



HAL
open science

Difficult-to-treat primary immune thrombocytopenia in adults: Prevalence and burden. Results from the CARMEN -France registry

Guillaume Moulis, Manuela Rueter, Aymeric Duvivier, Matthieu Mahévas, Jean-françois Viallard, Thibault Comont, Stéphane Chèze, Sylvain Audia, Mikaël Ebbo, Louis Terriou, et al.

► To cite this version:

Guillaume Moulis, Manuela Rueter, Aymeric Duvivier, Matthieu Mahévas, Jean-françois Viallard, et al.. Difficult-to-treat primary immune thrombocytopenia in adults: Prevalence and burden. Results from the CARMEN -France registry. *British Journal of Haematology*, 2024, 204 (4), pp.1476-1482. 10.1111/bjh.19288 . hal-04627598

HAL Id: hal-04627598

<https://hal.science/hal-04627598v1>

Submitted on 27 Jun 2024






HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SHORT REPORT

Platelets, Thrombosis and Haemostasis

Difficult-to-treat primary immune thrombocytopenia in adults: Prevalence and burden. Results from the CARMEN-France registry

Guillaume Moulis^{1,2}  | Manuela Rueter² | Aymeric Duvivier³ | Matthieu Mahévas⁴  | Jean-François Viillard⁵  | Thibault Comont⁶  | Stéphane Chèze⁷ | Sylvain Audia⁸ | Mikaël Ebbo⁹ | Louis Terriou¹⁰ | Jean-Christophe Lega¹¹ | Pierre-Yves Jeandel¹² | Ines Hemim³ | Sylvie Bozzi³ | Ahmed Daak¹³ | Hikaru Okada¹⁴ | Bernard Bonnotte⁸ | Marc Michel⁴ | Maryse Lapeyre-Mestre^{2,15} | Bertrand Godeau⁴ 

the CARMEN-France Investigators Group

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Toulouse University Hospital, Toulouse, France

²Clinical Investigation Center, Toulouse University Hospital, Toulouse, France

³Sanofi, Chilly-Mazarin, France

⁴Department of Internal Medicine, National Referral Center for Autoimmune Cytopenias, Créteil University Hospital, Créteil, France

⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Bordeaux University Hospital, Bordeaux, France

⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Toulouse Cancer University Hospital, Toulouse, France

⁷Department of Hematology, Caen University Hospital, Caen, France

⁸Department of Internal Medicine, Dijon University Hospital, Dijon, France

⁹Department of Internal Medicine, Marseille University Hospital, Marseille, France

¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Lille University Hospital, Lille, France

¹¹Department of Internal Medicine, Lyon University Hospital, Lyon, France

¹²Department of Internal Medicine, Nice University Hospital, Nice, France

¹³Sanofi K.K., Tokyo, Japan

¹⁴Sanofi, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

¹⁵Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Toulouse University Hospital, Toulouse, France

Correspondence

Guillaume Moulis, Service de Médecine Interne, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Toulouse, Place du Docteur Baylac, TSA 40031, 31059 Toulouse Cedex 9, France. Email: moulis.g@chu-toulouse.fr

Funding information

Sanofi SA

Summary

The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence and the burden of difficult-to-treat primary ITP (pITP), defined by the need for another ITP treatment after romiplostim and eltrombopag. Adult patients were selected in the prospective, real-world CARMEN-France registry up to December 2021. Out of 821 adult patients with pITP, 29 had difficult-to-treat ITP (3.5%; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.3%–4.8% in total; 7.6%; 95% CI: 4.9%–10.2% of patients needing \geq 2nd line treatment). The 3-year cumulative incidence of bleeding, infection and thrombosis was 100%, 24.1% and 13.8% respectively. The median cumulative duration of hospital stays was 31 days (median follow-up: 30.3 months).

KEY WORDS

difficult-to-treat, epidemiology, immune thrombocytopenia, infection, refractory, thrombosis

The members of the CARMEN-France Investigators Group are listed in [Appendix 1](#).

