

# The antipode of of a Com-PreLie Hopf algebra Loïc Foissy

## ▶ To cite this version:

Loïc Foissy. The antipode of a Com-PreLie Hopf algebra. 2024. hal-04595707

# HAL Id: hal-04595707 https://hal.science/hal-04595707v1

Preprint submitted on 31 May 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### Loïc Foissy

Univ. Littoral Côte d'Opale, UR 2597 LMPA, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées Joseph Liouville F-62100 Calais, France.

Email: foissy@univ-littoral.fr

#### Abstract

We study the compatibility between the antipode and the preLie product of a Com-PreLie Hopf algebra, that is to say a commutative Hopf algebra with a complementary preLie product, compatible with the product and the coproduct in a certain sense. An example of such a Hopf algebra is the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra, with the preLie product given by grafting of forests, extending the free preLie product of grafting of rooted trees. This compatibility is then used to study the antipode of the Connes-Moscovici subalgebra, which can be defined with the help of this preLie product. The antipode of the generators of this subalgebra gives a family of combinatorial coefficients indexed by partitions, which can be computed with the help of iterated harmonic sums.

**Keywords.** preLie algebra; Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra; Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra; iterated harmonic sums

AMS classification. 16T05 16T30 05C05 16W25 17A30

#### Contents

1 Com-PreLie Hopf algebras

 $\mathbf{4}$ 

2 Application to the Connes-Moscovici subalgebra

7

## Introduction

PreLie algebras, also called Vinberg algebras or symmetric algebras (see [1, 11] for surveys on these objects) are particular Lie algebras, where the Lie bracket is obtained by the antisymmetrization of a non associative product • such that

$$\forall a, b, c \in A, \qquad (a \bullet b) \bullet c - a \bullet (b \bullet c) = (a \bullet c) \bullet b - a \bullet (c \bullet b).$$

An easy and classical exercise proves that if this axiom is satisfied, the antisymmetrization of  $\bullet$  indeed satisfies the Jacobi identity. A classical example is given by the Lie algebra of derivations of the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ , with the preLie product defined by

$$\forall P, Q \in \mathbb{K}[X], \qquad P \frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}X} \bullet Q \frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}X} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}X} Q \frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}X}.$$

A remarkable property of these particular Lie algebras is that an explicit description of their enveloping algebra can be done, under the name of Guin-Oudom's construction [14]. They are closely related to the combinatorics of rooted trees [2], and the enveloping algebra of the free preLie algebra is the Grossman-Larson Hopf algebra [9, 10], dual to the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra [4].

In [12], a new type of preLie algebras, called Com-PreLie algebras are introduced, see Definition 1.1. They also appear in [6, 7], as structures appearing on the underlying Lie algebra of a group of Fliess operators. Such an object is given a preLie product • and a commutative, associative product ·, with a Leibniz-style compatibility between them:

$$\forall a, b, c \in A, \qquad (a \cdot b) \bullet c = (a \bullet c) \cdot b + a \cdot (b \bullet c).$$

Examples on trees are given in [12], on words in [7, 6, 5], and other combinatorial examples can be found in [8]. Among them, the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra  $H_{CK}$  inherits a complementary Com-PreLie structure. Let us give a few more details on this object. A basis of  $H_{CK}$  is given by the set of rooted forests:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 1, ., .., I, ..., I, ..., V, \stackrel{\uparrow}{I}, \\ ..., I.., II, V., \stackrel{\downarrow}{I}, V, \stackrel{\downarrow}{V}, \stackrel{\downarrow}{Y}, \stackrel{\downarrow}{I} ... \end{array}\right\}$$

Its commutative associative product is given by the disjoint union, for example:

$$I \cdot V = I \cdot V$$
,  $\dots V = V$ .

Its preLie product is given by graftings, for example:

$$1. \bullet . = \lor . + 1. + 11, \qquad 1 \bullet . = \lor + \lor + 1, \qquad 1 \bullet 1 = \lor + 1.$$

This preLie product includes two important operators on  $H_{CK}$ , the grafting B and the growth N:

$$\forall a \in H_{CK}, \qquad B(a) = \bullet \bullet a, \qquad N(a) = a \bullet \bullet.$$

IAs a Hopf algebra,  $H_{CK}$  has a coproduct  $\Delta$ , consisting of separating rooted forests into a upper part (on the right) and a lower part (on the left), for example:

$$\Delta(\overset{\mathbf{I}}{\nabla}) = \overset{\mathbf{I}}{\nabla} \otimes 1 + \overset{\mathbf{I}}{\nabla} \otimes \cdot + \overset{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{I}} \otimes \cdot + \overset{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{I}} \otimes \cdot \cdot + \cdot \otimes \overset{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{I}} + 1 \otimes \overset{\mathbf{I}}{\nabla},$$
  
$$\Delta(\overset{\mathbf{V}}{\nabla}) = \overset{\mathbf{V}}{\nabla} \otimes 1 + 3\overset{\mathbf{V}}{\nabla} \otimes \cdot + 3\overset{\mathbf{I}}{\nabla} \otimes \cdot \cdot + \cdot \otimes \cdot \cdot \cdot + 1 \otimes \overset{\mathbf{V}}{\nabla}.$$

This coproduct satisfies the following compatibility with the preLie product:

$$\forall a, b \in H_{CK},$$
  $\Delta(a \bullet b) = a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} \bullet b + a^{(1)} \bullet b^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} b^{(2)},$ 

with Sweedler's notation. This includes the well-known compatibilities [4]:

$$\forall a \in H_{CK}, \qquad \Delta \circ B(a) = 1 \otimes B(a) + (B \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ \Delta(a),$$
  
$$\Delta \circ N(a) = N(a^{(1)}) \otimes a^{(2)} + a^{(1)} \bullet 1 \otimes a^{(2)} \bullet.$$

Such a family  $(A, m, \bullet, \Delta)$  is a Com-PreLie bialgebra, and if  $(A, m, \Delta)$  is a Hopf algebra, a Com-PreLie Hopf algebra.

