplementary Table 2. Radiocarbon dates obtained from the three study sites. The calibration was
- 840 performed using the OxCal 4.4 program with the IntCal20 calibration curve.

Study site	pit	depth	cal. age (BCE / CE)
MC87	RH1	0-5	1223 - 1280 CE (95.4%)
MC87	RH1	10-15	1214 - 1278 CE (95.4%)

MC87	RH2	25-30	993 - 1155 CE (95.4%)
MC87	RH2	30-35	707 - 945 CE (95.4%)
MC87	C2	15-20	1282 - 1395 CE (95.4%)
MC87	C2	40-45	1298 - 1398 CE (95.4%)
MC87	C4	5-10	676 - 878 CE (95.4%)
MC87	C4	10-15	686 - 885 CE (95.4%)
MC87	C5	10-15	662 - 774 CE (95.4%)
MC87	C5	35-40	680 - 880 CE (95.4%)
GALB	RH4	15-20	2876 - 2585 BCE (95.4%)
GALB	C3	5-10	666 - 822 CE (95.4%)
GALB	C7	40-45	7739 - 7588 BCE (95.4%)
GALB	C10	10-15	1410 - 1460 CE (95.4%)
GALB	C10	15-20	1326 - 1438 CE (95.4%)
GALB	C10	20-25	1421 - 1490 CE (95.4%)
GALB	C10	25-30	1410 - 1455 CE (95.4%)
GALB	C11	20-25	2894 - 2638 BCE (95.4%)
GALB	C12	20-25	706 - 891 CE (95.4%)
GALB	C12	30-35	690 - 887 CE (95.4%)
NOUR	C11	20-25	442 - 640 CE (95.4%)
NOUR	C11	25-30	430 - 584 CE (95.4%)
NOUR	C11	35-40	660 - 776 CE (95.4%)
NOUR	C12	30-35	4230 - 3982 BCE (95.4%)
NOUR	C13	10-15	1396 - 1446 CE (95.4%)

NOUR	C13	15-20	1296 - 1398 CE (95.4%)
NOUR	C15	5-10	1306 - 1420 CE (95.4%)
NOUR	C15	15-20	648 - 774 CE (95.4%)
NOUR	C15	20-25	664 - 774 CE (95.4%)
NOUR	C17	10-15	772 - 976 CE (95.4%)

841

842 Supplementary Table 3. Generalized linear model analyses of soil physicochemical properties.

Model 1: Soil property ~ Soil type (with soil type: ferralsols, hydromorphic gleysols). Model 2 : Soil
property ~ Site + Localization + Depth (with site: MC87, NOUR, GALB ; location: ring ditch enclosure
and ditch, ring ditch hilltops and slopes, adjacent hills). * p-value < 5%, ** p-value < 1%.

Soil Property		Model 1	Model 2 (on terra-firme soils only)		
		Soil type effect (p- value)	Study site effect (p- value)	Landscape localization (p-value)	Depth p-val
PSD	Clay	2.56×10 ⁻⁵⁶ **	8.28×10 ⁻³¹ **	4.18×10 ⁻³ **	1.82×10 ⁻⁰⁸ **
	Fine silt	0.87	0.08	4.28×10 ⁻⁴ **	1.45×10 ⁻⁰³ **
	Coarse silt	6.31×10 ⁻⁰⁷ **	0.07	0.10	0.02 *
	Total silt	2.66×10-3 **	0.10	2.57×10 ⁻⁰⁴ **	6.42×10 ⁻⁰³ **
	Fine sand	3.71×10 ⁻⁵⁸ **	9.76×10 ⁻¹² **	1.49×10 ⁻⁰⁶ **	1.16×10 ⁻⁰⁷ **
	Coarse sand	2.31×10 ⁻⁴⁵ **	1.43×10 ⁻⁷⁸ **	0.77	5.24×10 ⁻⁰⁷ **
	Total Sand	7.90×10 ⁻⁶⁴ **	1.19×10 ⁻⁶⁸ **	0.06	8.31×10 ⁻¹⁰ **
Chemistry	рН	1.46×10 ⁻¹⁰ **	0.71	0.02 *	7.31×10 ⁻¹³ **
	Corg	4.74×10 ⁻²¹ **	1.97×10 ⁻⁰⁷ **	4.53×10-4 **	1.49×10 ⁻⁵¹ **
	Ntot	6.16×10 ⁻¹¹ **	0.23	1.86×10 ⁻⁴ **	1.98×10 ⁻¹⁰⁰ **
	Р	0.35	4.51×10 ⁻² *	0.73	0.93

