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# ADDENDUM AND ERRATUM TO "LOCALIZATION FOR A MATRIX-VALUED ANDERSON MODEL" 

HAKIM BOUMAZA AND SYLVAIN ZALCZER


#### Abstract

In this short note we we give the proper rate of exponential decay for the Initial Length-Scale Estimate in the case of quasi-one-dimensional random operators of Schrödinger type. This corrects the statement and the demonstration of Proposition 5 in : H. Boumaza, Localization for a matrix-valued Anderson model, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 12(3), 255-286, 2009..


## 1. The model and first definitions

In this note we give the proper rate of exponential decay for the ILSE in the case of quasi-one-dimensional operators of Schrödinger type. This corrects the statement and the demonstration of [2, Proposition 5], changing the $\gamma_{1}(E)$ into a $\gamma_{N}(E)$ in the exponential rate of decay. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space. Recall that in [2] one considers, for every $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\omega, \ell}=-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}} \otimes I_{\mathrm{N}}+\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\omega}^{(n)}(x-\ell n), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

acting on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $N \geq 1$ is an integer and $\ell>0$ is a real number. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the functions $x \mapsto V_{\omega}^{(n)}(x)$ are symmetric matrix-valued functions, supported on $[0, \ell]$ and bounded uniformly on $x, n$ and $\omega$. The sequence $\left(V_{\omega}^{(n)}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of i.i.d random variables on $\Omega$. We also assume that the potential $x \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\omega}^{(n)}(x-\ell n)$ is such that $\left\{H_{\omega, \ell}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is $\ell \mathbb{Z}$-ergodic.

One considers the equation for the generalized eigenvalues, for every $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\omega, \ell} u=E u, \quad \text { where } E \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } u=\binom{u^{\prime}}{u}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 N} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce, for $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, the transfer matrix $T_{x}^{y}(E)$ of $H_{\omega, \ell}$ from $x$ to $y$ which maps a solution $\left(u^{\prime}, u\right)$ at position $x$ to the same solution at position $y$. It is defined by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{u^{\prime}(y)}{u(y)}=T_{x}^{y}(E)\binom{u^{\prime}(x)}{u(x)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in particular, $T_{x}^{x}(E)=I_{2 \mathrm{~N}}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The transfer matrices are elements of the real symplectic group

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{M \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \mathrm{~N}}(\mathbb{R}) \mid{ }^{t} M J M=J\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -I_{N} \\ I_{N} & 0\end{array}\right)$.

[^0]For $E \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\gamma_{1}(E), \ldots, \gamma_{2 N}(E)$ the Lyapunov exponents associated with the sequence $\left(T_{\ell n}^{\ell(n+1)}(E)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (see [2]). Let us also define the Furstenberg group $G(E)$ of $\left\{H_{\omega, \ell}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ at $E$ as the closed group generated by the support of $\mu_{E}$, where $\mu_{E}$ is the common distribution of the random matrices $T_{\ell n}^{\ell(n+1)}(E)$ and the closure is taken for the usual topology in $\mathcal{M}_{2 N}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$
G(E)=\overline{<\operatorname{supp} \mu_{E}>}
$$

## 2. A LARGE DEVIATION RESULT FOR BLOCKS OF PRODUCTS OF TRANSFER MATRICES

In order to estimate blocks of the products of transfer matrices as in [3], we introduce, given a vector subspace $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2 N}$, the orthogonal projection onto $F, \pi_{F}: \mathbb{R}^{2 N} \rightarrow F$, and we set

$$
\pi_{F}^{*}: \begin{aligned}
F & \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 N} \\
x & \mapsto x
\end{aligned} .
$$

Recall that a subspace $F \mathbb{R}^{2 N}$ is said Lagrangian if it is orthogonal to itself for $J$ and of dimension $N$.

Let $s_{p}(\cdot)$ denotes the $p$-th singular value of the considered matrix. We prove a Large Deviation Property for the singular values of the products of transfer matrices. For the definition of $p$-contractivity and $L_{p}$-strong irreducibility we refer to [1].

