



HAL
open science

Infinite-dimensional Christoffel-Darboux polynomial kernels on Hilbert spaces

Didier Henrion

► **To cite this version:**

Didier Henrion. Infinite-dimensional Christoffel-Darboux polynomial kernels on Hilbert spaces. 2024. hal-04628265

HAL Id: hal-04628265

<https://hal.science/hal-04628265>

Preprint submitted on 28 Jun 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Infinite-dimensional Christoffel-Darboux polynomial kernels on Hilbert spaces

Didier Henrion^{1,2}

Draft of June 28, 2024

In these notes, the Christoffel-Darboux polynomial kernel [7] is extended to infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, following the finite-dimensional treatment of [5, Part 1], itself inspired from [8] and [7].

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Separable Hilbert space

Let H be a separable real Hilbert space equipped with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and let $(e_k)_{k=1,2,\dots}$ be a complete orthonormal system in H with $e_1 = 1$, see e.g. [9, Section 16.3]. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the projection mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n : H &\rightarrow H \\ x &\mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, note that

$$x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k.$$

Also note that

$$|\pi_n(x)|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x, e_k \rangle^2$$

and

$$|x|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\pi_n(x)|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x, e_k \rangle^2. \quad (1)$$

¹CNRS; LAAS; Université de Toulouse, 7 avenue du colonel Roche, F-31400 Toulouse, France.

²Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Technická 2, CZ-16626 Prague, Czechia.

1.2 Polynomials

Let $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ denote the set of integer sequences with finitely many non-zero elements, i.e. if $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$ then $\text{card}\{k : a_k \neq 0\} < \infty$. Let us define the *monomial* of degree $a \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$ as

$$x^a := \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x, e_k \rangle^{a_k}.$$

This is a product of finitely many powers of linear functionals. *Polynomials* in H are defined as linear combinations of monomials

$$\begin{aligned} p : H &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x &\mapsto \sum_{a \in \text{spt } p} p_a x^a \end{aligned}$$

with coefficients $p_a \in \mathbb{R}$ indexed in the support $\text{spt } p \subset c_0(\mathbb{N})$. In the infinite dimensional case, the notion of degree of a polynomial is twofold. The *algebraic degree* of $p(\cdot)$ is

$$d := \max_{a \in \text{spt } p} \sum_k a_k$$

and it corresponds to the classical notion of total degree in the finite dimensional case. The *harmonic degree* of $p(\cdot)$ is

$$n := \max_{a \in \text{spt } p} \{k \in \mathbb{N} : a_k \neq 0\}$$

and it corresponds to the number of variables in the finite dimensional case.

Given $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_{d,n}$ denote the finite-dimensional vector space of polynomials of algebraic degree up to d and harmonic degree up to n . Its dimension is the binomial coefficient $\binom{n+d}{n}$. Each polynomial $p(\cdot) \in P_{d,n}$ can be identified with its coefficient vector $p := (p_a)_{a \in \text{spt } p} \in \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n+d}{n}}$. For example, if $d = 4$ and $n = 2$, then $P_{4,2}$ has dimension $\binom{6}{2} = 15$. The monomial of degree $a = (3, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$ is $\langle x, e_1 \rangle^3 \langle x, e_2 \rangle$. It belongs to $P_{4,2}$ since it has algebraic degree 4 and harmonic degree 2.

1.3 Compactness

A useful characterization of compact sets in separable Hilbert spaces is as follows [4, item 45, p.346, IV.13.42].

Proposition 1 *A closed set $X \in H$ is compact if and only if for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup_{x \in X} |x|^2 - |\pi_n(x)|^2 < \epsilon^2$.*

Compared to the finite-dimensional case, this enforces additional conditions on the polynomials defining compact semialgebraic sets.

Proposition 2 *The ellipsoid*

$$X = \{x \in H : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k \langle x, e_k \rangle^2 \leq 1\} \quad (2)$$

is compact if the sequence $(p_k)_{k=1,2,\dots}$ is strictly positive and strictly increasing. For example one may choose $p_k = k$.