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial](#) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2024 The Authors. *British Journal of Haematology* published by British Society for Haematology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

INTRODUCTION

Immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) is a rare autoimmune disease with a prevalence of about 20/10⁵ individuals.¹ The first-line treatment is based on corticosteroids and/or intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg).^{2,3} In case of relapse, second-line treatments are indicated (thrombopoietin receptor agonists—TPORAs—or immunosuppressants).^{2,3} Other drugs are currently in development.⁴ A splenectomy is an option in case of chronic disease.^{2,3} TPORAs are the preferred second-line treatment in most countries due to their high and rapid effectiveness.³ In this study, we aimed to assess the prevalence and the burden of adult patients with difficult-to-treat primary ITP, defined by the need for another treatment after the exposure to both TPORAs marketed in France (romiplostim and eltrombopag).

METHODS

Study design

We conducted an observational study in the prospective, multicentre CARMEN-France registry ([Supplementary Material](#), Data source).

Study population

The study population was selected among the patients included in the CARMEN-France registry with a diagnosis of primary ITP up to December 2021 and with a ≥3-month follow-up. The patients who needed any treatment for ITP after an exposure to romiplostim and eltrombopag corresponded to the study population defining difficult-to-treat ITP and were selected. Only the treatments prescribed after the second TPORA withdrawal were considered to meet the definition criteria (and not, for instance, short courses of steroids during the exposure to TPORA).

Outcomes

We assessed the prevalence of difficult-to-treat ITP among all adult patients with primary ITP included in the registry during the study period, as well as among the subgroup of patients who needed a treatment for ITP. The burden of ITP in these patients was assessed with the description of frequency of bleeding, infections, thromboses and hospital contacts due to ITP during the follow-up. Because a cornerstone approach of treating difficult-to-treat/refractory ITP is to reconsider the diagnosis of ITP,⁵ we described whether these patients identified with primary ITP had another diagnosis causing thrombocytopenia during the follow-up.

Statistical analyses

Descriptive analyses were performed. Cumulative incidences of bleeding, infection and thrombosis at 1, 2 and 3 years were calculated with their 95% confidence interval (CI). For prevalence calculation, sensitivity analysis was performed in patients with a ≥6-month and a ≥12-month follow-up.

Ethics approval

The Toulouse university Hospital ethics committee gave approval to the registry in 2012 (no. 27-0512). According to French law, authorization was obtained by the *Comité Consultatif sur le Traitement de l'Information en matière de Recherche dans le domaine de la Santé* (CCTIRS, no. 12.067) and by the *Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés* (CNIL, DE-2012-438).

RESULTS

Prevalence

Out of 1035 adult patients with a new diagnosis of primary ITP included in the registry during the study period, 821 had a ≥3-month follow-up. Among them, 687 (83.7%) were treated for ITP, including 384 (46.8%) with a second-line treatment. Twenty-nine patients met the definition of difficult-to-treat ITP, accounted for 3.5% (95% CI: 2.3%–4.8%) of all patients with primary ITP, 4.2% (95% CI: 2.7%–5.7%) of patients with primary ITP who needed a treatment and 7.6% (95% CI: 4.9%–10.2%) of patients with primary ITP who needed at least one second-line treatment.

In sensitivity analyses, the prevalence of difficult-to-treat ITP was 3.9% (95% CI: 2.5%–5.3%) and 4.8% (95% CI: 3.0%–6.5%) among all adult patients with primary ITP and a ≥6-month and a ≥12-month follow-up respectively.

Patients

Patients' characteristics are described in [Table 1](#). At ITP diagnosis, the median age was 64.0 years (min–max: 25–90). Thirteen patients (44.8%) were women. The median platelet count at ITP diagnosis was 6.0 × 10⁹/L; 26 (89.7%) had bleeding at ITP diagnosis. All 29 patients but four had a bone marrow examination at ITP diagnosis; it was in favour of ITP in all cases. Response to corticosteroids or IVIg (platelet count ≥30 × 10⁹/L) was achieved in 96.2% of patients. Of note, four patients had already received rituximab before the second TPORA.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of patients with difficult-to-treat ITP (patients exposed to an ITP treatment after eltrombopag and romiplostim).

