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#### Abstract

We present a prototype for performing integral elimination for nonlinear integral equations. This work is motivated by the parameter estimation problem in control theory.
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## 1 Introduction

This article is motivated by the parameter estimation problem in control theory for systems of nonlinear parametric ordinary differential equations. This problem has been extensively addressed in the differential algebra [1813] context following [8] in [15]6] and many other works.

In this algebraic context, a key object is the so-called input/output (I/O) equation which is a differential equation only involving the parameters, the observed variables (i.e. variables for which experimental data can be obtained) and their derivatives, and also the (freely chosen) inputs and their derivatives. Differential I/O equations can be computed using differential elimination technics (by eliminating non observed variables) such as [5|11]. They can be sensitive to noisy experimental data especially if their order of derivation is high. Integrating those differential I/O equations [3] to obtain integral I/O equations has been successfully tested in a variety of work (see 4] and references within).

An alternative to integrating the differential I/O equations consists in developing an elimination theory for integro-differential equations. Integro-differential operators (which are suited for linear equations) has been studied in 1916. A Gröbner-Shirshov approach has been developed in [210] but has not been implemented to our knowledge.

We present a first draft of an integral elimination algorithm. Our approach is incomplete and still needs an important theoretical development. However it is already sufficient to handle nontrival examples (see Section 7). The originality of our approach consists in using new types of reductions and introducing exponential terms during the computations. Our prototype has been implemented in Python using SymPy.

Organization of the paper. Section 2 explains how to manipulate and order the so-called integral monomials, and introduces basic rewriting rules. Section 3
presents more elaborate rewriting rules and an algorithm for reducing a polynomial. Section 4 introduces critical pairs for integral equations. Section 5 presents methods for introducing exponential terms during the elimination process. Section 6 presents Algorithm integral_elimination which performs the integral elimination. Finally, Section 7 presents two Biology models treated by Algorithm integral_elimination.

Notations. In all the paper, $X$ is a set of indeterminates (morally representing time values functions), the set of commutative monomials on $X$ is denoted $X^{*}$, and $\mathbb{K}$ denotes a field of constants (such as $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}(\alpha), \ldots)$.

## 2 Background

In an analysis context with enough assumptions on $x(t), \int_{0}^{t} x(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau$ is the primitive of the function $x(t)$ which cancels at $t=0$. In an algebraic context, we can introduce a (formal) operator $\int$ which encodes the primitive operator. It is known that this operator is a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 0 since it satisfies the integration by part $\left(\int f\right) \cdot\left(\int g\right)=\int\left(f \int g\right)+\int\left(g \int f\right)$. This last property is easily extended to iterated integrals following the shuffle product [17, section 1.4 page 23] of two words $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, which is defined as the sum of all the words obtained by interlacing $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$. As an example (if we omit the inner parenthesis in the iterated integrals) we have $\left(\int f \int g\right) \cdot\left(\int a \int b\right)=$ $\int f \int g \int a \int b+\int f \int a \int g \int b+\int f \int a \int b \int g+\int a \int f \int g \int b+\int a \int f \int b \int g+\int a \int b \int f \int g$.

### 2.1 Integral Algebra

We introduce the so-called integral monomials and the so-called integral algebra in a rather informal way. More rigorous definitions and mathematical constructions (based on tensor product and tensor algebra) can be found in [129] where the authors define their mixed shuffle algebra (which corresponds to our integral algebra).

Definition 1 (Integral monomial). An integral monomial $M$ on $X$ is an iterated integral $m_{0} \int m_{1} \int \ldots \int m_{e}$ where $e \in \mathbb{N}$, and the $m_{i}$ are monomials in $X^{*}$. The length e of $M$ is denoted $|M|$. When $e=0$, an integral monomial is simply a monomial $m_{0}$ of $X^{*}$. The set of all integral monomials on $X$ is denoted $X^{\int}$.

Example 1. $x^{2}, x y, \int y^{2}, x \int x y \int y$ are integral monomials.

Notation Monomials in $X^{*}$ are written in lower case (for example $m, m_{0}, m_{1}$, $\left.\ldots, n_{0}, \ldots\right)$ and integral monomials of $X^{\int}$ are in upper case $\left(M, M_{1}, \ldots\right)$.

One can define a commutative product on our integral monomials in the following way. Note that the product of integral monomials yield a sum of integral monomials because of the shuffle product.

Definition 2 (Product of monomials). Let us consider two integral monomials $M=m_{0} \int m_{1} \int \cdots \int m_{e}$ and $N=n_{0} \int n_{1} \int n_{2} \int \cdots \int n_{f}$.

The product of the two monomials $M$ and $N$, denoted $M \cdot N$ is defined as

- $m_{0} n_{0}$ when $e=f=0$
- $m_{0} n_{0} \int n_{1} \int n_{2} \int \cdots \int n_{f}$ when $e=0$ and $f \neq 0$
$-n_{0} m_{0} \int m_{1} \int \cdots \int m_{e}$ when $e \neq 0$ and $f=0$
- $m_{0} n_{0} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i} I_{i}$ where the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i} I_{i}$ is obtained by developing the product $\left(\int m_{1} \int \cdots \int m_{e}\right) \cdot\left(\int n_{1} \int n_{2} \int \cdots \int n_{f}\right)$ as described above.

Definition 3 (Integral algebra). The algebra of the so-called integral polynomials is defined as $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$ where the product is induced by the product of monomials of Definition 2. The product of two polynomials $P$ and $Q$ is denoted $P \cdot Q$.

When $M$ is a monomial in $X^{*}$, the product simply amounts to multiply the first monomials $n_{0}$ in $N$. In that case, we omit the $\cdot$ in the product $M \cdot N$ to simplify the notations. The • product notation allows to distinguish between the iterated integral $\int\left(P \int Q\right)$ simply written $\int P \int Q$, and the product of $\int P$ times $\int Q$ which is written $\int P \cdot \int Q$.

Example 2. $\quad\left(x \int x y \int y\right) \cdot\left(y \int z\right)=x y \int x y \int y \int z+x y \int x y \int z \int y+x y \int z \int x y \int y$.
Definition 4 (Integral ideal). A set $I$ is an integral ideal of $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$ if $I$ is an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\oint}\right]$ and if $I$ is stable by $\int$ (i.e. if $P \in I$, then $\int P \in I$ ).

The notion of integral ideal is consistent with the fact that the primitive of the zero function (which cancels at $t=0$ ) is also the zero function.

### 2.2 Ordering the Integral Monomials

The elements of $X^{\int}$ can be totally ordered. In this paper, we define an elimination ordering on $X^{\int}$. More subtle ordering are certainly possible but are left for future work.

Definition 5. Fix an ordering on the elements of $X$. This ordering defines a lexicographic ordering on $X^{*}$ denoted $<_{E}$. With the same notations as in Definition 2, we define an ordering $<_{\int}$ on $X^{\int}$ in the following way: $M<_{\int} N$ if
$-\prod_{i=0}^{e} m_{i}<_{E} \prod_{i=0}^{f} n_{i}$

- or in case of equality $e<f$
- or in case of equality $\left(m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots m_{e}\right)<_{\text {revlex }}\left(n_{0}, n_{1}, \ldots n_{f}\right)$
where $<_{\text {revlex }}$ is the reverse lexicographic order on tuples induced by $<_{E}$.
It can be shown that the ordering of Definition 5 is a well-ordering.
Our order is an elimination ordering and as a consequence is different from the ordering defined in [9, page 5] which first sorts the monomials with respect to their length.

Example 3. Simply take $X=\{x, y\}$ with $x>y$. Then any monomial only involving $y$ is smaller than any monomial involving at least one $x$. Here are some monomials ordered by $<_{f}$ :

$$
1<_{f} y<_{f} y \int 1<_{f} \int y<_{f} \iint y<_{f} x<_{f} \int x<_{f} \iint x<_{j} x y<_{f} x^{2}
$$

Definition 6. Fix an ordering $<_{\rho}$ on integral monomials. We denote by $\bar{P}$ the leading monomial of an nonzero integral polynomial $P$ i.e. the highest monomial $M_{i}$ occurring in $P$ written as $\sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i} M_{i}$ with $\alpha_{i}$ nonzero in $\mathbb{K}$ and $M_{i}$ in $X^{\int}$. The leading coefficient of $P$ is the coefficient of $\bar{P}$.

As a consequence, any nonzero integral polynomial $P$ can be used to rewrite $\bar{P}$ into smaller integral monomials.

In the rest of this section and in the next section, we explain how to form particular polynomials in the ideal generated by $P$, which are suitable for defining a reduction process.

