

## ToF-SIMS spectra of historical inorganic pigments: Natural and synthetic ultramarine blues and smalt in both polarities

Caroline Bouvier, Sebastiaan van Nuffel, Alain Brunelle

### ► To cite this version:

Caroline Bouvier, Sebastiaan van Nuffel, Alain Brunelle. ToF-SIMS spectra of historical inorganic pigments: Natural and synthetic ultramarine blues and smalt in both polarities. Surface Science Spectra, 2024, 31 (1), pp.015006. 10.1116/6.0003640. hal-04598771

## HAL Id: hal-04598771 https://hal.science/hal-04598771

Submitted on 3 Jun 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

#### RESEARCH ARTICLE | MAY 31 2024

## **ToF-SIMS** spectra of historical inorganic pigments: Natural and synthetic ultramarine blues and smalt in both polarities

Special Collection: ToF-SIMS Spectra of Historical Inorganic Pigments

Caroline Bouvier 💿 ; Sebastiaan Van Nuffel 💿 ; Alain Brunelle 📼 💿

Check for updates

Surf. Sci. Spectra 31, 015006 (2024) https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0003640







Knowledge
 Experience
 Expertise

ical for fu 🕲 www.HidenAnalytical.com € info@hiden.co.uk







# in both polarities

Cite as: Surf. Sci. Spectra **31**, 015006 (2024); doi: 10.1116/6.0003640 Submitted: 22 March 2024 · Accepted: 13 May 2024 · Published Online: 31 May 2024

Caroline Bouvier, <sup>1,2</sup> 🔟 Sebastiaan Van Nuffel,<sup>2,3</sup> 🔟 and Alain Brunelle<sup>1,a)</sup> 🔟

#### AFFILIATIONS

SURFACE SCIENCE SPECTR

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire d'Archéologie Moléculaire et Structurale, (LAMS), CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Paris 75005, France
 <sup>2</sup>Maastricht MultiModal Molecular Imaging Institute (M4i), Maastricht University, Maastricht 6229ER, The Netherlands
 <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Science and Engineering, Maastricht University, Maastricht 6229EN, The Netherlands

**Note:** This paper is part of the 2024 Special Topic Collection on ToF-SIMS Spectra of Historical Inorganic Pigments. <sup>a)</sup>Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: alain.brunelle@cnrs.fr

#### ABSTRACT

Time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (ToF-SIMS) is increasingly used to analyze cultural heritage materials because it can simultaneously detect organic and inorganic materials while mapping them on a surface. The precise identification of a pigment in a specific layer of a painting or of remaining color on a statue can inform about the technique used or the time of manufacture as well as expose possible forgeries when anachronistic ingredients are identified. Reference spectra are required to confidently identify a given pigment using ToF-SIMS. This paper focuses on four blue pigments manufactured following historical recipes: two natural ground and processed lapis lazuli pigments, one synthetic ultramarine pigment, and the blue-colored ground cobalt glass pigment smalt. The positive and negative polarity ToF-SIMS reference spectra using a Bi<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> primary ion species are presented. Differentiating these family of pigments is of interest as they have similar compositions but are used in very different contexts. It is particularly noteworthy that the two natural lapis lazuli pigments can be distinguished from the synthetic counterpart ultramarine using ToF-SIMS.

© 2024 Author(s). All article content, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0003640

Accession#: 01927, 01928, 01929, and 01930 Technique: SIMS	<b>Major Species in Spectra:</b> O, H, Al, Si, Na, Ca, K, Co, S, Cl, Fe, and C
Specimen: Lapis Lazuli from Chile, Lapis Lazuli sky-blue, Ultramarine blue, and Smalt	Minor Species in Spectra: Hydrocarbon contamination Published Spectra: 8
Instrument: IONTOF, TOF SIMS IV	Spectral Category: Reference

#### INTRODUCTION

ToF-SIMS imaging can detect a wide range of elements, so colored minerals can be identified and mapped, given that reference spectra are available for reliable assignment. Here, we provide a ToF-SIMS spectral database for four historical blue inorganic pigments of similar composition, as they all contain some proportion of silicon oxide. As the definite chemical composition of the pigments made from ground natural lapis lazuli is subjected to the variable mineral content of the stone, two pigments from distinct geographical origin and color shade (namely #01927 and #01928) are compared. A synthetic equivalent, commonly referred to as "ultramarine" and existing since the 19th century, is presented in comparison (#01929) as well as a blue-colored ground cobalt glass, known as smalt (#01930). For each, a list of their secondary ions is given in both polarities.

The reference materials were supplied by specialized manufacturers selling pigments reproducing historical recipes as closely as possible, such as Kremer Pigmente GmbH. Pigments were available





as ground powder of variable particle size (a few tens of  $\mu$ m to 100 $\mu$ m) conditioned in glass sealed vials. In paintings, pigment particles are dispersed and not dissolved, so all references were analyzed without any preparation treatment. Natural and synthetic pigments may contain impurities, and specimen compositions described below are the major components as found on the material data sheets provided by the suppliers.

A stainless-steel spatula was cleaned with propan-2-ol, and the powder was directly deposited on a  $1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^2$  piece of a conductive double-sided tape (3M Electrically Conductive Adhesive Transfer Tape 9703) already fixed to a  $1 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm} \times 0.125 \text{ mm}$  stainless steel plate (17-7PH, Goodfellow Cambridge Limited, UK) fitting the dimensions of the sample holder. A hand press covered with clean aluminum foil was applied onto the powder to fix it to the tape. This allowed us to minimize the risk of dust formation when operating in the analysis chamber under ultrahigh vacuum ( $10^{-8}$  to  $10^{-9}$  hPa) while ensuring a flat surface.

All spectra were obtained on an IONTOF TOF SIMS IV equipped with a 25 keV bismuth liquid metal ion gun and an argon gas cluster ion beam (GCIB). Before all analyses, a  $500 \times 500 \,\mu m^2$  surface was cleaned using 1500–2000 Ar clusters with a kinetic energy of 20 keV (sputter ion dose of  $2 \times 10^{15}$  ions/cm<sup>2</sup>). Analyses were then performed on a  $250 \times 250 \,\mu m^2$  area centered in this larger cleaned area, rastered over  $128 \times 128$  pixels using the high current bunched mode. Primary ion dose (25 keV Bi<sub>3</sub>) was  $4.35 \times 10^{11}$  ions/cm<sup>2</sup>. Surface potential was compensated and charging of the sample was compensated with low-energetic 20 eV electrons of the flood gun.