Variables	Difficult-to-treat ITP (n=29)
Demographics	
Median age at ITP diagnosis (min–max), years	64.0 (25.0–90.0)
Women, n (%)	13 (44.8)
ITP at diagnosis	
Median platelet count at ITP diagnosis (min–max), $\times 10^9/L$	6.0 (1.0–53.0)
Bleeding at ITP diagnosis, n (%)	26 (89.7)
Medical history and comorbidities^a	
Cardiovascular risk factors at ITP diagnosis except age and sex, n (%)	16 (55.2)
Median Charlson's comorbidity index score (min–max)	0.0 (0.0–4.0)
Treatments for ITP before the end of the second TPORA, n (%)	
Corticosteroids	28 (96.6)
Intravenous immunoglobulin	20 (69.0)
Rituximab	4 (13.8)
Dapsone	7 (24.1)
Hydroxychloroquine	4 (13.8)
Vinblastin	1 (3.4)
Belimumab	1 (3.4)
Previous response to corticosteroids/intravenous immunoglobulin^b	
Response (platelet count $\geq 30 \times 10^9/L$)	25 (96.2)
Complete response (platelet count $\geq 100 \times 10^9/L$)	13 (50.0)
No response (platelet count $< 30 \times 10^9/L$)	1 (3.8)
Median time from ITP diagnosis to first treatment after the two TPORAs (min–max), months	10.4 (2.0–48.1)
Median time of exposure to TPORAs up to the definition of difficult-to-treat ITP (min–max), days	137.0 (30.0–906.0)
Treatments received after the two TPORAs, n (%)	
Corticosteroids	20 (69.0)
Intravenous immunoglobulin	20 (69.0)
Rituximab	13 (44.8)
Splenectomy	4 (13.8)
New exposure to romiplostim	19 (67.9)
New exposure to eltrombopag	5 (17.2)
Mycophenolate	7 (24.1)
Azathioprine	2 (7.0)
Ciclosporin	1 (3.5)
Daratumumab	1 (3.5)
Fostamatinib	1 (3.5)
Dapsone	1 (3.5)
Hydroxychloroquine	2 (7.0)
Combination of treatments ^c	11 (37.9)

Abbreviations: ITP, immune thrombocytopenia; TPORA, thrombopoietin receptor agonist.

^aComorbidities from the Charlson's index were: myocardial infarction or angina (n=5), cardiac failure (n=1), stroke (n=2), peripheral artery disease (n=1), diabetes (n=5), chronic pulmonary disease (n=2) and chronic kidney disease (n=1). Cardiovascular risk factors not included in the Charlson's index were chronic arterial hypertension (n=13) and dyslipidaemia (n=5). Additionally, autoimmune comorbidities were thyroiditis (n=1), psoriasis (n=2), psoriasis with psoriatic rheumatism (n=1) and granulomatosis with polyangiitis (n=1). Four had a history of malignancy >5 years before ITP, cured and not considered related to ITP (one colorectal cancer, one Hodgkin lymphoma, one chronic myelomonocytic leukaemia cured by allogeneic stem cell transplantation and one patient with prostate cancer + non-melanoma skin cancer).

^bResponses were not evaluable in three patients.

^cCombinations of treatments, not considering additional courses of steroids or IVIg as rescue treatment, were (some of them in the same patients, 11 patients in total): romiplostim + mycophenolate (n=6), romiplostim + rituximab (n=5), romiplostim + ciclosporin, romiplostim + azathioprine, romiplostim + azathioprine + rituximab, eltrombopag + mycophenolate, eltrombopag + rituximab (1 each).

Treatments received after the two TPORAs

After the exposure to the second TPORA, all patients received another ITP treatment than corticosteroids or IVIg, and 20 (69.0%) were still on treatment at the end of follow-up. Notably, 13 received rituximab after the exposure to the second TPORA, including seven with no further treatment; four were splenectomized, but three needed another treatment after splenectomy. Eleven patients (37.9%) were exposed to a combination of TPORA + immunosuppressant (Table 1).

