



HAL
open science

Gerogenes and gerosuppression: the pillars of precision geromedicine

Carlos López-Otín, Andrea B Maier, Guido Kroemer

► **To cite this version:**

Carlos López-Otín, Andrea B Maier, Guido Kroemer. Gerogenes and gerosuppression: the pillars of precision geromedicine. *Cell Research*, 2024, Online ahead of print. 10.1038/s41422-024- . hal-04599810

HAL Id: hal-04599810

<https://hal.science/hal-04599810>

Submitted on 8 Jun 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

EDITORIAL

Gerogenes and gerosuppression: the pillars of precision geromedicine

Carlos López-Otín^{1,2}, Andrea B. Maier³⁻⁵ & Guido Kroemer^{1,6,7*}

¹ Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, Equipe labellisée par la Ligue contre le cancer, Inserm U1138, Université Paris Cité, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France;

² Facultad de Ciencias de la Vida y la Naturaleza, Universidad Nebrija, Madrid, Spain;

³ Department of Human Movement Sciences, @AgeAmsterdam, Faculty of Behavioural and Movement Sciences, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam Movement Sciences, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.;

⁴ Healthy Longevity Translational Research Program, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore;

⁵ Centre for Healthy Longevity, @AgeSingapore, National University Health System, Singapore.

⁶ Metabolomics and Cell Biology Platforms, Gustave Roussy Institut, Villejuif, France;

⁷ Institut du Cancer Paris CARPEM, Department of Biology, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, AP-HP, Paris, France.

* Corresponding author: Guido Kroemer, kroemer@orange.fr

Keywords: Aging, cancer, genome, oncogene, oncosuppression

Abstract

By analogy to cancer-relevant oncogenes and oncosuppressors, we propose two categories of aging-associated genes, gerogenes and gerosuppressors, that accelerate or decelerate this process, respectively. Population screenings for gerogenic and gerosuppressive gene variants and pathways, combined with extensive phenotyping efforts and evaluation of environmental risk factors, may facilitate the development of precision geromedicine for the prevention and cure of age-related disease.

Tumorigenesis is driven by the gain-of-function mutation or overexpression of oncogenes, as well as by the inactivation of oncosuppressive (tumor suppressor) genes due to their loss-of-function mutation, genomic loss or epigenetic silencing. This combination of factors increases the cell-intrinsic fitness of malignant cells, enhances their capacity to obtain trophic support by the tumor microenvironment, and simultaneously subverts cancer immunosurveillance.¹ Since the realization that neoplasia is driven by molecularly defined genetic alterations, cancer is not more conceived as a fatality but as a disease that -at least theoretically- can be targeted by inhibiting oncogenes or reestablishing oncosuppression within the realm of 'precision oncology'. Indeed, at the oncological ward, deep sequencing of tumor DNA and RNA has become part of clinical routine to retrieve information on genomic, epigenomic and transcriptomic alterations and hence to identify patients who may benefit from specific drugs targeting relevant pathways.²

By analogy to this cancer-relevant thought process, we propose here that gerogenesis (aging) is driven by gerogenes (i.e., genes that favor the aging process) and facilitated by the failure of gerosuppressive genes and processes (which should inhibit aging), though with the difference that such genes and processes do not act in a cell-autonomous fashion but rather affect the system properties of the organism, thereby eroding general health^{3,4} and precipitating a loss of bodywide fitness aggravating several or all of the hallmarks of aging.⁵ The theoretical and clinical implications of this kind of reasoning are the same as for oncology because they might contribute to the advent of 'precision geromedicine'. The definition of genes as gerogenes or gerosuppressors is based on two criteria, namely, (1) polymorphisms, mutations or other alterations in the genes/pathways should be associated with changes in human healthspan or lifespan, and (2) experimental gain-of-function or loss-of-function manipulation of the genes/pathways should yield phenotypes affecting healthspan and lifespan in animal models that include both accelerated aging (for the activation of gerogenes or the inactivation of gerosuppressor) and decelerated aging (for the inhibition of gerogenes or the amplification of gerosuppressors). To date, this set of requisites are met by several gerogenes and gerosuppressors that affect different facets of the aging process (**Fig. 1a**).

