

A Novel Carbazolophane: A Comparison of the Performance of Two Planar Chiral CP-TADF Emitters

Jasmin Seibert, Yan Xu, Hassan Hafeez, Joachim Podlech, Ludovic Favereau, Eduard Spuling, Charlotte Waldhelm, Martin Nieger, Olaf Fuhr, Zahid

Hassan, et al.

► To cite this version:

Jasmin Seibert, Yan Xu, Hassan Hafeez, Joachim Podlech, Ludovic Favereau, et al.: A Novel Carbazolophane: A Comparison of the Performance of Two Planar Chiral CP-TADF Emitters. Advanced Functional Materials, 2024, pp.2401956. 10.1002/adfm.202401956 . hal-04571130

HAL Id: hal-04571130 https://hal.science/hal-04571130v1

Submitted on 6 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A Novel Carbazolophane: A Comparison of the Performance of Two Planar Chiral CP-TADF Emitters

Jasmin Seibert,[‡] Yan Xu,[‡] Hassan Hafeez,[‡] Joachim Podlech, Ludovic Favereau, Eduard Spuling, Charlotte Waldhelm, Martin Nieger, Olaf Fuhr, Zahid Hassan, Jeanne Crassous, Ifor D. W. Samuel,^{*} Eli Zysman-Colman,^{*} Stefan Bräse^{*}

Jasmin Seibert, Dr. Eduard Spuling, Charlotte Waldhelm, Prof. Dr. Joachim Podlech, Dr. Zahid Hassan, Prof. Dr. Stefan Bräse Institute of Organic Chemistry (IOC), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Kaiserstrasse 12, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany. E-mail: braese@kit.edu

Yan Xu, Prof. Dr. Eli Zysman-Colman Organic Semiconductor Centre, EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, Fife, KY16 9ST, UK. E-mail: <u>eli.zysman-colman@st</u>-andrews.ac.uk

Dr Hassan Hafeez, Prof. Dr. Ifor D. W. Samuel Organic Semiconductor Centre, SUPA School of Physics and Astronomy, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, Fife, KY16 9SS, UK. E-mail: <u>idws@st-andrews.ac.uk</u>

Dr. Ludovic Favereau, Dr. Jeanne Crassous University of Rennes, CNRS, ISCR (Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes) – UMR 6226, F-35000 Rennes, France. E-mail: jeanne.crassous@univ-rennes1.fr

Dr. Martin Nieger

Department of Chemistry, University of Helsinki, P. O. Box 55 (A. I. Virtasen aukio 1), 00014, Finland. E-mail: martin.nieger@helsinki.fi

Dr. Olaf Fuhr

Institute of Nanotechnology (INT) and Karlsruhe Nano-Micro Facility (KNMFi) Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Kaiserstrasse 12, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany. E-mail:<u>olaf.fuhr@kit.edu</u>

Prof. Dr. Stefan Bräse

Institute of Biological and Chemical Systems (IBCS-FMS), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Kaiserstrasse 12, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany. E-mail: braese@kit.edu

Keywords: circularly polarized luminescence, thermally activated delayed fluorescence, cyclophanes, carbazolophanes, planar chirality, organic light-emitting diodes

Abstract

The prototypical example of a (cyclo)phane, [2.2]paracyclophane (PCP), has proven to be a versatile stereogenic moiety within the design of circularly polarized thermally activated delayed fluorescence (CP-TADF) emitters; however, the exploration of other cyclophanes within CP-TADF emitter design has been largely neglected. Here, a comparative study of the photophysical and optoelectronic properties of two cyclophane emitters, (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz and its isomer (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz, is presented. The carbazolophane-triazine compound (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz, obtained via an unprecedented intramolecular rearrangement, is the first example of a planar chiral TADF emitter deviating from the PCP scaffold. Significant geometrical change of the enclosed carbazole in (1,7)tBuCzp results in an attenuation of the donor strength, while the merits of rigidity and steric bulk remain. In particular, the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the photoluminescence spectrum in toluene of (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz is reduced by 34% and blue-shifted by 20 nm compared to that of (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz. In doped films, the compounds reach high photoluminescence quantum vields (Φ_{PL}) of 91 and 81%, respectively. The chiroptical properties reveal dissymmetry factors $|g_{PL}|$ of up to 5×10⁻⁴. These results demonstrate the impact of the cyclophane for the development of CPL-active TADF materials and add to the currently limited scope of available planar chiral donor building blocks.

1 Introduction

Compounds exhibiting thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF) show a dual emission where a prompt fluorescence originates from rapid radiative decay of singlet excitons and a delayed fluorescence follows the endothermic up-conversion of non-emissive triplet excitons to singlets. This reverse intersystem crossing (RISC) is exploited in organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs), which can then reach an internal quantum efficiency (IQE) of up to 100%, making organic TADF materials desired targets for electroluminescent device applications.^[1] TADF emitter design produces organic semiconductors that possess a suitably small energy gap, ΔE_{ST} , between the first excited singlet (S₁) and triplet (T₁) excited states. The most prominent design are compounds that have a strongly twisted donor-acceptor (D-A) structure wherein the electron density in the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) is mainly localized on the donor moiety while the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) is found on the electron-withdrawing acceptor, leading to a small exchange integral and thus a small ΔE_{ST} that is essential for TADF.^[1a]

OLEDs face a trade-off between high contrast ratios, achieved by reducing reflection of incident light, and brightness loss, when ~ 50% of the produced light from the emissive layer is absorbed by anti-glare filters that are present in most of these devices. These filters convert unpolarized light into circularly polarized (CP) light (CPL). Upon reflection, the polarization of the CPL is reversed, preventing it from passing the filter; however, besides the suppression of the reflected incident light, the generated light of the OLED is also trapped. A strategy to circumvent this loss channel is to use a material with inherent CPL, thus making anti-glare filters redundant. A quantification of the degree of CPL is the photoluminescence dissymmetry factor $|g_{PL}|$, which can have a maximum value of 2. Most closed shell organic emitters, however, have very low $|g_{PL}|$, on the order of 10^{-3} .^[2] Thus, to achieve optimal results in a device requires employing an emitter that simultaneously can harvest both singlet and triplet excitons to produce light, is bright (i.e., has a high photoluminescence quantum yield, Φ_{PL}) and that the light emanating be CP.

One strategy to design CPL-active materials is to incorporate a planar chiral motif within the emitter design.^[3] Among such well-studied motifs are the (cyclo)phanes, a class of cyclic compounds featuring at least one bridged arene. The [2.2]paracyclophane (PCP), a prototypical example consisting of two closely stacked benzene rings, has been at the center of scientific

attention, and has proven useful *inter alia* in catalysis,^[4] π -conjugated oligomers,^[5] porous frameworks,^[6] CVD coatings^[7] and other material applications.^[8] In 2018, we were the first to incorporate this three-dimensional scaffold within a CP-TADF emitter.^[9] Decorating the decks with donor (D) and acceptor (A) units produced a material that possessed low-lying charge transfer (CT) excited states whose electronic communication was mediated through the π stacked core of the PCP. Both isomers, *cis-* and *trans-Bz-PCP-TPA*, showed blue TADF with photoluminescence maxima, λ_{PL} , at 480 nm and 465 nm, respectively in 15 wt% doped films in mCP; however, their low Φ_{PL} of 12% and 15% precluded their use as emitters in OLEDs (**Figure 1**).