Our first aim here is a study of the compatibility between the antipode S of a Com-PreLie bialgebra and its preLie product. Keeping in mind the Connes-Kreimer case, we restrict ourselves to the case where the bialgebra  $(A, m, \Delta)$  is conilpotent, and we prove that

$$\forall a, b \in A, \qquad S(a \bullet b) = \left(S(a) \bullet b^{(1)}\right) S(b^{(2)}).$$

Secondly, we use this result to study the antipode of the Connes-Moscovici Hopf subalgebra  $H_{CM}$  of  $H_{CK}$  [4]. With the preceding notation,  $H_{CM}$  is the subalgebra generated by the elements

$$\delta_n = \underbrace{(\dots((\bullet \bullet \bullet) \bullet \bullet) \dots) \bullet \bullet}_{\bullet \text{ appears } n \text{ times}},$$

with  $n \ge 1$ . For example,

$$\delta_1 = \bullet,$$
  $\delta_2 = 1,$   $\delta_3 = \vee + 1,$   $\delta_4 = \vee + 3 \vee + \vee + 1.$ 

This subalgebra is stable under the coproduct. For example,

$$\Delta(\delta_{1}) = \cdot \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \cdot$$

$$= \delta_{1} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_{1},$$

$$\Delta(\delta_{2}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes 1 + \mathbf{1} \otimes 1 + \cdot \otimes \cdot$$

$$= \delta_{2} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_{2} + \delta_{1} \otimes \delta_{1},$$

$$\Delta(\delta_{3}) = (\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{1}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{1}) + 3\mathbf{1} \otimes \cdot + \cdot \otimes \mathbf{1} + \cdot \otimes \cdot$$

$$= \delta_{3} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_{3} + 3\delta_{2} \otimes \delta_{1} + \delta_{1} \otimes \delta_{2} + \delta_{1} \otimes \delta_{1}^{2},$$

$$\Delta(\delta_{4}) = (\mathbf{W} + 3\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{1}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\mathbf{W} + 3\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{1})$$

$$+ (6\mathbf{V} + 6\mathbf{1}) \otimes \cdot + \mathbf{1} \otimes (4\mathbf{1} + 7 \cdot \cdot) + \cdot \otimes (\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{1} + 3\mathbf{1} \cdot + \cdot \cdot)$$

$$= \delta_{4} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_{4} + 6\delta_{3} \otimes \delta_{1} + \delta_{2} \otimes (4\delta_{2} + 7\delta_{1}^{1}) + \delta_{1} \otimes (\delta_{3} + 3\delta_{2}\delta_{1} + \delta_{1}^{3}).$$

Note that for any  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\Delta(\delta_n) - \delta_n \otimes 1 - \delta_n \otimes 1$  makes only appear  $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_{n-1}$ , which allows to inductively compute  $S(\delta_n)$ , as  $m \circ (S \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ \Delta(\delta_n) = 0$  for any  $n \ge 1$ : for example, we obtain

$$S(\delta_1) = -\delta_1,$$
  $S(\delta_2) = -\delta_2 + \delta_1^2,$   $S(\delta_3) = -\delta_3 + 4\delta_1\delta_2 - 2\delta_1^3.$ 

More generally, one can write

$$S(\delta_n) = \sum_{\substack{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n, \\ 1i_1 + \dots + ni_n = n}} a_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \delta_1^{i_1} \dots \delta_n^{i_n},$$

where the coefficients  $a_{i_1,...,i_n}$  are integers. Our aim is to give a way to compute these coefficients. They have been studied in [13], in a very different approach: in particular, they are split into a sum of several coefficients, corresponding to the different way to write each monomial. Firstly, using the compatibility between the preLie product  $\bullet$  and the antipode, as for any  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\delta_{n+1} = \delta_n \bullet \bullet$ , we obtain the inductive formula

$$a_{i_1,\dots,i_n} = \sum_{j \geq 2, i_j \geq 1} (i_{j-1} + 1) a_{i_1,\dots,i_{j-1}+1,i_j-1,\dots,i_{n-1}} - (n-1) \delta_{i_1 \geq 1} a_{i_1-1,i_2,\dots,i_{n-1}}.$$

We then partially solve this induction. We prove the existence of a sequence of polynomials  $P_{i_2,...,i_n} \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1,...,X_N]$ , indexed by sequences of integers  $(i_2,...,i_k)$  with  $i_k \neq 0$ , with

$$N = 1i_2 + \ldots + (k-1)i_k - 1,$$

such that for any  $i_1 \ge 0$ ,

$$a_{i_1,\dots,i_k,0,\dots,0} = (-1)^{i_1+\dots+i_k} (1i_1+\dots+ki_k-1)! \sum_{1 \leq p_1 < \dots < p_N \leq 1i_1+\dots+ki_k-1} \frac{P_{i_2,\dots,i_k}(p_1,\dots,p_N)}{p_1\dots p_N}.$$

These polynomials are inductively defined and can be rather complicated. For example,

$$P_{0,1} = X_1 - 1,$$

$$P_2 = (X_1 - 2)(X_1 - 1),$$

$$P_{1,1} = (2X_1 + X_2 - 7)(X_1 - 1)$$

$$P_{1,0,1} = (2X_1 + X_2 + X_3 - 11)(X_1 - 1),$$

$$P_{2,1} = (3X_1X_2 + 2X_1X_3 + X_2X_3 - 22X_1 - 11X_2 + 7X_3 + 59)(X_1 - 1),$$

which gives for any n great enough,

$$\forall n \geq 3, \qquad a_{n-3,0,1,0,\dots,0} = (-1)^n (n-1)! \sum_{1 \leq p_1 \leq n-1} \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1},$$

$$\forall n \geq 4, \qquad a_{n-4,2,0,\dots,0} = (-1)^n (n-1)! \sum_{1 \leq p_1 \leq n-1} \frac{(p_1 - 2)(p_1 - 1)}{p_1},$$

$$\forall n \geq 5, \qquad a_{n-5,1,1,0,\dots,0} = (-1)^{n+1} (n-1)! \sum_{1 \leq p_1 < p_2 \leq n-1} \frac{(2p_1 + p_2 - 7)(p_1 - 1)}{p_1 p_2},$$

$$\forall n \geq 6, \qquad a_{n-6,1,0,1,0,\dots,0} = (-1)^n (n-1)! \sum_{1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < p_3 \leq n-1} \frac{(2p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - 11)(p_1 - 1)}{p_1 p_2 p_3}.$$

This implies that all these sequences  $(a_{n-2i_2-...-ki_k,i_2,...,i_k,0,...,0})_n$  can be rewritten with the help of polynomial sequences and iterated harmonic sums

$$H^{(k)} = \left(\sum_{1 \leq p_1 < \dots < p_k \leq n} \frac{1}{p_1 \dots p_k}\right)_{n \geq 1}.$$

For example,

$$\forall n \geq 3, \qquad \frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!} a_{n-3,0,1,\dots,0} = n-1 - H_{n-1}^{(1)},$$

$$\forall n \geq 4, \qquad \frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!} a_{n-4,2,0,0,\dots,0} = \frac{(n-1)(n-6)}{2} + 2H_{n-1}^{(1)},$$

$$\forall n \geq 5, \qquad \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(n-1)!} a_{n-5,1,1,0,\dots,0} = (n-1)(n-10) + (10-n)H_{n-1}^{(1)} + 7H_{n-1}^{(2)},$$

$$\forall n \geq 6, \qquad \frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!} a_{n-6,3,0,\dots,0} = \frac{(n^2 - 17n + 90)(n-1)}{6} + (2n-14)H_{n-1}^{(1)} - 8H_{n-1}^{(2)}.$$

**Acknowledgments**. The author acknowledges support from the grant ANR-20-CE40-0007 Combinatoire Algébrique, Résurgence, Probabilités Libres et Opérades.