Proposition 2.1. We fix a compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. We assume that for every $E \in I$ :
(1) the Furstenberg group $G(E)$ is included in $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbb{R})$;
(2) for every $p \in\{1, \ldots, N\}, G(E)$ is $L_{p}$-strongly irreducible.

Then for all $\epsilon>0$ and all $E \in I$, there exist $C(\epsilon, E)>0$ and $c(\epsilon, E)>0$ such that, for all $p \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$, any Lagrangian subspace $F$ and all integers $m, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\left|\frac{1}{\ell(n-m)} \log s_{p}\left(T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E)\right)-\gamma_{p}(E)\right| \geq \epsilon\right\}\right) \leq C(\epsilon, E) \mathrm{e}^{-c(\epsilon, E) \ell|n-m|} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\left|\frac{1}{\ell(n-m)} \log s_{p}\left(T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E) \pi_{F}^{*}\right)-\gamma_{p}(E)\right| \geq \epsilon\right\}\right) \leq C(\epsilon, E) \mathrm{e}^{-c(\epsilon, E) \ell|n-m|} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.2. The constants $C(\epsilon, E)$ and $c(\epsilon, E)$ depend a priori on $E$ and $\epsilon$ but they can be taken uniform in $\epsilon$ as it tends to 0 and uniform in $E$ on the compact interval $I$. This is one of the reasons why we need to take the interval $I$ compact.

Proof. Recall that for a symplectic matrix $M \in \operatorname{Sp}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbb{R}), s_{p}\left(M^{-1}\right)=s_{p}(M)$ for every $p \in$ $\{1, \ldots 2 N\}$. Hence one can assume that $m \leq n$ without loss of generality since $\left(T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E)\right)^{-1}=$ $T_{\ell n}^{\ell m}(E)$.

Let $p \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$. For each $M \in \operatorname{Sp}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbb{R})$, we denote by $\widehat{M}$ the matrix of $\mathrm{GL}_{k}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{M}_{i j}=\left\langle f_{i}, \Lambda^{p} M f_{j}\right\rangle \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the dimension of $L_{p}$ and $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)$ is an orthonormal basis of $L_{p}$, with $f_{1}=$ $e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{p}$. Let us now denote by $\widehat{G(E)}$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{k}(\mathbb{R})$ which is generated by the matrices $\widehat{M}$ for $M \in G(E)$. Then, since $G(E)$ is $L_{p}$-strongly irreducible, $\widehat{G(E)}$ is strongly
irreducible. Hence, applying [1, Theorem A.V.6.2], one gets the existence of $\alpha>0$ such that for any $\epsilon>0$ and any $\bar{x} \in \mathrm{P}\left(L_{p}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{|n-m| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{\ell|n-m|} \log \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{\ell|n-m|} \log \left(| | \Lambda^{p} T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E) \bar{x}| |\right)-\left(\gamma_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{p}\right)(E)\right|>\epsilon\right) \leq-\alpha \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{|n-m| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{\ell|n-m|} \log \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{\ell|n-m|} \log \left(\| \Lambda^{p} T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E)| |\right)-\left(\gamma_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{p}\right)(E)\right|>\epsilon\right) \leq-\alpha \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, since the function $x \mapsto V_{\omega}^{(n)}(x)$ is uniformly bounded in $x, n$ and $\omega$, and since the support of the common law of the transfer matrices is bounded, the assumption of finiteness of the integral in [1, Theorem A.V.6.2] is satisfied.

Now, let us take $F$ a Lagrangian subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{2 N}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Lambda^{p}\left(T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E) \pi_{F}^{*}\right)\right\| & =\sup _{\substack{u_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{p} \in \mathrm{P}\left(L_{p}\right) \\
u_{i} \in F}}\left\|\left(\Lambda^{p} T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E)\right)\left(u_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{p}\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|\Lambda^{p} T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E) \bar{u}\right\|, \text { for some } \bar{u} \in \mathrm{P}\left(L_{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since the supremum is attained by compactness of $\mathrm{P}\left(L_{p}\right)$. Hence, (2.4) rewrites,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{|n-m| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{\ell|n-m|} \log \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{1}{\ell|n-m|} \log \left(| | \Lambda^{p}\left(T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E) \pi_{F}^{*}\right)| |\right)-\left(\gamma_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{p}\right)(E)\right|>\epsilon\right) \leq-\alpha \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any Lagrangian $F$. Let, for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in\{1, \ldots, N\}, \epsilon>0$ and $F$ a Lagrangian,