Examples of gerogenes

***APOE* ε4.** This apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) gene variant, which is rarely encountered in centenarians, represents the ancient version of the *APOE* gene that, in contrast to its more modern alleles (*APOE* ε2 and *APOE* ε3), favors the accumulation of fat deposits during periods of food abundance and hence constitutes a "thrifty" allele that was advantageous in primitive hunter-gatherer societies.⁶ However, in modern societies, individuals carrying two *APOE* ε4

alleles are at an elevated risk of arteriosclerosis and Alzheimer's disease, especially if they consume a Western diet. This effect can be attenuated by the Mediterranean diet. Thus, the healthspan and lifespan of Southern Italians who emigrated to the US is impacted by *APOE* $\epsilon 4$, while that of Southern Italians who remained in their region of origin was scarcely influenced.⁷ These findings underscore the importance of genotype-environment interactions for human aging, as well as the possibility to intervene on patients carrying two *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ alleles by dietary interventions.

IGF1. Loci associated with the insulin growth factor 1 (IGF1) pathway are associated with age-related traits.⁸ IGF1 stimulates growth at a young age (its constitutive deficiency causes dwarfism and organ atrophy) but accelerates aging at an old age by excessive trophic signaling via mTORC1 through a pathway that can be intercepted by autophagy induction, thus illustrating the principle of antagonistic pleiotropy.⁹ Moreover, the relationship between serum IGF-1 and age-related disease in older individuals is reportedly U-shaped, meaning that both high and very low IGF-1 levels are associated with detrimental outcome.¹⁰ Hence, relevant genotyping and measurements of IGF1, its endogenous antagonists (IGF1 binding proteins), its upstream inducer growth hormone, and its downstream effectors might spur personalized interventions on IGF1 and its receptor IGF1R, both of which are druggable using monoclonal antibodies and specific small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors, respectively.

LMNA. In the rare Hutchinson–Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS), a *de novo* point mutation in *LMNA* (which codes for prelamin A) activates a cryptic splice site that results in a 50 amino acid truncation ($\Delta 50AA$) that encompasses the ZMPSTE24 cleavage site, resulting in a truncated and permanently C-terminally farnesylated mutant form of LMNA, termed progerin.¹¹ HGPS-associated progerin expression results in a variety of cellular defects including nuclear shape abnormalities, heterochromatin loss, DNA damage, proliferation defects and cellular senescence, thereby precipitating a premature aging phenotype (alopecia, lipodystrophy, skeletal abnormalities, arteriosclerosis) that manifests in the second year of life and leads to death from cardiovascular disease at a mean age of 14 years. The sole FDA-approved treatment for HGPS patients is the farnesyltransferase inhibitor, lonafarnib.¹¹ Of note, HGPS leads to the overactivation of the nutrient sensors EP300 and mTORC1, thereby inhibiting autophagy.¹² Nutritional or pharmacological activation of autophagy or mitophagy (which is mitochondrion-specific autophagy) can delay HGPS in mouse models.^{13,14} It appears that both prelamin A and progerin contribute to non-syndromic aging (outside of HGPS) as well,¹⁵ calling for diagnostic tests to measure their abundance and then to appropriately treat the affected subpopulation of patients.

Non-coding RNA genes. Among non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs), several microRNAs (miRNAs) and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) may modulate the aging process diseases.¹⁶ For example, *miR-29* is upregulated during both normal and pathological aging in human and mouse tissues. Deletion of *miR-29* extends lifespan of progeroid mice, while *miR-29* overexpression in normal mice drives aging-related phenotypes including cell senescence, alopecia, osteoporosis, kyphosis and premature death. Other miRNAs (such as *miR-34a* that contributes to cardiac aging) and lncRNAs (such as *CDKN2B-AS1*, which has been linked to reduced paternal lifespan, type 2 diabetes, coronary artery disease, and cancer) are currently under close scrutiny.¹⁶

Examples of gerosuppressor genes

APOE ε2. The protective APOE ε2 variant can be viewed as a gerosuppressor gene because its gene therapeutic expression in the ependyma reduces microglial activation, amyloid β plaque deposition and neurodegenerative synaptic loss in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease despite continued expression of human APOE ε4.¹⁷

