

## Marginal snowpacks: The basis for a global definition and existing research needs

J I López-Moreno, N Callow, H Mcgowan, R Webb, A Schwartz, S Bilish, J Revuelto, Simon Gascoin, C Deschamps-Berger, Esteban Alonso-González

### ▶ To cite this version:

J I López-Moreno, N Callow, H Mcgowan, R Webb, A Schwartz, et al.. Marginal snowpacks: The basis for a global definition and existing research needs. Earth-Science Reviews, 2024, 252, pp.104751. 10.1016/j.earscirev.2024.104751 . hal-04571023

## HAL Id: hal-04571023 https://hal.science/hal-04571023v1

Submitted on 12 Jun 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

	1	Marginal snowpacks: the basis for a global definition and existing research needs
1 2	2	
3	3	López-Moreno J.I. <sup>1</sup> ; Callow N. <sup>2</sup> ; McGowan H. <sup>3</sup> ; Webb, R. <sup>4</sup> ; Schwartz, A. <sup>5</sup> ; Bilish, S. <sup>6</sup> ;
4 5	4	Revuelto J. <sup>1</sup> ; Gascoin, S. <sup>7</sup> ; Deschamps-Berger, C. <sup>1</sup> ; Alonso-González E. <sup>7</sup> .
6 7	5	
8	6	1-Pyrenean Institute of Ecology-CSIC, Avda Montañana 1005. Zaragoza 50.059. Spain.
9 10	7	2-University of Western Australia
11 12	8	3-The University of Queensland, Australia
13	9	4-University of Wyoming, USA
14 15	10	5-University of California, Berkeley, Central Sierra Snow Laboratory. USA
16 17	11	6-Snowy Hydro Ltd, Australia 7 Contro d'Etudos Spatiales de la Disembère, Université de Taulause, CNRS, CNES
18	12	IRD-INRA-UPS Toulouse France
19 20	14	
21	15	Corresponding author: Juan I. López-Moreno nlopez@ipe.csic.es
23	16	
24 25	17	Abstract. A marginal snowpack is a recent concept that refers to snow cover that is
26 27	18	relatively shallow and transient but still has important environmental and socioeconomic
28 29	19	functions. This class of snow cover influences environments over large areas of the world
30	20	and is very common in the Mediterranean climates, but also in the transition zone between
32	21	persistent seasonal and ephemeral snowpacks all over the world. However, a globally-
33 34	22	accepted definition to identify these environments has not been reached yet. Most of the
35 36	23	modelling and monitoring tools for snow have been developed in cold and alpine areas
37 38	24	with persistent snowpacks. The study and modelling of shallower snowpacks in milder
39 40	25	environments are complicated by their high temporal variability, frequently patchy nature
41 42	26	and typical coexistence with shrubs and forest. However, in recent years new remote
43 44	27	sensing tools and more complex modelling approaches and specific parametrizations are
45	28	facilitating their study. This paper provides a definition for marginal snowpacks,
46 47	29	identifying them as those with: (i) seasonal peak depths that only occasionally surpass 1.5
48 49	30	meters in favorable deposition zones such as wind drifts, ii) having typical seasonal
50 51	31	durations ranging from 60 to 120 days, and (iii) that are predominantly in an isothermal
52 53	32	state (on more than 75% of days) with high densification rates and several cycles of
54 55	33	accumulation-ablation during each snow season. We review the main existing research
56 57	34	challenges to improve scientific capabilities for their study and we discuss their
58	35	hydrological and environmental relevance at different spatial scales.
59 60		
61 62		
63		1
64 65		

Keywords: Marginal snowpacks; remote sensing; snow simulation; environmentalimpacts.

### **1. Introduction**

Snow is listed as one of the essential climate variables to be monitored and studied to support the work of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Bojinski et al., 2014). This is because snow tightly controls the hydrology and seasonal cycles of ecosystems (plants and animals) in mountain regions of mid and high latitudes (Musselman et al., 2021). In addition, snow – its occurrence, quantity, spatial and temporal variability – has important implications for land management and the economy of both mountains and downstream areas through its major role in water resources, food production systems and transportation (Qin et al., 2020). Hazard management challenges include heavy snowfall events, avalanches and floods from rain-on-snow events (Haeberli and Whiteman, 2021; McGowan et al., 2021; Musselman et al., 2018). Furthermore, winter tourism is becoming one of the main income sources in mountain regions, with high vulnerability to this section from interannual fluctuations that snow generally shows (Steiger et al., 2019). 

Snow science has typically focused on cold, continental areas with well-developed and seasonally persistent snowpacks. The main existing snow datasets, energy and mass balance models, and snow parametrizations have been developed and tested in Canada, Western United States, the European Alps and the former Soviet Union. In the last few years there has been an increasing recognition of the need to understand and properly monitor shallower and milder snowpacks composed of ephemeral and marginal snow environments, like the ones developed in many areas under Mediterranean-type or mild oceanic climates (Bilish et al., 2018; Fayad et al., 2017; López-Moreno et al., 2017; Petersky and Harpold, 2018a). Ephemeral snowpacks are typically defined as those that

persist for less than 60 consecutive days, are less than 50-100 cm in depth (depending on different classifications), and have less than three different snow layers (Hatchett, 2021; Petersky and Harpold, 2018b; Siirila-Woodburn et al., 2021; Sturm et al., 1995). The concept of a marginal snow environment is more recent, having been introduced to describe snow cover in the Australian Alps (Bilish et al., 2018, 2019; Schwartz et al., 2020). Following earlier work (Bormann et al., 2013; Sanecki et al., 2006) that found that the Australian snowpack did not sit within the ranges for any of the snow cover types in the classification system of Sturm et al. (1995), these more recent studies showed that this environment commonly has elements of both persistent and ephemeral snowpack dynamics. While snow cover is typically continuous for several months, at the catchment scale the snowpack lacks a stable accumulation season and melt takes place throughout winter (Bilish et al., 2020, 2019). The term has since been applied to snow-affected regions in other countries that are considered to lie between ephemeral and seasonal persistent snowpacks but are so far lacking an accepted, well-defined classification. 

Although the duration and depth of snow in environments with marginal snowpacks is moderate, several studies have provided evidence of their impact on natural processes, such as: water availability to montane and downstream areas (Huning and AghaKouchak, 2020); plant and animal phenology (Kelsey et al., 2021); the exchange of gases between soils and atmosphere as well as the nutrient cycle (Tucker et al., 2016) among others. However, how and to what extent a marginal snowpack actually affects those processes is not yet well understood. In fact, there is no sound and spatially transferable knowledge about snowpack properties (e.g., snow depth, water content, duration, etc.) that allow consistent and repeatable characterization and evaluation of when a marginal snowpack will have a significant influence on the aforementioned natural processes, and when its presence and impact may be rather negligible. 

Marginal snowpacks are acutely vulnerable to climate warming due to their isothermal characteristics and the mild temperatures in the environments where they develop (López-Moreno et al., 2020) We suggest that many of the current marginal snowpacks will disappear or shift to an ephemeral state in the coming decades (Huss et al., 2017; Siirila-Woodburn et al., 2021). Likewise, large areas currently occupied by persistent and cold snowpacks will transition to marginal snowpacks. An exception could be sites where precipitation patterns change sufficiently to overcome the general on-going warming (López-Moreno et al., 2017). In addition, these environments are commonly located in sub-tropical to mid-latitude locations, frequently in areas coexisting with vegetation (trees and shrubs), and prone to receiving Light Absorbing Particles (LAP, such as dust) transported by wind from snow-free areas. Episodes of LAP deposition on the snow surface reduce its albedo, increasing energy inputs from solar radiation (Skiles et al., 2018). 

The combined effect of vegetation, increasing temperatures, and snow impurities may interact, boosting the rapid changes experienced by marginal snowpacks within and between snow seasons (accumulation-ablation cycles). Nevertheless, our current understanding of these dynamics needs further research. There is current uncertainty as to whether marginal snowpacks might exhibit certain specific responses to climate forcing that differ from those of seasonal snowpacks. For example, while the melting of persistent snowpacks occurs later in the season, under higher radiation inputs (Musselman et al., 2017; Revuelto et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2018), marginal snowpacks develop and ablate during the colder months when incoming solar radiation is still low (Bilish et al., 2020). This suggests a lower sensitivity to changes in albedo (e.g., induced by LAPs) and to increases in air temperature. This characteristic was suggested as an explanation for the long-term series of snow duration and snow depth in the Pyrenees Mountains only

exhibiting a statistically significant decrease at elevations over 2100 m a.s.l., where a
persistent snowpack develops. At lower elevations where snow only develops in midwinter months, trends have remained almost stationary (López-Moreno et al., 2020b).