The following lemmas 1,2 and 3 show that our ordering is stable by multiplication by a monomial of $X^{*}$, by integration, and by multiplication by a monomial of $X^{\int}$.

Lemma 1. Let $M, N \in X^{\int}$ and $u \in X^{*}$. Then $M<_{f} N$ implies $u M<_{f} u N$.
Proof. - First case: If $\prod_{i=0}^{|M|} m_{i}<_{E} \prod_{i=0}^{|N|} n_{i}$, then it follows that $u \prod_{i=0}^{|M|} m_{i}<_{E}$ $u \prod_{i=0}^{|N|} n_{i}$, which implies that $u M<_{f} u N$

- Second case: If $\prod_{i=0}^{|M|} m_{i}=\prod_{i=0}^{|N|} n_{i}$ and $|M|<|N|$, then by multiplying by $u$, the product of the monomials will still be equal. Moreover, since $|M|=|u M|$ and $|N|=|u N|$, we have that $|u M|<|u N|$. And then, $u M<_{\int} u N$.
- Third case: If $\prod_{i=0}^{|M|} m_{i}=\prod_{i=0}^{|N|} n_{i},|M|=|N|$ and $\left(m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots m_{|M|}\right)<_{\text {revlex }}$ $\left(n_{0}, n_{1}, \ldots n_{|N|}\right)$. Clearly $\left(u m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots m_{|M|}\right)<_{\text {revlex }}\left(u n_{0}, n_{1}, \ldots n_{|N|}\right)$ and then $u M<{ }_{j} u N$.

Lemma 2. Let $M, N \in X^{\int}$. Then $M<_{\int} N$ implies $\int M<_{\int} \int N$.
Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 1.
Lemma 3. Let $M, N \in X^{\int}$ and $U \in X^{\int}$, then $M<_{\rho} N$ implies $\overline{U \cdot M}<_{\rho}$ $\overline{U \cdot N}$.

Proof. We use the same notation as in Definition 2. If $\prod_{i=0}^{e} m_{i}<_{E} \prod_{i=0}^{f} n_{i}$ then the proof is immediate. If $\prod_{i=0}^{e} m_{i}=\prod_{i=0}^{f} n_{i}$ and $e<f$, then the proof is also immediate. Consider the case $\prod_{i=0}^{e} m_{i}=\prod_{i=0}^{e} n_{i}$ and $\left(m_{0}, \ldots, m_{e}\right)<_{\text {revlex }}$ $\left(n_{0}, \ldots, n_{e}\right)$. The case $e=0$ cannot occur. Moreover, since $\prod_{i=0}^{e} m_{i}=\prod_{i=0}^{e} n_{i}$, we have $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{e}\right)<_{\text {revlex }}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{e}\right)$. Let us write $U=u_{0} \int \cdots \int u_{s}$ (where $s>0$ since the case $s=0$ is proved by Lemma 11. Then the integral monomials in the product $U \cdot M$ (resp. $U \cdot N$ ) involve shuffles of $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s}\right)$ by $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{e}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{e}\right)\right)$. We end the proof using Lemma 4.

Lemma 4. Consider three noncommutative words $u, v, w$ on a alphabet $Y$. Let $<$ denote the lexicographic order (or the reverse lexicographic order on words). If $u<v$, then the maximum word in $u Ш w(w . r . t .<)$ is smaller than the maximum word in $v \amalg w$ (where $\amalg$ denotes the shuffle product operation).
Proof. Left to the reader.

### 2.3 Basic Rewriting Rules

Consider a polynomial $P$ in $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$ with leading monomial $\bar{P}$. The following lemma 5 shows that any integral monomial of the form $m_{0} \int \cdots \int m_{e} \bar{P}$ (where the $m_{i}$ are in $X^{*}$ ) can be rewritten using $P$.

Lemma 5. Let $P \in \mathbb{K}\left[X^{f}\right]$ and monomials $m_{0}, \ldots, m_{e}$ taken in $X^{*}$. Then

$$
\overline{m_{0} \int \cdots \int m_{e} P}=m_{0} \int \cdots \int m_{e} \bar{P}
$$

Proof. Simple consequence of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.
$\underline{E x a m p l e} 4$. Take $X=\{x, y\}$ with $x>y$. Let $P=\int x-y$ (with leading monomial $\left.\bar{P}=\int x\right)$ written as the rule $\int x \rightarrow y$. Then the polynomial $\int(y P)$ has leader $\int y \int x$, and allows to reduce $\int y \int x$ into $\int y^{2}$.

The following lemma 6 shows that any integral monomial of the form $\overline{\bar{P} \cdot M}$ where $M$ is in $X^{\int}$ can be rewritten using $P$.
Lemma 6. Let $P \in \mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$ and $M \in X^{\int}$. Then

$$
\overline{P \cdot M}=\overline{\bar{P} \cdot M}
$$

Proof. Consequence of Lemma 3 .
Example 5. Following Example 4 take $M=\int y$. Then $P \cdot M=\left(\int x-y\right) \cdot \int y=$ $\int x \int y+\int y \int x-y \int y$. The leading monomial $\overline{P \cdot M}$ of $P \cdot M$ is $\int y \int x$, which coincides (as expected by Lemma 6) with the leading monomial of $\bar{P} \cdot M=$ $\left(\int x\right) \cdot\left(\int y\right)$.

Remark 1. Examples 4 and 5 show that the polynomial $P=\int x-y$ can be used in two different ways to reduce the same monomial $\int y \int x$. In some sense, there is a critical pair between $P$ and itself! This will be clarified in the next section.

## 3 Extended Rewriting Rules and Reduction

We show in this section that a single polynomial $P$ can be used to reduce more monomials than presented in Lemma 5 and Lemma 6. To do this, we build in the next two subsections some kind of collisions between polynomials in the ideal generated by $P$. Finally, last subsection presents a reducing process. Let us first introduce some notations and definitions.

Definition 7. Take an integral monomial $M=m_{0} \int m_{1} \int \cdots \int m_{e}$. We define $M_{\lfloor 0\rceil}=m_{0}$. When $e \geq 1$, we define $M_{\lfloor 1\rceil}=m_{1}, M_{\rfloor_{1+}}=m_{1} \int \cdots \int m_{e}$, $M_{\lfloor 1+\rceil}=\int m_{1} \int \ldots \int m_{e}$.
Finally $M_{\left\lfloor_{2+}\right\rceil}=1$ if $e=1$, and $M_{\lfloor 2+\rceil}=\int m_{2} \int \ldots \int m_{e}$ if $e \geq 2$.
Definition 8. Definition can be extended to polynomials of $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$ by linearity.
Definition 9. Any polynomial $P=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i} M_{i}$ in $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{f}\right]$ can be uniquely written as $P=P_{I}+P_{N}$ with

$$
P_{I}=\sum_{M_{i}{ }_{\left.{ }_{0}\right\rceil}=1} \alpha_{i} M_{i} \text { and } P_{N}=\sum_{M_{i}\left\lfloor_{0}\right\rceil^{\neq 1}} \alpha_{i} M_{i}
$$

This just amounts to collect the monomials which directly "starts" with an integral sign in $P_{I}$ (hence the suffix $I$ ), and the others in $P_{N}$.

### 3.1 Reduced-Product Rule

Consider a polynomial $P$ in $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$ and a monomial $M$ in $X^{\int}$. The following lemma 7 shows that the monomial $\left.\overline{\int\left(\overline{P_{\mathrm{I}}}\right.}{ }^{1+\rceil} \cdot \int M\right)$ can be rewritten using $P$. This is a formalization of Remark 1.

