



HAL
open science

Comparative anatomy vs mechanistic understanding: how to interpret the diameter-vulnerability link

Frederic Lens, Sean M Gleason, Giovanni Bortolami, Craig Brodersen, Sylvain Delzon, Steven Jansen

► **To cite this version:**

Frederic Lens, Sean M Gleason, Giovanni Bortolami, Craig Brodersen, Sylvain Delzon, et al.. Comparative anatomy vs mechanistic understanding: how to interpret the diameter-vulnerability link. *IAWA Journal*, 2023, 44 (3-4), pp.368-380. <10.1163/22941932-bja10137>. <hal-04601373>

HAL Id: hal-04601373

<https://hal.science/hal-04601373v1>

Submitted on 10 Jun 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization



Comparative anatomy vs mechanistic understanding: how to interpret the diameter-vulnerability link

Frederic Lens^{1,2}, Sean M. Gleason³, Giovanni Bortolami¹, Craig Brodersen⁴, Sylvain Delzon⁵, Steven Jansen⁶

¹Naturalis Biodiversity Center, P.O. Box 9517, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands;

²Leiden University, Institute of Biology Leiden, Plant Sciences, Sylviusweg 72, 2333 BE Leiden, The Netherlands;

³Water Management and Systems Research Unit, United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Fort Collins, CO 80526, USA;

⁴School of the Environment, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, USA;

⁵University of Bordeaux, INRAE, BIOGECO, 33615, Pessac, France;

⁶Institute of Systematic Botany and Ecology, Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, Ulm University, D-89081, Ulm, Germany.

ORCID Frederic Lens: 0000-0002-5001-0149

ORCID Sean M. Gleason: 0000-0002-5607-4741

ORCID Giovanni Bortolami: 0000-0001-7528-9644

ORCID Craig Brodersen: 0000-0002-0924-2570

ORCID Sylvain Delzon: 0000-0003-3442-1711

ORCID Steven Jansen: 0000-0002-4476-5334

Author for correspondence

Frederic Lens, email: frederic.lens@naturalis.nl

Short title: Role of vessel diameter on drought-induced embolism

Word count

abstract: 217, Main body total: 4140

Figures: 0; Tables: 0; Supporting information: 0

Abstract

1
2
3 Results from comparative and ecological wood anatomy in combination with a number of
4
5 experimental studies on plant hydraulics have led to a pervasive and longstanding
6
7 assumption that wider diameter vessels are more vulnerable to drought-induced embolism
8
9 than narrower vessels. Although we agree that wider vessels tend to be more vulnerable
10
11 than narrower vessels within stems and within roots across most species, our current
12
13 understanding of the diameter-vulnerability link does not offer a mechanistic explanation
14
15 for why increased vessel diameter should consistently lead to greater vulnerability or vice
16
17 versa. Causes of drought-induced embolism formation and spread likely operate at the
18
19 nano-level, especially at gas-liquid-surfactant interfaces inside intervessel pit membranes.
20
21 We evaluate here new perspectives on drought-induced embolism and its key anatomical
22
23 and physico-chemical drivers, of which vessel diameter is one of the parameters involved,
24
25 although its linkage to embolism vulnerability is likely indirect. As such, the diameter-
26
27 vulnerability link does not imply that species with on average wider vessels are consistently
28
29 more susceptible to drought-induced embolism compared to species with narrower vessels.
30
31 Scientific priorities for future progress should focus on more accurate predictions of how
32
33 water transport in plants is affected by drought, which require a better mechanistic
34
35 understanding of xylem network topology and biophysical processes at the nano-scale level
36
37 in individual vessels that determine embolism formation and spread.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Key words

drought, embolism, pit membrane thickness, plant hydraulics, vessel diameter, wood anatomy, xylem networks, xylem sap

Introduction

One of the most frequently measured xylem traits in angiosperms is vessel diameter, which ranges from 10 - 700 μm both across and within species (Hacke *et al.* 2017; Olson 2020).

This trait is commonly measured for multiple reasons, most notably because vessel diameter is easily measured from cross sections of xylem, and has proven to act as an important functional trait regulating hydraulic conductivity (Sperry *et al.* 2006; Lachenbruch & McCulloh 2014) and freeze-thaw-induced embolism (Sperry & Sullivan 1992; Davis *et al.* 1999; Sevanto *et al.* 2012; Lintunen *et al.* 2013, 2020; Charrier *et al.* 2014), among other plant functional traits. Broad accessibility to this trait with relatively simple methods has also provided numerous opportunities for comparative anatomy studies that allowed for insights into plant adaptation across various terrestrial habitats, many of which were initiated by Sherwin Carlquist and followed by others (e.g., Carlquist 1966, 2001; Baas *et al.* 1983; Lens *et al.* 2004; Olson *et al.* 2023). These comparative studies show that species from dry environments tend to have a narrower mean vessel diameter than closely related species from wetter habitats, which provides evidence for the idea that species with wider vessels are more susceptible to drought-induced embolism than species with narrower vessels (e.g., Olson *et al.* 2018; Stovall *et al.* 2019). To further highlight the role of vessel diameter in a plant's drought response, Carlquist (1975) calculated indices for *Vulnerability* (V ; vessel diameter divided by vessel density) and *Mesomorphy* (V multiplied by vessel

1 element length) for species native to habitats with contrasting levels of aridity, and found
2 that drought-adapted species showed V - and M -indices markedly below 1.0 and below 30,
3 respectively (summarised in this special issue by Ewers *et al.* 2023). Yet, despite several
4 decades of work on establishing a link between conduit diameter and vulnerability to
5 drought-induced embolism, the current state of the underlying mechanistic understanding
6 remains largely uncertain (Lens *et al.* 2022; Hacke *et al.* 2023).
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 In addition to the comparative studies of Carlquist (1966, 2001) and pioneers before him
19 (see Olson 2020 for an historical overview), part of the theoretical background regarding the
20 functional role of conduit diameter finds its root in the seminal work by Zimmermann
21 (1983), which illustrates the effects of conduit diameter on idealised Hagen-Poiseuille flow
22 in cylindrical pipes. This theoretical framework was highly influential, and set the stage for
23 many subsequent investigations to explicitly test the hypotheses presented in
24 Zimmermann's book. Emerging over the following decades was the idea that some inherent
25 tradeoff must exist between optimising the xylem for hydraulic efficiency, structural
26 support, and hydraulic safety. That hypothetical tradeoff 'triangle' (see Baas *et al.* 2004;
27 Brodersen 2015) was then critically evaluated based on a large-scale data analyses,
28 confirming (often weak) correlations between efficiency and safety (measured as P_{50} : xylem
29 sap water potential leading to a 50% loss of conductivity; Gleason *et al.* 2015), between
30 support and safety (Hacke *et al.* 2001), and between conduit diameter and safety (Fig. 1 in
31 Lens *et al.* 2022; Hacke *et al.* 2023). This latter diameter-vulnerability link was also
32 confirmed in a number of smaller-scale experimental studies (e.g., Hargrave *et al.* 1994; Cai
33 & Tyree 2010; Nardini *et al.* 2017; Jacobsen *et al.* 2019; Isasa *et al.* 2023). However, many
34 other smaller-scale experimental publications did not find a diameter- P_{50} link in either stems
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 or petioles (e.g., Lens *et al.* 2011, 2016; Brodersen *et al.* 2013; Choat *et al.* 2016; Dória *et al.*
2 2018, 2019; Emilio *et al.* 2019; Thonglim *et al.* 2020, 2022); this link was also not retrieved in
3
4 some studies on conifers (Bouche *et al.* 2014; Johnson & Brodribb 2023). Two conclusions
5
6 that can be drawn from these inconsistent experimental results: (1) even if a correlation
7
8 between vessel diameter and P_{50} exists, this correlation is of little use without critically
9
10 interpreting what it actually means in relation to total-plant drought resilience, and (2)
11
12 reporting correlation between these traits does little to inform our understanding of the
13
14 mechanisms underlying drought-induced embolism formation and spread, which is currently
15
16 a priority for the field (Lens *et al.* 2022).
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 In this opinion paper, based on the ideas already published in detail in Lens *et al.* (2022) and
27
28 inspired by earlier comparative wood anatomy work by Carlquist and others, we further
29
30 explore the idea of a potential mechanistic relationship between vessel diameter and
31
32 vulnerability to drought-induced embolism, and discuss how this impacts the interpretation
33
34 of the diameter-vulnerability link. A critical assessment of this link involves two research
35
36 questions: (1) are wider vessels always more prone to drought-induced embolism than
37
38 narrower vessels (and vice versa), and (2) are species with a higher mean vessel diameter
39
40 consistently more vulnerable to embolism - and by extension more vulnerable to drought -
41
42 than species with narrower vessels? These two questions are often not separated, and we
43
44 believe disentangling them will lead to a more balanced and useful understanding of the
45
46 role of vessel diameter in drought-induced embolism.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 Even when assuming the diameter-vulnerability link to be valid across a broad range of
58
59 vascular plant species, we echo the conclusion in our previous paper stating that (1) wider
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 vessels are often (but not always) more vulnerable to drought-induced embolism compared
2
3 to narrower vessels when the same organs are taken into account (see also Fig. 2 in Hacke
4
5 *et al.* 2023), and (2) that species with on average wider vessels are not necessarily more
6
7 vulnerable to drought-induced embolism than species with narrower vessels. The main
8
9 reasons for these two statements are that the mechanisms leading to drought-induced
10
11 embolism involve a complex interaction among multiple anatomical (incl. vessel diameter)
12
13 and physico-chemical drivers operating at different scales (Lens *et al.* 2022). Unfortunately,
14
15 this complexity and the fact that we do not understand exactly how plants are able to
16
17 transport water under negative pressure without constant or even frequent embolism
18
19 formation, makes it difficult to generalise findings related to drought-induced embolism.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 To reconcile this discrepancy, there is an urgent need to keep on critically assessing the
29
30 methods used to generate anatomical and experimental data, and to dive deeper into the
31
32 mechanisms behind drought-induced embolism. In addition, the vulnerability discussion
33
34 concerning wide vessels contributes to debates about the frequency of drought-induced
35
36 embolism events *in planta*: (1) does embolism formation occur in the vulnerable wide
37
38 vessels on a daily basis in the field also under normal conditions of precipitation, which
39
40 indirectly suggests frequent embolism repair events (Salleo *et al.* 2004; Jacobsen *et al.* 2007;
41
42 Hacke *et al.* 2009; Nardini *et al.* 2011; Jacobsen & Pratt 2012), or (2) is widespread embolism
43
44 uncommon over relatively long periods in the field and limited to periods of severe drought
45
46 in narrow- as well as wide-vesseled species (Cochard & Delzon 2013; Lens *et al.* 2016;
47
48 Wason *et al.* 2018; Guan *et al.* 2022)? Answering these questions is crucial because
49
50 embolism events could result in the loss of hydraulic conductance, tissue desiccation and/or
51
52 dieback (Davis *et al.* 2002; Venturas *et al.* 2016; Pratt *et al.* 2020; Brodribb *et al.* 2021;
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Mantova *et al.* 2022), and can therefore affect plant function and survival (McDowel *et al.*
2 2008; Allen *et al.* 2015; Anderegg *et al.* 2016; Adams *et al.* 2017; Choat *et al.* 2018; Brodribb
3 *et al.* 2020).
4
5
6
7
8
9