 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{cis-Bz-PCP-TPA} \mid \textit{trans-Bz-PCP-TPA} \\ \Delta E_{ST} = 0.13 \text{ eV}, \ 0.17 \text{ eV} \\ \textbf{r}_{d} = 1.8 \text{ } \mu \text{ } \textbf{s}, 3.6 \text{ } \mu \text{s} \ (15 \text{ } \text{wt}\% \text{ } \text{mCP}) \\ \lambda_{PL} = 480 \text{ } \text{nm}, 465 \text{ } \text{nm} \ (15 \text{ } \text{wt}\% \text{ } \text{mCP}) \\ \mathcal{P}_{PL} = 12\%, 15\% \text{ } (\text{PhMe}) \\ \textit{Chem. Commun. 2018}, 54, 9278. \end{array}$



 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{CzpPhTrz} \ (\textbf{R=H}) \mid \textbf{CNCzpPhTrz} \ (\textbf{R=CN}) \mid \\ \textbf{CF}_3 \textbf{CzpPhTrz} \ (\textbf{R=CF}_3) \\ & \Delta E_{ST} = 0.30 \ \text{eV}, 0.23 \ \text{eV}, 0.22 \ \text{eV} \\ \hline \textbf{r}_d = 65 \ \mu\text{s} \ (10 \ \text{wt\%} \ \text{DPEPO}), 135 \ \mu\text{s}, 158 \ \mu\text{s} \ (10 \ \text{wt\%} \ \text{PPT}) \\ & \partial_{PL} = 482 \ \text{nm} \ (10 \ \text{wt\%} \ \text{DPEPO}), 458 \ \text{nm}, 456 \ \text{nm} \ (10 \ \text{wt\%} \ \text{PPT}) \\ & \mathcal{O}_{PL} = 69\% \ (10 \ \text{wt\%} \ \text{DPEPO}), 65\%, 70\% \ (10 \ \text{wt\%} \ \text{PPT}) \\ & |g_{PL}| = 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \ (\text{PhMe}), \text{n.a.}, \text{n.a.} \\ & \lambda_{EL} = 480 \ \text{nm}, 456 \ \text{nm}, 460 \ \text{nm} \\ & \text{EQE}_{max} = 17\%, 7.4\%, 12\% \\ \hline \end{array}$



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{g-BNMe_2-CP} \mid \textbf{m-BNMe_2-CP} \\ \Delta E_{ST} = 0.17 \text{ eV}, \ 0.12 \text{ eV} \\ \tau_d = 0.38 \ \mu\text{s}, \ 0.22 \ \mu\text{s} \ (\text{PhMe}) \\ \lambda_{PL} = 531 \ \text{nm}, 521 \ \text{nm} \ (\text{PhMe}) \\ \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{PL} = 72\%, \ 39\% \ (\text{CyHex}) \\ | \textbf{g}_{PL} | = 4.2 \times 10^{-3} \ (\text{PhMe}), \text{n.a.} \\ \text{Org. Lett. 2018}, \ 20, \ 6868. \end{array}$



 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{PXZp-Ph-TRZ} \\ \Delta E_{ST} = 0.03 \text{ eV} \\ r_d = 12 \ \mu \text{s, (10 wt\% CBP)} \\ \lambda_{pL} = 548 \ nm \ (PhMe) \\ \mathcal{D}_{pL} = 60\% \ (neat) \\ |g_{PL}| = 3.3 \times 10^{-3} \ (PhMe) \\ \lambda_{aL} = 560 \ nm, \ EQE_{max} = 7.8\%, \ |g_{EL}| = 4.6 \times 10^{-3} \\ ACS \ Appl. \ Mater. \ Interfaces \ 2021, \ 13, \ 25186. \end{array}$



 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{g-BPhNMe}_{2}\text{-}CP \; (\textbf{R=Me}) \; | \; \textbf{m-BPhNMe}_{2}\text{-}CP \; (\textbf{R=Me}) \; | \\ & \quad \textbf{m-BPhNPh}_{2}\text{-}CP \; (\textbf{R=Ph}) \\ & \quad \Delta E_{\text{ST}} = \text{n.a.}, \; \tau_{d} = \text{n.a.} \\ & \quad \lambda_{\text{PL}} = 488 \; \text{nm}, \; 461 \; \text{nm}, \; 455 \; \text{nm} \; (\text{CyHex}) \\ & \quad \mathcal{P}_{\text{PL}} = 83\%, \; 93\%, \; 82\% \; (\text{CyHex}) \\ & \quad \mathcal{P}_{\text{PL}} = 1.3 \times 10^{-2}, 1.7 \times 10^{-2}, \; 1.7 \times 10^{-2} \; (\text{THF}) \\ & \quad \text{Org. Lett. 2021, } 23, 2. \end{array}$



 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{PXZ-PT} \\ \Delta E_{ST} = 0.19 \text{ eV} \\ r_d = 75 \ \mu\text{s}, (10 \ \text{wt\% CBP}) \\ \lambda_{PL} = 565 \ \text{nm} \ (PhMe) \\ \vartheta_{PL} = 78\% \ (10 \ \text{wt\% CBP}) \\ |g_{PL}| = 1.9 \times 10^{-3} \ (PhMe) \\ \lambda_{EL} = 557 \ \text{nm}, \ EQE_{max} = 20\%, |g_{EL}| = 1.5 \times 10^{-3} \\ Mater. \ Horiz. \ \textbf{2021}, \ \textbf{8}, \ \textbf{3417}. \end{array}$



Figure 1. Summary of reported [2.2] paracyclophane-based TADF Emitters.

Zhao and co-workers reported a similar through-space PCP-based emitter **BNMe₂-CP** that contained a bulky dimesitylborane acceptor intended to suppress concentration-induced quenching.^[10] A hypsochromic shift was observed in toluene for the *pseudo-meta* derivative *m*-**BNMe₂-CP** ($\lambda_{PL} = 521$ nm) that has the larger D-A distance compared to *g*-**BNMe₂-CP** ($\lambda_{PL} = 531$ nm). This latter compound has a very short τ_d of 0.38 µs in toluene, a high Φ_{PL} of 72% in cyclohexane and a $|g_{PL}|$ value of 4.2×10^{-3} in toluene. Insertion of an *ortho*-

functionalized phenyl moiety produced conformationally more rigid structures *g*-BphNMe₂-CP, *m*-BphNMe₂-CP and *m*-BPhNPh₂-CP, emitting at λ_{PL} of 488, 461, and 455 nm and having high Φ_{PL} of 83, 93, and 82%, respectively, in tetrahydrofuran (Figure 1).^[11] The $|g_{PL}|$ values were high for organic emitters at 1.3×10^{-2} , 1.7×10^{-2} , and 1.7×10^{-2} , respectively.