In cases where the grain size and shape prevented a large enough flat surface accessible for the analysis, delayed extraction mode included on the ToF analyzer was used since this reduces the variation in time of flight due to surface topography.<sup>1</sup> This was necessary for lapis lazuli sky-blue (Accession #01928), as the data obtained using bunched mode were limited in mass resolution due to topography. The imaging capabilities of the ToF-SIMS instrument were also especially useful in attributing peaks by referring to their co-localization.

Data were calibrated using sets of peaks as similar as possible for all spectra, using peaks that could be confidently identified while taking into account the mass interferences involving Al, Si, Na, K, and Ca. The lists were designed so that meaningful comparisons between datasets are possible. Calcium has a distinctive isotopic pattern ensuring confident attribution of the peaks; hence, #01927 and #01928 positive polarity data were calibrated using lists including  $Ca^+$ , SiONa<sup>+</sup>,  $Ca_2O_2H^+$ , and  $Ca_3O_3H^+$  ions. #01929 and #01930 positive polarity data were calibrated, which included Al<sup>+</sup>, SiONa<sup>+</sup>, SiO<sub>2</sub>Na<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub>, and SiO<sub>3</sub>Na<sup>+</sup><sub>3</sub>. The negative polarity data were calibrated for #01927, #01928, and #01929 using SiO<sub>2</sub>, AlSiO<sub>4</sub>, AlSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, AlSi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, and AlSi<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub>, while the non-Al containing smalt #01930 was calibrated using SiO2, Si2O5H, Si3O7H, Si4O9H, and Si<sub>5</sub>O<sub>11</sub>H<sup>-</sup>. The calibration lists allowed for a good coverage of the mass range of interest for each specimen, and they are reported in the corresponding tables below. The mass calibration in the range below m/z 30 in the datasets #01928 is impacted by the low count and peak asymmetry due to the use of delayed extraction.

Figures and tables presented below contain the major peaks for both polarities. Each pigment is uniquely identified thanks to an accession number, and they are grouped according to their composition and described in the following section. Their historical relevance is briefly described based on current literature.<sup>2–4</sup> The spectrum ID# refer to that number, added with "-01" for positive spectra and with "-02" for negative spectra.

# SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION (ACCESSION #01927, #01928, AND #01929)

- Specimen: (Accession #01927) Lapis Lazuli from Chile (Kremer Pigmente ref. 1056038), (Accession #01928) Lapis Lazuli, sky-blue (Kremer Pigmente ref. 10562), and (Accession #01929) Ultramarine Blue (Kremer Pigmente ref. 45000)
- **CAS Registry #:** (Accession #01927 and #01928) 57455-35-5; (Accession #01929) 101357-30-6 (57455-37-5), 1332-58-7
- Specimen Characteristics: Inhomogeneous; solid; unknown crystallinity; dielectric; inorganic compound; powder
- Chemical Name: (Accession #01927 and #01928) Sodium aluminum silicate sulfurized; (Accession #01929) Silicic acid, aluminum sodium salt, sulfurized, and kaolin
- Source: Kremer Pigmente GmbH

Form: Ground pigment powder

- History and Significance: A rare, semiprecious, dark-blue stone found as inclusions in dolomite/calcite marble. Its main mineral is sulfur aluminosilicate lazurite, combined with various minerals like sodalite and pyrite. The pigment is produced from stone by grinding, sieving, and washing. It is blue to blue gray, with S large irregular particles and variable quantities of colorless crystalline impurities. The rarity and complexity of the process led  $\frac{2}{3}$ to ultramarine being more expensive than gold for centuries. A synthetic form has been available since the 19th century, recognizable by the distinctive shape of the particles, which are smaller. Its chemical structure is very close to lazurite, but not fully known, as discussed in the literature.<sup>2</sup> TOF-SIMS informs about the synthetic or natural origin by both the particle morphology and the spectral differences. The spectra of both natural lapis lazuli pigments are similar, while that of synthetic ultramarine are distinctive. Natural organic resins can be used in the manufacturing process, and they can be detected simultaneously as the inorganic compounds (see #01929). The sensitivity of ToF-SIMS for fluorine also proved to be of interest, as F-rich mineral can be found in natural lapis lazuli.
- **As Received Condition:** Ground pigment powders were stored in a sealed glass vial in a wooden box, protected from daylight. Stored at room temperature.
- Analyzed Region: Areas of  $250 \times 250 \,\mu\text{m}^2$
- *Ex Situ* Preparation/Mounting: Powder was deposited and pressed onto a conductive double-sided tape attached to stainless steel  $1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$  plates. The resulting deposit of powder had a flat surface and was fixed in a suitable way for the vacuum chamber. The plate was directly mounted on a "backmount" sample holder (IONTOF).
- In Situ Preparation: None



Charge Control Conditions and Procedures: A low-energy electron flood gun was used. Bias voltage between 20 and -30 V. Flood gun filament current of 2.35 A. Surface potential was corrected (sample dependent).

Temp. During Analysis: 300 K

- **Pressure During Analysis:** Between  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  Pa
- **Pre-analysis Beam Exposure:** Ar<sub>1500-2000</sub>, 20 keV,  $2 \times 10^{15}$  ions/cm<sup>2</sup>

#### SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION (ACCESSION # 01930)

- Specimen: Smalt (Kremer Pigmente ref. 10000)
- CAS Registry #: 14808-60-7, 1308-06-1,1307-96-6
- Specimen Characteristics: Inhomogeneous; solid; amorphous; dielectric; inorganic compound; powder
- **Chemical Name:** Cobalt potassium silicate glass (quartz, tricobalt tetraoxide, and cobalt monoxide)

Source: Kremer Pigmente GmbH

**Specimen Composition:** CoOKSi (various wt. % of SiO<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O, and CoO)<sup>2</sup>

Form: Ground pigment powder

- **History and Significance:** It is the oldest synthetic cobalt pigment, obtained by grinding blue glass colored by cobalt oxides, in turn obtained by heating quartz in the presence of potassium carbonate and cobalt chloride. Used from the 16th to 18th centuries as a cheap alternative to lapis and azurite. It tends to turn gray in oil paints over time. Possible traces of nickel and arsenic can be present. This pigment does not contain aluminum.
- As Received Condition: Ground pigment powders were stored in a sealed glass vial in a wooden box, protected from daylight. Stored at room temperature.