These challenges frame the need to better understand the characteristics and behaviour of these marginal snowpacks in regions between seasonal and ephemeral snow. In the subsequent sections we review the existing literature and then provide our perspective on the research challenges, with the aim of: i) framing the concept of marginal snowpacks with reference to previous definitions of snow type or snow environments with comparable characteristics; ii) setting the basis for a global definition of marginal snowpacks; iii) defining optimal monitoring needs to study marginal snowpacks and their dynamics; and iv) tailoring modelling approaches to the specific characteristics of marginal snowpacks.

### **2.** Toward a global definition of marginal snowpacks

Even if the concept of a marginal snowpack already exists and its use is starting to become more common in the scientific literature (Bilish et al., 2018; 2019; 2020; López-Moreno et al., 2020; Schwartz et al., 2020; Kraft et al., 2022) there is not yet a definitive and accurate definition to provide clarity to used it in a consistent way globally (Bilish et al., 2019). In fact, marginal snow has not been explicitly listed in the main existing snow classification types, unlike ephemeral snowpacks (Sturm et al., 1995; Sturm and Liston, 2021). Marginal snowpacks have a longer duration and more significant environmental influence than ephemeral snowpacks, but do not reach the temporal duration and the "one peak" niveograph pattern generally exhibited by the other snow types defined in previous snow classifications. The most widely-accepted classification of snow types, published by Sturm et al. (1995) and revisited by Sturm and Liston (2021), clearly describes the 

137 characteristics of ephemeral snow not exceeding 100 cm, generally associated with a 138 single storm event, wet and heavy snow lacking of stratigraphy due to high dominant 139 temperatures, and generally lasting less than 60 days over the ground; the rest of the 140 classifications refer to specific cold biomes (tundra, taiga) or to deeper and longer lasting 141 snowpacks like alpine and maritime snow.

The classes labelled in Sturm and Liston (2021) as "prairie" and "montane forest" share some common characteristics with marginal snowpacks. However, these types are geographically restricted to large plains and forested areas generally at latitudes over 50°N, while similar snow characteristics can also be observed at lower elevations or on midlatitude mountains with rugged topography, and in both in open terrain and in shrub-or forest areas. The "mountain" snow type is mentioned only briefly in the classification of Sturm et al. (1995), as a special class, and few details are provided: "A highly variable snow cover, depending on solar radiation effects and local wind patterns. Usually deeper than associated type of snow cover from the adjacent low-lands". While these properties occur frequently in the environments referred to in the present work, they also feature in colder mountainous environments and, in the absence of further information, do not sufficiently describe the physical and dynamical characteristics of marginal snow.

Hammond et al. (2018) used the concept of "intermittent snow zones" to distinguish from seasonal snowpacks using a threshold for annual snow persistence (SP, the fraction of time that snow is present on ground) of 30%. Such a threshold could also envelope marginal snowpacks, but it also includes ephemeral zones as there is no minimal SP limit. A more precise separation was provided by Moore et al. (2015), who used the term "transitional snow zone (TSZ)" as a class between the persistent snow zone (PSZ, January-July SP>0.75) and the intermittent snow zone (ISZ, January-July SP<0.5) in the Western US. Their results showed that the TSZ occupies almost the same extent as the 

persistent snow zone. Despite this importance of the transitional snow zone, existing
literature overwhelmingly focuses on the persistent (seasonal) zones, while giving
increasing importance to ephemeral (intermittent) zones (Hatchett, 2021; Petersky and
Harpold, 2018a).

Another interesting concept that reflects the process of marginalization of persistent seasonal snowpacks is the recently termed low-to-no-snow winters (Rhoades et al., 2022; Siirila-Woodburn et al., 2021). These are defined statistically as years below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile in snow depth with respect to a baseline climate; but as occurred with the term intermittent snow zone of Hammond et al. (2018), there is no minimal boundary to capture the transition from seasonal persistent to ephemeral that represent the marginal snowpacks.

Definitions only based on snow duration or snow probability as presented above may present problems if applied globally. Sites with cold but relatively dry winters (e.g., arctic snowpacks), or sites where short snowy and cold winters followed by a rapid increase in spring temperature and/or radiation may host snowpacks with durations or depths that exclude them from persistent seasonal snow zones, yet lack the dynamic nature (melting events throughout the whole season) of marginal and ephemeral snowpacks. For this reason, some definitions of snowpacks have included an air temperature criterion. Concepts such as "at-risk snow" and "warm winters", with temperatures above -2 °C and 0 °C respectively for any one of the core winter months (December-February/June-August for N/S hemisphere respectively), have been suggested to identify snow areas that are vulnerable to climate warming (Nolin et al., 2021; Nolin and Daly, 2006). Marginal snowpacks likely develop between these temperature thresholds, but an accurate climatic definition to complement snow metrics to define marginal snowpacks still needs specific research. 

We suggest that the definition of a marginal snowpack should include the following characteristics: (i) with seasonal peak depths only occasionally surpass 1.5 meters in favorable deposition zones such as wind drifts, ii) having durations ranging from 60 to 120 days, and (iii) that are predominantly in an isothermal state with high densification rates and several cycles of accumulation-ablation during each snow season.

Simulated snow series in 24 mountain areas of the world reveal that marginal snowpacks are predominantly found near the +2 /-1 °C isotherm at sites with precipitation  $\geq$ 600 mm, or at colder (-2/-4 °C) but drier sites. No marginal snowpacks would be found where winter precipitation is less than 400 mm (Figure 1). Series were obtained using FSM2 model (Essery, 2015) driven by ERA5-Land data scaled to fixed winter (DJFM Northern Hemisphere-JJAS for the Southern Hemisphere) precipitation (from 100 to 1200 mm) and temperature values (from -6  $^{\circ}$ C to +6  $^{\circ}$ C). Thus, marginal snowpacks are likely to be found across the world at moderate elevations and in environments influenced by mild mid-latitude climates with sub-tropical and maritime influences. Mediterranean mountains in particular, have been recognized to often host these snowpack environments (Fayad et al., 2017). 

The perspective of this paper is that require a robust classification to identify environments dominated by marginal snow should not only be based on subjective fixed thresholds on snow and climate metrics, but also on their impact on local ecosystems. For instance, some previous studies have suggested that ephemeral snowpacks impact the soil and stream hydrology (Harrison et al., 2021; Petersky and Harpold, 2018a); however, it is still unclear to what extent winter liquid precipitation could replace the snowmelt input into the hydrological system without marked differences in the annual hydrograph. We suggest the value of differentiating marginal from ephemeral snowpacks based on the detection of an unequivocal signal of melting snow on the environment. In other words, 

marginal snowpacks must be of enough significance to be detectable in the interannual variability of the soil and stream hydrology and the plant phenology of affected areas. 6 Additionally, runoff processes and the snowmelt input to soils may change significantly 8 as deeper persistent snowpacks transition to marginal snowpacks (Webb et al., 2020). Previous hydrologic studies have shown that the heterogeneity of a snowpack can strongly influence the hillslope-stream connectivity (Freudiger et al., 2017; Lundquist and Dettinger, 2005), suggesting the spatially patchy nature of marginal snowpacks is hydrologically significant. Snowmelt contribution to total runoff of marginal snowpacks (from the dataset created for Figure 1) occupies an intermediate position between ephemeral and seasonal snowpacks, and the snowmelt peak is clearly delayed with respect to the former (Figure 2). However, it has not yet been clearly demonstrated to which extent these impacts may be masked or replaced by the occurrence of liquid precipitation during winter. The recently developed Snow Storage Index (SSI), representing the temporal phase difference between daily precipitation and surface water inputs, is a promising tool to study this topic (Hale et al., 2023). Monitoring the daily variation and seasonal changes of natural tracers such as isotopes, electrical conductivity and temperature of river flows may also provide clear guidance on the extent to which the hydrology of a given catchment is controlled by snowmelt (López-Moreno et al., 2023; Sprenger et al., 2022). Coulthard et al., (2021) identified a clear snow signal in the yearly fluctuations of forest 

growth and greenness in a study site that could be considered a marginal snow environment. This is because snow melt provides extra moisture to the soil, soils remain unfrozen due to the insulating effect of the snow, and climate conditions are not too harsh to limit the growth of the trees. Monitoring vegetation phenology and productivity linked to the snow cycles under different snow types is an obvious path to follow to improve our 

understanding of snow-vegetation interactions (Jonas et al., 2008; Revuelto et al., 2022;
Sanmiguel-Vallelado et al., 2022; Trujillo et al., 2012).