Proof: X is closed and bounded since $p_k > 0$ for all k . For $x \in X$ arbitrary, let $c_k(x) := \langle x, e_k \rangle$ and $s_k(x) := \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} c_l^2(x)$ for all k . Let us use Proposition 1 by proving that for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup_{x \in X} s_{n+1}(x) < \epsilon^2$.

Let $q_1 := p_1$ and $q_{k+1} := p_{k+1} - p_k$, so that $q_k > 0$ for all k . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq p_1 c_1(x) + p_2 c_2(x) + p_3 c_3(x) + \dots \\ &= q_1 c_1(x) + (q_1 + q_2) c_2(x) + (q_1 + q_2 + q_3) c_3(x) + \dots \\ &= q_1 (c_1(x) + c_2(x) + c_3(x) + \dots) + q_2 (c_2(x) + c_3(x) + \dots) + q_3 (c_3(x) + \dots) + \dots \\ &= q_1 s_1(x) + q_2 s_2(x) + q_3 s_3(x) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the sequence $(q_k s_k(x))_{k=1,2,\dots}$ is non-negative and summing up at most to one. So for each ϵ , we can find n such that $q_{n+1} s_{n+1}(x)$ is small enough, and in particular smaller than $q_{n+1} \epsilon^2$. \square

The Sobolev space of functions whose derivatives up to order $m > 0$ are square integrable is a separable Hilbert space [1, Thm. 3.6]. In this space, the squared norm of an element is $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^m \langle x, e_k \rangle^2$ and unit balls are therefore precisely of the form (2).

Proposition 3 *The Hilbert cube*

$$X = \{x \in H : |\langle x, e_k \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{k}, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is compact.

Proof: See [4, Item 70 p. 350]. If $x \in X$ then $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \langle x, e_k \rangle^2 \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2}$ can be made arbitrarily small for sufficient large n and we can use Proposition 1. \square

Let $C(X)$ denote the space of continuous functions on X , and let $P(X) \subset C(X)$ denote the space of polynomials on X .

Proposition 4 *If X is compact then $P(X)$ is dense in $C(X)$.*

Proof: Observe that the set of polynomials on X is an algebra (i.e. the product of two elements of $P(X)$ is an element of $P(X)$) that separates points (i.e. for all $x_1 \neq x_2 \in X$, there is a $p \in P(X)$ such that $p(x_1) \neq p(x_2)$) and that contains constant functions (corresponding to the degree $a = 0$). The result follows from the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem [9, Section 12.3]. \square

1.4 Moments

Measures on topological spaces are defined as countably additive non-negative functions acting on the sigma algebra, the collection of subsets which are closed under complement and countable unions [9, Section 17.1]. Measures on a separable Hilbert space H are uniquely determined by their actions on test functions.

Proposition 5 [3, Prop. 1.5] Let μ_1 and μ_2 be measures on H such that

$$\int f(x)d\mu_1(x) = \int f(x)d\mu_2(x)$$

for all continuous bounded functions f on H . Then $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.

Given a measure μ supported on a subset X of H , and given $a \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$, the *moment* of μ of degree a is the number

$$\int_X x^a d\mu(x).$$

A measure on a compact set is uniquely determined by its sequence of moments.

Proposition 6 Let μ_1 and μ_2 be measures on a compact set X satisfying

$$\int_X x^a d\mu_1(x) = \int_X x^a d\mu_2(x)$$

for all $a \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$. Then $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.