К	5.79×10 ⁻⁰⁷ **	0.70	0.43	1.10×10 ⁻⁴⁴ **
Mg	8.09×10 ⁻²³ **	3.84×10 ⁻²⁴ **	4.71×10 ⁻⁰⁹ **	2.94×10 ⁻¹³ **
Са	1.30×10 ⁻³² **	0.73	1.75×10 ⁻³ **	1.16×10 ⁻⁰⁹ **
Na	1.30×10 ⁻¹⁴ **	0.10	0.92	2.77×10 ⁻¹³ **
Al	0.29	3.86×10 ⁻¹⁶ **	0.02 *	2.67×10 ⁻¹⁷ **

846 847

848 Supplementary Method. Construction of the composite index of anthropogenic disturbance.

A composite index is a metric that combines multiple variables into a single variable. The construction of such an index relies both on previous knowledge and expectations and empirical variations in a given dataset. The present index was based on the following soil properties (called *P* in the following, where $P_{pit,d}$ refers to the value of the *P* variable in a given pit at a given depth):

- 853
- Presence/absence of ceramic shards (weight =1)
- Presence/absence of macrocharcoals (weight =0.5)
- Presence/absence of soots (weight =0.5)
- Organic carbon content, Corg (weight =1)
- Total nitrogen content, Ntot (weight =1)
- Magnesium content, Mg (weight =1)
- Calcium content, Ca (weight =1)
- Aluminum content, Al (weight =1)
- Munsell color value (weight =1)
- Munsell color chroma (weight =1)

Phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) were not included in the present index, as these properties did not vary significantly between landscape-scale localizations in our study. Microcharcoal counts were not included in the index as their abundance was estimated in a reduced number of pits only. Because macrocharcoals and soots provide complementary information, these variables are weighted by a factor of 0.5 (cf. step 3).

869

870 <u>Step 1. Variable Direction</u>

871 Because some variables are expected to decrease while anthropogenic disturbance increases (e.g., color

variables: the lower the value, the darker the color and the lower the chroma, the stronger the color),

these variables are first transformed to reverse their direction of variation by multiplying each value by

874 '-1': $-P_{pit,d} = P_{pit,d} \times (-1)$

875

- 876 <u>Step 2. Variable Scaling</u>
- 877 Data are normalized into a range [0-1] through min-max scaling. This step standardizes variables with
- 878 different variation ranges (e.g., variables expressed in different units), while preserving the original
- 879 distribution of the variables.

880
$$P'_{pit,d} = \frac{P_{pit,d} - min(P)}{max(P) - min(P)}$$
, where $min(P) = min\{P_{pit,d}\}_{pit=1;d=1}^{Npit;Nd}$ and $max(P) = max\{P_{pit,d}\}_{pit=1;d=1}^{Npit;Nd}$

- 881 <u>Step3. Index Computation</u>
- 882 For each pit and depth,
- 883 $I_{pit,d} = \sum_{p=1}^{N_p} (P'_{pit,d} \times W_p)$, where W_p is the weight of each P variable:

884
$$W_p = \{1, 0.5, 0.5, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1\}$$

885 Then, for each pit,

886
$$I_{pit} = \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{Np} (I_{pit,d})}{Nd}$$

887









