



HAL
open science

Graphene via Molecule-Assisted Ultrasound-Induced Liquid-Phase Exfoliation: A Supramolecular Approach

Matilde Eredia, Artur Ciesielski, Paolo Samori

► **To cite this version:**

Matilde Eredia, Artur Ciesielski, Paolo Samori. Graphene via Molecule-Assisted Ultrasound-Induced Liquid-Phase Exfoliation: A Supramolecular Approach. *Physical Sciences Reviews*, 2016, 1 (12), 10.1515/psr-2016-0101 . hal-04568183

HAL Id: hal-04568183

<https://hal.science/hal-04568183>

Submitted on 3 May 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Graphene *via* molecule assisted ultrasound induced liquid-phase exfoliation: A supramolecular approach

*Matilde Eredia, Artur Ciesielski and Paolo Samorì**

Matilde Eredia, Dr. A. Ciesielski, Prof. P. Samorì
Nanochemistry Laboratory, ISIS & icFRC, Université de Strasbourg & CNRS,
8 allée Gaspard Monge, 67000 Strasbourg, France
E-mail: samori@unistra.fr

Abstract

Graphene is a two-dimensional (2D) material holding unique optical, mechanical, thermal and electrical properties. The combination of these exceptional characteristics makes graphene an ideal model system for fundamental physical and chemical studies as well as technologically ground breaking material for a large range of applications. Graphene can be produced either following a *bottom-up* or *top-down* method. The former is based on the formation of covalent networks suitably engineered molecular building blocks undergoing chemical reaction. The latter takes place through the exfoliation of bulk graphite into individual graphene sheets. Among them, ultrasound-induced liquid-phase exfoliation (UILPE), is an appealing method, being very versatile and applicable to different environments and on various substrate types. In this book chapter, we describe the recently reported methods to produce graphene *via* molecule-assisted UILPE of graphite aiming at the generation of high quality graphene. In particular, we will focus on the supramolecular approach, which consists in the use of suitably designed organic molecules during the UILPE of graphite. These molecules act as graphene dispersion-stabilizing agents during the exfoliation. This method relying on the joint effect of a solvent and *ad-hoc* molecules to foster the exfoliation of graphite into graphene in liquid environment represents a promising and modular method towards the improvement of the process of UILPE in terms of the concentration and quality of the exfoliated material. Furthermore, exfoliations in aqueous and organic solutions are presented and discussed separately.

1. Introduction

Graphene, a 2D honeycomb lattice of carbon atoms, has emerged as a fantastic material possessing outstanding electrical, optical, mechanical, and thermal properties.[1] In view of its superior characteristics, this *wonder* material holds potential to influence future emerging technologies, including solar cells,[2, 3] light-emitting devices,[4] photodetectors,[5, 6, 7, 8] touch screens,[9] spin valves,[10, 11] ultrafast lasers,[12, 13] and flexible and wearable electronics,[14] to name a few. Moreover, its surface area, quantified experimentally being as high as $2700 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, [15, 16] have made graphene an attractive system for gas,[17, 18, 19] and energy[15, 20, 21] storage, (micro-) optoelectronics,[22, 23, 24, 25] catalysis,[26, 27] energy conversion,[15] as well as in biological labelling.[28]

Graphene can be produced and isolated either following the *bottom-up* or the *top-down* strategy.[29, 30] Graphene can be obtained in very high quality sheets by exploiting the *bottom-up* covalent association of small molecular building blocks, undergoing chemical reaction to form 2D networks[31, 32, 33]; however, the quantity of materials produced with this method is limited. The growth on (catalytically active) solid surfaces achieved by chemical vapour deposition (CVD),[34, 35] or *via* silicon evaporation from silicon carbide,[36] represent alternative *bottom-up* paths. *Top-down* approaches, which are based on the separation of graphene sheets from graphite, can be carried out under various environmental conditions.[37, 38] In particular, defect-free sheets can be obtained by making use of the micromechanical cleavage,[39] and microwaves.[40] Amongst the *top-down* methods, liquid-phase exfoliation (LPE), which can be further divided in the three sub-methods, i.e. ultrasound-induced LPE (UILPE),[41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47] electrochemical exfoliation (EE),[48, 49, 50, 51, 52] high-shear mixing (HSM),[53, 54] and is an attractive approach, being extremely versatile and applicable to various experimental conditions. Whereas *bottom-up* approaches, and in particular CVD, can yield large size graphene, LPE gives limited sheet sizes.[43, 55, 56, 57] Nonetheless, LPE has numerous advantages. It is a

viable inexpensive process that can be easily up-scaled to mass-produced dispersions processable by well-established methods, like spin-coating, drop-casting, screen-printing and ink-jet printing.[58, 59, 60] High-yield exfoliation and dispersion of graphene in high quantities into the liquid phase is key for fundamental studies and for practical applications.[14] Hence, increasing research efforts are being dedicated to the production of graphene *via* LPE, and especially *via* UILPE and to improve the material's physicochemical and electrical properties. Remarkably, the versatility of the UILPE enables the exploration of various 2D layered systems,[41, 42, 61, 62] like transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) exhibiting different composition, e.g. MoS₂, WS₂, NbSe₂, TaS₂, as well as graphene-like (hexagonal) structures like *h*-BN,[63] thereby making it possible to modulate various physico-chemical properties of 2D materials.

In this *Chapter*, we highlight the recent advances in the field of production of high quality graphene by means of UILPE of graphite. In particular, we discuss the mechanisms of exfoliation and methods that are used to characterize graphene. We then discuss numerous UILPE examples by sub-dividing them into two major classes, i.e. molecule-free and molecule-assisted UILPE. Moreover, exfoliation in aqueous and organic solutions is discussed separately.

2. Ultrasound-induced liquid-phase exfoliation

The effective exfoliation of graphite towards graphene requires the overcoming of the van der Waals attractions between the adjacent sheets. An efficient and straightforward method to lower the strength of the van der Waals interactions is the liquid immersion. Although the van der Waals interactions between adjacent sheets are weak enough to let them slide on each other in the direction perpendicular to the *c*-axis, the interactions are strong enough to inhibit the exfoliation of graphite into individual graphene sheets. This issue can be overcome by making use of external physical forces to the graphite immersed in the solvent.

In particular, graphite can be exfoliated in liquid environments by using ultrasounds to extract individual layers.[12, 43, 45, 55, 56, 64, 65, 66, 67]

Figure 1. HERE

After the exfoliation, the solvent-graphene interaction needs to balance the inter-sheet attractive forces. Solvents with surface tension (γ) $\sim 40 \text{ mJ m}^{-2}$, [45] have been found being ideal for dispersing graphene and graphitic flakes, since they minimize the interfacial tension between the graphene and the solvent, e.g. N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP; $\gamma - 40 \text{ mJ m}^{-2}$), N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF; $\gamma - 37.1 \text{ mJ m}^{-2}$), *ortho*-dichlorobenzene (*o*-DCB; $\gamma - 37 \text{ mJ m}^{-2}$). [45] Despite extensive efforts in this field, the UILPE of pristine graphite can be achieved in a limited number of solvents. The use of these solvents has some downsides that cannot be overlooked, e.g. NMP and *o*-DCB can cause irritation of the eyes and respiratory tract. Furthermore NMP and DMF are toxic for multiple organs. [68, 69] Therefore, the search of alternative solvents for graphene exfoliation has gathered considerable attention in the past few years.

In 2009 Bourlinos [70] and collaborators studied the efficiency of peculiar class of fluorinated solvents. In particular, perfluorinated analogous of hydrocarbon solvents, i.e. benzene, toluene, nitrobenzene, and pyridine, have been used. The performance of each solvent was reported as follows: octafluorotoluene \sim pentafluoropyridine $<$ hexafluorobenzene $<$ penta fluorobenzonitrile. Depending on the solvent, the concentrations of the graphene dispersions, mostly composed of few-layered graphene, ranged between 0.05 and 0.1 mg mL^{-1} .

Recently, Sun [71] and collaborators have shown that graphene can be efficiently dispersed in amine-based solvents, namely 3,3'-iminobis(*N,N*-dimethylpropylamine) (DMPA), *N*-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]methacrylamide (DMAPMA), 2-(*tert*-butylamino)ethyl

methacrylate (BAEMA) and 2-(dimethylamino)ethyl methacrylate (MAEMA). Albeit the concentration of graphene dispersions was found as high as 15 mg mL^{-1} (estimated *via* analysis of absorption coefficient), no information on the sheet(s) thickness was provided.