10 **Why are wider vessels not necessarily more vulnerable to drought-induced embolism than** 11 **narrower vessels?** 12

13 A frequently cited argument from ecological studies on wood anatomy supporting the
14 diameter-vulnerability link is that earlywood vessels, which are often formed during the
15 spring in temperate regions or during the wet season in seasonally dry regions, are always
16 wider than latewood vessels formed later in the season, often under drier conditions
17 (Carlquist 2001; Olson *et al.* 2023). We agree that earlywood will be on average more
18 vulnerable than latewood across most of these species. However, this does not necessarily
19 indicate that all earlywood and latewood vessels differ in vulnerability to drought-induced
20 embolism, and it is even plausible that some of the latewood vessels are considerably more
21 vulnerable than most earlywood vessels. With respect to the tracheid-bearing conifer
22 species *Pseudotsuga menziesii*, Dalla-Salda *et al.* (2014) indeed found that the latewood is
23 *more* vulnerable to drought-induced embolism compared to earlywood, probably due to
24 inflexible interconduit pit membranes in the latewood tracheids that facilitate embolism
25 spread. Therefore, linking conduit diameter with vulnerability to embolism can be
26 misleading when not considering other traits that may play an equal or more important role
27 in drought-induced embolism formation than conduit diameter. In other words, all else
28 being equal, wider vessels are likely more vulnerable than narrower vessels, agreeing with
29 the large body of literature (e.g., Lens *et al.* 2022; Hacke *et al.* 2023; Olson *et al.* 2023).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 However, all else is typically not equal in nature, implying that wider vessels can also be
4
5
6 considerably more resistant to drought-induced embolism than narrower vessels.

7
8 Also from the point of view of xylem physiology, there are three main explanations for why
9
10 the impact of vessel diameter on drought-induced embolism vulnerability should be treated
11
12 in a broader perspective. First, the mechanisms behind drought-induced embolisms are
13
14 complex, poorly understood, and occur at much smaller scales than whole-conduit
15
16 dimensions. For example, gas bubble formation (and stabilisation), and bubble expansion
17
18 may arise from nano-scale interactions occurring among the multiple interfaces between
19
20 liquids, gases, and solids inside conduits. These interactions are further complicated by the
21
22 presence of solutes and insoluble xylem sap compounds that change the surface tension,
23
24 aka surface-active agents or surfactants, which are hypothesised to stabilise very small gas
25
26 bubbles (“nanobubbles”) and may result in xylem sap that is far more resilient to drought-
27
28 induced embolism than traditionally thought (Schenk *et al.* 2017, 2020; Yang *et al.* 2020;
29
30 Jansen *et al.* 2022; Ingram *et al.* 2021). However, the precise combination of surfaces (cell
31
32 wall, pit border, pit membrane), xylem sap, and gas properties/conditions that result in
33
34 embolism formation and spread remain poorly understood, but are likely proximally related
35
36 to embolism vulnerability. For example, it is possible that embolisms result from pre-existing
37
38 gas bubbles, including tiny nanobubbles or surface bubbles associated with hydrophobic
39
40 vessel surfaces, which expand in the xylem sap when these bubbles exceed a critical size
41
42 threshold for a given pressure (Tyree *et al.* 1994; Hölttä *et al.* 2002; Lohse & Zhang 2015;
43
44 Schenk *et al.* 2017), although experimental evidence for this idea is poor. How nanobubbles
45
46 and the likelihood of embolism formation relate to vessel diameter (and length) remains to
47
48 be studied: either vessels with a larger volume contain a higher total number of lipid-coated
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 nanobubbles that may increase embolism risk, or these wider and longer vessels could be
2 more resistant if large neighbouring vessels during their initial stage of embolisation would
3 extract gas from their functional neighbours in order to reach atmospheric pressure at the
4 final stages of embolisation (Wang *et al.* 2015).
5
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 Second, correlation does not invoke causation, which obviously also applies to the diameter-
13 vulnerability link. There is no known direct, mechanistic link between conduit diameter and
14 drought-induced embolism resistance, not at the whole-plant level nor at the ultrastructural
15 pit level. If such a mechanism were to exist, we should expect it to affect the relative
16 vulnerabilities of xylem in leaves (narrower conduits) and stems (wider conduits) similarly
17 within and across individuals and species, but it clearly has not. For example, although
18 several authors have reported leaf xylem to be more resistant to embolism than branch or
19 stem xylem (Zhu *et al.* 2016; Klepsch *et al.* 2018; Levionnois *et al.* 2020b; Guan *et al.* 2022),
20 this result is far from consistent across studies, despite leaves having consistently narrower
21 conduits than branches or stems. Likewise, several authors have reported that leaves are
22 more vulnerable than perennial shoots (Pivovarovoff *et al.* 2014; Charrier *et al.* 2016; Johnson
23 *et al.* 2016; Creek *et al.* 2018; Skelton *et al.* 2019), or else have found no meaningful
24 difference in xylem vulnerability between leaves, stems and/or roots of the same individuals
25 (Skelton *et al.* 2017; Creek *et al.* 2018; Wason *et al.* 2018; Losso *et al.* 2019; Levionnois *et al.*
26 2020b; Smith-Martin *et al.* 2020; Wu *et al.* 2020, Lübbe *et al.* 2022). Thus, if a causal
27 mechanism between conduit diameter and vulnerability was identified, it would need to
28 account for the inconsistent vulnerability-diameter relationships within and across
29 individuals. Bearing this in mind, the recent study of Isasa *et al.* (2023) showed that a
30 negative vessel diameter- P_{50} relationship exists at the interspecific scale, but not at the
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 intraspecific scale. In conclusion, a view that takes into account the entire body of research
2 on xylem vulnerability, rather than studies that only support a vulnerability-diameter link
3 within a single organ, does not support a mechanism operating at the scale of the whole
4 plant.
5
6
7
8
9