### 240 3. Monitoring needs for the study of marginal snowpacks

Marginal snowpacks are more complicated to monitor than well-developed snowpacks. As a snowpack becomes shallower and patchier, its main characteristics and physical properties (depth, density, internal temperature, etc) become more variable and its monitoring is more challenging (Sexstone et al., 2021). Marginal snowpacks have not traditionally been subject to operational monitoring programs, resulting in a lack of comprehensive historical datasets. In addition, errors assumed in deep and long lasting snowpacks may be unacceptable in marginal snowpacks. Marginal snowpacks also need dense observation networks or sampling strategies to deal with their high spatial and temporal variability (Dickinson and Whiteley, 1972; López-Moreno et al., 2020c). An example is given with snow cover derived from satellite optical images, one of the most commonly-used remote sensing product for snow studies (Figure 3). This figure shows the snow cover duration (SCD) in an area dominated by marginal snowpacks in the Central Pyrenees derived from a combination of Sentinel-2 at 20 meters grid resolution and Landsat 8, resampled to 20 meters (Gascoin et al., 2019), and MODIS at 500 meters grid resolution. The figure shows that the two products tell different stories about the spatial heterogeneity of the snow cover in these types of environments (see the mean SCD in 4 selected points), and that MODIS may fail to provide adequate spatial resolutions in such environments, as has been shown in previous studies (Bouamri et al., 2021; Pimentel et al., 2017). For small study sites, time lapse cameras may offer very useful information to represent and understand the very dynamic behaviour of shallow snow covers, including their interaction with vegetation (Pimentel et al., 2015). Snow depths can be

determined by installing snow poles in the view field (e.g. Bongio et al., 2021), or by installing several cameras to accurately map snow depths from photogrammetric reconstructions (Filhol et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021). Self-recording temperature sensors 6 7 installed on the ground surface can be also an efficient method to identify snow cover and snow-free periods (Lundquist and Lott, 2008; Navarro-Serrano et al., 2019). Current methods for the measurement by satellite of snow depth in mountains are likely not relevant for shallow and patchy marginal snowpacks as snow depth from satellite photogrammetry has typical errors between 0.5 m and 1 m (e.g., Pléiades, WorldView; Deschamps-Berger et al., 2020; Eberhard et al., 2021) similar to high-resolution altimetry (ICESat-2; Deschamps-Berger et al., 2022; Enderlin et al., 2022). Snow depth retrievals from synthetic aperture radar (Sentinel-1) have a spatial resolution of at best 100 m and are subject to large errors over wet snowpack, a common state of marginal snowpacks (Lievens et al., 2022). Finally, satellite-based passive microwave radiometer data for snow water equivalent estimations are masked over complex terrain (i.e. mountains) and are thus not available for most marginal snowpack environments (Luojus et al., 2021). In the last decade, snow science has benefited from the flourishing of new affordable remote sensing techniques that are suitable for capturing the complex dynamics of marginal snowpacks. Terrestrial Light Detection And Ranging (LIDAR) and Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) photogrammetry provide centimeter-scale resolution and accuracy to analyse from the plot  $(10^0 \text{ m}^2)$  to the small  $(10^4 \text{ m}^2)$  scales (Bühler et al., 2016; Goetz and Brenning, 2019; Jacobs et al., 2021; Revuelto et al., 2021b). Thus, UAV can provide very detailed patterns of snow distribution (Figure 4), in this case for the area in the vicinity of point 1 in Figure 3. The snow depth maps obtained clearly show that spatial variability is governed by wind redistribution around vegetation with scouring on the windward side and deposition on the lee side. Airborne LIDAR with UAV has also shown 

287	very good capabilities to map shallow snow depths even in the presence of vegetation
288	(Harder et al., 2020; Jacobs et al., 2021; King et al., 2023). As mentioned above, for larger
289	scales, high spatial and temporal resolution satellites such as Sentinel-2 have
290	demonstrated high capabilities to monitor very dynamic snowpacks (Gascoin et al.,
291	2020), including sparsely forested areas (Muhuri et al., 2021). Promising workflows for
292	marginal snowpacks were recently proposed (Premier et al., 2021; Revuelto et al., 2021a;
293	Richiardi et al., 2023) for the downscaling of MODIS sensor retrievals (500 m grid size,
294	available since 2002) to 20 m resolution, using Sentinel-2 acquisitions starting in 2015.
295	This procedure enables the representation of the spatial variability of marginal snowpacks
296	while taking benefit of the length and revisit times of the MODIS dataset.
297	Remote sensing techniques can also be powerful tools to analyze the relationships
298	between snow depth / persistence and vegetation activity (Revuelto et al., 2022; Thapa et
299	al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022). Thus, multispectral sensors mounted on UAVs and satellites
300	may provide valid assessments of vegetation activity for different spatial scales, while
301	phenocams (Aasen et al., 2020; Julitta et al., 2014) are a promising tool adding sub-hourly
302	information on vegetation activity that improves the capabilities to accurately discern the
303	different role of marginal and ephemeral snowpacks on vegetation.
304	

# 305 4. Tailoring modelling approaches to the specific characteristics of marginal 306 snowpacks.

307 As most existing snow models have been developed with a focus on cold climates and/or 308 seasonal snowpacks, they will likely need updating to include the properties of marginal 309 snowpacks. All of these properties are related to the relatively mild climatological 310 conditions where they develop. Below we describe some of the main identified needs.

4.1 The partitioning of the snow energy balance differs from snowpacks that have been studied in colder environments. The heterogeneity of marginal snowpacks can result in increased complexity when examining and modelling their energy balance as localized effects, such as those resulting from bare ground, vegetation, and fine-scale aspect differences, can have significant impacts on fluxes. Marginal snowpacks have relatively low cold content due to climatological conditions and relatively warm snowfall events, which creates highly dynamic ablation characteristics spatiotemporally. Shortwave radiation flux generally contributes the highest amount of energy to these snowpacks (Fayad et al., 2017; Schwartz et al., 2020), similar to snowpacks of other classifications, but the event-driven nature of marginal snowpacks means that specific contributions can depend heavily on a season's synoptic weather patterns (Schwartz et al. 2020). Turbulent fluxes, particularly sensible heat flux, have more substantial control on marginal snowpack energy balance due to warmer air temperatures in these climates, particularly during the ablation season (Fayad et al., 2017). Soil heat flux, which is often neglected in cold environments, may represent between 5% and 20% of the total energy inputs, making it necessary to have a good representation of this flux in marginal snow environments. Because a marginal snowpack is relatively thin, transmitted solar radiation may be absorbed by the darker soil substrate and the snowpack can be warmed from below through ground heat flux (Bilish et al., 2018; Knox et al., 2012; van der Valk, 2019). Snow mass and energy simulations in these environments also need to carefully consider lateral energy fluxes, especially in areas of patchy snowpack (Harder et al., 2017; Liston, 1995; Mott et al., 2018; van der Valk, 2019). Heat flux from tree trunks has also been shown as a significant source of accelerated snow melt rates in a marginal snowpack (Schwartz et al., 2021, 2020). Rain-on-Snow (RoS) events can cause a particular rapid melt at any time of year due to their increased turbulent fluxes and precipitation flux to 

6 7

marginal snowpacks (López-Moreno et al., 2021; Schwartz et al., 2021). Therefore, while
marginal snowpacks do share some energy balance characteristics with those of colder
climates (e.g., shortwave radiation being the dominant flux to the snowpack), turbulent
fluxes, soil heat flux, and precipitation heat flux for these snowpacks need to be more
carefully considered.