Lemma 7. Let $M \in X^{\int}$ and $P \in \mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$ such that $P_{I} \neq 0$ and $\bar{P}=\overline{P_{I}}$. Then

$$
\overline{P \cdot \int M-\int(M \cdot P)}=\overline{\int\left({\overline{P_{I}}}{ }_{1+\rceil} \cdot \int M\right)}
$$

Proof. Since $\left.P \cdot \int M=P_{\mathrm{I}} \cdot \int M+P_{\mathrm{N}} \cdot \int M=\int\left(P_{\mathrm{I}}\right\rfloor_{1+\rceil} \cdot \int M\right)+\int\left(M \cdot P_{\mathrm{I}}\right)+$ $P_{\mathrm{N}} \cdot \int M$ and since $\int(M \cdot P)=\int\left(M \cdot P_{\mathrm{I}}\right)+\int\left(M \cdot P_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$, we obtain $P \cdot \int M-$ $\int(M \cdot P)=\int\left(\left.P_{\mathrm{I}}\right|_{1+\rceil} \cdot \int M\right)+P_{\mathrm{N}} \cdot \int M-\int\left(M \cdot P_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$. Using Lemma 6, and Lemma 8 (with $M=\overline{P_{\mathrm{N}}}, N={\overline{P_{\mathrm{I}}}}_{]_{1+}}$ and $\left.U=\int M\right)$, we have $\overline{P_{\mathrm{N}} \cdot \int M}<_{\rho}$ $\overline{\overline{P_{\mathrm{N}}} \cdot \int M}<_{\int} \overline{\int\left(\overline{P_{\mathrm{I}}}{ }_{1+\rceil} \cdot \int M\right)}$. Similarly, $\overline{\int\left(M \cdot P_{\mathrm{N}}\right)}<_{\int} \overline{\int\left(\overline{P_{\mathrm{I}}}{ }_{1+\rceil} \cdot \int M\right)}$, which ends the proof.
Lemma 8. Let $M, N$ and $U$ in $X^{\int}$ then
$M<_{\rho} \int N$ implies that $\overline{M \cdot U}<_{\rho} \overline{\int(N \cdot U)}$ and $\overline{\int(M \cdot U)}<\overline{\int\left(N \cdot \int U\right)}$.
Proof. The proof of both statements is similar to that of Lemma 3.
Example 6. Take $X=\{x, y\}$ with $x>y$. Let $P=\int x-y$ (with leading monomial $\left.\bar{P}=\int x\right)$. Take $M=y$. We have $P_{\mathrm{I}}=\int x$ and $\bar{P}=\overline{P_{\mathrm{I}}}=\int x$. Thus $\left.\overline{P_{\mathrm{I}}}\right\rfloor_{1+\rceil}=x$. Lemma 7 proves that the monomial $\int x \int y$ can be rewritten using $P$.

### 3.2 Reduced-Power Rule

This section introduces the Lemma 9 which amounts to apply $n$ times Lemma 7 (where $n$ is a positive integer) in the particular case where $\int M=\bar{P}$. To do so, we need to introduce a special power of $P$ denoted $P^{\circledR}$ which can be viewed as a simplified version of $P^{n}$ modulo the ideal generated by $P$.
Definition 10. Consider a nonzero polynomial $P$ in $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$ written as $P=$ $P_{I}+P_{N}$ with $P_{I} \neq 0, P_{N} \neq 0$, and $\bar{P}=\overline{P_{I}}$. For any positive integer $n$, define

$$
\left.P^{(n}=n \int\left(P_{I}\right\rfloor_{1+\rceil} \cdot P_{N}^{n-1}\right)+P_{N}^{n}
$$

Lemma 9. With the hypothesis of Definition $10, P^{(\square)}$ belongs to the integral ideal generated by P. Moreover,

$$
\overline{P^{(n}}=\int \overline{\overline{P_{I}}}{ }_{1+\rceil} \cdot{\overline{P_{N}}}^{n-1}
$$

Lemma 9 is proved below, since it requires some preliminary results.
Example 7. Take $X=\{x, y\}$ with $x>y$. Let $P=\int x-y$. By Definition 10 for any positive integer $n, P^{(n}=n \int\left(x(-y)^{n-1}\right)+(-y)^{n}=(-1)^{n-1}\left(n \int\left(x y^{n-1}\right)-\right.$ $\left.y^{n}\right)$. Lemma 9 then shows any term of the form $\int\left(x y^{n-1}\right)$ is equal to $y^{n}$ modulo the ideal generated by $P$.
Lemma 10. Consider $A$ and $B$ in $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{f}\right]$ and take $P=\int(B)-A$. Then for any integer $n>0$ the polynomial $n\left(\int B \cdot A^{n-1}\right)-A^{n}$ is in the ideal generated by $P$.

Remark 2. Lemma 10 can be stated in the following less formal but more intuitive way using equalities. If $A=\int B$ then $A^{n}=n \int\left(B \cdot A^{n-1}\right)$.

Proof. To make the proof easier to follow, we use equalities and we actually prove Remark 2 by induction on $n$. The case $n=1$ is clear. We want to show $(n+$ 1) $\int\left(B \cdot A^{n}\right)=A^{n+1}$ assuming $n \int\left(B \cdot A^{n-1}\right)=A^{n}$. Multiplying both sides of the last equality by $\int B$ and developing the product yields $n\left(\int\left(\left(B \cdot A^{n-1}\right) \cdot \int B\right)\right)+$ $n\left(\int\left(B \cdot \int\left(B \cdot A^{n-1}\right)\right)\right)=A^{n} \cdot \int B=A^{n+1}$. Using $A=\int B$ and the induction hypothesis ends the proof.
Lemma 11. Let $A$ and $B$ in $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$. If $\bar{A}<_{\int} \overline{\int B}$ and $n \geq 1$ then

$$
\overline{n \int\left(B \cdot A^{n-1}\right)-A^{n}}=\overline{\int \bar{B} \cdot \bar{A}^{n-1}}
$$

Proof. It is straightforward to prove by induction on $n$ using Lemma 8 that $\overline{\bar{A}^{n}}<_{\int} \overline{\int\left(\bar{B} \cdot \bar{A}^{n-1}\right)}$. Since $\overline{n \int\left(B \cdot A^{n-1}\right)}=\overline{\int\left(\bar{B} \cdot \bar{A}^{n-1}\right)}>\overline{\bar{A}}^{n}$, we have

$$
\overline{n \int\left(B \cdot A^{n-1}\right)-A^{n}}=\overline{\int\left(B \cdot A^{n-1}\right)}=\overline{\int\left(\bar{B} \cdot \bar{A}^{n-1}\right)}
$$

Proof (of Lemma 9). The first claim of the lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma 10 by taking $A=-P_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $B=P_{\mathrm{I}}{ }_{1+7}$. Indeed, $\left(\int B\right)-A$ equals $P_{\mathrm{I}}+P_{\mathrm{N}}=P$. Moreover, $n\left(\int B \cdot A^{n-1}\right)-A^{n}$ is equal to $P^{\cap}$ up to $(-1)^{n-1}$. The second claim of the lemma is a consequence of Lemma 11.

### 3.3 Reduction

This section presents a reduction process for rewriting an integral monomial $M$ of $X^{\int}$ by an integral polynomial $P$. This reduction process is not complete yet and would need to be extended in a future work. In particular our reduction process does not handle the case where $M$ is equal to $\overline{P \cdot N}$ for some integral monomial $N$ in $X^{\int}$.

The following lemma is straightforward (its proof is left to the reader).
Lemma 12 (Reduction of a monomial $M$ by $P$ (simple case)). Consider $M$ in $X^{\int}$ and $P$ in $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$ with $P$ nonzero. Assume that the leading coefficient of $P$ is equal to one. If there exists a monomial $m$ in $X^{*}$ such that $m \bar{P}=M$, then introduce $R=M-m P$. Otherwise, if $\bar{P}$ is in $X^{*}$ and if there exists a monomial $m$ in $X^{*}$ such that $m \bar{P}=M_{\lfloor 0\rceil}$, then introduce $R=M-m P \cdot M\left\lfloor{ }_{\lfloor 1+\rceil}\right.$.

Then $\bar{R}<_{\rho} M$ when $R \neq 0$. Moreover $R$ is equal to $M$ modulo the ideal generated by $P$.

Next lemma handles a case where a power of $P$ can be used to rewrite $M$.
Lemma 13 (Reducing a monomial $M$ by $P$ when $\bar{P}=\overline{P_{\mathbf{I}}}$ and $|M| \geq 1$ ). Consider $M$ in $X^{\int}$ and $M$ in $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\oint}\right]$ with $P$ and $P_{I}$ nonzero with $\bar{P}=\overline{P_{I}}$. Assume that the leading coefficient of $P$ is equal to one.

If there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $\overline{P_{I}}{ }_{1\rceil} \bar{P}^{\left.\overline{P_{N}}{ }_{0}\right\rceil^{n-1}}=M_{\lfloor 1\rceil}$ and $\overline{\left(\overline{P_{I}}{ }_{\lfloor 2+\rceil}\right) \cdot\left(\overline{P_{N}}{ }_{\lfloor 1+\rceil}\right)^{n-1}}=M_{\left\lfloor_{2+}\right.}$ then introduce

$$
R=M-\frac{1}{n \ell_{P}^{n-1}} M_{\lfloor 0\rceil^{\top}} P^{(n}
$$

where $\ell_{P}$ is the leading coefficient of $P_{N}$.
Then $\bar{R}<_{\rho} M$ when $R \neq 0$. Moreover $R$ is equal to $M$ modulo the ideal generated by $P$.
 $\left.B_{P}=\overline{\left(\overline{P_{\mathrm{I}}}\right.}{ }_{\lfloor 2+\rceil}\right) \cdot\left(\overline{P_{\mathrm{N}}}{ }_{\lfloor 1+7}\right)^{n-1}$. Using the two equality assumptions, $M_{\lfloor 0} \overline{P^{(n}}=$ $M_{\lfloor 0\rceil} \int\left(M_{\lfloor 1\rceil^{\prime}} M_{\lfloor 2+\rceil}\right)=M$. Finally, it is easy to prove that the leading coefficient of $P^{\cap}$ is equal to $n \ell_{P}^{n-1}$, which proves the lemma.

