

Treating Bipolar Depression Using Psilocybin-Validity Threats Regarding Efficacy and Safety

Eiko Fried, Ioana Cristea, Florian Naudet

▶ To cite this version:

Eiko Fried, Ioana Cristea, Florian Naudet. Treating Bipolar Depression Using Psilocybin-Validity Threats Regarding Efficacy and Safety. JAMA Psychiatry, 2024, 81 (6), pp.633-634. 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2024.0420. hal-04567580

HAL Id: hal-04567580 https://hal.science/hal-04567580v1

Submitted on 1 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



"Treating bipolar depression using psilocybin: validity threats regarding efficacy and safety"

Dr. E. I. Fried, Department of Psychology, Clinical Psychology Unit, Leiden University; eiko.fried@gmail.com (corresponding author)

Dr. Ioana A. Cristea, Department of General Psychology, University of Padova, Italy, ioanaa.cristea@unipd.it

Prof. F. Naudet, University of Rennes, CHU Rennes, Inserm, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) floriannaudet@gmail.com

According to the study protocol, the recently published n=15 study by Aaronson et al. was carried out "to assess safety and efficacy [..] of 25 mg of psilocybin in patients with treatment-resistant type 2 bipolar depression". We see three concerns.

First, the study title "non-randomized controlled trial" is incorrect and misleading because there is no control group. Consistent with the authors' description as "uncontrolled, open-label study" (p. E7), we ask the journal to issue a correction.

Second, the trial deviates from the most recent criteria for defining treatment-resistant bipolar depression (TRBD) requiring ≥8 weeks of treatment with a prespecified set of pharmacological agents². These criteria, cited in the introduction yet not used in defining patient eligibility, incorporate updates in treatment guidelines regarding the differences in efficacy between treatments. Because previous pharmacological treatments are not described in the paper, is it unclear how many patients fit these more stringent TRBD criteria.

Third, we were surprised to see the Journal publish a study with a design that does not allow to answer the authors' research questions about efficacy and safety. To understand whether psilocybin explains part of the strong observed pre-post change (d=4.08), a control group is crucial. As is, the design can account neither for non-specific (including placebo) effects nor regression to the mean. Lack of blinding increases expectancy effects in patients, and can bias researchers, interviewers, and data analysts who know the desired results. Moreover, all participants received psychotherapy, and some additional pharmacotherapy, likely contributing to the observed pre-post changes. Further, many approached participants were deemed ineligible due to psychiatric complications such as comorbidity, likely increasing responsiveness to treatment in the enrolled 15 patients compared to normal psychiatric settings in which comorbidities are commonplace. Finally, we could not find the authors' rationale for reporting on some (e.g., PHQ-9) but not other (e.g., GAD-7) secondary outcomes. Such transparency is particular relevant given that the study is industry-sponsored and several authors declare conflicts of interest, both of which are related to more favorable study outcomes^{3,4}. Overall, these challenges also impact inferences regarding safety: a small, highly selected sample in an open label study cannot establish whether a treatment should be considered safe. If severe adverse events occurred in 10% of participants, many n=15 studies would miss these.

In the future, we recommend editors and reviewers use the recently developed checklist to assess validity threats in psychedelic science, which reveals the issues listed above⁵.

Acknowledgements
We thank Dr. Michiel van Elk for comments on this letter.

Conflicts of interests

EIF & IAC have no conflicts of interests related to the topic of the present manuscript.

FN has no relation with any pharmaceutical company. He received funding from the French National Research Agency (ANR-17-CE36-0010), the French ministry of health and the French ministry of research. He is a work package leader in the OSIRIS project (Open Science to Increase Reproducibility in Science). The OSIRIS project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under the grant agreement No. 101094725. He is a work package leader for the doctoral network MSCA-DN SHARE-CTD (HORIZON-MSCA-2022-DN-01 101120360), funded by the EU.

References

- 1. Aaronson ST, Van Der Vaart A, Miller T, et al. Single-Dose Synthetic Psilocybin With Psychotherapy for Treatment-Resistant Bipolar Type II Major Depressive Episodes: A Nonrandomized Controlled Trial. *JAMA Psychiatry*. Published online December 6, 2023. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2023.4685
- 2. Hidalgo-Mazzei D, Berk M, Cipriani A, et al. Treatment-resistant and multi-therapy-resistant criteria for bipolar depression: consensus definition. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2019;214(1):27-35. doi:10.1192/bjp.2018.257
- 3. Lundh A, Lexchin J, Mintzes B, Schroll JB, Bero L. Industry sponsorship and research outcome. Cochrane Methodology Review Group, ed. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2017;2017(2). doi:10.1002/14651858.MR000033.pub3
- 4. Perlis R, Perlis C, Wu Y, Hwang C, Joseph M, Nierenberg AA. Industry sponsorship and financial conflict of interest in the reporting of clinical trials in psychiatry. *The American journal of psychiatry*. 2005;162(10):1957-1960.
- 5. Van Elk M, Fried El. History repeating: guidelines to address common problems in psychedelic science. *Therapeutic Advances in Psychopharmacology*. 2023;13:20451253231198466. doi:10.1177/20451253231198466