**Analyzed Region:** Areas of  $250 \times 250 \,\mu\text{m}^2$ 

*Ex Situ* Preparation/Mounting: Powder was deposited and pressed onto a conductive double-sided tape attached to stainless steel  $1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$  plates. The resulting deposit of powder had a flat surface and was fixed in a suitable way for the vacuum chamber. The plate was directly mounted on a "backmount" sample holder (IONTOF).

In Situ Preparation: None

Charge Control Conditions and Procedures: A low-energy electron flood gun was used. Bias voltage between 20 and -30 V. Flood gun filament current of 2.35 A. Surface potential was corrected (sample dependent).

Temp. During Analysis: 300 K

**Pressure During Analysis:** Between  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  Pa

**Pre-analysis Beam Exposure:** Ar<sub>1500-2000</sub>, 20 keV,  $2 \times 10^{15}$  ions/cm<sup>2</sup>

#### INSTRUMENT CONFIGURATION

Manufacturer and Model: IONTOF, TOF SIMS IV Analyzer Type: Time-of-flight Sample Rotation: No Rotation Rate: 0 rpm Oxygen Flood Source: None Oxygen Flood Pressure: N/A Other Flood Source: None

#### Other Flood Pressure: N/A

Unique Instrument Features Used: The analyzer was equipped with delayed extraction mode. It was used when the topography of the pigment's grains impacted the signal quality (e.g., grains of several tens of micrometers with sharp edges). The delayed extraction mode allows for an attenuation of the relative delays between secondary ions due to height differences on the surface.

Energy Acceptance Window: 20 eV Post-acceleration Voltage: 10 000 eV Sample Bias: 10–20 eV

Specimen Normal-to-analyzer (Oe): 0°

#### Ion Sources

Ion Source 1 of 2

Purpose of This Ion Source: Analysis beam

Ion Source Manufacturer: IONTOF GmbH

Ion Source Model: Liquid metal ion gun (LMIG) with bismuth cluster (25 keV)

Beam Mass Filter: Yes

- Beam Species and Charge State: Bi3<sup>+</sup>
- Beam Gating Used: Double pulsed + bunched

Additional Beam Comments: None

Beam Voltage: 25 000 eV

- Net Beam Voltage (impact voltage): 25 000 eV
- Ion Pulse Width: 0.8–1.2 ns
- Ion Pulse Rate: 5–10 kHz
- DC Beam Current: ~10 nA
- Pulsed Beam Current: ~0.0004 nA
- Current Measurement Method: Faraday cup

Beam Diameter:  $\sim 2 \,\mu m$ 

Beam Raster Size:  $250 \times 250 \,\mu\text{m}^2$ 

**Raster Pixel Dimensions:** 128 × 128 **Beam Incident Angle:** 45°

Source-to-Analyzer Angle: 45°

#### Ion Source 2 of 2

Purpose of This Ion Source: Sputtering beam Ion Source Manufacturer: IONTOF GmbH Ion Source Model: Argon gas cluster ion beam (GCIB) Beam Mass Filter: Yes Beam Species and Charge State:  $Ar_n^+$  with n = 1500-2000Beam Gating Used: Wien filter Additional Beam Comments: Used as sputter gun, not analysis mode Beam Voltage: 20 000 eV Net Beam Voltage (impact voltage): 20 000 eV Ion Pulse Width: N/A Ion Pulse Rate: N/A DC Beam Current: ~10 nA Pulsed Beam Current: N/A Current Measurement Method: Faraday cup Beam Diameter: ~50 µm Beam Raster Size:  $500 \times 500 \,\mu \text{m}^2$ Raster Pixel Dimensions: N/A

03 June 2024 13:41:47



Beam Incident Angle: 45° Source-to-Analyzer Angle: 45°

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was financially supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (Grant No. ANR-2015-CE29-0007 DEFIMAGE). C.B. has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation Programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 101108506. The authors thank Sven Kayser and Matthias Kleine-Boymann (IONTOF GmbH) for providing extended access to software SurfaceLab 7.3 that allowed thorough processing of the data.

#### AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

#### **Author Contributions**

**Caroline Bouvier:** Investigation (lead); Writing – original draft (equal). **Sebastiaan Van Nuffel:** Investigation (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). **Alain Brunelle:** Conceptualization (lead); Supervision (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal).

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary material.

#### REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup>Q. P. Vanbellingen, N. Elie, M. J. Eller, S. Della-Negra, D. Touboul, and A. Brunelle, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. **29**, 1187 (2015).

<sup>2</sup>N. Eastaugh, V. Walsh, T. Chaplin, and R. Siddall, *Pigment Compendium A Dictionary and Optical Microscopy of Historical Pigments*, 1st ed. (Routledge, London, 2008).

<sup>3</sup>A. Roy, Artists' Pigments: A Handbook of Their History and Characteristics (Archetype Publications, London, 1993), Vol. 2.

<sup>4</sup>J. R. Barnett, S. Miller, and E. Pearce, Opt. Laser Technol. 38, 445 (2006).



SPECTRAL FEATURES TABLE			
Spectrum ID #	Mass (∆m), Da	Species	Peak Assignment
01927-01	26.980 (-21.0)	Al <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite, sodalite
	39.962 (-14.9)	Ca⁺	Lazurite, dolomite
	55.956 (-15.8)	CaO <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite, dolomite
	56.966 (17.4)	CaOH⁺	Lazurite, dolomite
	58.959 (-26.0)	CaF⁺	F-rich mineral impurity
	82.953 (-30.9)	SiO₂Na⁺	Lazurite
	95.921 (11.5)	Ca₂O⁺	Lazurite, dolomite
	98.938 (50.1)	SiONaS⁺	Lazurite
	111.914 (-5.73)	$Ca_2O_2^+$	Lazurite
	112.925 (22.0)	Ca₂O₂H⁺	Lazurite, dolomite
	114.919 (8.96)	Ca₂OF <sup>+</sup>	F-rich mineral impurity
	136.918 (-11.6)	$\overline{Ca_2}F_3^+$	F-rich mineral impurity
	154.897 (39.0)	CaSiNaSO <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite
	174.891 (-21.7)	Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> NaSO <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite
	214.863 (24.3)		Lazurite
	230.855 (9.91)	Ca <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> AlSiO <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite
	270.824 (32.8)	Ca <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub> AlSiO <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite
	326.783 (32.0)	Ca <sub>4</sub> O <sub>3</sub> AlSiO <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite

0 Da

3545 Da

Yes

335 s

Very large grains, mass resolution impacted. The sodium peak saturated.