Burden outcomes

Burden outcomes are described in Table 2. With a median follow-up of 30.3 months (min–max: 3.2–93.1), all patients with difficult-to-treat primary ITP had experienced bleeding (including one intracranial bleeding), seven (24.1%) an infection, three (10.3%) a venous thrombosis and one (3.5%) an arterial thrombosis. Infections and thromboses are described in Tables S1 and S2 respectively. Among the seven infectious events, three were serious (WHO definition): two urinary tract infections and one *Campylobacter* septicaemia. All four thromboses occurred during an exposure to TPORA; three patients had other risk factors for thrombosis and two patients had thrombocytosis at the time of thrombosis.

The median number of hospital stays for ITP was 7 (min–max: 1–17), with a median cumulative duration of hospital stays for ITP of 31 days (min–max: 6–142). The median number of outpatient hospital contacts was 10 (min–max: 3–30).

Two patients died during the follow-up: an 82-year-old woman 41 months after the ITP diagnosis and a 70-year-old man who developed myelodysplastic syndrome (see below).

Other causes of thrombocytopenia emerging during the follow-up

A myelodysplastic syndrome was diagnosed in two patients, 3 and 4 years after the ITP diagnosis. Both had a bone marrow examination considered normal at ITP diagnosis. The first patient died from complications of the myelodysplastic syndrome.

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that difficult-to-treat ITP needing ITP drug after an exposure to two TPORAs account for <5% of all adult patients with primary ITP and 7.6% of those who need at least one second-line treatment. Recently, experts from an intercontinental group suggested a new definition of refractory ITP: platelet count $< 20 \times 10^9/L$ and bleeding, with no or short (<7 days) response to corticosteroids or IVIg, and with no response to two TPORAs, rituximab and

TABLE 2 Outcomes in patients with difficult-to-treat ITP.

Outcomes	Difficult-to-treat ITP (n=29)
Number of patients with bleeding during the disease course, n (%)	29 (100)
Median number of bleeding events per patient during the follow-up (min–max)	4.0 (1.0–13.0)
Cumulative incidence of bleeding during the disease course, % [95% CI]	
1-year	96.6 [82.2, 99.9]
2-year	100.0 [89.1, 100.0]
3-year	100.0 [89.1, 100.0]
Type of bleeding at least one time during the disease course, n (%)	29/29
Cutaneous	28 (96.6)
Epistaxis	15 (51.7)
Oral bullae	25 (86.2)
Gross haematuria	3 (10.3)
Menorrhagia or metrorrhagia	4 (13.8)
Gastrointestinal	3 (10.3)
Intracranial	1 (3.4)
Number of patients with infection during the disease course, n (%)	7 (24.1)
Cumulative incidence of infection during the disease course, % [95% CI]	
1-year	17.2 [7.2, 36.4]
2-year	20.7 [8.0, 39.7]
3-year	24.1 [12.2, 42.1]
Number of patients with venous thrombosis during the disease course, n (%)	3 (9.4)
Number of patients with arterial thrombosis during the disease course, n (%)	1 (3.1)
Cumulative incidence of any thrombosis during the disease course, % [95% CI]	
1-year	10.3 [2.2, 27.4]
2-year	10.3 [2.2, 27.4]
3-year	13.8 [3.9, 31.7]
Median number of hospital visits for ITP during the follow-up (min–max)	10.0 (3.0–30.0)
Median number of hospital stays for ITP during the follow-up (min–max)	7.0 (1.0–17.0)
Median cumulative duration of hospital stays for ITP during the follow-up (min–max), days	31.0 (6.0–142.0)

≥1 other immunosuppressive drug.⁵ Our pragmatic definition of ‘difficult-to-treat’ ITP was close, with the need for another treatment after two TPORAs, whatever the reason why. This definition reflects the real-world difficulties in treating patients with ITP and the need for other treatment lines, but it does not necessarily correspond to refractory patients in the meaning of ITP resistant to a drug. However, 6/13 patients who received rituximab after the two TPORAs needed another treatment, and 37.9% of difficult-to-treat

patients needed a combination of TPORA and immunosuppressants like suggested in refractory ITP.^{6,7}