Example 8. Recall Example 7 where $X=\{x, y\}$ with $x>y$ and $P=\int x-y$. Take $M=\int\left(x y^{n-1}\right)$ for some positive integer $n$. Applying Lemma 13 yields $R=\frac{y^{n}}{n}$.

The following lemma simply extends Lemma 12 and Lemma 13 by considering the "suffixes" of $M$.

Lemma 14 (Reduction of $M$ by $P$ ). Consider $M$ in $X^{\int}$ and $P$ in $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{f}\right]$ with $P$ nonzero. Denote $M=m_{0} \int \cdots \int m_{e}$. If a suffix $m_{i} \int \cdots \int m_{e}$ for $0 \leq i \leq e$ can be reduced by $P$ using either Lemma 12 or Lemma 13, then there exists $R$ in $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$ such that $R$ is equal to $M$ modulo $P$, with $\bar{R}<_{\int} M$ when $R \neq 0$.
Proof. Direct consequence of Lemma 5, 12, and 13
Previous Lemmas can be used to write Algorithm 1 which reduces a polynomial by a set of polynomials. Note that Algorithm 1 terminates since $<_{\rho}$ is a well-ordering.

```
Algorithm 1: reduce \((Q, T, X)\)
    Input: \(Q\) an integral polynomial in \(\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\top}\right]\);
    \(T\) a set of integral polynomials of \(\mathbb{K}\left[X^{J}\right]\)
    Result: a polynomial \(R\) equal to \(Q\) modulo the ideal generated by \(T\)
    begin
        \(R \leftarrow Q ;\)
        while \(R\) contains a monomial \(M\) that can be reduced by some polynomial
            \(P\) of \(T\) using Lemma 14 do
                reduce \(M\) by \(P\) in \(R\) using Lemma 14 ;
        end
        return \(R\)
    end
```


## 4 Critical Pairs

Given two integral polynomials $P$ and $Q$, we want to find an equivalent of the classical S-polynomials used in the Gröbner basis context. To do so, we look for monomials $M$ that can be reduced by both $P$ and $Q$.

Using results from the previous sections, we define three different critical pairs detailed in Lemmas 15, 16 and 17. More critical pairs could be considered and are left for future work. Finally, we present Algorithm 2 which extracts all possible S-polynomials from a set of polynomials $R$.

In all this section, $P$ and $Q$ are non zero integral polynomials such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\mathrm{I}} \neq 0, P_{\mathrm{N}} \neq 0, Q_{\mathrm{I}} \neq 0 \text { and } Q_{\mathrm{N}} \neq 0 \\
& P_{\mathrm{I}} \text { and } Q_{\mathrm{I}} \text { have a leading coefficient equal to } 1  \tag{1}\\
& \text { the leading coefficient of } P_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\text { resp. } Q_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \text { is denoted } \ell_{P}\left(\text { resp } \ell_{Q}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since the three lemmas below are a bit technical, we first illustrate each lemma with an example.

Example 9 (for Lemma 15). Take $X=\{x, y\}$ with $x>y$. Let $P=\int x^{2}-y \int y$ and $Q=\int x y-x$. Both equations $P$ and $Q$ can be used to reduce the monomial $\int x^{2} y \int y$. Indeed, $P^{(2)}=\left(y \int y\right)^{2}-2 \int x^{2} y \int y$. Moreover, $Q^{(2}=x^{2}-2 \int x^{2} y$, and $\left(Q^{(2)} \cdot \int y-\int y Q^{(2)}\right)=-2 \int x^{2} y \int y+x^{2} \int y-\int x^{2} y$. Finally introduce $S(P, Q)=$ $-\frac{1}{2} P^{(2)}-\left(-\frac{1}{2} Q^{(2)} \cdot \int y-\int y Q^{(2)}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2} \int y-\int x^{2} y-\left(y \int y\right)^{2}\right)$ whose leading monomial is less than $\int x^{2} y \int y$.

Example 10 (for Lemma 16). Take $X=\{x, y\}$ with $x>y$. Let $P=\int x^{2}-y \int y$ and $Q=x \int y-x$. Both equations $P$ and $Q$ can be used to reduce the monomial $\int x^{2} y \int y$. Indeed, $P^{(2)}=\left(y \int y\right)^{2}-2 \int x^{2} y \int y$. Moreover, $\int x y Q=\int x^{2} y \int y-\int x y^{2}$. Finally introduce $S(P, Q)=-\frac{1}{2} P^{(2)}-\int x y Q=\int x^{2} y-\frac{1}{2}\left(y \int y\right)^{2}$ whose leading monomial is less than $\int x^{2} y \int y$.

Example 11 (for Lemma 17). Take $X=\{x, y\}$ with $x>y$. Let $P=x^{2} y \int y+x$ and $Q=x y^{3} \int x+y^{2}$. Both equations $P$ and $Q$ can be used to reduce the monomial $x^{2} y^{3} \int y \int x$.

Indeed, $\frac{x^{2} y^{3}}{x^{2} y} P \cdot \int x=y^{2}\left(x^{2} y \int y+x\right) \cdot \int x=x^{2} y^{3}\left(\int y \int x+\int x \int y\right)+x y^{2} \int x$.
Moreover, $\frac{x^{2} y^{3}}{x y^{3}} Q \cdot \int y=x\left(x y^{3} \int x+y^{2}\right) \cdot \int y=x^{2} y^{3}\left(\int y \int x+\int x \int y\right)+x y^{2} \int y$.
Finally, introduce $S(P, Q)=\frac{x^{2} y^{3}}{x^{2} y} P \cdot \int x-\frac{x^{2} y^{3}}{x y^{3}} Q \cdot \int y=x y^{2} \int x-x y^{2} \int y$, whose leading monomial is less than $x^{2} y^{3} \int y \int x$.
Lemma 15 (Critical pairs: $\bar{P}=\overline{P_{\mathrm{I}}}$ and $\bar{Q}=\overline{Q_{\mathrm{I}}}$ satisfying (11)).
Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{K}\left[X^{f}\right]$ such that $\bar{P}=\overline{P_{I}}$ and $\bar{Q}=\overline{Q_{I}}$. If there exist positive


$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(P, Q)=\frac{1}{\alpha \ell_{P}^{\alpha-1}} \underbrace{\left(P^{@} \cdot B_{Q}-\int\left(C_{Q} \cdot P^{@}\right)\right)}_{U} \\
&-\frac{1}{\beta \ell_{Q}^{\beta-1}} \underbrace{\left(Q^{\circledR} \cdot B_{P}-\int\left(C_{P} \cdot Q^{\circledR}\right)\right)}_{V}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{P}=\overline{\left(\overline{P_{I}}{ }_{2+\rceil}\right) \cdot\left(\overline{P_{N}}{ }_{\lfloor 1+\rceil}\right)^{\alpha-1}}, B_{Q}=\overline{\left(\overline{Q_{I}}{ }_{2+\rceil}\right) \cdot\left(\overline{Q_{N}}{ }_{\lfloor 1+\rceil}\right)^{\beta-1}}$,
$C_{P}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0 & \text { if } B_{P}=1 \\ \left.B_{P}\right\rfloor_{1+7} & \text { if } B_{P} \neq 1\end{array}\right.$ and $C_{Q}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } B_{Q}=1 \\ \left.B_{Q}\right\rfloor_{1+7} & \text { if } B_{Q} \neq 1 .\end{cases}$
Then $\bar{U}=\bar{V}$. Moreover, $\overline{S(P, Q)}<_{j} \bar{U}$ when $S(P, Q) \neq 0$.
Proof. We only prove the general case where $B_{P} \neq 1$ and $B_{Q} \neq 1$ (the other cases are in fact particular cases that are not difficult to prove when the general case is understood).