4.2 Marginal snowpacks are heavily impacted by vegetation. Vegetation affects snow distribution in many environments by: i) intercepting the new fallen snow; ii) acting as an obstacle for wind redistribution creating wind- and leeside patterns; and iii) altering the radiation input through shadow effects for incoming shortwave radiation and through the emission of longwave radiation to the surroundings (Lundquist et al., 2013; Revuelto et al., 2015; Sanmiguel-Vallelado et al., 2022; Schwartz et al., 2020; Webb, 2017). There is evidence from limited available research to suggest these vegetation effects can become exacerbated in marginal snow zones. Firstly, the mild conditions where marginal snowpacks develop favour the presence of vegetation compared to alpine snowpacks. Also, the redistribution of snow due to snow-vegetation interactions, which can be quantified through an upwind vegetation index (Vx, Bilish et al., 2019), may boost the already high snow variability over terrain typical of medium and low snow depth zones (Sexstone et al., 2021). In addition, as the climate is warmer the emission of longwave radiation is increased meaning that heating dominates the shadow effects (see Figure 5 as example), while the interception by the canopy is reduced (Lundquist et al., 2013). It has also been noted that most of the intercepted snow instead of being unloaded in the subsequent days, is melted and water drops accelerate melting beneath the canopy (Sanmiguel-Vallelado et al., 2020). Thus, warmer winter temperatures exacerbate the differences in snow depth when forest stands and surrounding opening areas are compared (Lundquist et al., 2013; Sanmiguel-Vallelado et al., 2022). 

Shrubs have a great impact on shallow snowpacks, affecting snow accumulation and ablation patterns at very fine spatial scales (Figure 6). While the effect of forests on snow has been intensively researched worldwide and very advanced observing and modelling capabilities have been developed (Krogh et al., 2020; Mazzotti et al., 2022, 2021; Musselman et al., 2015; Webster et al., 2016a, 2016b), there are no studies yet, to the authors' knowledge, about how shrubs interact with snow in these mild climates. We suggest that many of the shrubs may act as young and dense trees in a similar manner to the studied Snow Gum forests in the Australian Alps after bushfires, with reduced interception capacity and high emission of energy to surrounding snowpacks (Schwartz et al., 2021, 2020). There are types of shrubs typical of Mediterranean environments of low height and high density that might create small cold pools at the base protecting the snowpack from the ground heat flux, a question that deserves focused research. Thus, new parametrization schemes designed for terrain covered by shrubs depending on their type and structure (species, density and height) have great potential to improve snow modelling capabilities in these ecosystems. 

**376** 

### 4.3 The uncertain effect of snow impurities on melt rates.

The effect of LAP on melting rates of marginal snowpacks should not differ from reported impacts on other snow types (Skiles et al., 2018), but for isothermal snowpacks the response should be translated to more rapid melt of the isothermal marginal snowpack. Namely, the combined effect of reduced albedo and increased absorption of solar radiation by the impurities such as leaves, soot and dust should translate to increase heating of the snow surface thereby enhancing melt relative to cold snowpacks (Bilish et al., 2018; Schwartz et al., 2020). However, as marginal snowpacks do not develop late in spring and incoming radiation in winter is relatively low, the total impact on the radiative budget could be moderate (Dumont et al., 2020). This is observed in a simulation of the 

snowpack for a marginal-type and a long lasting-type snow year in the Atlas Mountains (Morocco) with three different albedo parametrizations (Figure 7A). The figure shows that under colder conditions the simulations provide very different outcomes, while simulations in milder climatic conditions suggest that the marginal snowpack is the least sensitive to albedo parametrization. Nonetheless, the balance between these two potential opposing drivers of melt requires further research.

392 4

### 4.5) Uncertainty in the phase of precipitation.

Given that marginal snowpacks often develop close to the 0°C winter isotherm, much of the precipitation falls at the boundary of the rain and snow phases (Alonso-González et al., 2021). In addition, the total snow accumulated in these environments in a whole season often relies on a few larger snowfall events, so misrepresenting the phase in even a few precipitation events may lead to large errors in the simulation of snowpack compared to seasonal and colder snowpacks. Similar to the analysis made while exploring the sensitivity to changes in albedo (Section 4.4), the simulated snow series in the Sierra Nevada mountains in Spain, using various temperature thresholds and precipitation phase determination methods, indicate that during a colder year with a persistent snowpack, the simulated snow series exhibit almost identical values (Figure 7B). Under a milder year with a shallower and shorter-duration snowpack (marginal snow conditions), the different approaches to estimating precipitation phase produced markedly different snow depth time series. 

### 407 5. Conclusions and outlook

408 The aim of this paper has been to present the current gaps in knowledge, the challenges409 and suggest focus areas to advance our understanding of marginal snowpacks. We outline

- $\begin{array}{r} 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 57\\ 58\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ \end{array}$

1	410	the importance and a case for marginal snowpacks to be considered as a unique type of
2	411	snow, that lies at the transition between ephemeral and longer-lasting seasonal
4 5 6	412	snowpacks. In this paper we propose that a marginal snowpack must include the following
7 8	413	characteristics: (i) with seasonal peak depths only occasionally surpass 1.5 meters in
9 10	414	favorable deposition zones such as wind drifts, ii) having durations ranging from 60 to
11 12 13	415	120 days, and (iii) that are predominantly in an isothermal state (on more than 75% of
14 15	416	days) with high densification rates and several cycles of accumulation-ablation during
16 17 19	417	each snow season. We have shown evidence that a marginal snowpack can develop in a
19 20	418	relatively wide variety of climatic conditions, mostly where the mean winter temperature
21 22 22	419	ranges from -4 to +2 °C and precipitation is greater than 400 mm. Thus, they can occupy
23 24 25	420	large areas not only in Mediterranean-type climates, but also extending across the mid-
26 27	421	latitudes and fringing the sub-tropical regions across the world. Performing large scale
28 29 30	422	simulations of snowpack under current and future climatic conditions is a critical area for
31 32	423	the future research. Related to this task to determine which areas under climate change
33 34 35	424	scenarios will likely shift to ephemeral snowpacks, and which consolidated seasonal
36 37	425	snowpacks may transition into marginal snowpacks. Such simulations will provide
38 39 40	426	insight about their degree of sensitivity to climate change and presence of LAP on their
41 42	427	surface that at this time is still unclear. Resolving this likely requires advances in our
43 44	428	understanding of sources of heat flux (ground and trees) and the role of vegetation in
45 46 47	429	modulating processes of accumulation and ablation. Representing the present and future
48 49	430	distribution of marginal snowpacks also needs to use the best observational and modelling
50 51 52	431	approaches tailored to deal with the very high temporal and spatial variability that they
53 54	432	exhibit, and to capture some specific conditions of their energy and mass balance, as well
55 56 57	433	as the interaction with vegetation and shrubs that have not been considered in the
58 59	434	scientific literature yet. This proposed work will greatly benefit from the establishment
60 61 62 63 64 65		17

- of more experimental sites to study snowpacks and their environmental consequences in mild mountain areas, and in doing so will contribute to a more complete representation of the whole continuum from ephemeral to cold and persistent seasonal snow environments. Acknowledgement: This study was funded by the project HIDROIBERNIEVE (CGL2017-82216K) and MARGISNOW (PID2021-124220OB-100), both funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation. References Aasen, H., Kirchgessner, N., Walter, A., Liebisch, F., 2020. PhenoCams for Field Phenotyping: Using Very High Temporal Resolution Digital Repeated Photography to Investigate Interactions of Growth, Phenology, and Harvest Traits. Front. Plant Sci. 11, 593. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00593 Alonso-González, E., Gutmann, E., Aalstad, K., Fayad, A., Bouchet, M., Gascoin, S., 2021. Snowpack dynamics in the Lebanese mountains from quasi-dynamically downscaled ERA5 reanalysis updated by assimilating remotely sensed fractional snow-covered area. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 25, 4455–4471. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-4455-2021 Bilish, S.P., Callow, J.N., McGowan, H.A., 2020. Streamflow variability and the role of snowmelt in a marginal snow environment. Arctic, Antarct. Alp. Res. 52, 161-176. https://doi.org/10.1080/15230430.2020.1746517 Bilish, S.P., Callow, J.N., McGrath, G.S., McGowan, H.A., 2019. Spatial controls on the distribution and dynamics of a marginal snowpack in the Australian Alps. Hydrol. Process. 33, 1739–1755. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13435 Bilish, S.P., McGowan, H.A., Callow, J.N., 2018. Energy balance and snowmelt drivers of a marginal subalpine snowpack. Hydrol. Process. 32, 3837–3851. https://doi.org/10.1002/hvp.13293 Bojinski, S., Verstraete, M., Peterson, T.C., Richter, C., Simmons, A., Zemp, M., 2014. The Concept of Essential Climate Variables in Support of Climate Research, Applications, and Policy. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 95, 1431–1443. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00047.1 Bongio, M., Arslan, A.N., Tanis, C.M., De Michele, C., 2021. Snow depth time series retrieval by time-lapse photography: Finnish and Italian case studies. Cryosph. 15, 369-387. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-369-2021 Bormann, K.J., Westra, S., Evans, J.P., McCabe, M.F., 2013. Spatial and temporal variability in seasonal snow density. J. Hydrol. 484, 63-73. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.01.032 Bouamri, H., Kinnard, C., Boudhar, A., Gascoin, S., Hanich, L., Chehbouni, A., 2021. MODIS Does Not Capture the Spatial Heterogeneity of Snow Cover Induced by

