



**HAL**  
open science

## Comparative anatomy vs mechanistic understanding: how to interpret the diameter-vulnerability link

Frederic Lens, Sean M Gleason, Giovanni Bortolami, Craig Brodersen, Sylvain Delzon, Steven Jansen

### ► To cite this version:

Frederic Lens, Sean M Gleason, Giovanni Bortolami, Craig Brodersen, Sylvain Delzon, et al.. Comparative anatomy vs mechanistic understanding: how to interpret the diameter-vulnerability link. *IAWA Journal*, 2023, 44 (3-4), pp.368-380. 10.1163/22941932-bja10137 . hal-04601373

**HAL Id: hal-04601373**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04601373v1>**

Submitted on 10 Jun 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## Comparative anatomy vs mechanistic understanding: how to interpret the diameter-vulnerability link

Frederic Lens<sup>1,2</sup>, Sean M. Gleason<sup>3</sup>, Giovanni Bortolami<sup>1</sup>, Craig Brodersen<sup>4</sup>, Sylvain Delzon<sup>5</sup>, Steven Jansen<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Naturalis Biodiversity Center, P.O. Box 9517, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands;

<sup>2</sup>Leiden University, Institute of Biology Leiden, Plant Sciences, Sylviusweg 72, 2333 BE Leiden, The Netherlands;

<sup>3</sup>Water Management and Systems Research Unit, United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Fort Collins, CO 80526, USA;

<sup>4</sup>School of the Environment, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, USA;

<sup>5</sup>University of Bordeaux, INRAE, BIOGECO, 33615, Pessac, France;

<sup>6</sup>Institute of Systematic Botany and Ecology, Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, Ulm University, D-89081, Ulm, Germany.

ORCID Frederic Lens: 0000-0002-5001-0149

ORCID Sean M. Gleason: 0000-0002-5607-4741

ORCID Giovanni Bortolami: 0000-0001-7528-9644

ORCID Craig Brodersen: 0000-0002-0924-2570

ORCID Sylvain Delzon: 0000-0003-3442-1711

ORCID Steven Jansen: 0000-0002-4476-5334

### Author for correspondence

Frederic Lens, email: frederic.lens@naturalis.nl

**Short title:** Role of vessel diameter on drought-induced embolism

### Word count

abstract: 217, Main body total: 4140

Figures: 0; Tables: 0; Supporting information: 0

## Abstract

1  
2  
3 Results from comparative and ecological wood anatomy in combination with a number of  
4  
5 experimental studies on plant hydraulics have led to a pervasive and longstanding  
6  
7 assumption that wider diameter vessels are more vulnerable to drought-induced embolism  
8  
9 than narrower vessels. Although we agree that wider vessels tend to be more vulnerable  
10  
11 than narrower vessels within stems and within roots across most species, our current  
12  
13 understanding of the diameter-vulnerability link does not offer a mechanistic explanation  
14  
15 for why increased vessel diameter should consistently lead to greater vulnerability or vice  
16  
17 versa. Causes of drought-induced embolism formation and spread likely operate at the  
18  
19 nano-level, especially at gas-liquid-surfactant interfaces inside intervessel pit membranes.  
20  
21 We evaluate here new perspectives on drought-induced embolism and its key anatomical  
22  
23 and physico-chemical drivers, of which vessel diameter is one of the parameters involved,  
24  
25 although its linkage to embolism vulnerability is likely indirect. As such, the diameter-  
26  
27 vulnerability link does not imply that species with on average wider vessels are consistently  
28  
29 more susceptible to drought-induced embolism compared to species with narrower vessels.  
30  
31 Scientific priorities for future progress should focus on more accurate predictions of how  
32  
33 water transport in plants is affected by drought, which require a better mechanistic  
34  
35 understanding of xylem network topology and biophysical processes at the nano-scale level  
36  
37 in individual vessels that determine embolism formation and spread.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Key words

drought, embolism, pit membrane thickness, plant hydraulics, vessel diameter, wood anatomy, xylem networks, xylem sap

## Introduction

One of the most frequently measured xylem traits in angiosperms is vessel diameter, which ranges from 10 - 700  $\mu\text{m}$  both across and within species (Hacke *et al.* 2017; Olson 2020).

This trait is commonly measured for multiple reasons, most notably because vessel diameter is easily measured from cross sections of xylem, and has proven to act as an important functional trait regulating hydraulic conductivity (Sperry *et al.* 2006; Lachenbruch & McCulloh 2014) and freeze-thaw-induced embolism (Sperry & Sullivan 1992; Davis *et al.* 1999; Sevanto *et al.* 2012; Lintunen *et al.* 2013, 2020; Charrier *et al.* 2014), among other plant functional traits. Broad accessibility to this trait with relatively simple methods has also provided numerous opportunities for comparative anatomy studies that allowed for insights into plant adaptation across various terrestrial habitats, many of which were initiated by Sherwin Carlquist and followed by others (e.g., Carlquist 1966, 2001; Baas *et al.* 1983; Lens *et al.* 2004; Olson *et al.* 2023). These comparative studies show that species from dry environments tend to have a narrower mean vessel diameter than closely related species from wetter habitats, which provides evidence for the idea that species with wider vessels are more susceptible to drought-induced embolism than species with narrower vessels (e.g., Olson *et al.* 2018; Stovall *et al.* 2019). To further highlight the role of vessel diameter in a plant's drought response, Carlquist (1975) calculated indices for *Vulnerability* ( $V$ ; vessel diameter divided by vessel density) and *Mesomorphy* ( $V$  multiplied by vessel

1 element length) for species native to habitats with contrasting levels of aridity, and found  
2 that drought-adapted species showed  $V$ - and  $M$ -indices markedly below 1.0 and below 30,  
3 respectively (summarised in this special issue by Ewers *et al.* 2023). Yet, despite several  
4 decades of work on establishing a link between conduit diameter and vulnerability to  
5 drought-induced embolism, the current state of the underlying mechanistic understanding  
6 remains largely uncertain (Lens *et al.* 2022; Hacke *et al.* 2023).  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 In addition to the comparative studies of Carlquist (1966, 2001) and pioneers before him  
19 (see Olson 2020 for an historical overview), part of the theoretical background regarding the  
20 functional role of conduit diameter finds its root in the seminal work by Zimmermann  
21 (1983), which illustrates the effects of conduit diameter on idealised Hagen-Poiseuille flow  
22 in cylindrical pipes. This theoretical framework was highly influential, and set the stage for  
23 many subsequent investigations to explicitly test the hypotheses presented in  
24 Zimmermann's book. Emerging over the following decades was the idea that some inherent  
25 tradeoff must exist between optimising the xylem for hydraulic efficiency, structural  
26 support, and hydraulic safety. That hypothetical tradeoff 'triangle' (see Baas *et al.* 2004;  
27 Brodersen 2015) was then critically evaluated based on a large-scale data analyses,  
28 confirming (often weak) correlations between efficiency and safety (measured as  $P_{50}$ : xylem  
29 sap water potential leading to a 50% loss of conductivity; Gleason *et al.* 2015), between  
30 support and safety (Hacke *et al.* 2001), and between conduit diameter and safety (Fig. 1 in  
31 Lens *et al.* 2022; Hacke *et al.* 2023). This latter diameter-vulnerability link was also  
32 confirmed in a number of smaller-scale experimental studies (e.g., Hargrave *et al.* 1994; Cai  
33 & Tyree 2010; Nardini *et al.* 2017; Jacobsen *et al.* 2019; Isasa *et al.* 2023). However, many  
34 other smaller-scale experimental publications did not find a diameter- $P_{50}$  link in either stems  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 or petioles (e.g., Lens *et al.* 2011, 2016; Brodersen *et al.* 2013; Choat *et al.* 2016; Dória *et al.*  
2 2018, 2019; Emilio *et al.* 2019; Thonglim *et al.* 2020, 2022); this link was also not retrieved in  
3  
4 some studies on conifers (Bouche *et al.* 2014; Johnson & Brodribb 2023). Two conclusions  
5  
6 that can be drawn from these inconsistent experimental results: (1) even if a correlation  
7  
8 between vessel diameter and  $P_{50}$  exists, this correlation is of little use without critically  
9  
10 interpreting what it actually means in relation to total-plant drought resilience, and (2)  
11  
12 reporting correlation between these traits does little to inform our understanding of the  
13  
14 mechanisms underlying drought-induced embolism formation and spread, which is currently  
15  
16 a priority for the field (Lens *et al.* 2022).  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 In this opinion paper, based on the ideas already published in detail in Lens *et al.* (2022) and  
27  
28 inspired by earlier comparative wood anatomy work by Carlquist and others, we further  
29  
30 explore the idea of a potential mechanistic relationship between vessel diameter and  
31  
32 vulnerability to drought-induced embolism, and discuss how this impacts the interpretation  
33  
34 of the diameter-vulnerability link. A critical assessment of this link involves two research  
35  
36 questions: (1) are wider vessels always more prone to drought-induced embolism than  
37  
38 narrower vessels (and vice versa), and (2) are species with a higher mean vessel diameter  
39  
40 consistently more vulnerable to embolism - and by extension more vulnerable to drought -  
41  
42 than species with narrower vessels? These two questions are often not separated, and we  
43  
44 believe disentangling them will lead to a more balanced and useful understanding of the  
45  
46 role of vessel diameter in drought-induced embolism.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