ARTICLE





03 June 2024 13:41:47

Mass Range Minimum:

Mass Range Maximum:

Comment:

Spectrum Dead Time Corrected:

**Total Spectral Acquisition Time:** 



SPECTRAL FEATURES TABLE			
Spectrum ID #	Mass (∆m), Da	Species	Peak Assignment
01927-02	18.999 (-7.07)	F <sup>-</sup>	Accessory mineral (ex: phlogopite)
	31.973 (17.7)	S <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite
	34.970 (20.2)	CI <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	42.977 (-3.48)	AIO <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	47.967 (-8.23)	SO <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite
	58.971 (-11.9)	AIO <sub>2</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	60.977 (22.6)	SiO <sub>2</sub> H <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	62.971 (-2.18)	SiOF <sup>-</sup>	Phlogopite
	63.964 (31.1)	$SO_2^-$	Lazurite
	76.974 (51.1)	SiO <sub>3</sub> H <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	78.968 (23.9)	SiO <sub>2</sub> F <sup>-</sup>	Phlogopite
	79.960 (33.5)	$SO_3^-$	Lazurite
	96.950 (24.6)	KNaOF <sup>-</sup>	Phlogopite
	102.946 (22.5)	SiAlO <sub>3</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	118.937 (-17.5)	SiAlO <sub>4</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	134.922 (17.7)	AlSiSO <sub>3</sub>	Lazurite
	136.939 (14.1)	Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> H <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	162.917 (37.0)	Si <sub>2</sub> AlO <sub>5</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	174.898 (13.7)	$K_2Na_2O_2F^-$	Phlogopite
	178.904 (-6.98)	Si <sub>2</sub> AlO <sub>6</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	180.909 (-21.6)	Na <sub>2</sub> OAISiO <sub>4</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	194.886 (18.7)	Si <sub>2</sub> AISO <sub>5</sub>	Lazurite
	196.904 (-23.1)	Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> AlSiO <sub>4</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	204.896 (19.3)	SiAl <sub>3</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	212.893 (2.29)	$K_2Na_2O_2F_3^-$	Phlogopite
	220.888 (7.87)	SiAl <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	238.874 (6.40)	Si <sub>3</sub> AlO <sub>8</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	280.854 (4.23)	Si <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>3</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	298.843 (14.1)	Si <sub>4</sub> AIO <sub>10</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	322.840 (16.3)	SiAl <sub>5</sub> O <sub>10</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	336.816 (14.8)	NaSi <sub>3</sub> Al <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>9</sub>	Lazurite
	340.824 (10.2)	Si <sub>3</sub> Al <sub>3</sub> O <sub>11</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	358.807 (3.43)	Si <sub>5</sub> AIO <sub>12</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	382.805 (9.45)	$Si_2AI_5O_{12}^-$	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite
	396.762 (6.81)	NaSi₄Al₂S₂O <sub>9</sub>	Lazurite
	400.776 (-26.6)	Si <sub>4</sub> Al <sub>3</sub> O <sup>-</sup> <sub>13</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite





01927-02 Accession #: Specimen: Lapis Lazuli from Chile—Kremer Pigmente ref. 1056038—Negative polarity Technique: SIMS Instrument: IONTOF, TOF SIMS IV Time-of-flight Analyzer Type: Analyzer Mass Resolving Power: 1400 m/ $\Delta$ m Mass Resolving Power Determined at: 25 D Species Used for Mass Calibration: Cl<sup>-</sup>, SiO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, AlSiO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, AlSi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>, AlSi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub><sup>-</sup>, and AlSi<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub><sup>-</sup> Specimen Normal-to-Analyzer: 0° 45° Source-to-Analyzer Angle: LMIG Primary Beam Ion Gun: Bi<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 4.35 × 10<sup>11</sup> ion/cm<sup>2</sup> **Primary Ion Species:** Primary Ion Dose: Primary Ion Pulse Width: 0.8-1.2 ns Primary Ion Pulse Rate: 5 kHz Net Beam Voltage: 25 000 eV **Pulsed Beam Current:** 0.000 13 nA DC Beam Current: 10.4 nA **Beam Diameter:**  $\sim 2 \mu m$  $250 \times 250 \,\mu m^2$ Beam Raster Size: **Beam Incident Angle:** 45° Secondary Source Polarity: Negative Mass Range Minimum: 0 Da Mass Range Maximum: 3545 Da Spectrum Dead Time Corrected: Yes 335 s **Total Spectral Acquisition Time:** Very large grains, mass resolution impacted. Fluorine content is notably high, probably from a naturally Comment:



SPECTRAL FEATURES TABLE			
Spectrum ID #	Mass (∆m), Da	Species	Peak Assignment
01928-01	26.982 (38.4)	AI <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite, sodalite
	39.960 (-52.3)	Ca⁺	Lazurite, dolomite
	55.954 (-50.3)	CaO⁺	Lazurite, dolomite
	56.962 (-47.8)	CaOH⁺	Lazurite, dolomite
	58.957 (-54.9)	CaF⁺	F-rich mineral impurity
	95.922 (29.3)	$Ca_2O^+$	Lazurite, dolomite
	98.936 (24.6)	SiONaS <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite
	111.914 (-3.31)	$Ca_2O_2^+$	Lazurite
	112.923 (7.19)	Ca₂O₂H⁺	Lazurite, dolomite
	114.920 (13.1)	Ca <sub>2</sub> OF <sup>+</sup>	F-rich mineral impurity
	136.922 (13.8)	$Ca_2F_3^+$	F-rich mineral impurity
	151.878 (10.5)	$Ca_3O_2^+$	Lazurite, dolomite
	154.895 (27.0)	CaSiNaSO <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite
	167.873 (6.75)	$Ca_3O_3^+$	Lazurite, dolomite
	174.891 (-19.4)	Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> NaSO <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite
	207.837 (11.7)		Lazurite, dolomite
	210.858 (-19.3)	SiNaS <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite
	214.868 (47.3)	Ca <sub>2</sub> OAISiO <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite
	223.832 (10.5)	$Ca_4O_4^+$	Lazurite, dolomite
	230.854 (4.70)	Ca <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> AlSiO <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite
	263.798 (22.3)	Ca <sub>5</sub> O <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite, dolomite
	270.828 (47.4)	Ca <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub> AlSiO <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite
	279.785 (8.04)		Lazurite, dolomite
	319.744 (-18.1)	$Ca_{6}O_{5}^{+}$	Lazurite, dolomite
	326.776 (10.9)	Ca <sub>4</sub> O <sub>3</sub> AlSiO <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite
	335.746 (4.65)		Lazurite, dolomite
	375.709 (3.73)	$\tilde{Ca_7O_6^+}$	Lazurite, dolomite
	431.666 (3.96)	$Ca_8O_7^+$	Lazurite, dolomite
	487.631 (17.8)	Ca <sub>9</sub> O <sub>8</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Lazurite, dolomite