10
11
12 At the pit level, researchers often cite the pit area hypothesis (Wheeler *et al.* 2005; Hacke *et al.*
13 *et al.* 2006, 2009; Christman *et al.* 2009, 2012) to mechanistically explain the diameter-
14 vulnerability link. This hypothesis assumes that permeability to gas and embolism
15 propagation among vessels increases with increasing intervessel pit area of a given vessel
16 with average dimensions (A_p). While the pit area hypothesis correctly emphasises the
17 functional importance of intervessel connectivity (Loepfe *et al.* 2007; Wason *et al.* 2021;
18 Bouda *et al.* 2022), large pit membrane pores are thought to be exceptionally rare and, if
19 observed, are most likely the result of artefacts (Jansen *et al.* 2008, 2009; Choat *et al.* 2008).
20
21 Furthermore, the idea that a rare, single-layered pit membrane would be highly leaky due to
22 a single, unusually large pore, leading to embolism propagation, is not compatible with
23 recent theoretical insights on the three-dimensional, multi-layered nature of pit membranes
24 with multiple pore constrictions that represent the bottlenecks for water and gas transport
25 (Kaack *et al.* 2019, 2021; Yang *et al.* 2020; Zhang *et al.* 2020). These insights, based on 3D-
26 modelling and hydraulics measurements, suggest that P_{50} has a stronger relationship with
27 intervessel pit membrane thickness than A_p (Kaack *et al.* 2021), although Isasa *et al.* (2023)
28 showed that intervessel membrane thickness alone cannot explain the P_{50} interspecific
29 variability based on 12 temperate tree species. What emerges from these studies is that (1)
30
31 the pit area hypothesis should be further tested with respect to both length-diameter
32 scaling of conduits and connectivity (among both vessels and adjacent tracheids; Carlquist
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1984; Sperry *et al.* 2006) before it can be used as a solid mechanistic explanation for a possible correlation between P_{50} and vessel diameter, (2) embolism spread is the result of a combination of different traits that may be related (e.g., vessel connectivity or A_p) or may not be related (e.g., sap composition, vessel wall chemistry, intervessel pit membrane thickness) to vessel diameter (Lens *et al.* 2022), and (3) there is an urgent need to validate the theoretical pit membrane models with ultrastructural observations of intervessel pit membranes.

Third, several different methods have been used to quantify both mean vessel diameter and P_{50} , thereby adding noise to the diameter-vulnerability link (e.g., Brodersen *et al.* 2013; Cochard & Delzon 2013; Choat *et al.* 2016; Martin-StPaul *et al.* 2017; Creek *et al.* 2018; Emilio *et al.* 2019; Smith-Martin *et al.* 2020; Barigah *et al.* 2021). With respect to vessel diameter, there are multiple ways for a plant to arrive at the same mean vessel diameter (i.e., different vessel diameter frequency distributions), and “mean” vessel diameter may not be the most meaningful quantification of vessel width, as it relates to embolism vulnerability. In addition, mean vessel diameter is calculated in different ways (e.g., tangential diameter, equivalent circle diameter), and is often hydraulically weighted based on either all vessels in a cross-section or from a subset of these vessels (usually > 100) (Scholz *et al.* 2013). Also, the pressure gradient driving water transport through the xylem may not be uniform across all vessels in a cross section (Bouda *et al.* 2019), which would likely result in errors in estimated vulnerability across vessels of different diameter within the same cross section. To further complicate matters, branch age, growth rate, number of growth rings, and seasonality may affect the vulnerability curve (Charrier *et al.* 2018; Olson *et al.* 2018; Pratt *et al.* 2020; Sorek *et al.* 2020; Weithmann *et al.* 2022). In summary, the

1 interpretation of the diameter-vulnerability link would become clearer if we know the
2 vulnerability of individual vessels, and the determinants of this vulnerability, as well as the
3 aggregate vulnerability across many vessels.
4
5
6
7
8
9

10 The unexplained variation in the vulnerability-diameter correlation could also be explained
11 by differences in P_{50} methods that may impact vulnerability curves (Cochard *et al.* 2013;
12 Venturas *et al.* 2019; Gauthey *et al.* 2020; Hacke *et al.* 2023). The open vessel artefact is
13 noteworthy in this regard, especially in studies focusing on stems of long-vesseled genera
14 such as *Quercus* (Sperry *et al.* 2012; Martin-StPaul *et al.* 2014; Skelton *et al.* 2018, 2021;
15 Percolla *et al.* 2021) and *Vitis* (Choat *et al.* 2010; Jacobsen & Pratt 2012; McElrone *et al.*
16 2012; Charrier *et al.* 2018). Many studies showed that this artefact occurs when embolism is
17 artificially induced with the centrifuge method in stem (or root) segments that are
18 considerably shorter than the maximum vessel length, thus resulting in vessels being cut at
19 both ends (“open vessels”). These longer (and often wider) cut-open vessels embolize
20 quickly when they are subjected to mild negative pressure, thus resulting in a rapid
21 (exponential or “r”-shaped) decline in hydraulic conductivity with increasing xylem tension,
22 and hence an overestimation of vulnerability (Alder *et al.* 1996; Cochard 2002; Choat *et al.*
23 2010; Cochard *et al.* 2010, 2013; McElrone *et al.* 2012; Martin-StPaul *et al.* 2014; Torres-Ruiz
24 *et al.* 2014; although see Tobin *et al.* 2012, Jacobsen & Pratt 2012, Sperry *et al.* 2012, Hacke
25 *et al.* 2014, and Jacobsen & Pratt 2023 for a different point of view). Other factors that are
26 known to affect the shape of a vulnerability curve are temporal variation in “native”
27 embolism (daily or seasonal) and the flushing of stems with water prior to taking an initial
28 hydraulic measurement (Domec *et al.* 2006; Jacobsen *et al.* 2007; Hacke *et al.* 2014, 2023).
29 Considering all these potential sources of error in embolism vulnerability measurements, a
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 critical examination of methods and models used to estimate xylem vulnerability remains a
2 research priority (Cochard *et al.* 2013).
3
4
5
6

7 **Why are species with wider vessels not necessarily more vulnerable to drought-induced**
8 **embolism than those with narrower vessels?**
9

10 The mechanisms leading to drought-induced embolism involve many potential anatomical
11 and physico-chemical drivers of drought-induced embolism. This implies that natural
12 selection may act on combinations of traits that affect the susceptibility of xylem to
13 drought-induced embolism at different scales, with vessel diameter only being one of these
14 traits that may or may not have a significant impact on the drought resistance of the entire
15 individual. The potential xylem drivers of drought-induced embolism are discussed more in-
16 depth in Lens *et al.* (2022), and are listed here in alphabetical order (see also Box 1 in Lens *et*
17 *al.* 2022).
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 (1) macro-level (vessel) traits: 3D-conduit network (Loepfe *et al.* 2007; Brodersen 2013;
33 Mrad *et al.* 2018, 2021; Wason *et al.* 2021; Bouda *et al.* 2022), Ap (Wheeler *et al.* 2005;
34 Kaack *et al.* 2021), and conduit diameter (this paper; Isasa *et al.* 2023);
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 (2) nano-level xylem traits: intervessel pit membrane thickness (Jansen *et al.* 2009; Lens *et*
42 *al.* 2011, 2013; Li *et al.* 2016; Dória *et al.* 2018; Levionnois *et al.* 2020a; Thonglim *et al.* 2020,
43 2022; Kaack *et al.* 2021), cell wall chemistry and thickness (Lens *et al.* 2016; Greenwood *et*
44 *al.* 2017; Pereira *et al.* 2018; Dória *et al.* 2018, 2019; Thonglim *et al.* 2020, 2022; Frankiewicz
45 *et al.* 2021; Liang *et al.* 2021; Zizka *et al.* 2022), wettability of the inner conduit wall (Lohse &
46 Zhang 2015; Zwieniecki & Holbrook 2000; McCully *et al.* 2014; Schenk *et al.* 2017, 2018,
47 2020; Brodersen *et al.* 2018), dynamic surface tension of xylem sap and surfactant-coated
48 nanobubbles (Schenk *et al.* 2015, 2017, 2020; Yang *et al.* 2020; Ingram *et al.* 2021; Jansen *et*
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 *al.* 2022), and gas movement and xylem sap oversaturation (Schenk *et al.* 2016; Pereira *et*
2
3 *al.* 2020; Guan *et al.* 2021).

