



**HAL**  
open science

## Exploring the impact of data and statistical methods for defining sweet spots in Deep Brain Stimulation

Vittoria Bucciarelli, Dorian Vogel, Teresa Nordin, Karin Wårdell, Jerome Coste, Jean-Jacques Lemaire, Raphaël Guzman, Simone Hemm

### ► To cite this version:

Vittoria Bucciarelli, Dorian Vogel, Teresa Nordin, Karin Wårdell, Jerome Coste, et al.. Exploring the impact of data and statistical methods for defining sweet spots in Deep Brain Stimulation. 9th European Medical and Biological Engineering Conference, EMBEC society; IFMBE - International Federation for Medical and Biological Engineering; EAMBES - European Alliance for Medical and Biological Engineering & Science; DMBTS - Društvo za biomedicinsko tehniko Slovenije; University of Ljubljana, Jun 2024, Portoroz, Slovenia. page 148 - Id 3524 (PO-16). hal-04569292

**HAL Id: hal-04569292**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04569292>**

Submitted on 6 May 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

EMBEC2024

9<sup>th</sup> European Medical and  
Biological Engineering  
Conference



## EXPLORING THE IMPACT OF DATA AND STATISTICAL METHODS FOR DEFINING SWEET SPOTS IN DEEP BRAIN STIMULATION

Vittoria Bucciarelli<sup>1,\*</sup>, Dorian Vogel<sup>1</sup>, Teresa Nordin<sup>2</sup>, Karin Wårdell<sup>2</sup>, Jérôme Coste<sup>3</sup>,

Jean-Jacques Lemaire<sup>4</sup>, Raphaël Guzman<sup>5</sup>, Simone Hemm<sup>1</sup>

1. *FHNW University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland, School of Life Sciences, Institute for Medical Engineering and Medical Informatics, Muttenz, Switzerland*
2. *Linköping University, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Linköping, Sweden*
3. *Université Clermont Auvergne, Clermont Auvergne INP, CNRS, Institut Pascal, Clermont-Ferrand, France*
4. *Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont Auvergne INP, Institut Pascal, Clermont-Ferrand, France*
5. *Departement Biomedical Engineering, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland*

*\* Presenting author*

**Body:** The precise location of the sweet spot (optimal target), for Deep Brain Stimulation (DBS) is still under investigation. Nevertheless, its identification holds significant importance in guiding algorithms for the automatic programming of DBS parameters. Previous studies have predominantly used t-tests and Wilcoxon tests to generate probabilistic stimulation maps. A comprehensive evaluation of both the dataset and the chosen statistical method's impact on the extracted sweet spot from group analysis is lacking. This study aims to compare the outcomes of these statistical tests applied to two DBS datasets with distinct compositions. The data used in this study was obtained from intra-operative stimulation tests on 6 Essential Tremor (ET) patients with implants in the ventral-intermediate nucleus (VIM). Stimulation parameters were used to generate patient-specific electric field simulations, subsequently transformed to a group-specific MRI template. Voxel-wise one-tailed one-sample t-tests and Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were performed to identify voxels significantly associated with tremor improvement exceeding 60% ( $p < 0.05$ ). False Discovery Rate (FDR) correction was applied to mitigate false positives. The significant clusters obtained with the two methods were compared visually and by calculating their total volume, intersection volume, and Dice coefficient. Additionally, validation was conducted by correlating the overlap between the electric field and sweet spot with the improvement observed in the clinic. The same tests were

then repeated on a subset of the data, including only the 50 best configurations per patient weighted by amplitude. The statistical analyses on the complete dataset revealed sweet spots of varying sizes: 28.88 mm<sup>3</sup> for the t-test and 58.25 mm<sup>3</sup> for the Wilcoxon test. The Dice coefficient between the two was 66%. The significant volume obtained with the t-test was entirely enclosed in the volume extracted with the Wilcoxon test. For the best configurations sweet spot volumes were 567.63 mm<sup>3</sup> for t-test and 560.88 mm<sup>3</sup> for Wilcoxon, with a Dice coefficient of 99.3%. Stimulations activating the sweet spot were correlated to improvement with similar coefficients between methods but higher when using sweet spots derived from the complete dataset. Users should be conscious of the strong influence that the dataset and chosen statistical method exert on the extracted sweet spot in group analysis. Restricting analysis to optimal parameters leads to inflated volumes undermining the ability to identify high improvement areas. Despite the difference in extracted volumes between the methods, both provided a significant correlation with clinical improvement. Thus, further criteria to inform the method's selection are needed.

Keywords: Deep Brain Stimulation; Sweet Spot; Probabilistic Stimulation Maps.

Session(s): Neuroscience and neuroengineering [main track]

Abstract 3524