Notations 0.1. The base field  $\mathbb{K}$  is a commutative field of characteristic zero. All the spaces in this article will be taken over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

# 1 Com-PreLie Hopf algebras

**Definition 1.1.** 1. A (right) Com-PreLie algebra [6, 7] is a family  $A = (A, m, \bullet)$ , where A is a vector space, m and  $\bullet$  are bilinear products on A, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a,b \in A, & ab = ba, \\ \forall a,b,c \in A, & (ab)c = a(bc), \\ \forall a,b,c \in A, & (a \bullet b) \bullet c - a \bullet (b \bullet c) = (a \bullet c) \bullet b - a \bullet (c \bullet b) & (preLie\ identity), \\ \forall a,b,c \in A, & (ab) \bullet c = (a \bullet c)b + a(b \bullet c) & (Leibniz\ identity). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, (A, m) is an associative, commutative algebra and  $(A, \bullet)$  is a (right) preLie algebra. We shall say that A is unitary if the algebra (A, m) is unitary.

- 2. A Com-PreLie bialgebra is a family  $(A, m, \bullet, \Delta)$ , such that:
  - (a)  $(A, m, \bullet)$  is a unitary Com-PreLie algebra.
  - (b)  $(A, m, \Delta)$  is a bialgebra.
  - (c)  $\Delta$  and  $\bullet$  are compatible, in the following sense:

$$\forall a, b \in A, \qquad \Delta(a \bullet b) = a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} \bullet b + a^{(1)} \bullet b^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} b^{(2)},$$

with Sweedler's notation  $\Delta(x) = x^{(1)} \otimes x^{(2)}$ .

If  $(A, m, \Delta)$  is a Hopf algebra, of antipode denoted by S, we shall say that  $(A, m, \bullet, \Delta)$  is a Com-PreLie Hopf algebra.

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $(A, m, \bullet, \Delta)$  be a Com-PreLie bialgebra, of counit  $\varepsilon$  and of unit 1. For any  $b \in A$ ,  $1 \bullet b = 0$ . For any  $a, b \in A$ ,  $\varepsilon(a \bullet b) = 0$ .

*Proof.* For any  $b \in A$ ,

$$1 \bullet b = (1 \cdot 1) \bullet b = (1 \bullet b) \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot (1 \bullet b) = 2(1 \bullet b),$$

so  $1 \bullet b = 0$ . Let  $a, b \in A$ .

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon(a \bullet b) &= (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta(a \bullet b) \\ &= \varepsilon \left( a^{(1)} \right) \varepsilon \left( a^{(2)} \bullet b \right) + \varepsilon \left( a^{(1)} \bullet b^{(1)} \right) \varepsilon \left( a^{(2)} \right) \varepsilon \left( b^{(2)} \right) \\ &= \varepsilon(a \bullet b) + \varepsilon(a \bullet b), \end{split}$$

so 
$$\varepsilon(a \bullet b) = 0$$
.

Notations 1.1. Let  $(A, m, \Delta)$  be a bialgebra. Its augmentation ideal, that it to say the kernel of its counit  $\varepsilon_A$ , is denoted by  $A_+$ . It is given a coassociative, not necessarily counitary coproduct

$$\tilde{\Delta}: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} A_+ & \longrightarrow & A_+ \otimes A_+ \\ a & \longmapsto & \Delta(a) - a \otimes 1_A - 1_A \otimes a. \end{array} \right.$$

As it is coassociative, we can without any problem iterate this coproduct  $\tilde{\Delta}$ :

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad \qquad \tilde{\Delta}^{(n)} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Id}_{A_{+}} & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \left(\tilde{\Delta} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{A_{+}}^{\otimes (n-1)}\right) \circ \tilde{\Delta}^{(n-1)} & \text{if } n \geqslant 1. \end{cases}$$

We shall say that the bialgebra A is conilpotent if for any  $a \in A_+$ , there exists  $N(a) \ge 1$  such that  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(N(a))}(a) = 0$ . If this holds, then  $(A, m, \Delta)$  is a Hopf algebra, and its antipode is given by Takeuchi's formula [16]:

$$\forall a \in A,$$
  $S(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{N(a)-1} (-1)^{n+1} m_n \circ \tilde{\Delta}^{(n-1)}(a),$ 

where for any  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$m_n: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} A_+^{\otimes n} & \longrightarrow & A \\ a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n & \longmapsto & a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_n \end{array} \right.$$

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $(A, m, \bullet, \Delta)$  be a conilpotent Com-PreLie Hopf algebra. Then for any  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$S(a \bullet b) = \left(S(a) \bullet b^{(1)}\right) S(b^{(2)}).$$

*Proof.* For any  $b \in A$ , as  $1 \bullet b = 0$ ,

$$S(1 \bullet b) = 0 = \left(S(1) \bullet b^{(1)}\right) S(b^{(2)}).$$

So the result hods if a=1: we can restrict ourselves to prove our result in the case where  $a \in A_+ = \operatorname{Ker}(\varepsilon)$ .

We put  $A_n = \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\Delta}^{(n)}\right)$ . As the coalgebra  $(A, \Delta)$  is conilpotent, we obtain that  $(A_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is an increasing filtration of  $A_+$ , with  $A_0 = (0)$ . Consequently, for any  $a \in A_+$ , we put

$$\deg(a) = \min(n \in \mathbb{N} \mid a \in A_n).$$

We shall use Sweedler's notation  $\tilde{\Delta}(a) = a' \otimes a''$ . Note that if  $a \in A_n$ , then

$$\tilde{\Delta}(a) \in A_{n-1} \otimes A_{n-1}$$
.

Moreover, for any  $a \in A_+$ ,

$$0 = \varepsilon(a) = m \circ (S \otimes \operatorname{Id}) \circ \Delta(a) = S(a) + a + S(a')a'',$$

so 
$$S(a) = -a - S(a')a''$$
.