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{n, m, p}(\epsilon, F)=\left\{\left|\frac{1}{\ell|n-m|} \log \left(| | \Lambda^{p}\left(T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E) \pi_{F}^{*}\right)| |\right)-\left(\gamma_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{p}\right)(E)\right|>\epsilon\right\} \\
A_{n, m, p}(\epsilon)=\left\{\left|\frac{1}{\ell|n-m|} \log \left(\| \Lambda^{p} T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E)| |\right)-\left(\gamma_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{p}\right)(E)\right|>\epsilon\right\}, \\
B_{n, m, p}(\epsilon, F)=\left\{\left|\frac{1}{\ell|n-m|}\left(\log \left(\|\left|\Lambda^{p}\left(T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E) \pi_{F}^{*}\right)\right| \mid\right)-\log \left(\| \Lambda^{p-1}\left(T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E) \pi_{F}^{*}\right)| |\right)\right)-\gamma_{p}(E)\right|>\epsilon\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
B_{n, m, p}(\epsilon)=\left\{\left|\frac{1}{\ell|n-m|}\left(\log \left(\left\|\mid \Lambda^{p} T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E)\right\|\right)-\log \left(| | \Lambda^{p-1} T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E) \|\right)\right)-\gamma_{p}(E)\right|>\epsilon\right\} .
$$

Then, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n, m, p}(2 \epsilon) \subset A_{n, m, p}(\epsilon) \cap A_{n, m, p-1}(\epsilon) \text { and } B_{n, m, p}(2 \epsilon, F) \subset A_{n, m, p}(\epsilon, F) \cap A_{n, m, p-1}(\epsilon, F) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for any $p \in\{1, \ldots, N\},\left\|\Lambda^{p} T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E)\right\|=s_{1}\left(T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E)\right) \cdots s_{p}\left(T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E)\right)$, combining (2.7) and (2.5) one gets (2.1).

One also has, for any Lagrangian $F,\left\|\Lambda^{p}\left(T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E) \pi_{F}^{*}\right)\right\|=s_{1}\left(T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E) \pi_{F}^{*}\right) \cdots s_{p}\left(T_{\ell m}^{\ell n}(E) \pi_{F}^{*}\right)$. Hence, combining (2.7) and (2.6) one gets (2.2). This achieves the proof.

For any integer $n \in[-L / 3, L / 3]$, any $T \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \mathrm{~N}}(\mathbb{R})$ and any vector subspace $F \subset \mathbb{R}^{2 N}$, we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{\epsilon}^{F}[T]:=\left\{\operatorname { m a x } _ { 1 \leq p \leq N } \left(\left|\frac{1}{\ell|n-L|} \log s_{p}(T)-\gamma_{p}(E)\right|+\right.\right.  \tag{2.8}\\
& \left.\left.\quad\left|\frac{1}{\ell|n-L|} \log s_{p}\left(T \pi_{F}^{*}\right)-\gamma_{p}(E)\right|\right) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{100 N}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{+}:=\left\{\left.\binom{u}{0} \right\rvert\, u \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2 N} \text { and } F_{-}:=\left\{\left.\binom{0}{v} \right\rvert\, v \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2 N} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}:=\left\{\left.\binom{u}{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 N} \right\rvert\, u=-\Phi_{+}^{\downarrow}(\ell n)\left(\Phi_{+}^{\uparrow}(\ell n)\right)^{-1} v\right\} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that since the transfer matrices are in $\operatorname{Sp}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbb{R})$, the vector subspace $F_{n}$ is Lagrangian.
Finally, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\epsilon}(n):=\Omega_{\epsilon}^{F_{n}}\left[T_{\ell L}^{\ell n}(E)\right] \cap \Omega_{\epsilon}^{F_{+}}\left[T_{\ell L}^{\ell n}(E)\right] \cap \Omega_{\epsilon}^{F_{+}}\left[T_{\ell L}^{\ell n}(E)\right] \cap \Omega_{\epsilon}^{F_{-}}\left[{ }^{t} T_{\ell L}^{\ell n}(E)\right] \cap \Omega_{\epsilon}^{F_{-}}\left[T_{\ell L}^{\ell n}(E)\right] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Initial Length-scale estimate