DNA repair genes. *ATM* was the first gene to be associated with a hereditary progeroid syndrome, ataxia telangiectasia, which is due to a failure to repair DNA. Other progeroid syndromes (such as Bloom syndrome and Werner syndrome) are also due to loss-of-function mutations in DNA repair genes.¹⁸ Accordingly, in the general population, acquired protein truncating mutation in *ATM* and two other DNA repair genes (*BRCA1* and *BRCA2*) are negatively associated with lifespan.¹⁹ Of note, deep sequencing of DNA from circulating leukocytes has revealed that semi-supercentenarians (age 105-109) or supercentenarians (age ≥110) exhibit signs of efficient DNA repair with a low load of somatic mutations²⁰. Hence, in theory, stimulation of DNA repair might constitute a strategy for delaying the aging process.

FOXO3A. *FOXO3A* encodes a transcription factor which acts in a nutrient sensing pathway downstream of insulin and IGF1, and its rs2802292 G-allele is associated with longevity, especially in men.²¹ This effect may result from an enhanced functionality and reduced pro-inflammatory cytokine production and inflammaging.²²

Klotho. The human klotho (*KL*) gene was originally identified as a gerosuppressor gene that encodes the α-klotho multifunctional protein. Plasma levels of α-klotho decrease in aging in humans. In mice, *KL* knockout shortens lifespan, while the *KL* overexpression extends longevity. Low-dose injections of α-klotho increase synaptic plasticity in mice and improve cognition in aged nonhuman primates.²³ These studies suggest α-klotho supplementation as part of pro-longevity strategies.

Non-coding RNA genes. Several miRNAs and lncRNAs have been proposed as gerosuppressors.¹⁶ For example, *miR-17* overexpression inhibits cellular senescence and insulin/IGF1 signaling to extend lifespan in mice, while *TERC* and *TERRA* lncRNAs contribute to telomere maintenance and extend longevity. Likewise, *Norad*-deficient mice exhibit premature aging, with increased genomic instability and mitochondrial dysfunction.¹⁶ Nevertheless, further work will be necessary to validate the gerosuppressive relevance of these ncRNAs.

SIRT6. A *Sirtuin 6* (*SIRT6*) allele containing two linked substitutions (N308K/A313S) dubbed as centSIRT6 is enriched in Ashkenazi Jewish centenarians. These amino acid substitutions confer reduced deacylase and enhanced mono-ADP ribosyl transferase activity to centSIRT6 (compared to wild-type SIRT6), coupled to several functional improvements that may explain the longevity effects: stronger suppressor of LINE1 retrotransposons, elevated stimulation of DNA double-strand break repair, enhanced killing of cancer cells, as well as stronger interaction with, and ribosylation of, LMNA.²⁴

TET2 and DNMT3A. Truncation mutations of *TET2*, an epigenetic modifier, are negatively associated with human lifespan.¹⁹ Loss-of-function mutations affecting *TET2* and another epigenetic modifier, *DNMT3A*, are among the most frequent ones observed in clonal hematopoiesis of indetermined prognosis (CHIP), a condition that becomes ever more frequent in old age and may contribute to leukemogenesis and inflammaging, hence enhancing the risk of non-small cell lung cancer and atherogenesis.²⁵

The aforementioned gerogenes and gerosuppressor genes have all been involved in the human aging process and are connected to the hallmarks of aging (**Fig. 1b**). It is reasonable to expect that careful genotyping of such genes, as well as quantitative measurements of the pathways that they affect, will reveal associations with different phenotypic characteristics of aging.¹⁸ This implies that distinct combinations of mutations or alterations in the expression levels affecting known and yet to-be-discovered gerogenes and gerosuppressor genes -which likely interact to determine traits in a highly polygenic fashion- should be linked to the preferential manifestation of different age-associated diseases, including, but not limited to, cancer, cardiovascular disease, chronic inflammatory affections, immune defects, musculoskeletal disorders, neurodegeneration and sensory disorders. Inversely, it appears plausible, but remains to be explored, that gene variants that have been associated with specific age-associated diseases (such as, for example, Alzheimer's disease, atrial fibrillation, cataract or hearing loss) have a broader impact on manifestations of aging than it has previously been suspected.²⁶ Hence, careful genotype-phenotype correlation analyses are required to pinpoint risk factors for specific age-associated diseases and to guide the interruption of disease-precipitating pathways with specific interventions that will constitute the armamentarium of precision geromedicine and extend human healthspan.