7 **Concluding remarks**

10 From the above, it is clear from both ecological wood anatomy and experimental studies
11 that a weak but significant diameter-vulnerability link does exist when comparing all
12 available data (mainly from stems; Fig. 1 in Lens *et al.* 2022). This means that there is a large
13 body of evidence that wider vessels tend to be more vulnerable than narrower vessels
14 within the same organ, confirming Carlquist's ideas (1966, 2001). Consequently, we agree
15 that vessel diameter likely plays some role in drought-induced embolism formation in many
16 clades (Lens *et al.* 2022; Olson *et al.* 2023), but most likely not within all clades (e.g., Lens *et*
17 *al.* 2011, 2016; Brodersen *et al.* 2013; Choat *et al.* 2016; Dória *et al.* 2018, 2019; Emilio *et al.*
18 2019; Thonglim *et al.* 2020, 2022), and definitely not at the whole-plant level (e.g., Skelton
19 *et al.* 2017; Creek *et al.* 2018; Wason *et al.* 2018; Losso *et al.* 2019; Levionnois *et al.* 2020b;
20 Smith-Martin *et al.* 2020; Wu *et al.* 2020, Lübbe *et al.* 2022). Even if we generally accept that
21 there is a diameter-vulnerability link, not knowing the mechanisms involved in drought-
22 induced embolism can lead to subtle but important differences in the interpretation of what
23 the link actually means, i.e., how and why it has arisen and its consequences on plant
24 function. Although we agree with Olson *et al.* (2023) that the salient vessel diameter
25 patterns in nature are valuable in directing our research questions to solve how plants
26 respond to environmental stress, we feel that this approach overemphasises the role of
27 vessel diameter in the drought stress debate, and simplifies the relationship between vessel
28 diameter and embolism vulnerability to the point of being misleading. The diameter-
29 vulnerability link does not imply that (1) wider vessels are necessarily more susceptible to
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 drought-induced embolism (and vice versa) or (2) that vessels with the same width (and
2 length) are equally vulnerable (see also Fig. 2 in Hacke *et al.* 2023), and (3) it definitely does
3 not mean that species with on average wider vessels are more vulnerable to drought-
4 induced embolism or by extension are more drought-sensitive. As we all know, plants are
5 famous for developing multiple solutions when it comes to drought response strategies
6 (e.g., Pivovarovoff *et al.* 2015; McDowell *et al.* 2022). Placing a scientific premium on the study
7 of vessel diameter as an important and meaningful correlate of embolism vulnerability may
8 not be the best way to prioritise future research, nor the best way to inspire research that
9 could potentially lead to a better understanding of drought-induced embolism (Lens *et al.*
10 2022). Although pointing out that correlation does not imply causation may appear as a
11 platitude, it is true that scientists still commonly cite vessel diameter in discussions about
12 drought responses without addressing why this correlation represents a convincing
13 argument, or not.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36 We suggest that a better understanding of the diameter-vulnerability link could be obtained
37 by focusing our research efforts on three broad objectives: (1) improve our understanding of
38 the vulnerability of individual vessels, rather than an aggregate measure of vulnerability
39 across many vessels (although this is technically challenging, e.g., via microCT observations
40 that span an axial segment length beyond the length of the longest vessels), and connect
41 this information to other drought-associated traits in the xylem and beyond, (2) develop
42 new and more powerful non-invasive techniques to identify macro and nano-scale
43 mechanistic driver(s) of embolism initiation and spread across different environmental
44 conditions and different plant organs, and (3) evaluate the relative importance and timing of
45 xylem embolism among the sequence of physiological failures that occur during drought, i.e.

1 loss of turgor, cessation of cell division and growth, plasma membrane instability, plant
2 death (Mantova *et al.* 2022). Once a large body of drought-associated xylem traits are
3 investigated in different organs of carefully selected model species that grow in contrasting
4 environments, we can further test and fine-tune the mechanisms involved in embolism
5 formation and spread through the development of a new family of integrative, process-
6 based, xylem models linking nano- (Li *et al.* 2020; Zhang *et al.* 2020; Kaack *et al.* 2021;
7 Weishaupt *et al.* 2022) to macro-scale processes (Loepfe *et al.* 2007; Mrad *et al.* 2018, 2021;
8 Wason *et al.* 2021). Integrating nano- and macro-scale xylem processes would allow the
9 evaluation of pit membrane traits, vessel network traits (e.g., connectivity among vessels
10 and adjacent tracheids, vessel widening, segmentation or sectoriality; Carlquist 1984; Olson
11 *et al.* 2020; McElrone *et al.* 2021) and their interactions on whole-plant transpiration,
12 construction costs, and carbon income. This knowledge will be important to reconcile our
13 current state-of-the-art knowledge of embolism formation and spread with observations
14 made from comparative anatomical studies, such as the empirical correlation between
15 embolism vulnerability and conduit diameter. Moreover, it will help us to understand how
16 plants will respond to a warming climate, and how to manage natural and agricultural
17 systems under these novel environmental conditions (Choat *et al.* 2018; Brodribb *et al.*
18 2020).

49 **Acknowledgments**

51 We warmly thank editors-in-chief Marcelo Pace and Lloyd Donaldson for their guidance
52 through the review process of this manuscript, and their aim to provide a balanced view on
53 the role of vessel diameter in this special issue dedicated to Sherwin Carlquist. FL and GB
54 were supported by the Dutch Research Council NWO (grant ALWOP.488), SD by the REGION

1 project VITIPIN and Grand Programme de Recherche Bordeaux Plant Science (BPS, WP
2 Waterloo), and SJ acknowledges funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG,
3 German Research Foundation, project number 457287575).
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

11 12 **References**

- 13
14
15 Adams HD, Zeppel MJB, Anderegg WRL, Hartmann H, Landhäusser SM, *et al.* 2017. A multi-
16 species synthesis of physiological mechanisms in drought-induced tree mortality.
17
18 Nat. Ecol. Evol. 1: 1285–1291. DOI: 10.1038/s41559-017-0248-x.
19
20
21
22
23 Alder NN, Sperry JS, Pockman WT. 1996. Root and stem xylem embolism, stomatal
24 conductance, and leaf turgor in *Acer grandidentatum* populations along a soil
25 moisture gradient. *Oecologia* 105: 293–301. DOI: 10.1007/BF00328731.
26
27
28
29
30
31 Allen CD, Breshears DD, McDowell NG. 2015. On underestimation of global vulnerability to
32 tree mortality and forest die-off from hotter drought in the Anthropocene.
33
34 *Ecosphere* 6: art129. DOI: 10.1890/ES15-00203.1.
35
36
37
38
39 Anderegg WRL, Klein T, Bartlett M, Sack L, Pellegrini AFA, *et al.* 2016. Meta-analysis reveals
40 that hydraulic traits explain cross-species patterns of drought-induced tree mortality
41 across the globe. *PNAS* 113: 5024–5029. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1525678113.
42
43
44
45
46
47 Baas P, Ewers FW, Davis SD, Wheeler EA. 2004. Evolution of xylem physiology. In: AR
48 Hemsley, I Poole (eds.), *The evolution of plant physiology*: 273–295. Elsevier, Oxford,
49 UK.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Barigah TS, Gyenge JE, Barreto F, Rozenberg P, Fernandez ME. 2021. Narrow vessels cavitate first during a simulated drought in *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*. *Physiol. Plant.* 173: 2081–2090. DOI: 10.1111/ppl.13556.
- Bouche PS, Larter M, Domec J-C, Burlett R, Gasson P, Jansen S, Delzon S. 2014. A broad survey of hydraulic and mechanical safety in the xylem of conifers. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65: 4419–4431. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/eru218.
- Bouda M, Huggett BA, Prats KA, Wason JW, Wilson JP, *et al.* 2022. Hydraulic failure as a primary driver of xylem network evolution in early vascular plants. *Science* 378: 642–646. DOI: 10.1126/science.add2910.
- Bouda M, Windt CW, McElrone AJ, Brodersen CR. 2019. *In vivo* pressure gradient heterogeneity increases flow contribution of small diameter vessels in grapevine. *Nat. Commun.* 10: 5645. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-13673-6.
- Brodersen CR. 2013. Visualizing wood anatomy in three dimensions with high-resolution X-ray micro-tomography (MCT) - a review. *IAWA J.* 34: 408–424. DOI: 10.1163/22941932-00000033.
- Brodersen CR. 2015. Finding support for theoretical tradeoffs in xylem structure and function. *New Phytol.* 209: 8–10. DOI: 10.1111/nph.13763.
- Brodersen CR, Knipfer T, McElrone AJ. 2018. *In vivo* visualization of the final stages of xylem vessel refilling in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*) stems. *New Phytol.* 217: 117–126. DOI: 10.1111/nph.14811.
- Brodersen CR, McElrone AJ, Choat B, Lee EF, Shackel KA, *et al.* 2013. *In vivo* visualizations of drought-induced embolism spread in *Vitis vinifera*. *Plant Physiol.* 161: 1820–1829. DOI: 10.1104/pp.112.212712.