The dominant molecular designs for PCP-containing TADF emitters are those with PCP-fused N-heterocyclic donors. The [2]paracyclo[2]-(1,4)carbazolophane (Czp) was introduced as a sterically more demanding and stronger donor that produces emitters with smaller $\Delta E_{\rm ST}$ compared to analogues using carbazole (Cz).^[12] Compounds CzpPhTrz, CNCzpPhTrz and **CF₃CzpPhTrz** emit at λ_{PL} of 482, 458, and 456 nm and all have high Φ_{PL} of up to 70% in 10 wt% doped films in bis[2-(diphenylphosphino)phenyl] ether oxide (DPEPO) or 2,8bis(diphenylphosphoryl)dibenzo[b,d]thiophene (PPT). The enantiomers of CzpPhTrz emit CPL with $|g_{PL}|$ of 1.3×10^{-3} .^[12b, 13] OLEDs with the latter two emitted at λ_{EL} of around 460 nm and showed EQE_{max} of 7.4 and 12%, respectively. The devices suffered from severe efficiency roll-off resulting from the long τ_d of 135 and 158 µs, respectively, and possible degradation as a new emission band at longer wavelength was observed under electrical excitation. The device with **CzpPhTrz** emitted at λ_{EL} of 480 nm and reached an EQE_{max} of 17%. The analogous phenoxazine-derived PCP, PXZp-Ph-Trz, has also been reported where the enantiomers emit CPL with $|g_{PL}|$ of 3.3×10^{-3} .^[14] The solution-processed CP-OLEDs emitted at λ_{EL} of 560 nm, showed an EQE_{max} of 7.8% and an electroluminescence dissymmetry factor $|g_{EL}|$ of 4.6×10^{-3} . The structurally related emitter **PXZ-PT** contains a PCP that bridges a PXZ donor and a triazine acceptor on the same deck. The respective enantiomers emit CPL with $|g_{PL}|$ of 1.9×10^{-3} . The devices emitted at $\lambda_{\rm EL}$ of 557 nm and showed an EQE_{max} of 20% and $|g_{\rm EL}|$ of 1.5×10^{-3} .^[15] Recently, Czp-tBuCzB and Czp-POAB have been reported as the first examples of planar chiral multi-resonance TADF (MR-TADF) emitters, where the Czp donor decorates two known MR-TADF cores. Sky-blue and green CP-TADF devices emitted at λ_{EL} of 479 and 513 nm, and showed EQE_{max} of 32 and 29% and $|g_{EL}|$ of 1.5×10^{-3} and 1.3×10^{-3} .^[16] To the best of our knowledge, all planar chiral TADF emitters hitherto rely on the [2.2]paracyclophane scaffold, while the use of other phane-based moieties is scarce^[17] and limited only to larger macrocyclic assemblies, where planar chirality is not present.

Herein, we report the synthesis of a novel carbazolophane class ([2]paracyclo[2] (1,7)carbazolophane) for the design of the cyclophane donor-acceptor TADF emitter (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz. Anchored by two ethylene bridges at the 1- and 7-positions, the carbazole

unit adopts a convex geometry that engages in π -stacking interactions with the benzene deck of the cyclophane. Its properties are compared to the [2.2]paracyclophane-fused carbazolophanyl analogue (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz. Compound (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz has a higher Φ_{PL} of 91% in comparison to 84% for (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz. Blue OLEDs showed EQE_{max} of 14 and 17%, respectively, and enantiomerically pure samples of both compounds exhibit similar $|g_{PL}|$ values around 5×10^{-4} .

2 Results and Discussion

2.1 Structural Design and Synthesis

We re-visited earlier works^[12, 18] on the synthesis of planar chiral carbazole derivatives bearing PCP, and disclose the synthetic route towards (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz as outlined in Scheme 1a. Both carbazolophane isomers, (1,7)tBuCzp (3a) and (1,4)tBuCzp (4a), were obtained by Pd-catalyzed oxidative cyclization of 4-*N*-(4-(*tert*butyl)phenyl)amino[2.2]paracyclophane (2) under aerobic conditions. In the presence of palladium(II) acetate and pivalic acid in acetic acid (1,4)tBuCzp and (1,7)tBuCzp were successfully formed in an overall yield of 39% in a ratio of 5:2. The latter implicates an unexpected migration of the ethylene bridge to the aniline arene, which was confirmed by Xray diffraction analysis. In contrast to the planar geometry of the carbazole moiety in (1,4)Czp, an angle of 38° between the two benzene planes of the carbazole was measured in (1,7)carbazolophane (for more details see Figure S84). Such an alteration of the PCP skeleton through C–C bond breakage has rarely been documented in the literature.^[19] Compared to the conventional route of photo-deselenation to afford mixed carbazolophanes^[20], this finding not only highlights an efficient alternative approach to synthesize this new class of carbazolophane derivatives, but also facilitates their use as building blocks of elaborated structures. For example, the amino group in 3 needs no protection and can serve as a reactive site for further functionalization.



Scheme 1. a) Synthesis of emitters (*rac*)-(1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (*rac*)-(1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz. b) Synthetic scope of the oxidative cyclization of substituted phenylamino[2.2]paracyclophanes: 2 (3 mmol, 0.1 M), Pd(OAc)₂ (25 mol%), pivalic acid (75 mol%). Yields refer to isolated compounds. ^{*a*} 2a (25 mmol) was used. ^{*b*} Pd(OAc)₂ (20 mol%), pivalic acid (60 mol%) was used. ^{*c*} no conversion of 2k observed.

In order to explore the compatibility of this protocol, we studied a series of phenylamino[2.2]paracyclophanes of varying electronic and steric demand. As shown in **Scheme 11**b, the reaction proceeded in good-to-moderate yields for *para*-alkylated substrates (**2a-c**) with an overall yield of up to 52% and a ratio of 1:3 for the methylated cyclophanes **3c** and **4c**, respectively. The reaction yields of the (1,4)Czp derivatives (**4b**, **4c**) are comparable to the previously reported studies; however, the formation of the (1,7)Czp derivatives (**3b**, **3c**) have not as of yet been documented.^[18b] A decrease in yield was observed for *meta*-substituted amines (**2d**, **2e**) due to the increase in steric hindrance. Consequently, only one selective regioisomer of **3d** and **4d** was formed. As determined by NMR spectroscopy analysis for both molecules, the carbazole C–C bond was formed at the less hindered position, confirming the observations of other Pd-catalyzed carbazole protocols based on CH-activation.^[21] Electron-withdrawing groups in the *para* position are tolerated although slightly longer reaction times were required to achieve full conversion. No consumption was observed during the reaction of **2k**, bearing electron-deficient groups *meta* to the amine. However, oxidative cyclization of nitro-substituted **2g** yields solely the respective (1,4)carbazolophane **4g** in 42%. In general,

highly reactive functionalities (**2h-j**) were found to be incompatible under the studied reaction conditions and led to decomposition.

To systematically explore the impact of these two cyclophane species in TADF materials, we selected (1,7)tBuCzp (3a) and (1,4)tBuCzp (4a) as examples to ensure high solubility of the donor-acceptor type molecules. The target materials (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz (6) and (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz (7) were prepared upon nucleophilic aromatic substitution with 2-(4fluorophenyl)-4,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-triazine (5) and isolated in 85 and 56% yield, respectively. The two emitters were fully characterized by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, infrared (IR) spectroscopy, melting point analysis (Mp), high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS), high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and elemental analysis (EA) (details see ESI). Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffractometry were collected during sublimation or by slow evaporation from *n*-hexane/CH₂Cl₂ solution and unambiguously confirmed the molecular structure of both target materials (Figure 2). To explore their chiroptical properties, the enantiomeric forms of (rac)-(1,4)tBuCzp and (rac)-(1,7)tBuCzp were isolated by semi-preparative HPLC on a chiral stationary phase in high enantiomeric excess (*ee*) of >98% for each. Subsequent conversion yielded $(R_p)/(S_p)-(1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz$ and $(R_p)/(S_p)$ -(1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz in a purity of >99/98% *ee* and >92/98% *ee*, respectively (Scheme S2).



Figure 2. a) Molecular structures of (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz (CCDC 2305740) and (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz (CCDC 2261384). Displacement parameters are drawn at 50% probability level, hydrogens are omitted for clarity. Schematic presentation of the π - π stacking along the triazine planes in b) (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz and c) (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz.

Crystallographic analysis revealed that the carbazole of (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz engages in π stacking with the cyclophane deck in an analogous manner to the parent emitter, (1,4)CzpPhTrz (aka CzpPhTrz), previously reported by us.^[12b] The carbazole moiety of (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz adopts a puckered conformation and the ethylene C–C bonds of the PCP are much shorter than those in (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz (1.56 Å vs. 1.58 Å). This brings the carbazole and phenylene rings closer together (2.31–3.33 Å) than in (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz (2.76– 3.09 Å). Due to the spatial orientation of the ethylene bridges in (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz, which are positioned perpendicular to the triazine axis, the dihedral angle between the pyrrole core of the carbazole to the grafted phenylene of the triazine in (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz is shallower (33.4°) compared to (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz (51.7°) and (1,4)CzpPhTrz (51.8°), where the steric hindrance of the cyclophane bridge becomes more pronounced. The compounds pack through π - π stacking of the triazine moieties, with the neighboring triazines facing towards each other in an anti-parallel fashion (Figure 2b-c). The intermolecular distance of these π - π stacked planes in the two crystal structures of the two compounds is in a similar range of around 3.3 Å.

2.2 Theoretical Calculations

We undertook a theoretical study to understand the impact of the connectivity of the cyclophane on the optoelectronics of the emitters. The ground-state optoelectronic properties of (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz were calculated using density functional theory (DFT) at the PBE0/6-31G(d,p) level in the gas phase. As shown in Figure 3, the dihedral angles between the donor and acceptor moieties are around 55.5° for (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and 36.2° for (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz, which are in agreement to the angles found in the crystal structures (51.7° and 33.4°, respectively). The HOMO is mainly localized on the (1,4)*t*BuCzp or (1,7)*t*BuCzp donors with a small degree of electron density on the bridging phenylene, the HOMO energy levels are nearly identical at -5.46 and -5.42 eV, respectively. Similarly, the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) is located on the triazine acceptor and the bridge, with the LUMO of (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz being slightly destabilized at -1.76 eV compared to that of (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz at -1.86 eV. The HOMO-LUMO gap is thus essentially the same in the two compounds.



Figure 3. Theoretical modeling of a) the energies and electron density distributions of the HOMO/LUMO (ISO value = 0.02) and b) NTOs (particle and hole are represented by red and blue colors, respectively) and their associated vertical excitation energies of S_1 and T_1 states of (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz computed based on the ground-state optimized geometries, *f* is the oscillator strength.

Time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) calculations within the Tamm-Dancoff approximation (TDA-DFT), performed at the same level of theory, predict that both compounds have large ΔE_{ST} of 0.30 and 0.37 eV for (**1,4**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** and (**1,7**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz**, respectively. Both

compounds have similar predicted S₁ levels to the parent (1,4)CzpPhTrz (S₁ level of 3.11 eV) and the S₁ state is predicted to show CT character with a high oscillator strength for the S₀-S₁ transition. However, their triplet levels are more stabilized compared to the T₁ of (1,4)CzpPhTrz (2.81 eV), with predicted T₁ levels of 2.77 and 2.75 eV for (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz. There is a large spin-orbital coupling constant between the S₁ and T₁ states at their optimized ground-state geometries (0.33 cm⁻¹ for (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and 0.31 cm⁻¹ for (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz). The NTO analysis of both compounds based on the optimized S₁ and T₁ geometries were also calculated (Figure S100). For both compounds the S₁ and T₁ states showed similar location of particles mainly on the triazine group and holes mainly on the donor groups, indicating that both states possess CT character. Thus, on balance and despite the large predicted ΔE_{ST} values, these two compounds are predicted to show TADF and emit in the blue.

2.3 Electrochemistry

The energies of the HOMO and LUMO were inferred from cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) measurements in deaerated dichloromethane containing 0.1 M ["Bu₄N]PF₆ as the supporting electrolyte (Figure S101). The electrochemical data are summarized in **Table 1**. The E_{ox}/E_{red} values, determined from the DPV peaks, are 1.08 V/-1.72 V and 1.13 V/-1.74 V for (**1,4**)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (**1,7**)*t*BuCzpPhTrz, respectively, vs SCE, with corresponding HOMO/LUMO values of -5.42 eV/-2.62 eV and -5.47 eV/-2.60 eV. The redox gaps of 2.80 V and 2.87 V for (**1,4**)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (**1,7**)*t*BuCzpPhTrz largely mirror the calculated HOMO-LUMO gaps.

	$E_{\rm ox}{}^a$ [V] vs SCE	<i>E</i> _{red} ^{<i>a</i>} [V] vs SCE	HOMO ^b [eV]	LUMO ^b [eV]	$\Delta E_{ m redox} c$ [V]
(1,4) <i>t</i> BuCzpPhTrz	1.08	-1.72	-5.42	-2.62	2.80
(1,7) <i>t</i> BuCzpPhTrz	1.13	-1.74	-5.47	-2.60	2.87

Table 1. Summary of CV and DPV results.

^{*a*} measured in N₂-saturated DCM (0.46 V for DCM *vs* SCE^[22]) with a scan rate of 0.1 V/s. ^{*b*} HOMO and LUMO energies were determined using $E_{\text{HOMO/LUMO}} = -(E_{\text{ox}}/E_{\text{red}}+4.8) \text{ eV}^{[23]}$ where E_{ox} and E_{red} are determined from the peak of the DPVs, respectively, versus Fc/Fc⁺. ^{*c*} $\Delta E_{\text{redox}} = |E_{\text{ox}}-E_{\text{red}}|$.

