

Efficacy of ruxolitinib in the treatment of relapsed/refractory large granular lymphocytic leukaemia

Tony Marchand, Cédric Pastoret, Gandhi Laurent Damaj, Angélique Lebouvier, Charles Herbaux, Aline Moignet, Miguel Pavlosky, Astrid Pavlosky, Anaïse Blouet, Martin Eloit, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Tony Marchand, Cédric Pastoret, Gandhi Laurent Damaj, Angélique Lebouvier, Charles Herbaux, et al.. Efficacy of ruxolitinib in the treatment of relapsed/refractory large granular lymphocytic leukaemia. British Journal of Haematology, 2024, 205 (3), pp.915-923. 10.1111/bjh.19476 . hal-04564420

HAL Id: hal-04564420 https://hal.science/hal-04564420v1

Submitted on 8 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

DOI: 10.1111/bjh.19476

ORIGINAL PAPER

Haematological Malignancy - Clinical

Efficacy of ruxolitinib in the treatment of relapsed/refractory large granular lymphocytic leukaemia

Correspondence

Tony Marchand, Service d'Hématologie Clinique, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Rennes, 2 rue Henri Le Guilloux, Rennes Cedex 35033, France. Email: tony.marchand@chu-rennes.fr

Summary

Large granular lymphocytic (LGL) leukaemia is a rare chronic lymphoproliferative disorder characterized by an expansion of cytotoxic T or NK cells. Despite a usually indolent evolution, most patients will require a treatment over the course of the disease because of cytopenia or symptomatic associated autoimmune disorders. Firstline treatment is based on immunosuppressive agents, namely cyclophosphamide, methotrexate and ciclosporin. However, relapses are frequent, and there is no consensus on the management of relapsed/refractory patients. The implication of the JAK/STAT pathway in the pathogenesis of this disease has prompted our group to propose treatment with ruxolitinib. A series of 21 patients who received this regimen is reported here. Ten patients (47.6%) were refractory to the three main immunosuppressive drugs at the time of ruxolitinib initiation. Ruxolitinib yielded an overall response rate of 86% (n = 18/21), including 3 complete responses and 15 partial responses. With a median follow-up of 9 months, the median response duration was 4 months. One-year event-free survival and 1-year overall survival were 57% and 83% respectively. Mild side effects were observed. Biological parameters, notably neutropenia and anaemia, improved significantly, and complete molecular responses were evidenced. This study supports ruxolitinib as a valid option for the treatment of relapsed/refractory LGL leukaemia.

KEYWORDS

chronic T cell leukaemia, clinical studies, lymphoproliferative disease, molecular biology, T-cell lymphoma

INTRODUCTION

Large granular lymphocytic (LGL) leukaemia is characterized by the expansion of clonal cytotoxic T or natural killer (NK) cells and accounts for 2%–5% of lymphoproliferative disorders, with an estimated incidence of 0.2–0.7 per million individuals each year.¹ The clinical presentation is dominated by recurrent infections associated with neutropenia, anaemia and splenomegaly.^{2,3} Interestingly, LGL leukaemia is frequently associated with various autoimmune diseases.⁴ Despite a classically indolent evolution, more than 70% of the patients will eventually require treatment. First-line therapy is based on immunosuppressive agents such as methotrexate, cyclophosphamide and/or

For affiliations refer to page 922.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.
© 2024 The Authors. *British Journal of Haematology* published by British Society for Haematology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

ciclosporin.⁵⁻⁷ However, the overall response rate (ORR) is less than 50%, and the response duration is usually short, with frequent relapses. Several strategies have been tried in relapsed/refractory (R/R) patients. Alemtuzumab has shown some promising results, with a reported ORR of 56% in a series of 25 patients, but was associated with significant toxicity and high treatment-related mortality.⁸ Other strategies have been reported, mostly in small retrospective studies, including bendamustine and purine analogues such as cladribine and fludarabine.^{9,10} Autologous and allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation have been proposed in rare cases of highly pretreated patients.¹¹ Some results have been observed with antithymocyte globulins associated or not with ciclosporin in LGL leukaemia patients with associated aplastic anaemia. However, results with these treatments have been disappointing, with inconstant responses, frequent relapses, and significant toxicities. Therefore, R/R LGL leukaemia represents an unmet medical need.

Deregulation of the JAK/STAT pathway is a hallmark of LGL leukaemia pathogenesis.¹²⁻¹⁴ Somatic STAT3 gain-of-function mutations are found in up to 60% of T-cell LGL leukaemia and 30% of NK-cell LGL leukaemia.^{15,16} Activation of the JAK/STAT pathway has been demonstrated even in the absence of STAT3 mutation, due to mutations in alternative genes, epigenetic modifications or dysregulations of the cytokine network.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Therefore, JAK/STAT inhibitors represent a potential option for the treatment of LGL leukaemia. The JAK3specific inhibitor tofacitinib has shown clinical efficacy in a small series of refractory T-cell LGL leukaemia patients with associated rheumatoid arthritis.¹⁹ Ruxolitinib, a JAK1 and JAK2 inhibitor, was initially developed to treat JAK2-mutated myeloproliferative neoplasms and graft-versus-host disease.^{20,21} We and others have previously reported the efficacy of ruxolitinib in LGL leukaemia through seven clinical observations.^{22,23} Here, the efficacy and safety profile of ruxolitinib are reported in a series of 21 R/R LGL leukaemia patients treated in a compassionate protocol.