Since both $B_{P}$ and $B_{Q}$ start with an integral (more precisely $B_{p}\lfloor 0\rceil=$ $B_{Q}{ }_{0\rceil}=1$ ), we have $B_{P}=\int C_{P}$ and $B_{Q}=\int C_{Q}$. Applying Lemma 9 yields $\overline{P^{@}}=\overline{\int\left(\overline{P_{\mathrm{I}}}{ }_{1+\rceil} \cdot{\overline{P_{\mathrm{N}}}}^{\alpha-1}\right)}=\int\left(\overline{P_{\mathrm{I}}}{ }_{{ }_{1}}{\left.\overline{P_{\mathrm{N}}}{ }_{[0\rceil}^{\alpha-1} B_{P}\right) \text {. Applying Lemma } 7 \text { with } P^{@}, ~}_{\text {© }}\right.$


By symmetry on $P$ and $Q$, we obtain $\left.\bar{V}=\int\left(\overline{Q_{\mathrm{I}}}{ }_{{ }_{1}}{\overline{Q_{\mathrm{N}}}}^{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha-1}\right\rceil^{-1} \overline{B_{Q} \cdot B_{P}}\right)$. Using the
 the leading coefficient of $U$ (resp. $V$ ) is $\alpha \ell_{P}^{\alpha-1}$ (resp. $\beta \ell_{Q}^{\beta-1}$ ). As a consequence, the leaders of $U$ and $V$ cancel each other in $S(P, Q)$, thus proving that $\overline{S(P, Q)}<_{f}$ $\bar{U}$ when $S(P, Q) \neq 0$.

Lemma 16 (Critical pairs: $\bar{P}=\overline{P_{\mathbf{I}}}$ and $\bar{Q}=\overline{Q_{\mathrm{N}}}$ satisfying (1)).
Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{K}\left[X^{\delta}\right]$ such that $\bar{P}=\overline{P_{I}}$ and $\bar{Q}=\overline{Q_{N}}$. If there exist a positive integer $\alpha$ and a monomial $m$ in $X^{*}$ such that $\overline{P_{I}}{ }_{[1}{\overline{P_{N}}}^{\alpha-1}{ }_{00}{ }^{\alpha-1}=m \overline{Q_{N}}{ }_{[0\rceil}$, then we define

$$
S(P, Q)=\frac{1}{\alpha \ell_{P}^{\alpha-1}} \underbrace{\left(P^{@} \cdot B_{Q}-\int\left(C_{Q} \cdot P^{@}\right)\right)}_{U}-\frac{1}{\ell_{Q}} \underbrace{\int\left(m Q \cdot B_{P}\right)}_{V}
$$

where
$\left.B_{P}=\overline{\left(\overline{P_{I}}{ }_{[2+\rceil}\right) \cdot\left(\overline{P_{N}}{ }_{1+\rceil}\right)^{\alpha-1}}, B_{Q}=\overline{Q_{N}}{ }_{\text {1+ }}\right\rceil$ and $C_{Q}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } B_{Q}=1 \\ \left.B_{Q}\right\rfloor_{1+\rceil} & \text { if } B_{Q} \neq 1 .\end{cases}$
Then $\bar{U}=\bar{V}$. Moreover $\overline{S(P, Q)}<_{j} \bar{U}$ when $S(P, Q) \neq 0$.
Proof. We only prove the lemma in the general case where $B_{P} \neq 1$ and $B_{Q} \neq 1$,
 the other hand $\bar{V}=\int \overline{\left(m Q \cdot B_{P}\right)}=\int\left(\left.m \overline{Q_{\mathrm{N}}}\right|_{0}{\overline{\bar{B}} \bar{Q} \cdot \overline{B_{P}}}_{\overline{(m)}}\right)$. Using the assumption
 $\ell_{Q}$, the leaders of $U$ of $V$ cancel each other in $S(P, Q)$ and $\overline{S(P, Q)}<_{j} \bar{U}$ when $S(P, Q) \neq 0$.

The following lemma is directly inspired by the critical pair defined in the Gröbner basis context.

Lemma 17 (Critical pairs: $\bar{P}=\overline{P_{\mathrm{N}}}$ and $\bar{Q}=\overline{Q_{\mathrm{N}}}$ satisfying (11)).
Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{K}\left[X^{\oint}\right]$ such that $\bar{P}=\overline{P_{N}}$ and $\bar{Q}=\overline{Q_{N}}$. We denote by $L$ the least common multiple $(L C M)$ of $\left.\overline{P_{N}}{ }_{0}\right\rceil$ and $\left.\overline{Q_{N}}{ }_{0}{ }_{0}\right\rceil$, i.e. $L=\operatorname{LCM}\left(\overline{P_{N}}{ }_{0}{ }_{0}, \overline{Q_{N}}{ }_{[0\rceil}\right)$.

Let us define

$$
\begin{cases}S(P, Q)=\frac{L}{\ell_{P} P_{N}}\left\lfloor_{0}\right\rceil \underbrace{P \cdot \overline{Q_{N}}\lfloor 1+\rceil}_{U}-\frac{L}{\ell_{Q} \overline{Q_{N}}}\left\lfloor_{0}\right\rceil \underbrace{Q \cdot \overline{P_{N}}\lfloor 1+\rceil}_{V} & \text { if } \overline{Q_{N}}\left\lfloor_{1+\rceil} \neq \overline{P_{N}}{ }_{1+\rceil}\right. \\ S(P, Q)=\frac{L}{\ell_{P} \overline{P_{N}}}\lfloor_{0\rceil} \underbrace{P}_{U}-\frac{L}{\ell_{Q} \overline{Q_{N}}}\left\lfloor_{0}\right\rceil & \underbrace{Q}_{V}\end{cases}
$$

Then $\bar{U}=\bar{V}$, and $\overline{S(P, Q)}<{ }_{f} \bar{U}$ when $S(P, Q) \neq 0$.
Proof. The proof is left to the reader.

```
Algorithm 2: critical_pairs \((R, X)\)
    Input: \(R\) a set of integral polynomials in \(\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]\);
    Result: the set \(S\) of all \(S\)-polynomials that can be obtained from \(R\). Note
                that \(S\) can be empty.
    begin
        \(S \leftarrow \emptyset ;\)
        for every pair \((P, Q)\) of polynomials in \(R\) do
            add \(S(P, Q)\) to \(S\) if Lemma 15, 16 or 17 applies to \(P\) and \(Q\)
        end
        return S;
    end
```


## 5 Exponentials

In this section, we explain how to encode exponentials of integral polynomials by introducing new indeterminates (called $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ ). This proves useful in many examples we have treated. After presenting a small example illustrating the interest of exponentials, we present some lemmas allowing to encode the exponentials in an algebraic way. We introduce Algorithm 3 which checks if an exponential can be introduced, and present Algorithm 4 which updates the sets of exponentials used in the elimination algorithm (Algorithm 6) presented in the next section.

Example 12. Consider the following system (written in both differential and integral form) where $x$ is unknown, and $y$ is known

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \dot { x } = \theta x }  \tag{2}\\
{ \dot { y } = x y }
\end{array} \longleftrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x=x_{0}+\theta \int x \\
y=y_{0}+\int x y
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

System (2) admits the following differential I/O equation $-\theta^{2} y+\theta \ddot{y}-\dot{y}^{2}$. We believe (personal unpublished proof) that no integral I/O equation in $y$ only can
be deduced from System (2). However, by (informally) introducing $u_{1}=e^{\theta t}$, one obtains $x=x_{0} u_{1}$ (by solving the equation in $x$ ) and (by a simple substitution)

$$
y=y_{0}+x_{0} \int\left(u_{1} y\right)
$$

which is an integral $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{O}$ equation in $y$.
The following lemma will prove useful later to compute a kind of product of two polynomials.

Lemma 18. Consider polynomials $A_{1}, A_{2}, C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ in $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$ and two constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ in $\mathbb{K}$. Define $P_{1}=A_{1}-\left(k_{1}+\int C_{1}\right)$ and $P_{2}=A_{2}-\left(k_{2}+\int C_{2}\right)$. Then the polynomial

$$
A_{1} \cdot A_{2}-\left(k_{1} k_{2}+\int\left(C_{1} \cdot A_{2}+A_{1} \cdot C_{2}\right)\right)
$$

belongs to the ideal generated by $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$.
Remark 3. Lemma 18 can be stated in the following less formal but more intuitive way using equalities. If $A_{1}=k_{1}+\int C_{1}$ and $A_{2}=k_{2}+\int C_{2}$, then $A_{1} \cdot A_{2}=k_{1} k_{2}+\int\left(C_{1} \cdot A_{2}+A_{1} \cdot C_{2}\right)$.