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $L \geq 1$ an integer, we introduce the finite volume operators $H_{\omega, x, L}$ which are the restrictions of $H_{\omega}$ to intervals of the form $\Lambda_{L}(x):=[x-\ell L, x+\ell L]$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. We can then define $R_{\omega, x, L}(z):=\left(H_{\omega, x, L}-z\right)^{-1}$ as the resolvent of $H_{\omega, x, L}$.

We will denote by $\chi_{x, L}$ the characteristic function of $\Lambda_{L}(x)$. We also denote by $\Gamma_{x, L}$ the characteristic function of the union of two regions near the boundary of $\Lambda_{L}(x):[x-\ell(L-$ 1), $x-\ell(L-3)] \cup[x+\ell(L-3), x+\ell(L-1)]$.

Definition 3.1. Given $E \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $L \in 6 \mathbb{N}$ with $E \notin \sigma\left(H_{\omega, x, L}\right)$, we say that the box $\Lambda_{L}(x)$ is $(\omega, m, E)$-regular for a given $m>0$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Gamma_{x, L} R_{\omega, x, L}(E) \chi_{x, L / 3}\right\| \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{-m L} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\Lambda_{L}:=\Lambda_{L}(0)$.
Proposition 3.2 (ILSE for Schrödinger). Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval such that, for every $E \in I$, the Furstenberg group associated with $\left\{H_{\omega, \ell}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is p-contracting and $L_{p}$-strongly irreducible, for every $p \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$. Let $E \in I$. For every $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $C, c>0$ and $L_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $L \geq L_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\left\{\Lambda_{L} \text { is }\left(\omega, \gamma_{N}(E)-\varepsilon, E\right)-\text { regular }\right\}\right) \geq 1-C \mathrm{e}^{-c \ell L} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the correct ILSE for $\left\{H_{\omega, \ell}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$, the first step is to give an explicit formula for the Green kernel of $H_{\omega, 0, \ell}$ in terms of the solutions $\Phi_{ \pm}$of $H_{\omega, \ell} \Phi_{ \pm}=E \Phi_{ \pm}$satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{-}(-\ell L)=\binom{0}{I_{\mathrm{N}}} \quad \text { and } \quad \Phi_{+}(\ell L)=\binom{0}{I_{\mathrm{N}}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which differs from the formula given in [2, Eq. (67)].

Lemma 3.3. Let $\omega \in \Omega$ and let $x, y \in \Lambda_{L}$. Assume that $\Phi_{+}(x)$ and $\Phi_{-}(x)$ are invertible as well as $\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{+}(x)^{-1}-\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{-}(x)^{-1}$. The Green kernel of $H_{\omega, 0, \ell}$ is given by

$$
G_{\Lambda_{L}}^{\omega}(E, x, y)= \begin{cases}\Phi_{+}(y)\left(\Phi_{+}(x)\right)^{-1}\left(\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{+}(x)^{-1}-\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{-}(x)^{-1}\right)^{-1} & \text { for } x \leq y  \tag{3.4}\\ \Phi_{-}(y)\left(\Phi_{-}(x)\right)^{-1}\left(\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{+}(x)^{-1}-\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{-}(x)^{-1}\right)^{-1} & \text { for } x \geq y\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We would like to have

$$
G_{\Lambda_{L}}^{\omega}(E, x, y)= \begin{cases}\Phi_{+}(y) \alpha_{+}(x) & \text { for } x \leq y  \tag{3.5}\\ \Phi_{-}(y) \alpha_{-}(x) & \text { for } x \geq y\end{cases}
$$