METHODS

Study population

This multicentre international retrospective study included 21 consecutive adult patients who received ruxolitinib for R/R LGL leukaemia. Ruxolitinib compassionate use was granted due to relapsed/refractory disease without any suitable therapeutic option available. Patients had been previously treated with at least one drug among cyclophosphamide, methotrexate or ciclosporin in 10 French hospitals, one Argentinian and one Swedish centre. The study was approved by the Rennes University Hospital ethics committee, and all patients provided informed consent.

Response assessment

Treatment response was assessed through clinical examination, full blood count and LGL number assessment in both flow cytometry and STAT3-targeted digital polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Complete remission (CR) was defined as the resolution of initial symptoms and complete normalization of blood counts (haemoglobin [Hb] $\geq 12 \text{ g/}$ dL, absolute neutrophil count [ANC] $\geq 1.5 \times 10^{9}$ /L, platelet count $\geq 150 \times 10^{9}$ /L and the absence of circulating LGLs). Haematological partial response (PR) was defined as an improvement in blood counts that did not meet the criteria for CR in two different situations. First, in case of previous neutropenia, PR was considered for an ANC increase of more than 50% from baseline, reaching at least 0.5×10^{9} /L but lower than 1.5×10^{9} /L with no recurrence of infections. Second, PR was reached, in case of previous anaemia, for an increase in Hb of more than 2 g/dL from baseline but still lower than 12 g/dL, without transfusion requirement. ORR was defined as the sum of patients in CR or PR. Progressive disease was defined as the worsening of cytopenia, organomegaly or an increased incidence of infections related to persistent neutropenia, regardless of the severity.

Flow cytometry

LGL quantification was performed by flow cytometry on a BD FACSLyric[∞]Lyric instrument (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ), with a T-cell panel including the cytotoxic markers CD16, CD56 and CD57, as well as the V-beta T-cell receptor (TCR) repertoire (IOTest Beta Mark Kit, Beckman Coulter, Miami, FL).

Molecular analysis

We sequenced a 74-gene panel on diagnostic samples and at follow-up when available molecular targets were identified. Libraries were generated in duplicate from 125 ng of DNA. Targeted regions were amplified using the Advanta NGS Library Prep reagents on the 48.48 Integrated Fluidic Circuit loaded in the Juno system (Standard Biotools, San Francisco, CA), then sequenced on a NextSeq550 system (Illumina, San Diego, CA). The data were analysed with an in-house bioinformatic pipeline. Non-synonymous variants with an allelic frequency above 2%, not recognized as a polymorphism (MAF <0.1 in gnomad database), were investigated in this study.

Furthermore, the level of *STAT3* mutation allelic fraction was assessed by double drop-off digital PCR.²⁴ Briefly, 20 ng of DNA were added to Naica PCR mix (Stilla Technologies, Villejuif, France), primers and two fluorescent probes designed for the detection of Y640 and D661 hotspots, then loaded in a Sapphire chip (Stilla Technologies). Partitioning and amplification were performed on the Geode instrument.

Then, the chip was read on a Naica Prism6 system with Crystal Minner software (Stilla Technologies).

Statistical analyses

Comparisons of biological data between time points were performed using paired Wilcoxon tests or Fisher exact test. Survivals were estimated using the log rank test with Kaplan–Meier graphical representations. Progression-free (PFS) and overall (OS) survivals were both calculated from the day of ruxolitinib treatment initiation, to relapse, death or date of last follow-up for PFS, and to death or date of last follow-up for OS. Statistical analyses used the Medcalc software (Ostend, Belgium). *p* values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

Twenty-one patients were identified with R/R LGL leukaemia in the participating hospitals in France (n=17), Argentina (n=3) and Sweden (n=1). All the patients fulfilled the diagnosis criteria for LGL leukaemia.³ The main patient characteristics at diagnosis are indicated in Table S1. Eleven men and ten women were included, with a median age of 60 years [interquartile range (IQR): 46-63]. Eighteen patients (86%) presented with T-LGL leukaemia and three with NK-LGL leukaemia. At diagnosis, splenomegaly was detected in nine patients. None of the patients had rheumatoid arthritis, while one had a Sjögren syndrome. Among the 18 patients with available absolute LGL counts, the median value was 0.93×10^9 /L [0.31–6.02]. Six patients had an LGL count lower than the 0.5×10^9 /L threshold, but were classified as LGL leukaemia due to the presence of cytopenia with TCR clonality and/or STAT3 mutation, in the absence of an alternative diagnosis. Neutropenia was observed in 18 patients (86%), including eight patients with severe neutropenia $(ANC < 0.5 \times 10^{9}/L)$ (38%) and seven who experienced recurrent infections (33%). The median ANC was $0.67 \times 10^9/L$ [0.18–0.90]. Anaemia, defined as Hb <11.5 g/dL, was present in five patients, three of them being transfusion-dependent. The median Hb level was 12.0 g/dL [11.3–13.4]. Ten patients had thrombocytopenia below 150×10^9 /L, while the median platelet count was 140×10^9 /L [72–247].