A cornerstone issue face to difficult-to-treat/refractory ITP patients is to reassess the diagnosis to exclude another cause of thrombocytopenia. For clinical practice, ITP is diagnosed by the exclusion of other diagnoses causing thrombocytopenia. A very low platelet count, normal bone marrow aspiration and response to corticosteroids/IVIg are strong arguments for ITP.⁸ In adults, the misdiagnosis of inherited thrombocytopenia is rare,⁹ and none of our patients had genetic testing. In contrast, myelodysplastic syndrome may be misdiagnosed, or a cause of secondary ITP in adults,⁹ with lower rates of response to some ITP treatments.¹⁰ Interestingly, two patients in our study were diagnosed for myelodysplastic syndrome during follow-up, enlightening the need for diagnosis reassessment in case of difficult-to-treat ITP.⁵

The bleeding burden was important in this difficult-to-treat population, with a very low platelet count at diagnosis (median: $6 \times 10^9/L$) and a frequency of bleeding >80%, in contrast with $18 \times 10^9/L$ and 58% in the whole population of adults with ITP in the registry.¹¹ This pattern has been identified in multirefractory patients.⁶ In contrast with this latter retrospective study, the burden of infection was not so important, with only three serious infections in our cohort. This may be explained by the positioning of TPORAs early in the disease course the last decade in France¹² and warning by the referral centres about infection prevention after the evidence of insufficient vaccinations of patients in the early 2010s.¹³ Four thromboses occurred, all during treatment with TPORAs. As previously described, the cumulation of thrombosis risk factors may have played a role in these patients.¹⁴

The main limitation of this study to assess the patient ITP burden is the absence of quality-of-life data. However, we assessed the frequency of hospital contacts and days of hospitalization as surrogates, which leads us to think that the subgroup of adult patients with difficult-to-treat ITP have a high burden of the disease. Another limitation is that all centres in France managing ITP are not participating in the registry. Avatrombopag is not marketed in France; therefore, we cannot assess the proportion of patients who would have needed another treatment after exposure to the three TPORAs.¹⁵ Lastly, like in every real-world cohort, the outcomes are measured at each visit, depending on the real-world follow-up. Measurement bias in this dynamic cohort has been minimized by the inclusion of patients with a minimal follow-up.

In conclusion, adult patients with difficult-to-treat primary ITP has a heavy clinical burden, particularly in terms of bleeding, infection, thrombosis and hospital contact.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

G.M. and M.R. designed the study. M.Ma, J.F.V., T.C., S.C., S.A., M.E., L.T., J.C.L., P.Y.J., B.B., M.Mi. and B.G. are members of the registry scientific committee and therefore critically reviewed the protocol. A.D., S.B., A.D., I.H. and H.O.

contributed to protocol reviewing. G.M. and M.R. conducted the statistical analyses. G.M. wrote the paper; all other authors critically reviewed the manuscript and gave final approval for publication. The corresponding author attests that all the listed authors meet the authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted. G.M. is the guarantor.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We first thank all the patients and investigators, as well as Sanofi Pharma SAS, which funded the study. We also thank the sponsors of the CARMEN-France registry: Toulouse University Hospital, the French National Society of Internal Medicine, Amgen SAS, CSL Behring SAS, Grifols SAS and Novartis SAS. We thank all the research assistants who acquired the data: Cédric Castex (Reims), Astrid de Rendinger (Paris), Elodie Deruche (Amiens), Quentin Ducrocq (Lille), Hélène Duval (Caen), Fatima Farhi (Paris), Céline Feugere (Clermont-Ferrand), Nelly Francois (Nancy), Cindy Fraysse (Toulouse), Fanny Gallo (Nancy), Claudia Gillet (Toulouse), Amandine Hubert (Amiens), Aude Jouinot (Périgueux), Laetitia Languille (Paris), Carine Lopez (Bordeaux), Nathalie Marchand (Paris), Emilie Mathiotte (Lyon), Chloe McAvoy (Paris), Kewin Panel (Paris), Marie Pereira (Paris), Valérie Predan (Dijon), Onja Rarison (Marseille), Jessica Rousson (Lyon), Jamila Sahraoui (Montpellier), Nithurya Uthayakumar (Paris), Joanne Velazquez (Toulouse, Saint Exupéry Private Hospital) and the coordination team of the registry: Johanne Germain, Laurie Chabbert, Marianne Navarra, Charline Daguzan, Christophe Morin, Milena Preti, Manuela Rueter, Marie-Aline Sarda, Agnès Sommet.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This study was supported by Sanofi Pharma SAS. A.D., S.B., A.D., I.H. and H.O. critically reviewed the protocol. The analyses were made independently by the data managers and statisticians of Toulouse university hospital under the supervision of Dr Guillaume Moulis. Sanofi did not have access to the data contained in the registry. A.D., S.B., A.D., I.H. and H.O. have reviewed the aggregated results and the manuscript written by Dr Guillaume Moulis to give final acceptance like other authors (without any major changes).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