The comparison of values of graphene concentration obtained in the different labs and using different methods is one of the hottest matters in the field. The concentration of graphene as well as the lateral flake size varies considerably from one article to another. This can be due to the fact that (slightly) dissimilar experimental conditions, like initial graphite concentration, sonication power, solvent volume and temperature employed by numerous groups, are (with a few exceptions) commonly not indicated or not discussed. Thus, it is of fundamental importance to define a reproducible procedure relying on the best experimental conditions for LPE and in particular for UILPE, as well as the exploits defined standards for describing the graphene dispersions. In particular, the yield of LPE is defined as the ratio between the weight of dispersed graphitic materials and that of the starting graphite flakes (Y_w [%]).[29] The yield by single-layered graphene (Y_s [%]), is defined as the ratio between the number of SLG and the total number of graphitic flakes in the dispersion. The yield by SLG weight (Y_{ws} [%]) is expressed as the ratio between the total mass of dispersed SLG and the total mass of all dispersed flakes. The Y_w does not provide information on the quantity of SLG, but only on the total amount of graphitic material. Yields by Y_s and Y_{ws} are more appropriate to quantify the amount of dispersed SLG sheets.

Various characterization techniques must be employed in parallel if one wants to perform a thorough qualitative and quantitative analysis of the exfoliated material. In particular, quantitative information can be evaluated by providing the yield of exfoliation expressed in terms of Y_w , the qualitative analysis provides more relevant details such as the Y_s or Y_{sw} , the lateral size of the flakes and the presence/absence of defects. Presently, the only reliable method to identify the number of graphene layers (N) in material produced by UILPE is high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM).[56] Together with

the information coming from electron diffraction patterns, in HR-TEM the number of layers can be directly counted by analysing the sheet edges.[72] The N can be also quantified by exploiting atomic force microscopy (AFM) imaging. However, it is worth noting that SLG height *via* AFM depends on the substrate and on the environmental conditions, e.g. relative humidity. Actually, on mica SLG thickness amounts to ~ 0.4 nm[73] while on SiO₂ SLG appears to have a height of ~ 1 nm.[39] Raman spectroscopy allows identification of structural damages, electronic perturbations, as well as non-covalent functionalization and chemical modifications (possibly) occurring during the UILPE, processing or deposition of graphene on various substrates.[74, 75] The analysis of Raman spectra can give insight into the number and position of broken-conjugation areas in graphene, known as graphene atomic- or point-defects, which can influence the electronic properties of graphene. Over the past years, there has been a major step towards the understanding of Raman spectroscopy of graphene, powered by new results on doping,[76, 77, 78] edge defects,[79, 80, 81] electrical mobility[82, 83] and oxidation.[84]

2. Molecule-assisted UILPE

The use of properly selected organic molecules can enhance the exfoliation of bulk graphite into graphene, in particular when the molecules have a high energy of adsorption on the basal plane of graphene. These molecules, mainly act as graphene dispersion-stabilizing agents (DSAs) interacting non-covalently with graphene, i.e. through the physisorption of their hydrophobic tails on the graphene surface during the process of exfoliation of graphite *via* UILPE.

2.1. Dispersions in aqueous solutions

Water, the "natural" solvent, has $\gamma \sim 72$ mJ m⁻²,[85] being too high for dispersing graphene and graphite,[86] because of the hydrophobic nature of graphene sheets.

Nevertheless, the use of water as a liquid medium in UILPE of graphite is of interest for the use of graphene as component in biocompatible materials.[87, 88] Remarkably, the low performance in UILPE when water is used as media can be overcome by employing DSAs molecules, to help the exfoliated graphene sheets to remain dispersed, and hinder their aggregation.[89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97]

Among DSAs, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)[98, 99, 100, 101] substituted with various side groups are the most studied compounds.[102, 103] Adsorption of PAHs onto the graphene surface takes place *via* π - π interactions between the planar π -conjugated surfaces. In these non-covalent interactions both PAHs and graphene aromatic planar surfaces share the electrons of π -orbitals, which ultimately results in the reduction of the surface free energy of the dispersion.

In the past decades, pyrene derivatives have been successfully employed to stabilize CNTs dispersions,[104] and as in the case of NMP, they have been adopted for UILPE of graphite.[105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113] Noteworthy, DSAs suitable for dispersing CNTs with a curved surface may not always be adapt for dispersing graphene, which features a flat surface. Figure 2 depicts the chemical formulae of different polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) derivatives employed as the DSAs.

Figure 2. HERE

In particular, in 2010 He and co-workers[113] dispersed SLG into an aqueous dispersion by using 1,3,6,8-pyrenetetrasulfonic acid tetrasodium salt ($\text{Py}(\text{SO}_3)_4$) and aminomethylpyrene (PyMeNH_2) as DSAs, and fabricated transparent conductive films. Yet, neither the yield nor the effectiveness of the protocol was discussed. In 2012, a number of pyrenes were utilized by

Green and co-workers[110] to test their performance as DSAs. Among all investigated pyrenes, i.e. Pyrene (Py), 1-Pyrenecarboxylic acid (PyCA), 1-Pyrenebutyric acid (PyBA), 1-Pyrenesulfonic acid hydrate (PySAH), 1-Aminopyrene (PyNH₂), 1-Aminomethyl pyrene (PyMeNH₂), 1-Pyrenebutanol (PyBOH), 1-Pyrenesulfonic acid sodium salt (PySO₃) and 1,3,6,8-Pyrenetetrasulfonic tetra acid tetra sodium salt (Py(SO₃)₄), the PySO₃ was found being the most efficient, yielding graphene dispersion concentration as high as 1 mg mL⁻¹. To quantify the amount of SLG and FLG in the dispersions, the PySO₃-stabilized graphene samples were characterized by HRTEM, which revealed the presence of 2-4 layers thick sheets, as commonly observed in UILPE samples.

Recently Palermo and collaborators[108] went one step further and explored the thermodynamics of molecule-assisted UILPE of graphite. The authors investigated the mechanism of physisorption of different pyrenes on the surface of graphene, and successive UILPE in water. An in-depth analysis was carried out on pyrenes functionalized with sulfonic groups. In particular 1-Pyrenesulfonic acid sodium salt (PySO₃), 6,8-Dihydroxy-1,3-pyrenedisulfonic acid disodium salt (Py(OH)₂(SO₃)₂), 8-Hydroxy-1,3,6-pyrenetrisulfonic acid trisodium salt (PyOH(SO₃)₃), and 1,3,6,8-pyrenetetrasulfonic acid tetrasodium salt (Py(SO₃)₄) were tested. Experimental results supported by molecular dynamics simulations showed the correlation between molecule-graphene adsorption energy and the amount of dispersed graphene sheets. Remarkably, the results obtained imply that the performance of pyrene-assisted UILPE is indirectly driven by the molecular dipoles, which are not important per se, but since they facilitate the adsorption of pyrenes on graphene sheets by promoting the lateral displacement of the solvent molecules intercalating between the graphene sheets and pyrene cores.

Significantly, many other examples of increasing the yields UILPE of graphite in water by exploiting pyrene-graphene π - π interactions have been reported. In 2011, Lee and co-workers[107] revealed that an aromatic amphiphile based on a conformationally flexible

aromatic segment including four pyrene moieties (PyHD), stabilizes graphene dispersions in water with the concentration of 1.5 mg mL^{-1} . In other works Shi,[114] Müllen,[96] and Honma[106] used pyrenebutyrate (PyBA) and/or pyrenesulfonic acid (Py-SAH) to stabilize graphene in water for use in electrochemical, solar cell, and composite applications.

Recently, Liu and co-workers[115] designed naphthalene diimide (NDI) with ionic groups attached to the NDI unit through flexible alkyl spacers (see NDICA in Figure 2) and successfully used it as DSAs. It was demonstrated that NDICA exhibits an excellent capability to exfoliate graphite and disperse graphene in an aqueous solution, as revealed by the few-layered graphene concentration as high as 5 mg mL^{-1} after centrifuging at 1000 rpm or 1.2 mg mL^{-1} after centrifuging at 5000 rpm. The superior performance of the NDICA is ascribed to their chemical structures, which guarantee strong π - π interactions between the molecules and graphene and electrostatic (ionic) interactions between carboxylic groups of NDICA and water molecules.