In addition, we want $G_{\Lambda_{L}}^{\omega}$ to be continuous, i.e. that for all $x \in \Lambda_{L}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{+}(x) \alpha_{+}(x)=\Phi_{-}(x) \alpha_{-}(x) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition, we must have, for all $\psi \in L^{2}\left(\Lambda_{L}\right)$ and almost all $x \in \Lambda_{L}$,

$$
\left(H_{\omega, 0, \ell}-E\right) \int_{\Lambda_{L}} G_{\Lambda_{L}}^{\omega}(E, x, y) \psi(x) \mathrm{d} x=\psi(y)
$$

Computing this expression explicitly and using the fact that $\left(H_{\omega, \ell}-E\right) \Phi_{ \pm}=0$, we find that for all $x \in \Lambda_{L}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Phi_{+}(x) \alpha_{+}^{\prime}(x)+\Phi_{-}(x) \alpha_{-}^{\prime}(x)=I_{N} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivative of (3.6) combined with (3.7) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(x) \alpha_{+}(x)-\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(x) \alpha_{-}(x)=I_{N} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can solve the system made of (3.6) and (3.8) to find that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{+}(x)=\left(\Phi_{+}(x)\right)^{-1}\left(\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{+}(x)^{-1}-\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{-}(x)^{-1}\right)^{-1}  \tag{3.9}\\
\alpha_{-}(x)=\left(\Phi_{-}(x)\right)^{-1}\left(\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{+}(x)^{-1}-\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{-}(x)^{-1}\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which concludes the proof.
Our goal is now to bound $\sup _{x, y \in \Lambda_{L_{0}}}\left|\Gamma_{0, L_{0}}(x) G_{\Lambda_{L_{0}}}^{\omega}(E, x, y) \chi_{0, L_{0} / 3}(y)\right|$ with good probability. To this purpose, for any integer $n \in[-L / 3, L / 3]$, we consider the event $\Omega_{\epsilon}(n)$ as defined in (2.11).

Proposition 3.4. On $\Omega_{\epsilon}:=\cap_{n \in[-L / 3, L / 3]} \Omega_{\epsilon}(n)$, we have that, for all $x \in[-\ell L / 3, \ell L / 3]$ and all $y \in[\ell L-\ell, \ell L]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G_{\Lambda_{L}}^{\omega}(E, x, y)\right\| \leq C e^{-2\left(\gamma_{N}(E)-\epsilon\right) \ell L} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We begin with proving that on $\Omega_{\epsilon}$, for all $x \in[-\ell L / 3, \ell L / 3], \Phi_{+}(x)$ is invertible and we estimate its inverse. It corresponds to bounding from below its $N$-th singular value.

Let $n$ be the unique integer such that $x \in[n \ell,(n+1) \ell)$. We first remark that, for all $p=1, \ldots, 2 N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{p}\left(T_{\ell L}^{x}\right) \geq s_{p}\left(T_{\ell L}^{\ell n}\right) s_{2 N}\left(T_{\ell n}^{x}\right)=s_{p}\left(T_{\ell L}^{\ell n}\right)\left\|T_{\ell n}^{x}\right\|^{-1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last equality comes from the fact that $T_{\ell n}^{x}$ is symplectic. But we know from [2, Lemma 6] that there exists a constant $C>0$, independent of $x$ and $\omega$, such that $\left\|T_{\ell n}^{x}\right\| \leq C$. As a consequence, $s_{p}\left(T_{\ell L}^{x}\right) \geq C^{-1} s_{p}\left(T_{\ell L}^{\ell n}\right)$.

We have the following singular value decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\ell L}^{x}(E)=U \Sigma V, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ and $V$ are unitary and, since we have a symplectic matrix, we can write $\Sigma=$ $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\Sigma_{+} & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_{-}\end{array}\right)$with $\Sigma_{+}=\operatorname{diag}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{N}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{-}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1 / s_{1}, \ldots, 1 / s_{N}\right)$ with $s_{i} \geq 1$ for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$. We can write a block decomposition for $U$ and $V: U=\left(\begin{array}{ll}U_{11} & U_{12} \\ U_{21} & U_{22}\end{array}\right)$ and $V=\left(\begin{array}{ll}V_{11} & V_{12} \\ V_{21} & V_{22}\end{array}\right)$. We have then

$$
\Phi_{+}(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I_{N} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\Phi_{+}(x)}{\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(x)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I_{N} & 0
\end{array}\right) T_{\ell L}^{x}(E)\binom{0}{I_{N}}=U_{11} \Sigma_{+} V_{12}+U_{12} \Sigma_{-} V_{22}
$$

