

# Chiral Truxene-Based Self-Assembled Cages: Triple Interlocking and Supramolecular Chirogenesis

Simon Séjourné, Antoine Labrunie, Clément Dalinot, David Canevet, Romain Guechaichia, Jennifer Bou Zeid, Amina Benchohra, Thomas Cauchy, Arnaud Brosseau, Magali Allain, et al.

### ▶ To cite this version:

Simon Séjourné, Antoine Labrunie, Clément Dalinot, David Canevet, Romain Guechaichia, et al.. Chiral Truxene-Based Self-Assembled Cages: Triple Interlocking and Supramolecular Chirogenesis. Angewandte Chemie, 2024, 136 (15), pp.e202400961. 10.1002/ange.202400961. hal-04588941

## HAL Id: hal-04588941 https://hal.science/hal-04588941v1

Submitted on 22 Nov 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



Angewandte

Check for updates

Chemie www.angewandte.org

#### Coordination Cages

Zitierweise: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2024, 63, e202400961 doi.org/10.1002/anie.202400961

## Chiral Truxene-Based Self-Assembled Cages: Triple Interlocking and Supramolecular Chirogenesis

Simon Séjourné, Antoine Labrunie, Clément Dalinot, David Canevet, Romain Guechaichia, Jennifer Bou Zeid, Amina Benchohra, Thomas Cauchy, Arnaud Brosseau, Magali Allain, Cécile Chamignon, Jasmine Viger-Gravel, Guido Pintacuda, Vincent Carré, Frédéric Aubriet, Nicolas Vanthuyne, Marc Sallé,\* and Sébastien Goeb\*

Abstract: Incorporating chiral elements in host-guest systems currently attracts much attention because of the major impact such structures may have in a wide range of applications, from pharmaceuticals to materials science and beyond. Moreover, the development of multi-responsive and -functional systems is highly desirable since they offer numerous benefits. In this context, we describe herein the construction of a metal-driven self-assembled cage that associates a chiral truxenebased ligand and a bis-ruthenium complex. The maximum separation between both facing chiral units in the assembly is fixed by the intermetallic distance within the lateral bis-ruthenium complex (8.4 Å). The resulting chiral cavity was shown to encapsulate polyaromatic guest molecules, but also to afford a chiral triply interlocked [2]catenane structure. The formation of the latter occurs at high concentration, while its disassembly could be achieved by the addition of a planar achiral molecule. Interestingly the planar achiral molecule exhibits induced circular dichroism signature when trapped within the chiral cavity, thus demonstrating the ability of the cage to induce supramolecular chirogenesis.

[\*] Dr. S. Séjourné, Dr. A. Labrunie, Dr. C. Dalinot, Prof. D. Canevet, R. Guechaichia, J. Bou Zeid, Dr. A. Benchohra, T. Cauchy, A. Brosseau, Dr. M. Allain, Prof. M. Sallé, Dr. S. Goeb Univ Angers, CNRS, MOLTECH-ANJOU, F-49000 Angers, France E-mail: marc.salle@univ-angers.fr sebastien.goeb@univ-angers.fr

C. Chamignon, Dr. J. Viger-Gravel, Dr. G. Pintacuda Centre de RMN à Très Hauts Champs, Université de Lyon (UMR 5082 CNRS/Ecole Normale Supérieure/Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1), 69100 Villeurbanne, France

Prof. V. Carré, Prof. F. Aubriet

Université de Lorraine, LCP-A2MC, F-57000 Metz, France

Dr. N. Vanthuyne

Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, FSCM, Chiropole,F-13397 Marseille, France

© 2024 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

#### Introduction

Coordination-driven self-assembly constitutes a versatile and fascinating approach, and has garnered considerable attention from supramolecular chemists in recent decades.<sup>[1]</sup> This unique strategy relies on the directional coordination of metal ions with organic ligands, producing in one-step intricate and well-defined architectures.

The ability to create such complex structures, which generally feature a cavity prone to accommodate various substrates, has opened up new horizons in the field of molecular recognition,<sup>[2]</sup> with diverse applications<sup>[3]</sup> in catalysis,<sup>[4]</sup> remediation,<sup>[5]</sup> drug delivery,<sup>[6]</sup> sensors,<sup>[7]</sup> for instance. By engineering ligands with specific functional groups, it is possible to design host molecules with high affinity and selectivity for particular guests. Moreover, in some cases, the dynamic nature of these host assemblies may allow for the reversible binding and release of the guest molecule,<sup>[8]</sup> triggered by a variety of stimuli, including pH changes,<sup>[9]</sup> addition of a chemical,<sup>[10]</sup> or by photo-<sup>[11]</sup> or redox-activation.<sup>[12]</sup>

In recent years, a growing interest has emerged in the development of chiral host molecules using the coordination-driven self-assembly approach. Using chiral ligands along the synthetic process allows to reach chiral host coordination cages, whose cavities display distinct handedness. Enantioselective interactions may occur with chiral guest molecules, a situation of interest for enantioseparaasymmetric catalysis, or chiral sensing.<sup>[13]</sup> tion. Supramolecular chirogenesis represents an efficient way to express chirality in artificial systems,<sup>[14]</sup> and involves noncovalent chiral recognition between the host and guest species. This phenomenon, which has notably been reported for tweezers,<sup>[15]</sup> macrocycles<sup>[16]</sup> and cages<sup>[17]</sup> or miscellaneous systems,<sup>[18]</sup> arises from the asymmetric induction and amplification of chirality occurring between the host and guest, even when one or both lack inherent chirality.

We recently discussed how the achiral hexa-alkylated truxene ligand **A** can form polyhedra ( $M_6L_2$  stoichiometry). Upon self-assembling with bis(metallic) Ruthenium or Rhodium complexes,<sup>[19]</sup> this achiral ligand proved to form cages existing as chiral face-rotating stereoisomers. By adjusting the length of the six alkyl chains and the distance between the truxene cores in those self-assembled structures (i.e. the metal-to-metal distance), we were able to control

Angew. Chem. 2024, 136, e202400961 (1 of 9)

<sup>© 2024</sup> The Authors. Angewandte Chemie published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

the distribution of homo- and hetero-chiral diastereoisomers. For instance, association of ligand **A** and complex **Ru** afforded only a couple of enantiomers upon chiral selfsorting (Figure 1a).<sup>[19b]</sup> Their cavity is partially obstructed by six butyl chains (three from each facing truxene platforms), which prevented any guest molecules from accessing the cavity or even cages interlocking. Inspired by these results obtained from an achiral ligand, we defined two new objectives: i) to construct an empty chiral cavity capable of accommodating planar achiral guests and ii) as an extension,



Figure 1. Illustration of a) the previously reported chiral self-sorting process occurring upon mixing achiral ligand A and complex Ru, <sup>[196]</sup> and b) the three stereoisomers resulting from the self-assembly reaction between chiral ligand L and the Ru complex. Their ability to undergo cage interlocking and supramolecular chirogenesis is also illustrated. La and Lc correspond to the anticlockwise and clockwise enantiomers of chiral ligand L, respectively.