Several perylene-based DSAs have been used to leverage the exfoliation of graphite in aqueous solutions, including sophisticated perylene diimide (PDI)-based bolaamphiphiles[94] (PDIBBA; Figure 4) and PDI-sulfonic acid ($\text{PDI}(\text{SO}_3)_2$).[96] An efficient method for the preparation of graphene by UILPE in aqueous dispersions, was reported by Stupp, Stoddart and co-workers,[116] where N,N'-dimethyl-2,9-diazaperopyrenium dichloride (PDAP; see Figure 2) molecules were employed to stabilize dispersed graphene sheets in water. Nevertheless, the AFM study of the exfoliated material showed that the exfoliated graphene sheets are primarily 2 to 4 layers thick.

Because of the good performance of pyrenes, NIDs and PDIs as DSAs, other PAHs are also expected to stabilize graphene produced through UILPE of graphite. Some recent

examples revealed that both anthracene,[93] and coronene[95] can be used as DSAs. In particular, Lee and co-workers proved that the exfoliation of graphite can be also achieved by non-covalent functionalization using 9-anthracene carboxylic acid (ACA).[93] Amazingly, ACA-graphene based composites exhibit unique electronic properties, i.e. they hold high specific capacitance value of 148 F g^{-1} , as demonstrated by performance of ACA-graphene based ultracapacitor.

Over the past years, it has been shown that graphene can be dispersed in water by using various organic polymers as DSAs, [12, 89, 91, 92, 110, 111, 112, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126] like cellulose acetate (CA),[127] ethyl cellulose (EC),[123] polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP),[118] lignin,[124] gum arabic (GA),[119, 120] gelatin derived from animal skin and bones,[121] and even more complex systems such as bovine serum albumin (BSA),[117] hyaluronan (PyHA)[111] and DNA[92] functionalized with pyrene units.

While majority of DSAs employed in UILPE of graphite have ionic nature, in their pioneering work Guardia and co-workers,[122] studied numerous nonionic DSAs, and compared them with their ionic analogues. It was concluded that the non-ionic DSAs significantly outperform their ionic counterparts. The best result, a graphene dispersion of $\sim 1 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$, was attained when the triblock copolymer Pluronic[®] P-123 (see Figure 3) was employed. AFM analysis showed that graphene sheets produced in presence of P-123 had lateral sizes in the range of hundreds of nanometers, and almost all the sheets were thinner than 5 layers thick (ca. 15% SLG), in accordance with other investigations of DSAs-assisted UILPE.

Figure 3. HERE

More recently, Farris and co-workers performed UILPE of graphite in water with the assistance of three polysaccharides, namely nonionic pullulan, cationic chitosan, and anionic alginate.[126] The effects of polymer type, initial concentration of graphite, and ultrasonication time on the graphene concentration and quality were benchmarked. Upon ultrasonication treatment for 30 min, graphene dispersions with concentrations of up to 2.3 mg mL⁻¹ in pullulan-stabilized dispersions and 5.5 mg mL⁻¹ in the case of chitosan were produced. The obtained graphene sheets were characterized as low-defect SLG, and FLG (<5). Findings arising from these studies suggest that pullulan and chitosan are outperforming alginate as DSAs, because of the different surface free energy and thermodynamic affinity.

The use of polymers as DSAs in the UILPE process is unquestionably more beneficial (from graphene dispersion concentration point of view) than the use of organic molecules, however because of the strong polymer/graphene interactions the majority of graphene produced by making use of this approach cannot be fully separated from polymer/graphene composites.

Interestingly, in some cases the elimination of the polymeric DSAs is not compulsory, and in fact their presence can have some benefits. In particular, Yoon and co-workers revealed that graphene dispersions can be stabilized by four different polymers based on either poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) or dextran functionalized with conjugated moieties, like phenyl or pyrene (see PyDT and PyPVA in Figure 3).[112] The ability of these polymers to stabilize graphene dispersions was systematically explored. Moreover, graphene hydrogels and aerogels were prepared from the aqueous dispersion of the graphene/polymer. The cross-linking of the dispersed polymers in the solution gave hydrogels with embedded graphene flakes inside the polymeric networks, and the subsequent freeze-drying of the hydrogel resulted in an aerogel. Compared with a control experiment on a PVA gel electrolyte ($84.2 \pm 5.2 \text{ F g}^{-1}$), the use of graphene/polymer gel electrolyte ($107.5 \pm 3.1 \text{ F g}^{-1}$) allowed higher specific capacitances and long-term cycling stability, which was attributed to the fact that

graphene embedded into the gel enhances the ionic conductivity of the gel.[128] These results clearly evidence the variety of possible applications for graphene/DSAs composites.

Despite the few aforementioned examples, residuals of the PAHs and polymeric DSAs, can utterly affect the electrical performance of graphene-based devices. Therefore, the search of inexpensive DSAs that have high stabilization efficiency, which can be easily removed has gathered a great attention in the field,[90, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138] as it will largely simplify the industrialization and application of graphene produced in aqueous dispersions.

Various examples of small DSAs can be found in literature (see Figure 4), including urea (U),[134] sodium salt of flavin mononucleotide (FMNS),[129] 1H,1H,11H-eicosylfluor-1-decanol polyglycidyl ether (FAPGE),[136] amphiphilic compound consisting of alternating phenylene and thienylene subunits (PTPTP),[135] and (1,3,5-tris[(1E)-2'-(4'-benzoic acid)vinyl]benzene) (Ramizol[®]).[138] Lately, Palermo and co-workers[137] described the UILPE, processing and inclusion in polymer composites of FLG by using indanthrone blue sulphonic acid sodium salt (IBS), a very common industrial dye, and showed that IBS can be used to stabilize FLG dispersions in water. To establish that their method is suitable for applications in composites, graphene/IBS hybrids were processed into PVA, increasing its electrical conductivity by several orders of magnitude.

Figure 4. HERE

In 2014 Chen and co-workers described the UILPE of graphite in water into SLG and FLG sheets *via* the direct exfoliation of highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) using pyridine (P) as DSA.[131] Electrical conductivity $>5100 \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ was observed for filtered graphene paper, and the exfoliated graphene exhibited superior performance as a hole

transport layer (HTL) compared to the commercial HTL, namely N,N-di(naphthalene-1-yl)-N,N-diphenylbenzidine (NBP), in a basic organic light-emitting diode (OLED) using tris-8-hydroxyquinolinealuminum (Alq_3) as the emissive layer.

The same group has also reported on another DSA, namely imidazole,[130] which interacts with the exfoliated graphene sheets, dramatically improves the concentration of graphene dispersion (1 mg mL^{-1}) in water. Graphene film prepared from the exfoliated material revealed an electrical conductivity of 131.7 S cm^{-1} . Furthermore, an all-solid-state supercapacitor with a new design fabricated using the exfoliated graphene sheets delivered an ultrahigh area capacitance ($\sim 72 \text{ mF/cm}^2$).

Recently, Francis and co-workers demonstrated fine patterning of graphene by screen printing using a silicon stencil and a high conductivity ink based on graphene dispersions prepared by ethyl cellulose (EC) assisted UILPE in ethanol.[139] The screen-printed graphene patterns on polyimide films showed high electrical conductivity of $1.86 \times 10^4 \text{ S m}^{-1}$ and remarkable mechanical flexibility, suitable for electronic applications.

Regardless of the exfoliation yields and the stability of graphene aqueous dispersions, the use of water as an exfoliation media is not recommended for the exploitation of graphene in electronic devices such as field-effect transistors (FETs). In particular, the presence of water remaining at the interface with dielectrics can augment the occurrence of charge-trapping phenomena.[140] Therefore, the use of DSAs-assisted UILPE in organic solvents has to be explored.