- 1 Brodribb T, Brodersen CR, Carriqui M, Tonet V, Rodriguez Dominguez C, *et al.* 2021. Linking
2 xylem network failure with leaf tissue death. *New Phytol.* 232: 68–79. DOI:
3 10.1111/nph.17577.
4
5
6
7 Brodribb TJ, Powers J, Cochard H, Choat B. 2020. Hanging by a thread? Forests and drought.
8 *Science* 368: 261–266. DOI: 10.1126/science.aat7631.
9
10
11
12 Cai J, Tyree MT. 2010. The impact of vessel size on vulnerability curves: data and models for
13 within-species variability in saplings of aspen, *Populus tremuloides* Michx. *Plant Cell*
14 *Environ.* 33: 1059–1069. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02127.x.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 Carlquist S. 1966. Wood anatomy of Compositae: a summary, with comments on factors
22 controlling wood evolution. *Aliso* 6: 25–44. DOI:10.5642/ALISO.19660602.03.
23
24
25
26 Carlquist S. 1977. Ecological factors in wood evolution: a floristic approach. *Am. J. Bot.* 64:
27 887–896. DOI:10.2307/2442382.
28
29
30
31 Carlquist S. 1984. Vessel grouping in dicotyledon wood: significance and relationship to
32 imperforate tracheary elements. *Aliso* 10: 505-525. DOI: 10.5642/aliso.19841004.03.
33
34
35
36 Carlquist S. 2001. *Comparative wood anatomy*. Springer, Berlin.
37
38
39 Charrier G, Charra-Vaskou K, Kasuga J, Cochard H, Mayr S, *et al.* 2014. Freeze-thaw stress:
40 effects of temperature on hydraulic conductivity and ultrasonic activity in ten woody
41 angiosperms. *Plant Physiol.* 164: 992–998. DOI: 10.1104/pp.113.228403.
42
43
44
45
46 Charrier G, Delzon S, Domec J-C, Zhang L, Delmas CEL, *et al.* 2018. Drought will not leave
47 your glass empty: Low risk of hydraulic failure revealed by long-term drought
48 observations in world’s top wine regions. *Sci. Adv.* 4: eaao6969. DOI:
49 10.1126/sciadv.aao6969.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Charrier G, Torres-Ruiz JM, Badel E, Burlett R, Choat B, *et al.* 2016. Evidence for hydraulic
2 vulnerability segmentation and lack of xylem refilling under tension. *Plant Physiol.*
3
4
5 172: 1657–1668. DOI: 10.1104/pp.16.01079.
6

7 Choat B, Badel E, Burlett R, Delzon S, Cochard H, *et al.* 2016. Noninvasive measurement of
8 vulnerability to drought-induced embolism by X-ray microtomography. *Plant Physiol.*
9
10
11
12
13 170: 273–282. DOI: 10.1104/pp.15.00732.
14

15 Choat B, Brodribb TJ, Brodersen CR, Duursma RA, Lopez R, *et al.* 2018. Triggers of tree
16 mortality under drought. *Nature* 558: 531–539. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-018-0240-x.
17
18

19 Choat B, Cobb AR, Jansen S. 2008. Structure and function of bordered pits: new discoveries
20
21
22
23 and impacts on whole-plant hydraulic function. *New Phytol.* 177: 608–626. DOI:
24
25
26 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02317.x.
27

28 Choat B, Drayton WM, Brodersen C, Matthews MA, Shackel KA, *et al.* 2010. Measurement of
29 vulnerability to water stress-induced cavitation in grapevine: a comparison of four
30
31
32
33
34 techniques applied to long-vesseled species. *Plant Cell Environ.* 33: 1502–1512. DOI:
35
36
37 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02160.x.
38

39 Christman MA, Sperry JS, Adler FR. 2009. Testing the ‘rare pit’ hypothesis for xylem
40
41
42
43
44
45 cavitation resistance in three species of *Acer*. *New Phytol.* 182: 664–674. DOI:
46
47 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02776.x.
48

49 Christman MA, Sperry JS, Smith DD. 2012. Rare pits, large vessels and extreme vulnerability
50
51
52
53
54
55 to cavitation in a ring-porous tree species. *New Phytol.* 193: 713–720. DOI:
56
57
58 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03984.x.
59

60 Cochard H. 2002. A technique for measuring xylem hydraulic conductance under high
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1 Cochard H, Badel E, Herbette S, Delzon S, Choat B, *et al.* 2013. Methods for measuring plant
2 vulnerability to cavitation: a critical review. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64: 4779–4791. DOI:
3 10.1093/jxb/ert193.
4
5

6
7 Cochard H, Delzon S. 2013. Hydraulic failure and repair are not routine in trees. *Ann. For.*
8 *Sci.* 70: 659–661. DOI: 10.1007/s13595-013-0317-5.
9

10
11 Cochard H, Herbette S, Barigah T, Vilagrosa A. 2010. Does sample length influence the shape
12 of vulnerability to cavitation curves? A test with the Cavitron spinning technique.
13 *Plant Cell Environ.* 33: 1543–1552. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02163.x.
14
15

16
17 Creek D, Blackman CJ, Brodribb TJ, Choat B, Tissue DT. 2018. Coordination between leaf,
18 stem, and root hydraulics and gas exchange in three arid-zone angiosperms during
19 severe drought and recovery. *Plant Cell Environ.* 41: 2869–2881. DOI:
20 10.1111/pce.13418.
21
22

23
24 Dalla-Salda G, Fernández MA, Sergeant A-S, Rozenberg P, Badel E, Martinez-Meier A. 2014.
25 Dynamics of cavitation in a Douglas-fir tree-ring: transition-wood, the lord of the
26 ring? *J. Plant Hydr.* 1: e-0005. DOI: 10.20870/jph.2014.e005.
27
28

29
30 Davis SD, Sperry JS, Hacke UG. 1999. The relationship between xylem conduit diameter and
31 cavitation caused by freezing. *Am. J. Bot.* 86: 1367–1372. DOI: 10.2307/2656919.
32
33

34
35 Davis SD, Ewers FW, Sperry JS, Portwood KA, Crocker MC, *et al.* 2002. Shoot dieback during
36 prolonged drought in *Ceanothus* (Rhamnaceae) chaparral of California: a possible
37 case of hydraulic failure. *Am. J. Bot.* 89: 820–828. DOI: 10.3732/ajb.89.5.820.
38
39

40
41 Domec J-C, Scholz FG, Bucci SJ, Meinzer FC, Goldstein G, *et al.* 2006. Diurnal and seasonal
42 variation in root xylem embolism in neotropical savanna woody species: impact on
43 stomatal control of plant water status. *Plant Cell Environ.* 29: 26–35. DOI:
44 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01397.x.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Dória LC, Meijs C, Podadera DS, del Arco M, Smets E, *et al.* 2019. Embolism resistance in
2 stems of herbaceous Brassicaceae and Asteraceae is linked with differences in
3 woodiness and precipitation. *Ann. Bot.* 124: 1–13. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcy233.
4
5

6
7 Dória LC, Podadera DS, Arco M, Chauvin T, Smets E, *et al.* 2018. Insular woody daisies
8 (*Argyranthemum*, Asteraceae) are more resistant to drought- induced hydraulic
9 failure than their herbaceous relatives. *Funct. Ecol.* 32: 1467–1478. DOI:
10 10.1111/1365-2435.13085.
11
12

13 Emilio T, Lamarque LJ, Torres-Ruiz JM, King A, Charrier G, *et al.* 2019. Embolism resistance in
14 petioles and leaflets of palms. *Ann. Bot.* 124: 1173–1183. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcz104.
15
16

17 Ewers FW, Jacobsen AL, López-Portillo J. 2023. Carlquist’s indices for vulnerability and
18 mesomorphy of wood: are they relevant today? *IAWA J.* DOI 10.1163/22941932-
19 bja10113.
20
21

22 Frankiewicz KE, Babasiak L, Oskolski AA, Magee AR, Alsarraf M, Trzeciak P, Spalik K. 2021.
23 Derived woodiness and annual habit evolved in African umbellifers as alternative
24 solutions for coping with drought. *BMC Plant Biol.* 21: 383. DOI: 10.1186/s12870-
25 021-03151-x.
26
27

28 Gauthey A, Peters JMR, Carins-Murphy MR, Rodriguez-Dominguez CM, Li X, *et al.* 2020.
29 Visual and hydraulic techniques produce similar estimates of cavitation resistance in
30 woody species. *New Phytol.* 228: 884–897. DOI: 10.1111/nph.16746.
31
32