Proof: If all moments of μ_1 and μ_2 coincide, then

$$\int_X p(x)d\mu_1(x) = \int_X p(x)d\mu_2(x)$$

for all polynomials on X , and by Proposition 4 for all continuous functions on X . Since continuous functions are bounded on a compact set X , we can use Proposition 5 to conclude. \square

2 Christoffel-Darboux polynomial

Let μ be a given probability measure on a given compact set X of H . Given $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the finite-dimensional vector space $P_{d,n}$ of polynomials of algebraic degree up to d and harmonic degree up to n is a Hilbert space once equipped with the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle_\mu := \int p(x)q(x)d\mu(x).$$

Let $b_{d,n}(\cdot)$ denote a basis for $P_{d,n}$, of dimension $\binom{n+d}{n}$. Any element $p \in P_{d,n}$ can be expressed as $p(\cdot) = p^T b_{d,n}(\cdot)$ with p a vector of coefficients.

Let

$$M_{d,n}^\mu := \int_X b_{d,n}(x)b_{d,n}(x)^T d\mu(x)$$

be the moment matrix of order (d, n) of measure μ , which is the Gram matrix of the inner products of pairwise entries of vector $b_{d,n}(\cdot)$. This matrix is positive semi-definite of size $\binom{n+d}{n}$. If it is non-singular, then it can be written as

$$M_{d,n}^\mu = QSQ^T = \sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n+d}{n}} s_i q_i q_i^T$$

with $q_i(x) := q_i^T b_{d,n}(x)$ and $q_i^T M_{d,n}^\mu q_j = s_i$ if $i = j$ and 0 if $i \neq j$.

The Christoffel-Darboux (CD) kernel is then defined as

$$K_{d,n}^\mu(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n+d}{n}} s_i^{-1} q_i(x) q_i(y) = b_{d,n}^T(x) (M_{d,n}^\mu)^{-1} b_{d,n}(y)$$

and the CD polynomial is defined as the sum of squares diagonal evaluation of the kernel

$$p_{d,n}^\mu(x) := K_{d,n}^\mu(x, x).$$

Lemma 1 *The vector space $P_{d,n}$ equipped with the CD kernel is a RKHS (reproducing kernel Hilbert space).*

Proof: The linear functional $p \mapsto \langle p(\cdot), K_d^\mu(\cdot, y) \rangle_\mu$ has the reproducing property:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall p \in P_{d,n}, \quad \langle p(\cdot), K_{d,n}^\mu(\cdot, y) \rangle_\mu &= \int_X p(x) K_{d,n}^\mu(x, y) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X p(x) b_{d,n}(x)^T (M_{d,n}^\mu)^{-1} b_{d,n}(y) d\mu(x) \\ &= p^T \left(\int_X b_{d,n}(x) b_{d,n}(x)^T d\mu(x) \right) (M_{d,n}^\mu)^{-1} b_{d,n}(y) \\ &= p^T b_{d,n}(y) = p(y) \end{aligned}$$

and it is continuous:

$$\forall p \in P_{d,n}, \quad \langle p(\cdot), K_{d,n}^\mu(\cdot, y) \rangle_\mu^2 \leq \int_X p(x)^2 d\mu(x) \int_X K_d^\mu(x, x) d\mu(x).$$

□

3 Christoffel function

The Christoffel function is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} C_{d,n}^\mu : H &\rightarrow [0, 1] \\ z &\mapsto \min_{p \in P_{d,n}} \int_X p^2(x) d\mu(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad p(z) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Lemma 2 *For each $z \in H$, the minimum is*

$$C_{d,n}^\mu(z) = \frac{1}{K_{d,n}^\mu(z, z)} = \frac{1}{p_{d,n}^\mu(z)}$$

and it is achieved at

$$p(\cdot) = \frac{K_{d,n}^\mu(\cdot, z)}{K_{d,n}^\mu(z, z)}.$$

Proof: It holds

$$\begin{aligned}
1 = p^2(z) &= \left(\int_X K_{d,n}^\mu(x, z) p(x) d\mu(x) \right)^2 \\
&\leq \int_X K_{d,n}^\mu(x, z)^2 d\mu(x) \int_X p^2(x) d\mu(x) \\
&= K_{d,n}^\mu(z, z) \int_X p^2(x) d\mu(x)
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$C_{d,n}^\mu(z) \geq \frac{1}{K_{d,n}^\mu(z, z)}.$$

