

Lifetime excess absolute risk for lung cancer due to exposure to radon: results of the pooled uranium miners cohort study PUMA

M. Kreuzer, M. Sommer, V. Deffner, S. Bertke, P. Demers, K. Kelly-Reif, Dominique Laurier, Estelle Rage, D. Richardson, J. Samet, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

M. Kreuzer, M. Sommer, V. Deffner, S. Bertke, P. Demers, et al.. Lifetime excess absolute risk for lung cancer due to exposure to radon: results of the pooled uranium miners cohort study PUMA. Radiation and Environmental Biophysics, 2024, 63 (1), pp.7-16. 10.1007/s00411-023-01049-w . hal-04562616

HAL Id: hal-04562616 https://hal.science/hal-04562616

Submitted on 29 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

RESEARCH



Lifetime excess absolute risk for lung cancer due to exposure to radon: results of the pooled uranium miners cohort study PUMA

M. Kreuzer¹ · M. Sommer¹ · V. Deffner¹ · S. Bertke² · P. A. Demers³ · K. Kelly-Reif² · D. Laurier⁴ · E. Rage⁴ · D. B. Richardson⁵ · J. M. Samet⁶ · M. K. Schubauer-Berigan⁷ · L. Tomasek⁸ · C. Wiggins^{9,10} · L. B. Zablotska¹¹ · N. Fenske¹

Received: 24 August 2023 / Accepted: 9 November 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

The Pooled Uranium Miners Analysis (PUMA) study is the largest uranium miners cohort with 119,709 miners, 4.3 million person-years at risk and 7754 lung cancer deaths. Excess relative rate (ERR) estimates for lung cancer mortality per unit of cumulative exposure to radon progeny in working level months (WLM) based on the PUMA study have been reported. The ERR/WLM was modified by attained age, time since exposure or age at exposure, and exposure rate. This pattern was found for the full PUMA cohort and the 1960 + sub-cohort, i.e., miners hired in 1960 or later with chronic low radon exposures and exposure rates. The aim of the present paper is to calculate the lifetime excess absolute risk (LEAR) of lung cancer mortality per WLM using the PUMA risk models, as well as risk models derived in previously published smaller uranium miner studies, some of which are included in PUMA. The same methods were applied for all risk models, i.e., relative risk projection up to <95 years of age, an exposure scenario of 2 WLM per year from age 18–64 years, and baseline mortality rates representing a mixed Euro-American-Asian population. Depending upon the choice of model, the estimated LEAR per WLM are 5.38×10^{-4} or 5.57×10^{-4} in the full PUMA cohort and 7.50×10^{-4} or 7.66×10^{-4} in the PUMA 1960 + sub-cohort, respectively. The LEAR per WLM estimates derived from risk models reported for previously published uranium miners studies range from 2.5×10^{-4} to 9.2×10^{-4} . PUMA strengthens knowledge on the radon-related lung cancer LEAR, a useful way to translate models for policy purposes.

Keywords Uranium miners · Cohort study · Lung cancer · Radon · Mortality · Lifetime risk

M. Kreuzer mkreuzer@bfs.de

- ¹ Federal Office for Radiation Protection (BfS), Munich (Neuherberg), Germany
- ² National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Cincinnati, OH, USA
- ³ Occupational Cancer Research Centre, Toronto, Canada
- ⁴ Institute for Radiological Protection and Nuclear Safety (IRSN), Fontenay-aux-Roses, France
- ⁵ University of California, Irvine, CA, USA
- ⁶ Colorado School of Public Health, Aurora, CO, USA
- ⁷ International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France
- ⁸ National Radiation Protection Institute, Prague, Czech Republic
- ⁹ University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA
- ¹⁰ New Mexico Tumor Registry, Albuquerque, NM, USA
- ¹¹ University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

Introduction

Radon is an established lung carcinogen and an important occupational and environmental cause of lung cancer (UNSCEAR 2020). This was demonstrated in residential radon studies in the general population and in studies of uranium and other radon-exposed underground miners. Cohorts of uranium miners continue to form an important basis for radiation protection standards for radon progeny. They consistently show that the excess relative rate (ERR) of lung cancer mortality increases linearly with increasing cumulative exposure to radon progeny (in the following abbreviated to "radon") in WLM and that the ERR/WLM is modified by attained age, time since exposure or age at exposure, and exposure rate (NRC 1999, UNSCEAR 2020). The calculation of the lifetime excess absolute risk (LEAR) allows the comparison of estimates of the ERR/WLM obtained from different studies with different characteristics while using the same exposure scenario and baseline mortality rates. Such estimates are also useful for policy considerations. The LEAR of lung cancer related to exposure to radon for example has been used in the past as the basis for the dose conversion convention for radon (ICRP 1993, 2010). This method has been used to convert measured radon activity concentrations into an effective dose in mSv, which is important to check the compliance with occupational radiation limits given in mSv. In this "epidemiological" approach of dose conversion, the LEAR of lung cancer per unit of exposure to radon progeny is divided by the detriment (representing the harm) per unit of effective dose (ICRP 2010). Determining the most appropriate value of this dose conversion coefficient has been the subject of much controversy in recent years (Harrison et al. 2020, 2021; Laurier et al. 2020; Marsh et al. 2021).