Let us prove that  $S(a \bullet 1) = S(a) \bullet 1$  for any  $a \in A_+$  by induction on  $\deg(a)$ . If  $\deg(a) = 0$ , then a = 0 and this is obvious. Let us assume the result at all ranks  $< \deg(a)$ . Then

$$\Delta(a \bullet 1) = a \otimes 1 \bullet 1 + 1 \otimes a \bullet 1 + a' \otimes a'' \bullet 1 + a \bullet 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \bullet 1 \otimes a + a' \bullet 1 \otimes a''$$
$$= 1 \otimes a \bullet 1 + a' \otimes a'' \bullet 1 + a \bullet 1 \otimes 1 + a' \bullet 1 \otimes a''.$$

so, with the induction hypothesis applied to a',

$$0 = \varepsilon(a \bullet 1)$$

$$= m \circ (S \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \Delta(a \bullet 1)$$

$$= a \bullet 1 + S(a')(a'' \bullet 1) + S(a \bullet 1) + S(a' \bullet 1)a''$$

$$= a \bullet 1 + S(a')(a'' \bullet 1) + (S(a') \bullet 1)a'' + S(a \bullet 1)$$

$$= a \bullet 1 + (S(a')a'') \bullet 1 + S(a \bullet 1)$$

$$= (a + S(a')a'') \bullet 1 + S(a \bullet 1)$$

$$= -S(a) \bullet 1 + S(a \bullet 1),$$

so  $S(a \bullet 1) = S(a) \bullet 1$ . This concludes the induction. As a consequence, we can restrict ourselves to the case where  $a, b \in A_+$ .

Let us finally prove the result when  $a, b \in A_+$  by induction on  $N = \deg(a) + \deg(b)$ . If  $N \leq 1$ , then a = 0 or b = 0 and the result is obvious. Let us assume the result at all ranks < N.

$$\Delta(a \bullet b) = a \otimes 1 \bullet b + 1 \otimes a \bullet b + a' \otimes a'' \bullet b + a \bullet 1 \otimes b + 1 \bullet 1 \otimes b + a' \bullet 1 \otimes a''b$$

$$+ a \bullet b \otimes 1 + 1 \bullet b \otimes a + a' \bullet b \otimes a'' + a \bullet b' \otimes b'' + 1 \bullet b' \otimes ab'' + a' \bullet b' \otimes a''b''$$

$$= 1 \otimes a \bullet b + a \bullet b \otimes 1 + a' \otimes a'' \bullet b + a \bullet 1 \otimes b + a' \bullet 1 \otimes a''b + a' \bullet b \otimes a''$$

$$+ a \bullet b' \otimes b'' + a' \bullet b' \otimes a''b''.$$

Therefore, using the induction hypothesis on  $a \bullet b$ ,  $a' \bullet b'$  and  $a \bullet b'$ , with Sweedler's notation

$$(\tilde{\Delta} \otimes \operatorname{Id}) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(b) = (\operatorname{Id} \otimes \tilde{\Delta}) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(b) = b' \otimes b'' \otimes b'''$$

$$0 = \varepsilon(a \bullet b)$$

$$= m \circ (S \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \Delta(a \bullet b)$$

$$= a \bullet b + S(a \bullet b) + S(a')(a'' \bullet b) + (S(a) \bullet b')b'' + (S(a) \bullet 1)S(b')b'' + (S(a) \bullet b')S(b'')b'''$$

$$+ (S(a) \bullet 1)b + (S(a') \bullet b)a'' + (S(a') \bullet 1)S(b)a'' + (S(a') \bullet b')S(b'')a''' + (S(a') \bullet b')S(b'')a''' + (S(a') \bullet b')S(b'')a''b'''$$

$$+ (S(a') \bullet 1)S(b')a''b'' + (S(a') \bullet b')S(b'')a''b''' + (S(a') \bullet 1)a''b$$

$$= a \bullet b + S(a \bullet b) + S(a')(a'' \bullet b)$$

$$+ (S(a) \bullet b')(b'' + S(b'')b''') + (S(a) \bullet 1)(S(b')b'' + b) + (S(a') \bullet b)a''$$

$$= -S(b'')$$

$$= -S(b'')$$

$$= -S(b)$$

$$+ (S(a') \bullet 1)a''(S(b) + S(b')b'' + b) + (S(a') \bullet b')a''(S(b'') + b'' + S(b'')b''')$$

$$= 0$$

$$= a \bullet b + S(a \bullet b) + S(a')(a'' \bullet b) + (S(a') \bullet b)a'' - (S(a) \bullet b')S(b'') - (S(a) \bullet 1)S(b)$$

$$= a \bullet b + S(a \bullet b) + (S(a')a'') \bullet b - (S(a) \bullet b')S(b'') - (S(a) \bullet 1)S(b)$$

$$= (a + S(a')a'') \bullet b + S(a \bullet b) - (S(a) \bullet b')S(b'') - (S(a) \bullet 1)S(b)$$

$$= -S(a)$$

$$= S(a \bullet b) - (S(a) \bullet b^{(1)})S(b^{(2)}),$$

so the result holds for  $a \bullet b$ .

## 2 Application to the Connes-Moscovici subalgebra

The Connes-Kreimer Hopf  $H_{CK}$  algebra is given a preLie product by graftings [8]. Let us recall first a few definitions. If F and G are two rooted forests and  $v \in V(F)$ , then  $F \bullet_v G$  is the rooted forest obtained from FG by adding an edge from v to any root of G. We then define the preLie product  $\bullet$  by

$$\forall F, G \in \mathbb{F}, \qquad F \bullet G = \sum_{v \in V(F)} F \bullet_v G.$$

For example,

In particular, the grafting operator, for which  $H_{CK}$  satisfies a universal property [4], is

$$B: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} H_{CK} & \longrightarrow & H_{CK} \\ x & \longmapsto & \bullet \ x. \end{array} \right.$$

It associates to any rooted forest F the tree obtained by adding a new vertex and graft all the roots of F on it. The growth operator used in [3] is

$$N: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} H_{CK} & \longrightarrow & H_{CK} \\ x & \longmapsto & x \bullet \bullet . \end{array} \right.$$

It associates to any rooted forest F the sum of forests obtained by adding a new leaf to F, grafted on a vertex of F. The Connes-Moscovici Hopf subalgebra  $H_{CM}$  is generated by the inductively defined generators  $\delta_n$ :

$$\forall n \geqslant 1,$$
  $\delta_n = \begin{cases} \bullet & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \delta_{n-1} & \bullet & \text{if } n \geqslant 2. \end{cases}$ 

Our aim here is to study the antipode of  $S(\delta_n)$ . Firstly, as  $H_{CK}$  is graded by the number of vertices of the forests, and as the only forest of degree 0 is 1, it is conilpotent as a coalgebra and we can apply Theorem 1.3. Secondly, as  $H_{CM}$  is a Hopf subalgebra of  $H_{CK}$ , and by the homogeneity of the antipode, we can write for any  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$S(\delta_n) = \sum_{\substack{(i_1,\dots,i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n, \\ 1i_1+\dots+ni_n=n}} a_{i_1,\dots,i_n} \delta_1^{i_1} \dots \delta_n^{i_n}.$$