56 Even when assuming the diameter-vulnerability link to be valid across a broad range of  
57  
58 vascular plant species, we echo the conclusion in our previous paper stating that (1) wider  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 vessels are often (but not always) more vulnerable to drought-induced embolism compared  
2  
3 to narrower vessels when the same organs are taken into account (see also Fig. 2 in Hacke  
4  
5 *et al.* 2023), and (2) that species with on average wider vessels are not necessarily more  
6  
7 vulnerable to drought-induced embolism than species with narrower vessels. The main  
8  
9 reasons for these two statements are that the mechanisms leading to drought-induced  
10  
11 embolism involve a complex interaction among multiple anatomical (incl. vessel diameter)  
12  
13 and physico-chemical drivers operating at different scales (Lens *et al.* 2022). Unfortunately,  
14  
15 this complexity and the fact that we do not understand exactly how plants are able to  
16  
17 transport water under negative pressure without constant or even frequent embolism  
18  
19 formation, makes it difficult to generalise findings related to drought-induced embolism.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 To reconcile this discrepancy, there is an urgent need to keep on critically assessing the  
29  
30 methods used to generate anatomical and experimental data, and to dive deeper into the  
31  
32 mechanisms behind drought-induced embolism. In addition, the vulnerability discussion  
33  
34 concerning wide vessels contributes to debates about the frequency of drought-induced  
35  
36 embolism events *in planta*: (1) does embolism formation occur in the vulnerable wide  
37  
38 vessels on a daily basis in the field also under normal conditions of precipitation, which  
39  
40 indirectly suggests frequent embolism repair events (Salleo *et al.* 2004; Jacobsen *et al.* 2007;  
41  
42 Hacke *et al.* 2009; Nardini *et al.* 2011; Jacobsen & Pratt 2012), or (2) is widespread embolism  
43  
44 uncommon over relatively long periods in the field and limited to periods of severe drought  
45  
46 in narrow- as well as wide-vesseled species (Cochard & Delzon 2013; Lens *et al.* 2016;  
47  
48 Wason *et al.* 2018; Guan *et al.* 2022)? Answering these questions is crucial because  
49  
50 embolism events could result in the loss of hydraulic conductance, tissue desiccation and/or  
51  
52 dieback (Davis *et al.* 2002; Venturas *et al.* 2016; Pratt *et al.* 2020; Brodribb *et al.* 2021;  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Mantova *et al.* 2022), and can therefore affect plant function and survival (McDowel *et al.*  
2 2008; Allen *et al.* 2015; Anderegg *et al.* 2016; Adams *et al.* 2017; Choat *et al.* 2018; Brodribb  
3 *et al.* 2020).  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 **Why are wider vessels not necessarily more vulnerable to drought-induced embolism than** 11 **narrower vessels?** 12 13

14  
15 A frequently cited argument from ecological studies on wood anatomy supporting the  
16 diameter-vulnerability link is that earlywood vessels, which are often formed during the  
17 spring in temperate regions or during the wet season in seasonally dry regions, are always  
18 wider than latewood vessels formed later in the season, often under drier conditions  
19 (Carlquist 2001; Olson *et al.* 2023). We agree that earlywood will be on average more  
20 vulnerable than latewood across most of these species. However, this does not necessarily  
21 indicate that all earlywood and latewood vessels differ in vulnerability to drought-induced  
22 embolism, and it is even plausible that some of the latewood vessels are considerably more  
23 vulnerable than most earlywood vessels. With respect to the tracheid-bearing conifer  
24 species *Pseudotsuga menziesii*, Dalla-Salda *et al.* (2014) indeed found that the latewood is  
25 *more* vulnerable to drought-induced embolism compared to earlywood, probably due to  
26 inflexible interconduit pit membranes in the latewood tracheids that facilitate embolism  
27 spread. Therefore, linking conduit diameter with vulnerability to embolism can be  
28 misleading when not considering other traits that may play an equal or more important role  
29 in drought-induced embolism formation than conduit diameter. In other words, all else  
30 being equal, wider vessels are likely more vulnerable than narrower vessels, agreeing with  
31 the large body of literature (e.g., Lens *et al.* 2022; Hacke *et al.* 2023; Olson *et al.* 2023).  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3 However, all else is typically not equal in nature, implying that wider vessels can also be  
4  
5  
6 considerably more resistant to drought-induced embolism than narrower vessels.

7  
8 Also from the point of view of xylem physiology, there are three main explanations for why  
9  
10 the impact of vessel diameter on drought-induced embolism vulnerability should be treated  
11  
12 in a broader perspective. First, the mechanisms behind drought-induced embolisms are  
13  
14 complex, poorly understood, and occur at much smaller scales than whole-conduit  
15  
16 dimensions. For example, gas bubble formation (and stabilisation), and bubble expansion  
17  
18 may arise from nano-scale interactions occurring among the multiple interfaces between  
19  
20 liquids, gases, and solids inside conduits. These interactions are further complicated by the  
21  
22 presence of solutes and insoluble xylem sap compounds that change the surface tension,  
23  
24 aka surface-active agents or surfactants, which are hypothesised to stabilise very small gas  
25  
26 bubbles (“nanobubbles”) and may result in xylem sap that is far more resilient to drought-  
27  
28 induced embolism than traditionally thought (Schenk *et al.* 2017, 2020; Yang *et al.* 2020;  
29  
30 Jansen *et al.* 2022; Ingram *et al.* 2021). However, the precise combination of surfaces (cell  
31  
32 wall, pit border, pit membrane), xylem sap, and gas properties/conditions that result in  
33  
34 embolism formation and spread remain poorly understood, but are likely proximally related  
35  
36 to embolism vulnerability. For example, it is possible that embolisms result from pre-existing  
37  
38 gas bubbles, including tiny nanobubbles or surface bubbles associated with hydrophobic  
39  
40 vessel surfaces, which expand in the xylem sap when these bubbles exceed a critical size  
41  
42 threshold for a given pressure (Tyree *et al.* 1994; Hölttä *et al.* 2002; Lohse & Zhang 2015;  
43  
44 Schenk *et al.* 2017), although experimental evidence for this idea is poor. How nanobubbles  
45  
46 and the likelihood of embolism formation relate to vessel diameter (and length) remains to  
47  
48 be studied: either vessels with a larger volume contain a higher total number of lipid-coated  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 nanobubbles that may increase embolism risk, or these wider and longer vessels could be  
2 more resistant if large neighbouring vessels during their initial stage of embolisation would  
3 extract gas from their functional neighbours in order to reach atmospheric pressure at the  
4 final stages of embolisation (Wang *et al.* 2015).  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 Second, correlation does not invoke causation, which obviously also applies to the diameter-  
13 vulnerability link. There is no known direct, mechanistic link between conduit diameter and  
14 drought-induced embolism resistance, not at the whole-plant level nor at the ultrastructural  
15 pit level. If such a mechanism were to exist, we should expect it to affect the relative  
16 vulnerabilities of xylem in leaves (narrower conduits) and stems (wider conduits) similarly  
17 within and across individuals and species, but it clearly has not. For example, although  
18 several authors have reported leaf xylem to be more resistant to embolism than branch or  
19 stem xylem (Zhu *et al.* 2016; Klepsch *et al.* 2018; Levionnois *et al.* 2020b; Guan *et al.* 2022),  
20 this result is far from consistent across studies, despite leaves having consistently narrower  
21 conduits than branches or stems. Likewise, several authors have reported that leaves are  
22 more vulnerable than perennial shoots (Pivovarovoff *et al.* 2014; Charrier *et al.* 2016; Johnson  
23 *et al.* 2016; Creek *et al.* 2018; Skelton *et al.* 2019), or else have found no meaningful  
24 difference in xylem vulnerability between leaves, stems and/or roots of the same individuals  
25 (Skelton *et al.* 2017; Creek *et al.* 2018; Wason *et al.* 2018; Losso *et al.* 2019; Levionnois *et al.*  
26 2020b; Smith-Martin *et al.* 2020; Wu *et al.* 2020, Lübbe *et al.* 2022). Thus, if a causal  
27 mechanism between conduit diameter and vulnerability was identified, it would need to  
28 account for the inconsistent vulnerability-diameter relationships within and across  
29 individuals. Bearing this in mind, the recent study of Isasa *et al.* (2023) showed that a  
30 negative vessel diameter- $P_{50}$  relationship exists at the interspecific scale, but not at the  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 intraspecific scale. In conclusion, a view that takes into account the entire body of research  
2 on xylem vulnerability, rather than studies that only support a vulnerability-diameter link  
3 within a single organ, does not support a mechanism operating at the scale of the whole  
4 plant.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 At the pit level, researchers often cite the pit area hypothesis (Wheeler *et al.* 2005; Hacke *et al.*  
13 *et al.* 2006, 2009; Christman *et al.* 2009, 2012) to mechanistically explain the diameter-  
14 vulnerability link. This hypothesis assumes that permeability to gas and embolism  
15 propagation among vessels increases with increasing intervessel pit area of a given vessel  
16 with average dimensions ( $A_p$ ). While the pit area hypothesis correctly emphasises the  
17 functional importance of intervessel connectivity (Loepfe *et al.* 2007; Wason *et al.* 2021;  
18 Bouda *et al.* 2022), large pit membrane pores are thought to be exceptionally rare and, if  
19 observed, are most likely the result of artefacts (Jansen *et al.* 2008, 2009; Choat *et al.* 2008).  
20  
21 Furthermore, the idea that a rare, single-layered pit membrane would be highly leaky due to  
22 a single, unusually large pore, leading to embolism propagation, is not compatible with  
23 recent theoretical insights on the three-dimensional, multi-layered nature of pit membranes  
24 with multiple pore constrictions that represent the bottlenecks for water and gas transport  
25 (Kaack *et al.* 2019, 2021; Yang *et al.* 2020; Zhang *et al.* 2020). These insights, based on 3D-  
26 modelling and hydraulics measurements, suggest that  $P_{50}$  has a stronger relationship with  
27 intervessel pit membrane thickness than  $A_p$  (Kaack *et al.* 2021), although Isasa *et al.* (2023)  
28 showed that intervessel membrane thickness alone cannot explain the  $P_{50}$  interspecific  
29 variability based on 12 temperate tree species. What emerges from these studies is that (1)  
30 the pit area hypothesis should be further tested with respect to both length-diameter  
31 scaling of conduits and connectivity (among both vessels and adjacent tracheids; Carlquist  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1984; Sperry *et al.* 2006) before it can be used as a solid mechanistic explanation for a possible correlation between  $P_{50}$  and vessel diameter, (2) embolism spread is the result of a combination of different traits that may be related (e.g., vessel connectivity or  $A_p$ ) or may not be related (e.g., sap composition, vessel wall chemistry, intervessel pit membrane thickness) to vessel diameter (Lens *et al.* 2022), and (3) there is an urgent need to validate the theoretical pit membrane models with ultrastructural observations of intervessel pit membranes.