Now observe that the polynomial

$$p(\cdot) := \frac{K_{d,n}^\mu(\cdot, z)}{K_{d,n}^\mu(z, z)} \in P_{d,n}$$

is admissible for problem (3), i.e. $p(z) = 1$ and hence

$$C_{d,n}^\mu(z) \leq \int_X \frac{K_{d,n}^\mu(x, z)^2}{K_{d,n}^\mu(z, z)^2} d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{K_{d,n}^\mu(z, z)}.$$

□

Lemma 3 *The CD polynomial has average value*

$$\int_X p_{d,n}^\mu(x) d\mu(x) = \binom{n+d}{n}.$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X p_{d,n}^\mu(x) d\mu(x) &= \int_X b_{d,n}(x)^T (M_{d,n}^\mu)^{-1} b_{d,n}(x) d\mu(x) \\
&= \text{trace}(M_{d,n}^\mu)^{-1} \int_X b_{d,n}(x) b_{d,n}(x)^T d\mu(x) \\
&= \text{trace} I_{\binom{n+d}{n}} = \binom{n+d}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4 Asymptotic properties

Let $d \wedge n := \min(d, n)$.

Lemma 4 *For all $z \in X$, it holds $\lim_{d \wedge n \rightarrow \infty} C_{d,n}^\mu(z) = \mu(\{z\})$.*

Proof: Let $z \in X$. First observe that $C_{d,n}^\mu(z)$ is bounded below and non-increasing i.e. $C_{d' \wedge n'}^\mu(z) \leq C_{d \wedge n}^\mu(z)$ whenever $d \wedge n \leq d' \wedge n'$, so $\lim_{d \wedge n \rightarrow \infty} C_{d,n}^\mu(z)$ exists. If p is admissible for problem (3), it holds

$$\int_X p^2(x) d\mu(x) \leq p^2(z) \mu(\{z\}) = \mu(\{z\})$$

so $\lim_{d \wedge n \rightarrow \infty} C_{d,n}^\mu(z) \leq \mu(\{z\})$. Conversely, for given $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$p(x) := (1 - |\pi_n(x - z)|^2)^d \in P_{2d,n}$$

and observe that $p(z) = 1$ so that $p(\cdot)$ is admissible for problem (3) and

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2d+1,n}^\mu(z) &\leq C_{2d,n}^\mu(z) \leq \int_X p(x)^2 d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \int_{B(z, d^{-\frac{1}{4}})} d\mu(x) + \int_{X \setminus B(z, d^{-\frac{1}{4}})} (1 - |\pi_n(x - z)|^2)^{2d} d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $B(z, r) := \{x \in X : |x - z| \leq r\}$. For all $x \in X \setminus B(z, d^{-\frac{1}{4}})$, using (1) it holds

$$|x - z|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^n \langle x - z, e_k \rangle^2 + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \langle x - z, e_k \rangle^2 \geq d^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

and hence

$$(1 - |\pi_n(x - z)|^2)^{2d} = (1 - \sum_{k=0}^n \langle x - z, e_k \rangle^2)^{2d} \leq (1 - d^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \langle x - z, e_k \rangle^2)^{2d}$$

from which it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X \setminus B(z, d^{-\frac{1}{4}})} (1 - |\pi_n(x - z)|^2)^{2d} d\mu(x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - d^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \langle x - z, e_k \rangle^2)^{2d} = (1 - d^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2d}.$$

Combining these asymptotic expressions we get

$$\lim_{d \wedge n \rightarrow \infty} C_{d,n}^\mu(z) \leq \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(z, d^{-\frac{1}{4}})} d\mu(x) + (1 - d^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2d} = \mu(\{z\}).$$

□

If μ is absolutely continuous with respect to e.g. the Gaussian measure restricted to X [2, 3], then it follows from Lemmas 3 and 4 that the Christoffel function on X decreases to zero linearly with respect to the dimension of the vector space $P_{d,n}$. Equivalently, the CD polynomial on X increases linearly with respect to the dimension. This is in sharp contrast with its exponential growth outside of X , captured by the following result.