While previously an LEAR of 2.8×10^{-4} per WLM was assumed based on risk models derived from a meta-analysis of 7 miners cohort studies (ICRP 1993), this value was revised to 5×10^{-4} per WLM by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) in 2010 (ICRP 2010) based on new risk models from a pooled analysis of 11 miners studies (BEIR VI study) (NRC 1999) and a pooled Czech/French study (Tomasek et al. 2008a). Both LEAR calculations used a mixed male/female Euro-American-Asian population for baseline rates of lung cancer mortality (ICRP 2007) and assumed an exposure scenario of 2 WLM per year between age 18 and 64 years. In 2020, the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) reviewed epidemiological studies and calculated the LEAR per WLM for death from lung cancer in a similar manner using data from four miners studies (UNSCEAR 2020; Tomasek 2020), among them for the first time the large German Wismut cohort (Kreuzer et al. 2018). The LEAR ranged from 2.4 (Wismut cohort) to 7.5 (Eldorado cohort) $\times 10^{-4}$ per WLM. Heterogeneity in radiation risk estimates between studies may explain differences in the LEAR and could be due to several factors: differences in the range of cumulative exposure and exposure rate, concomitant exposures to other lung cancer carcinogens, duration of follow-up and employment, methods of mortality follow-up, composition of the study population, existence and control for potential confounders, measurement error, loss to follow-up and competing risks for mortality, statistical power, and also statistical methods.

A major step forward was therefore the worldwide pooling of uranium miners studies, the Pooled Uranium Miners Analysis (PUMA) study (Rage et al. 2020; Richardson et al. 2021), which aims to get more precise estimates of the lung cancer risk associated with radon based on standardized statistical analyses of existing cohorts. PUMA includes twice as many uranium miners and about three times as many lung cancer deaths (Rage et al. 2020) as the pooled BEIR VI study (NRC 1999). The majority of included studies have an updated mortality follow-up and all studies follow a common study protocol and statistical methods. Recently, two papers on radon-lung cancer mortality associations among men in PUMA have been published, addressing: (1) the 1960 + sub-cohort of miners hired in 1960 or later (Richardson et al. 2022) with chronic low radon exposures and exposure rates mostly based on measurements, and (2) the full PUMA cohort (Kelly-Reif et al. 2023) including very high radon exposures from the early years of mining and low radon exposures in the later years.

The aim of the present paper is to calculate the LEAR per WLM for death from lung cancer using the new risk models based on the pooled data of the PUMA study and the risk models of previously published uranium miners studies, including the recently updated German Wismut cohort (Kreuzer et al. 2023), while using the same methods for all analyses. To be comparable to previous LEAR calculations as in UNSCEAR (2020) and ICRP (2010), the exposure scenario was defined as 2 WLM per year from age 18–64 years, and baseline mortality rates of the ICRP mixed Euro-American-Asian population (ICRP 2007) were chosen.

Methods

PUMA data

The PUMA study includes seven cohorts from Canada, the Czech Republic, France, Germany, and USA, which have been previously described in detail (Rage et al. 2020; Richardson et al. 2022; Kelly-Reif et al. 2023). The ERR/WLM was estimated in analyses of men included in PUMA based on the BEIR VI exposure–age–concentration model (NRC 1999, UNSCEAR 2020) and an alternative risk model (i.e., the BEIR VI model, but with age at exposure instead of time since exposure). The corresponding statistical methods and findings have been published for the full cohort (Kelly-Reif et al. 2023) and the PUMA 1960 + sub-cohort of miners hired in 1960 or later (Richardson et al. 2022). Main characteristics of both cohorts are described briefly in Table 1.

Statistical methods

Lifetime risks reflect the probability of developing or dying from a specific disease of interest (here: lung cancer mortality) in the course of a lifetime. The lifetime excess absolute risk (LEAR) is defined as the difference between the lifetime risk LR_E for an individual with exposure E (here: exposure to occupational radon) and the lifetime risk LR₀ for an individual without exposure

Table 1	Characteristics	of the P	UMA full	cohort and	PUMA 1	960 + sub-c	ohort of	miners hire	d in	1960 or	later
100101	Characteristics		CIVILI I GILL	conort und				minero mie	G 111	1,00,01	ince

	Period of follow-u	p Number of miners	Number of lung cancer deaths	Mean duration of employment (years)	Mean cumulative radon exposure (WLM)*	Mean annual expo- sure rate (WL)*
Full cohort (Rage et al. 20	20, Tables 1 and 2)					
Eldorado (Canada)	1950–1999	13,574	517	2	122	8.3
Ontario (Canada)	1954-2007	28,546	1246	5	31	0.9
Czech (Czech Rep.)	1952-2014	9978	1176	8	73	0.8
France (France)	1946-2007	5086	213	17	37	0.8
Colorado (USA)	1960-2005	4137	612	4	579	11.7
New Mexico (USA)	1957-2012	3469	231	9	90	9.6
Wismut (Germany)	1946–2013	54,919	3759	14	304	1.9
PUMA total		119,709	7754	10	191	2.9
PUMA without Wismut		64,790	3995	6	98	3.7
1960 + sub-cohort [#] (Richa	urdson et al. 2022, T	ables 1 and 2)			
Eldorado (Canada)	1960–1999	6593	91	2	7	0.2
Ontario (Canada)	1960-2007	15,810	299	6	6	0.4
Czech (Czech Rep.)	1960-2014	5532	228	6	7	0.2
France (France)	1960-2007	2159	19	17	12	0.1
Colorado (USA)	1960-2005	175	16	2	193	7.5
New Mexico (USA)	1960-2012	2537	94	9	39	4.7
Wismut (Germany)	1960–2013	25,067	470	10	18	0.3
PUMA total		57,873	1217	8	13	0.5
PUMA without Wismut		32,806	747	6	10	0.7

More detailed information can be found in Rage et al. (2020) and Richardson et al. (2022)

WLM Working level months; WL Working level

*Non-exposed miners (i.e., with WLM=0) were excluded from calculation of mean values

[#]miners hired in 1960 or later

LEAR =
$$LR_E - LR_0$$

= $\int_0^\infty r_E(a)S(a)da - \int_0^\infty r_0(a)S(a)da$,

with survival function $S(a) = e^{-\int_{0}^{q} q_{0}(u)du}$ describing the probability to survive until age *a*, and baseline mortality rates for all causes of death $q_{0}(a)$ and for lung cancer $r_{0}(a)$ at age *a* in absence of exposure. The lung cancer mortality rate $r_{E}(a)$ at age *a* under exposure is assumed to follow the typical general model structure $r_{E}(a) = r_{0}(a)(1 + \text{ERR}(a))$ with excess relative risk term ERR(*a*). Based on this assumption, the LEAR can be approximated and finally technically calculated by

$$LEAR \approx \sum_{a=0}^{a_{\max}} r_E(a)\tilde{S}(a) - \sum_{a=0}^{a_{\max}} r_0(a)\tilde{S}(a)$$
$$= \sum_{a=0}^{a_{\max}} r_0(a)ERR(a)\tilde{S}(a),$$

where $\tilde{S}(a) = e^{-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_0(n)}$ approximates the survival function S(a). The ERR(*a*) depends on an exposure pattern and a specific risk model, e.g., with a structure as in the BEIR VI exposure–age–concentration model, and a lag time. The final summary result is reported as the LEAR per WLM, obtained by dividing the calculated LEAR by the cumulative exposure accrued over the entire exposure scenario (here: 94 WLM). For example, an LEAR for lung cancer mortality per WLM of 5×10^{-4} means that among 100 people with a cumulative occupational radon exposure of 100 WLM five additional (excess) lung cancer deaths would occur due to this exposure during lifetime.

Lag time

A lag is assumed between exposure to radon and any observed change in the lung cancer mortality rate. In the risk models employed here, the lag is either directly described by the model structure (e.g., BEIR VI exposure-age-concentration model with ERR(a) = 0 for TSE(a) < 5) or by the data grouping process prior to any model fit as for the parametric risk models with continuous effect modifying variables (e.g., for the Czech/French cohort, Tomasek et al. 2008b). In that analysis, a miner's exposure is lagged a priori by L = 5 years. A lag assumption may be incorporated in the LEAR calculation by calculating ERR(a) at age a only with information about radon exposure until age a - L. However, doing so would violate the important equation a = AME(a) + TSME(a), with AME being the timevarying age at median exposure and TSME the time since median exposure. This is technically solved by considering AME(a - L) and TSME(a - L) + L in the calculation of ERR(a).

LEAR calculations

For the calculation of LEAR, the maximum age was set to $a_{max} = 94$, i.e., the LEAR was calculated up to age <95 years. The baseline lung cancer mortality rates $r_0(a)$ and all-cause mortality rates $q_0(a)$ were taken from the ICRP mixed Euro-American-Asian population (ICRP 2007) to be comparable with previous publications (UNSCEAR 2020, Tomasek et al. 2008b). According to UNSCEAR (2020) and other LEAR calculations (ICRP 2010, Tomasek et al. 2008b), the exposure scenario was defined as 2 WLM per year from age 18 to 64 years with a lag of L = 5 years.

To compare LEAR estimates for mortality from lung cancer of the PUMA study with those from previous studies, the LEAR per WLM for all published risk models of uranium miners studies that include time- and age-related effect modifiers have been re-estimated, while using the same exposure scenario, baseline rates, and survival function. For this reason, some estimates may slightly differ from previously published LEAR values. The coefficients describing the relative risk model were the values as reported in the original papers, and are described in Tables 2 and 3 for the PUMA study and in Supplementary Tables 1-3 for other studies. The LEAR for the complete exposure scenario (i.e., 2 WLM per year from age 18 to 64 years, resulting in a cumulative exposure of 94 WLM) can be obtained by multiplying the value for the LEAR per WLM with 94. All LEAR calculations were performed with the statistical software R (R Core Team 2022).