Example 2.1. Direct computations give

$$S(\delta_1) = -\delta_1,$$
  $S(\delta_2) = -\delta_2 + \delta_1^2,$   $S(\delta_3) = -\delta_3 + 4\delta_1\delta_2 - 2\delta_1^3,$ 

SO

$$a_1 = -1,$$
  $a_{0,1} = -1,$   $a_{0,0,1} = -1,$   $a_{1,1,0} = 4,$   $a_{3,0,0} = -2.$ 

Let us give an inductive way to compute these coefficients.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , such that  $1i_1 + \ldots + ni_n = n$ . If  $i_n = 1$  (and, therefore,  $i_1 = \ldots = i_{n-1} = 0$ ), then  $a_{i_1,\ldots,i_n} = -1$ . Otherwise,  $i_n = 0$  and

$$a_{i_1,\dots,i_n} = \sum_{j \geqslant 2, i_j \geqslant 1} (i_{j-1} + 1) a_{i_1,\dots,i_{j-1}+1,i_j-1,\dots,i_{n-1}} - (n-1) \delta_{i_1 \geqslant 1} a_{i_1-1,i_2,\dots,i_{n-1}},$$

where the symbol  $\delta_{i_1 \geqslant 1}$  takes the value 1 if  $i_1 \geqslant 1$  and 0 if  $i_1 = 0$ .

*Proof.* We consider the two operators  $N, D: H_{CM} \longrightarrow H_{CM}$ , defined by

$$N(x) = x \bullet \bullet$$
.  $D(x) = x \bullet 1$ .

Note that if x is homogeneous of a certain degree k, then D(x) = kx. By Theorem 1.3, for any  $x \in H_{CM}$ ,

$$S \circ N(x) = S(x) \bullet \bullet + (S(x) \bullet 1)S(\bullet) = N \circ S(x) - \bullet D \circ S(x).$$

In particular, if x is homogeneous of a certain degree k, as S(x) is homogeneous of the same degree,

$$S \circ N(x) = N \circ S(x) - k \cdot S(x).$$

Applying this to  $x = \delta_{n-1}$ , homogeneous of degree k = n - 1,

$$\begin{split} S(\delta_n) &= \sum_{\substack{(i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}, \\ 1i_1+\ldots+(n-1)i_{n-1}=n-1}} a_{i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1}} N(\delta_1^{i_1}\ldots\delta_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \\ &- (n-1) \sum_{\substack{(i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}, \\ 1i_1+\ldots+(n-1)i_{n-1}=n-1}} a_{i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1}} \delta_1^{i_1+1} \delta_2^{i_2}\ldots\delta_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}, \\ 1i_1+\ldots+(n-1)i_{n-1}=n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} i_j a_{i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1}} \delta_1^{i_1}\ldots\delta_j^{i_j-1} \delta_{j+1}^{i_j+1} \delta_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}} \\ &- (n-1) \sum_{\substack{(i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}, \\ 1i_1+\ldots+(n-1)i_{n-1}=n-1}} a_{i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1}} \delta_1^{i_1+1} \delta_2^{i_2}\ldots\delta_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}. \end{split}$$

The result follows by an identification of the coefficients.

Here are a few examples, some of them being referenced in the OEIS [15].

#### Lemma 2.2.

$$\forall n \geq 1, \qquad a_{n,0,\dots,0} = (-1)^n (n-1)!, \qquad \text{OEIS A000142}$$

$$\forall n \geq 2, \qquad a_{n-2,1,0,\dots,0} = (-1)^{n-1} (n-1)! (n-1), \qquad \text{OEIS A0001563}$$

$$\forall n \geq 3, \qquad a_{1,0,\dots,0,1,0} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + 1, \qquad \text{OEIS A152947}$$

$$\forall n \geq 3, \qquad a_{n-3,0,1,0,\dots,0} = (-1)^n (n-1)! \sum_{1 \leq p_1 \leq n-1} \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1}, \qquad \text{OEIS A067318}$$

$$\forall n \geq 4, \qquad a_{n-4,2,0,\dots,0} = (-1)^n (n-1)! \sum_{1 \leq p_1 \leq n-1} \frac{(p_1 - 2)(p_1 - 1)}{p_1}.$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.1,

$$\forall n \geqslant 2, \qquad a_{n,0,\dots,0} = -(n-1)a_{n-1,0,\dots,0}, \\ \forall n \geqslant 3, \qquad a_{n-2,1,0,\dots,0} = (n-1)a_{n-1,0,\dots,0} - (n-1)a_{n-3,1,0,\dots,0} \\ = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)! - (n-1)a_{n-3,1,0,\dots,0}, \\ \forall n \geqslant 3, \qquad a_{\underbrace{1,0,\dots,0,1,0}_{n-1}} = \underbrace{a_{\underbrace{1,0,\dots,0,1,0}_{n-1}} - (n-1)a_{0,\dots,0,1}a_{\underbrace{1,0,\dots,0,1,0}_{n-1}} + n-1, \\ \forall n \geqslant 4, \qquad a_{n-3,0,1,0,\dots,0} = a_{n-3,1,0,\dots,0}(n-1)a_{n-4,0,1,0,\dots,0} \\ = (-1)^n(n-2)!(n-2) - (n-1)a_{n-4,0,1,0,\dots,0}.$$

Moreover,

$$a_1 = -1,$$
  $a_{0,1} = -1,$   $a_{1,1,0} = 4,$   $a_{0,0,1} = -1.$ 

The four first results follow from an easy induction. For  $a_{n-4,2,0,...,0}$ , we proceed by induction on n. For n = 0, still by Proposition 2.1,

$$a_{0.2.0.0} = a_{1.1.0} = 4$$

whereas

$$3! \sum_{1 \le p_1 \le 3} \frac{(p_1 - 1)(p_1 - 2)}{p_1} = 3! \frac{2}{3} = 4.$$

Let us assume the result at rank n-1, with  $n \ge 5$ .

$$a_{n-4,2,0,\dots,0} = (n-3)a_{n-3,1,0,\dots,0} - (n-1)a_{n-5,2,0,\dots,0}$$

$$= (n-3)(-1)^n(n-2)!(n-2) - (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)(n-2)! \sum_{1 \le p_1 \le n-2} \frac{(p_1-1)(p_1-2)}{p_1}$$

$$= (-1)^n(n-1)! \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{n-1+} (-1)^n(n-1)(n-2)! \sum_{1 \le p_1 \le n-2} \frac{(p_1-1)(p_1-2)}{p_1}$$

$$= (-1)^n(n-1)! \sum_{1 \le p_1 \le n-1} \frac{(p_1-1)(p_1-2)}{p_1}.$$

Let us now describe these coefficients as iterated sums. We shall need the following notions:

**Definition 2.3.** A dominant sequence of length  $k \ge 1$  is a sequence  $(i_1, \ldots, i_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$  such that  $i_k \ne 0$ . If  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  is nonzero, the dominant of  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n)$  is the dominant sequence

$$\partial(i_1,\ldots,i_n)=(i_1,\ldots,i_m)$$
 where  $m=\max\{j\mid i_j\neq 0\}$ 

By convention,  $\partial(0,\ldots,0)$  is the empty sequence, denoted by ().