Third, several different methods have been used to quantify both mean vessel diameter and  $P_{50}$ , thereby adding noise to the diameter-vulnerability link (e.g., Brodersen *et al.* 2013; Cochard & Delzon 2013; Choat *et al.* 2016; Martin-StPaul *et al.* 2017; Creek *et al.* 2018; Emilio *et al.* 2019; Smith-Martin *et al.* 2020; Barigah *et al.* 2021). With respect to vessel diameter, there are multiple ways for a plant to arrive at the same mean vessel diameter (i.e., different vessel diameter frequency distributions), and “mean” vessel diameter may not be the most meaningful quantification of vessel width, as it relates to embolism vulnerability. In addition, mean vessel diameter is calculated in different ways (e.g., tangential diameter, equivalent circle diameter), and is often hydraulically weighted based on either all vessels in a cross-section or from a subset of these vessels (usually > 100) (Scholz *et al.* 2013). Also, the pressure gradient driving water transport through the xylem may not be uniform across all vessels in a cross section (Bouda *et al.* 2019), which would likely result in errors in estimated vulnerability across vessels of different diameter within the same cross section. To further complicate matters, branch age, growth rate, number of growth rings, and seasonality may affect the vulnerability curve (Charrier *et al.* 2018; Olson *et al.* 2018; Pratt *et al.* 2020; Sorek *et al.* 2020; Weithmann *et al.* 2022). In summary, the

1 interpretation of the diameter-vulnerability link would become clearer if we know the  
2 vulnerability of individual vessels, and the determinants of this vulnerability, as well as the  
3 aggregate vulnerability across many vessels.  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 The unexplained variation in the vulnerability-diameter correlation could also be explained  
11 by differences in  $P_{50}$  methods that may impact vulnerability curves (Cochard *et al.* 2013;  
12 Venturas *et al.* 2019; Gauthey *et al.* 2020; Hacke *et al.* 2023). The open vessel artefact is  
13 noteworthy in this regard, especially in studies focusing on stems of long-vesseled genera  
14 such as *Quercus* (Sperry *et al.* 2012; Martin-StPaul *et al.* 2014; Skelton *et al.* 2018, 2021;  
15 Percolla *et al.* 2021) and *Vitis* (Choat *et al.* 2010; Jacobsen & Pratt 2012; McElrone *et al.*  
16 2012; Charrier *et al.* 2018). Many studies showed that this artefact occurs when embolism is  
17 artificially induced with the centrifuge method in stem (or root) segments that are  
18 considerably shorter than the maximum vessel length, thus resulting in vessels being cut at  
19 both ends (“open vessels”). These longer (and often wider) cut-open vessels embolize  
20 quickly when they are subjected to mild negative pressure, thus resulting in a rapid  
21 (exponential or “r”-shaped) decline in hydraulic conductivity with increasing xylem tension,  
22 and hence an overestimation of vulnerability (Alder *et al.* 1996; Cochard 2002; Choat *et al.*  
23 2010; Cochard *et al.* 2010, 2013; McElrone *et al.* 2012; Martin-StPaul *et al.* 2014; Torres-Ruiz  
24 *et al.* 2014; although see Tobin *et al.* 2012, Jacobsen & Pratt 2012, Sperry *et al.* 2012, Hacke  
25 *et al.* 2014, and Jacobsen & Pratt 2023 for a different point of view). Other factors that are  
26 known to affect the shape of a vulnerability curve are temporal variation in “native”  
27 embolism (daily or seasonal) and the flushing of stems with water prior to taking an initial  
28 hydraulic measurement (Domec *et al.* 2006; Jacobsen *et al.* 2007; Hacke *et al.* 2014, 2023).  
29 Considering all these potential sources of error in embolism vulnerability measurements, a  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 critical examination of methods and models used to estimate xylem vulnerability remains a  
2 research priority (Cochard *et al.* 2013).  
3  
4  
5  
6

7 **Why are species with wider vessels not necessarily more vulnerable to drought-induced**  
8 **embolism than those with narrower vessels?**  
9

10 The mechanisms leading to drought-induced embolism involve many potential anatomical  
11 and physico-chemical drivers of drought-induced embolism. This implies that natural  
12 selection may act on combinations of traits that affect the susceptibility of xylem to  
13 drought-induced embolism at different scales, with vessel diameter only being one of these  
14 traits that may or may not have a significant impact on the drought resistance of the entire  
15 individual. The potential xylem drivers of drought-induced embolism are discussed more in-  
16 depth in Lens *et al.* (2022), and are listed here in alphabetical order (see also Box 1 in Lens *et*  
17 *al.* 2022).  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 (1) macro-level (vessel) traits: 3D-conduit network (Loepfe *et al.* 2007; Brodersen 2013;  
33 Mrad *et al.* 2018, 2021; Wason *et al.* 2021; Bouda *et al.* 2022), Ap (Wheeler *et al.* 2005;  
34 Kaack *et al.* 2021), and conduit diameter (this paper; Isasa *et al.* 2023);  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 (2) nano-level xylem traits: intervessel pit membrane thickness (Jansen *et al.* 2009; Lens *et*  
42 *al.* 2011, 2013; Li *et al.* 2016; Dória *et al.* 2018; Levionnois *et al.* 2020a; Thonglim *et al.* 2020,  
43 2022; Kaack *et al.* 2021), cell wall chemistry and thickness (Lens *et al.* 2016; Greenwood *et*  
44 *al.* 2017; Pereira *et al.* 2018; Dória *et al.* 2018, 2019; Thonglim *et al.* 2020, 2022; Frankiewicz  
45 *et al.* 2021; Liang *et al.* 2021; Zizka *et al.* 2022), wettability of the inner conduit wall (Lohse &  
46 Zhang 2015; Zwieniecki & Holbrook 2000; McCully *et al.* 2014; Schenk *et al.* 2017, 2018,  
47 2020; Brodersen *et al.* 2018), dynamic surface tension of xylem sap and surfactant-coated  
48 nanobubbles (Schenk *et al.* 2015, 2017, 2020; Yang *et al.* 2020; Ingram *et al.* 2021; Jansen *et*  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 *al.* 2022), and gas movement and xylem sap oversaturation (Schenk *et al.* 2016; Pereira *et*  
2  
3 *al.* 2020; Guan *et al.* 2021).