	475	Solar Radiation. Front. Earth Sci. 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2021.640250
1	476	Bühler, Y., Adams, M.S., Bösch, R., Stoffel, A., 2016. Mapping snow depth in alpine
2	477	terrain with unmanned aerial systems (UASs): potential and limitations. Cryosph.
3 4	478	10, 1075–1088. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-1075-2016
5	479	Coulthard, B.L., Anchukaitis, K.J., Pederson, G.T., Cook, E., Littell, J., Smith, D.J.,
6	480	2021. Snowpack signals in North American tree rings. Environ. Res. Lett. 16.
./ 8	481	34037. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abd5de
9	482	Deschamps-Berger C. Gascoin S. Berthier E. Deems I. Gutmann E. Deheca A
10	483	Shean, D., Dumont, M., 2020, Snow depth mapping from stereo satellite imagery
11	484	in mountainous terrain: evaluation using airborne laser-scanning data. Cryosph. 14.
13	485	2925–2940. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-2925-2020
14	486	Deschamps-Berger, C., Gascoin, S., Shean, D., Besso, H., Guiot, A., López-Moreno,
15	487	J.I., 2022. Evaluation of snow depth retrievals from ICESat-2 using airborne laser-
16 17	488	scanning data. Cryosph. Discuss. 2022, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2022-191
18	489	Dickinson W Whitelev H 1972 A sampling scheme for shallow snownacks Hydrol
19	490	Sci. J. 17. 247–258. https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667209493832
20 21	491	Dumont M Tuzet F Gascoin S Picard G Kutuzov S Lafavsse M Cluzet B
22	492	Nheili, R., Painter, T.H., 2020. Accelerated Snow Melt in the Russian Caucasus
23	493	Mountains After the Saharan Dust Outbreak in March 2018. J. Geophys. Res.
24	494	Earth Surf. 125, e2020JF005641.
26	495	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JF005641
27	496	Eberhard, L.A., Sirguey, P., Miller, A., Marty, M., Schindler, K., Stoffel, A., Bühler, Y.,
28	497	2021. Intercomparison of photogrammetric platforms for spatially continuous snow
30	498	depth mapping. Cryosph. 15, 69–94. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-69-2021
31	499	Enderlin, E.M., Elkin, C.M., Gendreau, M., Marshall, H.P., O'Neel, S., McNeil, C.,
32	500	Florentine, C., Sass, L., 2022. Uncertainty of ICESat-2 ATL06- and ATL08-
33 34	501	derived snow depths for glacierized and vegetated mountain regions. Remote Sens.
35	502	Environ. 283, 113307. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2022.113307
36	503	Essery, R., 2015. A factorial snowpack model (FSM 1.0). Geosci. Model Dev. 8, 3867-
38	504	3876. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-3867-2015
39	505	Fayad, A., Gascoin, S., Faour, G., López-Moreno, J.I., Drapeau, L., Page, M.L.,
40	506	Escadafal, R., 2017. Snow hydrology in Mediterranean mountain regions: A
41 42	507	review. J. Hydrol. 551. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.05.063
43	508	Filhol, S., Perret, A., Girod, L., Sutter, G., Schuler, T. V, Burkhart, J.F., 2019. Time-
44	509	Lapse Photogrammetry of Distributed Snow Depth During Snowmelt. Water
45 46	510	Resour. Res. 55, 7916–7926.
47	511	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR024530
48	512	Freudiger, D., Kohn, I., Seibert, J., Stahl, K., Weiler, M., 2017. Snow redistribution for
49 50	513	the hydrological modeling of alpine catchments. WIREs Water 4, e1232.
51	514	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1232
52	515	Gascoin, S., Barrou Dumont, Z., Deschamps-Berger, C., Marti, F., Salgues, G., López-
53 54	516	Moreno, J.I., Revuelto, J., Michon, T., Schattan, P., Hagolle, O., 2020. Estimating
55	517	Fractional Snow Cover in Open Terrain from Sentinel-2 Using the Normalized
56	518	Difference Snow Index. Kemote Sens https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12182904
э/ 58	519	Gascoin, S., Grizonnet, M., Bouchet, M., Salgues, G., Hagolle, O., 2019. Theia Snow
59	520	conjection: High-resolution operational snow cover maps from Sentinel-2 and
60	521	Lanusat-8 uata. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 11, 493–314. https://doi.org/10.3194/essd-11-
6⊥ 62		
63		19
64		
65		

493-2019 Goetz, J., Brenning, A., 2019. Quantifying Uncertainties in Snow Depth Mapping From Structure From Motion Photogrammetry in an Alpine Area. Water Resour. Res. 55, 7772-7783. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR025251 Haeberli, W., Whiteman, C., 2021. Chapter 1 - Snow and ice-related hazards, risks, and disasters: Facing challenges of rapid change and long-term commitments, in: Haeberli, W., Whiteman Risks, and Disasters (Second Edition), C.B.T.-S. and I.-R.H. (Eds.), Elsevier, pp. 1–33. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817129-5.00014-7 Hale, K.E., Jennings, K.S., Musselman, K.N., Livneh, B., Molotch, N.P., 2023. Recent decreases in snow water storage in western North America. Commun. Earth Environ. 4, 170. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-00751-3 Hammond, J.C., Saavedra, F.A., Kampf, S.K., 2018. Global snow zone maps and trends in snow persistence 2001–2016. Int. J. Climatol. 38, 4369–4383. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5674 Harder, P., Pomeroy, J.W., Helgason, W., 2017. Local-Scale Advection of Sensible and Latent Heat During Snowmelt. Geophys. Res. Lett. 44, 9769–9777. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074394 Harder, P., Pomeroy, J.W., Helgason, W.D., 2020. Improving sub-canopy snow depth mapping with unmanned aerial vehicles: lidar versus structure-from-motion techniques. Cryosph. 14, 1919–1935. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1919-2020 Harrison, H.N., Hammond, J.C., Kampf, S., Kiewiet, L., 2021. On the hydrological difference between catchments above and below the intermittent-persistent snow transition. Hydrol. Process. 35, e14411. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14411 Hatchett, B.J., 2021. Seasonal and Ephemeral Snowpacks of the Conterminous United States. Hydrol. . https://doi.org/10.3390/hydrology8010032 Huning, L.S., AghaKouchak, A., 2020. Global snow drought hot spots and characteristics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 117, 19753-19759. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1915921117 Huss, M., Bookhagen, B., Huggel, C., Jacobsen, D., Bradley, R.S., Clague, J.J., Vuille, M., Buytaert, W., Cayan, D.R., Greenwood, G., Mark, B.G., Milner, A.M., Weingartner, R., Winder, M., 2017. Toward mountains without permanent snow and ice. Earth's Futur. 5, 418-435. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016EF000514 Jacobs, J.M., Hunsaker, A.G., Sullivan, F.B., Palace, M., Burakowski, E.A., Herrick, C., Cho, E., 2021. Snow depth mapping with unpiloted aerial system lidar observations: a case study in Durham, New Hampshire, United States. Cryosph. 15, 1485–1500. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-1485-2021 Jonas, T., Rixen, C., Sturm, M., Stoeckli, V., 2008. How alpine plant growth is linked to 

- 560 Jonas, T., Rixen, C., Sturm, M., Stoeckli, V., 2008. How alpine plant growth is linked to
   561 snow cover and climate variability. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences 113.
   562 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JG000680
- 563 Julitta, T., Cremonese, E., Migliavacca, M., Colombo, R., Galvagno, M., Siniscalco, C.,
  564 Rossini, M., Fava, F., Cogliati, S., Morra di Cella, U., Menzel, A., 2014. Using
  565 digital camera images to analyse snowmelt and phenology of a subalpine
  575 566 grassland. Agric. For. Meteorol. 198–199, 116–125.
- 58 567 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.08.007
- <sup>59</sup><sub>60</sub> 568 Kelsey, K.C., Pedersen, S.H., Leffler, A.J., Sexton, J.O., Feng, M., Welker, J.M., 2021.