Accession #:	01928-01		
Specimen:	Lapis Lazuli, sky blue—Kremer Pigmente ref. 10562—Positive polarity		
Technique:	SIMS		
Instrument:	IONTOF, TOF SIMS IV		
Analyzer Type:	Time-of-flight (with delayed extraction)		
Analyzer Mass Resolving Power:	3400 m/∆m		
Mass Resolving Power Determined at:	39 Da		
Species Used for Mass Calibration:	$Na_{+}^{+} Ca_{-}^{+}, Na_{2}^{+}, SiONa_{+}^{+}, Ca_{2}O_{2}H_{+}^{+}, Ca_{3}O_{3}H_{+}^{+}, and Ca_{4}O_{4}H_{+}^{+}$		
Specimen Normal-to-Analyzer:	0°		
Source-to-Analyzer Angle:	45°		
Primary Beam Ion Gun:	LMIG		
Primary Ion Species:	Bi <sub>3</sub> +		
Primary Ion Dose:	$3.28 \times 10^{11}$ ion/cm <sup>2</sup>		
Primary Ion Pulse Width:	~100 ns		
Primary Ion Pulse Rate:	6.7 kHz		
Net Beam Voltage:	25 000 eV		
Pulsed Beam Current:	0.000 09 nA		
DC Beam Current:	14.6 nA		
Beam Diameter:	400 µm		
Beam Raster Size:	$150 \times 150 \mu { m m}^2$		
Beam Incident Angle:	45°		
Secondary Source Polarity:	Positive		
Mass Range Minimum:	0 Da		
Mass Range Maximum:	2010 Da		
Spectrum Dead Time Corrected:	Yes		
Total Spectral Acquisition Time:	197 s		
Comment:	Very large grains, delayed extraction mode had to be used. The lighter the hue of lapis lazuli is, the higher		
	is the proportion of other minerals such as dolomite. Fluorine-containing ions were identified thanks to		

is the proportion of other minerals such as dolomite. Fluorine-containing ions were identified thanks to imaging capabilities, as they were colocalized with F<sup>-</sup> in the corresponding negative polarity dataset obtained on the same area.

	SPECTRAL FEATURES TABLE				
Spectrum ID #	Mass (Δm), Da	Species	Peak Assignment		
01928-02	19.008 (-)	F <sup>−</sup>	Accessory mineral (ex: phlogopite)		
	31.974 (38.7)	S <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite		
	34.971 (31.1)	$Cl^-$	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	42.976 (-18.9)	AIO <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	47.965 (-60.1)	SO <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite		
	58.970 (-27.8)	AIO <sub>2</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	60.972 (-51.4)	SiO <sub>2</sub> H <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	63.960 (-45.6)	$SO_2^-$	Lazurite		
	76.970 (-4.17)	SiO <sub>3</sub> H <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	78.966 (-3.00)	SiO <sub>2</sub> F <sup>-</sup>	Phlogopite		
	79.957 (-10.5)	$SO_3^-$	Lazurite		
	95.956 (33.1)	SO <sub>4</sub>	Lazurite		
	96.966 (-24.9)	$Na_2O_2F^-$	Phlogopite		
	102.947 (27.4)	SiAIO <sub>3</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	118.941 (19.1)	SiAIO <sub>4</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	134.922 (44.2)	AISiSO <sub>3</sub>	Lazurite		
	136.939 (16.4)	Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> H <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	147.895 (12.3)	Si <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>2</sub>	Lazurite		
	152.916 (22.3)	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> Cl <sup>-</sup>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	161.918 (16.2)	SiAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	162.914 (21.6)	Si <sub>2</sub> AlO <sub>5</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	174.912 (-28.0)	KNa <sub>3</sub> O <sub>3</sub> F <sup>-</sup>	Phlogopite		
	178.903 (–11.2)	Si <sub>2</sub> ĂlO <sub>6</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	180.910 ( <u></u> –15.2)	Na <sub>2</sub> OAISiO <sub>4</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	194.885 (13.5)	Si <sub>2</sub> AISO <sub>5</sub>	Lazurite		
	196.901 (-37.5)	Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> AlSiO <sub>4</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	220.884 (-11.6)	SiAl <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	234.852 (31.7)	NaSi <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Lazurite		
	238.865 (-29.2)	Si <sub>3</sub> ĂlŌ <sub>8</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	280.846 (-25.9)	Si <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>3</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	298.838 (-4.47)	Si <sub>4</sub> AlO <sub>10</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	322.832 (-7.14)	SiAl <sub>5</sub> O <sup>10</sup>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	336.804 (-18.9)	NaSi <sub>3</sub> Ăl <sub>2</sub> SÕ <sub>0</sub>	Lazurite		
	340.818 (-5.18)	Si <sub>3</sub> Ăl <sub>3</sub> Ô <sub>11</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	358.807 (3.36)	Si <sub>5</sub> AlO <sub>12</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	382.797 (-11.0)	Si <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>5</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	396.771 (28.0)	NaSi₄Al₂S₂O <sub>3</sub>	Lazurite		
	400.777 (-24.8)	Si <sub>4</sub> Al <sub>3</sub> O <sub>13</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	424.780 (-6.23)	SiAl <sub>7</sub> O <sub>13</sub>	Lazurite, sodalite, phlogopite		
	442.746 (29.9)	Si <sub>3</sub> Al <sub>5</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>10</sub>	Lazurite		
	456.719 (-14.9)	NaSi <sub>5</sub> Al <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>11</sub>	Lazurite		
		······			