## 7 **Concluding remarks**

10 From the above, it is clear from both ecological wood anatomy and experimental studies  
11 that a weak but significant diameter-vulnerability link does exist when comparing all  
12 available data (mainly from stems; Fig. 1 in Lens *et al.* 2022). This means that there is a large  
13 body of evidence that wider vessels tend to be more vulnerable than narrower vessels  
14 within the same organ, confirming Carlquist's ideas (1966, 2001). Consequently, we agree  
15 that vessel diameter likely plays some role in drought-induced embolism formation in many  
16 clades (Lens *et al.* 2022; Olson *et al.* 2023), but most likely not within all clades (e.g., Lens *et*  
17 *al.* 2011, 2016; Brodersen *et al.* 2013; Choat *et al.* 2016; Dória *et al.* 2018, 2019; Emilio *et al.*  
18 2019; Thonglim *et al.* 2020, 2022), and definitely not at the whole-plant level (e.g., Skelton  
19 *et al.* 2017; Creek *et al.* 2018; Wason *et al.* 2018; Losso *et al.* 2019; Levionnois *et al.* 2020b;  
20 Smith-Martin *et al.* 2020; Wu *et al.* 2020, Lübbe *et al.* 2022). Even if we generally accept that  
21 there is a diameter-vulnerability link, not knowing the mechanisms involved in drought-  
22 induced embolism can lead to subtle but important differences in the interpretation of what  
23 the link actually means, i.e., how and why it has arisen and its consequences on plant  
24 function. Although we agree with Olson *et al.* (2023) that the salient vessel diameter  
25 patterns in nature are valuable in directing our research questions to solve how plants  
26 respond to environmental stress, we feel that this approach overemphasises the role of  
27 vessel diameter in the drought stress debate, and simplifies the relationship between vessel  
28 diameter and embolism vulnerability to the point of being misleading. The diameter-  
29 vulnerability link does not imply that (1) wider vessels are necessarily more susceptible to  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 drought-induced embolism (and vice versa) or (2) that vessels with the same width (and  
2 length) are equally vulnerable (see also Fig. 2 in Hacke *et al.* 2023), and (3) it definitely does  
3 not mean that species with on average wider vessels are more vulnerable to drought-  
4 induced embolism or by extension are more drought-sensitive. As we all know, plants are  
5 famous for developing multiple solutions when it comes to drought response strategies  
6 (e.g., Pivovarov *et al.* 2015; McDowell *et al.* 2022). Placing a scientific premium on the study  
7 of vessel diameter as an important and meaningful correlate of embolism vulnerability may  
8 not be the best way to prioritise future research, nor the best way to inspire research that  
9 could potentially lead to a better understanding of drought-induced embolism (Lens *et al.*  
10 2022). Although pointing out that correlation does not imply causation may appear as a  
11 platitude, it is true that scientists still commonly cite vessel diameter in discussions about  
12 drought responses without addressing why this correlation represents a convincing  
13 argument, or not.

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 We suggest that a better understanding of the diameter-vulnerability link could be obtained  
37 by focusing our research efforts on three broad objectives: (1) improve our understanding of  
38 the vulnerability of individual vessels, rather than an aggregate measure of vulnerability  
39 across many vessels (although this is technically challenging, e.g., via microCT observations  
40 that span an axial segment length beyond the length of the longest vessels), and connect  
41 this information to other drought-associated traits in the xylem and beyond, (2) develop  
42 new and more powerful non-invasive techniques to identify macro and nano-scale  
43 mechanistic driver(s) of embolism initiation and spread across different environmental  
44 conditions and different plant organs, and (3) evaluate the relative importance and timing of  
45 xylem embolism among the sequence of physiological failures that occur during drought, i.e.



1 loss of turgor, cessation of cell division and growth, plasma membrane instability, plant  
2 death (Mantova *et al.* 2022). Once a large body of drought-associated xylem traits are  
3 investigated in different organs of carefully selected model species that grow in contrasting  
4 environments, we can further test and fine-tune the mechanisms involved in embolism  
5 formation and spread through the development of a new family of integrative, process-  
6 based, xylem models linking nano- (Li *et al.* 2020; Zhang *et al.* 2020; Kaack *et al.* 2021;  
7 Weishaupt *et al.* 2022) to macro-scale processes (Loepfe *et al.* 2007; Mrad *et al.* 2018, 2021;  
8 Wason *et al.* 2021). Integrating nano- and macro-scale xylem processes would allow the  
9 evaluation of pit membrane traits, vessel network traits (e.g., connectivity among vessels  
10 and adjacent tracheids, vessel widening, segmentation or sectoriality; Carlquist 1984; Olson  
11 *et al.* 2020; McElrone *et al.* 2021) and their interactions on whole-plant transpiration,  
12 construction costs, and carbon income. This knowledge will be important to reconcile our  
13 current state-of-the-art knowledge of embolism formation and spread with observations  
14 made from comparative anatomical studies, such as the empirical correlation between  
15 embolism vulnerability and conduit diameter. Moreover, it will help us to understand how  
16 plants will respond to a warming climate, and how to manage natural and agricultural  
17 systems under these novel environmental conditions (Choat *et al.* 2018; Brodribb *et al.*  
18 2020).

## 49 **Acknowledgments**

51 We warmly thank editors-in-chief Marcelo Pace and Lloyd Donaldson for their guidance  
52 through the review process of this manuscript, and their aim to provide a balanced view on  
53 the role of vessel diameter in this special issue dedicated to Sherwin Carlquist. FL and GB  
54 were supported by the Dutch Research Council NWO (grant ALWOP.488), SD by the REGION

1 project VITIPIN and Grand Programme de Recherche Bordeaux Plant Science (BPS, WP  
2 Waterloo), and SJ acknowledges funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG,  
3 German Research Foundation, project number 457287575).  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10

## 11 12 **References**

- 13  
14  
15 Adams HD, Zeppel MJB, Anderegg WRL, Hartmann H, Landhäusser SM, *et al.* 2017. A multi-  
16 species synthesis of physiological mechanisms in drought-induced tree mortality.  
17  
18 Nat. Ecol. Evol. 1: 1285–1291. DOI: 10.1038/s41559-017-0248-x.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23 Alder NN, Sperry JS, Pockman WT. 1996. Root and stem xylem embolism, stomatal  
24 conductance, and leaf turgor in *Acer grandidentatum* populations along a soil  
25 moisture gradient. *Oecologia* 105: 293–301. DOI: 10.1007/BF00328731.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31 Allen CD, Breshears DD, McDowell NG. 2015. On underestimation of global vulnerability to  
32 tree mortality and forest die-off from hotter drought in the Anthropocene.  
33  
34 *Ecosphere* 6: art129. DOI: 10.1890/ES15-00203.1.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39 Anderegg WRL, Klein T, Bartlett M, Sack L, Pellegrini AFA, *et al.* 2016. Meta-analysis reveals  
40 that hydraulic traits explain cross-species patterns of drought-induced tree mortality  
41 across the globe. *PNAS* 113: 5024–5029. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1525678113.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47 Baas P, Ewers FW, Davis SD, Wheeler EA. 2004. Evolution of xylem physiology. In: AR  
48 Hemsley, I Poole (eds.), *The evolution of plant physiology*: 273–295. Elsevier, Oxford,  
49 UK.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Barigah TS, Gyenge JE, Barreto F, Rozenberg P, Fernandez ME. 2021. Narrow vessels cavitate first during a simulated drought in *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*. *Physiol. Plant.* 173: 2081–2090. DOI: 10.1111/ppl.13556.
- Bouche PS, Larter M, Domec J-C, Burlett R, Gasson P, Jansen S, Delzon S. 2014. A broad survey of hydraulic and mechanical safety in the xylem of conifers. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65: 4419–4431. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/eru218.
- Bouda M, Huggett BA, Prats KA, Wason JW, Wilson JP, *et al.* 2022. Hydraulic failure as a primary driver of xylem network evolution in early vascular plants. *Science* 378: 642–646. DOI: 10.1126/science.add2910.
- Bouda M, Windt CW, McElrone AJ, Brodersen CR. 2019. *In vivo* pressure gradient heterogeneity increases flow contribution of small diameter vessels in grapevine. *Nat. Commun.* 10: 5645. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-13673-6.
- Brodersen CR. 2013. Visualizing wood anatomy in three dimensions with high-resolution X-ray micro-tomography (MCT) - a review. *IAWA J.* 34: 408–424. DOI: 10.1163/22941932-00000033.
- Brodersen CR. 2015. Finding support for theoretical tradeoffs in xylem structure and function. *New Phytol.* 209: 8–10. DOI: 10.1111/nph.13763.
- Brodersen CR, Knipfer T, McElrone AJ. 2018. *In vivo* visualization of the final stages of xylem vessel refilling in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*) stems. *New Phytol.* 217: 117–126. DOI: 10.1111/nph.14811.
- Brodersen CR, McElrone AJ, Choat B, Lee EF, Shackel KA, *et al.* 2013. *In vivo* visualizations of drought-induced embolism spread in *Vitis vinifera*. *Plant Physiol.* 161: 1820–1829. DOI: 10.1104/pp.112.212712.