Results

Table 2 shows the radon-related lung cancer risk in the full PUMA cohort and in the PUMA 1960 + sub-cohort based on the BEIR VI exposure-age-concentration model with categorical effect modifiers time since exposure, attained age, and annual exposure rate. The ERR/100 WLM at attained age < 55 years, 5–14 years since exposure, and exposure rate < 0.5 WL was 4.68 (95% CI: 2.88, 6.96) and 6.98 (95% CI: 1.97, 16.15) in the full cohort (Kelly-Reif et al. 2023) and 1960 + sub-cohort (Richardson et al. 2022), respectively. The estimated ERR/100 WLM decreased with increasing attained age, radon exposure rate and time since exposure, the latter decrease, however, is only present in the full cohort and not the 1960+sub-cohort. The estimated LEAR per WLM is slightly higher in the 1960 + sub-cohort compared with the full cohort $(7.50 \times 10^{-4} \text{ vs } 5.38 \times 10^{-4},$ respectively). This is also illustrated in Fig. 1 (upper part) where the ERR(a) is plotted as a function of attained age, a, under the exposure scenario of interest (i.e., 2 WLM per year from age 18 to 64 years). Notably, using the model coefficients derived for the 1960 + sub-cohort, the ERR/100 WLM increases slightly after age 75 years, which is mainly due to the value of the parameter estimate for the effect modifier time since exposure. The estimated value of the coefficient for this modifier was highest for the category 5-14 years after exposure (reference category 1.0), decreased for the category 15–24 years after exposure to 0.64, and increased again for the category 25 years or more after exposure to 0.89. The bottom part of Fig. 1 shows the corresponding age-specific contribution to LEAR, $r_0(a)$ ERR(a) $\tilde{S}(a)$ for each age a. Within the full PUMA cohort, the largest LEAR contribution is observed at ages 70-75 years, which is 5-10 years after the maximum cumulative exposure is reached. From age 75 years onwards, there is a strong decrease in the age-specific contribution to the LEAR which reflects the decreasing baseline lung cancer mortality rates $r_0(a)$, the decrease in ERR(a) with increasing time since exposure, and the decreasing fraction of the cohort who remains at risk of lung cancer (Supplementary Fig. 1). For the PUMA 1960 + sub-cohort, a similar pattern is observed; however, the peak in the contribution to LEAR is between 60 and 65 years, thus 10 years earlier than in the full PUMA cohort.

Table 3 presents the LEAR per WLM based on an alternative risk model for the PUMA study with categorical effect modifiers age at exposure, attained age, and exposure rate (i.e., the BEIR VI model, but with age at exposure instead of time since exposure). The ERR/WLM decreases with increasing attained age and increases with increasing age at exposure in both cohorts. The corresponding LEAR per WLM is 7.66×10^{-4} in the 1960 + sub-cohort and Table 2Lifetime excessabsolute risk (LEAR) estimatesobtained using a model witheffect modifiers defined bycategories of time sinceexposure, attained age, andannual exposure rate (BEIR VIexposure-age-concentrationmodel) in the full PUMA cohortand the PUMA 1960 + sub-cohort

	PUMA full co Kelly-Reif et a	hort 1. (2023)	PUMA 1960+ Richardson et	- sub-cohort al. (2022)
	Lung cancer deaths (<i>n</i>)	Estimate (95% CI)	Lung cancer deaths (<i>n</i>)	Estimate (95% CI)
ERR/100 WLM	7754	4.68 (2.88, 6.96)	1217	6.98 (1.97, 16.15)
Time since exposure (years)				
5-14		1.0		1.0
15–24		0.77 (0.56, 1.05)		0.64 (0.17, 2.43)
25-34		0.54 (0.38, 0.76)		0.89 (0.34, 3.01)
35+		0.39 (0.26, 0.58)		_
Attained age (years)				
<55	1380	1.0	302	1.0
55-64	2568	0.55 (0.38, 0.82)	490	0.64 (0.25, 1.68)
65-74	2640	0.38 (0.25, 0.57)	351	0.22 (0.06, 0.67)
75+	1166	0.40 (0.24, 0.66)	74	0.17 (n.d., 0.85)
Annual exposure rate (WL)				
< 0.5		1.0		1.0
0.5-1.0		0.60 (0.31, 1.08)		1.00 (0.38, 2.36)
1.0-5.0		0.42 (0.31, 0.64)		0.29 (0.11, 0.68)
5.0+		0.17 (0.12, 0.25)		-
LEAR per WLM ($\times 10^4$)		5.38		7.50

ERR Excess relative rate; *CI* Confidence interval; *LEAR* Lifetime excess absolute risk; *PUMA* Pooled uranium miners analysis; *WLM* Working level months, *WL* Working level; *n.d.* Lower bound not determined

Table 3Lifetime excessabsolute risk (LEAR) estimatesobtained using a model witheffect modifiers defined bycategories of age at exposure,attained age, and annualexposure rate in the fullPUMA cohort and the PUMA1960 + sub-cohort

	PUMA full co Kelly-Reif et a	hort 1. (2023)	PUMA 1960+ Richardson et	- sub-cohort al. (2022)
	Lung cancer deaths (<i>n</i>)	Estimate (95% CI)	Lung cancer deaths (<i>n</i>)	Estimate (95% CI)
ERR/100 WLM	7754	6.47 (3.39, 10.06)	1217	8.38 (3.30, 18.99)
Age at exposure (years)				
50+		1.0		1.0*
35–49		0.83 (0.54, 1.39)		1.0*
<35		0.55 (0.36, 0.92)		0.59 (0.30, 1.20)
Attained age (years)				
<55	1380	1.0	302	1.0
55-64	2568	0.40 (0.28, 0.59)	490	0.55 (0.24, 1.30)
65–74	2640	0.21 (0.15, 0.31)	351	0.20 (0.06, 0.53)
75+	1166	0.19 (0.12, 0.29)	74	0.14 (n.d., 0.64)
Annual exposure rate (WL)				
< 0.5		1.0		1.0
0.5-1.0		0.57 (0.29, 1.00)		1.23 (0.49, 2.77)
1.0-5.0		0.39 (0.28, 0.58)		0.33 (0.13, 0.75)
5.0+		0.15 (0.11, 0.22)		-
LEAR per WLM ($\times 10^4$)		5.57		7.66

ERR Excess relative rate; *CI* Confidence interval; *LEAR* Lifetime excess absolute risk; *PUMA* Pooled uranium miners analysis; *WLM* Working level months, *WL* Working level; *n.d.* Lower bound not determined *Reference category is \geq 35 years (i.e., categories 50+ and 35–49 years are combined)

Fig. 1 LEAR components by attained age (Upper part: ERR(a), Bottom part: agespecific contribution to LEAR, $r_0(a)$ ERR $(a)\tilde{S}(a)$) predicted in the full PUMA cohort (Kelly-Reif et al. 2023, solid line) and the PUMA 1960+sub-cohort (Richardson et al. 2022, dashed line) for the exposure scenario of 2 working level months (WLM) per year from age 18 to 64 up to age < 95 years, assuming a 5-year lag for the BEIR VI exposure-age-concentration model, and using baseline mortality rates derived from the ICRP mixed Euro-American-Asian population (ICRP 2007)



 5.57×10^{-4} in the full cohort, respectively, and thus comparable to that based on the BEIR VI exposure–age–concentration model. Again, the estimated LEAR is higher in the 1960+sub-cohort compared to the full cohort. Supplementary Fig. 2 (upper part) shows that the ERR(*a*) is highest at younger attained ages, however no further decrease in ERR(*a*) is observed after age 65 years in the full cohort or after age 75 years in the 1960+sub-cohort. Supplementary Fig. 2 (bottom part) provides a similar pattern as for the BEIR VI model. Again, in the full PUMA cohort, there is an increase in the age-specific contribution to LEAR up to age 70–75 years and then a strong decrease. This corresponding peak in the 1960+sub-cohort is again at ages 60–65 years.

To compare the LEAR per WLM of the PUMA study with those estimated in the previous studies, the LEAR per WLM for all published risk models of uranium miners studies that include time- and age-related effect modifiers have been re-estimated. Table 4 provides an overview of these studies, their characteristics, and related LEAR per WLM. The very first published study providing a relative risk model was a meta-analysis of 7 cohorts, the so-called "Jacobi study" (ICRP 1993; Chmelevsky et al. 1994) with a re-estimated LEAR per WLM of 3.20×10^{-4} based on 1047 lung cancer deaths and 31,486 miners. The cohort included a wide range of exposures and exposure rates; however, risk models did not account for exposure rate. This may have introduced an underestimation of true risk at low exposures due to ignoring the well-established inverse exposure-rate effect (NRC 1999, UNSCEAR 2020). In 1999, the results of the pooled analyses of the 11 miners cohort study were published (NRC 1999), including more than twice the number of miners (n = 67, 897) and three times more lung cancer deaths (n = 2787) than the Jacobi study. In addition, as a new model, the BEIR VI exposure-age-concentration model was developed and applied (NRC 1999). For this risk model, the