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $(i_2, ..., i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}$ . Its weight is  $\omega(i_2, ..., i_n) = 1i_2 + ... + (n-1)i_n - 1$ .

Remark 2.1. Obviously,  $\omega \circ \partial = \omega$ .

We finally introduce a family of polynomials, indexed by dominant sequences of weight  $\geq 1$ :

**Definition 2.5.** We define  $P_{i_2,...,i_n} \in \mathbb{Z}[p_1,...,X_{\omega(i_2,...,i_n)}]$  for any dominant sequence  $(i_2,...,i_n)$  of weight  $\geq 1$  by the following:

$$P_{2} = (X_{1} - 2)(X_{1} - 1),$$

$$P_{0,1} = X_{1} - 1,$$

$$P_{i_{2},\dots,i_{n}} = \sum_{j \geq 3, i_{j} \geq 1} (i_{j-1} + 1)P_{\partial(i_{2},\dots,i_{j-1}+1,i_{j}-1,\dots,i_{n})}$$

$$+ \delta_{i_{2} \geq 1}(X_{\omega(i_{2},\dots,i_{n})} - 2i_{2} - \dots - ni_{n} + 2)P_{\partial(i_{2}-1,i_{3},\dots,i_{n})} \quad \text{if } \omega(i_{2},\dots,i_{n}) \geq 3,$$

where

$$\delta_{i_2 \geqslant 1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i_2 \geqslant 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.2. If  $j \ge 3$  and  $i_j \ge 1$ ,

$$\omega(i_2,\ldots,i_{j-1}+1,i_j-1,\ldots,i_n) = \omega(i_2,\ldots,i_n) - 1$$

and if  $i_2 \ge 1$ ,

$$\omega(i_2 - 1, i_3, \dots, i_n) = \omega(i_2, \dots, i_n) - 1.$$

Therefore,  $P_{i_2,...,i_n}$  is well-defined for any  $(i_2,...,i_n)$  of weight  $\geq 2$ .

Remark 2.3. An easy induction proves that for any dominant sequence  $(i_2, \ldots, i_n)$  of weight  $\geq 1$ ,

$$\deg(P_{i_2,\dots,i_n})=i_2+\dots+i_n,$$

and that  $P_{i_2,...,i_n}$  is a multiple of  $X_1 - 1$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $(i_2, \ldots, i_n)$  be a dominant sequence of weight  $N \ge 2$ . If  $p_1, \ldots, p_N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$1 \leq p_1 < \ldots < p_N \leq 2i_2 + \ldots + ni_n - 2$$
,

then  $P_{i_2,...,i_n}(p_1,...,p_N) = 0$ .

Proof. By induction on N, then  $(i_2, \ldots, i_n) = (2)$  or (0,1). In the first case,  $2i_2 + \ldots + ni_n - 2 = 2$  and as  $P_2 = (X_1 - 1)(X_2 - 1)$ , the result is obtained. In the second case,  $2i_2 + \ldots + ni_n - 2 = 1$  and as  $P_{0,1} = X_1 - 1$ , the result is obtained. Let us assume the result at a rank N - 1, with  $N \ge 3$ . Let us consider  $(p_1, \ldots, p_N)$  such that  $1 \le p_1 < \ldots < p_N \le 2i_2 + \ldots + ni_n - 2$ . If  $j \ge 3$  and  $i_j \ge 1$ , then

$$1 \le p_1 < \ldots < p_{n-1} \le 2i_2 + \ldots + ni_n - 3 = 2i_2 + \ldots + (j-1)(i_{j-1}+1) + j(i_j+1) + \ldots + ni_n - 2.$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $P_{\pi(i_2,\dots,i_{j-1}+1,i_j+1,\dots,i_n)}(p_1,\dots,p_{N-1})=0$ . Let us assume that  $i_2\geqslant 1$ . If  $p_N\leqslant 2i_2+\dots+ni_n-3$ , then

$$1 \le p_1 < \ldots < p_{N-1} \le (i_2 - 1) + 3i_3 + \ldots + ni_n - 2.$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $P_{\partial(i_2-1,\dots,i_n)}(p_1,\dots,p_{N-1})=0$ . Otherwise,  $p_N=2i_2+\dots+ni_n-2$  and  $p_{\omega(i_2,\dots,i_n)}-2i_2-\dots-ni_n+2=0$ . Combining all these, we obtain  $P_{i_2,\dots,i_n}(p_1,\dots,p_N)=0$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  such that  $1i_1 + \ldots + ni_n = n$  and  $N = \omega(i_2, \ldots, i_n) \geqslant 1$ . Then

$$a_{i_1,\dots,i_n} = (-1)^{i_1+\dots+i_n} (n-1)! \sum_{1 \le p_1 < \dots < p_N \le n-1} \frac{P_{\partial(i_2,\dots,i_n)}(p_1,\dots,p_N)}{p_1 \dots p_N}.$$

*Proof.* In order to simplify the proof, we put

$$b_{i_1,\dots,i_n} = \frac{(-1)^{i_1+\dots+i_n}}{(n-1)!} a_{i_1,\dots,i_n}.$$

These coefficients satisfy the induction

$$b_{i_1,\dots,i_n} = \sum_{j\geqslant 2, i_j\geqslant 1} (i_{j-1}+1) \frac{1}{n-1} b_{i_1,\dots,i_{j-1}+1,i_{j-1},\dots,i_{n-1}} + \delta_{i_1\geqslant 1} b_{i_1-1,i_2,\dots,i_{n-1}}.$$

Our aim is no to prove that

$$b_{i_1,...,i_n} = \sum_{1 \leq p_1 < ... < p_N \leq n-1} \frac{P_{\partial(i_2,...,i_n)}(p_1,...,p_N)}{p_1...p_N}.$$

We proceed by induction on the weight  $N = \omega(i_2, \ldots, i_n)$ . If N = 2, two cases occur, If  $(i_2, \ldots, i_n) = (2)$  or (0,1). These are covered by Lemma 2.2. Let us now assume the result for all sequences of weight N-1, with  $N \ge 3$ . We proceed by induction on  $i_1 = n - 2i_2 - \ldots - ni_n$ . By Lemma 2.6,

$$\sum_{1\leqslant p_1<\ldots< p_N\leqslant n-1}\frac{P_{\partial(i_2,\ldots,i_n)}(p_1,\ldots,p_N)}{p_1\ldots p_N}=\sum_{1\leqslant p_1<\ldots< p_{N-1}\leqslant n-2}\frac{P_{\partial(i_2,\ldots,i_n)}(p_1,\ldots,p_{N-1},n-1)}{p_1\ldots p_{N-1}(n-1)}.$$

