

# Analysis of neidence of hrombotic omplications in the resence of ompeting isks

Sabine Mainbourg, Michel Cucherat, Jean-Christophe Lega

## ► To cite this version:

Sabine Mainbourg, Michel Cucherat, Jean-Christophe Lega. Analysis of ncidence of hrombotic omplications in the resence of ompeting isks. Thrombosis Research, 2020, 191, pp.152. 10.1016/j.thromres.2020.04.045. hal-04629115

## HAL Id: hal-04629115 https://hal.science/hal-04629115v1

Submitted on 21 Aug 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Dear Editor.

### Thrombosis Research

# THROMBOSIS Research

#### Letter to the Editors-in-Chief

which is the event of interest.

the event of interest [3].

#### Analysis of incidence of thrombotic complications in the presence of competing risks



percentages of competing events are > 10% (e.g. cancer related thrombosis or thromboembolism in intensive care units) [2].

#### References

- F.A. Klok, Kruip MJHA, van der Meer NJM, M.S. Arbous, Gommers DAMPJ, K.M. Kant, et al., Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19, Thromb. Res. (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres. 2020.04.013.
- [2] P.C. Austin, D.S. Lee, J.P. Fine, Introduction to the analysis of survival data in the presence of competing risks, Circulation 133 (2016) 601–609, https://doi.org/10. 1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.017719.
- [3] L. Scrucca, A. Santucci, F. Aversa, Competing risk analysis using R: an easy guide for clinicians, Bone Marrow Transplant. 40 (2007) 381–387, https://doi.org/10. 1038/sj.bmt.1705727.
- [4] N.A. Schuster, E.O. Hoogendijk, Kok AAL, Twisk JWR, M.W. Heymans, Ignoring competing events in the analysis of survival data may lead to biased results: a nonmathematical illustration of competing risk analysis, J. Clin. Epidemiol. 122 (2020) 42–48, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2020.03.004.

Sabine Mainbourg<sup>a,b</sup>, Michel Cucherat<sup>b,c</sup>, Jean-Christophe Lega<sup>a,b,d,\*</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive UMR 5558, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
<sup>b</sup> Département de Médecine Interne et Vasculaire, Hôpital Lyon Sud, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France

<sup>c</sup> Département de pharmacotoxicologie, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France

<sup>d</sup> Groupe d'Etude Multidisciplinaire des Maladies Thrombotiques (GEMMAT), Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France E-mail address: jean-christophe.lega@chu-lyon.fr (J.-C. Lega).

It is important that investigators be aware of appropriate methods to account for competing risks when analyzing survival data in the field of thrombosis, particularly when absolute

The incidence of thrombotic complications reported in the study of Klok et al. is probably overestimated by Kaplan-Meier

estimates of survival curves and Cox proportional hazard models [1]. In fact, because the mortality in this series was high, cumula-

tive incidence functions and cause-specific subdistribution hazard

model should be used to estimate the incidence of each of the

different types of competing risks (i.e. deaths and thrombotic

complications) and hazard ratios [2-4]. In fact, the occurrence of

death alters the probability of experiencing thrombotic events,

unsuitable. First, standard analyses using Kaplan-Meier estimates

of survival curves and Cox proportional hazard models may violate

the assumption of non-informative censoring [2]. Indeed, it may be

unreasonable to assume that patients who died can be represented

by those who remained alive. Second, even when the competing

events are independent, censoring patients at the time of the oc-

currence of death may lead to spurious results because the prob-

ability of thrombosis is interpreted as occurring in a setting where

the censoring (e.g. death) does not occur [2]. As death is a com-

peting risk event for thrombosis, the estimates must be calculated by appropriate models accounting to avoid the overestimation of

In this situation, censoring subjects at the time of death may be

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Internal Medecine and Vascular Disease, Hôpital Lyon Sud, 165 chemin du Grand Revoyet, F-69310 Pierre-Bénite, France.