Proof. To make the proof easier to follow, we use equalities and we actually prove Remark 3. Developing $A_{1} \cdot A_{2}=\left(k_{1}+\int C_{1}\right)\left(k_{2}+\int C_{2}\right)$ gives $A_{1} \cdot A_{2}=$ $k_{1} k_{2}+k_{1} \int C_{2}+k_{2} \int C_{1}+\int\left(C_{1} \cdot \int C_{2}\right)+\int\left(C_{2} \cdot \int C_{1}\right)$. Using $\int C_{1}=A_{1}-k_{1}$ and $\int C_{2}=A_{2}-k_{2}$ in the previous expression yields $A_{1} \cdot A_{2}=k_{1} k_{2}+k_{1} f e_{2}+$ $k_{2} f C_{1}+\int\left(C_{1} \cdot A_{2}\right)-k_{2} f C_{1}+\int\left(C_{2} \cdot A_{1}\right)-k_{1} f C_{2}=k_{1} k_{2}+\int\left(C_{1} \cdot A_{2}+A_{1} \cdot C_{2}\right)$.

Example 13. If $u=1+\int(u G)$ and $v=1-\int(v G)$, then $u v=1$ by Lemma 18
The following Lemma 19 presented in an analysis context serves as a preparation for Lemma 20.

Lemma 19. For any continuous function $f(t)$ on $\mathbb{R}$, denote by $I(f(t))$ the primitive of $f$ that cancels at $t=0$.

Consider three real continuous functions $A(t), G(t)$ and $F(t)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$. Assume that $A(t)=A(0)+I(A(t) G(t)+F(t))$. Let us introduce $u(t)=e^{I(G(t))}$ and $v(t)=e^{-I(G(t))}$. Then the following hold

$$
\begin{align*}
A(t) v(t) & =A(0)+I(v(t) F(t))  \tag{3}\\
A(t) & =A(0) u(t)+u(t) I(v(t) F(t)) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. This lemma is easy to prove using derivations: we have $A^{\prime}(t)=A(t) G(t)+$ $F(t), u^{\prime}(t)=G(t) u(t)$ and $v^{\prime}(t)=-G(t) v(t)$. Equation (3) is satisfied at $t=0$ since $v(0)=1$. It suffices to prove that the derivative of (3) is equal to 0 . We have $(A(t) v(t)-(A(0)+I(v(t) F(t))))^{\prime}=A^{\prime}(t) v(t)+A(t) v^{\prime}(t)-v(t) F(t)=$ $(A(t) G(t)+F(t)) v(t)-A(t) G(t) v(t)-v(t) F(t)=0$ which proves Equation (3). Since $u(t) v(t)=1$, Equation (4) is proved by multiplying Equation (3) by $u(t)$.

The exponential $u(t)=e^{I(G(t))}$ in Lemma 19 can be encoded in an algebraic way by introducing the integral equation $u=1+\int(u G)$. The following Lemma 20 restates Lemma 19 in an algebraic context.

Lemma 20. Take three polynomials $A, G$ and $F$ in $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$ and a constant $A_{0}$ in $\mathbb{K}$. Define $P=A-\left(A_{0}+\int(A \cdot G+F)\right)$.

Introduce two new fresh indeterminates $u$ and $v$ (and add them to $X$ ), and consider the two polynomials $P_{u}=u-\left(1+\int(u G)\right)$ and $P_{v}=v-\left(1-\int(v G)\right)$.

Then the polynomials $A v-\left(A_{0}+\int(v F)\right)$ and $A-\left(A_{0} u+u \int(v F)\right)$ belong to the integral ideal generated by $P, P_{u}$ and $P_{v}$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 18 on $P$ and $P_{u}$ implies that $A v-\left(A_{0}+\int(-v A \cdot G+v(A\right.$. $G+F))=A v-\left(A_{0}+\int(v F)\right)$ is in the ideal generated by $P$ and $P_{u}$. Applying Lemma 18 on $P_{u}$ and $P_{v}$ implies that $u v-1$ is in the ideal generated by $P_{u}$ and $P_{v}$. As a consequence, $u\left(A v-\left(A_{0}+\int(v F)\right)\right)-A(u v-1)=A-\left(A_{0} u+u \int(v F)\right)$ is in the ideal generated by $P, P_{u}$ and $P_{v}$.

### 5.1 Algorithms find_A_AO_G_F and update_exp

Algorithm 3 takes an integral polynomial $P$ and tries to write it in the form $P=A-\left(A_{0}+\int(A \cdot G+F)\right)$ where $A_{0}$ is in $\mathbb{K}, A, G, F$ are in $\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]$, and $A$ and $G$ nonzero. When this succeeds, by Lemma 20, a new polynomial $A-\left(A_{0} u+\right.$ $u \int(v F)$ ) can be introduced where $u$ and $v$ are new indeterminates encoding $u=e^{\int G}$ and $v=e^{-\int G}$. Note the cases $A=0$ and $G=0$ are excluded since they do not introduce any useful equations.

Example 14. Let $P=x+y-2-\int x \int y-\int y \int y-\int y^{2}$. Algorithm 3 succeeds and returns $A=x+y, A_{0}=2, G=\int y$ and $F=y^{2}$.

Algorithm 4 takes as input a set $T^{\prime}$ of integral polynomials and a set $E$ of triples $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}, Q_{i}\right)$. Algorithm 4 is used by Algorithm 6 which performs the integral elimination. The set $T^{\prime}$ is the set of generators under construction. The set $E$ describes the exponential terms introduced so far, where $u_{i}$ (resp. $v_{i}$ ) encodes $e^{Q_{i}}$ (resp. $e^{-Q_{i}}$ ). Algorithm 4 mainly updates $T^{\prime}$ and $E$ by finding new interesting exponentials.

## 6 Integral Elimination Prototype

We present Algorithm 6 which performs the integral elimination. It follows a classical Knuth-Bendix approach by completing a set $T$ with critical pairs until the set $T$ stabilises. An originality of Algorithm 6 is that exponential terms are detected and added during the process.

Our algorithm still has many flaws. We do not know whether it terminates or not. The reduction part and the detection of critical pairs are not exhaustive. Moreover, we miss a Composition-Diamond lemma like in [2]9. As a consequence, our algorithm may miss some equations.

```
Algorithm 3: find_A_A0_G_F \((P, X)\)
    Input: a polynomial \(P\) in \(\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\delta}\right]\)
    Result: FAIL or \(\left(A, A_{0}, G, F\right)\) such that \(P=A-\left(A_{0}+\int(A \cdot G+F)\right)\) with
                    \(A_{0}\) is in \(\mathbb{K}, A, G, F\) are in \(\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\int}\right]\), and \(A\) and \(G\) nonzero.
    begin
        // We only deal with a simple case where \(A\) is in \(\mathbb{K}[X]\)
        try to write \(P\) as \(A-\left(A_{0}+\int Q\right)\) where \(A\) is a nonzero polynomial in \(\mathbb{K}[X]\)
            with no constant term, \(A_{0}\) is in \(\mathbb{K}\) and \(Q\) is in \(\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\delta}\right]\);
        return FAIL if it is not possible ;
        Write \(Q=\sum \alpha_{i} M_{i}\) with \(\alpha_{i}\) in \(\mathbb{K}\) and \(M_{i}\) in \(X^{\int} ;\)
        // Since \(\{A\}\) is a Gröbner basis (for the ordering \(<_{E}\) ), one can
            decompose each \(M_{i}{ }_{00}{ }_{0}\) in the following way:
        Decompose each \(M_{i}{ }_{[0\rceil}\) as \(\left.M_{i}{ }^{0}{ }_{0}\right\rceil=q_{i} A+r_{i}\) where \(r_{i}\) is the normal form of
            \({ }^{M_{i}}{ }^{[0]}\) w.r.t. \(\{A\}\);
        \(G \leftarrow \sum \alpha_{i} q_{i} M_{{ }_{i+\rceil}} ; F \leftarrow \sum \alpha_{i} r_{i} M_{{ }_{L 1+}} ;\)
        if \(G=0\) then return FAIL;
        return \(\left(A, A_{0}, G, F\right)\);
    end
```

However, our algorithm produces encouraging results (see Section 7). Moreover, it is to our knowledge the only implemented algorithm which performs integral elimination. Our algorithm has been coded in Python using SymPy.

We terminate this section by running Algorithm 6 on System (2) by taking $F=\left\{x-\left(x_{0}+\theta \int x\right), y-\left(y_{0}+\int x y\right)\right\}, X=x>y$ and $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\theta, x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. We write the polynomials of $T, T^{\prime}, T_{E}$ and $C$ as rewriting rules. The triplets $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}, Q_{i}\right)$ will be written as $u_{i}=e^{Q_{i}}$.

