

Economic Trades in Energy Communities and Optimal Allocation

Laura Wangen, Cédric Clastres

► To cite this version:

Laura Wangen, Cédric Clastres. Economic Trades in Energy Communities and Optimal Allocation. IAEE 45th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ISTANBUL 2024 "Energy Sustainability, Security, Efficiency and Accessibility in a Time of Transition", Jun 2024, Istanbul, Turkey. hal-04632076

HAL Id: hal-04632076 https://hal.science/hal-04632076v1

Submitted on 2 Jul2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Economic Trades in Energy Communities and Optimal Allocation

Laura Wangen, Cédric Clastres

Univ. Grenoble Alpes (UGA), Grenoble Applied Economics Lab (GAEL), Grenoble (France)



Introduction

Energy Communities (ECs) constitute an important market design of Local Energy Markets

- which create more decarbonised energy systems,
- reduce energy costs due to shared DER assets,
- and provide users with a platform to generate, store, and trade energy within their local area.

A critical research gap lies in investigating

- ► the effectiveness of trading schemes for ECs,
- their influence on the equitable sharing of costs and benefits among community members,

P2P market models are highly discussed and enable local & direct exchange of energy. In relation to local energy market approaches, three types of P2P trading models were identified [1]-[4]:

Methods



Figure 2: (a) Full P2P market, (b) Community-based P2P market and (c) hybrid P2P market.

 \rightarrow The main difference between these models lies in their level of cooperation, defined by the centralisation

and their implications for the financial and technical viability of ECs.

To analyse the factors influencing the **local** trading process, three interconnected concepts are highlighted:



Figure 1: Connection between energy trading concepts.

Systematic literature review: to identify effective trading models for the coordination of allocation & distribution between community members.

of trading mechanisms and communication:

Table 1: P2P trading models and their characteristics.

Trading model	Level of trading	Communication of information	Level of cooperation
Full P2P	decentralised	decentralised	low
Community-based	centralised	centralised	very high
Hybrid P2P	decentralised	centralised	high

To share energy within the EC, cost allocation is a crucial step and a key issue that **determines the** success of ECs [5]. Cost allocation methods can be categorised into:

- Energy consumption-based methods: charge members based on their energy consumption and their energy-related services. \rightarrow for competition-based trading markets
- ► Game theory-based methods: charge members based on fairness criteria and solution concepts from coalitional game theory.
 - \rightarrow for cooperative trading systems



Figure 3: Cost Allocation Methods according to P2P Trading Models

 \rightarrow The implemented trading model will influence the cooperation level between the agents and may impact the choice of cost allocation rules inside the EC.

This review provides an overview of current trading problems in P2P and EC markets, how they have been optimised, and relates them to their implemented P2P market model.

Table 2: Optimisation approaches in a P2P and EC context.

P2P market	Context	Objective functions	
Full P2P	Direct P2P trading (without a CM) between prosumers in a	Optimisation problem in [6] for the dynamic participation of prosumers, giving a leader at the upper level who minimises the cost-emission function (with optimal electricity demand and PV capacity of new prosumers) and a follower at the lower level who maximises community welfare (maximising exchanges of each member in the EC).	
	local EC, connected to the public distribution grid.	$\min_{\{load_i, PV_i, b_i, Q_{i,t}\}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}_{old}} \alpha_i \Delta costs_i + (1 - \alpha_i) \Delta emissions_i$ $\max_{Q_{i,t}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}, i \in \mathcal{P}} p_t^{\mathcal{G}_{out}} q_{i,t}^{\mathcal{G}_{out}} - \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}, i \in \mathcal{P}} p_t^{\mathcal{G}_{in}} q_{i,t}^{\mathcal{G}_{in}} + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}, i \in \mathcal{P}} wt p_{i,j,t} q_{i,j,t}^{share}$	
Community- based	Community-based P2P model with a CM that interfaces with retailers. Market organisation including agreements on the collective trading inside and outside the community.	Exchange problem in [7] that gives the optimal trading model by minimising the sum of the total costs of the prosumers (f_j) and the model of the CM (g) . $\min_{\Gamma} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j(p_j, q_j, \alpha_j, \beta_j) + g(q_{imp}, q_{exp}, \vartheta)$	

\frown	
lonc	INSINUS

Among the assessed models, the **community-based P2P model** demonstrates its high adaptability for ECs and potential to foster cooperation among prosumers; particularly by integrating a community manager, who helps organising energy trading processes and provides essential services to community members.

References

[1] Y. Parag and B. K. Sovacool, Hernández, "Overview on "Electricity market design for the prosumer era," Nature *Energy*, vol. 1, p. 16032, Mar grids," vol. 16, p. 4607–4607, Jun 2023. 2016. [2] T. Sousa, T. Soares, P. Pinson, [5] N. Li, R. A. Hakvoort, and F. Moret, T. Baroche, and E. Sorin, "Peer-to-peer and integrated community energy community-based markets: A systems — performance assessment," *Applied Energy*, comprehensive review," Renewable and Sustainable vol. 307, p. 118155, 2022. Energy Reviews, vol. 104, pp. 367–378, 2019. [6] T. Perger and H. Auer, Y. Zahraoui, T. Korõtko, 131 energy communities with A. Rosin, and H. Agabus, peer-to-peer trading," Open "Market mechanisms and Research Europe, vol. 2, p. 5, trading in microgrid local Jan 2022. electricity markets: A F. Moret and P. Pinson, comprehensive review," "Energy collectives: A Energies, vol. 16, p. 2145, Feb 2023. approach to future electricity [4] Y. V. Garcia, O. D. markets," IEEE Transactions Garzon-Rivera, C. J. Delgado, on Power Systems, vol. 34, J. L. Diaz, C. A. p. 3994–4004, Sep 2019. Vega-Penagos, F. Andrade,

A. C. Luna, and J. C. transactive energy—advantages and challenges for weak power Z. Lukszo, "Cost allocation in 'Dynamic participation in local community and fairness based





Sc	calability	Fairness	
			· ·

Figure 4: Trade-off between the three economic allocation goals

However, the choice of the trading model and their allocation rules within ECs **remains challenging**:

- The adaptability of the schemes largely depends on the characteristics and circumstances of the EC.
- ► There exists a trade-off between stable, efficient and fair allocation designs.
- Needs further investigation on flexible and replicable designs for large-sized ECs.

As ECs constitute a promising way to decarbonise and decentralise our current energy system, trading and sharing models need to be well-defined to make ECs economically viable and sustainable in the long term.

June 25–28 2024, Boğaziçi Üniversitesi İstanbul

laura.wangen@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr