

Ferriphaselus amnicola strain GF-20, a new iron- and thiosulfate-oxidizing bacterium isolated from a hard rock aquifer

Melissa Garry, Julien Farasin, L
 Drevillon, A Quaiser, Camille Bouchez, Tanguy Le Borgne, Sarah Coffinet, A Dufresne

▶ To cite this version:

Melissa Garry, Julien Farasin, L Drevillon, A Quaiser, Camille Bouchez, et al.. Ferriphaselus amnicola strain GF-20, a new iron- and thiosulfate-oxidizing bacterium isolated from a hard rock aquifer. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 2024, 100 (5), pp.fiae047. 10.1093/femsec/fiae047. hal-04563350v2

HAL Id: hal-04563350 https://hal.science/hal-04563350v2

Submitted on 29 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



DOI: 10.1093/femsec/fiae047 Advance access publication date: 4 April 2024 Research Article

Ferriphaselus amnicola strain GF-20, a new iron- and thiosulfate-oxidizing bacterium isolated from a hard rock aquifer

Mélissa Garry[®]^{1,2,*}, Julien Farasin², Laetitia Drevillon³, Achim Quaiser³, Camille Bouchez¹, Tanguy Le Borgne¹, Sarah Coffinet³, Alexis Dufresne³

¹Géosciences Rennes, CNRS, Univ Rennes, UMR 6118, Rennes, France

²OSUR, Univ Rennes, UMS 3343, Rennes, France

³Ecobio—Ecosystèmes, Biodiversité, Evolution, CNRS, Univ Rennes, UMR 6553, Rennes, France

*Corresponding author. Université de Rennes 1 - OSUR - Géosciences Rennes 263 Av. Général Leclerc, Rennes (35042). E-mail: melissa.garry@univ-rennes.fr Editor: [Maria Dittrich]

Abstract

Ferriphaselus amnicola GF-20 is the first Fe-oxidizing bacterium isolated from the continental subsurface. It was isolated from groundwater circulating at 20 m depth in the fractured-rock catchment observatory of Guidel-Ploemeur (France). Strain GF-20 is a neutrophilic, iron- and thiosulfate-oxidizer and grows autotrophically. The strain shows a preference for low oxygen concentrations, which suggests an adaptation to the limiting oxygen conditions of the subsurface. It produces extracellular stalks and dreads when grown with Fe(II) but does not secrete any structure when grown with thiosulfate. Phylogenetic analyses and genome comparisons revealed that strain GF-20 is affiliated with the species *F. amnicola* and is strikingly similar to *F. amnicola* strain OYT1, which was isolated from a groundwater seep in Japan. Based on the phenotypic and phylogenetic characteristics, we propose that GF-20 represents a new strain within the species *F. amnicola*.

Keywords: chemolithoautotrophic; continental subsurface; Ferriphaselus; iron oxidation; low-oxygen; thiosulfate-oxidation

Introduction

Hard rock aquifers are heterogeneous and dynamic environments hosting a broad diversity of microorganisms (Ben Maamar et al. 2015). In these subsurface environments, primary producers are lithoautotrophs, capable of using energy released by redox reactions to fix CO₂ and produce organic matter. Microbial diversity studies have highlighted the significant presence of iron-oxidizing bacteria (FeOB) belonging to the family Gallionellaceae in geochemically distinct subsurface environments (Ben Maamar et al. 2015, Jewell et al. 2016, Trias et al. 2017, Bethencourt et al. 2020, Bochet et al. 2020). These bacteria oxidize dissolved Fe(II) to obtain energy for their autotrophic metabolism (Hallbeck and Pedersen 1991, Weiss et al. 2007, Emerson et al. 2013, Kato et al. 2014, 2015, Kadnikov et al. 2016, Khalifa et al. 2018). Beside genes involved in iron oxidation and CO₂ fixation, pathways for sulfur oxidation and nitrate reduction were identified in some genomes of pure cultures and of metagenome-assembled genomes of Gallionellaceae, suggesting versatility in their energy metabolism (Emerson et al. 2013, Kato et al. 2015, Jewell et al. 2016, Bethencourt et al. 2020, Hoover et al. 2023). Some Gallionellaceae are also characterized by the ability to produce large amounts of rust-colored aggregates, often referred to as "flocs" because of their fluffy appearance. These flocs are composed of twisted stalks corresponding to extracellular structures composed of loosely aggregated Fe-mineralized organic filaments. They have only been described in neutrophilic microaerobic FeOBs, such as the Gallionellaceae and Zetaproteobacteria (Hallbeck and Pedersen 1990, Chan et al. 2011, 2016: 201, Krepski et al. 2012, Kato et al. 2014).

Metagenomics is a potent approach to obtain a thorough picture of genetic and taxonomic composition of microbial communities. Yet, the need to cultivate microorganisms in order to test hypotheses related to their metabolism, their ecological niches, and their roles in environmental processes remains critical. Very few isolates of *Gallionellaceae* are available in pure culture and all of them originate from iron-rich microbial mats developing in surface environments such as a water drainage system for *Sideroxydans* lithotrophicus ES-1 and *Gallionella ferruginea* ES-2 (Emerson and Moyer 1997), an acid fen peat for *Sideroxydans* strain CL21 (Lüdecke et al. 2010, Cooper et al. 2020), groundwater seeps for *Ferriphaselus* globulitus R-1 (Krepski et al. 2012) and *F. amnicola* OYT1 (Kato et al. 2014), paddy field soil for *Ferrigenium kumadai* An22 (Khalifa et al. 2018), and wetland for *Sideroxyarcus emersonii* MIZ01 (Kato et al. 2022).

Gallionellaceae requires microaerobic conditions with high amounts of reduced iron for optimal growth. At circumneutral pH and with high O₂ concentrations (from 1.5 mg L⁻¹, equivalent to 56 μ M dissolved O₂), the chemical oxidation is very fast, with a Fe(II) half-life in the order of a minute, which prevents the growth of neutrophilic FeOB (Emerson et al. 2010, Melton et al. 2014). The biological oxidation predominates at low O₂ concentrations ranging from ~0.5 to 50 μ M (Neubauer et al. 2002, Druschel et al. 2008, Krepski et al. 2013, Chiu et al. 2017, Maisch et al. 2019). In hard rock

Received 1 November 2023; revised 18 February 2024; accepted 3 April 2024

[©] The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of FEMS. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

aquifers, fractures in the bedrock form networks of flow paths connected by multiple intersections, which allow the mixing of surface oxygenated water with deep anoxic, iron-rich fluids. This provides favorable conditions for FeOB over a large range of depths (Bochet et al. 2020) but the relationship between O₂ concentration and depth is complex (Osorio-Leon et al. 2023). O₂ concentrations fluctuate between 0 and 100 μ M, notably because O₂ delivery to the subsurface is intermittent following seasonal fluctuations of rain events during groundwater recharge periods (Chorover et al. 2017). Consequently, fracture-induced redox gradients are likely temporary and the O₂ concentration may be the limiting factor controlling the dynamics of iron oxidizer hotspots in the subsurface. Assessing the effect of O₂ concentration on the growth and metabolism of FeOB is essential to better understand the biogeochemical functioning of the hard rock aquifer.

An additional challenge lies in reproducing the microaerobic conditions encountered by FeOB in experimental setups, especially in the case of low O_2 concentrations. Most isolation assays and Fe(II) oxidation kinetics studies with FeOBs were performed in glass test tubes with opposing O_2 /Fe gradients (Emerson and Moyer 1997, Druschel et al. 2008, Lueder et al. 2018) or in miniaturized microcosms with liquid cultures and preadjusted O_2 concentrations in the headspace (Maisch et al. 2019). However, these systems remain limited in their ability to maintain steady conditions and to accurately measure O_2 concentration throughout incubations, in particular in the case of suboxic range (<5 μ M O_2).

In this work, we isolated the first FeOB representative of hotspots in fractured aquifers. We carried out its physiological and genomic characterization and determined its ecological niche in the continental subsurface. We placed particular emphasis on controlling the oxygen concentration in incubation setups to characterize the physiology of GF-20 at low oxygen concentrations, representative of the suboxic conditions of reduced groundwater with long residence times.

Materials and methods Groundwater sampling and hydrochemical analysis

Groundwater was sampled on 10 January 2022 in an artesian borehole. This borehole is 130 m deep and is located in the discharge area of a fractured rock aquifer near the city of Guidel (Brittany, Western France, PZ26:47°45′13.298″N, 3°28′53.83″W). The aquifer is located in the highly instrumented Ploemeur-Guidel aquifer observatory [French network of hydrogeological observatories H⁺ (http://hplus.ore.fr/en) and French network of Critical Zone observatories OZCAR (http://www.ozcar-ri.org/)]. Many fractures in the crystalline bedrock have been described at different depths in the borehole (Bochet et al. 2020). The deepest fractures supply the borehole with an old (residence time of at least 100 years) anoxic, iron-rich fluid that constitutes the majority of the groundwater upflow (Bochet et al. 2020). The other fractures provide smaller groundwater fluxes, which can contain low concentrations of O₂ during recharge periods (Bochet et al. 2020).

An inflatable packer was installed at 25 m depth to block the main upflow of deep reducing fluid and to sample only groundwater flowing out of the fracture F20 located at 20 m depth. The isolation of the F20 fracture from the main flux of deep groundwater was confirmed by the suppression of the water flow at the outlet piezometer. Groundwater samples were collected with an MP1 pump (Grundfos). Conductivity, temperature, and pH were measured on-site with a Multi 3620 IDS probe (WTW; with accuracies of \pm 0.01 µS cm⁻¹, \pm 0.1°C, and \pm 0.005 pH units). Glass vials of 250 ml were filled and sealed with a rubber septum to avoid exchanges with the atmosphere. For chemical analysis, ~50 ml of groundwater were filtered at 0.22 µm and stored into polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) acid-rinsed bottles. Chemical element concentrations were measured using ICP-MS (HP 4500) and ion chromatography (Dionex DX-120), respectively. Dissolved gases (O₂, CO₂, CH₄, and H₂) were measured after headspace extraction with a µGC-TCD and chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) were analyzed using a purge-and-trap GC-ECD.

Isolation and culture conditions

Ferriphaselus amnicola GF-20 was isolated on Modified Wolfe'S Mineral Medium (MWMM: 1 g L⁻¹ NH₄Cl, 0.2 g L⁻¹ MgSO₄.7H₂O, 0.1 g L^{-1} CaCl₂.2H₂O, and 0.05 g L^{-1} K₂HPO₄). FeCl₂ (~500 μ M) was added as a source of Fe(II) (Emerson and Merrill Floyd 2005) in 20 ml of MWMM in 50 ml serum vials sealed with a butyl rubber septum. A headspace gas mixture prepared with a gas mixer (Gas Mixture 100 Series, MCQ Instruments) contained the following composition: $O_2 = 0.25\%$ (corresponding to a concentration of $3 \mu M O_2$), CO₂ = 15%, and Ar = 84.75%. The mixture was injected in the vials with a flow rate of 100 ml min⁻¹. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 6.8–7.0 with 5 mM NaHCO₃. For enrichment, 1 ml of groundwater was added to the liquid culture medium. Serial dilutions of $10^{-1}-10^{-6}$ were incubated at 20° C in the dark with agitation. After 72 h, FeOB growth was observable with the presence of typical orange-colored flocs. For isolation, an additional series of dilutions to extinction (10⁻⁹) were performed. The presence of heterotrophic bacterial contaminants was also tested with growth assays on R2A and LB agar plates incubated at 20°C for 2 weeks.

All precultures for the experiments described below were prepared in MWMM containing 500 μ M FeCl₂, 5 mM NaHCO₃, and an O₂/CO₂/Ar gas mixture (0.25/15/84.75).

DNA extraction and sequencing

Total DNA was extracted from 3×20 ml of pure culture. The culture was centrifuged at 3200×g for 20 min at 4°C in 2 \times 50 ml tubes, combined in a 1.5 ml tube, centrifuged at 10 000×g, and the supernatant eliminated. The cell pellet was homogenized in 600 µL of lysis buffer (5% CTAB, 0.7 M NaCl, 240 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, and 2% β -mercaptoethanol) in the presence of glass beads. A volume of 600 µL of phenol-chloroform-isoamyl (PCI, 25:24:1 v/v/v, pH 4.5) was added, vortexed for 1 min, and incubated at 65°C for 5 min. The sample was centrifuged at 11 000×g for 10 min at 4°C. The aqueous phase containing the DNA was transferred to a 1.5-ml tube, 400 µL of isoamyl chloroform (24:1 v/v) was added, vortexed, and centrifuged at 11 000×g for 5 min at 4°C. The aqueous was precipitated with 18% polyethylene glycol overnight, centrifuged at 15 000×g for 30 min at 4°C, purified, and eluted in Tris low ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) buffer (10 mM Tris Ultrapure, 0.1 mM EDTA, and pH 8.0). The DNA amplification was achieved using the GenomiPhi V2 DNA Amplification Kit (Cytiva), following the manufacturer's instructions. The sequencing library was prepared according to the MGI Easy FS DNA library preparation kit and the sample was sequenced with 2 × 200 bp using a DNBSEQ-G400 high-throughput sequencer (MGI Technology).

Genome assembly and annotation

The MGI sequencing produced 77 951 062 paired reads. Adapters were removed and sequences with quality scores $<\!25$ and $<\!50$ bp

were trimmed using Cutadapt v2.3 (Martin 2011). Read redundancy was measured with nonpareil v3.3.4 (Rodriguez et al. 2018) and read coverage was normalized with Khmer v3.4.1. To assess the purity of the isolate GF-20, 16S rRNA sequences were reconstructed from metagenomic reads with phyloFlash (Gruber-Vodicka et al. 2020). Reads were assembled with Spades v3.15.5 (Crusoe et al. 2015, Prjibelski et al. 2020). Contigs were assembled using the genome of F. amnicola OYT1 as a reference (OYT1_AP018738) with RagTag v2 (Alonge et al. 2022). Completion and contamination were evaluated with CheckM (Parks et al. 2015). The genome sequence of F. amnicola GF-20 was annotated using DFAST v1.2.18 with Barrnap and Prodigal options (Tanizawa et al. 2018). The annotation was done manually using the results of KOfamKOALA, BLASTp against the NCBI non-redundant protein sequences database and FeGenie v2.1 tool (Aramaki et al. 2020, Garber et al. 2020). The data for this study has been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at European Molecular Biology Laboratory-European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI) under accession number PRJEB67910 (https://www.ebi. ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB67910).

Phylogenetic analyses

DNA sequences were analyzed with phyloFlash (Gruber-Vodicka et al. 2020) to confirm the presence of a unique 16S rRNA gene sequence and the purity of the culture GF-20. The average nucleotide identity (ANI) and the average amino acid identity (AAI) were calculated with the enveomics collection (Rodriguez and Konstantinidis 2016). DNA-DNA hybridization (DDH) was calculated using the Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator version 3.0 (Meier-Kolthoff et al. 2014). Sequences similar to the GF-20 16S rRNA gene sequence were searched in the SILVA database version 138 with SINA aligner version 1.2.11 (Pruesse et al. 2012). A Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree was inferred from the multiple alignment of 16S rRNA gene sequences using the TN+G+I model with 1000 bootstrap iterations with MEGA v11.0.8 (Tamura et al. 2021: 11). In addition, 120 bacterial marker genes present in single copy were retrieved from the genomes of GF-20 and 9 other isolates of Proteobacteria with GTDB-tk v2.1 and the GTDB database release 07-RS207 (Chaumeil et al. 2022, Parks et al. 2022). Amino-acid sequences of the marker genes were concatenated into large sequences (5024 amino acids), which were subsequently aligned with MUSCLE (Edgar 2004). A phylogenomic ML tree was constructed from multiple alignments using the LG+G model and 1000 bootstrap iterations with the MEGA software.

Physiological characteristics

Temperature, salinity, and pH tolerance were determined by the presence of microbial flocs observed after 72 h of incubation. The temperature range of 4, 6, 10, 20, 30, 35, and 40°C and NaCl concentrations of 0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.5% (w/v) were tested. The pH range tested was 5.4, 5.8, 6, 7, 8, and 8.5. For pH 5.8, 0.5 mM NaHCO₃ was added in MWMM medium. For pH 6, 2.5 mM NaHCO₃ and for pH 7, 5 mM NaHCO₃ were added in MWMM medium. For pH 8 and 8.5, 15 and 20 mM NaOH were added accordingly. All conditions were tested with 3 μ M O₂ concentration and 500 μ M FeCl₂.

Microscopic observations

The culture was observed with scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JEOL IT 300) to identify microbial characteristic structures. Several samples of microbial flocs were fixed with 50% EtOH and deposited on a nylon filter membrane (diameter = 25 mm and

pore size = 0.2 μ m, Whatman) or glass slide to dehydrate, then dried out at the critical point (Balzers Instruments, CPD010). The samples were metalized with gold/palladium with the Leica EM ACE200 before the observations with the SEM.

Fatty acid analysis

Fatty acids were extracted, purified, and methylated as described by Elvert et al. (2003). In brief, biomass of 150 ml of pure culture was harvested by multiple centrifuge steps (10 000×g at 4°C for 20 min) and freeze-dried. The freeze-dried residue was saponified with a 15% methanolic NaOH solution for 3 h at 80°C. The neutral lipid fraction was separated by liquid-liquid extraction with cyclohexane. The pH was then set to 1 by dropwise HCl addition and the fatty acid fraction was purified by liquid-liquid extraction with cyclohexane. Methylation of the fatty acid fraction was performed with 0.5 ml of a 20% BF₃ solution in methanol for 1 h at 70°C. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were identified by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (QP2010+MS, Shimadzu) using the standard FAME Mix (47885-U, Supelco) and the NIST Mass Spectral Library (NIST 05). The integration of FAMEs was performed on the major ion (Supplementary Table S3) and the quantification was performed by the addition of perdeuterated n-alkanes as internal standards (eicosane, tetracosane, triacontane, CDN, and Sigma). The GC-MS was operated in electron impact mode at 70 eV with a full scan mass range of 50–600 m/z. The chromatographic separation was performed on a fused silica SLB-5MS capillary column (length = 60 m, diameter = 0.25 mm, and film thickness = 0.25 µm, Supelco) under the following oven temperature program: 70°C (held for 1 min) to 150°C at 15°C min⁻¹, then 150–300°C (held for 15 min) at 3°C min⁻¹. The chromatograph was coupled to the mass spectrometer by a transfer line heated to 280°C, and he was fed with a constant flow rate (flow = 1 ml min⁻¹) as carrier gas.

Electrons donors and acceptors

To determine the ability of GF-20 to grow with substrates other than Fe(II), triplicate cultures were prepared with different electron acceptors and donors under suboxic and anoxic conditions (Table 3). The anoxic condition was obtained by adding cysteine (5 mM) and resazurin (4 µM) to the culture media and with a gas mixture composed of CO₂ (15%) and Ar (85%) (Wagner et al. 2019). Growth of GF-20 with four inorganic substrates: FeSO₄ (500-600 μ M), NH₄Cl (1 mM), Na₂S₂O₃ (1 mM), and MnSO₄ (5 mM) were tested in suboxic conditions ($O_2 = 0.25\%$). Similarly, five organic molecules: glucose, sucrose, pyruvate, lactate, and acetate (5 mM each) were used as potential electron donors to evaluate the heterotrophic growth of GF-20 under suboxic conditions. Growth under anoxic conditions was tested with three inorganic acceptors: NaNO₃ (1 mM), Na₂S₂O₃ (1 mM), and MnSO₄ (5 mM) and glucose (5 mM) as electron donors. Fermentation was also assessed with glucose, sucrose, pyruvate, lactate, or acetate (5 mM). For every tested substrate, cultures were inoculated with 1 ml of preculture containing 10^5 cells mL⁻¹, pH was adjusted to 6.8–7 by adding NaHCO₃ (5 mM). The headspace was renewed every day during the experiments. Growth was evaluated by the presence of FeOB flocs or by random view field counting of cells stained with Syto13 (5 µM, Invitrogen™) on an epifluorescence microscope (DM-IL LED, Leica) after 72 h. A negative control without inoculation was carried out for each condition.

To investigate the effect of thiosulfate oxidation coupled with Fe(II) oxidation, different conditions were set up under suboxic conditions. These included a condition with $FeCl_2$ (600 μ M) and

NaS₂O₃ (1 mM), a condition with only NaS₂O₃ (1 mM), and a condition with only $FeCl_2$ (600 μ M). Each condition was performed in triplicate using a mineral medium (MWMM) culture, and a negative control without bacteria was included. Other negative control conditions with only the MWMM medium were also prepared. For each culture, the pH was adjusted to 6.8-7 by adding NaHCO₃ (5 mM), inoculated with 1 ml of preculture containing 10⁶ cells mL⁻¹. All conditions were tested in suboxic conditions (O₂ = 0.25%, CO₂:15%, and Ar:84.75%) and the headspace was renewed every day. Ferrous iron and thiosulfate concentrations were determined with spectrophotometric methods (UV_{mini} 1240, Shimadzu, accuracy \pm 60 μ M) with 1,10-phenantroline (270 mM) and acetate ammonium (5 M) in 50% of acetic acid (Harvey et al. 1955) and with Na-acetate trihydrate (6.25 mM) and acetic acid (6.25 mM) (Dupraz et al. 2019) pH with a pH microelectrode (SI Analytics, accuracy \pm 0.3). Both were measured twice a day during 72 h. Stained cells with Syto13 (5 µM, Invitrogen™) were counted in random view fields using epifluorescence microscope (DM-IL LED, Leica) equipped camera (C13440, Hamamatsu) to determine the cell abundance twice a day during 72 h. The ferrous iron and thiosulfate consumptions as a function of the number of cells were calculated.

Oxygen dependence of Fe(II) oxidation rate

To determine the optimal O₂ concentration for the growth of GF-20, seven concentrations were tested: 0, 1, 3, 13, 26, 44, and 58 μ M. For each concentration, a gas mixture was adjusted with CO₂ (15%) and O_2/Ar (v/v) and used as headspace. A volume of 1 ml of preculture containing 10⁵ cells mL⁻¹ was used to inoculate 50 ml of MWMM supplemented with 500–600 μM FeCl_2 and buffered to pH 6.8–7.0 with 5 mM NaHCO₃ (Emerson and Merrill Floyd 2005). To subtract the abiotic oxidation of Fe(II) from the total Fe(II) oxidation, negative controls without bacteria were made. All conditions were performed in triplicate and incubated under dark and agitation at 20°C. Fe(II) concentrations were determined with 1,10phenanthroline spectrophotometric method (UVmini 1240, Shimadzu, accuracy \pm 60 μ M) (Harvey et al. 1955), pH with a pH microelectrode (SI Analytics, accuracy \pm 0.3), and O₂ concentrations with a non-invasive optical oxygen sensor (SP-PSt8-YAU, Presens, detection limits: 0.1–140 $\mu\text{M},$ accuracy \pm 0.02 $\mu\text{M}).$ These three parameters were measured every 12 h during a 72-h period. To maintain the O₂ concentration, the headspace was renewed daily. Abiotic and biotic Fe(II) oxidation rates were calculated between 21 and 50 h (described in the Supplementary Data) and the contribution of the process was calculated as in Maisch et al. (2019).

Results

Field description and physicochemical parameters of groundwater

Groundwater collected in the F20 fracture had a circumneutral pH (pH 6.8), a high conductivity (506 μ S cm⁻¹), and a temperature of 14.9°C. The chemical composition was classical of a silicate bedrock aquifer (Supplementary Table S1), with particularly high concentrations of iron (0.04 mM), manganese (0.02 mM), and sulfate (0.4 mM¹). Low O₂ (0.90 μ M) and CFCs (<0.15 pM) concentrations were measured, indicating reduced water with a residence time of at least 70 years (Ayraud et al. 2008).

Isolation of twisted stalk iron-oxidizing bacteria

Strain F. amnicola GF-20 was isolated on MWMM medium by applying three successive series of dilutions to extinction. Rust-

orange microbial flocs were visible after 24 h of incubation at 20°C, indicating the development of FeOB (e.g. images of microbial flocs in Supplementary Fig. S2). We obtained a pure culture since only one 16S rRNA gene sequence was reconstructed from the high-throughput sequencing of the genomic DNA extracted from the final culture. In addition, no bacterial colonies grew on the R2A and LB agar plates and microscopic observations indicated a homogeneous culture (results not shown).

Microscopic observations showed that the cells of GF-20 were curved rods of 0.8–1.5 µm in length with a diameter of 0.4–0.6 µm (Fig. 1). They were motile (results not shown) and secreted typical twisted stalks (Fig. 1). Other types of extracellular structures, similar to the amorphous clusters of Fe oxide minerals "dreads" described in *F. amnicola* OYT1 (Kato et al. 2015, McAllister et al. 2019) were also visible.

Taxonomic affiliation and genomics features of F. *amnicola* GF-20

Genomic DNA was extracted from GF-20 cultures to reconstruct the genome sequence and to validate the purity of the isolate. Three 16S rRNA gene copies were found in the assembled genome sequence of GF-20 and were identical to a unique 16S rRNA gene sequence reconstructed from the metagenomic reads with phyloFlash. The 16S rRNA gene showed 99.9% identity to the 16S rRNA gene of F. amnicola OYT1, which was above the commonly used species threshold of 98.7% (Table 1) (Rosselló-Móra and Amann 2015). Phylogenetic analyses based on the 16S rRNA gene showed that strain GF-20 formed a single clade with strains F. amnicola OYT1 and F. globulitus R-1 (Fig. 2) (Kato et al. 2015). This clade was well separated from the other genera defined in the family Gallionellaceae, such as Gallionella, Sideroxydans, and Sideroxyarcus. Phylogenomic analysis based on single-copy genes also indicated that GF-20 was extremely close to the metagenome-assembled genome (MAG) Ferriphaselus IN18 (Fig. 2B). This MAG was also reconstructed from PZ26 groundwater metagenomes (Bethencourt et al. 2020).

The ANI and AAI values between GF-20 and IN18 were both 99.9% (Table 1). The ANI and AAI values between GF-20 and OYT1 were 96 and 97%, respectively (Table 1). These values were above the threshold for species circumscription (Konstantinidis and Tiedje 2005, Rosselló-Móra and Amann 2015). Altogether, these results indicated that GF-20 belongs to the species *F. amnicola* but constitutes a different strain.

The genome of GF-20 was assembled into 1 scaffold of 10 contigs. The genome measured 2 751 500 bp long and encoded 2639 protein-coding genes. Completeness and contamination were estimated at 98% and 0.2%, respectively, with CheckM. Genomic features are summarized in Table 1 and Supplementary Table S2. Genome annotation revealed the presence of genes involved in the oxidation of ferrous iron and sulfur, microaerobic respiration, and fixation of carbon. For iron oxidation, the GF-20 genome had only one copy of the cluster 1 cyc2 gene (Garber et al. 2020, Keffer et al. 2021). Genes of the porin-cytochrome c protein complex (PCC) (mtoAB, mtrD, and pioAB), as well as the genes encoding for the cytochromes CymA and Cyc1 were not found (Supplementary Table S2) (He et al. 2017). A complete set of act genes coding for the alternative complex III (actAB1B2CDEF) was present.

For dissimilatory sulfur oxidation, the GF-20 genome encoded a reduced set of genes, including the dissimilatory sulfite reductase (*dsr* ABEFHCMKLJOPN), the sulfite reductase (soeABC), and



Figure 1. Colorized SEM images of GF-20 cultures. (A and B) Culture of GF-20 with only FeCl₂, scale bars: 5 and 2.5 µm, respectively. (C and D) Culture of GF-20 with FeCl₂ and thiosulfate, scale bars: 5 and 2.5 µm, respectively. (E) Culture of GF-20 with only thiosulfate, scale bars: 1 µm. Dread extracellular structures are shown in orange and twisted stalk structures in green. GF-20 cells are colored purple and Fe oxides produced by abiotic oxidation are shown in gray. White arrows indicate branching in the extracellular stalks that reflect cell division events.

the sulfide quinone oxidoreductase (sqr) genes. Genes coding for the proteins of the Sox complex (soxABXYZ), the sulfate adenylyltransferase (sat), the adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate reductase (*aprABM*), the acetyltransferase (ttr), the sulfotransferase (<u>ssu</u>), and the sulfate-binding protein (*sbp*) were missing in the GF-20 genome.

For electron transport, genes encoding NADH dehydrogenase (Complex I), succinate dehydrogenase (Sdh) (Complex II), cytochrome-bc1 ubiquinol oxidase (Complex III), and Cbb₃-type cytochrome c oxidase (Complex IV) were detected. For energy conservation, F-type ATP synthase (Complex V) coding genes were found in the GF-20 genome. For carbon fixation, the GF-20 genome had genes for the Calvin–Benson–Bassham (CBB) cycle, including ribulose-1,5 bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO Form II, cbbM). All genes for the Embden–Meyerhof–Parnas and pentose phosphate pathways and the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) were present in the GF-20 genome. For nitrogen fixation, a large set of nif genes (nifHDKTWZBQXNE) was present.

A cluster of genes potentially involved in stalk formation in OYT1 (Kato et al. 2015), including a bcsB (cellulose synthase regulator) and the *xagBCD* genes (extracellular polysaccharide production), was found in the GF-20 genome. The latter also contained the bcsABZC-like genes, which were hypothesized to be involved in cellulose synthesis for the production of dreads in OYT1 (Kato et al. 2015). For the attachment, the motility and the chemotaxis genes coding for the Type IV pilus system (*pilAFGHJMNOPQRS*), the flagellum complex system (*fiAEFGHJMNQRS*, *figABCDEFGHIJKL*, and *flhABCD*), the chemotaxis proteins (*cheABDRWYZ*), and the aerotaxis receptor (Aer) were found in the GF-20 genome. Superoxide dismutase B (SodB) was found as a defense system against oxidative stress but the gene encoding the enzyme catalase (Cat) was not identified.

Table 1. Genomic characteristics of strain GF-20 and related strains of the genus Ferriphaselus.

		F. amnicola		MAG Ferriphaselus	MAG Ferriphaselus
Strains	F. amnicola GF-20	OYT1 ^T	F. globulitus R-1	CF-38	IN18
Genomic characteristics					
Accession number	PRJEB67910	AP018738	ASM74609v1	Private collection	ERP024054
Completeness (%)	98.00	98.34	98.34	80.94	87.97
Contamination (%)	0.20	0.59	0.00	6.54	7.55
Number of contigs	10	1	23	195	79
Size (bp)	27 51 500	2717229	24 41 319	22 15 221	28 08 320
G+C content (%)	55.5	55.9	60.7	56.7	55.4
CDS	2654	2614	2371	2036	2673
Number of tRNA genes	48	49	50	31	41
Number of rRNA genes	9	9	12	0	1
Similarity indices against F-20	0				
16S rRNA		99.93%	97.87%	nd	nd
ANI		96.10%	83.36%	87.98%	99.91%
AAI		97.08%	85.15%	90.90%	99.92%
DDH		65.9–71.7%	21.6-28.6%	29.9–34.8%	97.8–99%
Genes for iron and sulfur oxid	lation				
cyc2	+	+	+	nd	+
mtoAB	nd	-	nd	nd	nd
SOX	nd	-	nd	nd	nd
dsr	+	+	+	nd	+

nd: genes not found because the genome is incomplete.

Fatty acid profile

The fatty acid profile of GF-20 contained: C10:0 (0.48%), C12:0 (1.31%), C14:0 (3.44%), C15:1 (0.37%), C15:0 (3.18%), C16:1 (0.43%), C16:0 (42.94%), C17:0 (2.00%), C18:2 ω 6t and/or C18:3 ω 3 and/or C18:1 ω 9c (0.90%), C18:0 (24.42%), C20:0 (2.32%), C22:1 (0.46%), C22:0 (2.32%), C23:0 (3.14%), C24:0 (6.34%), and C26:0 (5.94%) (Supplementary Table S3). Comparison of the fatty acid profiles of *F. amnicola* GF-20 and other related isolated FeOBs (Table 2) indicated that C16:0 was common as the major fatty acid. The second major fatty acid was C18:0 for *F. amnicola* GF-20, like *F. globulitus* R-1 strains (Kato et al. 2015).

Conditions and substrates for the growth of F. amnicola GF-20

GF-20 grew between 6–30°C (optimally at 15–20°C) and pH 6–8 (optimally at 6.4–7) (Table 2, Supplementary Fig. S2). The strain tolerated 2 mg L⁻¹ (35 mM) NaCl, but no growth was observed at 3 mg L⁻¹ (50 mM) NaCl (Table 2, Supplementary Fig. S1c).

Incubations with different electron donors and acceptors were carried out in anoxic (0 μ M O₂) and suboxic (3 μ M O₂) conditions to assess the metabolic capacities of GF-20. Under the suboxic condition, GF-20 grew chemolithoautotrophically, oxidizing iron and thiosulfate. No growth was observed with the other tested organic and inorganic substrates in the presence of O₂ (Table 3, Supplementary Fig. S3). In anoxic condition, GF-20 did not grow regardless of the tested substrate (Table 3, Supplementary Fig. S3).

Incubations with $FeCl_2$ and $FeSO_4$ were characterized by the development of orange flocs in the culture medium. GF-20 was also able to grow with NaS_2O_3 as the sole electron donor as observed by increasing cell numbers (Fig. 3E, Supplementary Fig. S4). Under these conditions, flocs were not produced, indicating that the growth was not associated with the production of twisted stalks (Fig. 1E).

To gain insights into the Fe and thiosulfate oxidative metabolisms, incubations were carried out in MWMM medium with iron (FeCl₂) and thiosulfate (Na₂S₂O₃) and compared to incubations with iron or thiosulfate alone. For the incubations with FeCl₂ only and FeCl₂ + $Na_2S_2O_3$, microbial flocs were visible after 24 h (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. S4). Iron was oxidized at nearly identical rates in both conditions (Fig. 3A–B). The concentration of iron decreased rapidly and reached a plateau after 32 h of incubation, suggesting that iron became limiting. On the contrary, the oxidation of thiosulfate pursued up to the end of the incubation but it appeared slower than that of Fe (Fig. 3C–D). This was partly due to a longer lag time for the oxidation of thiosulfate compared to Fe (Fig. 3A-C). The lag time also seemed to last longer in the case of incubation with Na₂S₂O₃ alone than with the combined addition of Na₂S₂O₃ and FeCl₂. Rate of thiosulfate oxidation normalized to the cell abundance peaked higher and earlier when thiosulfate was associated with iron than with thiosulfate alone (Fig. 3D). The effect of the nature of the electron donor could also be seen in the growth of GF-20. It was faster with $FeCl_2 + Na_2S_2O_3$ than with iron alone, while it was faster with iron than with thiosulfate alone (Fig. 3E).

Effects of O₂ concentrations on the development of F. *amnicola* GF-20 and Fe(II) oxidation rates

To determine the influence of O_2 concentration on the development of GF-20 and the oxidation of Fe, incubations with O_2 concentrations ranging from 0 to 58 μ M were performed. O_2 concentrations were kept stable during the incubations and iron concentrations were monitored (Supplementary Fig. S5). The growth of GF-20 was confirmed by the presence of typical microbial flocs of FeOB in the culture medium.

In anoxic condition (0 μ M O₂), the Fe(II) concentration did not change during the experiments (Supplementary Fig. S5). No microbial floc or iron oxide precipitates were observed and the



Figure 2. Phylogeny of strain GF-20. (A) 16S rRNA tree; (B) phylogenomic tree based on amino acids of 120 single-copy genes. For both trees, distances are shown on the branches and bootstrap values (1000 replicates) are shown on the nodes. Nitrosospira multiformis ATCC 25196 and Nitrosomonas europaea ATCC 19718 were used as an outgroup for both trees. *MAG CF-38 reconstructed from samples (Trias et al. 2017) and used in the pangenome analysis (Hoover et al 2023).

abiotic and biotic Fe(II) oxidation rates were both negligible, with values of 0.29–0.35 μ M h⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 4, Supplementary Fig. S2d, Supplementary Table S4). Orange flocs were visible in the culture medium from 1 to 44 μ M O₂ (Supplementary Fig. S2d). A strong increase of the biotic oxidation rate was observed at $1 \,\mu\text{M}$ O₂ compared to the anoxic condition (Fig. 4). Between 1 and 26 μ M O₂, the biotic oxidation rate was always >10 μ M h⁻¹. This rate peaked at an intermediate O_2 concentration (13 μ M) and was \sim 7–30 times higher than the abiotic rate under these conditions. Therefore, the contribution of biotic oxidation to the total Fe(II) oxidation ranged from 100% at 1 μM to 78% at 26 μM O2. Flocs could still be observed with an O_2 concentration of 44 μM but the biotic rate was only twice the abiotic rate and their relative contribution was more balanced (Fig. 4). Finally, the abiotic oxidation rate was maximum (4.2 μ M h⁻¹) at 58 μ M O₂. Neither floc production nor biotic oxidation were detected at this O_2 concentration but a thin orange deposit probably corresponding to iron oxides was visible (Fig. 4, Supplementary Table S4).

Discussion

Ferriphaselus amnicola GF-20, is representative of the continental subsurface

Ferriphaselus amnicola GF-20 was successfully isolated from groundwater collected at 20 m depth in the PZ26 borehole of the Guidel aquifer observatory in Brittany. However, groundwater flows were ascending in the borehole (PZ26) and temperature indicated a water depth origin of several hundred meters (Osorio-Leon et al. 2023), which is consistent with measured long residence time.

GF-20 was highly similar to the MAG IN18, which was described in a previous metagenomic characterization of borehole PZ26 (Bethencourt et al. 2020). In this study, a large diversity of *Gallionellaceae* was observed in the groundwater flowing through the fractures and in the iron-rich microbial mats outside the surface casing of the borehole. A clear separation in the distribution of the *Gallionellaceae* lineages was detected between deep groundwater and the microbial mat on the surface. In particular, *Gal*

Strains	1	2	3	4	5	9	2	œ	6
Cell morphology	Curved rod	Curved rod	Curved rod	Bean-shaped	Curved or helical rod	Curved or helical rod	Curved rod	Curved rod	Curved rod
Cell diameter (µm) Cell length (µm)	0.4–0.6 0.8–1.5	nd 1.8–2.1	0.7–0.9 0.8–1.9	0.5–0.8 0.8–2.5	0.32 nd	0.3–0.5 1.0–2.4	0.42 nd	0.2–0.4 0.9–2.0	0.73 nd
Division time (h) Stalk-forming Temperature range for	10.5 + 6-30 (15-20)	15 + 10-35 (25-30)	10.9 + 8-30 (25-30)	8.3 5-25 (20)	8 - 10–35 (30)	12.4–19.8 – 10–40 (30–35)	15.8 - 19–37 (nd)	6.2 - 12-37 (25-30)	12.5 - 6-21 (nd)
growth (°C)* pH range for growth*	6-8 (6.4-7)	5.6–7.0 (5.6–6.1)	5.6-7.3 (6.1_6.5)	5.5–6.5 (nd)	5.5-7.0 (6.0-6.5)	5.5–7.0 (6.0)	4.5–7.0 (nd)	5.2-6.8 (5.9-6.1)	5.5-7.0 (6.0-6.5)
NaCl tolerance (%) Thiosulfate oxidation Major fatty acids ^A	<0.3 + 16:0 18:0	<pre>(</pre>	(.1	лд + д лд +	16:1 <i>w</i> ² <i>c</i> /iso15:02- OH	<0.2 + 16:0 16:1 <i>w</i> 7 <i>c</i> / 16:1 <i>w</i> 6c	nd 16:1w7c/ 16:1w6c	() 16:0 16:1w7c/ 16:1w6c	(10.0 0.0) nd - 16:0 18:0 18:1w9c 16:1w7c/iso 15:02-0H
Strains: (1) F. <i>amnicola</i> GF-20 (this st (5) S. lithotrophicus ES-1 (Emerson at Emerson and Moyer 1997, Emersor *Optimum values in parentheses. ^Petty acids with relative abundant	idy); (2) F. globulitus F d Moyer 1997, Emer i et al. 2013). nd: not ce ≥10%.	 1 (Krepski et al. 2013) 5013); (6) S. determined. 	2, Kato et al. 2015); (3) emersonii MIZ01T (Kat	F. amnicola OYT1T (K :0 et al. 2022); (7) S. <u>p</u>	ato et al. 2014); (4) G. <i>fe</i> aludicola BrT (Weiss et i	ruginea (Hallbeck an al. 2007); (8) F. kumad	d Pedersen 1990, Lüt ai An22T (Khalifa et i	ters-Czekalla 1990, Ha al. 2018); and (9) G. ca;	llbeck et al. 1993); siferriformans ES-2

Table 2. Morphological and physiological charactenistics of strain GF-20 and of related strains of Gallionellaceae.

Table 3. Growth tests of F. amnicola GF-20 on mineral and organicsubstrates.

Electrons acceptors	Growth	Electron donors	Growth
In suboxic condition:			
O ₂	+	FeCl ₂	+
		FeSO ₄	+
		NH ₄ Cl	_
		Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ ª	+
		MnSO4 ^b	_
		Glucose	_
		Sucrose	_
		Pyruvate	_
		Lactate	-
		Acetate	_
In anoxic condition:			
NaNO3	_	Glucose	_
MnSO4 ^b	-		
Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ ^a	_		
Glucose ^c	-		
Sucrose ^c	_		
Pyruvate ^c	-		
Lactate ^c	_		
Acetate ^c	-		

 $^a\mathrm{Na}_2\mathrm{S}_2\mathrm{O}_3$ can be used as an electron donor coupled to O_2 or as an electron acceptor coupled to a reduced substrate such as glucose.

 $^{\rm b}\text{MnSO}_4$ was used to test the reduction of sulfate in anoxic condition and the oxidation of manganese in microoxic condition.

 $^{\rm c}{\rm In}$ anoxic condition, organic substrates were used as both electron acceptors and electron donors to test fermentation.

lionellaceae MAGs, which dominated the surface microbial mats, were not found in the groundwater metagenomes. This strongly suggests that *Gallionellaceae* developing on the surface cannot colonize the deep fractured layer of the aquifer (Bethencourt et al. 2020). Consequently, *F. amnicola* GF-20 is likely representative of reduced continental subsurface environments and groundwaters with long residence time. To our knowledge, this is the first strain of *Gallionellaceae* isolated from groundwater directly sampled in the continental subsurface. The conditions measured in PZ26 are found in the other boreholes of the discharge zone of the catchment (Osorio-Leon et al. 2023), as well as in other deep silicate environments (Bochet et al. 2020). Therefore, this strain is potentially present in many deep surface environments.

High genomic similarity between geographically isolated F. amnicola strains

The ANI and AAI values, 96-97%, respectively, indicated that GF-20 and OYT1 belong to the same species but to different strains. OYT1 was isolated from an iron-rich microbial mat at a groundwater seep in Japan (Kato et al. 2014). The strong similarity at the genomic level was unexpected since the two strains came from different environments separated by a very significant geographical distance (~10 000 km). Analysis of the effects of geographic separation on evolutionary relationships among >35 000 microbial genomes suggested a strong geographic endemism and a low dispersal rate for subsurface microorganisms compared to marine and terrestrial microorganisms (Louca 2022). Analysis of the similarity between microbial genomes in relation to their geographical origin revealed that the probability of finding two subsurfaceassociated strains with an ANI value >95% (i.e. two strains assigned to the same species) on opposite hemispheres of the Earth or even on different continents was almost zero. The high degree of similarity between the genome sequences of GF-20 and OYT1 despite their undeniable geographical isolation raises fundamental questions. It may be explained by a lower rate of genome evolution as was advanced for another subsurface dweller, the bacterium "Candidatus *Desulforudis audaxviator*" (Becraft et al. 2021). However, the mechanism behind this unexpected genome stability must still be explored.

Versatile metabolism for electron donors

Ferriphaselus amnicola GF-20 is a facultative iron oxidizer because it could grow autotrophically using thiosulfate as the sole electron donor. This is a striking difference with OYT1 and R-1, which could not be cultivated on thiosulfate (Krepski et al. 2012, Kato et al. 2014). The high similarity between GF-20 and OYT1 raises the possibility that the non-detection of thiosulfate oxidation in OYT1 may be related to the experimental conditions rather than to the composition of its protein-coding gene repertoire.

In the family Gallionellaceae, the capacity to grow by thiosulfate oxidation alone has only been demonstrated in S. lithotrophicus ES-1 (Emerson et al. 2013, Zhou et al. 2022), G. ferruginea (Lütters-Czekalla 1990), and S. emersonii MIZ01 (Kato et al. 2022). In addition, it was recently shown that ES-1 can simultaneously oxidize iron and thiosulfate as GF-20 (Zhou et al. 2022). Consistently with their physiology, ES-1 and MIZ01 harbored the genetic repertoire necessary for the growth on thiosulfate. An incomplete Sox pathway (SoxXYZAB) was found in ES-1 (Emerson et al. 2013) while the thiosulfate dehydrogenase (TdsAB) was identified in both ES-1 (Zhou et al. 2022) and MIZ01 (Kato et al. 2022). In addition, ES-1 and MIZ01 may also generate sulfate via their reverse-acting dissimilatory sulfite reductase (Dsr) and sulfite-oxidizing enzyme (Soe). In comparison with ES-1 and MIZ01, the three Ferriphaselus strains shared genes encoding for Dsr and Soe but not Sox and TsdAB. This limited set of sulfur oxidation genes might be associated with a lower growth on thiosulfate compared to ES-1 and MIZ01. Growth assays with ES-1 and MIZ01 cultures resulted in higher cell densities with thiosulfate alone than with iron (Kato et al. 2022, Zhou et al. 2022); the opposite situation was observed with cultures of GF-20. However, it cannot be excluded that GF-20 uses a still-unknown system to catalyze the first step of thiosulfate oxidation or that these genes were not detected due to an incomplete genome.

Stalk production linked to iron oxidation

The production of stalks and dreads was observed in GF-20 cultures with ferrous iron alone and ferrous iron and thiosulfate. These structures were not seen in cultures with only thiosulfate. It is worth noting that GF-20 cells cultivated on thiosulfate produced stalks once transferred in fresh medium containing iron. Current hypotheses suggest that extracellular structures observed in FeOB, such as stalks, may help cells prevent encrustation by Fe oxides or control their position in O₂ gradients (Krepski et al. 2013, Chan et al. 2016). Our findings support the hypothesis of a functional link between the production of stalks and dreads and the oxidation of iron. A candidate gene cluster for stalk production was identified in Ferriphaselus strains OYT1 and R-1 and stalkforming Zetaproteobacteria of the genus Mariprofundus (Kato et al. 2015, Koeksoy et al. 2021). Another cluster of genes putatively involved in the formation of dreads has been identified specifically in OYT1 and R-1 (Kato et al. 2015). All these genes were also detected in the GF-20 genome. Since O2 concentrations were the same in all incubations testing the oxidation of iron and thiosulfate, our results confirmed that the production of extracellular structures depends primarily on the presence of ferrous iron.



Figure 3. Concentration and consumption of Fe(II) and thiosulfate, and cell abundance in cultures of GF-20. (A and C) Fe(II) and thiosulfate concentrations in GF-20 cultures incubated with FeCl₂ only, thiosulfate only or FeCl₂ and thiosulfate. (B and D) Iron and thiosulfate consumption in GF-20 cultures with FeCl₂ only, thiosulfate only or FeCl₂ and thiosulfate. (E) Cell abundance in GF-20 cultures. Negative controls (dotted lines), which corresponds to incubations without bacteria with the exception of the MWMM medium condition (gray diamond) that correspond to incubations with bacteria but without any addition of electron donor. Values represented in the plots are the averages of the measurements made on the triplicates. Error bars correspond to the standard deviations.

Metatranscriptomic studies or site-directed mutagenesis (CRISPR) assays, to inactivate candidate genes involved in the formation of stalks or dreads, appear necessary to better understand the relationships between the formation of extracellular structures, iron oxidation and growth.

Effects of O₂ concentrations on GF-20 iron oxidation activity

One noteworthy advantage of our culturing method is the possibility to test the effect of low O₂ concentrations (<5 μ M O₂), which are particularly difficult to maintain (Neubauer et al. 2002, Maisch et al. 2019), on biotic iron oxidation. In GF-20 cultures, the optimum concentration corresponding to the maximum biotic oxidation rate was estimated between 3 and 13 μ M O₂, which is consistent with the concentrations measured in PZ26. Our findings also revealed that the favorable O₂ concentration range for GF-20 was shifted to very low concentrations, as shown by the abrupt increase of the biotic oxidation rate from 0 to 1 μ M O₂, which accounts for the total iron oxidation at this O₂ concentration. *Ferriphaselus amnicola* GF-20 is the first isolated *Gallionellaceae* strain able to oxidize iron at O₂ concentration of <2 M. It shares this

capacity with Mariprofundus aestuarium CP-5, a marine FeOB isolated from the Chesapeake Bay oxic–anoxic transition zone (Chiu et al. 2017). Furthermore, the biotic contributions to the iron oxidation are much higher than previous estimates for a Sideroxydans enrichment from a rice paddy field incubated in a similar setup with liquid medium cultures and preadjusted O_2 concentrations (Maisch et al. 2019). We postulate that the ability to oxidize iron at very low O_2 concentrations and with high efficiency may be useful for GF-20 to thrive in reduced fractures of the hard rock aquifers where O_2 inputs are low and scarce.

Conclusions

Iron-oxidizing bacteria (FeOB) belonging to the family *Gallionellaceae* are often detected in subsurface environments. However, FeOB are not homogeneously distributed in the subsurface and their abundance is fluctuating with time, as a result of localized and intermittent O_2 arrival. Understanding the effect of O_2 concentration on the growth and metabolism of subsurface FeOB is thus key to better characterize the microbial habitability of the subsurface. *Ferriphaselus amnicola* GF-20 is the first FeOB



Figure 4. Relative contribution of abiotic and biotic oxidation to total Fe(II) oxidation and abiotic and biotic Fe oxidation rates in GF-20 cultures as function of oxygen concentration. Rates and contributions are average values measured in triplicates. Error bars correspond to standard deviation.

isolated directly from the continental subsurface and representative of an old and reduced groundwater environment. GF-20 is chemolithoautotrophic and can exploit particularly low O₂ concentrations to oxidize iron and produce stalks. This suggests an important contribution of these bacteria to carbon fixation and primary production in the subsurface. As GF-20 could be also cultivated on thiosulfate, our findings also extend the geochemical niche of *Ferriphaselus amnicola*. This study advocates the need to obtain microbial isolates adapted to the conditions of the subsurface to gain insights into the functioning of underground ecosystems.

Description of F. amnicola strain GF-20

Ferriphaselus (Fer.ri.pha.se'lus. L. neut. n. ferrum iron; L. masc. n. phaselus bean; N. L. masc. n. Ferrifaselus iron bean). Ferriphaselus amnicola [am.ni'co.la. L. masc. n. amnis a stream, a small river; L. suff. - cola (from L. n. incola) a dweller, an inhabitant; N. L. masc. n. amnicola an inhabitant of a stream] (Kato et al. 2014). Cells are gently curved, short rods (0.4-0.8 and 0.8-1.5 mm). Produce an extracellular twisted stalk from the concave side of the cell. Motile. Gramnegative. Do not form spores. Mesophilic and neutrophilic. Subaerobic and microaerobic (1–44 μ M O₂). Autotrophic. Capable of oxidizing Fe(II) and thiosulfate as an energy source for lithotrophic growth. Does not utilize nitrate, sulfate, ammonium, Mn(II), pyruvate, glucose, or sucrose as an energy source and does not grow with acetate and lactate. Grows at 6-3°C (optimally at 15-20°C) and pH 6.0-8.0 (optimally at pH 6.4-7.0). The doubling time under optimal conditions is 10.5 h. Grows at low salt concentrations, < 3.0 g NaCl L⁻¹. The major cellular fatty acids are C16:0 and C18:0. Phylogenetically close to F. amnicola OYT1 (99.93% sequence similarity of the 16S rRNA gene) but with different genetic content (96% ANI and 97% AAI).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the H⁺ and the OZCAR networks of hydrogeological observatories for access to the study site. The GeO-HeLis platform for measurements of cation and anion concentrations; the CONDATEau platform for measurements of gases, chlorofluorocarbons, and FAMEs; the MICRO and PEM platforms for the equipment for microbial cultures and for the preparation of sequencing libraries; and the CMEBA platform for electron microscopy. We also thank Logan Suteau and Laëtitia Drevillon for microbial cultures. We are most grateful to Biogenouest Genomics and EcogenO for their technical support. The authors thank Bénédicte Ménez for the discussions and the protocol for the thiosulfate dosage and also Rene Hoover and Clara Chan for the metagenome of *Ferriphaselus* CF-38.

Author contributions

Mélissa Garry (Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing), Julien Farasin (Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing), Laetitia Drevillon (Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology), Achim Quaiser (Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing), Camille Bouchez (Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing), Tanguy Le Borgne (Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing), Sarah Coffinet (Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing), and Alexis Dufresne (Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing)

Supplementary data

Supplementary data is available at FEMSEC Journal online.

Conflict of interest: We declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

This work was supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR) project IRONSTONE (ANR-21-CE01-0008) and the European Research Council (ERC) project ReactiveFronts (648377).

References

- Alonge M, Lebeigle L, Kirsche M et al. Automated assembly scaffolding using RagTag elevates a new tomato system for highthroughput genome editing. Genome Biol 2022;23:258.
- Aramaki T, Blanc-Mathieu R, Endo H et al. KofamKOALA: KEGG ortholog assignment based on profile HMM and adaptive score threshold. Bioinformatics 2020;36:2251–2.
- Ayraud V, Aquilina L, Labasque T *et al*. Compartmentalization of physical and chemical properties in hard-rock aquifers deduced from chemical and groundwater age analyses. *Appl Geochem* 2008;**23**:2686–707.
- Becraft ED, Lau Vetter MCY, Bezuidt OKI *et al*. Evolutionary stasis of a deep subsurface microbial lineage. ISME J 2021;**15**:2830–42.
- Ben Maamar S, Aquilina L, Quaiser A et al. Groundwater isolation governs chemistry and microbial community structure along

hydrologic flowpaths. Front Microbiol 2015;**6**:1457. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01457

- Bethencourt L, Bochet O, Farasin J et al. Genome reconstruction reveals distinct assemblages of *Gallionellaceae* in surface and subsurface redox transition zones. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2020;**96**:fiaa036.
- Bochet O, Bethencourt L, Dufresne A *et al*. Iron-oxidizer hotspots formed by intermittent oxic–anoxic fluid mixing in fractured rocks. Nat Geosci 2020;**13**:149–55.
- Chan CS, Fakra SC, Emerson D et al. Lithotrophic iron-oxidizing bacteria produce organic stalks to control mineral growth: implications for biosignature formation. ISME J 2011;5:717–27.
- Chan CS, McAllister SM, Leavitt AH et al. The architecture of iron microbial mats reflects the adaptation of chemolithotrophic iron oxidation in freshwater and marine environments. Front Microbiol 2016;7:796. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00796
- Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P et al. GTDB-Tk v2: memory friendly classification with the genome taxonomy database. Bioinformatics 2022;**38**:5315–6.
- Chiu BK, Kato S, McAllister SM et al. Novel pelagic iron-oxidizing zetaproteobacteria from the Chesapeake Bay oxic–anoxic transition zone. Front Microbiol 2017;**8**:1280.
- Chorover J, Derry LA, McDowell WH. Concentration-discharge relations in the critical zone: implications for resolving critical zone structure, function, and evolution. *Water Resour Res* 2017;**53**:8654– 9.
- Cooper RE, Wegner C-E, Kügler S et al. Iron is not everything: unexpected complex metabolic responses between iron-cycling microorganisms. ISME J 2020;14:2675–90. https://doi.org/10.1038/s4 1396-020-0718-z
- Crusoe MR, Alameldin HF, Awad S et al. The khmer software package: enabling efficient nucleotide sequence analysis. F1000Res 2015;**4**:900.
- Druschel GK, Emerson D, Sutka R et al. Low-oxygen and chemical kinetic constraints on the geochemical niche of neutrophilic iron(II) oxidizing microorganisms. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 2008;**72**:3358– 70.
- Dupraz S, Ménez B, Guyot F. Fast determination of the main reduced sulfur species in aquatic systems by a direct and secondderivative spectrophotometric method. J Chem 2019;2019:1–12.
- Edgar RC. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. BMC Bioinf 2004;**5**:113.
- Elvert M, Boetius A, Knittel K *et al.* Characterization of specific membrane fatty acids as chemotaxonomic markers for sulfate-reducing bacteria involved in anaerobic oxidation of methane. *Geomicrobiol J* 2003;**20**:403–19.
- Emerson D, Field EK, Chertkov O et al. Comparative genomics of freshwater Fe-oxidizing bacteria: implications for physiology, ecology, and systematics. Front Microbiol 2013;4:254. https://doi.or g/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00254
- Emerson D, Fleming EJ, McBeth JM. Iron-oxidizing bacteria: an environmental and genomic perspective. Annu Rev Microbiol 2010;64:561–83.
- Emerson D, Merrill Floyd M. Enrichment and isolation of ironoxidizing bacteria at neutral pH. Methods Enzymol 2005;397:112– 23.
- Emerson D, Moyer C. Isolation and characterization of novel ironoxidizing bacteria that grow at circumneutral pH. Appl Environ Microb 1997;63:4784–92.
- Garber AI, Nealson KH, Okamoto A *et al*. FeGenie: a comprehensive tool for the identification of iron genes and iron gene neighborhoods in genome and metagenome assemblies. *Front Microbiol* 2020;**11**:37.