After Line 6, we have

$$
T^{\prime}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta \int x \xrightarrow[\longrightarrow]{R_{1}} x-x_{0} \\
\int x y \xrightarrow{R_{2}} y-y_{0}
\end{array} \text { and } X^{\prime}=x>y .\right.
$$

After Lines 7 and 8, we have

$$
T_{E}:\left\{\begin{array}{r}
x \xrightarrow{R_{3}} x_{0} u_{1} \\
y_{0} u_{2} \xrightarrow{R_{4}} y
\end{array}, E:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1}=e^{\theta \int 1} \\
u_{2}=e^{\int x}
\end{array} \text { and } X^{\prime}=u_{2}>v_{2}>x>y>u_{1}>v_{1}\right.\right.
$$

The set $T^{\prime}$ gets enlarged with $T_{E}$ at Line 9 . After Line 10, we have

$$
C:\left\{\begin{aligned}
x & \rightarrow x_{0}+\theta x_{0} \int u_{1} \\
-x_{0} \int u_{1} y & \rightarrow y_{0}-y .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

After Line 11, $T^{\prime}$ is enlarged with the two new equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\theta x_{0} \int u_{1} \xrightarrow{R_{5}} x_{0}-x_{0} u_{1} \\
-x_{0} \int u_{1} y \xrightarrow{R_{6}} y_{0}-y
\end{array}\right.
$$

```
Algorithm 4: update_exp \(\left(T^{\prime}, E, X\right)\)
    Input: \(T^{\prime}\) set of integral polynomials of \(\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\top}\right]\);
    \(E\) set of triples \(\left(u_{i}, v_{i}, Q_{i}\right)\) in \(X \times X \times \mathbb{K}\left[X^{\delta}\right]\)
    Result: \(T_{E}, E^{\prime}\)
    begin
        \(T_{E} \leftarrow \emptyset ; E^{\prime} \leftarrow E ;\)
        for every \(P \in T^{\prime}\) do
            if find_A-AO-G_F(P,X) succeeds and returns \(\left(A, A_{0}, G, F\right)\) then
                if \(\int G\) is different from all \(Q_{i}\) then
                // The following lines are based on Lemma 20, and
                        encodes \(u_{i}=e^{\int G}, v_{i}=e^{-\int G}\)
                                introduce two new variables \(u_{k}\) and \(v_{k}\) which are not in \(E^{\prime}\);
                                add \(\left(u_{k}, v_{k}, \int G\right)\) to \(E^{\prime}\);
                                \(P_{\exp } \leftarrow A-\left(A_{0} u_{k}+u_{k} \int\left(v_{k} F\right)\right) ;\)
                add \(P_{\text {exp }}\) to \(T_{E}\);
            end
            return \(T_{E}, E^{\prime}\)
    end
```

After Lines 12 and 13 ( $u_{2}$ is reduced by $R_{1}$ then $R_{3}$ ), we have

$$
E^{\prime}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1}=e^{\theta \int 1} \\
u_{2}=e^{\frac{1}{\theta}\left(x_{0} u_{1}-x_{0}\right)}
\end{array} \text { and } X^{\prime}=x>y>u_{2}>v_{2}>u_{1}>v_{1}\right.
$$

Consequently, we have found the following two equations involving $y$ and $\theta$

$$
y-y_{0} u_{2}=0 \text { and } y-y_{0}-x_{0} \int u_{1} y=0
$$

## 7 Examples

We present two examples handled by our implementation in Python. Both examples take less than two minutes on a computer equipped with a single CPU Ryzen 7 Pro 5875U. Note that the kind of integral I/O equations we obtain seem suitable for parameter estimation [14] even if they contain complicated exponential terms.

### 7.1 Intra-host Model of Malaria (taken from [1])

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=1-x-\beta x m \\
\dot{y}=\beta x m-y \\
\dot{m}=y-m-\beta x m
\end{array}\right.
$$

We assume that the only known indeterminate is $y$. As a consequence, we want to eliminate $x$ and $m$ in order to obtain an I/O equation in $y$ and $\beta$. The

```
Algorithm 5: extend_X_with_exp \((X, E)\)
    Input: \(X=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]\) ordered by \(x_{1}>\cdots>x_{n}\);
    \(E=\left[\left(u_{1}, v_{1}, Q_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(u_{m}, v_{m}, Q_{m}\right)\right]\) where the \(u_{i}\) and \(v_{i}\) are indeterminates,
    and where the \(Q_{i}\) are integral polynomials over \(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, u_{1}, v_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}, v_{m}\).
    Result: a list \(X^{\prime}\) ordered decreasingly of \(n+2 m\) indeterminates obtained by
                    inserting the \(u_{i}\) and \(v_{i}\) in \(X\) at the rightmost positions such that each
                    \(u_{i}\left(\right.\) resp. \(\left.v_{i}\right)\) is greater than any variable in \(Q_{i}\).
    begin
        If needed, reorder \(E\) such that each \(Q_{i}\) does not involve any \(u_{j}\) or \(v_{j}\) with
        \(j>i\). This can be done by a topological sort. ;
        \(X^{\prime} \leftarrow X\);
        for \(i\) from 1 to \(m\) do
            if \(Q_{i}\) involves at least one indeterminate in \(X^{\prime}\) then
                find the highest indeterminate \(w\) in \(Q_{i}\) w.r.t. the ordering on \(X^{\prime}\);
                insert \(u_{i}\) and \(v_{i}\) just before \(w\) in the list \(X^{\prime}\);
            else
                insert \(u_{i}\) and \(v_{i}\) at the end of \(X^{\prime}\);
        end
        return \(X^{\prime}\);
    end
```

differential I/O equation (computed with the Differential Algebra package [5]) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 \beta^{2} y^{3} \dot{y}^{3}+12 \beta^{2} y^{2} \dot{y}^{4}+12 \beta^{2} y \dot{y}^{5}+4 \beta^{2} \dot{y}^{6}-12 \beta^{2} y^{2} \dot{y}^{3} \\
& -24 \beta^{2} y \dot{y}^{4}-12 \beta^{2} \dot{y}^{5}+12 \beta^{2} y \dot{y}^{3}+12 \beta^{2} \dot{y}^{4}-8 \beta y^{3} \dot{y}^{2}+4 \beta y^{3} \dot{y} \ddot{y} \\
& +\beta y^{3} \ddot{y}^{2}-32 \beta y^{2} \dot{y}^{3}-4 \beta y^{2} \dot{y}^{2} \dddot{y}+3 \beta y^{2} \dot{y} \ddot{y}^{2}-37 \beta y \dot{y}^{4}-6 \beta y \dot{y}^{3} \ddot{y} \\
& -8 \beta y \dot{y}^{3} \dddot{y}+6 \beta y \dot{y}^{2} \ddot{y}^{2}-13 \beta \dot{y}^{5}-2 \beta \dot{y}^{4} \ddot{y}-4 \beta \dot{y}^{4} \dddot{y} \\
& +4 \beta \dot{y}^{3} \ddot{y}^{2}-4 \beta^{2} \dot{y}^{3}+16 \beta y^{2} \dot{y}^{2}-8 \beta y^{2} \dot{y} \ddot{y}-2 \beta y^{2} \ddot{y}^{2}+52 \beta y \dot{y}^{3} \\
& +10 \beta y \dot{y}^{2} \ddot{y}+8 \beta y \dot{y}^{2} \dddot{y}-6 \beta y \dot{y} \dot{y}^{2}+34 \beta \dot{y}^{4}+14 \beta \dot{y}^{3} \ddot{y} \\
& +8 \beta \dot{y}^{3} \dddot{y}-6 \beta \dot{y}^{2} \ddot{y}^{2}-8 \beta y \dot{y}^{2}+4 \beta y \dot{y} \ddot{y}+\beta y \dot{y}^{2}-20 \beta \dot{y}^{3}  \tag{5}\\
& -10 \beta \dot{y}^{2} \ddot{y}-4 \beta \dot{y}^{2} \dddot{y}+3 \beta \dot{y} \dot{y}^{2}+4 y^{3} \dot{y}-4 y^{3} \ddot{y}+20 y^{2} \dot{y}^{2}-4 y^{2} \dot{y} \ddot{y} \\
& +4 y^{2} \dot{y} \dddot{y}-6 y^{2} \ddot{y}^{2}-2 y^{2} \ddot{y} \dddot{y}+29 y \dot{y}^{3}+11 y \dot{y}^{2} \ddot{y}+12 y \dot{y}^{2} \dddot{y} \\
& -5 y \dot{y} \ddot{y}^{2}+y \dot{y} \ddot{y} \dddot{y}+y \dot{y} \dddot{y}^{2}-y \ddot{y}^{2} \dddot{y}+10 \dot{y}^{4}+2 \dot{y}^{3} \ddot{y}+7 \dot{y}^{3} \dddot{y} \\
& -7 \dot{y}^{2} \ddot{y}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2} \ddot{y} \dddot{y}+\dot{y}^{2} \dddot{y}^{2}-\dot{y} \ddot{y}^{3}-2 \dot{y} \ddot{y}^{2} \dddot{y} \\
& +\ddot{y}^{4}-4 y^{2} \dot{y}+4 y^{2} \ddot{y}-20 y \dot{y}^{2}-4 y \dot{y} \dddot{y}+10 y \dot{y}^{2}+2 y \ddot{y} \dddot{y}-25 \dot{y}^{3}-25 \dot{y}^{2} \ddot{y} \\
& -10 \dot{y}^{2} \dddot{y}+\dot{y} \ddot{y}^{2}-5 \dot{y} \ddot{y} \dddot{y}-\dot{y} \dddot{y}^{2}+4 \ddot{y}^{3}+\ddot{y}^{2} \dddot{y}=0
\end{align*}
$$

```
Algorithm 6: integral_elimination \((F, X)\)
    Input: \(F\) a set of polynomials in \(\mathbb{K}\left[X^{\delta}\right]\);
    \(X\) a list of known indeterminates ordered decreasingly.
    Result: if the algorithm stops, it returns an encoding of exponentials \(E\), and
                a set of polynomials \(T\) that generates an ideal containing the one
                    generated by \(F\). Moreover, \(F\) probably involves polynomials with
                small leading monomials.
    begin
        \(T \leftarrow F ; E \leftarrow \emptyset ; X^{\prime} \leftarrow X ;\)
        finished \(\leftarrow\) false ;
        while not(finished) do
            auto reduce \(T\);
            \(T^{\prime} \leftarrow T\);
            \(T_{E}, E^{\prime} \leftarrow\) update_exp \(\left(T^{\prime}, E, X^{\prime}\right) ;\)
            \(X^{\prime} \leftarrow\) extend_X_with_exp \(\left(X, E^{\prime}\right) ;\)
            \(T^{\prime} \leftarrow T^{\prime} \cup T_{E} ;\)
            \(C \leftarrow\) critical_pairs \(\left(T^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)\);
            For each \(Q \in C\), add reduce \(\left(Q, T^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)\) to \(T^{\prime}\) if reduce \(\left(Q, T^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) \neq 0\);
            replace in \(E^{\prime}\) each \(\left(u_{i}, v_{i}, Q_{i}\right)\) by \(\left(u_{i}, v_{u}\right.\), reduce \(\left.\left(Q_{i}, T^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)\right)\);
            \(X^{\prime} \leftarrow\) extend_X_with_exp \(\left(X, E^{\prime}\right)\);
            \(E \leftarrow E^{\prime}\);
            if \(T=T^{\prime}\) then finished \(\leftarrow\) true;
            else \(T \leftarrow T^{\prime}\);
        end
        return \((E, T)\);
    end
```