Study	Publication	# Miners	# Lung cancer deaths	Person-years at risk (Mio)	Mean WLM	Mean duration of follow-up	Mean duration of employ- ment	Model	LEAR per WLM (×10 ⁴)
Meta estimate from 7 miners cohorts	ICRP (1993)	31,486	1047	0.6	120	n.a	n.a	Cat. Model (TSE, AA)	3.20
Full cohorts, full range of expo.	sures, three modifiers TSE or	AE, AA, and	ER						
Pooled 11 miners cohort	NRC (1999)	67,897	2787	1.2	164	18	9	BEIR VI (TSE, AA, ER)	5.97
Eldorado	Lane et al. (2010)	16,236	618	0.5	117	31	2	BEIR VI (TSE, AA, ER)	8.20
Wismut (FU 2013)	Kreuzer et al. (2018)	58,974	3942	2.3	280	40	13	BEIR VI (TSE, AA, ER)	2.50
Czech cohort	UNSCEAR (2020)	9078	1141	0.3	73	31	8	BEIR VI (TSE, AA, ER)	4.22
Wismut (FU 2018)	Kreuzer et al. (2023)	58,972	4329	2.5	280	42	13	BEIR VI (TSE, AA, ER)	3.13
PUMA	Kelly-Reif et al. (2023)	119,709	7754	4.3	191	36	10	BEIR VI (TSE, AA, ER)	5.38
PUMA	Kelly-Reif et al. (2023)	119,709	7754	4.3	191	36	10	Cat. Model (AE, AA, ER)	5.57
PUMA without Wismut	Kelly-Reif et al. (2023)	64,790	3995	2.2	98	33	9	BEIR VI (TSE, AA, ER)	8.78
Cohorts restricted to more rece	nt periods with chronic low e	xposures or ϵ	xposure rates, thr	ee modifiers TSE or AE	, AA, and ER				
Cz+Fr (measured)*	Tomasek et al. (2008a)	10,100	547	0.2	47	n.a	n.a	Cont. Model (TSME, AME)	4.58
Cz+Fr+Eldorado (<100 WLM	4) Lane et al. (2019)	n.a	408	0.4	36	n.a	n.a	BEIR VI (TSE, AA, ER)	4.56
Wismut 1960+(FU 2013)	Kreuzer et al. (2023)	26,764	495	1.0	17	36	10	BEIR VI (TSE, AA, ER)	9.22
Wismut 1960+(FU 2018)	Kreuzer et al. (2023)	26,764	663	1.1	17	40	10	BEIR VI (TSE, AA, ER)	6.10
PUMA 1960+	Richardson et al. (2022)	57,873	1217	1.9	13	33	8	BEIR VI (TSE, AA, ER)	7.50
PUMA 1960+	Richardson et al. (2022)	57,873	1217	1.9	13	33	8	Cat. Model (AE, AA, ER)	7.66
LEAR calculation based or lated up to age <95 years, P TSF Time since exposure.	n: Exposure scenario: 2 W Projection model: relative 1 44 Attained age: 4F Age	/LM per ye risk model	ar from age 18 (e. FR Exnosure	to 64 years, Baselin. rate: <i>TSME</i> Time s	e mortality rate: n ince median exno	nixed Euro-America sure: AME Age at n	m-Asian poj nedian exno	pulation (ICRP 2007), Lifetin some <i>I FAR</i> I ifetime excess	ne risk calcu- absolute risk [.]
WLM Working level months	S: BEIR VI: BEIR VI expo	au vypvum Silte-age-C	oncentration mo	$\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000$	a Not available	our, muzer and w	יועשוי איזעי	SUC, EEM ENVIRE WAYER	ausoluto mert

Radiation and Environmental Biophysics

*Continuous variables for the effect modifiers time since median exposure (TSME) and age at median exposure (AME) restricted to person-years at risk with "measured" (annual estimates based on current ambient measurements or personal dosimeters) instead of "estimated" (retrospectively estimated or extrapolated) radon concentrations

estimated LEAR per WLM was 5.97×10^{-4} and thus two times higher compared to the Jacobi study.

The BEIR VI pooled analysis did not include the newly established large German Wismut cohort (Grosche et al. 2006). The full Wismut cohort comprises 58,974 workers and 3942 lung cancer deaths at end of follow-up in 2013 (Kreuzer et al. 2018) and resulted in an LEAR per WLM of 2.50×10^{-4} . With the extended mortality follow-up to end of 2018 and additional baseline stratification by duration of employment like in the PUMA cohort, the LEAR per WLM increased to 3.13×10^{-4} (Kreuzer et al. 2023). Two smaller individual studies, the Czech (UNSCEAR 2020) and Eldorado (Lane et al. 2010) cohorts, showed LEAR estimates per WLM of 4.22×10^{-4} and 8.20×10^{-4} , respectively. The PUMA full cohort is currently the largest study with 119,709 miners and 7754 lung cancer deaths and integrates most of the updated studies included in BEIR VI and the Wismut cohort. The estimated LEAR per WLM of 5.38×10^{-4} or 5.57×10^{-4} (depending on choice of model) is consistent with that of the BEIR VI study and two times higher than that for the full Wismut cohort.