33 Gleason SM, Westoby M, Jansen S, Choat B, Hacke UG, *et al.* 2016. Weak tradeoff between
34 xylem safety and xylem-specific hydraulic efficiency across the world’s woody plant
35 species. *New Phytol.* 209: 123–136. DOI: 10.1111/nph.13646.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Greenwood S, Ruiz-Benito P, Martinez-Vilalta J, Lloret F, Kitzberger T, *et al.* 2017. Tree
2 mortality across biomes is promoted by drought intensity, lower wood density and
3 higher specific leaf area. *Ecol. Lett.* 20: 539–553. DOI: 10.1111/ele.12748.
4
5

6
7 Guan X, Pereira L, McAdam SAM, Cao K-F, Jansen. 2021. No gas source, no problem:
8 Proximity to pre-existing embolism and segmentation affect embolism spreading in
9 angiosperm xylem by gas diffusion. *Plant Cell Environ.* 44: 1329–1345. DOI:
10 10.1111/pce.14016.
11
12

13 Guan X, Werner J, Cao K-F, Pereira L, Kaack L, *et al.* 2022. Stem and leaf xylem of angiosperm
14 trees experiences minimal embolism in temperate forests during two consecutive
15 summers with moderate drought. *Plant Biol.* 24: 1208–1223.
16 DOI:10.1111/plb.13384.
17

18 Hacke UG, Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB. 2009. Xylem function of arid-land shrubs from California,
19 USA: an ecological and evolutionary analysis. *Plant Cell Environ.* 32: 1324–1333. DOI:
20 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02000.x.
21
22

23 Hacke UG, Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB. 2022. Vessel diameter and vulnerability to drought-
24 induced embolism: within-tissue and across-species patterns and the issue of
25 survivorship bias. *IAWA J.* DOI: 10.1163/22941932-bja10107.
26
27

28 Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Wheeler JK, Castro L. 2006. Scaling of angiosperm xylem structure with
29 safety and efficiency. *Tree Physiol.* 26: 689–701. DOI: 10.1093/treephys/26.6.689.
30
31

32 Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Pockman WT, Davis SD, McCulloh KA. 2001. Trends in wood
33 density and structure are linked to prevention of xylem implosion by negative
34 pressure. *Oecologia* 126: 457–461. DOI: 10.1007/s004420100628.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Hacke UG, Spicer R, Schreiber SG, Plavcová L. 2017. An ecophysiological and developmental
2 perspective on variation in vessel diameter. *Plant Cell Environ.* 40: 831–845. DOI:
3
4
5 10.1111/pce.12777.
6

7 Hacke UG, Venturas MD, MacKinnon ED, Jacobsen AL, Sperry JS, *et al.* 2014. The standard
8 centrifuge method accurately measures vulnerability curves of long-vesselled olive
9
10
11
12
13
14 stems. *New Phytol.* 205: 116–127. DOI: 10.1111/nph.13017.

15 Hargrave KR, Kolb KJ, Ewers FW, Davis SD. 1994. Conduit diameter and drought-induced
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 embolism in *Salvia mellifera* Greene (Labiatae). *New Phytol.* 126: 695–705. DOI:
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1994.tb02964.x.

30 Hölttä T, Vesala T, Perämäki M, Nikinmaa E. 2002. Relationships between embolism, stem
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Ingram S, Salmon Y, Lintunen, Hölttä T, Vesala T, *et al.* 2021. Dynamic surface tension
enhances the stability of nanobubbles in xylem sap. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12: 732701. DOI:
10.3389/fpls.2021.732701.

Isasa E, Link RM, Jansen S, Tezeh FR, Kaack L, *et al.* 2023. Addressing controversies in the
xylem embolism resistance – vessel diameter relationship. *New Phytol.* 238: 283–
296. DOI: 10.1111/nph.18731.

Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB. 2012. No evidence for an open vessel effect in centrifuge-based
vulnerability curves of a long-vesselled liana (*Vitis vinifera*). *New Phytol.* 194: 982–
990. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04118.x.

Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB. 2023. Vessel diameter polymorphism determines vulnerability-to-
embolism curve shape. *IAWA J.* DOI: 10.1163/22941932-bja10115

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Jacobsen AL, Pratt, RB, Davis SD, Ewers FW. 2007. Cavitation resistance and seasonal hydraulics differ among three arid Californian plant communities. *Plant Cell Environ.* 30: 1599–1609. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01729.x.
- Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB, Venturas MD, Hacke UG. 2019. Large volume vessels are vulnerable to water-stress-induced embolism in stems of poplar. *IAWA J.* 40: 4–22. DOI: 10.1163/22941932-40190233.
- Jansen S, Bittencourt P, Pereira L, Schenk HJ, Kunert N. 2022. A crucial phase in plants – it’s a gas, gas, gas! *New Phytol.* 233: 1556–1559. DOI: 10.1111/nph.17875.
- Jansen S, Choat B, Pletsers A. 2009. Morphological variation of intervessel pit membranes and implications to xylem function in angiosperms. *Am. J. Bot.* 96: 409–419. DOI: 10.3732/ajb.0800248.
- Jansen S, Pletsers A, Sano Y. 2008. The effect of preparation techniques on SEM-imaging of pit membranes. *IAWA J.* 29: 161–178. DOI: 10.1163/22941932-90000177.
- Johnson DM, Wortemann R, McCulloh KA, Jordan-Meille L, Ward E, *et al.* 2016. A test of the hydraulic vulnerability segmentation hypothesis in angiosperm and conifer tree species. *Tree Physiol.* 36: 983–993. DOI: 10.1093/treephys/tpw031.
- Johnson KM, Brodribb TJ. 2023. Evidence for a trade-off between growth rate and xylem cavitation resistance in *Callitris rhomboidea*. *Tree Physiol.* 43: 1055–1065. DOI: 10.1093/treephys/tpad037.
- Kaack L, Altaner CM, Carmesin C, Diaz A, Holler M, *et al.* 2019. Function and three-dimensional structure of intervessel pit membranes in angiosperms: a review. *IAWA J.* 40: 673–702. DOI: 10.1163/22941932-40190259.

1 Kaack L, Weber M, Isasa E, Karimi Z, Li S, *et al.* 2021. Pore constrictions in intervessel pit
2 membranes provide a mechanistic explanation for xylem embolism resistance in
3 angiosperms. *New Phytol.* 230: 1829–1843. DOI: 10.1111/nph.17282.
4
5

6
7 Klepsch M, Zhang Y, Kotowska MM, Lamarque LJ, Nolf M, *et al.* 2018. Is xylem of angiosperm
8 leaves less resistant to embolism than branches? Insights from microCT, hydraulics,
9 and anatomy. *J. Exp. Bot.* 69: 5611–5623. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/ery321.
10
11

12
13 Lachenbruch B, McColluh KA. 2014. Traits, properties, and performance: how woody plants
14 combine hydraulic and mechanical functions in a cell, tissue, or whole plant. *New*
15 *Phytol.* 204: 747–764. DOI: 10.1111/nph.13035.
16
17

18
19 Lens F, Gleason SM, Bortolami G, Brodersen C, Delzon S, *et al.* 2022. Functional xylem
20 characteristics associated with drought-induced embolism in angiosperms. *New*
21 *Phytol.* 236: 2019–2036. DOI: 10.1111/nph.18447.
22
23

24
25 Lens F, Luteyn JL, Smets E, Jansen S. 2004. Ecological wood anatomy of Vaccinioideae
26 (Ericaceae *s.l.*). *Flora* 199: 309–319. DOI: 10.1078/0367-2530-0058.
27
28

29
30 Lens F, Picon-Cochard C, Delmas C, Signarbieux C, Buttler A, *et al.* 2016. Herbaceous
31 angiosperms are not more vulnerable to drought-induced embolism than
32 angiosperm trees. *Plant Physiol.* 172: 661–667. DOI: 10.1104/pp.16.00829.
33
34

35
36 Lens F, Sperry JS, Christman MA, Choat B, Rabaey D, *et al.* 2011. Testing hypotheses that link
37 wood anatomy to cavitation resistance and hydraulic conductivity in the genus *Acer*.
38 *New Phytol.* 190: 709–723. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03518.x.
39
40