2.2. Graphene dispersions in organic solvents

Despite the increasing interest in the field, the knowledge gathered about the DSA-assisted UILPE of graphite in organic solvents is still relatively poor.[123, 127, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147] The first reported example, dealing with this approach involves the use of

1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[methoxy(polyethyleneglycol)-5000] (DSPE-mPEG; Figure 5) molecules in a combination with TBA-inserted oleum-intercalated graphite.[144] Such mixture was sonicated in DMF to give homogeneous graphene dispersion, which was further deposited on various transparent substrates, including glass and quartz, by exploiting Langmuir-Blodgett (LB). The one-, two- and three-layer LB films on quartz afforded sheet resistances of 150, 20 and 8 $\text{k}\Omega \text{ sq}^{-1}$ and transparencies of 93, 88 and 83%, respectively.

Figure 5. HERE

Porphyrins are known to interact with various carbon-based materials, such as graphite, CNTs and fullerenes through π -stacking that takes place between porphyrinic electron-abundant aromatic cores and conjugated surfaces.[148, 149, 150, 151] Therefore, similar interactions are expected to take place between porphyrins and graphene.[152, 153] To explore this idea, Jung and co-workers dispersed graphene in the presence of 5,10,15,20-tetraphenyl-(4,11-acetyltioundecyl-oxyphenyl)-21H,23H-porphin (TATPP; Figure 5) in NMP containing organic ammonium ions. It was found that the TATPP-assisted UILPE of graphite could be employed to produce SLG sheets with high quality.

Successful UILPE of graphite can be also attained in ethanol by exploiting a PEG terminated with a quinquethiophene moiety (5TN-PEG) as a DSA. The graphene films have been prepared *via* vacuum filtration, followed by removal of the 5TN-PEG molecules with THF and by chemical treatment with HNO_3 and SOCl_2 . The graphene film displayed interesting opto-electronic performance (a transmittance of 74% at 550 nm, a sheet resistance of 0.3 $\text{k}\Omega \text{ sq}^{-1}$ and $\sigma_{\text{dc}}/\sigma_{\text{ac}} = 3.65$).

Graphene directly exfoliated from graphite using UILPE and CTAB (see Fig. 5) as a DSA has been demonstrated by Valiyaveettil and co-workers.[146] The sheets could be

dispersed in common organic solvents like DMF. Characterization of the flakes by various techniques like TEM, AFM and SEM, revealed that the exfoliation into graphene flakes of average ~ 1.2 nm thicknesses. Field emission measurements exhibited a turn on voltage of $7.5 \text{ V } \mu\text{m}^{-1}$ and emission current densities of 0.15 mA cm^{-2} .

The use of small organic molecules such as DSAs is expected to promote the UILPE of graphite when the DSA molecules have a strong affinity for graphene, especially being stronger than that of the solvent/graphene interactions. A good starting point can be the use of alkanes which are known to possess a high affinity for the basal plane of graphite/graphene.[154] In this framework, we have recently demonstrated that arachidic acid (C19CA; Figure 5) and *n*-octylbenzene (NOTB) can be efficaciously used to harness the exfoliation of graphene in NMP.[141] Notably, the addition of the C19CA and/or NOTB does not affect the quality and structure of graphene, when compared to the use of NMP alone, providing evidence for the non-invasive nature of the process. Furthermore, the use of alkyl chain based DSAs lead to an enhancement of the percentage of SLG and bilayer graphene flakes. In particular, by using NOTB as DSA the amount of SLG increases by ca. 10 % and graphene concentration increases of 25%, with respect to graphene exfoliated in pure NMP. Conversely the use of C19CA resulted in slightly lower increase of percentage of SLG and 50% increase of the concentration.

We have also demonstrated that the performance of linear alkanes exposing a carboxylic acid head group as DSAs directly depends on the length of the alkane chain.[143] To this end, we explored five linear modules, i.e. hexanoic acid (C6CA), lauric acid (C12CA), stearic acid (C18CA), lignoceric acid (C24CA) and melissic acid (C30CA) (Figure 5), whose different adsorption energies on graphene and marked tendency to form tightly packed self-assembled monolayers on such a surface affected their performances as DSAs. Analysis of the carboxylic acid assisted UILPE showed that the concentration of graphene dispersions prepared in NMP, *o*-DCB and TCB increases linearly with the length of the alkyl tail.

The observed dependence of the UILPE yield with the length of the aliphatic chain has been interpreted by means of a thermodynamic model of molecular self-assembly on graphene. Our analysis shows that the shorter the aliphatic chain, the larger the (rotational and translational) entropic cost of forming a 2D structure will be. These results suggest that a model based on molecular mechanics for the energetics and a statistical mechanic treatment of entropy, could be used to predict the efficiency of supramolecular building blocks as DSAs and guide the chemical design of the next generation of DSAs. Nevertheless, a contribution played by kinetics cannot be fully ruled out.

Besides the above examples on the use of simple DSAs in organic solvents, only a limited number of polymers has been exploited in the past years. In particular, through a modelling study, the group of Coleman have predicted that maximal graphene concentration can be attained when the polymer and solvent exhibit similar Hildebrand solubility parameters as the graphene sheets.[127] Albeit being effective, the graphene concentration in the dispersions obtained therein was unfortunately often very low; e.g., 0.141 mg mL⁻¹ in cyclohexanone or 0.02 mg mL⁻¹ in THF. The search for a suitable polymers acting as DSAs in conventional low-polarity, low-boiling-point organic solvents is thus important to render highly concentrated graphene dispersions of high quality.

It has been demonstrated recently, that the exfoliated graphene in NMP can be stabilized with an acidic solution of the poly(isoprene-*b*-acrylic acid) (PI-*b*-PAA) or poly[styrene-*b*-(2-vinylpyridine)] (PS-*b*-P2VP) block copolymers[91] (Figure 3). Unfortunately, the thickness of graphitic flakes amounts to 44 and 2.5 nm, respectively, implying that the PI-*b*-PAA- and/or PS-*b*-P2VP-assisted UILPE protocol requires further optimization.

3. Conclusion

Ultrasound-induced liquid-phase exfoliation of bulk graphite is a particularly mild, versatile and potentially up-scalable approach to generate high-quality graphene inks using cheap tools available in all the labs. When exploited in the liquid phase, the supramolecular approach can be exploited to leverage the performance of ultrasound-induced liquid-phase exfoliation of bulk graphite. UILPE in the presence of a given solvent molecule with the aid of an additional molecule acting as an DSA is a route not only to circumvent graphene re-aggregation due to van der Waals attraction, but also to enhance the efficiency of exfoliation, thereby compromising the effort made during exfoliation. Moreover, the presence of the DSAs interacting with the graphene through non-covalent forces can be exploited to modulate the properties of the graphene by conferring novel functions to the 2D material. UILPE approach is extremely interesting from technological point of view as many applications rely on large-scale mass production using low-cost methods such as ink-jet and screen-printing or R2R. UILPE is attractive for the preparation of stable graphene inks that can be processed in thin conductive films and composites. A great deal of effort has been devoted to enhance the degree and the yield of UILPE of graphene. Yet, the yield of SLG sheets is still moderately low and requires long treatment with ultrasound. Additionally, the exfoliated material has quite an amount of graphitic waste, which adds another (purification) step into the UILPE process. In order to harness the yield and reduce the by-products, various alternative methods are being intensively investigated. Amongst the LPE approaches electrochemical exfoliation (ELPE) emerged in the last years as the most promising tactic to disperse graphene in liquid media.[48] Differently from UILPE, ELPE may cause the edge-oxidation of graphene flakes; nonetheless, the level of oxidation is still markedly lower than that of rGO, guaranteeing interesting opto-electronic properties to the materials. Moreover, the concentration of dispersion produced by ELPE can be as high as 50 mg mL^{-1} , being two orders of magnitude greater than the average concentration of UILPE dispersions.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Sébastien Haar, Thomas Mosciatti, Mirella El Gemayel, Markus Döbbelin, Jeffrey Mativetsky, Emanuele Orgiu, Emanuele Treossi, Andrea Liscio and Vincenzo Palermo for the joint research activity on graphene chemistry, which was an essential source of inspiration for this review. We acknowledge financial support from the European Commission through the Graphene Flagship (GA-604391), the Agence Nationale de la Recherche through the LabEx project Chemistry of Complex Systems (ANR-10-LABX-0026_CSC) and the International Center for Frontier Research in Chemistry (icFRC).