- 1 Brodribb T, Brodersen CR, Carriqui M, Tonet V, Rodriguez Dominguez C, *et al.* 2021. Linking  
2 xylem network failure with leaf tissue death. *New Phytol.* 232: 68–79. DOI:  
3 10.1111/nph.17577.  
4  
5  
6  
7 Brodribb TJ, Powers J, Cochard H, Choat B. 2020. Hanging by a thread? Forests and drought.  
8 *Science* 368: 261–266. DOI: 10.1126/science.aat7631.  
9  
10  
11  
12 Cai J, Tyree MT. 2010. The impact of vessel size on vulnerability curves: data and models for  
13 within-species variability in saplings of aspen, *Populus tremuloides* Michx. *Plant Cell*  
14 *Environ.* 33: 1059–1069. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02127.x.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21 Carlquist S. 1966. Wood anatomy of Compositae: a summary, with comments on factors  
22 controlling wood evolution. *Aliso* 6: 25–44. DOI:10.5642/ALISO.19660602.03.  
23  
24  
25  
26 Carlquist S. 1977. Ecological factors in wood evolution: a floristic approach. *Am. J. Bot.* 64:  
27 887–896. DOI:10.2307/2442382.  
28  
29  
30  
31 Carlquist S. 1984. Vessel grouping in dicotyledon wood: significance and relationship to  
32 imperforate tracheary elements. *Aliso* 10: 505-525. DOI: 10.5642/aliso.19841004.03.  
33  
34  
35  
36 Carlquist S. 2001. *Comparative wood anatomy*. Springer, Berlin.  
37  
38  
39 Charrier G, Charra-Vaskou K, Kasuga J, Cochard H, Mayr S, *et al.* 2014. Freeze-thaw stress:  
40 effects of temperature on hydraulic conductivity and ultrasonic activity in ten woody  
41 angiosperms. *Plant Physiol.* 164: 992–998. DOI: 10.1104/pp.113.228403.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 Charrier G, Delzon S, Domec J-C, Zhang L, Delmas CEL, *et al.* 2018. Drought will not leave  
47 your glass empty: Low risk of hydraulic failure revealed by long-term drought  
48 observations in world’s top wine regions. *Sci. Adv.* 4: eaao6969. DOI:  
49 10.1126/sciadv.aao6969.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Charrier G, Torres-Ruiz JM, Badel E, Burlett R, Choat B, *et al.* 2016. Evidence for hydraulic  
2 vulnerability segmentation and lack of xylem refilling under tension. *Plant Physiol.*  
3  
4  
5 172: 1657–1668. DOI: 10.1104/pp.16.01079.  
6

7 Choat B, Badel E, Burlett R, Delzon S, Cochard H, *et al.* 2016. Noninvasive measurement of  
8 vulnerability to drought-induced embolism by X-ray microtomography. *Plant Physiol.*  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13 170: 273–282. DOI: 10.1104/pp.15.00732.  
14

15 Choat B, Brodribb TJ, Brodersen CR, Duursma RA, Lopez R, *et al.* 2018. Triggers of tree  
16 mortality under drought. *Nature* 558: 531–539. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-018-0240-x.  
17  
18

19 Choat B, Cobb AR, Jansen S. 2008. Structure and function of bordered pits: new discoveries  
20 and impacts on whole-plant hydraulic function. *New Phytol.* 177: 608–626. DOI:  
21  
22  
23 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02317.x.  
24  
25  
26

27 Choat B, Drayton WM, Brodersen C, Matthews MA, Shackel KA, *et al.* 2010. Measurement of  
28 vulnerability to water stress-induced cavitation in grapevine: a comparison of four  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Cochard H, Badel E, Herbette S, Delzon S, Choat B, *et al.* 2013. Methods for measuring plant vulnerability to cavitation: a critical review. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64: 4779–4791. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/ert193.
- Cochard H, Delzon S. 2013. Hydraulic failure and repair are not routine in trees. *Ann. For. Sci.* 70: 659–661. DOI: 10.1007/s13595-013-0317-5.
- Cochard H, Herbette S, Barigah T, Vilagrosa A. 2010. Does sample length influence the shape of vulnerability to cavitation curves? A test with the Cavitron spinning technique. *Plant Cell Environ.* 33: 1543–1552. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02163.x.
- Creek D, Blackman CJ, Brodribb TJ, Choat B, Tissue DT. 2018. Coordination between leaf, stem, and root hydraulics and gas exchange in three arid-zone angiosperms during severe drought and recovery. *Plant Cell Environ.* 41: 2869–2881. DOI: 10.1111/pce.13418.
- Dalla-Salda G, Fernández MA, Sergeant A-S, Rozenberg P, Badel E, Martinez-Meier A. 2014. Dynamics of cavitation in a Douglas-fir tree-ring: transition-wood, the lord of the ring? *J. Plant Hydr.* 1: e-0005. DOI: 10.20870/jph.2014.e005.
- Davis SD, Sperry JS, Hacke UG. 1999. The relationship between xylem conduit diameter and cavitation caused by freezing. *Am. J. Bot.* 86: 1367–1372. DOI: 10.2307/2656919.
- Davis SD, Ewers FW, Sperry JS, Portwood KA, Crocker MC, *et al.* 2002. Shoot dieback during prolonged drought in *Ceanothus* (Rhamnaceae) chaparral of California: a possible case of hydraulic failure. *Am. J. Bot.* 89: 820–828. DOI: 10.3732/ajb.89.5.820.
- Domec J-C, Scholz FG, Bucci SJ, Meinzer FC, Goldstein G, *et al.* 2006. Diurnal and seasonal variation in root xylem embolism in neotropical savanna woody species: impact on stomatal control of plant water status. *Plant Cell Environ.* 29: 26–35. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01397.x.

1 Dória LC, Meijs C, Podadera DS, del Arco M, Smets E, *et al.* 2019. Embolism resistance in  
2 stems of herbaceous Brassicaceae and Asteraceae is linked with differences in  
3 woodiness and precipitation. *Ann. Bot.* 124: 1–13. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcy233.  
4  
5

6  
7 Dória LC, Podadera DS, Arco M, Chauvin T, Smets E, *et al.* 2018. Insular woody daisies  
8 (*Argyranthemum*, Asteraceae) are more resistant to drought- induced hydraulic  
9 failure than their herbaceous relatives. *Funct. Ecol.* 32: 1467–1478. DOI:  
10 10.1111/1365-2435.13085.  
11  
12

13 Emilio T, Lamarque LJ, Torres-Ruiz JM, King A, Charrier G, *et al.* 2019. Embolism resistance in  
14 petioles and leaflets of palms. *Ann. Bot.* 124: 1173–1183. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcz104.  
15  
16

17 Ewers FW, Jacobsen AL, López-Portillo J. 2023. Carlquist’s indices for vulnerability and  
18 mesomorphy of wood: are they relevant today? *IAWA J.* DOI 10.1163/22941932-  
19 bja10113.  
20  
21

22 Frankiewicz KE, Babasiak L, Oskolski AA, Magee AR, Alsarraf M, Trzeciak P, Spalik K. 2021.  
23 Derived woodiness and annual habit evolved in African umbellifers as alternative  
24 solutions for coping with drought. *BMC Plant Biol.* 21: 383. DOI: 10.1186/s12870-  
25 021-03151-x.  
26  
27

28 Gauthey A, Peters JMR, Carins-Murphy MR, Rodriguez-Dominguez CM, Li X, *et al.* 2020.  
29 Visual and hydraulic techniques produce similar estimates of cavitation resistance in  
30 woody species. *New Phytol.* 228: 884–897. DOI: 10.1111/nph.16746.  
31  
32

33 Gleason SM, Westoby M, Jansen S, Choat B, Hacke UG, *et al.* 2016. Weak tradeoff between  
34 xylem safety and xylem-specific hydraulic efficiency across the world’s woody plant  
35 species. *New Phytol.* 209: 123–136. DOI: 10.1111/nph.13646.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Greenwood S, Ruiz-Benito P, Martinez-Vilalta J, Lloret F, Kitzberger T, *et al.* 2017. Tree  
2 mortality across biomes is promoted by drought intensity, lower wood density and  
3 higher specific leaf area. *Ecol. Lett.* 20: 539–553. DOI: 10.1111/ele.12748.  
4  
5

6  
7 Guan X, Pereira L, McAdam SAM, Cao K-F, Jansen. 2021. No gas source, no problem:  
8 Proximity to pre-existing embolism and segmentation affect embolism spreading in  
9 angiosperm xylem by gas diffusion. *Plant Cell Environ.* 44: 1329–1345. DOI:  
10 10.1111/pce.14016.  
11  
12

13 Guan X, Werner J, Cao K-F, Pereira L, Kaack L, *et al.* 2022. Stem and leaf xylem of angiosperm  
14 trees experiences minimal embolism in temperate forests during two consecutive  
15 summers with moderate drought. *Plant Biol.* 24: 1208–1223.  
16 DOI:10.1111/plb.13384.  
17

18 Hacke UG, Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB. 2009. Xylem function of arid-land shrubs from California,  
19 USA: an ecological and evolutionary analysis. *Plant Cell Environ.* 32: 1324–1333. DOI:  
20 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02000.x.  
21  
22

23 Hacke UG, Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB. 2022. Vessel diameter and vulnerability to drought-  
24 induced embolism: within-tissue and across-species patterns and the issue of  
25 survivorship bias. *IAWA J.* DOI: 10.1163/22941932-bja10107.  
26  
27

28 Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Wheeler JK, Castro L. 2006. Scaling of angiosperm xylem structure with  
29 safety and efficiency. *Tree Physiol.* 26: 689–701. DOI: 10.1093/treephys/26.6.689.  
30  
31

32 Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Pockman WT, Davis SD, McCulloh KA. 2001. Trends in wood  
33 density and structure are linked to prevention of xylem implosion by negative  
34 pressure. *Oecologia* 126: 457–461. DOI: 10.1007/s004420100628.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1 Hacke UG, Spicer R, Schreiber SG, Plavcová L. 2017. An ecophysiological and developmental  
2 perspective on variation in vessel diameter. *Plant Cell Environ.* 40: 831–845. DOI:  
3  
4  
5 10.1111/pce.12777.  
6

7 Hacke UG, Venturas MD, MacKinnon ED, Jacobsen AL, Sperry JS, *et al.* 2014. The standard  
8 centrifuge method accurately measures vulnerability curves of long-vesselled olive  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13 stems. *New Phytol.* 205: 116–127. DOI: 10.1111/nph.13017.  
14

15 Hargrave KR, Kolb KJ, Ewers FW, Davis SD. 1994. Conduit diameter and drought-induced  
16  
17  
18 embolism in *Salvia mellifera* Greene (Labiatae). *New Phytol.* 126: 695–705. DOI:  
19  
20  
21 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1994.tb02964.x.  
22

23 Hölttä T, Vesala T, Perämäki M, Nikinmaa E. 2002. Relationships between embolism, stem  
24  
25  
26 water tension, and diameter changes. *J. Theor. Biol.* 215: 23–38. DOI:  
27  
28  
29 10.1006/jtbi.2001.2485.  
30

31 Ingram S, Salmon Y, Lintunen, Hölttä T, Vesala T, *et al.* 2021. Dynamic surface tension  
32  
33  
34 enhances the stability of nanobubbles in xylem sap. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12: 732701. DOI:  
35  
36  
37 10.3389/fpls.2021.732701.  
38

39 Isasa E, Link RM, Jansen S, Tezeh FR, Kaack L, *et al.* 2023. Addressing controversies in the  
40  
41  
42 xylem embolism resistance – vessel diameter relationship. *New Phytol.* 238: 283–  
43  
44  
45 296. DOI: 10.1111/nph.18731.  
46

47 Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB. 2012. No evidence for an open vessel effect in centrifuge-based  
48  
49  
50 vulnerability curves of a long-vesselled liana (*Vitis vinifera*). *New Phytol.* 194: 982–  
51  
52  
53 990. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04118.x.  
54

55 Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB. 2023. Vessel diameter polymorphism determines vulnerability-to-  
56  
57  
58 embolism curve shape. *IAWA J.* DOI: 10.1163/22941932-bja10115  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Jacobsen AL, Pratt, RB, Davis SD, Ewers FW. 2007. Cavitation resistance and seasonal hydraulics differ among three arid Californian plant communities. *Plant Cell Environ.* 30: 1599–1609. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01729.x.
- Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB, Venturas MD, Hacke UG. 2019. Large volume vessels are vulnerable to water-stress-induced embolism in stems of poplar. *IAWA J.* 40: 4–22. DOI: 10.1163/22941932-40190233.
- Jansen S, Bittencourt P, Pereira L, Schenk HJ, Kunert N. 2022. A crucial phase in plants – it’s a gas, gas, gas! *New Phytol.* 233: 1556–1559. DOI: 10.1111/nph.17875.
- Jansen S, Choat B, Pletsers A. 2009. Morphological variation of intervessel pit membranes and implications to xylem function in angiosperms. *Am. J. Bot.* 96: 409–419. DOI: 10.3732/ajb.0800248.
- Jansen S, Pletsers A, Sano Y. 2008. The effect of preparation techniques on SEM-imaging of pit membranes. *IAWA J.* 29: 161–178. DOI: 10.1163/22941932-90000177.
- Johnson DM, Wortemann R, McCulloh KA, Jordan-Meille L, Ward E, *et al.* 2016. A test of the hydraulic vulnerability segmentation hypothesis in angiosperm and conifer tree species. *Tree Physiol.* 36: 983–993. DOI: 10.1093/treephys/tpw031.
- Johnson KM, Brodribb TJ. 2023. Evidence for a trade-off between growth rate and xylem cavitation resistance in *Callitris rhomboidea*. *Tree Physiol.* 43: 1055–1065. DOI: 10.1093/treephys/tpad037.
- Kaack L, Altaner CM, Carmesin C, Diaz A, Holler M, *et al.* 2019. Function and three-dimensional structure of intervessel pit membranes in angiosperms: a review. *IAWA J.* 40: 673–702. DOI: 10.1163/22941932-40190259.

1 Kaack L, Weber M, Isasa E, Karimi Z, Li S, *et al.* 2021. Pore constrictions in intervessel pit  
2 membranes provide a mechanistic explanation for xylem embolism resistance in  
3 angiosperms. *New Phytol.* 230: 1829–1843. DOI: 10.1111/nph.17282.  
4  
5

6  
7 Klepsch M, Zhang Y, Kotowska MM, Lamarque LJ, Nolf M, *et al.* 2018. Is xylem of angiosperm  
8 leaves less resistant to embolism than branches? Insights from microCT, hydraulics,  
9 and anatomy. *J. Exp. Bot.* 69: 5611–5623. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/ery321.  
10  
11

12  
13 Lachenbruch B, McColluh KA. 2014. Traits, properties, and performance: how woody plants  
14 combine hydraulic and mechanical functions in a cell, tissue, or whole plant. *New*  
15 *Phytol.* 204: 747–764. DOI: 10.1111/nph.13035.  
16  
17

18  
19 Lens F, Gleason SM, Bortolami G, Brodersen C, Delzon S, *et al.* 2022. Functional xylem  
20 characteristics associated with drought-induced embolism in angiosperms. *New*  
21 *Phytol.* 236: 2019–2036. DOI: 10.1111/nph.18447.  
22  
23

24  
25 Lens F, Luteyn JL, Smets E, Jansen S. 2004. Ecological wood anatomy of Vaccinioideae  
26 (Ericaceae *s.l.*). *Flora* 199: 309–319. DOI: 10.1078/0367-2530-0058.  
27  
28

29  
30 Lens F, Picon-Cochard C, Delmas C, Signarbieux C, Buttler A, *et al.* 2016. Herbaceous  
31 angiosperms are not more vulnerable to drought-induced embolism than  
32 angiosperm trees. *Plant Physiol.* 172: 661–667. DOI: 10.1104/pp.16.00829.  
33  
34

35  
36 Lens F, Sperry JS, Christman MA, Choat B, Rabaey D, *et al.* 2011. Testing hypotheses that link  
37 wood anatomy to cavitation resistance and hydraulic conductivity in the genus *Acer*.  
38 *New Phytol.* 190: 709–723. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03518.x.  
39  
40

41  
42 Lens F, Tixier A, Cochard H, Sperry JS, Jansen S, *et al.* 2013. Embolism resistance as a key  
43 mechanism to understand adaptive plant strategies. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 16: 287–  
44 292. DOI: 10.1016/j.pbi.2013.02.005.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Levionnois S, Jansen S, Wandji RT, Beauchêne J, Ziegler C, *et al.* 2020a. Linking drought-induced xylem embolism resistance to wood anatomical traits in Neotropical trees. *New Phytol.* 229: 1453–1466. DOI: 10.1111/nph.16942.
- Levionnois S, Ziegler C, Jansen S, Calvet E, Coste S, *et al.* 2020b. Vulnerability and hydraulic segmentations at the stem–leaf transition: Coordination across Neotropical trees. *New Phytol.* 228: 512–524. DOI: 10.1111/nph.16723.
- Li S, Klepsch M, Jansen S, Schmitt M, Lens F, *et al.* 2016. Intervessel pit membrane thickness as a key determinant of embolism resistance in angiosperm xylem. *IAWA J.* 37: 152–171. DOI: 10.1163/22941932-20160128.
- Liang X, Ye Q, Lio H, Brodribb TJ. 2021. Wood density predicts mortality threshold for diverse trees. *New Phytol.* 229: 3053–3057. DOI: 10.1111/nph.17117.
- Lintunen A, Hölttä T, Kulmala M. 2013. Anatomical regulation of ice nucleation and cavitation helps trees to survive freezing and drought stress. *Sci. Rep.* 3: 2031. DOI: 10.1038/srep02031.
- Lintunen A, Losso A, Aalto J, Chan T, Hölttä T, *et al.* 2020. Propagating ice front induces gas bursts and ultrasonic acoustic emissions from freezing xylem. *Tree Physiol.* 40: 170–182. DOI: 10.1093/treephys/tpz123.
- Loepfe L, Martinez-Vilalta J, Pinol J, Mencuccini M. 2007. The relevance of xylem network structure for plant hydraulic efficiency and safety. *J. Theor. Biol.* 247: 788–803. DOI: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2007.03.036.
- Lohse D, Zhang X. 2015. Surface nanobubbles and nanodroplets. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 87: 981–1035. DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.87.981.
- Lübbe T, Lamarque LJ, Delzon S, Torres Ruiz JM, Burlett R, *et al.* 2022. High variation in hydraulic efficiency but not xylem safety between roots and branches in four

1 temperate broad-leaved tree species. *Funct. Ecol.* 36: 699–712. DOI: 10.1111/1365-  
2 2435.13975.  
3

4  
5 Mantova M, Herbette S, Cochard H, Torres-Ruiz JM. 2022. Hydraulic failure and tree  
6 mortality: from correlation to causation. *Trends Plant Sci.* 27: 335–345. DOI:  
7 10.1016/j.tplants.2021.10.003.  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 Martin-StPaul N, Delzon S, Cochard H. 2017. Plant resistance to drought depends on timely  
13 stomatal closure. *Ecol. Lett.* 20: 1437–1447. DOI: 10.1111/ele.12851.  
14  
15

16  
17  
18 Martin-StPaul N, Longepierre D, Huc R, Delzon S, Burtlett R, *et al.* 2014. How reliable are  
19 methods to assess xylem vulnerability to cavitation? The issue of ‘open vessel’  
20 artifact in oaks. *Tree Physiol.* 34: 894–905. DOI: 10.1093/treephys/tpu059.  
21  
22

23  
24  
25 McCully M, Canny M, Baker A, Miller C. 2014. Some properties of the walls of metaxylem  
26 vessels of maize roots, including tests of the wettability of their luminal wall  
27 surfaces. *Ann. Bot.* 113: 977–989. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcu020.  
28  
29  
30

31  
32  
33 McDowell N, Pockman WT, Allen CD, Breshears DD, Cobb N, *et al.* 2008. Mechanisms of  
34 plant survival and mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while others  
35 succumb to drought? *New Phytol.* 178: 719–739. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-  
36 8137.2008.02436.x.  
37  
38

39  
40  
41  
42  
43 McDowell N, Sapes G, Pivovarov A, Adams HD, Allen GD, *et al.* 2022. Mechanisms of  
44 woody-plant mortality under rising drought, CO<sub>2</sub> and vapour pressure deficit. *Nature*  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

- 1 Mrad A, Domec J-C, Huang C-W, Lens F, Katul G. 2018. A network model links wood anatomy  
2 to xylem hydraulic behaviour. *Plant Cell Environ.* 41: 2718–2730. DOI:  
3  
4 10.1111/pce.13415.  
5  
6
- 7 Mrad A, Johnson DM, Love DM, Domec J-C. 2021. The roles of conduit redundancy and  
8 connectivity in xylem hydraulic functions. *New Phytol.* 231: 996–1007. DOI:  
9  
10 10.1111/nph.17429.  
11  
12
- 13 Nardini A, Lo Gullo MA, Salleo S. 2011. Refilling embolized xylem conduits: Is it a matter of  
14  
15 phloem unloading? *Plant Sci.* 180: 604–611. DOI: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2010.12.011.  
16  
17
- 18 Nardini A, Savi T, Losso A, Petit G, Pacile S, *et al.* 2017. X-ray microtomography observations  
19  
20 of xylem embolism in stems of *Laurus nobilis* are consistent with hydraulic  
21  
22 measurements of percentage loss of conductance. *New Phytol.* 213: 1068–1075.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30 DOI: 10.1111/nph.14245.
- 31 Olson ME. 2020. From Carlquist’s ecological wood anatomy to Carlquist’s Law: why  
32  
33 comparative anatomy is crucial for functional xylem biology. *Am. J. Bot.* 107: 1328–  
34  
35 1341. DOI: 10.1002/ajb2.1552.  
36  
37
- 38 Olson ME, Anfodillo T, Gleason SM, McCulloh KA. 2020. Tip-to-base xylem conduit widening  
39  
40 as an adaptation: causes, consequences, and empirical priorities. *New Phytol.* 229:  
41  
42 1877–1893. DOI: 10.1111/nph.16961.  
43  
44  
45
- 46 Olson ME, Pace MR, Anfodillo T. 2023. The vulnerability to drought-induced embolism-  
47  
48 conduit diameter link: breaching the anatomy-physiology divide. *IAWA J.* DOI:  
49  
50 10.1163/22941932-bja10123  
51  
52  
53
- 54 Olson ME, Soriano D, Rosell JA, Anfodillo T, Donoghue MJ, *et al.* 2018. Plant height and  
55  
56 hydraulic vulnerability to drought and cold. *PNAS* 115: 7551–7556. DOI:  
57  
58  
59  
60 10.1073/pnas.1721728115.  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Percolla MI, Fickle JC, Rodríguez-Zaccaro FD, Pratt RB, Jacobsen AL. 2021. Hydraulic function and conduit structure in the xylem of five oak species. *IAWA J.* 42: 279–298. DOI: 10.1163/22941932-bja10059.
- Pereira L, Domingues-Junior AP, Jansen S, Choat B, Marrafera P. 2018. Is embolism resistance in plant xylem associated with quantity and characteristics of lignin? *Trees* 32: 349–358. DOI: 10.1007/s00468-017-1574-y.
- Pereira L, Miranda MT, Pires GS, Pacheco VS, Guan X, *et al.* 2020. A semi-automated method for measuring xylem vessel length distribution. *Theor. Exp. Plant Phys.* 32: 331–340. DOI: 10.1111/pce.13647.
- Pivovarov AL, Pasquini SC, De Guzman ME, Alstad KP, Stemke JS, Santiago LS. 2015. Multiple strategies for drought survival among woody plant species. *Funct. Ecol.* 30: 517–526. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2435.12518.
- Pivovarov AL, Sack L, Santiago LS. 2014. Coordination of stem and leaf hydraulic conductance in southern California shrubs: a test of the hydraulic segmentation hypothesis. *New Phytol.* 203: 842–850. DOI: 10.1111/nph.12850.
- Pratt RB, Castro V, Fickle JC, Madsen A, Jacobsen AL. 2020. Factors controlling drought resistance in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*, chardonnay): application of a new micro CT method to assess functional embolism resistance. *Am. J. Bot.* 107: 618–627. DOI: 10.1002/ajb2.1450.
- Salleo S, Lo Gullo MA, Trifilo P, Nardini A. 2004. New evidence for a role of vessel-associated cells and phloem in the rapid xylem refilling of cavitated stems of *Laurus nobilis* L. *Plant Cell Environ.* 27: 1065–1076. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2004.01211.x.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Schenk HJ, Espino S, Rich-Cavazos SM, Jansen S. 2018. From the sap's perspective: The nature of vessel surfaces in angiosperm xylem. *Am. J. Bot.* 105: 174–187. DOI: 10.1002/ajb2.1034.
- Schenk HJ, Espino S, Romo DM, Nima N, Do AYT, *et al.* 2017. Xylem surfactants introduce a new element to the cohesion-tension theory. *Plant Physiol.* 173: 1177–1196. DOI: 10.1104/pp.16.01039.
- Schenk HJ, Espino S, Visser A, Esser BK. 2016. Dissolved atmospheric gas in xylem sap measured with membrane inlet mass spectrometry. *Plant Cell Environ.* 39: 944–950. DOI: 10.1111/pce.12678.
- Schenk HJ, Michaud JM, Espino S, Melendres T, Roth MR, *et al.* 2020. Lipids in xylem sap of woody plants across the angiosperm phylogeny. *Plant J.* 105: 1477–1494. DOI: 10.1111/tpj.15125.
- Schenk HJ, Steppe K, Jansen S. 2015. Nanobubbles: a new paradigm for air-seeding in xylem. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20: 199–205. DOI: 10.1016/j.tplants.2015.01.008.
- Scholz S, Klepsch M, Karimi Z, Jansen S. 2013. How to quantify conduits in wood? *Front. Plant Sci.* 4: article 56. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00056.
- Sevanto S, Holbrook NM, Ball MC. 2012. Freeze/thaw-induced embolism: probability of critical bubble formation depends on speed of ice formation. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3: 107. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2012.00107.
- Skelton RP, Anderegg LDL, Diaz J, Kling M, Papper P, *et al.* 2021. Evolutionary relationships between drought-related traits and climate shape large hydraulic safety margins in western North American oaks. *PNAS* 118: e2008987118. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2008987118.



1 Skelton RP, Anderegg LDL, Papper P, Reich E, Dawson TE, *et al.* 2019. No local adaptation in  
2 leaf or stem xylem vulnerability to embolism, but consistent vulnerability  
3  
4  
5 segmentation in a North American oak. *New Phytol.* 223: 1296–1306. DOI:  
6  
7 10.1111/nph.15886.  
8  
9

10 Skelton RP, Dawson TE, Thompson SE, Shen Y, Weitz AP, *et al.* 2018. Low vulnerability to  
11  
12 xylem embolism in leaves and stems of North American oaks. *Plant Physiol.* 177:  
13  
14 1066–1077. DOI: 10.1104/pp.18.00103.  
15  
16  
17

18 Smith-Martin CM, Skelton RP, Johnson KM, Lucanu C, Brodribb TJ. 2020. Lack of vulnerability  
19  
20 segmentation among woody species in a diverse dry sclerophyll woodland  
21  
22 community. *Funct. Ecol.* 34: 777–787. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2435.13519.  
23  
24  
25

26 Sorek Y, Greenstein S, Netzer Y, Shtein I, Jansen S, *et al.* 2020. An increase in xylem  
27  
28 embolism resistance of grapevine leaves during the growing season is coordinated  
29  
30 with stomatal regulation, turgor loss point and intervessel pit membranes. *New*  
31  
32 *Phytol.* 229: 1955–1969. DOI: 10.1111/nph.17025.  
33  
34  
35

36 Sperry JS, Hacke UG, Pittermann J. 2006. Size and function in conifer tracheids and  
37  
38 angiosperm vessels. *Am. J. Bot.* 93: 1490–1500. DOI: 10.3732/ajb.93.10.1490.  
39  
40

41 Sperry JS, Christman MA, Torres-Ruiz JM, Taneda H, Smith DD. 2012. Vulnerability curves by  
42  
43 centrifugation: is there an open vessel artefact, and are 'r' shaped curves necessarily  
44  
45 invalid? *Plant Cell Environ.* 35: 601–610. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02439.x.  
46  
47  
48

49 Sperry JS, Sullivan JE. 1992. Xylem embolism in response to freeze-thaw cycles and water  
50  
51 stress in ring-porous, diffuse-porous, and conifer species. *Plant Physiol.* 100: 605–  
52  
53 613.  
54  
55  
56

57 Stovall AEL, Shugart H, Yang X. 2019. Tree height explains mortality risk during an intense  
58  
59 drought. *Nat. Commun.* 10: 43854. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-12380-6.  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Thonglim A, Bortolami G, Delzon S, Larter M, Offringa R, *et al.* 2022. Drought response in  
2 *Arabidopsis* displays synergistic coordination between stems and leaves. J. Exp. Bot.  
3  
4  
5 74: 1004–1021. DOI 10.1093/jxb/erac446.  
6

7 Thonglim A, Delzon S, Larter M, Karami O, Rahimi A, *et al.* 2020. Intervessel pit membrane  
8  
9  
10 thickness best explains variation in embolism resistance amongst stems of  
11  
12 *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions. Ann. Bot. 128: 171–182. DOI:  
13  
14  
15 10.1093/aob/mcaa196.  
16

17 Tobin MF, Pratt RB, Jacobsen AL, De Guzman ME. 2012. Xylem vulnerability to cavitation can  
18  
19  
20 be accurately characterised in species with long vessels using a centrifuge method.  
21  
22  
23 Plant Biol. 15: 496–504. DOI: 10.1111/j.1438-8677.2012.00678.x.  
24

25 Torres-Ruiz JM, Cochard H, Mayr S, Beikircher B, Diaz-Espejo A, *et al.* 2014. Vulnerability to  
26  
27  
28 cavitation in *Olea europaea* current-year shoots: further evidence of an open-vessel  
29  
30  
31 artefact associated with centrifuge and air-injection techniques. Physiol. Plant. 152:  
32  
33  
34 465–474. DOI: 10.1111/ppl.12185.  
35

36 Tyree MT, Davis SD, Cochard H. 1994. Biophysical perspectives of xylem evolution: is there a  
37  
38  
39 tradeoff of hydraulic efficiency for vulnerability to dysfunction? IAWA J. 15: 335–360.  
40  
41  
42 DOI: 10.1163/22941932-90001369.  
43

44 Venturas MD, MacKinnon ED, Dario HL, Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB, *et al.* 2016. Chaparral shrub  
45  
46  
47 hydraulic traits, size, and life history types relate to species mortality during  
48  
49  
50 California’s historic drought of 2014. PloS ONE 11: e0159145. DOI:  
51  
52  
53 10.1371/journal.pone.0159145.  
54

54 Venturas MD, Pratt RB, Jacobsen AL, Castro V, Fickle JC, *et al.* 2019. Direct comparison of  
55  
56  
57 four methods to construct xylem vulnerability curves: Differences among techniques  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 are linked to vessel network characteristics. *Plant Cell Environ.* 42: 2422–2436. DOI:  
2 10.1111/pce.13565.  
3

4 Wang Y, Pan R, Tyree MT. 2015. Studies on the tempo of bubble formation in  
5 recently cavitated vessels: A model to predict the pressure of air bubbles. *Plant*  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Wason JW, Anstreicher KS, Stephansky N, Huggett BA, Brodersen CR. 2018. Hydraulic safety  
margins and air-seeding thresholds in roots, trunks, branches and petioles of four  
northern hardwood trees. *New Phytol.* 219: 77–88. DOI: 10.1111/nph.15135.

Wason JW, Bouda M, Lee EF, McElrone AJ, Phillips RJ, *et al.* 2021. Xylem network  
connectivity and embolism spread in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.). *Plant Physiol.* 186:  
373–387. DOI: 10/1093/plphys/kiab045.

Weishaupt K, Koch T, Helmig R. 2022. A fully implicit coupled pore-network/free-flow model  
for the pore-scale simulation of drying processes. *Drying Techn.* 40: 697–718. DOI:  
10.1080/07373937.2021.1955706.

Weithmann G, Link RM, Banzragch BE, Würzberg L, Leuschner C, *et al.* 2022. Soil water  
availability and branch age explain variability in xylem safety of European beech in  
Central Europe. *Oecologia* 198: 629–644. DOI: 10.1007/s00442-022-05124-9.

Wheeler JK, Sperry JS, Hacke UG, Hoang N. 2005. Inter-vessel pitting and cavitation in  
woody Rosaceae and other vesselled plants: a basis for a safety versus efficiency  
trade-off in xylem transport. *Plant Cell Environ.* 28: 800–812. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-  
3040.2005.01330.x.

Wu M, Zhang Y, Oya T, Marcati CR, Pereira L, *et al.* 2020. Root xylem in three woody  
angiosperm species is not more vulnerable to embolism than stem xylem. *Plant Soil*  
450: 479–495. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-020-04525-0.

1 Yang J, Michaud JM, Jansen S, Schenk HJ, Zuo YY. 2020. Dynamic surface tension of xylem  
2 sap lipids. *Tree Physiol.* 40: 433–444. DOI: 10.1093/treephys/tpaa006.  
3

4 Zhang Y, Carmesin C, Kaack L, Klepsch MM, Kotowska M, *et al.* 2020. High porosity with tiny  
5 pore constrictions and unbending pathways characterize the 3D structure of  
6  
7 intervessel pit membranes in angiosperm xylem. *Plant Cell Environ.* 43: 116–130.  
8  
9 DOI: 10.1111/pce.13654.  
10

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Zhu S-D, Liu H, Xu Q-Y, Cao K-F, Ye Q. 2016. Are leaves more vulnerable to cavitation than  
branches? *Funct. Ecol.* 30: 1740–1744. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2435.12656.

Zimmermann MH. 1983. *Xylem structure and the ascent of sap.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-  
Heidelberg.

Zizka A, Onstein RE, Rozzi R, Weigelt P, Kreft H, *et al.* 2022. The evolution of insular  
woodiness. *PNAS* 119: e2208629119. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2208629119.

Zwieniecki MA, Holbrook NM. 2000. Bordered pit structure and vessel wall surface  
properties: implications for embolism repair. *Plant Physiol.* 123: 1015–1020. DOI:  
10.1104/pp.123.3.1015.