Moreover,

$$b_{0,i_2,\dots,i_n} = \sum_{j\geqslant 2,\,i_j\geqslant 1} \frac{i_{j-1}+1}{n-1} \sum_{1\leqslant p_1<\dots< p_{N-1}\leqslant n-2} \frac{P_{i_2,\dots,i_{j-1}+1,i_j-1,\dots,i_N}(p_1,\dots,p_{N-1})}{p_1\dots p_{N-1}}$$

$$= \sum_{j\geqslant 3,\,i_j\geqslant 1} \frac{i_{j-1}+1}{n-1} \sum_{1\leqslant p_1<\dots< p_{N-1}\leqslant n-2} \frac{P_{i_2,\dots,i_{j-1}+1,i_j-1,\dots,i_N}(p_1,\dots,p_{N-1})}{p_1\dots p_{N-1}}$$

$$+ \delta_{i_2\geqslant 1} \frac{n-1-2i_2-\dots-ni_n+2}{n-1} \sum_{1\leqslant p_1<\dots< p_{N-1}\leqslant n-2} \frac{P_{i_2,\dots,i_{j-1}+1,i_j-1,\dots,i_N}(p_1,\dots,p_{N-1})}{p_1\dots p_{N-1}}$$

$$= \sum_{1\leqslant p_1<\dots< p_{N-1}\leqslant n-2} \frac{P_{\partial(i_2,\dots,i_n)}(p_1,\dots,p_{N-1},n-1)}{p_1\dots p_{N-1}(n-1)}$$

$$= \sum_{1\leqslant p_1<\dots< p_{N-1}\leqslant n-2} \frac{P_{\partial(i_2,\dots,i_n)}(p_1,\dots,p_N)}{p_1\dots p_N}.$$

Let us assume the result at rank  $i_1 - 1$ .

$$\begin{split} b_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n} &= \sum_{j\geqslant 2,\; i_j\geqslant 1} \frac{i_{j-1}+1}{n-1} \sum_{1\leqslant p_1<\dots< p_{N-1}\leqslant n-2} \frac{P_{i_2,\dots,i_{j-1}+1,i_j-1,\dots,i_N}(p_1,\dots,p_{N-1})}{p_1\dots p_{N-1}} + b_{i_1-1,i_2,\dots,i_n} \\ &= \sum_{1\leqslant p_1<\dots< p_{N-1}\leqslant n-2} \frac{P_{\partial(i_2,\dots,i_n)}(p_1,\dots,p_{N-1},n-1)}{p_1\dots p_{N-1}(n-1)} \\ &+ \sum_{1\leqslant p_1<\dots< p_N\leqslant n-2} \frac{P_{\partial(i_2,\dots,i_n)}(p_1,\dots,p_N)}{p_1\dots p_N} \\ &= \sum_{1\leqslant p_1<\dots< p_N\leqslant n-1} \frac{P_{\partial(i_2,\dots,i_n)}(p_1,\dots,p_N)}{p_1\dots p_N}. \end{split}$$

So the property is true at all rank  $N \ge 2$ .

Remark 2.4. 1. If  $(i_2, \ldots, i_n) = ()$ , then  $a_{n,0,\ldots,0} = (-1)^n (n-1)$ . We can put  $\omega(()) = 0$  and  $P_{()} = 1$ . The result then holds also in this case, by convention.

2. If  $(i_2, \ldots, i_n) = (1)$ , then  $a_{n-2,1,0,\ldots,0} = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!(n-1)$ . The result holds if we take  $\omega(1) = 1$  and  $P_1 = p_1$ .

Example 2.2. Easy inductions prove that

$$P_{0,\dots,0,1} = X_1 - 1,$$
  $P_n = (X_1 - 1)(X_1 - 1)(X_2 - 4)\dots(X_{n-1} - 2n + 2).$ 

Here are other examples:

$$\begin{split} P_{1,1} &= (2X_1 + X_2 - 7)(X_1 - 1) \\ P_{1,0,1} &= (2X_1 + X_2 + X_3 - 11)(X_1 - 1), \\ P_{2,1} &= (3X_1X_2 + 2X_1X_3 + X_2X_3 - 22X_1 - 11X_2 + 7X_3 + 59)(X_1 - 1), \\ P_{1,0,0,1} &= (2X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 - 16)(X_1 - 1), \\ P_{0,1,1} &= (6X_1 + 3X_2 + X_3 - 25)(X_1 - 1), \\ P_{2,0,1} &= (3X_1X_2 + 2X_1X_3 + X_2X_3 + 2X_1X_4 + X_2X_4 + X_3X_4 - 34X_1 \\ &\quad - 17X_2 - 13X_3 - 11X_4 + 125)(X_1 - 1), \\ P_{1,2} &= (6X_1X_2 + 4X_1X_3 + 2X_2X_3 + 2X_1X_4 + X_2X_4 - 56X_1 - 28X_2 - 14X_3 \\ &\quad - 7X_4 + 160)(X_1 - 1), \\ P_{3,1,0,0,0} &= (4X_1X_2X_3 + 3X_1X_2X_4 + 2X_1X_3X_4 + X_2X_3X_4 - 45X_1X_2 - 30X_1X_3 - 15X_2X_3 \\ &\quad - 22X_1X_4 - 11X_2X_4 - 7X_3X_4 + 250X_1 + 125X_2 + 81X_3 + 59X_4 - 605)(X_1 - 1). \end{split}$$

Example 2.3. 1. In particular, for any  $n \ge 4$ ,

$$a_{n-4,0,0,1,0,\dots,0} = -(n-1)! \sum_{2 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 \leqslant n-1} \frac{i_1-1}{i_1 i_2}.$$

We recover Entry A122105 of the OEIS [15].

#### 2. We also obtain

$$a_{1,0,\dots,0,1,0} = (n-1)! \sum_{2 \le i_1 < \dots < i_{n-3} \le n-1} \frac{i_1 - 1}{i_1 \dots i_{n-3}}$$

$$= (n-1)! \left( \frac{4}{(n-1)!} + \sum_{j=3}^{n-1} \frac{j}{(n-1)!} \right)$$

$$= 4 + \sum_{j=3}^{n-1} j$$

$$= \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + 1.$$

We recover the formula of Lemma 2.2. Here, j corresponds to the missing element of  $\{2, \ldots, n-1\}$  in  $\{i_2, \ldots, i_{n-3}\}$ , the case j=2 (the unique case where  $i_1 \neq 2$ ) being treated separately.

