

Dynamic rupture and fragmentation of a bar with the Phase-field and Lip-field approach

Benoît Lé, Nicolas Moes, Andrew Stershic

► To cite this version:

Benoît Lé, Nicolas Moes, Andrew Stershic. Dynamic rupture and fragmentation of a bar with the Phase-field and Lip-field approach. The Seventh International Conference on Computational Modeling of Fracture and Failure of Materials and Structures (CFRAC2023), Jun 2023, Prague, Czech Republic. hal-04585750

HAL Id: hal-04585750 https://hal.science/hal-04585750

Submitted on 23 May 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Dynamic rupture and fragmentation of a bar with the Phase-field and Lip-field approach

B. Lé^{1*}, **N.** Moës^{1,2}, **A.** Stershic³

¹ Nantes Université, École Centrale de Nantes, CNRS, GeM, UMR 6183, 1 rue de la Noë BP92101 44321, Nantes cedex 3, France, benoit.le@ec-nantes.fr ² Institut Universitaire de France (IUF)

³ Sandia National Laboratories, California, 7011 East Avenue, Livermore, CA 94550, USA

This work is about the modelling of rupture and fragmentation with the Phase-field and Lip-field approaches. Phase-field [1] has been widely used to model the failure of material since the last few years. On the other hand, Lip-field was introduced more recently in [2] as a new way to regularize softening material models. It was tested in 1D quasistatic in [2] and 2D quasistatic in [3].

The two approaches share some similarities. They formulate the mechanical problem to be solved as the minimization problem of an incremental potential. The minimization problem is not convex if the displacement and damage fields are considered as unknown at the same time. However, looking for the displacement field for a given damage field is a convex problem, and vice versa. Therefore, the displacement and damage field at each time step are usually obtained by a staggered algorithm, where the displacement field is computed for a fixed damage field, then the damage field is computed for a fixed displacement field. Both Phase-field and Lip-field introduce a characteristic length parameter ℓ_c to avoid mesh dependency, the main difference being how this parameter ℓ_c is introduced. In Phase-field, it is taken into account by a term in the incremental potential which depends on the gradient of the damage variable. On the other hand, with Lip-field, a Lipschitz constraint based on ℓ_c is imposed on the damage field. Another feature of the Lip-field approach is to provide bounds on the domain where the Lipschitz constraint is active, allowing to focus computing efforts on restricted zones.

In [4], the Phase-field incremental potential is obtained by equivalence with a linear cohesive zone model (CZM). A similar process was used in [5] for Lip-field. In the present work, we show that several choices are possible to get this CZM equivalence, but that some of them are numerically better

than the others. Then, both Phase-field and Lip-field are applied to 1D dynamic rupture and fragmentation examples. In particular, for the fragmentation example and following the work of [5], computations with randomly distributed material properties are performed to get average fragment sizes and dissipated energies, which are compared to several experimental, analytical and numerical references.

References

- C. Miehe, F. Welschinger and H. Martina, Thermodynamically consistent phase-field models of fracture: Variational principles and multi-field FE implementations, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 83 (2010) 1273–1311.
- [2] N. Moës and N. Chevaugeon, Lipschitz regularization for softening material models: the Lipfield approach, Comptes Rendus Mécanique 349 (2021) 415–434
- [3] N. Chevaugeon and N. Moës, Lipschitz regularization for fracture: the Lip-field approach, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 402 (2022) 115644.
- [4] J.-Y. Wu, A unified phase-field theory for the mechanics of damage and quasi-brittle failure, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 103 (2017) 72–99.
- [5] N. Moës, B. Lé and A. Stershic, Fragmentation analysis of a bar with the Lip-field approach, Mechanics of Materials 172 (2022) 104365.