

A new defintion of the fuzzy cardinality of finite fuzzy sets preserving the classical additivity property

Didier Dubois

▶ To cite this version:

Didier Dubois. A new defintion of the fuzzy cardinality of finite fuzzy sets preserving the classical additivity property. Bulletin pour les sous-ensembles flous et leurs applications, 1981, 8, pp.65–67. hal-04563950

HAL Id: hal-04563950

https://hal.science/hal-04563950

Submitted on 30 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A NEW DEFINITION OF THE FUZZY CARDINALITY OF FINITE FUZZY SETS

PRESERVING THE CLASSICAL ADDITIVITY PROPERTY

Didier DUBOIS

CERT/DERA, B.P. 4025, 31055 TOULOUSE Cedex

Background

A previous note /2/ had investigated the definition of the fuzzy cardinality of a finite fuzzy set, as proposed by Zadeh /6/. It was noticed that the classical additivity property of cardinality is no longer valid under such a definition.

More precisely, let U be a finite universe, A be a fuzzy set on U; its cardinality |A| is defined according to Zadeh as a fuzzy set of the set of natural integers |N|, such that:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \mu_{A}(n) = \sup \left\{ \ \alpha \in \]0, i \right\} \ |A_{\alpha}| = n \ \right\}$$
where $A_{\alpha} = \left\{ u \in U \ | \mu_{A}(u) > \alpha \right\}$ is the α -cut of A .

Denoting θ the addition extended to fuzzy integers by means of the sup-min extension principle, the following equality generally <u>does not</u> hold: $|A| \oplus |B| = |A \cup B| \oplus |A \cap B|$ (2)

where A and B are fuzzy sets on U.

If |A| denotes the fuzzy set of IN defined by means of the following equalities:

then:
$$\frac{\sqrt{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad |A|}{|A|} (n) = \sup_{A \in \mathbb{N}} \{ |A| \in \mathbb{N}, \quad |A| \in \mathbb{N} \} = \sup_{A \in \mathbb{N}} \{ |A| \in \mathbb{N} \} = \sup_{A \in \mathbb{N}} |A| (x)$$

$$(4)$$

N. Blanchard /1/ suggested using (3) as a definition of the fuzzy cardinality, so as to avoid the lack of convexity of |A| in |N|.

However, if A is a crisp subset of U, with cardinality $n_{A} \in \mathbb{N}$, it is easy to check that

$$\forall$$
 $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \le n \le n_A \Rightarrow \bigcap_{A} (n) = 1$
i.e. A is not the usual cardinality but the interval (of integers) $[0, n_A] \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

This remark prevents (3) from being considered any longer.

N.B.: |A| is erroneously called "enveloppe convexe" in /2/.

A new representation of fuzzy sets

A suitable definition of fuzzy cardinality requires an extension of the familiar representation of fuzzy sets in terms of α -cuts. Such an extension has been proposed in a recent paper /3/ and was motivated by some counterintuitive behavior of a definition of Yager /5/, regarding fuzzy probabilities of fuzzy events. This anomalie stemmed from the use of α -cuts in the definition. It could be suppressed through representing a fuzzy set A by a set of crisp sets each of which is rated by a scalar value. Namely, A is represented by:

$$\left\{ (S, c_A(S)) \mid S \subseteq U, c_A(S) \in]0,1 \right\}$$

where

$$c_A(S) = \inf_{u \in S} \mu_A(u)$$
 if S includes A_l (the l-cut or "peak" of A)
$$= 0$$
 otherwise

 $c_A(S)$ is clearly a worst-case matching rate between S and A. It is simple to check that: $\forall u$, $\gamma_A(u) = \sup_S c_A(S)$ (6)

(6) generalizes the classical identity:

$$\mathcal{A}_{A}(u) = \sup \left\{ \alpha \mid u \in A_{\alpha} \right\}
c_{A}(A_{\alpha}) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad c_{A}(S) < \alpha, \forall S \supset A_{\alpha}.$$
(7)

N.B.: For all subsets S containing A_1 , c_A coincides with the "commonality" function of the possibility distribution μ_A (see Prade's paper in this issue).

A new definition of fuzzy cardinality

Let us now define the fuzzy cardinality of the fuzzy set A denoted $\|A\|$, by its membership function:

$$\forall$$
 neln, $\mu_{\|A\|}$ (n) = $\sup_{S} \inf_{u} \mu_{A}(u)$
 $S \supseteq A_{1} \quad u \in S$
 $|S| = n$

i.e.
$$\mu_{||A||}(n) = \sup \{c_A(S) | |S| = n \}$$

The following propositions or equalities are then valid:

- -) If A is a crisp set with cardinality n_A , then $||A|| + |A| = \{n_A\}$
- -) $\forall n \angle |A_1|$, $\mu_{\|A\|}(n) = 0$ -) If $n = \|A_{\alpha}\|$ then $\mu_{\|A\|}(n) = \alpha = \mu_{\|A\|}(n)$
- -) If $\exists u, v \in A_{\alpha}$ s.t. $\mu_{A}(u) = \mu_{A}(v) = \alpha$ and if $n = |A_{\alpha}|$

then
$$|A| (n-1) = \infty$$
 (while $|A| (n-1) = 0$)

- -) ||A|| is convex on |N and its membership function is non-increasing on the set of integers $\{n \mid n > |A_1|\}$; i.e. $(\mu_{|A|} \mid n)$ is a non-increasing sequence for $n > |A_1|$
- -) $||A|| = |A| / [0, |A_1| -1]$
- -) |A||is the convex hull (Lowen /4/) of |A|
- -) | | satisfies the additivity rule :

References

- /1/ N. Blanchard, Théories cardinales et ordinales des ensembles flous, These 3^{eme} cycle, Mathématiques, Université de Lyon, France, 1981
- /2/ D. Dubois, Propriétés de la cardinalité floue d'un ensemble flou fini BUSEFAL, 5, 11-12, 1981
- /3/ D. Dubois, H. Prade, Towards fuzzy differential calculus-part 2: integration on fuzzy intervals, to appear in Fuzzy sets and Systems
- /4/ R. Lowen, Convex fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and Systems 3,291-310,1980
- /5/ R. Yager, A note on probabilities of fuzzy events, Inf. Sci., 18, 113-129 1979
- /6/ L.A. Zadeh, A theory of approximate reasoning, in "Machine Intelligence" (D. Michie, ed.), vol. 9, Elsevier, New-York, 1978