2.4 Photophysical Measurements

We next measured the photophysical properties of the two compounds in dilute $(10^{-5} M)$ toluene solution at 298 K (Figure 4a and Table 2). The absorption spectra for both compounds have intense bands at around 385 nm, which are assigned by TDA-DFT calculations to the intramolecular charge transfer between the donor and the triazine acceptor. This assignment is also in line with that for (1,4)CzpPhTrz which has an ICT absorption band at 375 nm. The ICT band of (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz has a higher molar absorptivity value ($\varepsilon = 45 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) than (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz ($\varepsilon = 29 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$), indicating a higher oscillator strength for the S₀ and S_1 transition, which is in line with the trend in predicted S_1 oscillator strengths. The steady-state photoluminescence (PL) spectra in toluene are broad and unstructured, as typically observed in donor-acceptor TADF compounds, with peak maxima, λ_{PL} , at 466 and 446 nm for (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz, respectively. Notably, the full width at half maxima (FWHMs) are different at 76 and 50 nm, respectively, suggesting that there is less geometric reorganization in the excited state of (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz than (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz. The emission of both compounds is blue-shifted compared to that of (1,4)CzpPhTrz (λ_{PL} of 470 nm in toluene). There is an observed positive solvatochromism in the PL of both compounds (Figure S102), which corroborates the assignment of the S_1 state as having CT character. The optical gap (E_g) , determined from the intersection of the normalized absorption and emission spectra, are 2.94 eV and 2.99 eV for (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz, respectively. The Φ_{PL} values of (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz in degassed toluene solutions are 82 and 78%, respectively, which decrease to 68 and 72% upon exposure to oxygen. The time-resolved emission decay for both compounds have lifetimes, τ_{PL} , of 8.2 and 4.2 ns, no obvious delayed emission was detected.



Figure 4. a) UV-vis absorption and PL spectra of (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz (blue) and (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz (red) in 10^{-5} M toluene solution ($\lambda_{exc} = 365$ nm). b) PL spectra ($\lambda_{exc} = 360$ nm) in 10 wt% doped films.

We next investigated their photophysical properties in both a wide bandgap polymer host, PMMA, and also a high triplet energy small molecule host, DPEPO ($T_1 = 3 \text{ eV}^{[24]}$), with a view to employing these compounds as emitters in OLEDs. The concentration of the doped films was chosen as 10 wt% as this is what was used for the previous study with (1,4)CzpPhTrz. (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz showed unstructured CT-based emission at λ_{PL} of 470 and 457 nm as in 10 wt% doped films in PMMA, respectively (Figure 4b). The FWHMs are 83 and 66 nm, respectively, which are slightly broader than FWHMs measured in toluene of 76 and 50 nm. These emission spectra are red-shifted to 480 and 473 nm in 10 wt% doped films in DPEPO, with FWHMs of 87 and 69 nm, reflecting the higher polarity of this host compared to PMMA. These λ_{PL} values are similar to that reported for (1,4)CzpPhTrz (λ_{PL} = 482 nm in 10 wt% doped films in DPEPO). The Φ_{PL} values of the doped DPEPO films of (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz are 84 and 91%, respectively, which decrease to 78 and 81% under air, respectively. The Φ_{PL} values in 10 wt% doped films of (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz in DPEPO are similar to those of the 10 wt% doped films in mCP:PPT (1:1); the λ_{PL} values of 473 and 463 nm in doped mCP:PPT (1:1) films mirror those in PMMA (Table S2). In 10 wt% doped films in mCP:PPT, the Φ_{PL} of (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz is slightly lower at 77% under N2 and 68% under air.



Figure 5. Steady-state PL at RT and 77 K and time-gated emission (1-10 ms) spectra at 77 K. a) (**1,4**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** in 2-MeTHF glass ($\lambda_{exc} = 375$ nm). b) (**1,7**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** in 2-MeTHF glass ($\lambda_{exc} = 375$ nm). c) (**1,4**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** as a 10 wt% doped films in DPEPO ($\lambda_{exc} = 375$ nm). d) (**1,7**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** as a 10 wt% doped films in DPEPO ($\lambda_{exc} = 365$ nm).

The S₁ and T₁ energies for both compounds were extracted from the onsets of the steady-state PL and delayed emission spectra (1-10 ms) at 77 K in both 2-MeTHF glass and the 10 wt% doped films in DPEPO (**Figure 5**). The ΔE_{ST} values of (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz are 0.11 and 0.23 eV in 2-MeTHF, while those in 10 wt% doped films in DPEPO are 0.18 and 0.25 eV, respectively. These are smaller than the calculated ΔE_{ST} values and reflect the impact of the host in modulating the energies of the excited states. The larger ΔE_{ST} for (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz results from the smaller D-A torsion angle, as determined in the crystal structure analysis, that leads to a larger exchange integral. The time-resolved PL decays of the 10 wt% doped films of (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz in DPEPO at room temperature show a prompt fluorescence lifetime, τ_{p} , of 8.9 and 5.3 ns, and an average delayed fluorescence lifetime, τ_{d} , of 315 and 637 µs, respectively (Figure 6a-b). The delayed emission is significantly quenched in the presence of oxygen while the prompt emission is invariant. The temperature-dependent time-resolved PL decays (**Figure 6**c-d) reveal the expected increase in the intensity of the delayed emission with increasing temperature that is emblematic of TADF.



Figure 6. Time-resolved PL decay within millisecond and 100 ns time windows (inset) of 10 wt% doped films in DPEPO in air and under vacuum of a) (**1,4**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** ($\lambda_{exc} = 375$ nm) and b) (**1,7**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** ($\lambda_{exc} = 375$ nm). Temperature-dependent time-resolved PL decays of 10 wt% doped films in DPEPO of c) (**1,4**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** ($\lambda_{exc} = 375$ nm) and d) (**1,7**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** ($\lambda_{exc} = 375$ nm). IRF = instrument response function.

 ${ au_{\mathrm{d}}}^{b,d}$ $\lambda_{\mathrm{abs}}{}^a$ $\lambda_{ ext{PL}}{}^{a}$ $\lambda_{\mathrm{PL}}{}^{b}$ $\Phi_{\mathrm{PL}}{}^a$ $\Phi_{\mathrm{PL}}{}^b$ E_g^c S_1/T_1^e $au_{\mathrm{p}}{}^{a,d}$ $au_{\mathrm{p}}{}^{b,d}$ Compound [eV] [nm] [nm] [nm] [%] [%] [eV] [µs] [ns] [ns] (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz 384 480 82(68) 84(78) 2.94 8.2 8.9 315 2.97/2.79 466 (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz 388 446 473 78(72) 91(81) 2.99 4.2 5.3 637 2.92/2.72

Table 2. Photophysical properties of (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz.

^{*a*} In toluene at 298 K. ^{*b*} Thin films were prepared by vacuum depositing at 10 wt% doping in DPEPO ($\lambda_{exc} = 360 \text{ nm}$). ^{*c*} The optical bandgaps were calculated from the intersection point of the normalized absorption and emission spectra. ^{*d*} Average lifetime ($\tau_{avg} = \Sigma A_i \tau_i^2 / \Sigma A_i \tau_i^2$, where A_i is preexponential for lifetime τ_d). Prompt and delayed emissions were measured by TCSPC and MCS, respectively ($\lambda_{exc} = 379 \text{ nm}$). ^{*e*} Determined from the onset of prompt and delayed spectra in 2-MeTHF, measured at 77 K.

 ΔE_{ST}^{e}

[eV]

0.11

0.23

2.5 Chiroptical Properties

We next measured the chiroptical properties of both enantiomeric pairs. The electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra of both compound pairs display mirror image behavior in tetrahydrofuran (Figure 7). A pronounced band is observed for (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz at 219 nm $(\Delta \varepsilon = +77.8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ for } R_p)$, while weaker responses are found at 261 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon = -11 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for R_p), 280 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon = +16^{-}$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for R_p) and 308 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon = +78$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for R_p). The CT absorption band at 380 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon = -8.4^{-}$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for R_p) is of similar wavelength to that reported for other (1,4)carbazolophane-based compounds.^[12b, 16] An absorption dissymmetry factor (g_{abs}) of -6×10^{-4} at 380 nm was determined for (R_p) -(1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz. For (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz, three main bands are observed at 261 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon = +16 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for R_p), 314 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon = +15 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ¹ for R_p) and the CT band at 388 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon = -6.2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for R_p). The g_{abs} of -4×10^{-4} at 388 nm for (R_p) -(1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz was found to be in the same order than the (1,4) isomer. The absolute configurations of the enantiomers were determined by comparison of the experimental data with predicted spectra based on TD-DFT calculations at the M06/6-311++G(d,p) level with an implicit solvent field using the conductor-polarized continuum model (CPCM).^[25] The second enantiomer of (1,4)tBuCzp was assigned to be (S_p) , while for (1,7)tBuCzp the first isolated enantiomer was assigned to be (R_p) (Figure S85). The ECD spectra of the derived emitters (S_p) -(1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (R_p) -(1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz broadly match the predicted spectra (Figure 7a-b). The circularly polarized luminescence (CPL) spectra of both emitters in toluene displayed mirror-image and unstructured CPL in the region between 425 to 600 nm $(\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 350 \text{ nm})$ with peaks observed at 465 nm for $(R_p)/(S_p)-(1,4)t\text{BuCzpPhTrz}$ and 447 nm for $(R_p)/(S_p)-(1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz$ (Figure 7c-d). The corresponding g_{PL} values are -5×10^{-4} with negative signals for the (R_p) enantiomers. Interestingly, as shown in Figure S99, the g_{PL} spectra are constant throughout the whole emission band, affording further evidences of the nearly pure CT character of the emission for these chiral systems. Furthermore, the g_{PL}/g_{abs} ratios of +0.8 and +1.25 for (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz, respectively, also indicate weak structural/electronic reorganization during excitation/emission processes. These dissymmetry factors are comparable to those of [2.2]paracyclophane-based compounds^[3b], suggesting that the incorporation of cyclophanyl-based planar chirality is a promising strategy for generating CPL-active emitters.



Figure 7. Experimental and calculated ECD spectra of a) $(R_p)/(S_p)-(1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz$ and b) $(R_p)/(S_p)-(1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz$ measured in tetrahydrofuran. Predicted spectra were calculated at the TD-DFT-M06/6-311++G(d,p) with an implicit tetrahydrofuran solvent field (cpcm). CPL spectra of c) $(R_p)/(S_p)-(1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz$ and d) $(R_p)/(S_p)-(1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz$ in toluene ($\lambda_{exc} = 350$ nm).

2.6 Organic light-emitting diodes

Having identified the potential of these compounds as emitters, vacuum-deposited organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) with (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz were fabricated. The first set of OLEDs was made using our previously reported stack,^[12b] with DPEPO as the host. Figure 8a-b shows the schematic of the device layout and the chemical structures of the organic materials. The device structure was: indium tin oxide (ITO)/ N,N-di(1-naphthyl)-N,N-diphenyl-(1,1-biphenyl)-4,4-diamine (NPB, 30 nm)/ tris(4-carbazoyl-9-ylphenyl)amine (TCTA, 20 nm)/ 1,3-bis(*N*-carbazolyl)benzene (mCP, 10 nm)/ DPEPO (20 nm) with 10 wt% of (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz or (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz/ DPEPO (10 nm)/ 1,3,5-tris(3-pyridyl-3-phenyl)benzene (TmPyPB, 40 nm)/ lithium fluoride (LiF, 0.8 nm)/ aluminum (Al, 100 nm). Here, NPB, TCTA and mCP served as hole injection, transport, and exciton blocking layers, respectively, while TmPyPB and DPEPO were used as electron transport, and hole

blocking layers, respectively. The comparison of current density-voltage-luminance (JVL) characteristics for both devices is shown in **Figure 8**c. The devices with (**1,4**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** and (**1,7**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** using DPEPO as the host had high turn-on voltages of 3.7 and 4.3 V, respectively. This indicated that DPEPO was not a favorable host, likely causing charge trapping on the host or charge accumulation at the EML interfaces.^[26] Even though the devices with (**1,4**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz (Figure 8**d) showed an EQE_{max} of 18.5%, the efficiency roll-off was severe as the EQE₁₀₀ (EQE at 100 cd/m²) was 8% while the EQE₁₀₀₀ (EQE at 1000 cd/m²) decreased to 2.2%. The previously-reported device with (**1,4**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** achieved a comparable EQE_{max} of 18%, but the efficiency roll-off was even more pronounced with the EQE₁₀₀/EQE₁₀₀₀ dropping to 4.8/1.1%. The electroluminescence (EL) spectra for these two devices were similar with emission peaks, λ_{EL} , at 486 and 490 nm for the devices with (**1,4**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** and (**1,7**)*t***BuCzpPhTrz**, respectively (**Figure 8**e). The CIE coordinates for devices with both emitters were also similar at (0.19, 0.33) (Figure 8f).



Figure 8. a) Schematic of the OLED device structures. b) Chemical structures of the organic materials used in the devices. c) Current density-Voltage-Luminance (JVL) curves. d) External quantum efficiency (EQE) vs Luminance. e) Electroluminescence spectra from the fabricated devices. f) CIE coordinates of the devices with (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz using either DPEPO or 1:1 mCP:PPT as hosts. g) Photograph of the OLEDs with (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz in 1:1 mCP:PPT.

In an effort to reduce the efficiency roll-off, a second set of devices was fabricated using a 1:1 mixture of mCP and 2,8-bis(diphenyl-phosphoryl)-dibenzo[b,d]thiophene (PPT). The use of this ambipolar co-host system was expected to result in a wider recombination zone within the to improve the mobility of both charges. The device structure (**Figure 8**a) was thus modified to be: ITO/ 1,4,5,8,9,11-hexaazatriphenylenehexacarbonitrile (HATCN, 10 nm)/ 1,1-bis[(di-4-tolylamino)phenyl]cyclohexane (TAPC, 25 nm)/ TCTA (10 nm)/ mCP (10 nm)/ mCP:PPT (20 nm) with 10 wt% of (**1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz** or (**1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz**/ PPT (10 nm)/ TmPyPB (40 nm)/ LiF (0.8 nm)/ Al (100 nm). The thickness of the layers was optimized based on simulations for outcoupling efficiencies, and layer thicknesses were varied in increments of 5 nm to identify the best combination of optical and electrical properties (Figure S103). Here, HATCN was used as hole injection layer, TAPC as hole transport layer, TCTA as electron blocking, mCP as exciton blocking layer, PPT as hole blocking layer, and TmPyPB for electron injection and transport.