REFERENCES

- [1] Geim AK, Novoselov KS. The rise of graphene. *Nat Mater.* 2007, 6, 183-91.
- [2] Wang X, Zhi LJ, Müllen K. Transparent, conductive graphene electrodes for dye-sensitized solar cells. *Nano Lett.* 2008, 8, 323-7.
- [3] Roy-Mayhew JD, Aksay IA. Graphene Materials and Their Use in Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells. *Chem Rev.* 2014, 114, 6323-48.
- [4] Wu JB, Agrawal M, Becerril HA, *et al.* Organic Light-Emitting Diodes on Solution-Processed Graphene Transparent Electrodes. *ACS Nano.* 2010, 4, 43-8.
- [5] Xia FN, Mueller T, Lin YM, Valdes-Garcia A, Avouris P. Ultrafast graphene photodetector. *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2009, 4, 839-43.
- [6] Echtermeyer TJ, Britnell L, Jasnos PK, *et al.* Strong plasmonic enhancement of photovoltage in graphene. *Nat Commun.* 2011, 2, 458-62.
- [7] Konstantatos G, Badioli M, Gaudreau L, *et al.* Hybrid graphene-quantum dot phototransistors with ultrahigh gain. *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2012, 7, 363-8.
- [8] Mueller T, Xia FNA, Avouris P. Graphene photodetectors for high-speed optical communications. *Nat Photonics.* 2010, 4, 297-301.
- [9] Bae S, Kim H, Lee Y, *et al.* Roll-to-roll production of 30-inch graphene films for transparent electrodes. *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2010, 5, 574-8.
- [10] Hill EW, Geim AK, Novoselov K, Schedin F, Blake P. Graphene spin valve devices. *IEEE Trans Magn.* 2006, 42, 2694-6.
- [11] Tombros N, Jozsa C, Popinciuc M, Jonkman HT, van Wees BJ. Electronic spin transport and spin precession in single graphene layers at room temperature. *Nature.* 2007, 448, 571-4.
- [12] Hasan T, Torrisi F, Sun Z, *et al.* Solution-phase exfoliation of graphite for ultrafast photonics. *Phys Status Solidi B.* 2010, 247, 2953-7.
- [13] Sun ZP, Hasan T, Torrisi F, *et al.* Graphene Mode-Locked Ultrafast Laser. *ACS Nano.* 2010, 4, 803-10.
- [14] Ferrari AC, Bonaccorso F, Fal'ko V, *et al.* Science and technology roadmap for graphene, related two-dimensional crystals, and hybrid systems. *Nanoscale.* 2015, 7, 4598-810.
- [15] Stoller MD, Park SJ, Zhu YW, An JH, Ruoff RS. Graphene-Based Ultracapacitors. *Nano Lett.* 2008, 8, 3498-502.
- [16] Rao CNR, Sood AK, Subrahmanyam KS, Govindaraj A. Graphene: The New Two-Dimensional Nanomaterial. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2009, 48, 7752-77.
- [17] Patchkovskii S, Tse JS, Yurchenko SN, Zhechkov L, Heine T, Seifert G. Graphene nanostructures as tunable storage media for molecular hydrogen. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2005, 102, 10439-44.
- [18] Dua V, Surwade SP, Ammu S, *et al.* All-Organic Vapor Sensor Using Inkjet-Printed Reduced Graphene Oxide. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2010, 49, 2154-7.
- [19] Schedin F, Geim AK, Morozov SV, *et al.* Detection of individual gas molecules adsorbed on graphene. *Nat Mater.* 2007, 6, 652-5.
- [20] Bonaccorso F, Colombo L, Yu GH, *et al.* Graphene, related two-dimensional crystals, and hybrid systems for energy conversion and storage. *Science.* 2015, 347.
- [21] Chen KF, Song SY, Liu F, Xue DF. Structural design of graphene for use in electrochemical energy storage devices. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2015, 44, 6230-57.
- [22] Avouris P, Chen ZH, Perebeinos V. Carbon-based electronics. *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2007, 2, 605-15.
- [23] Wu JS, Pisula W, Müllen K. Graphenes as potential material for electronics. *Chem Rev.* 2007, 107, 718-47.

- [24] Müllen K, Rabe JP. Nanographenes as active components of single-molecule electronics and how a scanning tunneling microscope puts them to work. *Acc Chem Res.* 2008, 41, 511-20.
- [25] Eda G, Chhowalla M. Graphene-based Composite Thin Films for Electronics. *Nano Lett.* 2009, 9, 814-8.
- [26] Scheuermann GM, Rumi L, Steurer P, Bannwarth W, Mülhaupt R. Palladium Nanoparticles on Graphite Oxide and Its Functionalized Graphene Derivatives as Highly Active Catalysts for the Suzuki-Miyaura Coupling Reaction. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009, 131, 8262-70.
- [27] Albero J, Garcia H. Graphenes in Heterogeneous Catalysis. In: Tiwari A, Titinchi S, eds. *Advanced Catalytic Materials.* 1 ed. New Jersey, and Scrivener Publishing LLC, Salem, Massachusetts: John Wiley & Sons 2015, p. 69-120.
- [28] Yang WR, Ratinac KR, Ringer SP, Thordarson P, Gooding JJ, Braet F. Carbon Nanomaterials in Biosensors: Should You Use Nanotubes or Graphene? *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2010, 49, 2114-38.
- [29] Bonaccorso F, Lombardo A, Hasan T, Sun ZP, Colombo L, Ferrari AC. Production and processing of graphene and 2d crystals. *Mater Today.* 2012, 15, 564-89.
- [30] Papageorgiou DG, Kinloch IA, Young RJ. Graphene/elastomer nanocomposites. *Carbon.* 2015, 95, 460-84.
- [31] Chen L, Hernandez Y, Feng XL, Müllen K. From Nanographene and Graphene Nanoribbons to Graphene Sheets: Chemical Synthesis. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2012, 51, 7640-54.
- [32] Narita A, Feng XL, Hernandez Y, *et al.* Synthesis of structurally well-defined and liquid-phase-processable graphene nanoribbons. *Nature Chem.* 2014, 6, 126-32.
- [33] Palma C-A, Samorì P. Blueprinting macromolecular electronics. *Nature Chem.* 2011, 3, 431-6.
- [34] Kim KS, Zhao Y, Jang H, *et al.* Large-scale pattern growth of graphene films for stretchable transparent electrodes. *Nature.* 2009, 457, 706-10.
- [35] Li XS, Cai WW, An JH, *et al.* Large-Area Synthesis of High-Quality and Uniform Graphene Films on Copper Foils. *Science.* 2009, 324, 1312-4.
- [36] Berger C, Song ZM, Li XB, *et al.* Electronic confinement and coherence in patterned epitaxial graphene. *Science.* 2006, 312, 1191-6.
- [37] Yi M, Shen ZG. A review on mechanical exfoliation for the scalable production of graphene. *J Mat Chem A.* 2015, 3, 11700-15.
- [38] Cai MZ, Thorpe D, Adamson DH, Schniepp HC. Methods of graphite exfoliation. *J Mater Chem.* 2012, 22, 24992-5002.
- [39] Novoselov KS, Jiang D, Schedin F, *et al.* Two-dimensional atomic crystals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2005, 102, 10451-3.
- [40] Matsumoto M, Saito Y, Park C, Fukushima T, Aida T. Ultrahigh-throughput exfoliation of graphite into pristine 'single-layer' graphene using microwaves and molecularly engineered ionic liquids. *Nat Chem.* 2015, 7, 730-6.
- [41] Coleman JN, Lotya M, O'Neill A, *et al.* Two-Dimensional Nanosheets Produced by Liquid Exfoliation of Layered Materials. *Science.* 2011, 331, 568-71.
- [42] Nicolosi V, Chhowalla M, Kanatzidis MG, Strano MS, Coleman JN. Liquid Exfoliation of Layered Materials. *Science.* 2013, 340, 1420-40.
- [43] Ciesielski A, Samorì P. Graphene via sonication assisted liquid-phase exfoliation. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2014, 43, 381-98.
- [44] Parvez K, Yang S, Feng XL, Müllen K. Exfoliation of graphene via wet chemical routes. *Synth Met.* 2015, doi:10.1016/j.synthmet.2015.07.014.
- [45] Hernandez Y, Nicolosi V, Lotya M, *et al.* High-yield production of graphene by liquid-phase exfoliation of graphite. *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2008, 3, 563-8.