Angew. Chem. 2024, 136, e202400961 (2 of 9)

to generate interlocked chiral dimeric cages in a control manner. The fascinating family of interlocked cages<sup>[20]</sup> is the subject of an intense current interest due to original geometric characteristics, which may unveil new category of materials with exciting properties.<sup>[21]</sup> To achieve those two objectives orienting the self-assembly process towards an empty cavity appeared necessary. In this context, we anticipated that such a condition may be reached upon self-assembling: i) a bis-Ruthenium complex (**Ru**), displaying a metal-to-metal distance of 8.4 Å,<sup>[22]</sup> and ii) a chiral *syn*-substituted truxene-based ligand (**L**) endowed with only three *n*-butyl chains (Figure 1).

#### **Results and Discussion**

The chiral ligand L was synthesized by adapting procedures described in the literature and is obtained as a mixture of syn-enantiomers (See Experimental details in the Supporting Information file).<sup>[23]</sup> It exhibits two distinct faces, a nonfunctionalized one-allowing  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions to take place upon stacking-and another one, which is threefold alkylated with n-butyl groups. Alkyl chains being oriented towards the reader, each enantiomeric Lc or La form is defined by the Clockwise (C) or Anticlockwise (A) spatial orientation of the methine > CHBu bridges around the C<sub>3</sub>symmetric truxene platform (Figure 1b).<sup>[24]</sup> Single crystals of the racemic mixture were obtained by diffusing *n*-hexane into a dichloromethane solution of L.<sup>[25]</sup> Both enantiomers crystallize together in the centrosymmetric trigonal space group R-3 (Figures 2 and S96). Slightly curved truxene units dimerize in the solid state with a 60° offset, which allows  $\pi$ - $\pi$ interactions between aromatic platforms (mean planes are separated by 3.7 Å). Thereby, butyl chains are oriented towards the exterior and the three  $N_{\ensuremath{pyr}\xspace}$  atoms of each ligand fit within a circle with a radius of 9.8 Å.

Since ligand **A** generates  $M_6L_2$  cages upon self-assembling with rigid bis metallic Ruthenium complexes,<sup>[19]</sup> we anticipated that the interaction of **L** with the complex **Ru** would produce a  $M_6L_2$  cage (**Ru**<sub>6</sub>**L**<sub>2</sub>). In this case, it has to be noted that up to ten stereoisomers are expected, depending on the respective orientation of the butyl chains, which are either directed outward or inward relative to the cavity (Figure S1a).

The self-assembly reaction between two equivalents of L and three equivalents of Ru was carried out for 1 h in



*Figure 2.* X-ray crystal structures of L showing the presence of both enantiomers La and Lc in the crystal lattice.

Angewandte Chemie

methanol-d<sub>4</sub> ( $C = 10^{-3}$  M) at 50 °C and was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. After one hour, the reaction reached completion, and the product could be isolated through precipitation with diethyl ether (Y=85%). The synthesis conducted during 12 h at room temperature in acetonitrile or yielded comparable outcomes.

High-resolution ESI-FTICR mass spectrometry analyses carried out in methanol or acetonitrile (Figures S78 and S79) indicated the exclusive formation of  $M_6L_2$ -type assemblies with the presence of characteristic multicharged ions  $[\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2-3\mathrm{TfO}^-]^{3+}$  (m/z=1302.5988) and  $[\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2-4\mathrm{TfO}^-]^{4+}$  (m/z=939.4618) or  $[\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2-3\mathrm{TfO}^-]^{3+}$  (m/z=1302.5989),  $[\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2-4\mathrm{TfO}^-]^{4+}$  (m/z=939.4617), and  $[\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2-5\mathrm{TfO}^-]^{5+}$  (m/z=721.9784) respectively. As mentioned above, a mixture of chiral species is expected from the self-assembly process. To facilitate the understanding of the corresponding NMR spectra, the subsequent nomenclature has been applied: subscripts (i and ii) are assigned to distinguish the protons of two diastereoisomers, and superscript (') is employed to represent protons located within the cavity.<sup>[26]</sup>

The presence of single discrete species in solution was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H and Diffusion-Ordered Spectroscopy (DOSY) NMR analyses, both in methanol- $d_4$  and acetonitrile-d<sub>3</sub> (Figures 3b, S12–S18). According to the Stokes-Einstein equation, the extracted diffusion coefficient values of  $3.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (methanol-d<sub>4</sub>) and D  $5.1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (acetonitrile-d<sub>3</sub>) correspond to a hydrodynamic radius of ca. 13 Å in both cases, in accordance with a  $M_6L_2$  stoichiometry.<sup>[27]</sup> In the case of cages built from A, we previously observed (Figure 1a) that the terminal methyl groups exhibit two different chemical shifts depending on their location, inside or outside the cavity ( $\delta = -0.2$  ppm and +0.35 ppm in methanol-d<sub>4</sub>, respectively). Therefore, the observed value for protons 4 (see Figure 1b for assignments)  $(\delta = 0.25 \text{ ppm} \text{ (Figure S12)})$  indicates that all the butyl chains are oriented outside the cavity, limiting the number of possible stereoisomers of this M<sub>6</sub>L<sub>2</sub> assembly to only three out of the 10 possible (Figures S1a (middle line) and 1b). This result is supported by the fact that all signals exhibit only one splitting pattern (Figures 3b and S12), which rules out the formation of a complex mixture of stereoisomers. Specifically, the large splitting observed for proton d, with d<sub>i</sub> and d<sub>ii</sub> at ca. 3.9 ppm and 4.3 ppm respectively, indicates the



Figure 3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (298 K,  $C=10^{-3}$  M, methanol-d<sub>4</sub>, downfield region) of a) ligand L, b) cage  $Ru_6L_2$ , and c) cage  $Ru_6La_2$ . See Figure 1 for the proton assignments.

Angew. Chem. 2024, 136, e202400961 (3 of 9)

presence of a stereoisomeric mixture (i.e. one couple of enantiomers  $(\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{Lc}_2/\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{La}_2)$  and one meso form (Ru<sub>6</sub>LcLa) (Figure 1b). Assigning the signals to each stereoisomer was made possible after chromatographic separation of the racemic mixture of ligand L (see Supporting Information for details). Ligands Lc and La were then independently used to perform the same self-assembly reaction with Ru. By comparing the NMR spectra, the distinctive spectral patterns of the diastereoisomers were identified (Figures 3b-c, S24 and S25). Importantly, while a statistical distribution would afford a 50:50 mixture, a ratio of 75:25 was calculated upon signals integration, indicating a preference for the formation of the enantiomeric pair Ru<sub>6</sub>Lc<sub>2</sub>/Ru<sub>6</sub>La<sub>2</sub> over the meso Ru<sub>6</sub>LcLa derivative. This chiral discrimination is attributed to the differences in  $\pi$ - $\pi$ interactions occurring between the two facing truxene motifs within the enantiomeric pair in one hand and the meso form on the other hand. In order to gain deeper insights into the dynamics of these systems, the evolution of a mixture of the isolated  $\mathbf{Ru}_{6}\mathbf{Lc}_{2}$  and  $\mathbf{Ru}_{6}\mathbf{La}_{2}$  cages was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (Figure S26). No change was observed at room temperature, but an evolution of the system occurred upon heating at 50°C. After 12 hours at this temperature, the thermodynamic equilibrium was attained, and the composition of the mixture closely resembles that observed upon the self-assembly process led from racemic ligand L. This experiment further confirms that the formation of these systems is thermodynamically controlled.

Single crystals of  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$  were obtained by vapor diffusion of MeOtBu in a methanol solution of the cage. The selfassembled structure crystallizes in the centrosymmetric space group R-3 and exhibits a large unit cell volume of ca 30,000 Å<sup>3</sup>.<sup>[26]</sup> X-ray diffraction analysis (Figures 4a and S96-S99) revealed the presence of the Ru<sub>6</sub>Lc<sub>2</sub> and Ru<sub>6</sub>La<sub>2</sub> enantiomeric pair in the crystal and confirmed that all the butyl chains are oriented outward the cavity. The interatomic distance between the two ruthenium atoms is 8.4 Å, while a notably shorter minimum distance of 3.5 Å is found between the truxene moieties. This observation definitely confirms the occurrence of  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions operating between these motifs, leading to a collapse of the cage structure. This distortion is associated with a 64° tilt of the Ru bimetallic complexes with respect to the truxene mean planes. Consequently, the trigonal prisms are deformed, with an average Bailar angle of 35° (Figures 4b and S98).<sup>[28]</sup> Together, these parameters generate a double rosette (M/P)and a helical  $(\Delta/\Lambda)$  chirality. Both are linked in the crystal to the configuration of the truxene ligand, since only the enantiomers (AA, M,  $\Delta$ ) and (CC, P,  $\Lambda$ ) are observed.<sup>[29]</sup> While several synthetic methodologies affording macrocycles and cages have been described, the rational preparation of interlocked architectures remains a challenge due to the reduction, along the reaction, of the number of independent species, which is unfavorable in terms of entropy.<sup>[20b]</sup> Several factors can aid in achieving the locking process by providing additional driving forces to overcome this entropic cost. Such factors include  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking, electrostatic interactions, hydrogen bonding, an also solvophobic effects.<sup>[20a,b,30]</sup> These can be favored by adjusting the solvent



Figure 4. X-ray crystal structures of  $Ru_6L_2$ . a) Lateral view showing both enantiomers  $Ru_6Lc_2$  and  $Ru_6La_2$  and b) top view of  $Ru_6Lc_2$ .

composition, the concentration, or the temperature. On this basis and with the aim of achieving metalla-assembled interlocked cages bearing chiral units, i.e. a class of superstructures still sparsely described to date, we carried out self-assembly reactions between L and Ru at concentrations up to  $C = 5 \times 10^{-2}$  M in methanol (C corresponds to the total concentration of cage, Figures 5 and S27). Increasing the total concentration results in significant variations in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, as expected for an interlocked species compared to the single cage  $Ru_6L_2$ . The conversion appears complete from  $5 \times 10^{-2}$  M, as all the signals corresponding to the initially formed Ru<sub>6</sub>L<sub>2</sub> disappeared. <sup>1</sup>H DOSY NMR measurements conducted at this concentration confirm the formation of a single and larger species, with a decrease in the diffusion coefficient from  $D = 3.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{.s}^{-1} (\text{Ru}_6 \text{L}_2)$  to  $D = 1.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$  for the new species dimeric cage  $(Ru_6L_2)_2$  (Figures S32 and S33). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the latter species reveals a substantial shielding effect on the



**Figure 5.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (298 K, methanol-d<sub>4</sub>) resulting from the selfassembly of 2 equiv. of ligand L and 3 equiv. of complex **Ru** performed at a)  $C = 10^{-3}$  M, b)  $10^{-2}$  M, c)  $5 \times 10^{-2}$  M and d) 2 equiv. of ligand La and 3 equiv. of complex **Ru** performed at  $5 \times 10^{-2}$  M. See Figure 1 for proton assignments.

b aromatic signals of the truxene core, which is assigned to the emergence of novel  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions between truxene ligands. Intriguingly, all signals exhibit broadening, which suggests the presence of multiple isomers in the solution. Additionally, two sets of signals for the terminal methyl groups are observed at 0.3 ppm and -1.0 ppm. The first value is comparable to that of the  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$  monomer cage, while the second one corresponds to the anticipated value for butyl chains situated within the cavity. This outcome suggests that certain butyl chains are oriented inside the cavity while others remain outside. The solid obtained after addition of diethyl ether to a concentrated methanolic solution of  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$  at  $5 \times 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{M}$  was analyzed using highresolution ESI-FTICR mass spectrometry in methanol at  $C = 10^{-4}$  M (Figure S80). This measurement confirmed the formation of a  $M_{12}L_4$ -type species, with the presence of ions at  $[Ru_{12}L_4 - 4TfO^-]^{4+}$  (m/z = 2028.3764),  $[Ru_{12}L_4 - 5TfO^-]^{5+}$ (m/z=1592.7096), and  $[Ru_{12}L_4-6TfO^-]^{6+}$  (m/z=1302.5993). Such stoichiometry is consistent with the formation of the  $(\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2)_2$  species composed of two interlocked cages.

Single crystals of (Ru<sub>6</sub>L<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> were obtained by vapor diffusion of MeOtBu into a concentrated solution of interlocked cages in methanol. The system crystallizes in the centrosymmetric space group C2/c with a unit cell volume of 49,000 Å<sup>3</sup>. X-ray diffraction analysis revealed the formation of an interlocked framework secured by three mechanical bonds, forming therefore a triply interlocked S<sub>6</sub> symmetric system composed of two cages with D<sub>3</sub> symmetry (Figure 6, S100 and S101).<sup>[26]</sup> Each interlocked dimer cage consists of one CC cage and one AA cage, thus forming the interlocked meso species ACAC. Contrary to the simple monomer cage  $Ru_6L_2$ , which displays butyl chains pointing into opposite directions, i.e. outward from the cavity, the six  $(2\times3)$  butyl chains of a given cage point in the same direction in the interlocked dimer  $(\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2)_2$ . Such arrangement is therefore consistent with the above-mentioned NMR studies, which evidenced two distinct environments for butyl chains and a



**Figure 6.** X-ray crystal structures of  $(Ru_6L_2)_2$  (isomer ACAC): a) side view, b) top view. To facilitate comprehension, cages are represented in different colors, each corresponding to the rotation of the faces of the truxene units (see Figure 1).

strong interaction between the aromatic truxene cores. In addition, the truxene ligands appear significantly curved in  $(\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2)_2$  compared to  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$ .

The successive distances between the truxene units along the stacking axis are 3.7 Å - 4.7 Å - 3.7 Å, illustrating the interaction occurring between aromatic systems when no alkyl chain is intercalated. Interestingly, the stacking mode within both truxene dimers in  $(\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2)_2$  is similar to that observed in the X-Ray structure of free ligand L, which spontaneously forms a dimer in the solid state with a distance of 3.7 Å (Figures 2 and S96). Finally, it is worth noting that due to the interlocking process, the bis(ruthenium) spacers of a given cage are no longer twisted, displaying a Bailar angle close to 0° (Figure 6b). X-ray diffraction measurements were performed on several sets of crystals obtained from different crystallization experiments. All of them led to the interlocked dimer (Ru<sub>6</sub>L<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> described above, made from one AA cage and one CC cage. This arrangement is likely preferred in order to i) minimize the steric constraints around the butyl chains and ii) favor  $\pi$ - $\pi$ interactions between truxene platforms.

Similar experiments were conducted from the enantiopure ligand  $L_a$ . The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of a mixture of  $L_a$  and **Ru** in methanol- $d_4$  at 5×10<sup>-2</sup> M shows, as for the racemic ligand L, the formation of the interlocked cages  $(Ru_6La_2)_2$ Nevertheless, it is worth noting that in this case, signals corresponding to the monomer cage Ru<sub>6</sub>La<sub>2</sub> still remain at this concentration (Figures 5d and S35-40). The simultaneous presence of monomeric and dimeric cages is confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H DOSY NMR, which exhibits two sets of signals at  $D = 2.6 \times 10^{-10}$  and  $D = 1.9 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Figure S39). These values are similar to those observed for  $Ru_6L_2$  and  $(Ru_6L_2)_2$ (Figure S33). This observation suggests a preference for the formation of the ACAC meso diastereomer over the pair of the AAAA and CCCC enantiomers. Importantly, contrary to  $(Ru_6L_2)_2$ , the interlocked structure  $(Ru_6La_2)_2$  prepared from the enantiopure ligand La (AAAA arrangement) does not exhibit any signal splitting, which is particularly noticeable for the  $\beta_a$ ,  $b_a$ , and  $b_b$  protons (Figures 5d and S35). This suggests that the racemic ligand L likely leads to the formation of the three stereoisomers AAAA, CCCC and ACAC. However, the presence of the other stereoisomers in solution cannot be completely ruled out (Figure S1b). By comparing the chemical shifts of the racemic and the enantiopure versions, particularly those of  $\beta_a$  and  $b_a$  protons (Figure S41), it appears that the prevailing form in  $(\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2)_2$ is ACAC, which is also the one characterized in the crystal structure.

The three cavities comprised within the interlocked cages  $(\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2)_2$  appear too small to bind any guest molecules. In contrast, thanks to the possible tilting of the three bis(ruthenium) pillars in  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$ , the two facing truxene units which are in strong interaction when the cage is empty (Figure 4a), can be spread in order to accommodate a guest (Figure S1c). To investigate the ability of  $Ru_6L_2$  to bind planar substrates, we conducted <sup>1</sup>H NMR experiments in acetonitrile-d<sub>3</sub> at a concentration of  $C = 10^{-3}$  M.<sup>[31]</sup> Various polyaromatic substrates, including coronene and PDI-1, were studied. The first one presents a large aromatic surface that is likely to promote interactions with the truxene core. The second one was selected for its absorption properties, notably due to the presence of signatures in the visible range outside the absorption window of the cages under consideration (see chiroptical studies). For consistency, all spectra were recorded after 2 hours at 50 °C in the presence of one equivalent of the guest molecule. In both cases, important variations of chemical shifts were observed for both the substrates and the cage  $Ru_6L_2$  (Figure 7). Considering the case of coronene as a representative example (for PDI-1 see Figures S63-76), particularly noteworthy is the shielding of the aromatic coronene singlet and of the a and d signals of the truxene core (Figures 7 and S47-53). This suggests an intercalation of the polyaromatic substrate in a sandwich mode between both truxene units of  $Ru_6L_2$ . This was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H DOSY NMR spectroscopy, which shows a decrease in the diffusion coefficient assigned to coronene, from  $21.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{.s}^{-1}$  to  $5.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{.s}^{-1}$  (Figures S52 and S53) High resolution ESI-FTICR mass spectrometry measurement on a 1:1 mixture of the Ru<sub>6</sub>L<sub>2</sub> cage and coronene revealed the presence of multicharged ions  $[Ru_6L_2 + Coro$ nene -  $4 O T f ]^{4+}$  (m/z=1014.7357) and  $[{Ru}_6 L_2 + Coronene$  -5OTf]<sup>5+</sup> (m/z=781.9975), values which are consistent with a

Angew. Chem. 2024, 136, e202400961 (5 of 9)

a)

b)

c)

### Forschungsartikel





**Figure 7.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (298 K) of a) cage  $\mathbf{Ru}_{6}\mathbf{L}_{2}$  at  $C=10^{-3}$  M in acetonitrile-d<sub>3</sub>, b) coronene in chloroform-d, c) cage  $\mathbf{Ru}_{6}\mathbf{L}_{2}$  at  $C=10^{-3}$  M in acetonitrile-d<sub>3</sub> in the presence of 1 equiv. of coronene, d) compound **PDI-1** in chloroform-d, and e) cage  $\mathbf{Ru}_{6}\mathbf{L}_{2}$  at  $C=10^{-3}$  M in acetonitrile-d<sub>3</sub> in presence of 1 equiv. of **PDI-1**. (\*) signals corresponding to residual CHCl<sub>3</sub> in deuterated solution. See Figure 1 for proton assignments.

1:1 stoichiometry of the host–guest complex (Figure S81). This was further confirmed by a Job plot analysis carried out by UV/Vis absorption spectroscopy in acetonitrile/dichloromethane (1/1 v/v), a mixture of solvents chosen to ensure a good solubility of all species (Figure S77a). Finally, the host–guest association constant was determined through UV/Vis titration in the same solvent mixture, highlighting a strong affinity between both components, with an association constant  $K_a=3.9\times10^6$  (Figure S77b). Encapsulation studies carried out with the enantiopure cage **Ru<sub>6</sub>La** show similar results to **Ru<sub>6</sub>L** (Figures S57–59), indicating equivalent complexation properties for all the diastereoisomers.

To get better insights over the guest binding mode, numerous crystallizations have been conducted on mixtures of cages and guests. Single crystals were obtained from a mixture of enantiopure  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$  and **PDI-1** (Figure 8 and S102).<sup>[26]</sup> The intercalation of **PDI-1** within the cavity of  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$  needs a significant expansion of the space between both truxene moieties, which can be attained thanks to the tilting around the bis(ruthenium) linkers (Figure S103). On this basis, the inter-truxene distance increases from 3.5 Å ( $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$ ) to 6.9 Å in the **PDI-1** $\subset$  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{Lc}_2$  complex while the Bailar angle decreases from  $35^\circ$  to  $23^\circ$  respectively (Figure 8c).

Considering the similarity between the cavity of  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$ when encapsulating a planar guest, and the one of the interlocked dimer  $(\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2)_2$ , we decided to investigate the interconversion process between these two species. Diluting a concentrated solution in methanol-d<sub>4</sub> of  $(\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2)_2$  down to  $C=10^{-3}$  M did not result in any change of the NMR signals, even after refluxing solutions for several days in acetonitrile or methanol. It is worth noting that a catenane constructed from the same bis(ruthenium) complex engaged in a similar

Angew. Chem. 2024, 136, e202400961 (6 of 9)



Angewandte

*Figure 8.* Representation of a) **PDI-1** and X-ray crystal structures of **PDI** $1 \subset Ru_6Lc_2$ , b) side view, and c) top view (with the corresponding Bailar angle).

concentration-dependent experiment in our laboratory exhibits a perfect reversibility with the corresponding macrocycle in methanol-d<sub>4</sub>.<sup>[32]</sup> This observation illustrates the high stability provided by the  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions that take place between truxene units upon interlocking. The dissociation study of  $(\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2)_2$  at  $C=5\times10^{-2}$  M in deuterated methanol was further conducted by adding one equivalent of coronene per cage unit and heating of the mixture at 50 °C overnight. As a result, a significant simplification of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum is observed, with the complete disappearance of the signals assigned to the interlocked system (Figures 9 and S60-62). The DOSY NMR study reveals the formation of a single discrete species in solution (Figure S61) with a diffusion coefficient (D) of 2.8×10<sup>-10</sup> m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>. In comparison to  $(\mathbf{Ru}_{6}\mathbf{L}_{2})_{2}$ , this value appears significantly higher and evidences the size decrease of the resulting structure. Importantly, this NMR spectrum is identical to the one recorded for the host-guest complex coronene  $\subseteq \mathbf{Ru}_{6}\mathbf{L}_{2}$  in the same conditions (Figure 9c), which unambiguously demon-





*Figure 9.* <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (298 K, methanol-d<sub>4</sub>) of a) cage  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$  at  $C = 10^{-3}$  M, b) cage  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$  at  $C = 10^{-3}$  M in the presence of coronene (1 equiv.), c) interlocked cages ( $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$ )<sub>2</sub> at  $C = 5 \times 10^{-2}$  M in the presence of coronene (1 equiv.) per cage and d) interlocked cages ( $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$ )<sub>2</sub> at  $C = 5 \times 10^{-2}$  M.

strates the dissociation of  $(Ru_6L_2)_2$  upon addition of coronene, into two coronene  $\subset Ru_6L_2$  host-guest complexes.

As mentioned in the introduction, chirogenesis currently focuses much attention and notably, to design original supramolecular systems endowed with chiroptical properties. In this regard, the guest encapsulation process was followed by UV/Vis absorption and circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopies. At first, the optical properties of ligand L, complex **Ru** and cage **Ru<sub>6</sub>L<sub>2</sub>** in methanol ( $C = 10^{-5}$  M) were investigated (Figure S89a and S89b). The UV/Vis absorption spectrum of the cage displays similar characteristics to the ligand ones and the metal complex when measured separately. It features an intense charge transfer band at 350 nm, with a molar absorption coefficient twice that of the ligand and exhibits a bathochromic shift due to the coordination of pyridyl rings to the metal centers. Transitions at lower energies are observed beyond 400 nm and are attributed to MLCT-type transitions.<sup>[33]</sup>

To determine the configurations of the enantiopure ligands and cages, the ground state geometries of ligand **Lc** and **La** were first optimized through Time Dependent Density Functional Theory (PBE0 functional, Figures S83 and 84), and the corresponding absorption and circular dichroism (CD) spectra were simulated (Figure S85). The latter show a good matching with experimental ones, which allowed for a straightforward identification of each enantiomer (Figure S88). The CD spectra of **Lc** and **La** display two bands in the UV region at 280 nm and 325 nm, while a large Cotton effect is observed for cages **Ru<sub>6</sub>Lc<sub>2</sub>** and **Ru<sub>6</sub>La<sub>2</sub>** above 325 nm (Figures S88 and S90).

To explore the supramolecular chirogenic properties of enantiopure cages  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{Lc}_2$  and  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{La}_2$  UV/Vis and circular dichroism spectroscopy measurements were additionally carried out on 1/1 mixtures of cages and **PDI-1** in methanol. As previously noted, **PDI-1** was selected for its well-adapted structural characteristics to be bound by the investigated cages, as well as for its specific absorption properties. Indeed, the chromophore exhibits an intense transition

Angew. Chem. 2024, 136, e202400961 (7 of 9)

centered at 500 nm, a region at which the cages demonstrate a relatively low molar absorption coefficient. The UV/Vis absorption spectra of the mixture exhibit slight variations compared to the individual components, with the only noticeable change being a red-shift in the PDI signals (Figure 10a).

In the presence of PDI-1, the circular dichroism response in the 300 nm - 480 nm region corresponding to transitions centered on the cage, is quite similar to that of the cage alone, suggesting that the structural change associated with the guest encapsulation has a moderate impact on the cage spectral signature (Figure 10b). Importantly, supramolecular chirogenesis is conclusively demonstrated by the presence of a Cotton effect between 500 nm and 600 nm with  $\Delta \varepsilon = | 8.4 | \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$  at  $C = 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ , exhibiting the characteristic shape of the achiral PDI motif. The Ru<sub>6</sub>Lc<sub>2</sub> and Ru<sub>6</sub>La<sub>2</sub> host cages, with their specific threedimensional chiral architecture derived from the facerotating truxene components, induce chirality in the guest molecule within their cavities. The host-guest interaction creates a chiral environment that imparts a unique spatial orientation to the guest PDI-1 molecule, thereby influencing its optical properties.



Figure 10. a) UV/Vis absorption spectra of cage  $Ru_6Lc_2$ , a stoichiometric mixture of cage  $Ru_6Lc_2$  and PDI-1, PDI-1, and b) UV/Vis circular dichroism spectra of cages  $Ru_6Lc_2$  and  $Ru_6La_2$ , and stoichiometric mixtures of cage  $Ru_6Lc_2$  and PDI-1, and cage  $Ru_6La_2$  and PDI-1, in methanol at  $C = 10^{-5}$  M.



#### Conclusion

In summary, self-assembled cages were designed from a truxene-based chiral face-rotating ligand and а bis(ruthenium) bridging complex. Both racemic and enantiopure versions were described. The geometry provided by the complex allows the separation of both facing ligands so as to generate a chiral cavity, well-adapted for guest recognition and cage interlocking. Interestingly, the latter chiral interpenetrated arrangement can be dissociated in the presence of a planar guest, leading to a 1:1 host guest complex. All those structures could be characterized in solution and in the solid state, including the PDI-1 $\subset$ Ru<sub>6</sub>Lc<sub>2</sub> complex. Finally, supramolecular chirogenesis could be observed in the case of the latter host-guest complex and was characterized by a circular dichroism signature of the achiral PDI guest located within the chiral environment offered by the cage. This observation was made possible thanks to strong  $\pi$ -stacking interactions occurring between the PDI derivative and the enantiopure chiral  $Ru_6Lc_2$  (and  $Ru_6La_2$ ) cages. Work is underway to extend this approach to new families of face-rotating polyhedra, including redox-active ones.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the University of Angers for a PhD grant (S.S.), the CNRS for an EMER-GENCE\_CNRS@INC2018 postdoctoral grant (A.L.), the EUR LUMOMAT (ANR18-EUR-0012) for a postdoctoral grant (A.B.) and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-21-CE06-0028 PoDACC) for funding a doctoral grant (R.G.). They also acknowledge the ASTRAL platform (SFR MATRIX, Univ. Angers) for their assistance in spectroscopic analyses. The financial support from the National FT-ICR network (FR3624 CNRS) and the IR INFRANALYTICS (FR2054 CNRS) for conducting the research are gratefully acknowledged. For the purpose of Open Access, a CC-BY public copyright licence has been applied by the authors to the present document and will be applied to all subsequent versions up to the Author Accepted Manuscript arising from this submission.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