After introducing $u_{1}=e^{-t}$ and $v_{1}=e^{t}$, Algorithm 6 computes

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\beta m_{0} \int\left(u_{1} y\right)-\beta x_{0} \int\left(u_{1} y\right)-2 \beta y_{0} \int\left(u_{1} y\right)+\beta m_{0} x_{0} \int\left(u_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& +\beta m_{0} y_{0} \int\left(u_{1}^{2}\right)+\beta y_{0} x_{0} \int\left(u_{1}^{2}\right)+\beta y_{0}^{2} \int\left(u_{1}^{2}\right)+\beta \int\left(y^{2}\right) \\
& -\beta \int\left(u_{1} y \int v_{1} y\right)-\beta \int\left(u_{1} y \int v_{1}\right)+\beta x_{0} \int\left(u_{1}^{2} \int v_{1} y\right)  \tag{6}\\
& +\beta y_{0} \int\left(u_{1}^{2} \int v_{1} y\right)+\beta m_{0} \int\left(u_{1}^{2} \int v_{1}\right)+\beta y_{0} \int\left(u_{1}^{2} \int v_{1}\right) \\
& +\beta \int\left(u_{1}^{2} \int v_{1} y \int v_{1}\right)+\beta \int\left(u_{1}^{2} \int v_{1} \int v_{1} y\right)+y_{0}-y-\int y=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The prototype was not able to find any I/O equations without introducing exponentials. A non trivial step during the computation consists in introducing an exponential thanks to an intermediate equation $y+m=y_{0}+m_{0}-\int m$ which produces $y+m=\left(y_{0}+m_{0}\right) u_{1}+u_{1} \int v_{1} y$. This last equation permits to rewrite $m$. The same process also occurs for the $x$ variable. Rewriting $x$ and $m$ terminates the elimination process quickly.

### 7.2 SIWR Model - Cholera (taken from [7])

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{S}=\mu-\beta_{I} S I-\beta_{W} S w-\mu S+\alpha R \\
\dot{I}=\beta_{W} S W+\beta_{I} S I-\gamma I-\mu I \\
\dot{W}=\xi(I-W) \\
\dot{R}=\gamma I-\mu R-\alpha R \\
y=\kappa I
\end{array}\right.
$$

We assume that the only known indeterminate is $y$. As a consequence, we want to eliminate $S, I, W$ and $R$ in order to obtain an I/O equation in $y$ and the parameters. A differential I/O equation is computed in [7 using the StructuralIdentifiability package in Julia. This equation is huge and is a sum of 209350 terms containing $y(t)^{10}, \dot{y}(t)^{10}, \ddot{y}(t)^{8}, \dddot{y}(t)^{5}, \dddot{y}(t)^{4} \ldots$

After introducing the following exponentials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -u_{1}=e^{-\zeta \int 1} \\
& -u_{2}=e^{-(\alpha+\mu) \int 1} \\
& -u_{3}=e^{-\left(\mu \int 1+\frac{\beta_{I}}{\kappa} \int y+\frac{\beta_{W}}{\kappa} \int y-\frac{\beta_{W}}{\kappa} e^{-\zeta \int 1}\left(\int y e^{\varsigma \int 1}\right)+\frac{\beta_{W} w(0)}{\zeta}-\frac{\beta_{W} w(0)}{\zeta} e^{-\zeta \int 1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ their respective inverses, Algorithm 6 computes 4 equations only involving $y$. The biggest of them involves all parameters and is equal to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\alpha \beta_{I} \gamma \int\left(u_{3} y \int u_{2} v_{3} \int v_{2} y\right)-\alpha \beta_{I} \kappa r_{0} \int\left(u_{3} y \int u_{2} v_{3}\right) \\
-\alpha \beta_{W} \gamma \kappa w_{0} \int\left(u_{1} u_{3} \int u_{2} v_{3} \int v_{2} y\right)-\alpha \beta_{W} \gamma \zeta \int\left(u_{1} u_{3} \int u_{2} v_{3} \int v_{1} y \int v_{2} y\right) \\
-\alpha \beta_{W} \gamma \zeta \int\left(u_{1} u_{3} \int u_{2} v_{3} \int v_{2} y \int v_{1} y\right)-\alpha \beta_{W} \gamma \zeta \int\left(u_{1} u_{3} \int v_{1} y \int u_{2} v_{3} \int v_{2} y\right) \\
-\alpha \beta_{W} \kappa^{2} r_{0} w_{0} \int\left(u_{1} u_{3} \int u_{2} v_{3}\right)-\alpha \beta_{W} \kappa \zeta r_{0} \int\left(u_{1} u_{3} \int u_{2} v_{3} \int v_{1} y\right) \\
-\alpha \beta_{W} \kappa \zeta r_{0} \int\left(u_{1} u_{3} \int v_{1} y \int u_{2} v_{3}\right)-\beta_{I} \kappa m u \int\left(u_{3} y \int v_{3}\right)-\beta_{I} \kappa s_{0} \int\left(u_{3} y\right) \\
-\beta_{W} \kappa^{2} m u w_{0} \int\left(u_{1} u_{3} \int v_{3}\right)-\beta_{W} \kappa^{2} s_{0} w_{0} \int\left(u_{1} u_{3}\right)-\beta_{W} \kappa m u \zeta \int\left(u_{1} u_{3} \int v_{1} y \int v_{3}\right) \\
-\beta_{W} \kappa m u \zeta \int\left(u_{1} u_{3} \int v_{3} \int v_{1} y\right)-\beta_{W} \kappa \zeta s_{0} \int\left(u_{1} u_{3} \int v_{1} y\right) \\
+\gamma \kappa \int(y)-\kappa^{2} i_{0}+\kappa m u \int(y)+\kappa y=0
\end{array}
$$

Note that our I/O equations are much more compact than the differential ones.
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