Table 4 additionally provides information on cohorts restricted to chronic low exposures and exposure rates. The estimated LEAR per WLM was around 4.6×10^{-4} for two smaller studies, the pooled analyses of full Czech and French cohorts with restriction of person-years at risk to measured radon exposure (Tomasek et al. 2008a) and of the Czech, French and Eldorado sub-cohorts with restriction to more recent years and exposures less than 100 WLM (Lane et al. 2019). The LEAR in the Wismut 1960 + sub-cohort with end of follow-up 2013 and 2018 (Kreuzer et al. 2023) were 9.22×10^{-4} and 6.10×10^{-4} , respectively. Among these low exposure/exposure-rate studies, the PUMA 1960+subcohort is by far the largest study (57,873 miners and 1217 lung cancer deaths) and involves the lowest average radon exposure (13 WLM), the corresponding LEAR was around 7.50×10^{-4} . Compared to the respective full cohorts, the LEAR of the 1960+sub-cohorts of the PUMA and the Wismut study were somewhat higher.

Discussion

PUMA provides the largest and most informative database to date to estimate the risk of death from lung cancer due to cumulative radon exposure in studies of uranium miners. The LEAR per WLM is estimated to lie between 5.38×10^{-4} and 7.66×10^{-4} depending on the choice of model and the use of the full cohort or the 1960 + sub-cohort with a focus on more recent periods of chronic low exposure. While the choice of model within a given cohort has a nearly negligible effect on the resulting LEAR, the consideration of either the full cohort or 1960 + sub-cohort makes a difference, with somewhat higher LEAR results for the latter cohort. In contrast to the PUMA full cohort, in the 1960 + sub-cohort the estimated parameters of the relative risk model have less heterogeneity between studies, but wider confidence intervals.

Comparison of results from full and 1960 + sub-cohorts

In the full PUMA cohort, heterogeneity in risk estimates between studies has been reported by Kelly-Reif et al. (2023), which was in part attributed to the Wismut study, which forms half of the data of PUMA (2.2 out of 4.3 million person-years at risk). The PUMA full cohort excluding the Wismut study would result in an LEAR per WLM of 8.78×10^{-4} . This restricted cohort differs from the PUMA Wismut cohort in some characteristics, e.g., appreciably lower exposures and shorter duration of employment (see also Table 1). For example, within the full PUMA study, 82% of the person-years at risk accrued from radon exposures above 250 WLM and about 70% of all person-times at risk with duration of employment more than 10 years are from the Wismut cohort (Kelly-Reif et al. 2023 Suppl. Table 1), respectively. It is unclear whether this difference has some influence on the risk estimates. The overview on LEAR estimates from published uranium miners studies in Table 4 shows that the findings of the full Wismut cohort are at the lower end of the range of all calculated LEAR. Possible reasons for this observed lower estimated risk like competing risk of silicosis, measurement error in exposure assessment, or possibly incomplete follow-up in the very early years (1946-1960) were addressed in detail in Kreuzer et al. (2023).

In contrast to the analyses based on full cohorts (Kelly-Reif et al. 2023), PUMA analyses of the 1960+sub-cohorts did not provide any evidence of heterogeneity in risk estimates between studies (Richardson et al. 2022). The 1960 + sub-cohorts allow direct estimation of health effects of chronic exposure to low radon concentrations at low exposure rates which is of interest for radiation protection today. It also allows to exclude miners with extreme levels of exposure (estimated effective doses for some miners employed in the early years could reach several hundreds or thousands of mSv per year) (Laurier 2020). In addition, no complex modeling of exposure rate is necessary as compared to the full cohort; in several of the component studies, exposure rates were one or two orders of magnitude higher in the early years compared to 1960 or later. Furthermore, exposure assessment in these later years was often based on measurements rather than on expert rating. A higher quality of exposure assessment decreases measurement error and thus the potential for underestimation of risk. However, the 1960 + sub-cohorts involve lower statistical power due to smaller size, high uncertainty in parameter estimates, shorter

duration of follow-up, and younger age compared to the full cohorts. The observed increase in ERR at older ages in the PUMA 1960 + sub-cohort (Fig. 1 upper part) and particularly in the Wismut 1960+sub-cohort with end of follow-up 2013 (Kreuzer et al. 2023, Supplementary Fig. 3) seems implausibly high. In these young 1960 + sub-cohorts, lung cancer deaths are still rare at ages over 75 years and at more than 35 years since exposure (see Tables 2 and 3). Consequently, it is likely that the decrease in ERR/WLM with increasing time since exposure and attained age cannot be completely described by the data of 1960+sub-cohorts. For example, in the Wismut 1960+sub-cohort, the extension of end of follow-up from 2013 to 2018 led to a decrease of LEAR per WLM from 9.22 to 6.10×10^{-4} (Kreuzer et al. 2023 Suppl. Table 3). Thus, further follow-up of individual PUMA studies will allow refining risk estimates derived from 1960 + cohorts in the future.