- Gruber-Vodicka HR, Seah BKB, Pruesse E et al. phyloFlash: rapid small-subunit rRNA profiling and targeted assembly from metagenomes. Msystems 2020;**5**:e00920–20.
- Hallbeck L, Pedersen K. Autotrophic and mixotrophic growth of Gallionella ferruginea. J Gen Microbiol 1991;137:2657–61.
- Hallbeck L, Pedersen K. Culture parameters regulating stalk formation and growth rate of Gallionella ferruginea. J Gen Microbiol 1990;**136**:1675–80.
- Hallbeck L, Ståhl F, Pedersen K. Phylogeny and phenotypic characterization of the stalk-forming and iron-oxidizing bacterium Gallionella ferruginea. J Gen Microbiol 1993;139:1531–5.
- Harvey AE, Smart JA, Amis ES. Simultaneous spectrophotometric determination of iron(II) and total iron with 1,10-phenanthroline. *Anal Chem* 1955;**27**:26–9.
- He S, Barco RA, Emerson D et al. Comparative genomic analysis of neutrophilic iron(II) oxidizer genomes for candidate genes in extracellular electron transfer. Front Microbiol 2017;8:1584.
- Hoover RL, Keffer JL, Polson SW et al. Gallionellaceae pangenomic analysis reveals insight into phylogeny, metabolic flexibility, and iron oxidation mechanisms. Microbiology 2023;8:e0003823.
- Jewell TNM, Karaoz U, Brodie EL *et al*. Metatranscriptomic evidence of pervasive and diverse chemolithoautotrophy relevant to C, S, N and Fe cycling in a shallow alluvial aquifer. *ISME J* 2016;**10**:2106– 17.
- Kadnikov VV, Ivasenko DA, Beletskii AV et al. A novel uncultured bacterium of the family Gallionellaceae: description and genome reconstruction based on metagenomic analysis of microbial community in acid mine drainage. Microbiology 2016;85:449–61.
- Kato S, Itoh T, Iino T et al. Sideroxyarcus emersonii gen. Nov. sp. Nov., a neutrophilic, microaerobic iron- and thiosulfate-oxidizing bacterium isolated from iron-rich wetland sediment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2022;72:005347. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.005347
- Kato S, Krepski S, Chan C et al. Ferriphaselus amnicola gen. Nov., sp. Nov., a neutrophilic, stalk-forming, iron-oxidizing bacterium isolated from an iron-rich groundwater seep. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2014;64:921–5.
- Kato S, Ohkuma M, Powell DH et al. Comparative genomic insights into ecophysiology of neutrophilic, microaerophilic iron oxidizing bacteria. Front Microbiol 2015;6:1265. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmic b.2015.01265
- Keffer JL, McAllister SM, Garber AI *et al.* Iron oxidation by a fused cytochrome-porin common to diverse iron-oxidizing bacteria. *mBio* 2021;**12**:e01074–21.
- Khalifa A, Nakasuji Y, Saka N et al. Ferrigenium kumadai gen. Nov., sp. Nov., a microaerophilic iron-oxidizing bacterium isolated from a paddy field soil. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2018;68:2587–92.
- Koeksoy E, Bezuidt OM, Bayer T et al. Zetaproteobacteria pan-genome reveals candidate gene cluster for twisted stalk biosynthesis and export. Front Microbiol 2021;12:679409.
- Konstantinidis KT, Tiedje JM. Towards a genome-based taxonomy for prokaryotes. J Bacteriol 2005;187:6258–64.
- Krepski ST, Emerson D, Hredzak-Showalter PL et al. Morphology of biogenic iron oxides records microbial physiology and environmental conditions: toward interpreting iron microfossils. *Geobiol*ogy 2013;**11**:457–71.
- Krepski ST, Hanson TE, Chan CS. Isolation and characterization of a novel biomineral stalk-forming iron-oxidizing bacterium from a circumneutral groundwater seep: a novel stalk-forming Feoxidizing bacterium. Environ Microbiol 2012;14:1671–80.
- Louca S. The rates of global bacterial and archaeal dispersal. ISME J 2022;**16**:159–67.
- Lüdecke C, Reiche M, Eusterhues K et al. Acid-tolerant microaerophilic Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria promote Fe(III)-

accumulation in a fen: acid-tolerant Fe(II)-oxidizers in a fen. Environ Microbiol 2010;**12**:2814–25.

- Lueder U, Druschel G, Emerson D et al. Quantitative analysis of O₂ and Fe²⁺ profiles in gradient tubes for cultivation of microaerophilic iron(II)-oxidizing bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2018;94:fix177. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix177
- Lütters-Czekalla S. Lithoautotrophic growth of the iron bacterium Gallionella ferruginea with thiosulfate or sulfide as energy source. Arch Microbiol 1990;**154**:417–21.
- Maisch M, Lueder U, Laufer K et al. Contribution of microaerophilic iron(II)-oxidizers to iron(III) mineral formation. Environ Sci Technol 2019;53:8197–204.
- Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequenes from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBNet J 2011;**17**:10–2.
- McAllister SM, Moore RM, Gartman A *et al.* The Fe(II)-oxidizing *ze-taproteobacteria* : historical, ecological and genomic perspectives. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2019;**95**:fiz015. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec /fiz015
- Meier-Kolthoff JP, Klenk HP, Göker M. Taxonomic use of DNA G+C content and DNA–DNA hybridization in the genomic age. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2014;**64**:352–6.
- Melton ED, Swanner ED, Behrens S *et al*. The interplay of microbially mediated and abiotic reactions in the biogeochemical Fe cycle. *Nat Rev Micro* 2014;**12**:797–808.
- Neubauer SC, Emerson D, Megonigal JP. Life at the energetic edge: kinetics of circumneutral iron oxidation by lithotrophic ironoxidizing bacteria isolated from the wetland-plant rhizosphere. Appl Environ Microb 2002;**68**:3988–95.
- Osorio-Leon I, Bouchez C, Chatton E *et al*. Hydrological and geological controls for the depth distribution of dissolved oxygen and iron in silicate catchments. *Water Resour Res* 2023;**59**:e2023WR034986.
- Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Rinke C et al. GTDB: an ongoing census of bacterial and archaeal diversity through a phylogenetically consistent, rank normalized and complete genome-based taxonomy. Nucleic Acids Res 2022;50:D785–94.
- Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT *et al.* CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res* 2015;**25**:1043–55.

- Prjibelski A, Antipov D, Meleshko D *et al.* Using SPAdes de novo assembler. *Curr* Protoc Bioinformatics 2020;**70**:e102. https://doi.org/10 .1002/cpbi.102
- Pruesse E, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. SINA: accurate high-throughput multiple sequence alignment of ribosomal RNA genes. Bioinformatics 2012;28:1823–9.
- Rodriguez-R LM, Gunturu S, Tiedje JM *et al*. Nonpareil 3: fast estimation of metagenomic coverage and sequence diversity. *Msystems* 2018;**3**:e00039–18.
- Rodriguez-R LM, Konstantinidis KT. The enveomics collection: a toolbox for specialized analyses of microbial genomes and metagenomes. *PeerJ Preprints* 2016; https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj. preprints.1900v1
- Rosselló-Móra R, Amann R. Past and future species definitions for bacteria and archaea. Syst Appl Microbiol 2015;**38**: 209–16.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S et al. MEGA11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. Mol Biol Evol 2021;38: 3022-7.
- Tanizawa Y, Fujisawa T, Nakamura Y. DFAST: a flexible prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline for faster genome publication. *Bioinformatics* 2018;**34**:1037–9.
- Trias R, Ménez B, le Campion P et al. High reactivity of deep biota under anthropogenic CO_2 injection into basalt. Nat Commun 2017;**8**:1063.
- Wagner AO, Markt R, Mutschlechner M et al. Medium preparation for the cultivation of microorganisms under strictly anaerobic/anoxic conditions. J Vis Exp 2019;**150**:10.3791/60155.
- Weiss JV, Rentz JA, Plaia T et al. Characterization of neutrophilic Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria isolated from the rhizosphere of wetland plants and description of Ferritrophicum radicicola gen. Nov. sp. Nov., and Sideroxydans paludicola sp. Nov. Geomicrobiol J 2007;24:559–70.
- Zhou N, Keffer JL, Polson SW et al. Unraveling Fe(II)-oxidizing mechanisms in a facultative Fe(II) oxidizer, sideroxydans lithotrophicus strain ES-1, via culturing, transcriptomics, and reverse transcription-quantitative PCR. Appl Environ Microb 2022;88:e01595–21.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/femsec/article/100/5/fiae047/7640843 by guest on 29 April 2024

Received 1 November 2023; revised 18 February 2024; accepted 3 April 2024

[©] The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of FEMS. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.