- [46] Zhong YL, Tian ZM, Simon GP, Li D. Scalable production of graphene via wet chemistry: progress and challenges. *Mater Today*. 2015, 18, 73-8.
- [47] Schlierf A, Samori P, Palermo V. Graphene-organic composites for electronics: optical and electronic interactions in vacuum, liquids and thin solid films. *J Mater Chem C*. 2014, 2, 3129-43.
- [48] Abdelkader AM, Cooper AJ, Dryfe RAW, Kinloch IA. How to get between the sheets: a review of recent works on the electrochemical exfoliation of graphene materials from bulk graphite. *Nanoscale*. 2015, 7, 6944-56.
- [49] Parvez K, Wu ZS, Li RJ, *et al*. Exfoliation of Graphite into Graphene in Aqueous Solutions of Inorganic Salts. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2014, 136, 6083-91.
- [50] Wang GX, Wang B, Park J, Wang Y, Sun B, Yao J. Highly efficient and large-scale synthesis of graphene by electrolytic exfoliation. *Carbon*. 2009, 47, 3242-6.
- [51] Parvez K, Li RJ, Puniredd SR, *et al*. Electrochemically Exfoliated Graphene as Solution-Processable, Highly Conductive Electrodes for Organic Electronics. *ACS Nano*. 2013, 7, 3598-606.
- [52] Munuera JM, Paredes JI, Villar-Rodil S, *et al*. High quality, low oxygen content and biocompatible graphene nanosheets obtained by anodic exfoliation of different graphite types. *Carbon*. 2015, 94, 729-39.
- [53] Paton KR, Varrla E, Backes C, *et al*. Scalable production of large quantities of defect-free few-layer graphene by shear exfoliation in liquids. *Nat Mater*. 2014, 13, 624-30.
- [54] Varrla E, Paton KR, Backes C, *et al*. Turbulence-assisted shear exfoliation of graphene using household detergent and a kitchen blender. *Nanoscale*. 2014, 6, 11810-9.
- [55] Coleman JN. Liquid-Phase Exfoliation of Nanotubes and Graphene. *Adv Funct Mater*. 2009, 19, 3680-95.
- [56] Coleman JN. Liquid Exfoliation of Defect-Free Graphene. *Acc Chem Res*. 2013, 46, 14-22.
- [57] Marago OM, Bonaccorso F, Saija R, *et al*. Brownian Motion of Graphene. *ACS Nano*. 2010, 4, 7515-23.
- [58] Torrisi F, Hasan T, Wu WP, *et al*. Inkjet-Printed Graphene Electronics. *ACS Nano*. 2012, 6, 2992-3006.
- [59] Capasso A, Del Rio Castillo AE, Sun H, Ansaldo A, Pellegrini V, Bonaccorso F. Ink-jet printing of graphene for flexible electronics: An environmentally-friendly approach. *Solid State Commun*. 2015, doi:10.1016/j.ssc.2015.08.011.
- [60] Torrisi F, Coleman JN. Electrifying inks with 2D materials. *Nat Nanotechnol*. 2014, 9, 738-9.
- [61] Cunningham G, Lotya M, Cucinotta CS, *et al*. Solvent Exfoliation of Transition Metal Dichalcogenides: Dispersibility of Exfoliated Nanosheets Varies Only Weakly between Compounds. *ACS Nano*. 2012, 6, 3468-80.
- [62] Lee K, Kim HY, Lotya M, Coleman JN, Kim GT, Duesberg GS. Electrical Characteristics of Molybdenum Disulfide Flakes Produced by Liquid Exfoliation. *Adv Mater*. 2011, 23, 4178-+.
- [63] Bari R, Parviz D, Khabaz F, *et al*. Liquid phase exfoliation and crumpling of inorganic nanosheets. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*. 2015, 17, 9383-93.
- [64] Khan U, O'Neill A, Lotya M, De S, Coleman JN. High-Concentration Solvent Exfoliation of Graphene. *Small*. 2010, 6, 864-71.
- [65] Khan U, O'Neill A, Porwal H, May P, Nawaz K, Coleman JN. Size selection of dispersed, exfoliated graphene flakes by controlled centrifugation. *Carbon*. 2012, 50, 470-5.
- [66] O'Neill A, Khan U, Nirmalraj PN, Boland J, Coleman JN. Graphene Dispersion and Exfoliation in Low Boiling Point Solvents. *J Phys Chem C*. 2011, 115, 5422-8.

- [67] Zhang XY, Coleman AC, Katsonis N, Browne WR, van Wees BJ, Feringa BL. Dispersion of graphene in ethanol using a simple solvent exchange method. *Chem Commun.* 2010, 46, 7539-41.
- [68] Solomon HM, Burgess BA, Kennedy GL, Staples RE. 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP): Reproductive and developmental toxicity study by inhalation in the rat. *Drug Chem Toxicol.* 1995, 18, 271-93.
- [69] Kennedy GL, Sherman H. Acute and Subchronic Toxicity of Dimethylformamide and Dimethylacetamide Following Various Routes of Administration. *Drug Chem Toxicol.* 1986, 9, 147-70.
- [70] Bourlinos AB, Georgakilas V, Zboril R, Steriotis TA, Stubos AK. Liquid-Phase Exfoliation of Graphite Towards Solubilized Graphenes. *Small.* 2009, 5, 1841-5.
- [71] Sun ZY, Huang X, Liu F, *et al.* Amine-based solvents for exfoliating graphite to graphene outperform the dispersing capacity of N-methyl-pyrrolidone and surfactants. *Chem Commun.* 2014, 50, 10382-5.
- [72] Ferrari AC, Meyer JC, Scardaci V, *et al.* Raman spectrum of graphene and graphene layers. *Phys Rev Lett.* 2006, 97, 187401.
- [73] Valles C, Drummond C, Saadaoui H, *et al.* Solutions of Negatively Charged Graphene Sheets and Ribbons. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008, 130, 15802-4.
- [74] Ferrari AC, Basko DM. Raman spectroscopy as a versatile tool for studying the properties of graphene. *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2013, 8, 235-46.
- [75] Casiraghi C. Raman spectroscopy of graphene. In: Yarwood J, Douthwaite R, Duckett S, eds. *Spectroscopic Properties of Inorganic and Organometallic Compounds: Techniques, Materials and Applications, Volume 43.* Cambridge, UK: The Royal Society of Chemistry 2012, p. 29-56.
- [76] Chen CF, Park CH, Boudouris BW, *et al.* Controlling inelastic light scattering quantum pathways in graphene. *Nature.* 2011, 471, 617-20.
- [77] Das A, Chakraborty B, Piscanec S, Pisana S, Sood AK, Ferrari AC. Phonon renormalization in doped bilayer graphene. *Phys Rev B.* 2009, 79, 155417-23.
- [78] Das A, Pisana S, Chakraborty B, *et al.* Monitoring dopants by Raman scattering in an electrochemically top-gated graphene transistor. *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2008, 3, 210-5.
- [79] Basko DM. Boundary problems for Dirac electrons and edge-assisted Raman scattering in graphene. *Phys Rev B.* 2009, 79, 205428.
- [80] Casiraghi C, Hartschuh A, Qian H, *et al.* Raman Spectroscopy of Graphene Edges. *Nano Lett.* 2009, 9, 1433-41.
- [81] You YM, Ni ZH, Yu T, Shen ZX. Edge chirality determination of graphene by Raman spectroscopy. *Appl Phys Lett.* 2008, 93, 163112-5.
- [82] Chen JH, Cullen WG, Jang C, Fuhrer MS, Williams ED. Defect Scattering in Graphene. *Phys Rev Lett.* 2009, 102, 236805-8.
- [83] Ni ZH, Ponomarenko LA, Nair RR, *et al.* On Resonant Scatterers As a Factor Limiting Carrier Mobility in Graphene. *Nano Lett.* 2010, 10, 3868-72.
- [84] Gokus T, Nair RR, Bonetti A, *et al.* Making Graphene Luminescent by Oxygen Plasma Treatment. *ACS Nano.* 2009, 3, 3963-8.
- [85] Israelachvili JN. *Intermolecular and surface forces.* third ed. Waltham, USA: Academic Press; 2011.
- [86] Wang SR, Zhang Y, Abidi N, Cabrales L. Wettability and Surface Free Energy of Graphene Films. *Langmuir.* 2009, 25, 11078-81.
- [87] Bianco A. Graphene: Safe or Toxic? The Two Faces of the Medal. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2013, 52, 4986-97.
- [88] Kurapati R, Russier J, Squillaci MA, *et al.* Dispersibility-Dependent Biodegradation of Graphene Oxide by Myeloperoxidase. *Small.* 2015, 11, 3985-94.