Ruxolitinib treatment was initiated with a median time of 29 months [19–88] and 25 months [13.4–79] after diagnosis and first-line treatment respectively. The median number of previous lines of treatment was 3 (range 1–6) and included a variety of schedules (Figure 1; Table S2). Cyclophosphamide, methotrexate and ciclosporin were given to 12 (57%), 14 (67%) and 18 (86%) patients respectively. Of note, 10 patients (48%) were refractory to the three immunosuppressive drugs at the time of ruxolitinib initiation. Other therapeutic agents given before ruxolitinib included cladribine, pentostatin,

bendamustine, corticosteroids, erythropoietin, granulocytestimulating factors and polychemotherapy. The best response to first-line treatment was CR for three patients and PR for another three. Fourteen patients showed no response or progress. Patient characteristics at inclusion for ruxolitinib therapy are indicated in Table 1. Compared to diagnosis, the median lymphocyte count was significantly lower at that time $(1.10 \times 10^9/L, [0.81-1.82], p=0.006)$, with median absolute LGL counts, available for comparison in 10 patients, of 0.70×10^9 /L ([0.15–1.74], *p*=0.02). Haemoglobin levels were significantly lower (9.9 g/dL, [8.8-11.4], p = 0.016). Median ANC $(0.44 \times 10^9/L, [0.11-0.81], NS)$ and platelets $(145 \times 10^{9}/L, [72-247], NS)$ were not significantly different from diagnosis. Clinical features had worsened, with hepatomegaly reported in six patients (28.6%), B symptoms in 5 (23.8%) and infections in 10 (47.6%). Mutational status, obtained for 17 patients, evidenced mutations of STAT3 in 14 patients (82%), of STAT5B in one patient (6%), of TNFAIP and SETD2 in two patients each (12%) and of DNMT3A and *IDH2* in one patient each (6%). Most patients (n = 13) received the drug at 15 mg twice daily (bid), while other dosages were 10 mg bid (n=3), 20 mg once a day (n=2) and 20 mg bid (n=2). A dose increase from 20 mg to 40 mg was proposed to one patient. Ruxolinitib was given for a median duration of 7 months [1-56]. Ruxolitinib was pursued until progression or unacceptable toxicity. The drug was not restarted in the event of a relapse.

Efficacy of ruxolitinib

The ORR for ruxolitinib therapy was 85.7%. Fifteen patients reached PR (71.4%) and 3 CR (14.3%). Only three patients (14.3%) remained refractory. The median time to response was 3.5 months, ranging from 1 to 21 months. Notably, among the 11 patients with LGL leukaemia refractory to immunosuppressive drugs, the ORR was 73%, with one patient reaching CR and eight reaching PR. The ORR was 86.7% in patients with the STAT3/STAT5b mutation, with 2/15 and 11/15 reaching CR and PR respectively.

Ruxolitinib was associated with a significant improvement in haemoglobin level, ANC count and platelet count (Figure 2; Table S3). The best median haemoglobin level was 11.9 g/dL [10.4–12.7] compared with 9.8 g/dL [8.7–11.3] (p=0.02), the ANC count reached 1.30×10⁹/L [0.88–2.45] compared with 0.44×10⁹/L [0.11–0.81] (p=0.002) and the platelet count was 203×10⁹/L [109–281] compared with 145×10⁹/L [56.7–191] (p=0.004) before ruxolitinib treatment. However, lymphocyte counts, although much less dispersed, did not differ significantly (1.5×10⁹/L, [1.0–2.84], p=0.33). Importantly, among the eight transfusion-dependent patients, five patients (62%) became transfusion-independent. It is noteworthy that, neutropenia showed significant improvement (p=0.007) compared to diagnostic parameters, while lymphocyte counts were lower (p=0.007).

To evaluate the specific anti-tumoral effect of ruxolitinib on LGL, 22 samples were collected at treatment initiation





FIGURE 1 Alluvial chart of consecutive treatment lines before ruxolitinib. Red stars show ruxolitinib initiation. Alem, alemtuzumab; Benda, bendamustine; Cs, ciclosporin; Cy, cyclophosphamide; MOGAD: polychemotherapy (MTX, oxaliplatin, gemcitabine, asparaginase, dexamethasone); MTX, methotrexate; PA, purine analogues; Spl, splenectomy; Steroids, corticosteroids.