41
42 Lens F, Tixier A, Cochard H, Sperry JS, Jansen S, *et al.* 2013. Embolism resistance as a key
43 mechanism to understand adaptive plant strategies. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 16: 287–
44 292. DOI: 10.1016/j.pbi.2013.02.005.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Levionnois S, Jansen S, Wandji RT, Beauchêne J, Ziegler C, *et al.* 2020a. Linking drought-induced xylem embolism resistance to wood anatomical traits in Neotropical trees. *New Phytol.* 229: 1453–1466. DOI: 10.1111/nph.16942.
- Levionnois S, Ziegler C, Jansen S, Calvet E, Coste S, *et al.* 2020b. Vulnerability and hydraulic segmentations at the stem–leaf transition: Coordination across Neotropical trees. *New Phytol.* 228: 512–524. DOI: 10.1111/nph.16723.
- Li S, Klepsch M, Jansen S, Schmitt M, Lens F, *et al.* 2016. Intervessel pit membrane thickness as a key determinant of embolism resistance in angiosperm xylem. *IAWA J.* 37: 152–171. DOI: 10.1163/22941932-20160128.
- Liang X, Ye Q, Lio H, Brodribb TJ. 2021. Wood density predicts mortality threshold for diverse trees. *New Phytol.* 229: 3053–3057. DOI: 10.1111/nph.17117.
- Lintunen A, Hölttä T, Kulmala M. 2013. Anatomical regulation of ice nucleation and cavitation helps trees to survive freezing and drought stress. *Sci. Rep.* 3: 2031. DOI: 10.1038/srep02031.
- Lintunen A, Losso A, Aalto J, Chan T, Hölttä T, *et al.* 2020. Propagating ice front induces gas bursts and ultrasonic acoustic emissions from freezing xylem. *Tree Physiol.* 40: 170–182. DOI: 10.1093/treephys/tpz123.
- Loepfe L, Martinez-Vilalta J, Pinol J, Mencuccini M. 2007. The relevance of xylem network structure for plant hydraulic efficiency and safety. *J. Theor. Biol.* 247: 788–803. DOI: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2007.03.036.
- Lohse D, Zhang X. 2015. Surface nanobubbles and nanodroplets. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 87: 981–1035. DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.87.981.
- Lübbe T, Lamarque LJ, Delzon S, Torres Ruiz JM, Burlett R, *et al.* 2022. High variation in hydraulic efficiency but not xylem safety between roots and branches in four

1 temperate broad-leaved tree species. *Funct. Ecol.* 36: 699–712. DOI: 10.1111/1365-
2 2435.13975.
3

4
5 Mantova M, Herbette S, Cochard H, Torres-Ruiz JM. 2022. Hydraulic failure and tree
6 mortality: from correlation to causation. *Trends Plant Sci.* 27: 335–345. DOI:
7 10.1016/j.tplants.2021.10.003.
8
9

10
11
12 Martin-StPaul N, Delzon S, Cochard H. 2017. Plant resistance to drought depends on timely
13 stomatal closure. *Ecol. Lett.* 20: 1437–1447. DOI: 10.1111/ele.12851.
14
15

16
17
18 Martin-StPaul N, Longepierre D, Huc R, Delzon S, Burtlett R, *et al.* 2014. How reliable are
19 methods to assess xylem vulnerability to cavitation? The issue of ‘open vessel’
20 artifact in oaks. *Tree Physiol.* 34: 894–905. DOI: 10.1093/treephys/tpu059.
21
22

23
24
25 McCully M, Canny M, Baker A, Miller C. 2014. Some properties of the walls of metaxylem
26 vessels of maize roots, including tests of the wettability of their luminal wall
27 surfaces. *Ann. Bot.* 113: 977–989. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcu020.
28
29
30

31
32
33 McDowell N, Pockman WT, Allen CD, Breshears DD, Cobb N, *et al.* 2008. Mechanisms of
34 plant survival and mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while others
35 succumb to drought? *New Phytol.* 178: 719–739. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-
36 8137.2008.02436.x.
37
38

39
40
41
42
43 McDowell N, Sapes G, Pivovarov A, Adams HD, Allen GD, *et al.* 2022. Mechanisms of
44 woody-plant mortality under rising drought, CO₂ and vapour pressure deficit. *Nature*
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

- 1 Mrad A, Domec J-C, Huang C-W, Lens F, Katul G. 2018. A network model links wood anatomy
2 to xylem hydraulic behaviour. *Plant Cell Environ.* 41: 2718–2730. DOI:
3
4 10.1111/pce.13415.
5
6
- 7 Mrad A, Johnson DM, Love DM, Domec J-C. 2021. The roles of conduit redundancy and
8 connectivity in xylem hydraulic functions. *New Phytol.* 231: 996–1007. DOI:
9
10 10.1111/nph.17429.
11
12
- 13 Nardini A, Lo Gullo MA, Salleo S. 2011. Refilling embolized xylem conduits: Is it a matter of
14 phloem unloading? *Plant Sci.* 180: 604–611. DOI: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2010.12.011.
15
16
- 17 Nardini A, Savi T, Losso A, Petit G, Pacile S, *et al.* 2017. X-ray microtomography observations
18 of xylem embolism in stems of *Laurus nobilis* are consistent with hydraulic
19 measurements of percentage loss of conductance. *New Phytol.* 213: 1068–1075.
20
21 DOI: 10.1111/nph.14245.
22
23
- 24 Olson ME. 2020. From Carlquist’s ecological wood anatomy to Carlquist’s Law: why
25 comparative anatomy is crucial for functional xylem biology. *Am. J. Bot.* 107: 1328–
26 1341. DOI: 10.1002/ajb2.1552.
27
28
- 29 Olson ME, Anfodillo T, Gleason SM, McCulloh KA. 2020. Tip-to-base xylem conduit widening
30 as an adaptation: causes, consequences, and empirical priorities. *New Phytol.* 229:
31 1877–1893. DOI: 10.1111/nph.16961.
32
33
- 34 Olson ME, Pace MR, Anfodillo T. 2023. The vulnerability to drought-induced embolism-
35 conduit diameter link: breaching the anatomy-physiology divide. *IAWA J.* DOI:
36 10.1163/22941932-bja10123
37
38
- 39 Olson ME, Soriano D, Rosell JA, Anfodillo T, Donoghue MJ, *et al.* 2018. Plant height and
40 hydraulic vulnerability to drought and cold. *PNAS* 115: 7551–7556. DOI:
41 10.1073/pnas.1721728115.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Percolla MI, Fickle JC, Rodríguez-Zaccaro FD, Pratt RB, Jacobsen AL. 2021. Hydraulic function and conduit structure in the xylem of five oak species. *IAWA J.* 42: 279–298. DOI: 10.1163/22941932-bja10059.
- Pereira L, Domingues-Junior AP, Jansen S, Choat B, Marrafera P. 2018. Is embolism resistance in plant xylem associated with quantity and characteristics of lignin? *Trees* 32: 349–358. DOI: 10.1007/s00468-017-1574-y.
- Pereira L, Miranda MT, Pires GS, Pacheco VS, Guan X, *et al.* 2020. A semi-automated method for measuring xylem vessel length distribution. *Theor. Exp. Plant Phys.* 32: 331–340. DOI: 10.1111/pce.13647.
- Pivovarov AL, Pasquini SC, De Guzman ME, Alstad KP, Stemke JS, Santiago LS. 2015. Multiple strategies for drought survival among woody plant species. *Funct. Ecol.* 30: 517–526. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2435.12518.
- Pivovarov AL, Sack L, Santiago LS. 2014. Coordination of stem and leaf hydraulic conductance in southern California shrubs: a test of the hydraulic segmentation hypothesis. *New Phytol.* 203: 842–850. DOI: 10.1111/nph.12850.
- Pratt RB, Castro V, Fickle JC, Madsen A, Jacobsen AL. 2020. Factors controlling drought resistance in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*, chardonnay): application of a new micro CT method to assess functional embolism resistance. *Am. J. Bot.* 107: 618–627. DOI: 10.1002/ajb2.1450.
- Salleo S, Lo Gullo MA, Trifilo P, Nardini A. 2004. New evidence for a role of vessel-associated cells and phloem in the rapid xylem refilling of cavitated stems of *Laurus nobilis* L. *Plant Cell Environ.* 27: 1065–1076. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2004.01211.x.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Schenk HJ, Espino S, Rich-Cavazos SM, Jansen S. 2018. From the sap's perspective: The nature of vessel surfaces in angiosperm xylem. *Am. J. Bot.* 105: 174–187. DOI: 10.1002/ajb2.1034.
- Schenk HJ, Espino S, Romo DM, Nima N, Do AYT, *et al.* 2017. Xylem surfactants introduce a new element to the cohesion-tension theory. *Plant Physiol.* 173: 1177–1196. DOI: 10.1104/pp.16.01039.
- Schenk HJ, Espino S, Visser A, Esser BK. 2016. Dissolved atmospheric gas in xylem sap measured with membrane inlet mass spectrometry. *Plant Cell Environ.* 39: 944–950. DOI: 10.1111/pce.12678.
- Schenk HJ, Michaud JM, Espino S, Melendres T, Roth MR, *et al.* 2020. Lipids in xylem sap of woody plants across the angiosperm phylogeny. *Plant J.* 105: 1477–1494. DOI: 10.1111/tpj.15125.
- Schenk HJ, Steppe K, Jansen S. 2015. Nanobubbles: a new paradigm for air-seeding in xylem. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20: 199–205. DOI: 10.1016/j.tplants.2015.01.008.
- Scholz S, Klepsch M, Karimi Z, Jansen S. 2013. How to quantify conduits in wood? *Front. Plant Sci.* 4: article 56. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00056.
- Sevanto S, Holbrook NM, Ball MC. 2012. Freeze/thaw-induced embolism: probability of critical bubble formation depends on speed of ice formation. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3: 107. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2012.00107.
- Skelton RP, Anderegg LDL, Diaz J, Kling M, Papper P, *et al.* 2021. Evolutionary relationships between drought-related traits and climate shape large hydraulic safety margins in western North American oaks. *PNAS* 118: e2008987118. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2008987118.