**Keywords:** Supramolecular chemistry · self-assembly · self-sorting · metalla-cage · chirality · chirogenesis

- a) A. J. McConnell, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2022, 51, 2957–2971; b) T. Tateishi, M. Yoshimura, S. Tokuda, F. Matsuda, D. Fujita, S. Furukawa, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2022, 467, 214612; c) A. E. Martin Diaz, J. E. M. Lewis, Front. Chem. 2021, 9, 706462; d) M. Hardy, A. Lützen, Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 13332–13346; e) E. G. Percástegui, T. K. Ronson, J. R. Nitschke, Chem. Rev. 2020, 120, 13480–13544; f) Y. Sun, C. Chen, J. Liu, P. J. Stang, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2020, 49, 3889–3919; g) B. Li, T. He, Y. Fan, X. Yuan, H. Qiu, S. Yin, Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 8036–8059; h) T. R. Cook, P. J. Stang, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 7001–7045.
- [2] a) J. E. M. Lewis, *Chem. Commun.* 2022, *58*, 13873–13886;
  b) F. J. Rizzuto, L. K. S. von Krbek, J. R. Nitschke, *Nat. Chem. Rev.* 2019, *3*, 204–222; c) C. García-Simón, M. Costas, X. Ribas, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, *45*, 40–62; d) S. Zarra, D. M. Wood, D. A. Roberts, J. R. Nitschke, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, *44*, 419–432.
- [3] a) S. Yadav, P. Kannan, G. Qiu, Org. Chem. Front. 2020, 7, 2842–2872; b) Y.-Y. Zhang, W.-X. Gao, L. Lin, G.-X. Jin, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2017, 344, 323–344.
- [4] a) R. Banerjee, D. Chakraborty, P. S. Mukherjee, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2023, 145, 7692–7711; b) S. Chen, L.-J. Chen, Chemistry 2022, 4, 494–519; c) R. Saha, B. Mondal, P. S. Mukherjee, Chem. Rev. 2022, 122, 12244–12307; d) A. B. Grommet, M. Feller, R. Klajn, Nat. Nanotechnol. 2020, 15, 256–271; e) Y. Fang, J. A. Powell, E. Li, Q. Wang, Z. Perry, A. Kirchon, X. Yang, Z. Xiao, C. Zhu, L. Zhang, F. Huang, H.-C. Zhou, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2019, 48, 4707–4730; f) W.-X. Gao, H.-N. Zhang, G.-X. Jin, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2019, 386, 69–84; g) L. Zhao, X. Jing, X. Li, X. Guo, L. Zeng, C. He, C. Duan, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2019, 378, 151–187; h) C. J. Brown, F. D. Toste, R. G. Bergman, K. N. Raymond, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 3012– 3035.
- [5] E. G. Percástegui, Chem. Commun. 2022, 58, 5055-5071.
- [6] S. K. Samanta, L. Isaacs, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2020, 410, 213181.
- [7] A. Brzechwa-Chodzyńska, W. Drożdż, J. Harrowfield, A. R. Stefankiewicz, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2021, 434, 213820.
- [8] T. Y. Kim, R. A. S. Vasdev, D. Preston, J. D. Crowley, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2018, 24, 14878–14890.
- [9] a) I. A. Riddell, M. M. J. Smulders, J. K. Clegg, J. R. Nitschke, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 457–459; b) A. K.-W. Chan, W. H. Lam, Y. Tanaka, K. M.-C. Wong, V. W.-W. Yam, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2015**, *112*, 690–695.
- [10] a) Q. Gan, T. K. Ronson, D. A. Vosburg, J. D. Thoburn, J. R. Nitschke, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 1770–1773; b) D. Preston, A. Fox-Charles, W. K. C. Lo, J. D. Crowley, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 9042–9045; c) C. S. Wood, C. Browne, D. M. Wood, J. R. Nitschke, ACS Cent. Sci. 2015, 1, 504–509; d) N. Kishi, M. Akita, M. Yoshizawa, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3604–3607; e) J. E. M. Lewis, E. L. Gavey, S. A. Cameron, J. D. Crowley, Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 778–784.
- [11] a) S. M. Jansze, G. Cecot, K. Severin, *Chem. Sci.* 2018, *9*, 4253–4257; b) M. Han, R. Michel, B. He, Y. S. Chen, D. Stalke, M. John, G. H. Clever, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 1319–1323; c) N. Kishi, M. Akita, M. Kamiya, S. Hayashi, H.-F. Hsu, M. Yoshizawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 12976–12979; d) T. Murase, S. Sato, M. Fujita, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 5133–5136.
- [12] S. Goeb, M. Sallé, Acc. Chem. Res. 2021, 54, 1043–1055.
- [13] a) L. Zhang, H. Liu, G. Yuan, Y.-F. Han, *Chin. J. Chem.* 2021, 39, 2273–2286; b) X. Li, J. Wu, C. He, Q. Meng, C. Duan, *Small* 2019, 15, e1804770; c) M. Pan, K. Wu, J.-H. Zhang, C.-Y. Su, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2019, 378, 333–349; d) C. Tan, D. Chu, X. Tang, Y. Liu, W. Xuan, Y. Cui, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2019, 25, 662–672; e) L.-J. Chen, H.-B. Yang, M. Shionoya, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2017, 46, 2555–2576.

© 2024 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

Angew. Chem. 2024, 136, e202400961 (8 of 9)

- [14] a) K. Kanagaraj, C. Yang, V. Borovkov, in *Chirogenesis in Supramolecular Systems*, World Scientific Publishing Compagny, **2021**, pp. 85–147; b) Y. Sun, R. Aav, A. Tsuda, H. Miyake, K. Hirose, V. Borovkov, *Front. Chem.* **2021**, *9*, 679332; c) M. V. Escárcega-Bobadilla, A. W. Kleij, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 2421–2428.
- [15] a) J. Ren, S. Jiang, T. Han, S. Wu, Y. Tian, F. Wang, *Chem. Commun.* **2023**, *59*, 744–747; b) M. Liu, Y. Han, H. Zhong, X. Zhang, F. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 3498–3503.
- [16] a) C. Yan, Q. Li, X. Miao, Y. Zhao, Y. Li, P. Wang, K. Wang, H. Duan, L. Zhang, L. Cao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2023, 62, e202308029; b) C. Tu, W. Wu, W. Liang, D. Zhang, W. Xu, S. Wan, W. Lu, C. Yang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2022, 61, e202203541; c) H. Zhang, L. Cheng, H. Nian, J. Du, T. Chen, L. Cao, *Chem. Commun.* 2021, 57, 3135–3138; d) H. Liang, B. Hua, F. Xu, L.-S. Gan, L. Shao, F. Huang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2020, 142, 19772–19778; e) H. Zhu, Q. Li, Z. Gao, H. Wang, B. Shi, Y. Wu, L. Shangguan, X. Hong, F. Wang, F. Huang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, 59, 10868–10872; f) L.-L. Wang, Z. Chen, W.-E. Liu, H. Ke, S.-H. Wang, W. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, 139, 8436–8439.
- [17] a) D. Chu, W. Gong, H. Jiang, X. Tang, Y. Cui, Y. Liu, CCS Chemistry 2022, 4, 1180–1189; b) Q.-P. Hu, H. Zhou, T.-Y. Huang, Y.-F. Ao, D.-X. Wang, Q.-Q. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 6180–6184; c) Y. Ding, C. Shen, F. Gan, J. Wang, G. Zhang, L. Li, M. Shu, B. Zhu, J. Crassous, H. Qiu, Chin. Chem. Lett. 2021, 32, 3988–3992; d) L. Cheng, K. Liu, Y. J. Duan, H. H. Duan, Y. W. Li, M. Gao, L. P. Cao, CCS Chemistry 2021, 3, 2749–2763; e) X. H. Tang, H. Jiang, Y. B. Si, N. Rampal, W. Gong, C. Cheng, X. Kang, D. Fairen-Jimenez, Y. Cui, Y. Liu, Chem 2021, 7, 2771–2786; f) M. Yamamura, T. Saito, T. Hasegawa, E. Nishibori, T. Nabeshima, Chem. Commun. 2021, 57, 8754–8757; g) B. Li, W. Zhang, S. Lu, B. Zheng, D. Zhang, A. Li, X. Li, X.-J. Yang, B. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 21160–21168.
- [18] a) I. Osadchuk, H. E. Luts, K. Norvaisa, V. Borovkov, M. O. Senge, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2023, *29*, e202301408; b) I. Osadchuk, N. Konrad, K.-N. Truong, K. Rissanen, E. Clot, R. Aav, D. Kananovich, V. Borovkov, *Symmetry* 2021, *13*, 275; c) A. Dhamija, P. Mondal, B. Saha, S. P. Rath, *Dalton Trans.* 2020, *49*, 10679–10700; d) L. Ustrnul, S. Kaabel, T. Burankova, J. Martõnova, J. Adamson, N. Konrad, P. Burk, V. Borovkov, R. Aav, *Chem. Commun.* 2019, *55*, 14434–14437; e) V. V. Borovkov, J. M. Lintuluoto, Y. Inoue, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, *123*, 2979–2989.
- [19] a) A. Benchohra, S. Séjourné, A. Labrunie, L. Miller, E. Charbonneau, V. Carré, F. Aubriet, M. Allain, M. Sallé, S. Goeb, *Inorganics* 2022, 10, 103; b) S. Séjourné, A. Labrunie, C. Dalinot, A. Benchohra, V. Carré, F. Aubriet, M. Allain, M. Sallé, S. Goeb, *Inorganics* 2020, 8, 1.
- [20] a) R. Zhu, J. Ding, L. Jin, H. Pang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2019, 389, 119–140; b) M. Frank, M. D. Johnstone, G. H. Clever, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, 22, 14104–14125; c) G. Gil-Ramírez, D. A. Leigh, A. J. Stephens, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, 54, 6110–6150.
- [21] a) B. P. Benke, T. Kirschbaum, J. Graf, J. H. Gross, M. Mastalerz, *Nat. Chem.* 2023, *15*, 413–423; b) P. Wagner, F. Rominger, J. H. Gross, M. Mastalerz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2023, *62*, e202217251; c) Y. Wu, Q. H. Guo, Y. Qiu, J. A. Weber, R. M. Young, L. Bancroft, Y. Jiao, H. Chen, B. Song, W. Liu, Y. Feng, X. Zhao, X. Li, L. Zhang, X. Y. Chen, H. Li, M. R. Wasielewski, J. F. Stoddart, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2022, *119*, e2118573119; d) Y.-Y. Zhang, F.-Y. Qiu, H.-T. Shi,