1 2	569 570 571	Winter snow and spring temperature have differential effects on vegetation phenology and productivity across Arctic plant communities. Glob. Chang. Biol. 27, 1572–1586. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15505
3 4 5 6 7	572 573 574 575	<ul> <li>King, F., Kelly, R., Fletcher, C.G., 2023. New opportunities for low-cost LiDAR- derived snow depth estimates from a consumer drone-mounted smartphone. Cold Reg. Sci. Technol. 207, 103757. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2022.103757</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11	576 577 578	<ul> <li>Knox, S.H., Carey, S.K., Humphreys, E.R., 2012. Snow surface energy exchanges and snowmelt in a shrub-covered bog in eastern Ontario, Canada. Hydrol. Process. 26, 1876–1890. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9289</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15	579 580 581	Kraft, M., McNamara, J.P., Marshall, HP., Glenn, N.F., 2022. Forest impacts on snow accumulation and melt in a semi-arid mountain environment. Front. Water 4. https://doi.org/10.3389/frwa.2022.1004123
17 18 19 20	582 583 584 585	Krogh, S.A., Broxton, P.D., Manley, P.N., Harpold, A.A., 2020. Using Process Based Snow Modeling and Lidar to Predict the Effects of Forest Thinning on the Northern Sierra Nevada Snowpack. Front. For. Glob. Chang. 3. https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2020.00021
21 22 23 24 25	586 587 588	Lievens, H., Brangers, I., Marshall, HP., Jonas, T., Olefs, M., De Lannoy, G., 2022. Sentinel-1 snow depth retrieval at sub-kilometer resolution over the European Alps. Cryosph. 16, 159–177. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-159-2022
26 27 28 29	589 590 591	Liston, G.E., 1995. Local Advection of Momentum, Heat, and Moisture during the Melt of Patchy Snow Covers. J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol. 34, 1705–1715. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450-34.7.1705
30 31 32 33	592 593 594	Liu, J., Chen, R., Ding, Y., Han, C., Ma, S., 2021. Snow process monitoring using time- lapse structure-from-motion photogrammetry with a single camera. Cold Reg. Sci. Technol. 190, 103355.
33 34 35 36 37 38	595 596 597 598 599	<ul> <li>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2021.103355</li> <li>López-Moreno, I., Pomeroy, J.W., Morán-Tejeda, E., Revuelto-Benedí, J., Navarro-Serrano, F., Vidaller, I., Alonso-González, E., 2021. Changes in the frequency of global high mountain rain-on-snow events due to climate warming. Environ. Res. Lett. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac0dde</li> </ul>
39 40 41 42 43 44 45	600 601 602 603 604	<ul> <li>López-Moreno, J.I., Gascoin, S., Herrero, J., Sproles, E.A., Pons, M., Alonso-González, E., Hanich, L., Boudhar, A., Musselman, K.N., Molotch, N.P., Sickman, J., Pomeroy, J., 2017. Different sensitivities of snowpacks to warming in Mediterranean climate mountain areas. Environ. Res. Lett. 12. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa70cb</li> </ul>
46 47 48 49 50 51	605 606 607 608 609	<ul> <li>López-Moreno, J.I., Granados, I., Ceballos-Barbancho, A., Morán-Tejeda, E., Revuelto, J., Alonso-González, E., Gascoin, S., Herrero, J., Deschamps-Berger, C., Latron, J., 2023. The signal of snowmelt in streamflow and stable water isotopes in a high mountain catchment in Central Spain. J. Hydrol. Reg. Stud. 46, 101356. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2023.101356</li> </ul>
52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	610 611 612 613 614 615 616	<ul> <li>López-Moreno, J Ignacio, Leppänen, L., Luks, B., Holko, L., Picard, G., Sanmiguel-Vallelado, A., Alonso-González, E., Finger, D.C., Arslan, A.N., Gillemot, K., Sensoy, A., Sorman, A., Ertaş, M.C., Fassnacht, S.R., Fierz, C., Marty, C., 2020. Intercomparison of measurements of bulk snow density and water equivalent of snow cover with snow core samplers: Instrumental bias and variability induced by observers. Hydrol. Process. 34, 3120–3133. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13785</li> </ul>
61 62 63 64 65		21

1 2	617 618 619	López-Moreno, J.I., Pomeroy, J.W., Alonso-González, E., Morán-Tejeda, E., Revuelto, J., 2020. Decoupling of warming mountain snowpacks from hydrological regimes. Environ. Res. Lett. 15. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abb55f
3 4 5 6 7 8	620 621 622 623	López-Moreno, J.I., Soubeyroux, J.M., Gascoin, S., Alonso-Gonzalez, E., Durán- Gómez, N., Lafaysse, M., Vernay, M., Carmagnola, C., Morin, S., 2020. Long- term trends (1958–2017) in snow cover duration and depth in the Pyrenees. Int. J. Climatol. 40, 6122–6136. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6571
9 10 11	624 625 626	Lundquist, J.D., Dettinger, M.D., 2005. How snowpack heterogeneity affects diurnal streamflow timing. Water Resour. Res. 41. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2004WR003649
12 13 14 15 16 17	627 628 629 630	Lundquist, J.D., Dickerson-Lange, S.E., Lutz, J.A., Cristea, N.C., 2013. Lower forest density enhances snow retention in regions with warmer winters: A global framework developed from plot-scale observations and modeling. Water Resour. Res. 49, 6356–6370. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20504
18 19 20	631 632 633	Lundquist, J.D., Lott, F., 2008. Using inexpensive temperature sensors to monitor the duration and heterogeneity of snow-covered areas. Water Resour. Res. 44. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR007035
22 23 24 25 26 27	634 635 636 637 638	Luojus, K., Pulliainen, J., Takala, M., Lemmetyinen, J., Mortimer, C., Derksen, C., Mudryk, L., Moisander, M., Hiltunen, M., Smolander, T., Ikonen, J., Cohen, J., Salminen, M., Norberg, J., Veijola, K., Venäläinen, P., 2021. GlobSnow v3.0 Northern Hemisphere snow water equivalent dataset. Sci. Data 8, 163. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-021-00939-2
28 29 30 31 32	639 640 641 642	Mazzotti, G., Webster, C., Essery, R., Jonas, T., 2021. Increasing the Physical Representation of Forest-Snow Processes in Coarse-Resolution Models: Lessons Learned From Upscaling Hyper-Resolution Simulations. Water Resour. Res. 57, e2020WR029064. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR029064
33 34 35 36 37	643 644 645 646	Mazzotti, G., Webster, C., Quéno, L., Cluzet, B., Jonas, T., 2022. Canopy structure, topography and weather are equally important drivers of small-scale snow cover dynamics in sub-alpine forests. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss. 2022, 1–32. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2022-273
38 39 40 41 42	647 648 649 650	McGowan, H., Borthwick, K., Schwartz, A., Callow, J.N., Bilish, S., Browning, S., 2021. Atmospheric Rivers: An Overlooked Threat to the Marginal Snowpack of the Australian Alps. J. Hydrometeorol. 22, 2521–2532. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-20-0293.1
43 44 45 46	651 652 653	Moore, C., Kampf, S.K., Stone, B., Richer, E.E., 2015. A GIS-based method for defining snow zones: application to the western United States. Geocarto Int. 30, 62–81.
47 48 49 50	654 655 656	Mott, R., Vionnet, V., Grünewald, T., 2018. The Seasonal Snow Cover Dynamics: Review on Wind-Driven Coupling Processes. Front. Earth Sci. 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2018.00197
51 52 53 54 55 56 57	657 658 659 660 661	Muhuri, A., Gascoin, S., Menzel, L., Kostadinov, T.S., Harpold, A.A., Sanmiguel- Vallelado, A., Lopez-Moreno, J.I., 2021. Performance Assessment of Optical Satellite-Based Operational Snow Cover Monitoring Algorithms in Forested Landscapes. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens. 14, 7159–7178. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2021.3089655
57 58 59 60 61	662 663 664	Musselman, K.N., Addor, N., Vano, J.A., Molotch, N.P., 2021. Winter melt trends portend widespread declines in snow water resources. Nat. Clim. Chang. 11, 418– 424. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01014-9
62 63 64 65		22