The turn-on voltages were lower at 3.1 and 3.0 V for the devices with (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz, respectively, compared to those using DPEPO, indicating that the co-host system improved charge injection into the EML. This was also reflected in the higher current density and luminance as compared to the devices with DPEPO (Figure 8c). The EQE_{max} of the OLEDs with (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz decreased slightly to 17%, but there was a modest improvement in the efficiency roll-off where the EQE₁₀₀/EQE₁₀₀₀ were maintained at 11.2/4.8%. Similarly, the device with (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz showed a lower EQE_{max} of 13.5%; however, the EQE_{1000} (3.2%) was slightly improved compared to the device using DPEPO. One factor that may contribute to the higher EQE for the device with (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz compared to that with (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz is the greater horizontal orientation of the TDM of the emitter in the former (Figure S104). The λ_{EL} for the device with (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz was 490 nm, which was similar to that of the device using DPEPO (486 nm). The λ_{EL} for the device with (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz was 475 nm, which was blue-shifted compared to the device using DPEPO (490 nm), but is close to the λ_{PL} (467 nm in 1:1 mCP:PPT). These results point to a reduced propensity to aggregate in the co-host system compared to DPEPO for the vacuum-deposited films with (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz. Indeed, aggregates were found to contribute to a red-shifting of the emission of (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz in the 1:1 mCP:PPT co-host only at higher doping concentrations (Figure S105). The CIE coordinates for the device with (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz were (0.19, 0.38) while those for the device with (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz were (0.16, 0.27) (Figure 8f).

3 Conclusion

Here, we disclosed a new design concept to introduce planar chirality into TADF emitters by employing a novel cyclophane ([2]paracyclo[2]-3-*tert*butyl(1,7)carbazolophane, (1,7)*t*BuCzp) as a donor unit. This building block is obtained through an oxidative cyclization method involving an atypical rearrangement process. Due to its puckered geometry, carbazolophane (1,7)*t*BuCzp is a weaker donor than (1,4)*t*BuCzp. Photophysical studies showed a sharper, slightly blue-shifted emission of (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz with a λ_{PL} of 446 nm and FWHM of 50 nm compared to (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz (λ_{PL} = 466 nm, FWHM = 76 nm). The TADF character of both compounds was verified, (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz has a superior Φ_{PL} of 91% (λ_{PL} = 473 nm) versus 84% (λ_{PL} = 480 nm) for (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz. The enantiomeric pairs exhibited mirror image CPL behavior with $|g_{PL}|$ of 5×10⁻⁴. Blue OLEDs with (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz achieved comparable EQE_{max}. values of 19 and 18%, respectively. The initial devices were found to suffer from high efficiency roll-off, which was improved by changing the host from DPEPO to a 1:1 mCP:PPT co-host system. This led to an EQE_{max} of 17% for the device with (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz and a lower EQE_{max} of 14% for the (1,7)*t***BuCzpPhTrz** device. The efficiency roll-off at high luminance (100 to 1000 cd/m²) was alleviated from 73% to 57% for the OLED with (1,4)tBuCzpPhTrz and from 77% to 35% for the OLED with (1,7)tBuCzpPhTrz. We believe that this report provides fundamental insights for the development of planar chiral TADF materials and the impact of the cyclophane structure to achieve narrower emission.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author. The Supporting Information covers detailed material on the conducted experiments and their results including the characterization of the obtained compounds. The data that support the findings of this study are available in the repository Chemotion (<u>https://www.chemotion-repository.net/</u>). All DOIs minted for the data are linked to the specific experiments in the Supporting

Information and a summary of all new data obtained in this study can be gained with the collection DOI <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.14272/collection/JTS_2023-02-24</u> and <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.14272/collection/JTS_2023-10-11</u>.^[27]

CCDC 2264524 (**2i**), CCDC 2264525 (**4e**), CCDC 2264526 (**3a**), CCDC 2264527 (**3b**), CCDC 2264528 (**3c**), CCDC-2261384 (**6**) and CCDC 2305740 (**7**) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif

Acknowledgements

‡ Jasmin Seibert, Yan Xu and Hassan Hafeez contributed equally to this work.

The authors gratefully acknowledge support provided by the JÜRGEN MANCHOT FOUNDATION, the Karlsruhe School of Optics and Photonics and the Helmholtz Association Program at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology. We further acknowledge the support by the state of Baden-Württemberg through bwHPC and funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy for the Excellence Cluster "3D Matter Made to Order" (EXC-2082/1–390761711. The authors would also like to express thanks to Dr. Erik Strandberg and Bianca Posselt, for their help in ECD measurements. We thank EPSRC (grants EP/R035164/1, EP/W015137/1 and EP/W524505/1) for financial support and acknowledge the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff)) Revised: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff)) Published online: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

