## Alpha, Sensorimotor Rhythms and Beta Event-Related Desynchronisation during Kinesthetic Motor Imagery of experts and novices

## M. Izac1\*, E. Rossignol<sup>2</sup>, E. Pierrieau<sup>2</sup>, N. Grechukhin<sup>2</sup>, E. Coudroy<sup>2</sup>, B. N'Kaoua<sup>1</sup>, L. Pillette<sup>3</sup>, C.

Jeunet-Kelway<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Univ. Bordeaux, INSERM, BPH Research Center, UMR 1219, Bordeaux, France; <sup>2</sup>Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, INCIA, UMR 5287, F-33000 Bordeaux, France; <sup>3</sup>Univ. Rennes, Inria, CNRS, IRISA-F35000 Rennes, France

Kinesthetic Motor Imagery (KMI), consists in imagining movements' associated sensations such as muscular contractions. It is widely used by athletes as it can increase motor skills [1-4]. Whereas movement execution produces physiological outputs, used as feedback to correct one's practice, KMI does not allow athletes to objectify their strategies. However, KMI is associated with an event-related desynchronisation (ERD) of sensorimotor rhythms (SMRs) [5] making BCIs adapted to provide real time feedback. Through a better guidance of athletes, sport performance and brain modulation ability may be optimised [6-8]. Many KMI-BCI protocols reward maximum SMR-ERD [9], considering growing expertise will be associated with a higher desynchronisation of neurons in the sensorimotor cortices [10]. However, the neural efficiency hypothesis [11, 12] suggests we might need to reward different neuromarkers. To contribute to the debate, we investigated neural correlates of expertise, in sport expertise and perceived KMI expertise. We hypothesised that experts' SMR-ERDs would differ from novices' and suggested groups would have different solicitations of Alpha, SMRs and Beta. Indeed, as a reflection of temporal stability, experts would have specific modulations in comparison to novices' that would be more widespread across frequency bands. Thus, we planned an experimental design with "Expertise" (basketball-experts, novices; between groups) and "Frequency band" (Alpha, SMR, Beta; within groups) as factors. Self-reported KMI ability allowed us to observe potential differences between groups and if so, add it as a covariable. Our results show that experts reported higher perceived KMI abilities than novices. In addition, ANOVA revealed a main effect of the group and frequency band, as well as a tendency towards a main effect of the interaction group x frequency band. Group effect was only weakly mediated by perceived KMI ability, and seemed to be mainly driven by sport expertise.

## References

[1] Wright, C. J., & Smith, D. (2009). The effect of PETTLEP imagery on strength performance. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 7(1), 18-31.

[2] Laaksonen, M. S., Ainegren, M., & Lisspers, J. (2011). Evidence of improved shooting precision in biathlon after 10 weeks of combined relaxation and specific shooting training. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 40(4), 237-250.

[3] Reiser, M., Büsch, D., & Munzert, J. (2011). Strength Gains by Motor Imagery with Different Ratios of Physical to Mental Practice. Frontiers in Psychology, 2.

[4] Paul, M., Ganesan, S., Sandhu, J., & Simon, J. (2012). Effect of sensory motor rhythm neurofeedback on psycho-physiological, electroencephalographic measures and performance of archery players. *Ibnosina Journal of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences*, 04(2), 32-39.

[5] Pfurtscheller, G. (2000). Chapter 26 Spatiotemporal ERD/ERS patterns during voluntary movement and motor imagery. In Z. Ambler, S. Nevšímalová, Z. Kadaňka, & P. M. Rossini (Éds.), *Supplements to Clinical Neurophysiology* (Vol. 53, p. 196-198). Elsevier.

[6] Mirifar, A., Beckmann, J., & Ehrlenspiel, F. (2017). Neurofeedback as supplementary training for optimizing athletes' performance: A systematic review with implications for future research. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 75, 419-432.

[7] Xiang, M.-Q., Hou, X.-H., Liao, B.-G., Liao, J.-W., & Hu, M. (2018). The effect of neurofeedback training for sport performance in athletes: A meta-analysis. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *36*, 114-122.

[8] Gong, A., Gu, F., Nan, W., Qu, Y., Jiang, C., & Fu, Y. (2021). A Review of Neurofeedback Training for Improving Sport Performance from the Perspective of User Experience. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *15*.

[9] Horowitz, A. J., Guger, C., & Korostenskaja, M. (s. d.). What External Variables Affect Sensorimotor Rhythm Brain-Computer Interface (SMR-BCI) Performance? *HCA Healthcare Journal of Medicine*, *2*(3), 143-162.

[10] Ono, T., Kimura, A., & Ushiba, J. (2013). Daily training with realistic visual feedback improves reproducibility of event-related desynchronisation following hand motor imagery. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, *124*(9), 1779-1786.

[12] Li, L., & Smith, D. M. (2021). Neural Efficiency in Athletes: A Systematic Review. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 15.

<sup>[11]</sup> Del Percio, C., Rossini, P. M., Marzano, N., Iacoboni, M., Infarinato, F., Aschieri, P., Lino, A., Fiore, A., Toran, G., Babiloni, C., & Eusebi, F. (2008). Is there a "neural efficiency" in athletes? A high-resolution EEG study. *NeuroImage*, *42*(4), 1544-1553.