1 Skelton RP, Anderegg LDL, Papper P, Reich E, Dawson TE, *et al.* 2019. No local adaptation in
2 leaf or stem xylem vulnerability to embolism, but consistent vulnerability
3
4
5 segmentation in a North American oak. *New Phytol.* 223: 1296–1306. DOI:
6
7 10.1111/nph.15886.
8
9

10 Skelton RP, Dawson TE, Thompson SE, Shen Y, Weitz AP, *et al.* 2018. Low vulnerability to
11
12 xylem embolism in leaves and stems of North American oaks. *Plant Physiol.* 177:
13
14 1066–1077. DOI: 10.1104/pp.18.00103.
15
16
17

18 Smith-Martin CM, Skelton RP, Johnson KM, Lucanu C, Brodribb TJ. 2020. Lack of vulnerability
19
20 segmentation among woody species in a diverse dry sclerophyll woodland
21
22 community. *Funct. Ecol.* 34: 777–787. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2435.13519.
23
24
25

26 Sorek Y, Greenstein S, Netzer Y, Shtein I, Jansen S, *et al.* 2020. An increase in xylem
27
28 embolism resistance of grapevine leaves during the growing season is coordinated
29
30 with stomatal regulation, turgor loss point and intervessel pit membranes. *New*
31
32 *Phytol.* 229: 1955–1969. DOI: 10.1111/nph.17025.
33
34
35

36 Sperry JS, Hacke UG, Pittermann J. 2006. Size and function in conifer tracheids and
37
38 angiosperm vessels. *Am. J. Bot.* 93: 1490–1500. DOI: 10.3732/ajb.93.10.1490.
39
40

41 Sperry JS, Christman MA, Torres-Ruiz JM, Taneda H, Smith DD. 2012. Vulnerability curves by
42
43 centrifugation: is there an open vessel artefact, and are ‘r’ shaped curves necessarily
44
45 invalid? *Plant Cell Environ.* 35: 601–610. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02439.x.
46
47
48

49 Sperry JS, Sullivan JE. 1992. Xylem embolism in response to freeze-thaw cycles and water
50
51 stress in ring-porous, diffuse-porous, and conifer species. *Plant Physiol.* 100: 605–
52
53 613.
54
55
56

57 Stovall AEL, Shugart H, Yang X. 2019. Tree height explains mortality risk during an intense
58
59 drought. *Nat. Commun.* 10: 43854. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-12380-6.
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Thonglim A, Bortolami G, Delzon S, Larter M, Offringa R, *et al.* 2022. Drought response in
2 *Arabidopsis* displays synergistic coordination between stems and leaves. J. Exp. Bot.
3
4
5 74: 1004–1021. DOI 10.1093/jxb/erac446.
6

7 Thonglim A, Delzon S, Larter M, Karami O, Rahimi A, *et al.* 2020. Intervessel pit membrane
8
9
10 thickness best explains variation in embolism resistance amongst stems of
11
12 *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions. Ann. Bot. 128: 171–182. DOI:
13
14
15 10.1093/aob/mcaa196.
16

17 Tobin MF, Pratt RB, Jacobsen AL, De Guzman ME. 2012. Xylem vulnerability to cavitation can
18
19
20 be accurately characterised in species with long vessels using a centrifuge method.
21
22
23 Plant Biol. 15: 496–504. DOI: 10.1111/j.1438-8677.2012.00678.x.
24

25 Torres-Ruiz JM, Cochard H, Mayr S, Beikircher B, Diaz-Espejo A, *et al.* 2014. Vulnerability to
26
27
28 cavitation in *Olea europaea* current-year shoots: further evidence of an open-vessel
29
30
31 artefact associated with centrifuge and air-injection techniques. Physiol. Plant. 152:
32
33
34 465–474. DOI: 10.1111/ppl.12185.
35

36 Tyree MT, Davis SD, Cochard H. 1994. Biophysical perspectives of xylem evolution: is there a
37
38
39 tradeoff of hydraulic efficiency for vulnerability to dysfunction? IAWA J. 15: 335–360.
40
41
42 DOI: 10.1163/22941932-90001369.
43

44 Venturas MD, MacKinnon ED, Dario HL, Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB, *et al.* 2016. Chaparral shrub
45
46
47 hydraulic traits, size, and life history types relate to species mortality during
48
49
50 California’s historic drought of 2014. PloS ONE 11: e0159145. DOI:
51
52
53 10.1371/journal.pone.0159145.
54

54 Venturas MD, Pratt RB, Jacobsen AL, Castro V, Fickle JC, *et al.* 2019. Direct comparison of
55
56
57 four methods to construct xylem vulnerability curves: Differences among techniques
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 are linked to vessel network characteristics. *Plant Cell Environ.* 42: 2422–2436. DOI:
2 10.1111/pce.13565.
3

4 Wang Y, Pan R, Tyree MT. 2015. Studies on the tempo of bubble formation in
5 recently cavitated vessels: A model to predict the pressure of air bubbles. *Plant*
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Wason JW, Anstreicher KS, Stephansky N, Huggett BA, Brodersen CR. 2018. Hydraulic safety
margins and air-seeding thresholds in roots, trunks, branches and petioles of four
northern hardwood trees. *New Phytol.* 219: 77–88. DOI: 10.1111/nph.15135.

Wason JW, Bouda M, Lee EF, McElrone AJ, Phillips RJ, *et al.* 2021. Xylem network
connectivity and embolism spread in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.). *Plant Physiol.* 186:
373–387. DOI: 10/1093/plphys/kiab045.

Weishaupt K, Koch T, Helmig R. 2022. A fully implicit coupled pore-network/free-flow model
for the pore-scale simulation of drying processes. *Drying Techn.* 40: 697–718. DOI:
10.1080/07373937.2021.1955706.

Weithmann G, Link RM, Banzragch BE, Würzberg L, Leuschner C, *et al.* 2022. Soil water
availability and branch age explain variability in xylem safety of European beech in
Central Europe. *Oecologia* 198: 629–644. DOI: 10.1007/s00442-022-05124-9.

Wheeler JK, Sperry JS, Hacke UG, Hoang N. 2005. Inter-vessel pitting and cavitation in
woody Rosaceae and other vesselled plants: a basis for a safety versus efficiency
trade-off in xylem transport. *Plant Cell Environ.* 28: 800–812. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-
3040.2005.01330.x.

Wu M, Zhang Y, Oya T, Marcati CR, Pereira L, *et al.* 2020. Root xylem in three woody
angiosperm species is not more vulnerable to embolism than stem xylem. *Plant Soil*
450: 479–495. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-020-04525-0.

1 Yang J, Michaud JM, Jansen S, Schenk HJ, Zuo YY. 2020. Dynamic surface tension of xylem
2 sap lipids. *Tree Physiol.* 40: 433–444. DOI: 10.1093/treephys/tpaa006.
3

4 Zhang Y, Carmesin C, Kaack L, Klepsch MM, Kotowska M, *et al.* 2020. High porosity with tiny
5 pore constrictions and unbending pathways characterize the 3D structure of
6 intervessel pit membranes in angiosperm xylem. *Plant Cell Environ.* 43: 116–130.
7 DOI: 10.1111/pce.13654.
8

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Zhu S-D, Liu H, Xu Q-Y, Cao K-F, Ye Q. 2016. Are leaves more vulnerable to cavitation than
branches? *Funct. Ecol.* 30: 1740–1744. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2435.12656.

Zimmermann MH. 1983. *Xylem structure and the ascent of sap.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-
Heidelberg.

Zizka A, Onstein RE, Rozzi R, Weigelt P, Kreft H, *et al.* 2022. The evolution of insular
woodiness. *PNAS* 119: e2208629119. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2208629119.

Zwieniecki MA, Holbrook NM. 2000. Bordered pit structure and vessel wall surface
properties: implications for embolism repair. *Plant Physiol.* 123: 1015–1020. DOI:
10.1104/pp.123.3.1015.