1 2	665 666 667	Musselman, K.N., Clark, M.P., Liu, C., Ikeda, K., Rasmussen, R., 2017. Slower snowmelt in a warmer world. Nat. Clim. Chang. 7, 214–219. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3225
3 4 5 6 7 8	668 669 670 671	Musselman, K.N., Lehner, F., Ikeda, K., Clark, M.P., Prein, A.F., Liu, C., Barlage, M., Rasmussen, R., 2018. Projected increases and shifts in rain-on-snow flood risk over western North America. Nat. Clim. Chang. 8, 808–812. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0236-4
9 10 11 12 13	672 673 674 675	<ul> <li>Musselman, K.N., Pomeroy, J.W., Link, T.E., 2015. Variability in shortwave irradiance caused by forest gaps: Measurements, modelling, and implications for snow energetics. Agric. For. Meteorol. 207, 69–82. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.03.014</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19	676 677 678 679 680	Navarro-Serrano, F., López-Moreno, J.I., Azorin-Molina, C., Buisán, S., Domínguez- Castro, F., Sanmiguel-Vallelado, A., Alonso-González, E., Khorchani, M., 2019. Air temperature measurements using autonomous self-recording dataloggers in mountainous and snow covered areas. Atmos. Res. 224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2019.03.034
20 21 22	681 682	Nolin, A.W., Daly, C., 2006. Mapping "At Risk" Snow in the Pacific Northwest. J. Hydrometeorol. 7, 1164–1171. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM543.1
23 24 25 26	683 684 685	Nolin, A.W., Sproles, E.A., Rupp, D.E., Crumley, R.L., Webb, M.J., Palomaki, R.T., Mar, E., 2021. New snow metrics for a warming world. Hydrol. Process. 35, e14262. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14262
27 28 29 30	686 687 688	Petersky, R., Harpold, A., 2018a. Now you see it, now you don't: A case study of ephemeral snowpacks and soil moisture response in the Great Basin, USA. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 22. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-4891-2018
31 32 33	689 690 691	Petersky, R., Harpold, A., 2018b. Now you see it, now you don't: A case study of ephemeral snowpacks and soil moisture response in the Great Basin, USA. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 22, 4891–4906. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-4891-2018
34 35 36 37	692 693 694	Pimentel, R., Herrero, J., Polo, M.J., 2017. Quantifying Snow Cover Distribution in Semiarid Regions Combining Satellite and Terrestrial Imagery. Remote Sens https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9100995
38 39 40 41 42	695 696 697 698	Pimentel, R., Herrero, J., Zeng, Y., Su, Z., Polo, M.J., 2015. Study of Snow Dynamics at Subgrid Scale in Semiarid Environments Combining Terrestrial Photography and Data Assimilation Techniques. J. Hydrometeorol. 16, 563–578. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-14-0046.1
43 44 45 46 47 48 49	699 700 701 702 703	Premier, V., Marin, C., Steger, S., Notarnicola, C., Bruzzone, L., 2021. A Novel Approach Based on a Hierarchical Multiresolution Analysis of Optical Time Series to Reconstruct the Daily High-Resolution Snow Cover Area. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens. 14, 9223–9240. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2021.3103585
50 51 52 53 54	704 705 706 707	Qin, Y., Abatzoglou, J.T., Siebert, S., Huning, L.S., AghaKouchak, A., Mankin, J.S., Hong, C., Tong, D., Davis, S.J., Mueller, N.D., 2020. Agricultural risks from changing snowmelt. Nat. Clim. Chang. 10, 459–465. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0746-8
55 56 57 58	708 709 710	Revuelto, J., Alonso-González, E., Gascoin, S., Rodríguez-López, G., López-Moreno, J.I., 2021a. Spatial Downscaling of MODIS Snow Cover Observations Using Sentinel-2 Snow Products. Remote Sens https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13224513
59 60 61	711	Revuelto, J., Alonso-Gonzalez, E., Vidaller-Gayan, I., Lacroix, E., Izagirre, E.,
62 63		23

1 2 3	712 713 714 715	Rodríguez-López, G., López-Moreno, J.I., 2021b. Intercomparison of UAV platforms for mapping snow depth distribution in complex alpine terrain. Cold Reg. Sci. Technol. 190, 103344. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2021.103344
4 5 7 8 9 10	716 717 718 719 720	Revuelto, J., Gómez, D., Alonso-González, E., Vidaller, I., Rojas-Heredia, F., Deschamps-Berger, C., García-Jiménez, J., Rodríguez-López, G., Sobrino, J., Montorio, R., Perez-Cabello, F., López-Moreno, J.I., 2022. Intermediate snowpack melt-out dates guarantee the highest seasonal grasslands greening in the Pyrenees. Sci. Rep. 12, 18328. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-22391-x
11 12 13 14 15	721 722 723 724	Revuelto, J., López-Moreno, J.I., Azorin-Molina, C., Vicente-Serrano, S.M., 2014. Topographic control of snowpack distribution in a small catchment in the central Spanish Pyrenees: Intra- and inter-annual persistence. Cryosphere 8. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-1989-2014
16 17 18 19 20	725 726 727 728	Revuelto, J., Lõpez-Moreno, J.I., Azorin-Molina, C., Vicente-Serrano, S.M., 2015. Canopy influence on snow depth distribution in a pine stand determined from terrestrial laser data. Water Resour. Res. 51. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR016496
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	729 730 731 732 733	<ul> <li>Rhoades, A.M., Hatchett, B.J., Risser, M.D., Collins, W.D., Bambach, N.E., Huning, L.S., McCrary, R., Siirila-Woodburn, E.R., Ullrich, P.A., Wehner, M.F., Zarzycki, C.M., Jones, A.D., 2022. Asymmetric emergence of low-to-no snow in the midlatitudes of the American Cordillera. Nat. Clim. Chang. 12, 1151–1159. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01518-y</li> </ul>
28 29 30 31 32	734 735 736 737	Richiardi, C., Siniscalco, C., Adamo, M., 2023. Comparison of Three Different Random Forest Approaches to Retrieve Daily High-Resolution Snow Cover Maps from MODIS and Sentinel-2 in a Mountain Area, Gran Paradiso National Park (NW Alps). Remote Sens. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15020343
33 34 35 36 37	738 739 740 741	Sanecki, G.M., Green, K., Wood, H., Lindenmayer, D., 2006. The Characteristics and Classification of Australian Snow Cover: an Ecological Perspective. Arctic, Antarct. Alp. Res. 38, 429–435. https://doi.org/10.1657/1523- 0430(2006)38[429:TCACOA]2.0.CO;2
38 39 40 41 42	742 743 744 745	Sanmiguel-Vallelado, A., López-Moreno, J.I., Morán-Tejeda, E., Alonso-González, E., Navarro-Serrano, F.M., Rico, I., Camarero, J.J., 2020. Variable effects of forest canopies on snow processes in a valley of the central Spanish Pyrenees. Hydrol. Process. 34, 2247–2262. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13721
43 44 45 46 47	746 747 748 749	Sanmiguel-Vallelado, A., McPhee, J., Esmeralda Ojeda Carreño, P., Morán-Tejeda, E., Julio Camarero, J., López-Moreno, J.I., 2022. Sensitivity of forest–snow interactions to climate forcing: Local variability in a Pyrenean valley. J. Hydrol. 605, 127311. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.127311
48 49 50 51	750 751 752	Schwartz, A., McGowan, H., Callow, N., 2021. Snowpack thermal patterns in pre- and post-bushfire Snow Gum forests. J. Hydrol. 602, 126789. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126789
52 53 54 55	753 754 755	Schwartz, A.J., McGowan, H., Callow, N., 2020. Impact of fire on montane snowpack energy balance in Snow Gum forest stands. Agric. For. Meteorol. 294, 108164. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108164
56 57 58 59	756 757 758	Sexstone, G.A., Fassnacht, S.R., López-Moreno, J.I., Hiemstra, C.A., 2021. Subgrid snow depth coefficient of variation spanning alpine to sub-alpine mountainous terrain. Cuad. Investig. Geográfica; Accept. Pap. Press 10.18172/cig.4951.
60 61	759	Siirila-Woodburn, E.R., Rhoades, A.M., Hatchett, B.J., Huning, L.S., Szinai, J., Tague,
62 63 64 65		24