It can be argued that germline genetics determine human longevity to a larger extent at very old ages (>100) than at younger ages, when environmental factors play a preponderant role.⁷ Indeed, for assessing the pace of aging and disease-predisposing factors, precision geromedicine should not only focus on germline alterations, but also identify somatic mutations linked to gerogenesis (such as those identifiable by deep sequencing of DNA from circulating monocytes resulting in the quantitation of CHIP). Such somatic mutations could also be measured in biopsies derived from internal organs, as this has been documented for esophageal epithelia and many other tissues.²⁷ In addition, precision geromedicine should aim at characterizing epigenetic alterations (that can be captured by bisulfite sequencing and algorithms measuring epigenetic 'clocks'), coding and non-coding RNAs, post-genetic changes (that can be measured by plasma proteomics and mass spectrometric metabolomics, phenotypic characterization of circulating leukocytes or 'immunomics', fecal metagenomics, magnetic resonance imaging and metabolomics of internal organs...), as well as a full clinical and digital assessments that involve the combined evaluation of physical and cognitive performance, functional status, morbidities, medication use, nutrition, social support and psychological status.²⁸ Ideally, careful anamneses should identify environmental clues including infections, radiation, exposure to chemicals, air pollution and psychosocial stress. Such an integrated approach would transcend the aforementioned genotype-phenotype correlation analyses by retrieving non-genetic molecular information, as well as knowledge on exogenous risk factors (**Fig. 1c**).

One final fascinating point concerns the interaction between gerogenesis and oncogenesis. While some gerogenes (e.g., *IGF1*) but not others (e.g., *APOE* ϵ 4, *LMNA*) also have oncogenic effects, it appears that most of the gerosuppressor genes are endowed with oncosuppressive activity. This relationship may be inscribed in the well-established epidemiological association between old age and cancer. However, this association is imperfect in thus far that - paradoxically- very old age (≥ 90 years) is associated with a progressive reduction in the incidence of new cancer diagnoses.²⁹ It remains to be determined whether this latter effect reflects genetic variations - and perhaps environmental factors - that do not only drive 'successful' aging but also reduce the probability of carcinogenesis and tumor progression.

COMPETING INTERESTS

GK is member of the scientific advisory boards of Hevolution and Rejuveron. GK is cofounder of four biotech companies (everImmune, Osasuna Therapeutics, Samsara Therapeutics, Therafast Bio) that target age-related diseases. CLO and ABM have no dual interests to declare.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence should be addressed to Guido Kroemer.

Reprints and permission information are available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