	01020 02
Specimen:	Lapis Lazuli, sky blue—Kremer Pigmente ref. 10562—Negative polarity
	IONTOE TOE SIMS IV (with delayed extraction)
Analyzer Type:	Time-of-flight
Analyzer Mass Resolving Power:	3300 m/Am
Mass Resolving Power Determined at:	49 Da
Species Used for Mass Calibration:	SiO_ AISiO_ AISiO_ AISiO_ AISiO_ and AISiO_
Specimen Normal-to-Analyzer:	0°
Source-to-Analyzer Angle:	45°
Primary Beam Ion Gun:	LMIG
Primary Ion Species:	Bi <sub>3</sub> +
Primary Ion Dose:	3.28 × 10 <sup>11</sup> ion/cm <sup>2</sup>
Primary Ion Pulse Width:	~100 ns
Primary Ion Pulse Rate:	6.7 kHz
Net Beam Voltage:	25 000 eV
Pulsed Beam Current:	0.000 09 nA
DC Beam Current:	14.6 nA
Beam Diameter:	400 µm
Beam Raster Size:	$150  imes 150  \mu m^2$
Beam Incident Angle:	45°
Secondary Source Polarity:	Negative
Mass Range Minimum:	0 Da
Mass Range Maximum:	2022 Da
Spectrum Dead Time Corrected:	Yes
Total Spectral Acquisition Time:	197 s
Comment:	Very large grains, delayed extraction mode had to be used. Fluorine content is notably high, probably from a naturally occurring accessory mineral occurring with lazurite [like phlogopite KMg <sub>3</sub> (AlSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>10</sub> )(F,OH) <sub>2</sub> ].



SPECTRAL FEATURES TABLE			
Spectrum ID #	Mass (∆m), Da	Species	Peak Assignment
01929-01	26.982 (20.4)	Al <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	45.979 (-3.68)	Na <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	61.973 (-10.1)	Na <sub>2</sub> O <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	77.960 (-3.47)	Al <sub>2</sub> HNa <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	91.055 (-7.92)	$C_7H_7^+$	Abietic-type acid from colophony
	93.942 (-7.25)	SiONaAl⁺	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	94.936 (-16.6)	Si <sub>2</sub> ONa <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	100.941 (31.2)	SiAlNa <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	104.949 (-17.7)	Na <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> AĪ <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	105.071 (10.7)	$\overline{C}_8\overline{H}_9^+$	Abietic-type acid from colophony
	105.945 (-7.18)	Na₂O₂Ši <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	109.926 (28.5)	$Na_2S_2^+$	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	115.052 (-21.6)	$C_9 H_7^+$	Abietic-type acid from colophony
	121.923 (23.1)	NaKSiO <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	128.059 (-23.7)	$C_{10}H_8^+$	Abietic-type acid from colophony
	128.936 (4.33)	SiNa <sub>3</sub> O <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	132.910 (-24.4)	Na <sub>3</sub> S <sup>+</sup>	-/Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate/-
	141.066 (-30.8)	$C_{11}H_9^{\overline{1}}$	Abietic-type acid from colophony
	144.933 (14.9)	SiNa <sub>3</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	148.926 (25.7)	SiNa <sub>2</sub> ĂlO <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	160.907 (18.2)	Si <sub>2</sub> Na <sub>2</sub> AlO <sup>+</sup> <sub>2</sub>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	164.918 (5.34)	SiNa <sub>2</sub> AlO <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	165.066 (-23.3)	$C_{13}H_{9}^{+}$	Abietic-type acid from colophony
	176.884 (14.2)	Si <sub>2</sub> Na <sub>2</sub> AISO <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	204.882 (30.1)	Si <sub>2</sub> Na <sub>2</sub> AlSiO <sub>3</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	227.174 (-25.0)	$C_{17}H_{23}^{+}$	Abietic acid fragment $(M - C_3 H_7 O_2)$
	246.842 (10.0)	Si <sub>2</sub> Na <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	255.187 (49.0)	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>23</sub> O <sup>+</sup>	Abietic acid fragment (M—C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>7</sub> O)
	271.213 (26.0)	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>27</sub> O <sup>+</sup>	Abietic acid fragment (M—CH <sub>2</sub> O)
	311.229 (-16.6)	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>31</sub> ONaH <sup>+</sup>	Resin acid soap
	327.229 (-7.99)	$C_{22}H_{31}O_2^+$	Abietic-type ester
	348.784 (–8.34)	Si <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>5</sub> Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>5</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	366.266 (28.9)	C <sub>25</sub> H <sub>34</sub> O <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Abietic-type ester
	413.274 (13.1)	$C_{26}^{-1}H_{37}O_4^{+}$	Abietic-type ester
	450.733 (34.5)	Si <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>7</sub> Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub> <sup>+</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate





Accession #:	01929-01	
Specimen:	Ultramarine Blue—Kremer Pigmente ref. 45000—Positive polarity	
Technique:	SIMS	
Instrument:	IONTOF, TOF SIMS IV	
Analyzer Type:	Time-of-flight	
Analyzer Mass Resolving Power:	3000 m/∆m	
Mass Resolving Power Determined at:	27 Da	
Species Used for Mass Calibration:	Al <sup>+</sup> Ca <sup>+</sup> SiONa <sup>+</sup> SiO <sub>2</sub> Na <sup>+</sup> and SiO <sub>3</sub> Na <sup>+</sup>	
Specimen Normal-to-Analyzer:	, , , , <u>0</u> °	
Source-to-Analyzer Angle:	45°	
Primary Beam Ion Gun:	LMIG	
Primary Ion Species:	Bi <sub>3</sub> +	
Primary Ion Dose:	4.37 × 10 <sup>11</sup> ion/cm <sup>2</sup>	
Primary Ion Pulse Width:	0.8–1.2 ns	
Primary Ion Pulse Rate:	5 kHz	
Net Beam Voltage:	25 000 eV	
Pulsed Beam Current:	0.000 15 nA	
DC Beam Current:	14.7 nA	
Beam Diameter:	400 $\mu$ m	
Beam Raster Size:	$250 \times 250 \mu m^2$	
Beam Incident Angle:	45°	
Secondary Source Polarity:	Positive	
Mass Range Minimum:	0 Da	
Mass Range Maximum:	3545 Da	
Spectrum Dead Time Corrected:	Yes	
Total Spectral Acquisition Time:	293 s	
Comment:	Delayed extraction mode used in addition to refine peak assignment. Data shown are standard mode. The	
	assignment given here are those with smallest mass deviation and isotopic pattern. Organic compounds	

given here are those with smallest mass deviation and isotopic pattern. Organic compounds are detected, likely colophony resin, mentioned in the manufacturing process.<sup>3</sup>

SPECTRAL FEATURES TABLE			
Spectrum ID #	Mass (∆m), Da	Species	Peak Assignment
01929-02	31.972 (-18.9)	S <sup>-</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	42.976 (-26.1)	AIO <sup>-</sup>	Aluminosilicate
	47.966 (-22.7)	SO <sup>-</sup>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	58.971 (-15.0)	AIO <sub>2</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	60.974 (-13.3)	SiO <sub>2</sub> H <sup>-</sup>	Aluminosilicate
	63.946 (19.5)	$S_2^-$	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	63.962 (-6.30)	$SO_2^-$	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	76.974 (48.1)	SiO <sub>3</sub> H <sup>-</sup>	Aluminosilicate
	79.943 (37.5)	$S_2O^-$	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	91.938 (-15.6)	SiSO <sub>2</sub>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	101.946 (-20.6)	$AI_2O_3^-$	Aluminosilicate
	102.943 (-10.9)	SiAlO <sub>3</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	118.938 (-9.51)	SiAlO <sub>4</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	134.916 (4.25)	AlSiSO <sub>3</sub>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	136.935 (-11.9)	Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> H <sup>-</sup>	Aluminosilicate
	162.910 (-5.94)	Si <sub>2</sub> AlO <sub>5</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	178.907 (6.72)	Si <sub>2</sub> AlO <sub>6</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	194.886 (19.0)	Si <sub>2</sub> AISO <sub>5</sub>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	204.886 (-25.0)	SiAl <sub>3</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	220.881 (-26.2)	SiAl <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	238.876 (15.1)	Si <sub>3</sub> AlO <sub>8</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	280.849 (-15.9)	Si <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>3</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	298.843 (14.3)	Si <sub>4</sub> AlO <sub>10</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	322.826 (-26.3)	SiAl <sub>5</sub> O <sup>-</sup> <sub>10</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	340.814 (-17.7)	Si <sub>3</sub> Al <sub>3</sub> O <sup>-</sup> <sub>11</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	358.802 (-11.6)	Si <sub>5</sub> AlO <sub>12</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	364.790 (18.3)	Si <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>5</sub> Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>6</sub>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	382.793 (-24.5)	Si <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>5</sub> O <sup>-</sup> <sub>12</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	400.779 (-20.3)	Si <sub>4</sub> Al <sub>3</sub> O <sup>-</sup> <sub>13</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	424.763 (-44.3)	SiAl <sub>7</sub> O <sup>-</sup> <sub>13</sub>	Aluminosilicate
	442.727 (-12.6)	$Si_3Al_5S_2O_{10}^-$	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	460.724 (13.5)	$AI_3Si_5S_2O_{11}^-$	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	466.718 (10.6)	Si <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>7</sub> Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate
	484.707 (-12.5)	$AI_7Si_2S_2O_{11}^-$	Sodium aluminum sulfosilicate

3545 Da

Yes

293 s

Delayed extraction mode used in addition to refine peak assignment. Data shown are standard mode.





Mass Range Maximum:

Comment:

Spectrum Dead Time Corrected:

**Total Spectral Acquisition Time:** 



<b>SSS</b>
SUBFACE SCIENCE SPECTRA

SPECTRAL FEATURES TABLE			
Spectrum ID #	Mass (∆m), Da	Species	Peak Assignment
01930-01	43.971 (-4.75)	SiO <sup>+</sup>	CoOKSi
	44.979 (-8.83)	SiOH <sup>+</sup>	CoOKSi
	45.978 (-0.44)	Na <sup>+</sup> <sub>2</sub>	_
	58.932 (-6.22)	Co <sup>+</sup>	CoOKSi
	61.952 (-11.8)	Na <sub>2</sub> O <sup>+</sup>	_
	62.982 (3.14)	Na₂OH⁺	_
	77.951 (35.6)	KNaO <sup>+</sup>	
	82.937 (18.5)	SiOK <sup>+</sup>	CoOKSi
	84.965 (14.3)	Na <sub>3</sub> O <sup>+</sup>	
	93.921 (-10.8)	$K_2O^+$	CoOKSi
	94.915 (-20.6)	Si₂K <sup>+</sup>	CoOKSi
	94.937 (-8.95)	Si <sub>2</sub> ONa <sup>+</sup>	
	128.948 (35.9)	(SiOH) <sub>2</sub> NaO <sup>+</sup>	
	144.923 (38.9)	(SiOH) <sub>2</sub> KO <sup>+</sup>	CoOKSi
	160.908 (-25.1)	(SiOH) <sub>2</sub> KO <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	CoOKSi
	206.902 (10.6)	(SiOH) <sub>3</sub> KO <sub>2</sub> H <sup>+</sup>	CoOKSi





01930-01 Accession #: Smalt-Kremer Pigmente ref. 10000-Positive polarity Specimen: Technique: SIMS IONTOF, TOF SIMS IV Instrument: Time-of-flight Analyzer Type: Analyzer Mass Resolving Power: 1700 m/ $\Delta$ m Mass Resolving Power Determined at: 27 Da  $\text{Al}^{\text{+}},\,^{41}\text{K}^{\text{+}},\,\text{Na}_2^{\text{+}},\,\text{SiONa}_{,}^{\text{+}}\,\text{SiO}_2\text{Na}_2^{\text{+}}\,\text{and}\,\,\text{SiO}_3\text{Na}_3^{\text{+}}$ Species Used for Mass Calibration: , 0° Specimen Normal-to-Analyzer: 45° Source-to-Analyzer Angle: Primary Beam Ion Gun: LMIG **Primary Ion Species:** Bi<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> 4.37 × 10<sup>11</sup> ion/cm<sup>2</sup> Primary Ion Dose: Primary Ion Pulse Width: 0.8-1.2 ns Primary Ion Pulse Rate: 5 kHz Net Beam Voltage: 25 000 eV **Pulsed Beam Current:** 0.000 15 nA **DC Beam Current:** 14.7 nA Beam Diameter:  $\sim 2 \mu m$ 250 × 250 μm<sup>2</sup> Beam Raster Size: **Beam Incident Angle:** 45° Secondary Source Polarity: Positive Mass Range Minimum: 0 Da Mass Range Maximum: 3545 Da Spectrum Dead Time Corrected: Yes **Total Spectral Acquisition Time:** 293 s Comment: Large grains, mass resolution impacted. High sodium content, likely from the glass. Above m/z 200 attribution is not given, as many possibilities exist due to the mass interferences between K and NaO.



SPECTRAL FEATURES TABLE				
Spectrum ID #	Mass (∆m), Da	Species	Peak Assignment	
01930-02	58.935 (26.1)	Co <sup>-</sup>	CoOKSi	
	74.929 (7.03)	CoO <sup>-</sup>	CoOKSi	
	90.923 (-9.67)	$CoO_2^-$	CoOKSi	
	98.951 (6.97)	NaOSiO <sub>2</sub>	CoOKSi	
	106.920 (16.6)	$CoO_3^-$	CoOKSi	
	134.898 (12.0)	CoSiO <sub>3</sub>	CoOKSi	
	150.888 (-16.3)	CoSiO <sub>4</sub>	CoOKSi	
	158.915 (-26.2)	NaSi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>		
	178.878 (58.5)	$CoSi_2O_4^-$	CoOKSi	
	188.924 (43.5)	KNa <sub>3</sub> O <sub>5</sub> H <sup>-</sup>	_	
	195.892 (-20.1)	Si <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	CoOKSi	
	210.855 (-11.8)	$CoSi_2O_6^-$	CoOKSi	
	218.869 (19.8)	Si <sub>3</sub> O <sub>6</sub> K <sup>-</sup>	CoOKSi	
	234.867 (31.1)	Si <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub> K <sup>-</sup>	CoOKSi	
	238.877 (19.7)	Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>9</sub> K <sup>-</sup>	CoOKSi	
	255.860 (-10.1)	Si <sub>4</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	CoOKSi	
	266.846 (-12.1)	KSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	CoOKSi	
	270.821 (-9.66)	CoSi <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>	CoOKSi	
	278.837 (20.2)	Si <sub>4</sub> O <sub>8</sub> K <sup>-</sup>	CoOKSi	
	294.830 (14.0)	Si <sub>4</sub> O <sub>9</sub> K <sup>-</sup>	CoOKSi	
	316.834 (-9.30)	Si <sub>5</sub> O <sub>11</sub> H <sup>-</sup>	CoOKSi	
	330.782 (-25.8)	CoSi <sub>4</sub> O <sup>-</sup> <sub>10</sub>	CoOKSi	
	338.802 (10.7)	KSi <sub>5</sub> O <sup>-</sup> <sub>10</sub>	CoOKSi	
	354.787 (-12.3)	KSi <sub>5</sub> O <sub>11</sub>	CoOKSi	





Accession #:	01930-02
Specimen:	Smalt—Kremer Pigmente ref. 10000—Negative polarity
Technique:	SIMS
Instrument:	IONTOF, TOF SIMS IV
Analyzer Type:	Time-of-flight
Analyzer Mass Resolving Power:	1500 m/∆m
Mass Resolving Power Determined at:	25 Da
Species Used for Mass Calibration:	Cl <sup>-</sup> , SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> H <sup>-</sup> , Si <sub>3</sub> O <sub>7</sub> H <sup>-</sup> , Si <sub>4</sub> O <sub>9</sub> H <sup>-</sup> , and Si <sub>5</sub> O <sub>11</sub> H <sup>-</sup>
Specimen Normal-to-Analyzer:	0°
Source-to-Analyzer Angle:	45°
Primary Beam Ion Gun:	LMIG
Primary Ion Species:	Bi <sub>3</sub> +
Primary Ion Dose:	$4.37 \times 10^{11}$ ion/cm <sup>2</sup>
Primary Ion Pulse Width:	0.8–1.2 ns
Primary Ion Pulse Rate:	5 kHz
Net Beam Voltage:	25 000 eV
Pulsed Beam Current:	0.000 15 nA
DC Beam Current:	14.7 nA
Beam Diameter:	$\sim 2 \mu m$
Beam Raster Size:	$250 \times 250 \mu m^2$
Beam Incident Angle:	45°
Secondary Source Polarity:	Negative
Mass Range Minimum:	0 Da
Mass Range Maximum:	3540 Da
Spectrum Dead Time Corrected:	Yes
Total Spectral Acquisition Time:	308 s
Comment:	Very large grains, mass resolution impacted. Co containing ions were identified thanks to the images, with
	colocalization with the Co <sup>+</sup> and Co <sup>-</sup> ions. The absence of aluminum oxide is notable, and this avoids to
	misattribute some peaks to aluminosilicate compounds.

SPECTRAL FEATURES TABLE (peaks appearing in all spectra—Positive Polarity)					
Spectrum ID #	Mass (∆m), Da	Species	Peak Assignment		
All	22.99 (-)	Na <sup>+</sup>	Lapis lazuli, Synthetic ultramarine, Smalt		
	27.98 (27.4)	Si⁺	Lapis lazuli, Synthetic ultramarine, Smalt		
	38.96 (29.9)	K <sup>+</sup>	Lapis lazuli, Synthetic ultramarine, Smalt		
	66.96 (7.03)	SiONa <sup>+</sup>	Lapis lazuli, Synthetic ultramarine, Smalt		

(Mean absolute deviation values are given for the mass deviations, except for sodium as the peaks were saturated).

SPECTRAL FEATURES TABLE (peaks appearing in all spectra—Negative Polarity)					
Spectrum ID #	Mass (∆m), Da	Species	Peak Assignment		
All	59.97 (15.8)	$SiO_2^-$	Lapis lazuli, Synthetic ultramarine, Smalt		
	75.97 (34.3)	SiO <sub>3</sub>	Lapis lazuli, Synthetic ultramarine, Smalt		
	119.94 (8.45)	$Si_2O_4^-$	Lapis lazuli, Synthetic ultramarine, Smalt		
	135.93 (14.0)	Si <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	Lapis lazuli, Synthetic ultramarine, Smalt		

(Mean absolute deviation values are given for the mass deviations.)