References

- a) M. Y. Wong, E. Zysman-Colman, *Adv. Mater.* 2017, *29*; b) Z. Yang, Z. Mao, Z. Xie, Y. Zhang, S. Liu, J. Zhao, J. Xu, Z. Chi, M. P. Aldred, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2017, *46*, 915; c) G. Hong, X. Gan, C. Leonhardt, Z. Zhang, J. Seibert, J. M. Busch, S. Brase, *Adv. Mater.* 2021, *33*, e2005630.
- a) L. Frédéric, A. Desmarchelier, L. Favereau, G. Pieters, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2021, 31; b) M. Li, C.-F. Chen, *Org. Chem. Front.* 2022, 9, 6441.
- a) J. M. Teng, D. W. Zhang, C. F. Chen, *ChemPhotoChem* 2021, 6; b) S. Felder, M.-L. Delcourt, D. Contant, R. Rodríguez, L. Favereau, J. Crassous, L. Micouin, E. Benedetti, *J. Mater. Chem. C* 2023, *11*, 2053.
- [4] a) T. Niu, L. X. Liu, B. Wu, Y. G. Zhou, *J. Org. Chem.* 2023, *88*, 7863; b) S. E. Gibson, J. D. Knight, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2003, *1*, 1256; c) J. Paradies, *Synthesis* 2011, *2011*, 3749; d) C. Zippel, Z. Hassan, M. Nieger, S. Bräse, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2020, *362*, 3431; e) C. Braun, M. Nieger, S. Bräse, L. L. Schafer, *ChemCatChem* 2019, *11*, 5264.
- [5] a) Y. Morisaki, S. Shibata, Y. Chujo, *Can. J. Chem.* 2017, *95*, 424; b) Y. Morisaki, Y. Chujo, *Polymer Chemistry* 2011, *2*; c) A. Morisaki, R. Inoue, Y. Morisaki, *Chemistry* 2023, *29*, e202203533; d) K. Tanaka, R. Inoue, Y. Morisaki, *Chem. Asian J.* 2021, *17*.
- [6] a) M. Cakici, Z. G. Gu, M. Nieger, J. Burck, L. Heinke, S. Brase, *Chem Commun (Camb)* 2015, 51, 4796; b) X. Xue, J. Wang, Q. Zhu, Y. Xue, H. Liu, *Dalton Trans.* 2021, 50, 1374; c) W. Gong, H. Xie, K. B. Idrees, F. A. Son, Z. Chen, F. Sha, Y. Liu, Y. Cui, O. K. Farha, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2022, 144, 1826; d) Z. Shan, M. Wu, Z. Gu, Y. Nishiyama, G. Zhang, *Chem. Commun. (Camb.)* 2021, 57, 9236.
- a) T. Mohammadi Hafshejani, X. Zhong, J. Kim, B. Dadfar, J. Lahann, Organic Materials 2023, 5, 98; b) D. Varadharajan, K. Nayani, C. Zippel, E. Spuling, K. C. Cheng, S. Sarangarajan, S. Roh, J. Kim, V. Trouillet, S. Brase, N. L. Abbott, J. Lahann, *Adv. Mater.* 2022, 34, e2108386; c) T. Moss, A. Greiner, *Adv.Mater. Interfaces* 2020, 7.
- [8] Z. Hassan, E. Spuling, D. M. Knoll, J. Lahann, S. Brase, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2018**, *47*, 6947.
- [9] E. Spuling, N. Sharma, I. D. W. Samuel, E. Zysman-Colman, S. Brase, *Chem. Commun.* (*Camb.*) **2018**, *54*, 9278.
- [10] M. Y. Zhang, Z. Y. Li, B. Lu, Y. Wang, Y. D. Ma, C. H. Zhao, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 6868.
- [11] M. Y. Zhang, X. Liang, D. N. Ni, D. H. Liu, Q. Peng, C. H. Zhao, Org. Lett. 2021, 23, 2.
- a) S. L. Buchwald, W. Huang, Vol. WO2016196885A1, 2016; b) N. Sharma, E. Spuling, C. M. Mattern, W. Li, O. Fuhr, Y. Tsuchiya, C. Adachi, S. Bräse, I. D. W. Samuel, E. Zysman-Colman, Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 6689.
- [13] A. K. Gupta, Z. Zhang, E. Spuling, M. Kaczmarek, Y. Wang, Z. Hassan, I. D. W. Samuel, S. Bräse, E. Zysman-Colman, *Mater. Adv.* **2021**, *2*, 6684.
- [14] C. Liao, Y. Zhang, S. H. Ye, W. H. Zheng, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **2021**, *13*, 25186.
- [15] D. W. Zhang, J. M. Teng, Y. F. Wang, X. N. Han, M. Li, C. F. Chen, *Mater. Horiz.* 2021, *8*, 3417.
- [16] X. J. Liao, D. Pu, L. Yuan, J. Tong, S. Xing, Z. L. Tu, J. L. Zuo, W. H. Zheng, Y. X. Zheng, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202217045.
- [17] a) T. Fan, Y. Zhang, L. Wang, Q. Wang, C. Yin, M. Du, X. Jia, G. Li, L. Duan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2022, 61, e202213585; b) H. Y. Zhou, D. W. Zhang, M. Li, C. F. Chen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2022, 61, e202117872; c) F. Wang, W. Qiu, J. Zeng, P. Yuan, W. Zong, W. Wu, Y. Liu, S. Xu, S.-J. Su, S. Cao, J. Mater. Chem. C 2020, 8, 4469; d) M. Hempe, A. K. Harrison, J. S. Ward, A. S. Batsanov, M. A. Fox, F. B. Dias, M. R. Bryce, J. Org. Chem. 2021, 86, 429; e) S. Izumi, H. F. Higginbotham, A. Nyga, P. Stachelek, N. Tohnai, P. Silva, P. Data, Y. Takeda, S. Minakata, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 1482; f) W.-L. Zhao, Y.-F. Wang, S.-P. Wan, H.-Y. Lu, M. Li, C.-F. Chen, CCS Chem. 2022, 4, 3540; g) S. Shikita, G. Watanabe, D. Kanouchi, J. Saito, T. Yasuda, Adv. Photonics Res. 2021, 2; h) Y. Hu, Z. Wang, X. Jiang, X. Cai, S. J. Su, F. Huang, Y. Cao, Chem. Commun. (Camb.) 2018, 54, 7850; i) Y. F. Wang, H. Y. Lu, Y. F. Shen, M. Li, C. F. Chen, Chem. Commun. (Camb.) 2019, 55, 9559.
- [18] a) P. Lennartz, G. Raabe, C. Bolm, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2012, 354, 3237; b) P. Lennartz, G. Raabe, C. Bolm, *Isr. J. Chem.* 2012, 52, 171.
- [19] a) S. Patel, T. N. Dais, P. G. Plieger, G. J. Rowlands, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* 2021, *17*, 1518;
 b) S. Biswas, Z. A. Tabasi, L. N. Dawe, Y. Zhao, G. J. Bodwell, *Org. Lett.* 2022, *24*, 5009; c) S. Biswas, C. S. Qiu, L. N. Dawe, Y. Zhao, G. J. Bodwell, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2019, *58*, 9166; d) S. Biswas, Z. A. Tabasi, J. B. Lin, Y. Zhao, G. J. Bodwell, *Org. Lett.* 2021, *23*, 5461; e)
 Birgit Ortner, Reiner Waibel, P. Gmeiner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2011, *40*, 1283; f) N. De
 Rycke, J. Marrot, F. Couty, O. R. P. David, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2011, *2011*, 1980.
- [20] K. Tani, K. Matsumura, K. Hori, Y. Tohda, H. Takemura, H. Ohkita, S. Ito, M. Yamamoto, *Chem. Lett.* **2002**, *31*, 934.

- [21] T. Watanabe, S. Oishi, N. Fujii, H. Ohno, *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 4720.
- [22] N. G. Connelly, W. E. Geiger, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 877.
- [23] C. M. Cardona, W. Li, A. E. Kaifer, D. Stockdale, G. C. Bazan, Adv. Mater. 2011, 23, 2367.
- [24] C. Han, Y. Zhao, H. Xu, J. Chen, Z. Deng, D. Ma, Q. Li, P. Yan, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 5800.
- [25] a) M. Cossi, N. Rega, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, J. Comput. Chem. 2003, 24, 669; b) V. Barone, M. Cossi, J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102, 1995; c) A. Klamt, G. Schüürmann, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1993, 799.
- [26] S. G. Ihn, N. Lee, S. O. Jeon, M. Sim, H. Kang, Y. Jung, D. H. Huh, Y. M. Son, S. Y. Lee, M. Numata, H. Miyazaki, R. Gomez-Bombarelli, J. Aguilera-Iparraguirre, T. Hirzel, A. Aspuru-Guzik, S. Kim, S. Lee, Adv. Sci. (Weinh.) 2017, 4, 1600502.
- [27] Research data of this publication can be accessed as Open Access in the repository Chemotion. The data collection is assigned to the following DOI: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.14272/collection/JTS_2023-02-24</u> and and <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.14272/collection/JTS_2023-10-11</u>.

TOC



New perspectives for the design of blue thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF) emitters exhibiting circularly polarized luminescence (CPL) are outlined. Two emitters (1,7)*t*BuCzpPhTrz and (1,4)*t*BuCzpPhTrz with modified planar chiral donor structures show the use of a novel cyclophane donor design, featuring a bowl-like geometry with strong rigidity, circularly polarized luminescence and narrowed emission profile in comparison to its regioisomer.

Jasmin Seibert,[‡] Yan Xu,[‡] Hassan Hafeez,[‡] Joachim Podlech, Ludovic Favereau, Eduard Spuling, Charlotte Waldhelm, Martin Nieger, Olaf Fuhr, Zahid Hassan, Jeanne Crassous, Ifor D. W. Samuel,^{*} Eli Zysman-Colman,^{*} Stefan Bräse^{*}

A Novel Carbazolophane: A Comparison of the Performance of Two Planar Chiral CP-TADF Emitters