T.	A	B	L	E	1	Patient characteristics	s at r	uxoli	tinib	initiation
----	---	---	---	---	---	-------------------------	--------	-------	-------	------------

Variable	N=21					
Age (years)	64.4 [51.5-70]					
Time from diagnosis to ruxolitinib (months)	29 [18.7-87.5]					
Time from first line (months)	24.7 [13.4-79]					
Blood parameters						
Haemoglobin level (g/dL)	9.8 [8.7–11.3]					
Transfusion dependency	8 (38%)					
Platelet count (10 ⁹ /L)	145 [57–191]					
Absolute neutrophil count (10 ⁹ /L)	0.44 [0.11-0.81]					
Lymphocyte count (10 ⁹ /L)	1.10 [0.81–1.82]					
Mutations, N (%/tested)						
STAT3	14 (82%)					
STAT5	1 (6%)					
TNFAIP	2 (12%)					
SETD2	2 (12%)					
<i>IDH2</i> R140Q	1 (6%)					
DNMT3A	1 (6%)					
No molecular analysis performed	4 (24%)					
Clinical parameters						
Splenomegaly, N (%)	9 (43%)					
Hepatomegaly, N (%)	7 (33%)					
B symptoms, N (%)	6 (28%)					
Infections, N (%)	10 (48%)					
Associated autoimmune disease, N (%)	1 (5%)					
Prior therapy (median 3 lines; range 1-6)						
Cyclophosphamide (Cy), N (%)	13 (62%)					
Methotrextate (MTX), N (%)	15 (71%)					
Ciclosporin (Cs), N (%)	19 (90%)					
Failure to Cy, MTX and Cs, N (%)	11 (52%)					
Cladribine, N (%)	3 (14%)					
Bendamustine, N (%)	4 (19%)					
Corticosteroids, N (%)	3 (14%)					
Erythropoietin, N (%)	3 (14%)					
G-CSF, N (%)	3 (14%)					
Polychemotherapy, N (%)	1 (5%)					

Note: Continuous variable are reported as median [interquartile range]; discrete or qualitative variables as number of cases (percentage among tested cases).

(n=7) and after ruxolitinib (n=15), and analysed by digital PCR. The median allelic frequency of STAT3 before ruxolitinib initiation was 21.3% (range 1.5–30.3) and dropped down to 4.1% (range 0.9–13.1) at best response (Figure 2D). No complete molecular response was observed, but three patients showed a reduction of the *STAT3* burden to below 1%.

After a median follow-up of 9 months [6–22] since ruxolitinib initiation, 18 patients responded to treatment. However, 10 of these patients relapsed, five died and only two remained in CR. The median duration of response was 4 months (range: 1–52). The three non-responders are still alive. PFS and OS survival curves are shown in Figure 3A,B



FIGURE 2 Efficacy of ruxolitinib treatment on blood parameters and on large granular lymphocytic clone. Evolution of (A) haemoglobin (g/dL), (B) platelets (10^9 /L) and (C) neutrophils (10^9 /L), at the time of ruxolitinib initiation (dark plots) and at the time of best response after ruxolitinib (light plots). (D) Evolution of STAT3 variant allele frequency before and after ruxolitinib in seven patients. Wilcoxon paired *t*-test (**p*<0.05, ***p*<0.01).

respectively. The median PFS was 24.1 months (95% confidence interval [CI] 4–27), with a 1-year PFS of 53.8% ± 12.9%. The median OS has not been reached, with a 1-year OS of 78.7% ± 11.0%. A separate analysis was conducted on the 14 patients with mutated *STAT3*, revealing a slightly higher median PFS compared to the 3 *STAT3*^{wt} patients (27 months, CI not reached) and a 100% OS (p = ns).

Among the 10 patients who experienced relapse after ruxolitinib, four died, three of them from infections, including one sepsis, following allogeneic stem cell transplantation. One additional patient died because of a progressive disease associated with an infection. Among the six remaining patients who experienced relapse, three were switched to azacitidine, one received a thrombopoietin agonist and G-CSF and one is currently treated with tofacitinib.

Safety

Six patients (30%) experienced side effects while on ruxolitinib. Fatigue was the most frequent side effect, reported in three patients (15%). Musculoskeletal pain was reported in two patients. Gastrointestinal disorders, headache and



FIGURE 3 Outcomes of large granular lymphocytic leukaemia patients treated with ruxolitinib. (A) Progression-free survival. (B) Overall survival.

cholangitis were less frequent, reported in one patient each. Infections, including one VZV reactivation, occurred in six patients (30%), all but one in those with pre-existing neutropenia. Only one patient had to stop ruxolitinib prematurely because of an adverse reaction (extreme fatigue). Only one patient died while still on ruxolitinib. This patient was admitted to the hospital with respiratory distress associated with significant ascites and, in the end, cardiorespiratory arrest. The death was not considered to be related to ruxolitinib.