W. Yu, Chem. Commun. 2021, 57, 3010-3013; e) A. Kumar, P. S. Mukherjee, Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 4842-4849; f) T. K. Ronson, Y. Wang, K. Baldridge, J. S. Siegel, J. R. Nitschke, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 10267-10272; g) Y.-W. Zhang, S. Bai, Y.-Y. Wang, Y.-F. Han, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 13614-13621; h) W.-Z. Qiao, T.-Q. Song, P. Cheng, B. Zhao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 13302-13307; i) T. R. Schulte, J. J. Holstein, G. H. Clever, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 5562-5566; j) W. M. Bloch, J. J. Holstein, B. Dittrich, W. Hiller, G. H. Clever, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 5534-5538; k) R. Zhu, I. Regeni, J. J. Holstein, B. Dittrich, M. Simon, S. Prévost, M. Gradzielski, G. H. Clever, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 13652-13656; l) Q. Wang, C. Yu, C. Zhang, H. Long, S. Azarnoush, Y. Jin, W. Zhang, Chem. Sci. 2016, 7, 3370-3376; m) Q. Wang, C. Yu, H. Long, Y. Du, Y. Jin, W. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 7550-7554; n) A. Mishra, A. Dubey, J. W. Min, H. Kim, P. J. Stang, K.-W. Chi, Chem. Commun. 2014, 7542-7544; o) D. Samanta, P. S. Mukherjee, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 17006–17009; p) T. Hasell, X. Wu, J. T. Jones, J. Bacsa, A. Steiner, T. Mitra, A. Trewin, D. J. Adams, A. I. Cooper, Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 750-755; q) M. Fujita, N. Fujita, K. Ogura, K. Yamaguchi, Nature 1999, 400, 52-55.

- [22] N. P. E. Barry, J. Furrer, B. Therrien, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2010, 93, 1313–1328.
- [23] a) O. de Frutos, T. Granier, B. Gomez-Lor, J. Jimenez-Barbero, A. Monge, E. Gutierrez-Puebla, A. M. Echavarren, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2002, *8*, 2879–2890; b) A. L. Kanibolotsky, R. Berridge, P. J. Skabara, I. F. Perepichka, D. D. C. Bradley, M. Koeberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, *126*, 13695–13702.
- [24] X. Wang, Y. Wang, H. Yang, H. Fang, R. Chen, Y. Sun, N. Zheng, K. Tan, X. Lu, Z. Tian, X. Cao, *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 12469.
- [25] Deposition numbers 2262273 (for L), 2262274 (for  $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$ ), 2262275 (for ( $\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{L}_2$ )<sub>2</sub>) and 2262276 (for  $\mathbf{PDI-1}@\mathbf{Ru}_6\mathbf{Lc}_2$ ) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.
- [26] One should note that subscripts (1 and 2) which are used to differentiate between the diastereotopic protons of a given stereoisomer appear in full NMR spectra in Supporting Information file.
- [27] L. Avram, Y. Cohen, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 586-602.
- [28] J. C. Bailar, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1958, 8, 165–175.
- [29] P. Govindaswamy, D. Linder, J. Lacour, G. Süss-Fink, B. Therrien, Chem. Commun. 2006, 4691–4693.
- [30] a) S.-L. Huang, T. S. A. Hor, G.-X. Jin, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2017, 333, 1–26; b) W. X. Gao, H. J. Feng, B. B. Guo, Y. Lu, G. X. Jin, *Chem. Rev.* 2020, 120, 6288–6325.
- [31] Acetonitrile-d<sub>3</sub> has been chosen for complexation studies as the resulting spectra exhibit higher resolution than those obtained in methanol-d<sub>4</sub>.
- [32] M. Dekhtiarenko, S. Pascal, M. Elhabiri, V. Mazan, D. Canevet, M. Allain, V. Carré, F. Aubriet, Z. Voitenko, M. Sallé, O. Siri, S. Goeb, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2021, 27, 15922–15927.
- [33] J. Freudenreich, J. Furrer, G. Süss-Fink, B. Therrien, Organometallics 2011, 30, 942–951.

Manuscript received: January 15, 2024 Accepted manuscript online: January 29, 2024 Version of record online: February 15, 2024