GM: research funding from Amgen, Grifols, Novartis and Sanofi; advisory board for Amgen, Argencx, Grifols, Novartis, Sanofi and Sobi; meeting attendance grants from Amgen, Grifols and Novartis; speaker at educational sessions for Amgen, Grifols and Novartis. JFV: advisory board and speaker at educational sessions for Amgen, Grifols, Novartis. TC: advisory board and speaker at educational sessions for Abbvie, AstraZeneca, Celgene, Novartis, Takeda. SC reports advisory board for Novartis and Sobi; research funding from Bioverativ, Novartis and Protalex. SA: advisory board and speaker at educational

sessions for Amgen, Argencx, Novartis, Sobi and research grant from Novartis. ME: speaker at educational sessions for Amgen, Grifols and Novartis; advisory board for Grifols and Novartis. BB: speaker at educational sessions for Novartis. MMi: advisory board and speaker at educational sessions for Amgen, Argencx, Novartis, Sobi and UCB. BG: advisory board and speaker at educational sessions for Amgen, Grifols, Novartis and Sobi. AD, SB, AD, IH and HO are employed by Sanofi.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data are not publicly available. The data management and statistical analysis code is available on reasonable request from the corresponding author.

PATIENT CONSENT STATEMENT

All patients received oral and written information about the registry and their rights. Written consent is not mandatory for this observational study.

CLINICAL TRIAL REGISTRATION (INCLUDING TRIAL NUMBER)

NCT02877706

ORCID

Guillaume Moulis  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9953-4640>

Matthieu Mahévas  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9913-7741>

Jean-François Viillard  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7500-9323>

Thibault Comont  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6891-9238>
Bertrand Godeau  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6023-5990>

REFERENCES

- Bennett D, Hodgson ME, Shukla A, Logie JW. Prevalence of diagnosed adult immune thrombocytopenia in the United Kingdom. *Adv Ther.* 2011;28(12):1096–104.
- Provan D, Arnold DM, Bussell JB, Chong BH, Cooper N, Gernsheimer T, et al. Updated international consensus report on the investigation and management of primary immune thrombocytopenia. *Blood Adv.* 2019;3(22):3780–817.
- Neunert C, Terrell DR, Arnold DM, Buchanan G, Cines DB, Cooper N, et al. American Society of Hematology 2019 guidelines for immune thrombocytopenia. *Blood Adv.* 2019;3(23):3829–66.
- Al-Samkari H, Neufeld EJ. Novel therapeutics and future directions for refractory immune thrombocytopenia. *Br J Haematol.* 2023;203(1):65–78.
- Arnold DM, Clerici B, Ilicheva E, Ghanima W. Refractory immune thrombocytopenia in adults: towards a new definition. *Br J Haematol.* 2023;203(1):23–7.
- Mahévas M, Gerfaud-Valentin M, Moulis G, Terriou L, Audia S, Guenin S, et al. Characteristics, outcome, and response to therapy of multirefractory chronic immune thrombocytopenia. *Blood.* 2016;128(12):1625–30.
- Crickx E, Ebbo M, Rivière E, Souchaud-Debouverie O, Terriou L, Audia S, et al. Combining thrombopoietin receptor agonists with immunosuppressive drugs in adult patients with multirefractory immune thrombocytopenia, an update on the French experience. *Br J Haematol.* 2023;202(4):883–9.
- Salib M, Clayden R, Clare R, Wang G, Warkentin TE, Crowther MA, et al. Difficulties in establishing the diagnosis of