DISCUSSION

920

Advances in the understanding of LGL leukaemia pathogenesis and the identification of recurrent mutations have opened the way to the development of targeted therapy for this condition.¹⁶ Of particular interest are mutations affecting directly or indirectly the JAK–STAT pathway. Indeed, hyper-activation of JAK–STAT signalling has been observed in the vast majority of LGL leukaemia patients. Moreover, inhibition of STAT3 using different therapeutic compounds has been shown to restore apoptosis in vitro.^{25,26} Therefore, ruxolitinib, a potent orally available JAK1 and JAK2 inhibitor with a well-known safety profile, represents a promising option in this disease. With a median of 3 previous lines and 50% of the cohort refractory to the three immunosuppressive drugs classically used in LGL leukaemia, patients included in the present study were highly pretreated. Response rates observed in this situation with conventional approaches rarely exceed 50%.^{6,27} Indeed, even if LGL leukaemia is characterized by an indolent evolution, the prognosis for relapsed/refractory patients remains poor. The results obtained with ruxolitinib show an ORR of 85.7%, which is remarkably high. Only three patients (14%) were refractory to this treatment. This response rate is higher than that reported in a prospective ECOG study where cyclophosphamide, proposed as a second-line treatment in patients refractory to methotrexate, yielded an ORR of 64%.²⁸ Here, ruxolitinib was effective on all blood parameters, and responses were obtained in less than 3 months in 13/18 responding patients (72%). However, it is worth mentioning that, as observed in other indications such as graft-versus-host disease, late responses were observed in two patients who reached CR after 12 and 21 months following ruxolitinib initiation.²¹ This suggests that, in the absence of toxicity or progression, ruxolitinib treatment should be pursued for at least several months before considering a patient refractory. None of the three CR patients relapsed, as opposed to 10 patients out of 15 partial responders. It can be speculated that these relapses were in part the consequence of subclonal evolution, since clonal heterogeneity has been recently highlighted in LGL leukaemia.²⁹ The good response rate observed in our cohort compares favourably with results recently reported in a series of 20 evaluable patients with R/R LGL proliferation who received ruxolitinib 20 mg bid after a median number of 2 previous lines of therapy.³⁰ In this series, five reached CR and six PR, while four remained in stable disease (ORR 55%) and five progressed. Notably, in the Moskowitz study, only 54% of patients were found to have a mutation in the STAT3 gene, compared to 82% of patients in our study with available mutation profiles. This discrepancy in STAT3 mutation frequency could potentially explain the difference in treatment response observed between the two studies. Due to the retrospective nature of our study, we cannot rule out the possibility that this difference in response is related to selection bias.

STAT3 gain-of-function mutations are found in 30%-60% of patients with NK or T-LGL leukaemia, respectively, at diagnosis. The differences in STAT3 mutation frequency reported across the studies are related to the selection criteria of LGL cohorts, to the timing between diagnosis and genomic analysis, and to the sensitivity of the used techniques, varying from 15% with Sanger sequencing to less than 1% with high-throughput sequencing or digital PCR. Interestingly, we observed a higher proportion of STAT3 mutation in our cohort. Of the 17 patients with a molecular testing available in this series, 14 patients (82%) carried a STAT3 mutation, suggesting that most patients with R/R LGL leukaemia are mutated for the STAT3 gene. This offers the opportunity to track the leukaemic clone with sensitive techniques such as digital PCR or flow cytometry, positioned as reference tools for MRD evaluation in this new area of targeted therapy for LGL leukaemia. In our cohort, the STAT3 mutation was associated with a slightly better survival as compared to that observed in STAT3^{wt} patients, with a median PFS of 27 months (CI not reached) and a 100% OS. This observation is consistent with the series

reported by Moskowitz et al. in which the STAT3 mutation status was associated with a better event-free survival (14-month EFS 100% vs. 40%, p = 0.007).³⁰ These authors suggested that activation of the JAK/STAT pathway in LGL leukaemia could be responsible for a paracrine myelosuppression efficiently cancelled by ruxolitinib. The authors found that the clinical improvement in patients with T-LGL was associated with a significant reduction in the number of circulating myeloid cells, a significant downregulation of the JAK-STAT signalling pathway in myeloid cells, and a decreased production of several myeloid-derived cytokines such as CXCL9, CXCL10 and CCL19. In line with this hypothesis, an improvement in ANC was observed in our cohort after treatment. Further studies are required to better characterize the mechanism of action of ruxolitinib in LGL leukaemia. The ongoing phase II study (NCT05592015) will provide additional information on the optimal dosage and mechanism of action of the drug in this context. Ruxolitinib was well tolerated, with only one patient discontinuing the treatment because of side effects. This contrasts sharply with the therapeutic strategy generally proposed in this setting, including splenectomy, purine analogues or alemtuzumab.^{8–11,19} These agents are associated with potentially lethal neurotoxicity, nephrotoxicity and severe opportunistic infections, which limit their use in an indolent disease.³¹⁻³³ Additionally, even if high response rates have been reported, caution is needed since most data are issued from case reports and small-sized series.³⁴⁻³⁶

Targeted therapy for R/R LGL leukaemia will have to be clarified in the future.³⁷ Tofacitinib, a JAK3 inhibitor, has been proposed in refractory LGL leukaemia associated with rheumatoid arthritis, with some haematological responses.¹⁹ However, cardiovascular and cancer risks associated with this drug are likely to limit its use.^{38,39} Inhibition of cytokines such as IL-15, which are involved in LGL leukaemia clonal expansion, is an interesting option, as recently shown with BNZ-1, a peptide specifically inhibiting IL-15 and other gamma chain cytokines binding to their cellular receptor.^{40,41} However, the reported ORR with this drug used as monotherapy was only 20%. Targeting the CD94 lectin is currently being tested in a clinical trial (NCT05475925). Another phase Ia/Ib trial is testing a STAT3 degrader, KT-333 (NCT05225584). Given the excellent safety profile of ruxolitinib, therapeutic associations could be considered with immunosuppressive or hypomethylating agents. In fact, since several mutations affecting epigenetic mechanisms have recently been reported, orally available azacitidine could represent a promising candidate with an ongoing study (NCT05141682).^{15-17,42,43} A phase II clinical trial evaluating ruxolitinib in R/R LGL leukaemia is ongoing (NCT05592015).

CONCLUSION

Despite the well-demonstrated role of the JAK–STAT pathway in LGL leukaemia, there is limited literature regarding

RUXOLITINB AS TREATMENT FOR LGL LEUKAEMIA

BJHaem

the efficacy and safety profile of ruxolitinib in this rare disease. In the present study, ruxolitinib in monotherapy led to a high remission rate in highly pretreated R/R LGL leukaemia patients, paving the way for prospective studies as the one currently recruiting.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TM and TL designed the study, enrolled patients, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. CP performed molecular analyses. GD, AL, CH, AM, MP, AP, AB, ME, VL, PL, AS, CN, MO and JP enrolled patients and provided data. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

AFFILIATIONS

¹Service d'Hématologie Clinique, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Rennes, Rennes, France

²Université de Rennes, Rennes, France

³UMR 1236, Rennes University, INSERM, Établissement Français du Sang Bretagne, Rennes, France

⁴Laboratoire d'Hématologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Rennes, Rennes, France

⁵Institut d'Hématologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Caen, Caen, France ⁶Service d'Hématologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

⁷Institut de Génétique Humaine, UMR 9002 CNRS-UM, Montpellier, France ⁸Fundaleu—Fundación Para Combatir la Leucemia, Buenos Aires, Argentina ⁹Hématologie, Strasbourg Oncologie Libérale, Clinique Saint Anne, Strasbourg, France

¹⁰Service d'Hématologie et de Thérapie Cellulaire, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Tours, France

¹¹Service d'Hématologie, Centre Hospitalier de Saint Brieuc, Saint Brieuc, France
¹²Service d'Hématologie, CLCC Henri Becquerel, Rouen, France

¹³Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge, Huddinge, Sweden

¹⁴Service d'Hématologie, Centre Hospitalier Régional d'Orléans, Orléans, France ¹⁵Service de Médecine Interne et Maladies Infectieuses, Hôpital Haut-Lévêque, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Bordeaux, Pessac, France ¹⁶CIC 1414, Rennes, France

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Medical writing for this manuscript was assisted by MPIYP (MC Béné), Paris, France.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data from this study will be provided upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The study was approved by the Rennes University Hospital ethic committee.

PATIENT CONSENT STATEMENT

All patients provided informed consent.

ORCID

Tony Marchand b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4932-2169 Cédric Pastoret b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5675-3154 Charles Herbaux b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4910-476X Astrid Pavlosky b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2068-3721 Aspasia Stamatoullas https://orcid. org/0000-0001-7321-5254 Christer Nilsson https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0695-0050

TWITTER

Tony Marchand ♥ tonymarchand35 *Cédric Pastoret* ♥ chuRennes *Thierry Lamy* ♥ honeycomb_team

REFERENCES

- 1. Dinmohamed AG, Brink M, Visser O, Jongen-Lavrencic M. Population-based analyses among 184 patients diagnosed with large granular lymphocyte leukemia in the Netherlands between 2001 and 2013. Leukemia. 2016;30(6):1449–51.
- 2. Loughran TP Jr. Clonal diseases of large granular lymphocytes [see comments]. Blood. 1993;82(1):1–14.
- Lamy T, Moignet A, Loughran TP Jr. LGL leukemia: from pathogenesis to treatment. Blood. 2017;129(9):1082–94.
- 4. Drillet G, Pastoret C, Moignet A, Lamy T, Marchand T. Large granular lymphocyte leukemia: an indolent clonal proliferative disease associated with an array of various immunologic disorders. Rev Med Interne. 2023;44(6):295–306.
- Moignet A, Hasanali Z, Zambello R, Pavan L, Bareau B, Tournilhac O, et al. Cyclophosphamide as a first-line therapy in LGL leukemia. Leukemia. 2014;28(5):1134–6.
- 6. Lamy T, Loughran TP Jr. How I treat LGL leukemia. Blood. 2011;117(10):2764-74.
- Sanikommu SR, Clemente MJ, Chomczynski P, Afable MG II, Jerez A, Thota S, et al. Clinical features and treatment outcomes in large granular lymphocytic leukemia (LGLL). Leuk Lymphoma. 2018;59(2):416–22.
- Dumitriu B, Ito S, Feng X, Stephens N, Yunce M, Kajigaya S, et al. Alemtuzumab in T-cell large granular lymphocytic leukaemia: interim results from a single-arm, open-label, phase 2 study. Lancet Haematol. 2016;3(1):e22-e29.
- Zaja F, Baldini L, Ferreri AJ, Luminari S, Grossi A, Salvi F, et al. Bendamustine salvage therapy for T cell neoplasms. Ann Hematol. 2013;92(9):1249–54.
- Osuji N, Matutes E, Tjonnfjord G, Grech H, del Giudice I, Wotherspoon A, et al. T-cell large granular lymphocyte leukemia: a report on the treatment of 29 patients and a review of the literature. Cancer. 2006;107(3):570-8.
- Marchand T, Lamy T, Finel H, Arcese W, Choquet S, Finke J, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for T-cell large granular lymphocyte leukemia: a retrospective study of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Leukemia. 2016;30(5):1201–4.
- Fasan A, Kern W, Grossmann V, Haferlach C, Haferlach T, Schnittger S. STAT3 mutations are highly specific for large granular lymphocytic leukemia. Leukemia. 2013;27(7):1598–600.
- Jerez A, Clemente MJ, Makishima H, Koskela H, LeBlanc F, Peng Ng K, et al. STAT3 mutations unify the pathogenesis of chronic lymphoproliferative disorders of NK cells and T-cell large granular lymphocyte leukemia. Blood. 2012;120(15):3048–57.
- Koskela HL, Eldfors S, Ellonen P, van Adrichem AJ, Kuusanmäki H, Andersson EI, et al. Somatic STAT3 mutations in large granular lymphocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(20):1905–13.
- Pastoret C, Desmots F, Drillet G, le Gallou S, Boulland ML, Thannberger A, et al. Linking the KIR phenotype with STAT3 and TET2 mutations to identify chronic lymphoproliferative disorders of NK cells. Blood. 2021;137(23):3237–50.
- Cheon H, Xing JC, Moosic KB, Ung J, Chan VW, Chung DS, et al. Genomic landscape of TCRalphabeta and TCRgammadelta T-large granular lymphocyte leukemia. Blood. 2022;139(20):3058–72.
- Olson TL, Cheon H, Xing JC, Olson KC, Paila U, Hamele CE, et al. Frequent somatic TET2 mutations in chronic NK-LGL leukemia with distinct patterns of cytopenias. Blood. 2021;138:662–73.

922

- Isabelle C, Boles A, Chakravarti N, Porcu P, Brammer J, Mishra A. Cytokines in the pathogenesis of large granular lymphocytic leukemia. Front Oncol. 2022;12:849917.
- Bilori B, Thota S, Clemente MJ, Patel B, Jerez A, Afable M II, et al. Tofacitinib as a novel salvage therapy for refractory T-cell large granular lymphocytic leukemia. Leukemia. 2015;29(12):2427–9.
- Harrison C, Kiladjian JJ, Al-Ali HK, Gisslinger H, Waltzman R, Stalbovskaya V, et al. JAK inhibition with ruxolitinib versus best available therapy for myelofibrosis. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(9):787–98.
- Zeiser R, Polverelli N, Ram R, Hashmi SK, Chakraverty R, Middeke JM, et al. Ruxolitinib for glucocorticoid-refractory chronic graftversus-host disease. N Engl J Med. 2021;385(3):228–38.
- Moignet A, Pastoret C, Cartron G, Coppo P, Lamy T. Ruxolitinib for refractory large granular lymphocyte leukemia. Am J Hematol. 2021;96(10):E368-E370.
- 23. Moskowitz AJ, Ghione P, Jacobsen E, Ruan J, Schatz JH, Noor S, et al. A phase 2 biomarker-driven study of ruxolitinib demonstrates effectiveness of JAK/STAT targeting in T-cell lymphomas. Blood. 2021;138(26):2828–37.
- 24. Rausch C, Rothenberg-Thurley M, Buerger SA, Tschuri S, Dufour A, Neusser M, et al. Double drop-off droplet digital PCR: a novel, versatile tool for mutation screening and residual disease monitoring in acute myeloid leukemia using cellular or cell-free DNA. J Mol Diagn. 2021;23(8):975–85.
- 25. Kim D, Park G, Huuhtanen J, Ghimire B, Rajala H, Moriggl R, et al. STAT3 activation in large granular lymphocyte leukemia is associated with cytokine signaling and DNA hypermethylation. Leukemia. 2021;35(12):3430–43.
- 26. Teramo A, Gattazzo C, Passeri F, Lico A, Tasca G, Cabrelle A, et al. Intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms contribute to maintain the JAK/ STAT pathway aberrantly activated in T-type large granular lymphocyte leukemia. Blood. 2013;121(19):3843–54, S3841.
- Ravandi F, Aribi A, O'Brien S, Faderl S, Jones D, Ferrajoli A, et al. Phase II study of alemtuzumab in combination with pentostatin in patients with T-cell neoplasms. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(32):5425–30.
- Loughran TP Jr, Zickl L, Olson TL, Wang V, Zhang D, Rajala HLM, et al. Immunosuppressive therapy of LGL leukemia: prospective multicenter phase II study by the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (E5998). Leukemia. 2015;29(4):886–94.
- 29. Rajala HL, Olson T, Clemente MJ, Lagström S, Ellonen P, Lundan T, et al. The analysis of clonal diversity and therapy responses using STAT3 mutations as a molecular marker in large granular lymphocytic leukemia. Haematologica. 2015;100(1):91–9.
- Moskowitz A, Rahman J, Ganesan N, Hannigan K, Ksanznak K, Bitton H, et al. Ruxolitinib promotes clinical responses in large granular lymphocytic leukemia via suppression of JAK/STAT-dependent inflammatory cascades. Blood. 2023;142(Suppl 1):183.
- 31. Thursky KA, Worth LJ, Seymour JF, Miles Prince H, Slavin MA. Spectrum of infection, risk and recommendations for prophylaxis and screening among patients with lymphoproliferative disorders treated with alemtuzumab. Br J Haematol. 2006;132(1):3–12.
- 32. Tadmor T. Purine analog toxicity in patients with hairy cell leukemia. Leuk Lymphoma. 2011;52(Suppl 2):38–42.

- Cheson BD, Vena DA, Foss FM, Sorensen JM. Neurotoxicity of purine analogs: a review. J Clin Oncol. 1994;12(10):2216–28.
- Aribi A, Huh Y, Keating M, O'Brien S, Ferrajoli A, Faderl S, et al. Tcell large granular lymphocytic (T-LGL) leukemia: experience in a single institution over 8 years. Leuk Res. 2007;31(7):939–45.
- Fortune AF, Kelly K, Sargent J, O'brien D, Quinn F, Chadwick N, et al. Large granular lymphocyte leukemia: natural history and response to treatment. Leuk Lymphoma. 2010;51(5):839–45.
- Subbiah V, Viny AD, Rosenblatt S, Pohlman B, Lichtin A, Maciejewski JP. Outcomes of splenectomy in T-cell large granular lymphocyte leukemia with splenomegaly and cytopenia. Exp Hematol. 2008;36(9):1078–83.
- Prince HM. Blocked addiction to IL-15 for treating T-LGLL. Blood. 2023;142(15):1258–60.
- Mease P, Charles-Schoeman C, Cohen S, Fallon L, Woolcott J, Yun H, et al. Incidence of venous and arterial thromboembolic events reported in the tofacitinib rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis development programmes and from real-world data. Ann Rheum Dis. 2020;79(11):1400–13.
- Ytterberg SR, Bhatt DL, Mikuls TR, Koch GG, Fleischmann R, Rivas JL, et al. Cardiovascular and cancer risk with tofacitinib in rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med. 2022;386(4):316–26.
- Brammer JE, Ballen K, Sokol L, Querfeld C, Nakamura R, Mishra A, et al. Effective treatment with the selective cytokine inhibitor BNZ-1 reveals the cytokine dependency of T-LGL leukemia. Blood. 2023;142(15):1271–80.
- Wang TT, Yang J, Zhang Y, Zhang M, Dubois S, Conlon KC, et al. IL-2 and IL-15 blockade by BNZ-1, an inhibitor of selective gammachain cytokines, decreases leukemic T-cell viability. Leukemia. 2019;33(5):1243–55.
- 42. Chan HW, Kurago ZB, Stewart CA, Wilson MJ, Martin MP, Mace BE, et al. DNA methylation maintains allele-specific KIR gene expression in human natural killer cells. J Exp Med. 2003;197(2):245–55.
- Zawit M, Gurnari C, Pagliuca S, Awada H, Maciejewski JP, Saunthararajah Y. A non-cytotoxic regimen of decitabine to treat refractory T-cell large granular lymphocytic leukemia. Clin Case Rep. 2021;9(9):e04533.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Marchand T, Pastoret C, Damaj G, Lebouvier A, Herbaux C, Moignet A, et al. Efficacy of ruxolitinib in the treatment of relapsed/ refractory large granular lymphocytic leukaemia. Br J Haematol. 2024;205(3):915–923. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u> <u>bjh.19476</u>