But since, on the one hand, the blocks $U_{i j}, V_{i j}$ have norm less than 1 and, on the other hand, on the event $\Omega_{\epsilon}(n),\left\|\Sigma_{-}\right\| \leq C e^{-\left(\gamma_{N}(E)-\epsilon\right) \ell|L-n|}$, we can write that

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{N}\left(\Phi_{+}(x)\right) & \geq s_{N}\left(U_{11} \Sigma_{+} V_{12}\right)-C e^{-\left(\gamma_{N}(E)-\epsilon\right) \ell|L-n|} \\
& \geq s_{N}\left(U_{11}\right) s_{N}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right) s_{N}\left(V_{12}\right)-C e^{-\left(\gamma_{N}(E)-\epsilon\right) \ell|L-n|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $s_{N}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right) \geq C^{-1} e^{\left(\gamma_{N}(E)-\epsilon\right) \ell|L-n|}$ (on the event $\Omega_{\epsilon}(n)$ ), we are left with controlling $s_{N}\left(U_{11}\right)$ and $s_{N}\left(V_{12}\right)$. We can prove as in Claim 3.4 and Remark 3.5 of [3] that, on $\Omega_{\epsilon}(n)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{N}\left(V_{12}\right) \geq e^{\left.-\frac{\epsilon}{25}| | L-n \right\rvert\,} \text { and } s_{N}\left(U_{11}\right) \geq e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{25} \ell|L-n|} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, on $\Omega_{\epsilon}(n)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|s_{N}\left(\Phi_{+}(x)\right)\right| \geq C^{-1} e^{\left(\gamma_{N}(E)-\frac{27 \epsilon}{25}\right) \ell|L-n|}-C e^{-\left(\gamma_{N}(E)-\epsilon\right) \ell|L-n|} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $L$ large enough, one gets on $\Omega_{\epsilon}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|s_{N}\left(\Phi_{+}(x)\right)\right| \geq e^{\left(\gamma_{N}(E)-2 \epsilon\right) \frac{2 \ell L}{3}}>0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\Phi_{+}(x)$ is invertible.
The next step to be able to apply Lemma 3.3 is to prove that $\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{+}(x)^{-1}-\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{-}(x)^{-1}$ is invertible. We prove as in Equation (33) of [3] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{N}\left(\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{+}(x)^{-1}-\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{-}(x)^{-1}\right) \geq e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \ell L} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have by Lemma 3.3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\Lambda_{L}}^{\omega}(E, x, y)=\Phi_{+}(y)\left(\Phi_{+}(x)\right)^{-1}\left(\Phi_{+}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{+}(x)^{-1}-\Phi_{-}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{-}(x)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we remark that, for such a $y$, we have by [2, Lemma 6],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{+}(y)\right|^{2} \leq N \exp \left(2 \int_{y}^{\ell L}\left|V_{\omega}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t\right) \leq C \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C$ independent of $\omega$ and $L$.
Together with (3.18), (3.14) and (3.16), it gives that on $\Omega_{\epsilon}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G_{\Lambda_{L}}^{\omega}(E, x, y)\right\| \leq C e^{-2\left(\gamma_{N}(E)-\frac{7}{4} \epsilon\right) \ell L} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last step is to estimate the probability of $\Omega_{\epsilon}$. Since the sequence of transfer matrices of $\left\{H_{\omega, \ell}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ and its Furstenberg group satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 2.1, there exist $C, c>0$ such that $\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}(n)\right) \leq C e^{-c|n-L|}$. As a consequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left({ }^{c}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \geq 1-\sum_{n \in[-L / 3, L / 3]} C e^{-c|n-L|} \geq 1-C^{\prime} e^{-c^{\prime} \ell L} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which prove the ILSE for $\left\{H_{\omega, \ell}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$.
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