1	760 761	C., Nico, P.S., Feldman, D.R., Jones, A.D., Collins, W.D., Kaatz, L., 2021. A low-to-no snow future and its impacts on water resources in the western United States.
2	762	Nat. Rev. Earth Environ. 2, 800-819. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00219-y
4	763	Skiles, S.M.K., Flanner, M., Cook, J.M., Dumont, M., Painter, T.H., 2018. Radiative
5 6	764 765	forcing by light-absorbing particles in snow. Nat. Clim. Chang. 8, 964–971.
7	765	Spranger M. Correll, P.W.H. Dennedy Frenk, J. Sirile Weedburn, F.B. Newcomer
8 9	760	M E Brown W Newman A Beutler C Bill M Hubbard S S Williams
10	768	K.H., 2022. Variability of Snow and Rainfall Partitioning Into Evapotranspiration
11	769	and Summer Runoff Across Nine Mountainous Catchments. Geophys. Res. Lett.
13	770	49, e2022GL099324. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099324
14	771	Steiger, R., Scott, D., Abegg, B., Pons, M., Aall, C., 2019. A critical review of climate
15 16	772 772	change risk for ski tourism. Curr. Issues Tour. 22, 1343–1379.
17	773	Sturme M. Halmanner, L. Listen, C.E. 1005. A sessential snow seven algorithmation
18 19	775	system for local to global applications. J. Clim. 8, 1261–1283
20	776	https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1995)008<1261:ASSCCS>2.0.CO;2
21 22	777	Sturm, M., Liston, G.E., 2021. Revisiting the Global Seasonal Snow Classification: An
23	778	Updated Dataset for Earth System Applications. J. Hydrometeorol. 22, 2917–2938.
24 25	779	https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-21-0070.1
26	780	Thapa, S., Garcia Millan, V.E., Eklundh, L., 2021. Assessing Forest Phenology: A
27 28	781	Multi-Scale Comparison of Near-Surface (UAV, Spectral Reflectance Sensor,
29	782 783	https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13081597
30 31	784	Truillo F Molotch N Goulden M Kelly A Bales R 2012 Elevation-dependent
32	785	influence of snow accumulation on forest greening. Nat. Geosci. 5, 705–709.
33	786	https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1571
34 35	787	Tucker, C.L., Tamang, S., Pendall, E., Ogle, K., 2016. Shallow snowpack inhibits soil
36	788	respiration in sagebrush steppe through multiple biotic and abiotic mechanisms.
38	789	Ecosphere 7, e01297. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.1297
39	790	van der Valk, L., 2019. The influence of snow patch size on local-scale advection of
40 41	791	https://doi org/10.13140/RG 2.2.17644.31361
42	793	Wang Y Chen Y Li P Zhan Y Zou R Yuan B Zhou X 2022 Effect of Snow
43 44	794	Cover on Detecting Spring Phenology from Satellite-Derived Vegetation Indices in
45	795	Alpine Grasslands. Remote Sens. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14225725
46 47	796	Webb, R.W., 2017. Using ground penetrating radar to assess the variability of snow
48	797	water equivalent and melt in a mixed canopy forest, Northern Colorado. Front.
49 50	798	Earth Sci. 11, 482–495. https://doi.org/10.100//s11/0/-01/-0645-0
51	799 800	webb, K.W., Wigmore, U., Jennings, K., Fend, M., Molotch, N.P., 2020. Hydrologic connectivity at the hillslope scale through intra-snowpack flow paths during
52 53	801	snowmelt. Hydrol. Process, 34, 1616–1629.
54	802	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13686
55 56	803	Webster, C., Rutter, N., Zahner, F., Jonas, T., 2016a. Measurement of Incoming
57	804	Radiation below Forest Canopies: A Comparison of Different Radiometer
58 59	805	Configurations. J. Hydrometeorol. 17, 853–864.
60	806	nttps://doi.org/nttps://doi.org/10.11/5/JHM-D-15-0125.1
61 62		
63		25
64 65		
55		

1	807	Webster, C., Rutter, N., Zahner, F., Jonas, T., 2016b. Modeling subcanopy incoming
2	808 202	Geophys Res Atmos 121 1220–1235
3	810	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/2015/D024099
4	811	Wu X Che T Li X Wang N Yang X 2018 Slower Snowmelt in Spring Along
6	812	With Climate Warming Across the Northern Hemisphere. Geophys. Res. Lett. 45.
7	813	https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL079511
8 9	814	
10	815	
11	015	
12		
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
29		
30 21		
32		
33		
34 35		
36		
37		
38 39		
40		
41		
42 43		
44		
45 46		
47		
48		
49 50		
51		
52		
53 54		
55		
56 57		
58		
59		
60 61		
62		26
63		20
64		



**Figure 1.** Probability of finding seasonal, marginal (depth peak is lower than 1.5 meters, snow duration ranges between 60 and 120 days and snowpack is isothermal on more than 75% of days) and ephemeral among 24 locations of the world (right plot) for different mean winter precipitation and temperature values (DJFM (JJAS) in Northern (Southern) Hemisphere). Results were obtained by simulating (with FSM2 model (Essery, 2015)) snow series driven by ERA5-Land data scaled to fixed winter (DJFM (JJAS) in Northern (Southern) Hemisphere) precipitation (from 100 to 1200 mm) and temperature values (from -6 to +6). 



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	858	SCD (days) 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 200
12 13	859	Figure 3. Average annual snow cover duration (SCD) for the period 2017-2021 for a
14	860	typical marginal snowpack site in the Pyrenees derived from MODIS (500 m grid size)
16	861	and a composite of Sentinel-2 and Landsat 8 (30 meters). Numbers in the left plot inform
17 18	862	of the number of days with snow duration derived from MODIS/Sentinel2&Landsat8 for
19 20	863	4 selected points.
21 22	864	
23	865	
24 25	866	
26 27	867	
28	868	
29 30	869	
31	870	
32 33	871	
34 35	872	
36	873	
37 38	874	
39	875	
40	876	
42 43	877	
44	878	
45 46	879	
47	880	
48	881	
50 51	882	
52	883	
53 54		
55 56		
57		
58 59		
60		
62		29
63		

Terra/MODIS

Sentinel-2, Landsat 8





Figure 5. Thermal emissivity from vegetation in a Snow Gum forest in the Snowy
Mountains (Australia). Polar plots show average snow temperature around a monitored
tree (from Schwartz et al., 2021) at 10 AM (mean air temperature -0.4 °C) and 2 PM
(mean air temperature 0.7 °C) Australian Eastern Standard Time (AEST) showing how
thermal emission increases as the local environment warms.

- **898**
- **899**





Figure 6. A: Snowpack interacting with Mediterranean shrubs (Buxus sempervivens, Juniperus comunis and Echinospartum horridum) in the Spanish Pyrenees. There is no precedent literature on how these species affect snowpack dynamics. B: Preferential melt around the shrubs that were poking through the snow surface (~1 m snowpack) in Jemez mountains in New Mexico. C: Emergent shrubs interacting with snow accumulation in the Snowy Mountains Australia.





**Figure 7.** Simulated snowpack for two contrasted years with the Cold Region Hydrological Model Pomeroy et al., 2022) using A: Richard Albedo module in the high Atlas of Morocco using three levels of minimum albedo (0.2, 0.3 and 0.4) and three constant albedo decay time for melting snow (0.05, 0.07 and 0.09 day<sup>-1</sup>); and B: different temperature thresholds and methods to determine precipitation phase in the Sierra Nevada mountains (Spain). Under colder conditions the simulations provide similar snow depth time series, in contrast to simulations in milder climatic conditions.

### **Declaration of interests**

⊠The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: