



Restoring surface water quality: quantitative assessment of the performance of agrienvironmental trajectories for mitigating pesticide concentrations

Odile Phelpin, Françoise Vernier, Kévin Petit, David Carayon

► To cite this version:

Odile Phelpin, Françoise Vernier, Kévin Petit, David Carayon. Restoring surface water quality: quantitative assessment of the performance of agrienvironmental trajectories for mitigating pesticide concentrations. 2024. hal-04580036

HAL Id: hal-04580036

<https://hal.science/hal-04580036v1>

Preprint submitted on 18 May 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - ShareAlike 4.0 International License

Title page:

Title

Restoring surface water quality: quantitative assessment of the performance of agri-environmental trajectories for mitigating pesticide concentrations

Odile Phelpin^{a*}, Françoise Vernier^a, and Kévin Petit^a and David Carayon^a

^aINRAE, UR ETTIS, 50 avenue de Verdun, F-33612 Cestas cedex, France.

*Corresponding author:

Odile Phelpin,

Research Engineer in Environmental sciences

INRAE, UR ETTIS, 50 avenue de Verdun, F-33612 Cestas cedex, France.

Tel: +33 5 57892719;

- E-mail: odile.phelpin@inrae.fr

Restoring surface water quality: quantitative assessment of the performance of agri-environmental trajectories for mitigating pesticide concentrations

Odile Phelpin^{a*}, Françoise Vernier^a, and Kévin Petit^a and David Carayon^a

^aINRAE, UR ETTIS, 50 avenue de Verdun, F-33612 Cestas cedex, France.

*Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Odile Phelpin

odile.phelpin@inrae.fr

Abstract

Most surface water bodies are deteriorating and causing health risks and environmental damages.

The aim of this study was to assess the performance of modeled agri-environmental transition scenarios for restoring freshwater quality within the protection perimeter of two strategic surface water drinking water catchments.

Realistic agro environmental scenarios with combined agronomic orientations and gradual implementation were tested, using a well-calibrated ecohydrological model. Their performance was quantified based on pesticide concentration reductions in freshwater bodies, focusing on temporal and spatial variability, using non-parametric tests.

Our results show that it is possible to differentiate cropping mitigation scenarios according to their ability to limit pesticide transfers, taking into account the most influential biogeochemical factors in the catchment, their agronomic orientation, and their progressive implementation. Our study is applicable to other contexts.

Keywords: scenarios; agriculture; pollution; watershed; water source protection; drinking water

1. Introduction

Water resources worldwide are facing significant degradation due to various factors. In Europe, approximately 60% of surface waters exhibit poor ecological and chemical conditions (István, 2020). The primary culprits behind this degradation are hydromorphological pressures and agricultural non-point source (NPS) pollution. This deterioration not only impacts ecosystems but also poses risks to drinking water supplies, thereby endangering human health (European Union, 1998). In order to sustainably reduce pollution and cut treatment costs for drinking water production, long-term preventive climate and agri-environmental measures are

required. To tackle these challenges sustainably and reduce pollution while cutting treatment costs for drinking water production, long-term preventive measures are necessary (European Commission, 2000).

Action programs for water quality restoration need to consider the continuum of NPS pollution in order to act not only on pressures at farm level but also on transport and fate factors linked to watershed behavior (Bieroza et al., 2021), by collectively involving territorial stakeholders and water- users and managers (Amblard, 2019). As the financial resources of water and land managers are limited, action plans often target solely the most contaminated areas defined as “priority areas” (PA) for action. Agri-environmental and climate measures have been widely implemented on PAs. They feature non-structural, e.g. crop rotations (Wang et al., 2019), intercropping, input-reducing, and structural agro-ecological features e.g. grassing systems) (Lacas et al., 2005; Zhang & Zhang, 2011). Yet, drinking water sources are still closing down, due contamination from agricultural effluents causing to agricultural NPS pollution. The holistic approach of water quality governance at catchment scale requires decision-support methods and tools capable of characterizing the spatio-temporal dynamics of agricultural NPS pollution. Indeed, for equivalent agrochemical pressure, some areas contribute disproportionately to NPS pollution.

It is therefore essential to identify more specifically these most contributing spatial areas (CSAs) and identify how temporal variability may affect the effectiveness of action plans. Ecohydrological modeling enables simulating the agro-ecosystems and agricultural practices associated with soils and plants, soils and climate heterogeneity, and the non-linearity of biogeochemical watershed processes responsible for pollutant fate and transport, as well as the response of hydrosystems to land use and climate changes (Kohne et al., 2009; Porporato et al., 2015; Veselá et al., 2020). Ecohydrological modeling provides a means to simulate agro-ecosystems, agricultural practices, biogeochemical processes, and hydrosystem

responses, aiding in long-term watershed management (Strehmel et al., 2016). However, taking into account scenarios complexity and variation in time and space, further analysis are required to validate the model results.

We propose an innovative method to analyze model results with statistical validations. The present study applies to a priority surface drinking water catchment protection area, embedded in a highly agricultural basin, contaminated by pesticides. Here, we present several tested mitigation scenarios and evaluate their environmental efficiency in restoring water quality. We used a well-calibrated SWAT ecohydrological model, whose performance ranged from 0.65 to 0.85 (R^2) and from 0.47 to 0.82 (NSE), during calibration and validation, respectively (Pryet, 2016), (Vernier et al., 2017). It aims to evaluate thirty-four scenarios, defined with stakeholders that include targeted Best Management Practices (BMPs) and gradual areal changes of agrosystems and their agricultural management practices. Our assessment is based on the last twelve years of daily simulations, for six Plant Protection Products (PPPs) that have been selected as "markers" of phytosanitary pressure, taking into account their use, their biophysical characteristics and their concentrations measured in watercourses. The modeled results are statistically evaluated at the 106-modeled sub-basins, using nonparametric tests that examine their efficiency over time and space, and at what level of implementation.

Firstly, this study seeks to identify the relative effectiveness of each mitigation scenario compared to the reference scenario. Secondly, it investigates the spatial variabilities that could explain the discrepancies between the targeted actions and the significant efficiencies of the scenarios at sub-basin level. Finally, it looks at monotonic temporal trends of the scenarios.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study area

The Drinking Water Coulonge Saint-Hippolyte (DWSCH) basin, situated in southwest France within the Charente basin ($0^{\circ}45'W$ $45^{\circ}58'N$ / $0^{\circ}16'E$ $45^{\circ}14'N$), serves as a critical drinking water source protection area spanning 3,800 km² (Fig. 1). The Charente River, extending 381 km from east to west, constitutes the primary drainage system within the basin, ultimately discharging into the Atlantic Ocean. With an average flow rate of 95 m³ s⁻¹, the river's discharge varies from a few m³ s⁻¹ to 815 m³ s⁻¹ at the furthest downstream gauging station, located approximately 40 km from the main outlet where marine influence ceases. The alluvial beds of the river exhibit low-density, and dams, canals and reservoirs throughout the basin significantly influence the natural hydrologic regime.




Figure 1: Map of the study area.

The climate is generally temperate with an average rainfall of 800 mm yr⁻¹ over the last 30 years and an average temperature of 13°C. However, variability exist; the climate is mild and dry and sunny in the center (Cognac area), oceanic on the coast and wetter and less

104 mild in the eastern part of the plateau. The topography is mostly flat, with a minimum
105 elevation of -1 m to 0 m asl in the west and a maximum of 500 m asl in the East.

106 The DWSCH basin's water supply area is considered strategic for several reasons: it
107 produces 170 M m³ y⁻¹ for 290,000 inhabitants, including over 500,000 during the summer; it
108 is highly vulnerable to pesticides, particularly herbicides, excessive concentrations of which
109 are regularly observed despite action plans; and protection of this threatened resource is
110 required by the Water Framework Directive (WFD) (European Commission, 2000, 2008).
111 Water managers aim to keep pesticide concentrations below half the Maximum Acceptable
112 Concentration (MAC) and reduce peak concentrations.

113 2.2. Reference scenario

114 In the baseline scenario (sRef), the prevailing land use consists mainly of intensive
115 conventional agricultural practices. Land use patterns vary depending on soil characteristics
116 and agricultural goals. Unlike many mesoscale crop-modeling studies that covers 2-6 crop
117 types, our analysis includes 41 distinct cropping systems. These systems are associated with
118 specific agricultural practices related to eight soil features, and they encompass 17 irrigated
119 and non-irrigated crops across all scenarios. The crops range from traditional ones like
120 sunflower, soft winter wheat, durum wheat, oilseed rape, grain corn, maize corn, winter
121 barley, spring barley, and temporary grassland, to innovative and organic options such as
122 alfalfa, field pea, temporary pasture, soybean, clover, and triticale, reflecting diverse cropping
123 practices.

124 The study area primarily consists of deep Campanian soils (ChamP) and shallow
125 Campanian soils (ChamS), known for their high agronomic potential and clayey-calcareous
126 properties, making them suitable for vineyards and cereal-maize systems, especially in the

Cognac area. Additionally, there are clay-limestone called "Groies," which are fertile but thin and prone to rapid drying, particularly when shallow (GrSup) or predominantly marly (GrMar).

Cereal cultivation, mainly without irrigation, is common in the southern region on high potential agricultural soils such as Campanian soils and Groies. In the northern region, where viticulture and agriculture co-exist, irrigation is used for cereal cultivation, with diverse growing systems prevailing over monocultures. Alluvial valleys (VaMaT) support non-irrigated corn cultivation, while specific crop rotations occur on various soil types such as Groies and hydromorphic loam soils (DHyLI). Sandy loam soils (Dsab) are less prevalent (Vernier et al., 2017). Pastures and livestock farming, while about 30% of the area comprises forests, wetlands, urban areas, and water bodies, characterize the eastern plateau.

2.3. The Charente SWAT model.

The ecohydrological Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) model was utilized to analyze the effects of agri-environmental mitigation strategies aimed at reducing pesticide contamination in surface waters and assessing long-term impacts on ecosystems in mesoscale and large-scale watersheds (Arnold et al., 2007). SWAT is recognized as the most suitable tool for simulating pesticide transport and fate in agricultural watersheds due to its comprehensive capabilities and ability to handle complex land use change scenarios (Wang et al., 2019; Kohne et al., 2009) through interfaces such as GenLU2 and BMPs like Vegetative Filter Strips (VFSs) (White & Arnold, 2009).

The DWSCH basin, divided into 106 sub-catchments and 3,659 Hydrologic Response Units (HRUs), was modeled using SWAT. HRUs represent unique combinations of land use, soil type, and slope within the catchments. Land use data is derived from a typology

developed with agricultural stakeholders, supplemented by cartographic databases and meteorological data from Météo-France weather stations.

Representative herbicides such as glyphosate, acetonif, MCPA, and tebuconazole, along with fungicides like mancozeb and a molluscicide, metaldehyde, were studied.

2.4. The mitigation scenarios tested

The mitigation scenarios tested aim to balance the need for well-defined scenarios and the stakeholders' interest in exploring specific agricultural hypotheses under varying thresholds of LUC (Table 1). These scenarios include:

- i) Organic farming (Org): Implemented in 35 sub-basins with established organic farming, focusing on vineyards and field crops. Practices involve reduced fertilization, cessation of synthetic pesticide usage, extended crop rotations, and residue burial, primarily on poor agronomic soils.
- ii) Innovative low-input systems (Sdci): Integrating current practices or transitioning to organic farming for vineyards and field crops. These systems aim to limit pollutant usage and transfer, lengthen crop rotations, promote intercropping, and substitute molecules for plant protection.
- iii) Grassed areas (Herb): Involving the implementation of Vegetative Filter Strips (VFSs) along 551 Hydrologic Response Units (HRUs) at high risk of pollutant transfer to watercourses. These scenarios transform crops into grasslands, combining elements of Org, Sdci, and the baseline scenario (sRef). The "Depp" scenario replaces 1% of meadows with crops due to livestock farming issues.

Across all mitigation scenarios, vineyard acreage remains constant at 22% of the Utilized Agricultural Area (UAA). However, innovative scenarios show longer crop

173 durations and increased cultivation of cereals, spring field peas, alfalfa, and temporary
174 grasslands, with reduced areas for sunflower, rapeseed, and corn. These changes occur while
175 maintaining overall stability in vineyard acreage. Modifications in farming practices lead to
176 variations in field crop distribution. For example, wheat cultivation increases from 22% to
177 24% of the UAA between the reference scenario (sRef) and Sdc1, reflecting current trends.
178 Sunflower cultivation decreases from 19% to 13%, and corn cultivation decreases from 15%
179 to 12%, respectively, across scenarios.

180 **2.5. Mitigation scenario performance evaluation methods**

181 Simulated daily hydro-meteorological and water-quality outputs present a strong right
182 skew and several outliers. In the context of water quality for drinking purposes, outliers are
183 kept. Statistical analysis are performed using each of the simulated PPPs across the 106
184 outlets over the last 4,383 days of simulation. Various scripts using R v4.3.1. packages enable
185 performing statistical computations and visualizing visualized (Team et al., 2018).

186 To evaluate the effectiveness of each modeled mitigation scenario compared to the
187 reference scenario (sRef), the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis (KW) test (Kruskal & Wallis,
188 1952) and the post hoc Dunn's test with the Benjamini-Hochberg correction are used. The
189 KW test is more robust than the ANOVA or t-test (Gibbons & Fielden, 1993) and is more
190 relevant in the presence of non-normality. H0 indicates that each mitigation scenario is
191 neither greater nor less than sRef at the 95% confidence level. The p-values of KW pairs are
192 shown on the boxplots of simulated PPP concentrations. Scenario performance is considered
193 significant when $p < 0.05$ (H1) (indicated this way in increasing significance: *: $p \leq 0.05$;
194 **: $p \leq 0.01$; ***: $p \leq 0.001$; ****: $p \leq 0.0001$).

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is employed to identify the contributions of biogeochemical factors and analyze spatial variability in individual sub-basins. Even in the presence of non-linear processes, PCAs are suitable for water quality studies, even with nonlinear processes, as they can transform data optimally (Gamble & Babbar-Sebens, 2012), accommodating mixed quantitative (i. e. concentrations) and qualitative data. and qualitative data (i.e. sub-basins) (Agudelo-Jaramillo et al., 2016).

Temporal variability within sub-basins is investigated with the non-parametric Mann Kendall (MK) test (Gilbert, 1987), relevant for its robustness (lower sensitivity to gross error) and efficiency (smaller asymptotic variance) and adjustments for ties (Kendall & Gibbons, 1990). MK assesses that there is no a priori notion of an increasing or decreasing trend notion for mitigation scenarios towards sRef at the alpha level of 0.05 (H_0). If the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is discarded and may suggest that there is a significant monotonous trend in daily water quality outputs (H_1).

3. Results and discussion

In the DWSCH watershed, trends in mitigation scenario performance indicate varying average modeled plant protection product (PPP) concentrations over the past 12 years of daily simulation. Scenarios like Sdc1 and Herb3, extensively implemented along with associated VFS variants, demonstrate substantial reductions, achieving between 97% and 98% of the cumulative average PPP concentration drop in water flows over the simulation period. Innovative scenarios targeting Priority Areas (PAs), such as Sdc3, also show favorable results, with a notable 90% reduction in cumulative PPP concentrations.

Organic farming (Org) scenarios exhibit promising results, especially with significant land use changes (LUCs). For instance, Org6, with 35% of LUCs devoted to organic farming, achieves a 33% reduction in concentrations and a 13% reduction in loads. However, scenarios

with conversion areas of less than 4% of the UAA, like Org1 and Org2, show less convincing results (Fig. 2).




Figure 2: Simulated average PPP concentrations throughout the DWSCH basin.

3.1. Statistical Significance of Scenarios (KW Test)

To assess the effectiveness of mitigation scenarios in safeguarding drinking water catchments, our analysis focuses on the downstream sub-basin, which mainly consists of wheat-based rotations with sunflower, corn, and rapeseed-based rotations.

3.1.1. The Organic (Org) and Innovative (Sdci) scenarios

Boxplots reveal significant reductions in PPP concentrations across the Org and Sdci scenarios, particularly in Sdci1 and Org6, where peak concentrations remain below half the MAC. Innovative scenarios successfully maintain concentrations below the MAC threshold, except for MCPA.

Combining various agronomic orientations within a single scenario proves effective in water quality restoration efforts. For example, scenario Sdci6, integrating innovative and organic farming practices, exhibits significant efficacy in reducing aclonifen and MCPA concentrations, moderate efficacy in reducing mancozeb and metaldehyde concentrations, and limited efficacy in reducing glyphosate concentrations. In contrast, agronomic scenarios characterized by a single, widely implemented agronomic orientation, such as Sdci1 and Sdci3, demonstrate significant statistical efficiencies in reducing aclonifen and metaldehyde concentrations. Notably, alterations in practices within these innovative scenarios lead to a partial substitution of aclonifen by MCPA and a decrease in metaldehyde applications. However, scenarios targeting innovative systems on PAs (Sdci2) and on vines (Sdci4), also very significantly reduce mancozeb concentrations in watercourses. The KW pairwise test provides further evidence of the effectiveness of these last massively implemented scenarios are significantly effective, are significantly effective, but also some other targeted scenarios, based on progressive swift from intensive farming (Fig.3).

For example, Org1 to Org4 scenarios receive runoff from upstream sub-basins where these scenarios are implemented. Similarly, the Depp scenario increases aclonifen concentrations due to grassland abandonment for corn cultivation in neighboring sub-basins, exacerbated by silty soils (DHyl).

Bio-geophysical factors help explain the discrepancies at the sub-basin level between the targeted actions and the observed efficiencies. For example, Org1 to Org4 scenarios receive runoff from upstream sub-basins where these scenarios are implemented. Similarly, the Depp scenario results in increased aclonifen concentrations due to neighboring sub-basins experiencing grassland abandonment for corn cultivation, exacerbated by the presence of

silty soils (DHyLi), that may favor particulate transport of this adsorbable contaminant (Fig. 3).

3.1.2. Herb Scenarios and their VFS variants

The Herb2 and Herb3 scenarios generally lead to greater reductions in pollutant concentrations compared to Herb1 especially in targeted areas. While VFS scenarios are primarily effective for reducing nitrogen (Abimbola et al., 2021), they also demonstrate efficiencies for pesticides (Tang et al., 2012). Predicting VFS efficiency in trapping pesticides is complex due to lumped and in-stream empirically based processes and parameter uncertainties (Munoz-Carpena et al., 2010). However, a general gradient between VFS width and efficiency is observed, with combined Herb2 and Herb3 scenarios proving most effective in reducing various pollutant concentrations.

Combined Herb2-VFS scenarios are most effective in reducing various pollutant concentrations, with Herb2 alone efficient for reducing glyphosate and mancozeb concentrations. Combined Herb2 with the largest VFS scenarios keeps pollutant concentrations below the Maximum Acceptable Concentration (MAC), while combined Herb3 scenarios maintain MAC below half and are highly effective in reducing metaldehyde concentrations.

The efficiency of grass strips in purifying pollutants, especially when combined with organic (Org) and innovative (Sdci) scenarios, confirms the efficacy of VFSs as structural




Figure 3: Distributions and KW significant efficiencies at the basin outlet

Best Management Practices (BMPs). Cost-effectiveness modeling studies have demonstrated VFS to be the most cost-effective option. These results highlight the effectiveness of combining non-structural BMPs with different agronomic orientations to enhance water quality restoration efforts.

3.2. Investigation of the main relative contributions of anthropogenic and environmental variables.

Anthropogenic practices and biogeochemical factors play pivotal roles in PPP transfers, with soil types and climate conditions significantly influencing the temporal distribution of diffuse pollution in watercourses. While precipitation (PCP) exacerbates this phenomenon, it is noteworthy that PCP alone does not determine the extent of pollution (Boithias et al., 2014). Shallow soils such as GrSup and ChamS, along with silty loams like DHyLi and sandy loams such as Dsab, exhibit strong correlations with PCP and are primary contributors to PPP transfers.

Within the four illustrated scenarios (Fig. 4), acetonitrile and metaldehyde demonstrate significant correlations with certain soil types, particularly GrMar, TPBas, and VaMaT. Conversely, glyphosate, tebuconazole, and mancozeb exhibit larger variances within sub-basins, with tebuconazole showing an average transfer capacity across the sampled PPPs. Tebuconazole is notable for its ability to transfer in both dissolved and particulate phases, whereas mancozeb primarily transfers in the adsorbed phase. Agricultural practices further exacerbate PPP transfers, with intensive conventional agriculture, especially in vineyards and wheat-dominated rotations, significantly contributing to metaldehyde, acetonitrile, and MCPA concentrations in sub-basins. The hydrological behavior of certain sub-basins, particularly those experiencing significant groundwater-river exchanges, also plays a role in exacerbating pollution transfers. In terms of agricultural practices, sub-basins with high contributions of tebuconazole and mancozeb are located in Priority Areas (PAs), except for certain sub-basins characterized by intensive conventional agriculture with vines and wheat-dominated rotations. Tebuconazole is applied to vines, as well as dry and irrigated durum wheat, at specific rates, while mancozeb is applied at a different rate to vines.

Conversely, sub-basins contributing the most to metaldehyde, acetonitrile and, to a lesser extent, MCPA are correlated with the minority TPBa's heavy soil type (Fig. 4). Metaldehyde is applied to rapeseed (113 g. ha^{-1}), maize (110 g. ha^{-1}) and all other straw cereals and sunflower, depending on soil type and plant, at a rate of between 9 and 38 g. ha^{-1} . However, only sub-basins 27 and 17 are classified as PAs. One hypothesis for the factors aggravating transfers to sub-basins 5 and 37 is the hydrological behavior of these sub-basins, which are part of the northern median part of the DWSCH basin, characterized by significant groundwater-river hydrological exchanges.




Figure 4: PCA Biplots of factors at the basin outlet

The analysis of the sRef scenario highlights significant contributions of various Plant Protection Products (PPPs) to spatial variance, with the exception of mancozeb. Sub-basins with high contributions of tebuconazole and mancozeb are strongly correlated with the ChamP agricultural soil type (Fig 4). Tebuconazole has an average transfer capacity among

the PPPs, being able to transfer in both dissolved and particulate phases, while mancozeb primarily transfers in the adsorbed phase.

Principal component analysis of the scenarios for conversion to organic farming highlights reductions in the contribution of aconitine and metaldehyde transfers with targeted actions, even on small areas. Taking sub-basin 27 as an example, conversion of 148 ha and 212 ha significantly reduced the contribution to aconitine and metaldehyde transfers. This indicates the effectiveness of organic farming in mitigating diffuse pollution markers. Additionally, the variance of individual sub-basin contributions changes with the intensity of land conversion to organic farming. We can see that the variances of individual sub-basin contributions change as the conversion of land to organic farming intensifies. Conversion to organic farming emerges as a potential mitigation strategy, with targeted actions significantly reducing the contributions of pesticides, particularly aconitine and metaldehyde. The effectiveness of organic farming scenarios underscores the potential for small-scale interventions to mitigate diffuse pollution markers. Spatialized changes in practices, such as intercropping and alternative techniques to reduce active substance quantities, demonstrate promise in mitigating PPP transfers and reducing effluent volumes.

The results highlight the complex interplay between anthropogenic practices, biogeochemical factors, and agricultural practices in influencing PPP transfers within the studied basin. Furthermore, the effectiveness of targeted interventions underscores the importance of tailored strategies in mitigating diffuse pollution and protecting water resources.

The biplot on the left shows that Sdc1 significantly reduces the contributions of the main pesticides, with the exception of MCPA, which is used as a substitute herbicide. Notably, the most contributive sub-basins (CSAs) primarily align with specialization in winegrowing downstream (particularly Cognac) and concentration of field crops upstream. These areas

face significant phytosanitary pressure, with numerous treatments of vineyards generating PPP effluents that require management. More than a dozen treatments of vineyards with phytosanitary substances generate PPP effluents that need to be spread or treated.

Across the Sdci scenarios, there is a consistent reduction in transfers in the central and downstream parts of the main section and its northern tributaries. Despite certain molecules showing a relatively low secondary effect of pesticide substitution in terms of cumulative concentrations, the innovative scenarios, particularly Sdci1 and Sdci3 targeting all field crops, prove effective. These scenarios are also highly effective in certain sub-basins, including priority zones, for specific molecules, while demonstrating a moderate effect on others. This highlights the necessity of spatialized changes in practices to address diverse pollution sources effectively.

Sdci scenarios implement measures such as intercropping to limit PPP transfer by runoff, thus safeguarding water resources. Additional techniques like reducing active substance quantities through methods like grassing of vines and mechanical weeding, along with adherence to good practices in pesticide application, contribute to reducing effluent volumes. However, understanding the distribution between surface and underground inputs remains a challenge, particularly in karstic soils. This underscores the need for ongoing research and management strategies to address complex pollutant pathways.

3.3. Analysis of temporal variability with the Mann Kendall test (MK)

The spatial analysis of the Mann-Kendall (MK) test results, focusing on tebuconazole and scenarios of conversion to organic farming, reveals notable monotonic trends ranging from little to high significance (Fig. 5):

- i. Across the main river continuum, excluding its headwaters, a substantial number of monotonic trends are observed across all scenarios, including the reference scenario (sRef).

The increase in areas converted from conventional to organic farming correlates with the rise in the number of river sections exhibiting significant monotonic trends, particularly evident along the main southern tributary, notably in the Org6 scenario.

ii. High levels of diffuse tebuconazole pollution are identified in the southern and southwestern regions, specifically in sub-basins 62, 65, and 71. Within the same impluvium situated in priority zones, varying degrees of Contaminant Source Areas (CSAs) are observed. While some CSAs fall within priority action areas designated by water governance, others, such as CSAs 75, 79, 51, 68, 82, 88, and 98, do not. Nonetheless, significant monotonic trends are detected outside priority zones, suggesting the potential benefits of implementing biological scenarios to mitigate tebuconazole contamination. Geological and hydrological factors may contribute to the observed disparities between actions targeted at Priority Areas (PAs) and actual responses over time, particularly given shared groundwater bodies and dynamic surface runoff dynamics.

iii. In the northern region, sub-basin 19, characterized as a low contributor, exhibits significant monotonic trends associated with parts heavily cultivated with irrigated durum wheat. This highlights tebuconazole as a marker of specific agronomic practices, particularly those related to durum wheat cultivation.

iv. To the east, agricultural sub-basin 46, a moderate contributor, demonstrates potential benefits from inclusion in priority action zones, especially considering its cultivation of irrigated durum wheat on silty soils (DH_YLi), which may facilitate contaminant transfers.

The distributions and mean concentrations of tebuconazole from the agri-environmental scenarios are notably smaller and lower in amplitude compared to the reference scenario.

These monotonic downward trends indicate significant reductions in concentrations over the

12-year study period, suggesting sustainable and substantial improvements in water quality attributed to LUC for this particular PPP in select river sections.




Figure 5: MK trends in Tebuconazole concentrations in Org scenarios vs sRef

4. Conclusions and perspectives

Our study, employing SWAT-GenLU2 spatialized agrohydrological modeling, has yielded valuable insights into the effectiveness of various cropping scenarios in limiting pesticide transfers. By considering combined agronomic orientations, such as organic farming, innovative systems, grass strips, and meadows, along with their progressive implementation, we have elucidated the nuanced relationship between agricultural practices and water quality outcomes. The statistical methods applied to model outputs have provided complementary perspectives for assessing the efficacy of agro-environmental mitigation

404 scenarios, identifying the contributions of anthropogenic and soil factors, and discerning
405 monotonic trends over time.

406 Our findings highlight that widely deployed scenarios on the Utilized Agricultural
407 Area (UAA) tend to be more effective over time. However, certain sub-basins exhibit
408 stronger responses to changes in practices and agrosystems, influenced by specific climatic,
409 biophysical, and pedomorphological characteristics. Targeting changes within specific
410 agrosystems, such as field crops and vineyards, can significantly improve surface water
411 quality, especially when considering the unique characteristics of each sub-watershed.

412 As agroecology gains traction in the study area, it becomes imperative to address the
413 heterogeneous nature of organic farming practices. The increasing adoption of organic
414 farming suggests a need to incorporate pesticides authorized for organic farming into
415 modeling efforts. Identifying highly vulnerable areas to pesticide transfer, such as Critical
416 Source Areas (CSAs), can aid in defining Priority Areas (PAs) for targeted mitigation efforts,
417 particularly in the context of climate change.

418 While our modeling approach provides valuable insights, it is essential to
419 acknowledge its limitations. The complexity of water quality processes and the simplified
420 representation in the model necessitate caution in its application, requiring validation by field
421 experts. Improved pesticide monitoring, alongside model calibration and validation efforts,
422 can enhance our understanding of diffuse pollution and reduce modeling uncertainties.

423 Moving forward, integrating socio-economic drivers into decision support methods
424 could further enhance our ability to assess and manage water quality effectively. By
425 considering uncertainties and leveraging multi-criteria decision support methods, integrated

water quality modeling, and scenario planning, stakeholders can develop more robust strategies for sustainable water resource management.

Despite these challenges, our spatialized modeling approach offers valuable insights into the environmental implications of agro-environmental changes and can be extrapolated to other contexts. Continued research efforts and interdisciplinary collaborations are essential for addressing the complex challenges associated with water quality management in agricultural landscapes.

Acknowledgments

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement N° 773782. The authors wish to express their thanks to the Charente basin stakeholders that were taking part into the project and the Adour Garonne water agency. The authors would like to wish to express their thanks to Météo-France for the gracious provision of climatic data, and Léonard Santos and Alexandre Pryet for their contribution to the model calibration and validation.

Tables

Table 1 *Description and objectives of the mitigation scenarios tested*

Scenario	Description and LUCs ([ha]; [% of Total surface]; [% of UAA])	Objectives
sRef	Reference scenario (2558; 70; 100)	Assess model performance (calibration and validation). Detect sensitive parameters. Identify uncertainty sources.
Depp	Turning some fields with mixed cropping systems and temporary grassland into conventional cropping systems (33; 0.9; 1.3)	Modeling the effect of a decrease in livestock activity

Sdci1	Innovative systems throughout the basin (2558; 70; 100)	Identifying the effect of Sdci's massive development
Sdci2	Innovative systems in PAs (1353; 37; 53)	Testing on PAs the massive development of a potential action plan
Sdci3	Low-input field crops throughout the basin (1949; 53; 76)	To isolate the effect of the evolution of field crop practices
Sdci4	Low-input vines throughout the basin (566; 15; 22)	To isolate the effect of the evolution of vineyard practices.
Sdci5	Innovative systems on PAs (503; 14; 20)	Testing an intermediate innovative systems scenario
Sdci6	Combination of 20% of Sdci and 15% of Org (% of UAA) (503; 14; 20)	Testing an intermediate scenario combining Organic and innovative systems
Org1	Doubling the surfaces areas in Org (23; 0.6; 0.9)	Hypothesis tested: i) the potential development of Organic
Org2	Multiplication by 5 of Org's UAA (93; 2.5; 3.6)	farming is stronger in the sub-basins where it is already settled. The increase in Organic
Org3	Multiplication by 10 of the Org's UAA (208; 5.7; 8.1)	UAA is achieved first in these areas and then in the neighbouring sub-basins.
Org4	To reach 20% of UAA of organic vines in the 35 selected sub-basins. (203; 5.5; 7.9)	ii) the development of Organic farming is mostly done on soils with low agricultural potential (valleys, and wetland and terraced soils)
Org5	Org on soils with lower agronomic potential (367; 10; 14)	
Org6	Org on all types of low to medium agricultural potential (904; 25; 35)	To illustrate the maximum potential development of Organic crop production All soil types except on deep Campanian and Groies marl soils
Herb1	Introduction of grassed areas in	Testing the conversion of fields crops to
+ 6 VFS	association with Ref practices on the known most vulnerable areas (378; 10.3; 15)	pasture at 551 targeted fields close to watercourses, in combination with Ref practices
Herb2	Testing the consequences of the	Testing the conversion of fields crops to
+ 6 VFS	introduction of grassed areas on PPP pressure (2558; 70; 100)	pasture at 551 targeted fields close to watercourses, in combination with Sdci1 practices and LUC

Herb3 + 6 VFS	Introduction of grassed areas in association with Org6 practices on the most vulnerable areas (1064; 29; 42)	Testing the conversion of fields crops to pasture at 551 targeted fields close to watercourses, in combination with Org6 practices and LUC
--------------------------------	--	---

442 **References**

- 443 Abimbola, O., Mittelstet, A., Messer, T., Berry, E., & van Griensven, A. (2021). Modeling
 444 and Prioritizing Interventions Using Pollution Hotspots for Reducing Nutrients, Atrazine and
 445 E. coli Concentrations in a Watershed. *Sustainability*, 13(1), 1-22. doi:ARTN
 446 10310.3390/su13010103.
- 447 Agudelo-Jaramillo, S., Ochoa-Munoz, M., & Zuluaga-Diaz, F. (2016). Principal Component
 448 Analysis for Mixed Quantitative and Qualitative Data Proposal Report Research Practise:
 449 Medellin.
- 450 Amblard, L. (2019). Collective action for water quality management in agriculture: The case
 451 of drinking water source protection in France. *Global Environmental Change*, 58, 101970.
 452 doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2019.101970.
- 453 Bieroza, M. Z., Bol, R., & Glendell, M. (2021). What is the deal with the Green Deal: Will
 454 the new strategy help to improve European freshwater quality beyond the Water Framework
 455 Directive? *Sci Total Environ*, 791, 148080. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148080.
- 456 Council of the European Union (1998). Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on
 457 the quality of water intended for human consumption. *Off J Eur Communities* [Internet], 41,
 458 34-54.

- 459 European Commission (2000). Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the
460 Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of
461 water policy. Official Journal of the European Communities, 327(43), 1-72.
- 462 European Commission (2008). Scientific Evidence for Policy-Making. EUR22982EN,
463 Socio-economic Sciences and Humanities, Directorate-General for Research. Brussels :
464 European Commission.
- 465 Gamble, A., & Babbar-Sebens, M. (2012). On the use of multivariate statistical methods for
466 combining in-stream monitoring data and spatial analysis to characterize water quality
467 conditions in the White River basin, Indiana, USA. Environ Monit Assess, 184(2), 845-875.
468 doi:10.1007/s10661-011-2005-y
- 469 Gibbons, J. D., & Fielden, J. D. G. (1993). Nonparametric statistics: An introduction: Sage.
- 470 Gilbert, R. O. (1987). Statistical methods for environmental pollution monitoring: John Wiley
471 & Sons.
- 472 István, P. (2020). The European environment-state and outlook 2020. Knowledge for
473 transition to a sustainable Europe. European Environment Agency.
- 474 Kendall, M., & Gibbons, D. (1990). J. Rank Correlation Methods: Oxford University Press.
- 475 Kohne, J. M., Kohne, S., & Simunek, J. (2009). A review of model applications for structured
476 soils: b) Pesticide transport. J Contam Hydrol, 104(1-4), 36-60.
477 doi:10.1016/j.jconhyd.2008.10.003.
- 478 Kruskal, W. H., & Wallis, W. A. (1952). Use of ranks in one-criterion variance analysis.
479 Journal of the American statistical Association, 47(260), 583-621.

- 480 Lacas, J.-G., Voltz, M., Gouy, V., Carluer, N., & Gril, J.-J. (2005). Using grassed strips to
481 limit pesticide transfer to surface water: a review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*,
482 25(2), 253-266. doi:10.1051/agro:2005001.
- 483 Munoz-Carpena, R., Fox, G. A., & Sabbagh, G. J. (2010). Parameter importance and
484 uncertainty in predicting runoff pesticide reduction with filter strips. *J Environ Qual*, 39(2),
485 630-641. doi:10.2134/jeq2009.0300
- 486 Porporato, A., Feng, X., Manzoni, S., Mau, Y., Parolari, A. J., & Vico, G. (2015).
487 Ecohydrological modeling in agroecosystems: Examples and challenges. *Water Resources*
488 *Research*, 51(7), 5081-5099. doi:10.1002/2015wr017289.
- 489 Pryet, A., Santos, L., Leccia, O. (2016). Calibration du modèle Soil and Water Assessment
490 Tool (SWAT) pour le bassin d'alimentation de captage Grenelle : Projet MODCHAR2.
491 <<https://hal.science/hal-02604830/>>; 2016 [accessed 13.03.24].
- 492 Strehmel, A., Schmalz, B., & Fohrer, N. (2016). Evaluation of Land Use, Land Management
493 and Soil Conservation Strategies to Reduce Non-Point Source Pollution Loads in the Three
494 Gorges Region, China. *Environ Manage*, 58(5), 906-921. doi:10.1007/s00267-016-0758-3.
- 495 Tang, X., Zhu, B., & Katou, H. (2012). A review of rapid transport of pesticides from sloping
496 farmland to surface waters: processes and mitigation strategies. *J Environ Sci (China)*, 24(3),
497 351-361. doi:10.1016/s1001-0742(11)60753-5.
- 498 Team, R. C., Team, M. R. C., Suggests, M., & Matrix, S. (2018). Package stats. The R Stats
499 Package.
- 500 Vernier, F., Leccia-Phelpin, O., Lescot, J. M., Minette, S., Miralles, A., Barberis, D., et al.
501 (2017). Integrated modeling of agricultural scenarios (IMAS) to support pesticide action

- 502 plans: the case of the Coulouge drinking water catchment area (SW France). *Environmental*
503 *Science and Pollution Research*, 24, 6923-6950, doi:10.1007/s11356-016-7657-2.
- 504 Veselá, T., Nedbal, V., & Brom, J. (2020). Detection of pesticide in a small agricultural basin
505 after 15 years of application ban. *Int. Multidiscip. Sci. Geoconf. SGEM*, 20, 75-82.
- 506 Wang, R., Yuan, Y., Yen, H., Grieneisen, M., Arnold, J., Wang, D., et al. (2019). A review of
507 pesticide fate and transport simulation at watershed level using SWAT: Current status and
508 research concerns. *Science of the total environment*, 669, 512-526.
- 509 Zhang, X., & Zhang, M. (2011). Modeling effectiveness of agricultural BMPs to reduce
510 sediment load and organophosphate pesticides in surface runoff. *Sci Total Environ*, 409(10),
511 1949-1958. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.02.012.