- immune thrombocytopenia: an agreement study. *Am J Hematol.* 2016;91(8):E327–9.
9. Arnold DM, Nazy I, Clare R, Jaffer AM, Aubie B, Li N, et al. Misdiagnosis of primary immune thrombocytopenia and frequency of bleeding: lessons from the McMaster ITP Registry. *Blood Adv.* 2017;1(25):2414–20.
 10. Jachiet V, Moulis G, Hadjadj J, Segulier J, Laribi K, Schleinitz N, et al. Clinical spectrum, outcome and management of immune thrombocytopenia associated with myelodysplastic syndromes and chronic myelomonocytic leukemia. *Haematologica.* 2021;106(5):1414–22.
 11. Piel-Julian M-L, Mahévas M, Germain J, Languille L, Comont T, Lapeyre-Mestre M, et al. Risk factors for bleeding, including platelet count threshold, in newly diagnosed immune thrombocytopenia adults. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2018;16(9):1830–42.
 12. Moulis G, Germain J, Rueter M, Lafaurie M, Aroichane M, Comont T, et al. Eltrombopag in adult patients with immune thrombocytopenia in the real-world in France, including off-label use before 6 months of disease duration: the multicenter, prospective ELEXTRA study. *Am J Hematol.* 2022;97(2):E40–4.
 13. Moulis G, Lapeyre-Mestre M, Mahévas M, Montastruc J-L, Sailler L. Need for an improved vaccination rate in primary immune thrombocytopenia patients exposed to rituximab or splenectomy. A nationwide population-based study in France. *Am J Hematol.* 2015;90(4):301–5.
 14. Swan D, Newland A, Rodeghiero F, Thachil J. Thrombosis in immune thrombocytopenia—current status and future perspectives. *Br J Haematol.* 2021;194(5):822–34.
 15. Al-Samkari H, Jiang D, Gernsheimer T, Liebman H, Lee S, Wojdyla M, et al. Adults with immune thrombocytopenia who switched to avatrombopag following prior treatment with eltrombopag or romiplostim: a multicentre US study. *Br J Haematol.* 2022;197(3):359–66.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Moulis G, Rueter M, Duvivier A, Mahévas M, Viillard J-F, Comont T, et al. Difficult-to-treat primary immune thrombocytopenia in adults: Prevalence and burden. Results from the CARMEN-France registry. *Br J Haematol.* 2024;204(4):1476–1482. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.19288>

APPENDIX 1

Collaborators (the CARMEN-France Investigators Group)

Felix Ackermann (Paris), Daniel Adoue (Toulouse), Jean-François Alexandra (Paris), Laurent Alric (Toulouse), Baptiste Andre (Marseille), Sophie Arista (Toulouse), Leonardo Astudillo (Toulouse, Saint Exupery Private Hospital), Sylvain Audia (Dijon), Françoise Badet (Lyon), Laurent Balardy (Toulouse), Alice Berezne (Lyon), Caroline Bonmati (Nancy), Delphine Bonnet (Toulouse), Cécile Borel (Toulouse), Eva Bories (Toulouse), Laurence Bouillet (Grenoble), David Boutboul (Paris), Benoit Branco (Montauban), Delphine Brechemier (Toulouse), Antoine Briantais (Marseille), Natacha Brun (Rodez), Miguel Carreiro

