

Institute of Geography · Chair of Soil Science and Geomorphology

Can soil information add value for the archaeological predictive model?

Mathias Bellat^{1,2}, Benjamin Glissmann^{1,3}, Ruhollah Taghizadeh-Mehrjardi^{1,2,4}, Tobias Rentschler^{1,2,4,5}, Paola Sconzo⁶, Peter Pfälzner^{1,3}, Thomas Scholten^{1,2,4}

¹ SFB 1070 ResourcesCultures, ² Department of Geosciences, Chair of Soil Science and Geomorphology, ³ Institute for Ancient Near Eastern Studies (IANES) ⁴ Cluster of Excellence Machine Learning: New Perspectives for Science, ⁵ Digital Humanities Center, ⁶ Department of Culture and Society, University of Palermo

International Congress on the Archaeology of the Ancient Near East Copenhagen, 22-26 May 2023 Session: 1. Near Eastern Archaeology in the field and in the lab, b. Methods and theory





Deutsche **DFG** Forschungsgemeinschaft

13th ICAANE

SFB 1070



Study area with archaeological settlements location

Local indigenous uses of resources (close environment)

EBERHARD KARLS

UNIVERSITÄT

TÜBINGEN

Are soil data relevant in revealing settlements in Iraqi Kurdistan?



Deutsche

MOVEMENT AND POWER INFLUENCE IN THE SELEVANI PLAIN (4TH AND 3TH MIL B.C.)

Military Campaigns and Expansion of the Akkadian Empire

EBERHARD KARLS

- Statue from Bassetki with Inscription of Naram Sin
- Region is part of a inter-regional route system (3. millenium BC) with peak in old assyrian trade network
- Maradaman (=Bassetki) is mentioned as one of the stops along the trade routes





Introduction

MATERIALS & METHODS

Results

Discussion

Conclusion

MOVEMENT AND POWER INFLUENCE IN THE SELEVANI PLAIN (2TH MIL B.C.)



15th century BC



14th century BC



- 14th 13th centuries: Middle Assyrian Empire
- Part of Neo Assyrian Empire with Relief of Mila Mergi





13th century BC

From Sauvage 2021, Atlas Historique du Proche-Orient Ancien



Deutsche

Forschungsgemeinschaft

MATERIALS & METHODS Results Discussion Conclusion



EBERHARD KARLS

UNIVERSITÄT

TÜBINGEN

Introduction

Vector: Sites, roads, rivers, hollow ways...

A 3th ICAANEO

SFB 1070

- Spectral data: Landsat 8/9, Sentinel 2, ASTER (NIR, color, thermal bands...)
 - Ortho-images : Copernicus, CORONA,
 HEXAGON
 - Others raster: DEM, Soil data, Models (precipitation, temperature...)

ARCHAEOLOGICAL PREDICTIVE MODELS (APM)



Collect data on the field Prepare data (ICP, FTIR, ML) Compute soil maps Probability map of sites Analyse important factors Analyse the patterns for each periods and sites type (clusters, attraction areas)







FG Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

Introduction	MATERIALS & MET	THODS	Results	\rightarrow	D	iscussion	\rangle	Conclusio	on
Data	Source					DATA			
Aspect	DEM								
Distance to rivers	DEM	C.						Sales and	
Mean annual precipitation (MAP)	Climate data		pH 7.313 7.313 7.313 7.313 7.314	S. Salar	CCE (%) 35.157 35.3 35.411 35.797		Nt (%) 0.118 0.119 0.119 0.121	and the second	Ct
Mean annual temperature (MAT)	Climate data		-	N. C. C.	-		-	C.	1
Moisture index	Climate data	C. C	Corg (%)		Sand (%)	ALC: NO	Silt (%)	Carle Con	Cla
NIR band (Sentinel 2)	Remote sensing	Section of the sectio	0.641 0.642 0.656	En S	13.849 13.97 15.241	and the second	59.456 59.558 60.53		
Water vapor (Sentinel 2)	Remote sensing							3.46	
Geological unit	Stratigraphic data	Map of the soil properties predicted from soil samples of 2022 sampling campaign with random forest							

- 51 different predictors where used at the beginning
- The 8 predictors with the lowest auto-correlation where used for the final model

Ct (%) 4.774 4.786 4.787 4.805

Clay (%) 27.452 27.488 27.542 28.403

7

EBERHARD KARLS UNIVERSITAT TUBINGEN SFB 1070









- Random forest (RF) was used for the prediction as a machine learning method
- The precision of RF model is 73% which can still be improved



- General Linear Model (GLM) was used for the prediction as a statistical method
- The precision is lower than RF but much more easier to run



Most important factors of RF model (left) and GLM model (right)



IMPORTANT FACTORS (FEATURE SELECTION)



- The Water vapor from the Sentinel 2 is the most important factor in both RF and GLM
- For the RF model CaCO₃ is the most important factor
- For the GLM model **Carbon total** is the most important factor
- If we take the auto-correlation into account Silt is then the most important factor with a low correlation



Silt (%) 59.393 59.456 59.558 60.53 • High calcium carbonate concentration could be a reason or a result for settlements installation

Deutsche

Forschungsgemeinschaft

Results

 High carbon concentration is related to land use and can be a **result** of settlements installation

DISCUSSION

• Silt concentration is related to the type of soil and is a **reason** for settlements installation

Residuals of Silt correlation with GLM prediction map

Residual

3th ICAANEO

Materials & Methods

EBERHARD KARLS

fujbingen

Introduction

SFB 1070

Conclusion







Core density estimation (M. Walter)

Buffer zone analysis (M. Walter)





Materials & Methods

Introduction

DISCUSSION

Conclusion

INFLUENCE OF SOILS IN SETTLEMENTS PREDICTION

Results





- Machine learning combined with statistics can underline unseen relation between sites and their environments
- Soil information can be used for spotting the likelihood of settlements toward different chronological periods
- Soil information can predict but also differentiate the types of sites and maybe chronological distinction in the future

Soil information in particular Carbon, CaCO₃ and Silt are relevant for predicting archaeological settlements at a meso/macro-scale



Thank you for your attention



mathias.bellat@uni-tuebingen.de