Lemma 5 *Let $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $z \in H$ such that $\min_{x \in X} |\pi_n(x - z)| \geq \delta > 0$ it holds*

$$p_{d,n}^\mu(z) \geq 2^{\frac{\delta}{\delta + \text{diam } X}} d^{-3}$$

where $\text{diam } X := \max_{x_1, x_2 \in X} |x_1 - x_2|$.

Proof: Let $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and let

$$q(x) := \frac{T_d(1 + \delta^2 - |\pi_n(x)|^2)}{T_d(1 + \delta^2)}$$

where T_d is the univariate Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of degree $d \in \mathbb{N}$. This polynomial of $x \in H$ is such that

- $q(0) = 1$,
- $|q(x)| \leq 1$ whenever $|\pi_n(x)| \leq 1$,
- $|q(x)| \leq 2^{1-\delta d}$ whenever $0 < \delta \leq |\pi_n(x)| \leq 1$,

see [6, Lemma 6.3]. Now let

$$p(x) := q\left(\frac{x - z}{\delta + \text{diam } X}\right), \quad \bar{\delta} := \frac{\delta}{\delta + \text{diam } X}$$

so that if $z \in H$ is such that $\min_{x \in X} |\pi_n(x - z)| \geq \delta > 0$ then

$$0 < \bar{\delta} \leq \left| \pi_n\left(\frac{x - z}{\delta + \text{diam } X}\right) \right| \leq 1$$

for all $x \in X$. Note that $p(\cdot) \in P_{2d,n}$ and $p(z) = 1$ so that $p(\cdot)$ is admissible in problem (3) and hence

$$C_{2d,n}^\mu(z) \leq \int_X p(x)^2 d\mu(x) \leq \int_X (2^{1-\bar{\delta}d})^2 d\mu(x) = 2^{2-2\bar{\delta}d} \leq 2^{3-2\bar{\delta}d}.$$

Also $C_{2d+1,n}^\mu(z) \leq C_{2d,n}^\mu(z) \leq 2^{3-2\bar{\delta}d}$ and since $\bar{\delta} < 1$, it holds $C_{2d+1,n}^\mu(z) \leq 2^{3-\bar{\delta}(2d+1)}$, from which we conclude that $C_{d,n}^\mu(z) \leq 2^{3-\bar{\delta}d}$. \square

Acknowledgements

These notes benefited from feedback by Nicolas Augier, Francis Bach, Jean Bernard Lasserre, Edouard Pauwels, Alessandro Rudi.

References

- [1] R. A. Adams, J. J. F. Fournier. Sobolev spaces. 2nd edition, Elsevier, 2003.
- [2] V. I. Bogachev. Gaussian measures. Amer. Math. Soc., 1998
- [3] G. da Prato. An introduction to infinite-dimensional analysis. Springer, 2006.
- [4] N. Dunford, J. T. Schwartz. Linear operators. Part I: general theory, Interscience Publishers, 1958.

- [5] S. Đurašinović. The Christoffel function for supervised learning: theory and practice. MSc Thesis, Univ. Toulouse Capitole, hal-03768886, 2022.
- [6] J. B. Lasserre, E. Pauwels. The empirical Christoffel function with applications in data analysis. *Adv. Comput. Math.* 45(3):1439-1468, 2019.
- [7] J. B. Lasserre, E. Pauwels, M. Putinar. The Christoffel-Darboux kernel for data analysis. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2022.
- [8] E. Pauwels. Online lectures on Christoffel-Darboux kernels. Marie Skłodowska Curie Network POEMA (Polynomial Optimization, Efficiency through Moments and Algebra). 17 June, 24 June and 1 July 2020.
- [9] H. L. Royden, P. M. Fitzpatrick. *Real analysis*. 4th edition, Prentice Hall, 2010.