- [89] Lotya M, Hernandez Y, King PJ, *et al.* Liquid Phase Production of Graphene by Exfoliation of Graphite in Surfactant/Water Solutions. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009, 131, 3611-20.
- [90] Lotya M, King PJ, Khan U, De S, Coleman JN. High-Concentration, Surfactant-Stabilized Graphene Dispersions. *ACS Nano.* 2010, 4, 3155-62.
- [91] Skaltsas T, Karousis N, Yan H, Wang C-R, Pispas S, Tagmatarchis N. Graphene exfoliation in organic solvents and switching solubility in aqueous media with the aid of amphiphilic block copolymers. *J Mater Chem.* 2012, 21, 21507-12.
- [92] Liu F, Choi JY, Seo TS. DNA mediated water-dispersible graphene fabrication and gold nanoparticle-graphene hybrid. *Chem Commun.* 2010, 46, 2844-6.
- [93] Bose S, Kuila T, Mishra AK, Kim NH, Lee JH. Preparation of non-covalently functionalized graphene using 9-anthracene carboxylic acid. *Nanotechnology.* 2011, 22, 1-7.
- [94] Englert JM, Rohrl J, Schmidt CD, *et al.* Soluble Graphene: Generation of Aqueous Graphene Solutions Aided by a Perylenebisimide-Based Bolaamphiphile. *Adv Mater.* 2009, 21, 4265-9.
- [95] Ghosh A, Rao KV, George SJ, Rao CNR. Noncovalent Functionalization, Exfoliation, and Solubilization of Graphene in Water by Employing a Fluorescent Coronene Carboxylate. *Chem Eur J.* 2010, 16, 2700-4.
- [96] Su Q, Pang SP, Alijani V, Li C, Feng XL, Müllen K. Composites of Graphene with Large Aromatic Molecules. *Adv Mater.* 2009, 21, 3191-5.
- [97] Zhang H, Wen JQ, Meng XP, *et al.* An Improved Method to Increase the Concentration of Graphene in Organic Solvent. *Chem Lett.* 2012, 41, 747-9.
- [98] Feng XL, Marcon V, Pisula W, *et al.* Towards high charge-carrier mobilities by rational design of the shape and periphery of discotics. *Nat Mater.* 2009, 8, 421-6.
- [99] Li C, Liu MY, Pschirer NG, Baumgarten M, Müllen K. Polyphenylene-Based Materials for Organic Photovoltaics. *Chem Rev.* 2010, 110, 6817-55.
- [100] Rieger R, Müllen K. Forever young: polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons as model cases for structural and optical studies. *J Phys Org Chem.* 2010, 23, 315-25.
- [101] Schmaltz B, Weil T, Müllen K. Polyphenylene-Based Materials: Control of the Electronic Function by Molecular and Supramolecular Complexity. *Adv Mater.* 2009, 21, 1067-78.
- [102] Björk J, Hanke F, Palma C-A, Samori P, Cecchini M, Persson M. Adsorption of Aromatic and Anti-Aromatic Systems on Graphene through pi-pi Stacking. *J Phys Chem Lett.* 2010, 1, 3407-12.
- [103] Chen ZJ, Lohr A, Saha-Moller CR, Wurthner F. Self-assembled pi-stacks of functional dyes in solution: structural and thermodynamic features. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2009, 38, 564-84.
- [104] Fujigaya T, Nakashima N. Methodology for homogeneous dispersion of single-walled carbon nanotubes by physical modification. *Polym J.* 2008, 40, 577-89.
- [105] Dong X, Shi Y, Zhao Y, *et al.* Symmetry breaking of graphene monolayers by molecular decoration. *Phys Rev Lett.* 2009, 102, 135501.
- [106] Jang J-H, Rangappa D, Kwon Y-U, Honma I. Direct preparation of 1-PSA modified graphene nanosheets by supercritical fluidic exfoliation and its electrochemical properties. *J Mater Chem.* 2010, 21, 3462-6.
- [107] Lee DW, Kim T, Lee M. An amphiphilic pyrene sheet for selective functionalization of graphene. *Chem Commun.* 2011, 47, 8259-61.
- [108] Schlierf A, Yang H, Gebremedhn E, *et al.* Nanoscale insight into the exfoliation mechanism of graphene with organic dyes: effect of charge, dipole and molecular structure. *Nanoscale.* 2013, 5, 4205-16.
- [109] Yang H, Hernandez Y, Schlierf A, *et al.* A simple method for graphene production based on exfoliation of graphite in water using 1-pyrenesulfonic acid sodium salt. *Carbon.* 2013, 53, 357-65.

- [110] Parviz D, Das S, Ahmed HST, Irin F, Bhattacharia S, Green MJ. Dispersions of Non-Covalently Functionalized Graphene with Minimal Stabilizer. *ACS Nano*. 2012, 6, 8857-67.
- [111] Zhang F, Chen XJ, Boulos RA, *et al.* Pyrene-conjugated hyaluronan facilitated exfoliation and stabilisation of low dimensional nanomaterials in water. *Chem Commun*. 2013, 49, 4845-7.
- [112] Shim HW, Ahn K-J, Im K, *et al.* Effect of Hydrophobic Moieties in Water-Soluble Polymers on Physical Exfoliation of Graphene. *Macromolecules*. 2015, 48, 6628–37.
- [113] Zhang M, Parajuli RR, Mastrogiovanni D, *et al.* Production of Graphene Sheets by Direct Dispersion with Aromatic Healing Agents. *Small*. 2010, 6, 1100-7.
- [114] Xu YX, Bai H, Lu GW, Li C, Shi GQ. Flexible graphene films via the filtration of water-soluble noncovalent functionalized graphene sheets. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008, 130, 5856–7.
- [115] Zhang L, Zhang ZJ, He CZ, Dai LM, Liu J, Wang LX. Rationally Designed Surfactants for Few-Layered Graphene Exfoliation: Ionic Groups Attached to Electron-Deficient pi-Conjugated Unit through Alkyl Spacers. *ACS Nano*. 2014, 8, 6663-70.
- [116] Sampath S, Basuray AN, Hartlieb KJ, Aytun T, Stupp SI, Stoddart JF. Direct Exfoliation of Graphite to Graphene in Aqueous Media with Diazaperopyrenium Dications. *Adv Mater*. 2013, 25, 2740–5.
- [117] Ahadian S, Estili M, Surya VJ, *et al.* Facile and green production of aqueous graphene dispersions for biomedical applications. *Nanoscale*. 2015, 7, 6436-43.
- [118] Bourlinos AB, Georgakilas V, Zboril R, Steriotis TA, Stubos AK, Trapalis C. Aqueous-phase exfoliation of graphite in the presence of polyvinylpyrrolidone for the production of water-soluble graphenes. *Solid State Commun*. 2009, 149, 2172-6.
- [119] Chabot V, Kim B, Sloper B, Tzoganakis C, Yu AP. High yield production and purification of few layer graphene by Gum Arabic assisted physical sonication. *Sci Rep*. 2013, 3.
- [120] Fan JC, Shi ZX, Ge Y, Wang JL, Wang Y, Yin J. Gum arabic assisted exfoliation and fabrication of Ag-graphene-based hybrids. *J Mater Chem*. 2012, 22, 13764-72.
- [121] Ge Y, Wang JL, Shi ZX, Yin J. Gelatin-assisted fabrication of water-dispersible graphene and its inorganic analogues. *J Mater Chem*. 2012, 22, 17619-24.
- [122] Guardia L, Fernandez-Merino MJ, Paredes JI, *et al.* High-throughput production of pristine graphene in an aqueous dispersion assisted by non-ionic surfactants. *Carbon*. 2011, 49, 1653-62.
- [123] Liang YT, Hersam MC. Highly Concentrated Graphene Solutions via Polymer Enhanced Solvent Exfoliation and Iterative Solvent Exchange. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010, 132, 17661-3.
- [124] Liu WS, Zhou R, Zhou D, *et al.* Lignin-assisted direct exfoliation of graphite to graphene in aqueous media and its application in polymer composites. *Carbon*. 2015, 83, 188-97.
- [125] Popescu MT, Tasis D, Tsitsilianis C. Ionizable Star Copolymer-Assisted Graphene Phase Transfer between Immiscible Liquids: Organic Solvent/Water/Ionic Liquid. *ACS Macro Lett*. 2014, 3, 981-4.
- [126] Unalan IU, Wan CY, Trabattoni S, Piergiovanni L, Farris S. Polysaccharide-assisted rapid exfoliation of graphite platelets into high quality water-dispersible graphene sheets. *Rsc Adv*. 2015, 5, 26482-90.
- [127] May P, Khan U, Hughes JM, Coleman JN. Role of Solubility Parameters in Understanding the Steric Stabilization of Exfoliated Two-Dimensional Nanosheets by Adsorbed Polymers. *J Phys Chem C*. 2012, 116, 11393-400.
- [128] Yang X, Zhang F, Zhang L, Zhang TF, Huang Y, Chen YS. A High-Performance Graphene Oxide-Doped Ion Gel as Gel Polymer Electrolyte for All-Solid-State Supercapacitor Applications. *Adv Funt Mater*. 2013, 23, 3353-60.

- [129] Ayan-Varela M, Paredes JI, Guardia L, *et al.* Achieving Extremely Concentrated Aqueous Dispersions of Graphene Flakes and Catalytically Efficient Graphene-Metal Nanoparticle Hybrids with Flavin Mononucleotide as a HighPerformance Stabilizer. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*. 2015, 7, 10293-307.
- [130] Chen IWP, Chen YS, Kao NJ, Wu CW, Zhang YW, Li HT. Scalable and high-yield production of exfoliated graphene sheets in water and its application to an all-solid-state supercapacitor. *Carbon*. 2015, 90, 16-24.
- [131] Chen IWP, Huang C-Y, Jhou S-HS, Zhang Y-W. Exfoliation and Performance Properties of Non-Oxidized Graphene in Water. *Sci Rep*. 2014, 4, 3928.
- [132] De S, King PJ, Lotya M, *et al.* Flexible, Transparent, Conducting Films of Randomly Stacked Graphene from Surfactant-Stabilized, Oxide-Free Graphene Dispersions. *Small*. 2010, 6, 458-64.
- [133] Hao R, Qian W, Zhang LH, Hou YL. Aqueous dispersions of TCNQ-anion-stabilized graphene sheets. *Chem Commun*. 2008, 6576-8.
- [134] He P, Zhou C, Tian SY, *et al.* Urea-assisted aqueous exfoliation of graphite for obtaining high-quality graphene. *Chem Commun*. 2015, 51, 4651-4.
- [135] Lee W, Lee DW, Lee M, Hong JI. Direct exfoliation of carbon allotropes with structural analogues of self-assembled nanostructures and their photovoltaic applications. *Chem Commun*. 2014, 50, 14851-4.
- [136] Samoilov VM, Danilov EA, Nikolaeva AV, *et al.* Formation of graphene aqueous suspensions using fluorinated surfactant-assisted ultrasonication of pristine graphite. *Carbon*. 2015, 84, 38-46.
- [137] Schlierf A, Cha K, Schwab MG, Samori P, Palermo V. Exfoliation of graphene with an industrial dye: teaching an old dog new tricks. *2d Materials*. 2014, 1, 035006.
- [138] Wahid MH, Stroehler UH, Eroglu E, *et al.* Aqueous based synthesis of antimicrobial-decorated graphene. *J Colloid Interface Sci*. 2015, 443, 88-96.
- [139] Hyun WJ, Secor EB, Hersam MC, Frisbie CD, Francis LF. High-Resolution Patterning of Graphene by Screen Printing with a Silicon Stencil for Highly Flexible Printed Electronics. *Adv Mater*. 2015, 27, 109-15.
- [140] Chua LL, Zaumseil J, Chang JF, *et al.* General observation of n-type field-effect behaviour in organic semiconductors. *Nature*. 2005, 434, 194-9.
- [141] Ciesielski A, Haar S, El Gemayel M, *et al.* Liquid-Phase Exfoliation of Graphene Using Intercalating Compounds: A Supramolecular Approach. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2014, 53, 10355-61.
- [142] Geng J, Kong BS, Yang SB, Jung HT. Preparation of graphene relying on porphyrin exfoliation of graphite. *Chem Commun*. 2010, 46, 5091-3.
- [143] Haar S, Ciesielski A, Clough J, *et al.* A supramolecular strategy to leverage the liquid-phase exfoliation of graphene in the presence of surfactants: unraveling the role of the length of fatty acids. *Small*. 2015, 11, 1691-702.
- [144] Li X, Zhang G, Bai X, *et al.* Highly conducting graphene sheets and Langmuir-Blodgett films. *Nat Nanotechnol*. 2008, 3, 538-42.
- [145] Pathipati SR, Pavlica E, Schlierf A, *et al.* Graphene-Induced Enhancement of n-Type Mobility in Perylenediimide Thin Films. *J Phys Chem C*. 2014, 118, 24819-26.
- [146] Vadukumpully S, Paul J, Valiyaveettil S. Cationic surfactant mediated exfoliation of graphite into graphene flakes. *Carbon*. 2009, 47, 3288-94.
- [147] Xu L, McGraw J-W, Gao F, *et al.* Production of High-Concentration Graphene Dispersions in Low-Boiling-Point Organic Solvents by Liquid-Phase Noncovalent Exfoliation of Graphite with a Hyperbranched Polyethylene and Formation of Graphene/Ethylene Copolymer Composites. *J Phys Chem C*. 2013, 117, 10730-42.

- [148] Hasobe T, Imahori H, Kamat PV, *et al.* Photovoltaic cells using composite nanoclusters of porphyrins and fullerenes with gold nanoparticles. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005, 127, 1216-28.
- [149] Otsuki J, Nagamine E, Kondo T, Iwasaki K, Asakawa M, Miyake K. Surface patterning with two-dimensional porphyrin supramolecular arrays. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005, 127, 10400-5.
- [150] El Garah M, Ciesielski A, Marets N, Bulach V, Hosseini MW, Samorì P. Molecular tectonics based nanopatterning of interfaces with 2D metal-organic frameworks (MOFs). *Chem Commun.* 2014, 50, 12250-3.
- [151] El Garah M, Marets N, Mauro M, *et al.* Nanopatterning of Surfaces with Monometallic and Heterobimetallic 1D Coordination Polymers: A Molecular Tectonics Approach at the Solid/Liquid Interface. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2015, 137, 8450-9.
- [152] Brinkhaus L, Katsukis G, Malig J, *et al.* Tuning the Stability of Graphene Layers by Phthalocyanine-Based oPPV Oligomers Towards Photo- and Redoxactive Materials. *Small.* 2013, 9, 2348–57.
- [153] Malig J, Stephenson AWI, Wagner P, Wallace GG, Officer DL, Guldi DM. Direct exfoliation of graphite with a porphyrin - creating functionalizable nanographene hybrids. *Chem Commun.* 2012, 48, 8745-7.
- [154] Rabe JP, Buchholz S. Commensurability and Mobility in 2-Dimensional Molecular-Patterns on Graphite. *Science.* 1991, 253, 424-7.

LEGENDS OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the ultrasound-induced liquid-phase exfoliation (UILPE) process of graphite in the absence and presence of dispersion stabilizing agents (DSAs). Reproduced from Ref. [43] with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.

Figure 2. Chemical structure of functionalized polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) used as dispersion stabilizing agents (DSAs) in the ultrasound induced liquid-phase exfoliations (UILPE) process, with their acronyms as used in the text.

Figure 3. Chemical structure of polymeric DSAs used in the UILPE process, with their acronyms as used in the text.

Figure 4. Chemical structure of small organic DSAs used in the UILPE process in water, with their acronyms as used in the text.

Figure 5. Chemical structures of organic molecules used as DSAs in the process of UILPE of graphite towards graphene in organic solvents, with their acronyms as used in the text.