Notations 2.1. We put, for any  $k, n \ge 1$ ,

$$H_n^{(k)} = \sum_{1 \leqslant p_1 < \dots < p_k \leqslant n} \frac{1}{p_1 \dots p_k}.$$

For a fixed dominant sequence  $(i_2,\ldots,i_n)$ , using technical manipulations of sums, the sequence  $(b_{n-2i_2-\ldots-ni_n,i_2,\ldots,i_n,0,\ldots,0})_{n\geqslant 2i_2+\ldots+n_i n}$  is the sum of a polynomial sequence (with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) and a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear span of sequences  $(n^kH_{n-1}^{(l)})$ , with  $k\geqslant 0$  and  $l\geqslant 1$ .

Example 2.4. We obtain

$$\forall n \geqslant 3, \qquad b_{n-3,0,1,\dots,0} = \sum_{1 \leqslant p_1 \leqslant n-1} \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1}$$

$$= n - 1 - H_{n-1}^{(1)},$$

$$\forall n \geqslant 4, \qquad b_{n-4,2,0,0,\dots,0} = \sum_{1 \leqslant p_1 \leqslant n-1} \frac{(p_1 - 1)(p_1 - 2)}{p_1}$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)(n-6)}{2} + 2H_{n-1}^{(1)},$$

$$\forall n \geqslant 5, \qquad b_{n-5,1,1,0,\dots,0} = \sum_{1 \leqslant p_1 < p_2 \leqslant n-1} \frac{(2p_1 + p_2 - 7)(p_1 - 1)}{p_1 p_2}$$

$$= (n-1)(n-10) + (10 - n)H_{n-1}^{(1)} + 7H_{n-1}^{(2)},$$

$$\forall n \geqslant 6, \qquad b_{n-6,3,0,\dots,0} = \frac{(n^2 - 17n + 90)(n-1)}{6} + (2n - 14)H_{n-1}^{(1)} - 8H_{n-1}^{(2)},$$

$$\forall n \geqslant 7, \qquad b_{n-7,2,1,0,\dots,0} = \frac{(n^2 - 25n + 210)(n-1)}{2}$$

$$+ \frac{-n^2 + 29n - 109}{2}H_{n-1}^{(1)} + (7n - 99)H_{n-1}^{(2)} - 59H_{n-1}^{(3)},$$

$$\forall n \geqslant 8, \qquad b_{n-8,4,0,\dots,0} = \frac{(n^3 - 33n^2 + 434n - 2520)(n-1)}{24}$$

$$+ (n^2 - 19n + 108)H_{n-1}^{(1)} + (-8n + 92)H_{n-1}^{(2)} + 48H_{n-1}^{(3)}.$$

**Proposition 2.8.** Let  $k \ge 2$ . Then

$$b_{n-k,0,\dots,0,1,0,\dots,0} = n - 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} H_{n-1}^{(i)}.$$

Note that the 1 is in position k in  $(n-k,0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0)$ .

*Proof.* By induction on k. If k = 2, by Lemma 2.2,  $b_{n-2,2,0,\dots,0} = n-1$ . Let us assume the result at rank k-1. As  $P_{0,\dots,0,1} = X_1 - 1$ ,

$$b_{n-k,0,\dots,0,1,0,\dots,0} = \sum_{1 \le p_1 < \dots < p_{k-2} \le n-1} \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1 \dots p_{k-2}}$$

$$= -H_{n-1}^{(k-2)} + \sum_{1 \le p_1 < \dots < p_{k-2} \le n-1} \frac{1}{p_2 \dots p_{k-2}}$$

$$= -H_{n-1}^{(k-2)} + \sum_{1 \le p_2 \dots < p_{k-2} \le n-1} \frac{p_2 - 1}{p_2 \dots p_{k-2}}$$

$$= -H_{n-1}^{(k-2)} + b_{n-k+1,0,\dots,1,0,\dots,0}$$

and the result follows. Note that in the last line of this computation, the 1 is in position k-1 in  $(n-k+1,0,\ldots,1,0,\ldots,0)$ .

## References

[1] Pierre Cartier, Vinberg algebras, Lie groups and combinatorics, Quanta of maths. Conference on non commutative geometry in honor of Alain Connes, Paris, France, March 29-April 6, 2007, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS); Cambridge, MA: Clay Mathematics Institute, 2010, pp. 107-126 (English).

- [2] Frédéric Chapoton and Muriel Livernet, *Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (2001), no. 8, 395–408.
- [3] A. Connes and H. Moscovici, *Hopf algebras, cyclic cohomology and the transverse index theorem*, Commun. Math. Phys. **198** (1998), no. 1, 199–246 (English).
- [4] Alain Connes and Dirk Kreimer, *Hopf algebras, renormalization and noncommutative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **199** (1998), no. 1, 203–242.
- [5] Loïc Foissy, Examples of Com-PreLie Hopf algebras, arXiv:1501.06375, 2015.
- [6] Loïc Foissy, The Hopf algebra of Fliess operators and its dual pre-Lie algebra, Comm. Algebra 43 (2015), no. 10, 4528–4552.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_\_, A pre-Lie algebra associated to a linear endomorphism and related algebraic structures, Eur. J. Math. 1 (2015), no. 1, 78–121.
- [8] Loïc Foissy, Cofree Com-PreLie Hopf algebras, arXiv:1802.07642, 2018.
- [9] Robert L. Grossman and Richard G. Larson, *Hopf-algebraic structure of families of trees*, J. Algebra **126** (1989), no. 1, 184–210.
- [10] \_\_\_\_\_, Hopf-algebraic structure of combinatorial objects and differential operators, Israel J. Math. **72** (1990), no. 1-2, 109-117.
- [11] Dominique Manchon, A short survey on pre-Lie algebras, Noncommutative geometry and physics: Renormalisation, motives, index theory. Based on the workshop "Number theory and physics", Vienna, Austria, March 2009. Edited with the assistance of Harald Grosse and Steve Rosenberg, Zürich: European Mathematical Society (EMS), 2011, pp. 89–102.
- [12] Anthony Mansuy, Preordered forests, packed words and contraction algebras, J. Algebra 411 (2014), 259–311 (English).
- [13] Frédéric Menous, Formulas for the Connes-Moscovici Hopf algebra, Combinatorics and physics. Mini-workshop on renormalization, December 15–16, 2006, Conference on combinatorics and physics, March 19–23, 2007, Bonn, Germany., Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2011, pp. 269–285 (English).
- [14] Jean-Michel Oudom and Daniel Guin, Sur l'algèbre enveloppante d'une algèbre pré-Lie, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **340** (2005), no. 5, 331–336.
- [15] N. J. A. Sloane, The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences, https://oeis.org/.
- [16] M. Takeuchi, Free Hopf algebras generated by coalgebras, J. Math. Soc. Japan 23 (1971), 561–582 (English).