References

1. Swanton, C. *et al.* Embracing cancer complexity: Hallmarks of systemic disease. *Cell* **187**, 1589-1616 (2024).
2. Wahida, A. *et al.* The coming decade in precision oncology: six riddles. *Nat Rev Cancer* **23**, 43-54 (2023).
3. Lopez-Otin, C. & Kroemer, G. Hallmarks of health. *Cell* **184**, 1929-1939 (2021).
4. Lopez-Otin, C. & Kroemer, G. The missing hallmark of health: psychosocial adaptation. *Cell Stress* **8**, 21-50 (2024).
5. Lopez-Otin, C., Blasco, M.A., Partridge, L., Serrano, M. & Kroemer, G. Hallmarks of aging: An expanding universe. *Cell* **186**, 243-278 (2023).
6. Neel, J.V. Diabetes mellitus: a "thrifty" genotype rendered detrimental by "progress"? *Am J Hum Genet* **14**, 353-362 (1962).
7. Caruso, C. *et al.* How Important Are Genes to Achieve Longevity? *Int J Mol Sci* **23** (2022).
8. Teumer, A. *et al.* Genomewide meta-analysis identifies loci associated with IGF-I and IGFBP-3 levels with impact on age-related traits. *Aging Cell* **15**, 811-824 (2016).
9. Abdellatif, M., Madeo, F., Sedej, S. & Kroemer, G. Antagonistic pleiotropy: the example of cardiac insulin-like growth factor signaling, which is essential in youth but detrimental in age. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* **27**, 87-90 (2023).
10. Zhang, W.B., Ye, K., Barzilai, N. & Milman, S. The antagonistic pleiotropy of insulin-like growth factor 1. *Aging Cell* **20**, e13443 (2021).
11. Misteli, T. Farnesyltransferase inhibition in HGPS. *Cell* **184**, 293 (2021).
12. Son, S.M., Park, S.J., Breusegem, S.Y., Larrieu, D. & Rubinsztein, D.C. p300 nucleocytoplasmic shuttling underlies mTORC1 hyperactivation in Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome. *Nat Cell Biol* **26**, 235-249 (2024).
13. Ferreira-Marques, M. *et al.* Ghrelin delays premature aging in Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome. *Aging Cell* **22**, e13983 (2023).
14. Sun, Y. *et al.* Mitophagy defect mediates the aging-associated hallmarks in Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome. *Aging Cell*, e14143 (2024).
15. Primmer, S.R., Liao, C.Y., Kummert, O.M.P. & Kennedy, B.K. Lamin A to Z in normal aging. *Aging (Albany NY)* **14**, 8150-8166 (2022).
16. Ugalde, A.P. *et al.* Noncoding RNA Contribution to Aging and Lifespan. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* **79** (2024).
17. Jackson, R.J. *et al.* APOE2 gene therapy reduces amyloid deposition and improves markers of neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration in a mouse model of Alzheimer disease. *Mol Ther* (2024).
18. Worm, C. *et al.* Defining the progeria phenome. *Aging (Albany NY)* **16**, 2026-2046 (2024).
19. Human lifespan is linked to truncating variants in single genes. *Nat Aging* **2**, 285-286 (2022).
20. Garagnani, P. *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing analysis of semi-supercentenarians. *Elife* **10** (2021).
21. Revelas, M. *et al.* Review and meta-analysis of genetic polymorphisms associated with exceptional human longevity. *Mech Ageing Dev* **175**, 24-34 (2018).

22. Torigoe, T.H. *et al.* Novel protective effect of the FOXO3 longevity genotype on mechanisms of cellular aging in Okinawans. *NPJ Aging* **10**, 18 (2024).
23. Castner, S.A. *et al.* Longevity factor klotho enhances cognition in aged nonhuman primates. *Nat Aging* **3**, 931-937 (2023).
24. Simon, M. *et al.* A rare human centenarian variant of SIRT6 enhances genome stability and interaction with Lamin A. *EMBO J* **41**, e110393 (2022).
25. Jaiswal, S. & Libby, P. Clonal haematopoiesis: connecting ageing and inflammation in cardiovascular disease. *Nat Rev Cardiol* **17**, 137-144 (2020).
26. Liu, T. *et al.* Alzheimer's Disease and Aging Association: Identification and Validation of Related Genes. *J Prev Alzheimers Dis* **11**, 196-213 (2024).
27. Li, R. *et al.* A body map of somatic mutagenesis in morphologically normal human tissues. *Nature* **597**, 398-403 (2021).
28. Bonnes, S.L.R. *et al.* Establishing healthy longevity clinics in publicly funded hospitals. *Geroscience* (2024).
29. Lopez-Otin, C., Pietrocola, F., Roiz-Valle, D., Galluzzi, L. & Kroemer, G. Meta-hallmarks of aging and cancer. *Cell Metab* **35**, 12-35 (2023).




Fig. 1: The pillars of precision geromedicine.

a Examples of gerogenes and gerosuppressor genes are inscribed into a Yin and Yang diagram. **b** The contribution of such gerogenes and gerosuppressor genes to selected hallmarks of aging are depicted. **c** Schematic representation of the principles of precision geromedicine.