(Montauban), Brice Castel (Tarbes), Pascal Cathebras (Saint Etienne), Florian Catros (Foix), Olivier Caubet (Libourne), Léo Caudrelier (Cahors), Axel Chaminade (Nice), Marie-Paul Chauveheid (Paris), Julie Chezel (Paris), Mélissa Clement (Clermont-Ferrand), Emilie Corvilain (Paris), Pierre Cougoul (Toulouse), Carine Courtault (Arcachon), Etienne Crickx (Paris), Louise Damian (Le Havre), Sébastien De Almeida (Toulouse), Benjamin De Sainte Marie (Marseille), Paul Decker (Nancy), Joëlle Deibener-Kaminsky (Nancy), Karen Delavigne (Toulouse), Xavier Delbrel (Pau), Guillaume Denis (Rochefort), Samuel Deshayes (Caen), Claire Dingremont (Tarbes), Jérémie Dion (Toulouse), Antoine Dossier (Paris), Pierre Duffau (Bordeaux), Anne Dumont (Montauban), Romain Dupont (Toulouse), Jean-Marc Durand (Marseille), Mikael Ebbo (Marseille), Deborah Eshagh (Paris), Jehane Fadlallah (Paris), Meryem Farhat (Lille), Benoit Faucher (Marseille), Pierre Faurie (Lyon), Thomas Faurie (Toulouse), Claire Fieschi (Paris), Lionel Galicier (Paris), Marie Garric (Albi), Clément Gaudin (Toulouse), Martin Gauthier (Cahors), Mathieu Gerfaud-Valentin (Lyon), Etienne Ghrenassia (Paris), Jean-Thomas Giraud (Tarbes), Delphine Gobert (Paris), Aurélie Godel-Labouret (Lavaur), Tiphaine Goulenok (Paris), Clément Gourguechon (Amiens), Laure Goursaud (Lille), Julie Graveleau (Nantes), Vincent Grobost (Clermont-Ferrand), Philippe Guilpain (Montpellier), Sondess Hadj-Khelifa (Auch), Jean-Robert Harle (Marseille), Benjamin Hebraud (Toulouse), Hélène Hennique (Tours), Arnaud Hot (Lyon), Ismaël Issaka (Foix), Roland Jaussaud (Nancy), Estelle Jean (Marseille), Sarah Khatibi (Castres-Mazamet), Yanis Kouchit (Nice), Kamel Laribi (Le Mans), Anne Lazareth (Lyon), Sarah Lechtman (Nice), Guillaume Leguenno (Clermont-Ferrand), Mélanie Lemeu (Albi), Margaux Lemonnier (Lourdes), Lorraine Leplay (Saint Gaudens), Yann Leveneur (Tarbes), Michael Levraut (Nice), François Lifermann (Dax), Nicolas Limal (Paris), Bertrand Lioger (Blois), Hervé Lobbes (Clermont-Ferrand), Valentine Loustau (Annecy), Irène Machelart (Bayonne), Serge Madaule (Albi), Gwenola Maigne (Caen), Marion Malphettes (Paris), Julien Maquet (Toulouse), Guillaume Martin-Blondel (Toulouse), Nihal Martis (Nice), Antoine Merckx (Cahors), Martin Michaud (Toulouse), Shirine Mohamed (Nancy), Aline Moignet-Autrel (Rennes), Lydia Montes (Amiens), Thomas Moulinet (Nancy), Morgane Mourguet (Toulouse), Fanny Nuccio (Saint Gaudens), Corentin Orvain (Angers), Brigitte Pan Petesch (Brest), Thomas Papo (Paris), Kim Paricaud (Toulouse), Andréa Pastissier (Toulouse), Laurent Perard (Lyon), Marie-Léa Piel-Julian (Toulouse), Laurent Pinede (Lyon), Fabien Pontille (Nancy), Laurent Prudhomme (Castres-Mazamet), Grégory Pugnet (Toulouse), Anne Quinquenel (Reims), Odile Rauzy (Toulouse), Christian Recher (Toulouse), Véronique Remy (Cahors), Quitterie Reynaud (Lyon), Virginie Rieu (Clermont-Ferrand), Florence Rigal (Lavaur), Patrick Rispal (Agen), Valérian Rivet (Toulouse), Ailsa Robbins (Reims), Julien Rohmer (Paris), Mathilde Roumier (Paris), Marc Ruivard (Clermont-Ferrand), Noemie Saada

(Paris), Karim Sacre (Paris), Laurent Sailer (Toulouse), Arnaud Saint-Lezer (Mont-De-Marsan), Aurélie Saunier (Périgueux), Gaetan Sauvetre (Rouen), Nicolas Schleinitz (Marseille), Julie Segulier (Marseille), Stéphane Sire (Cahors), Caroline Soubrier (Marseille), Laure Swiader (Marseille),

Suzanne Tavitian (Toulouse), Louis Terriou (Lille), Josephine Thomazeau (Foix), Albanie Toledano (Toulouse), Geoffrey Urbanski (Angers), Véronique Veit (Marseille), Mathilde Versini (Nice), Ondine Walter (Toulouse).