Strengths and limitations

The current calculations of the LEAR for lung cancer due to radon from various uranium miners studies offer several strengths. First, similar methods have been used, and thus, LEAR values based on different studies and relative risk models are directly comparable. Second, for the first time, LEAR was calculated based on the worldwide largest and most informative study PUMA. More than 4.3 million person-years at risk and nearly 8000 lung cancer deaths with a long duration of follow-up form the basis for PUMA (Rage et al. 2020; Richardson et al. 2021, 2022; Kelly-Reif et al. 2023). This large database allows-in contrast to many individual studies-for detailed consideration of relevant effect modifiers age, time since exposure and exposure rate in the risk model, a recommendation that was recently reinforced by UNSCEAR (2020). Third, the LEAR were determined for cohorts restricted to low exposures and exposure rates including all three effect modifiers in the risk models.

A limitation of the current LEAR analyses is that many factors with potential influence on the LEAR have not yet been evaluated. This concerns (1) the use of different and more suitable baseline mortality rates as well as evaluation of effects of the increasing survival trend for lung cancer, (2) consideration of smoking (interaction of smoking with radon, change of smoking patterns over time), (3) application of other scenarios from occupational or residential radon exposure, (4) consideration of annual instead of average exposure rates in risk models (Tomasek 2020), (5) use of different risk projection models (relative/additive/mixed), and (6) evaluation of uncertainties associated with LEAR estimates (e.g., confidence intervals). A general limitation of all the uranium miners studies considered in this paper is that they include only men and that only mortality and no incidence data for lung cancer are available.

Conclusion

PUMA clearly strengthens evidence on the shape of the exposure–response relationship between radon exposure and lung cancer mortality in uranium miners and thus the estimation of the LEAR. The range of currently available LEAR values for lung cancer at low exposures and exposure rates derived from different models and previous publications based on smaller studies is 2.5 to 9.2×10^{-4} per WLM, with the current PUMA findings (5.4 up to 7.7×10^{-4} per WLM) being in the upper half of this range. Continued mortality follow-up of the studies included in PUMA, particularly of the 1960 + sub-cohorts, is expected to provide additional insights and is therefore strongly recommended.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00411-023-01049-w.

Acknowledgements Some contents of Table 1 and 2 are reproduced from [Rage et al. OEM 77(3):194–200, 2020] and some contents of Table 2 and 3 from [Kelly-Reif et al. OEM 80:385–391, 2023], both with permission from BMJ Publishing Group Ltd.

Author contributions MK wrote the main manuscript, MS made the statistical analyses and prepared the figures. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This work was supported, in part, by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC, R03 OH010946). The construction of the French cohort was partially supported by the Institute for Radiological Protection and Nuclear Safety (IRSN). IRSN thanks ORANO for its cooperation in the elaboration of the French cohort. For the U.S. contribution, funding was provided by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. L.B.Z.'s work was funded and supported by the CDC in association with the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH; R210H011452). For the Czech cohort, funding was provided by the National Radiation Protection Institute (SURO; MV-25972-2/OBV). Work on the Ontario cohort was funded by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission, the Ontario Ministry of Labor, and the Canadian Cancer Society.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Ethical approval This study does not require ethical approval as it is methodological work.

Disclaimer The findings and conclusions of this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the decisions, policy, or views of the International Agency for Research on Cancer/World Health Organization or the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes

were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Chmelevsky D, Barclay D, Kellerer AM, Tomasek L, Kunz E, Placek V (1994) Probability of causation for lung cancer after exposure to radon progeny: a comparison of models and data. Health Phys 67:15–23
- Grosche B, Kreuzer M, Kreisheimer M, Schnelzer M, Tschense A (2006) Lung cancer risk among German male uranium miners: a cohort study, 1946–1998. Br J Cancer 95:1280–1287
- Harrison JD, Marsh JW (2020) ICRP recommendations on radon. Ann ICRP 49(1_suppl):68-76
- Harrison JD (2021) Lung cancer risk and effective dose coefficients for radon: UNSCEAR review and ICRP conclusions. J Radiol Prot 41:433–441
- ICRP (2007) The 2007 recommendations of the international commission on radiological protection. ICRP publication 103. Ann ICRP 37:2–4
- ICRP (1993) Protection against Radon-222 at home and at work. ICRP Publication 65. Ann ICRP 23(2):413
- ICRP (2010) Lung cancer at risk from radon and progeny & statement on radon. ICRP Publication 115. Ann ICRP 40(1):1
- Kelly-Reif K, Bertke S, Rage E, Demers PA, Fenske N, Deffner V, Kreuzer M, Samet JM, Schubauer-Berigan MK, Tomasek L, Zablotska LB, Wiggins C, Laurier D, Richardson DB (2023) Radon and lung cancer in the pooled uranium miners analysis (PUMA): highly-exposed early miners and all miners. Occup Environ Med 80:385–391
- Kreuzer M, Sobotzki C, Schnelzer M, Fenske N (2018) Factors modifying the radon-related lung cancer risk at low exposures and exposure rates among German uranium miners. Radiat Res 189:165–176
- Kreuzer M, Deffner V, Sommer M, Fenske N (2023) Updated risk models for lung cancer due to radon exposure in the German uranium miners cohort, 1946–2018. Radiat Environ Biophys 62:415–425
- Lane RS, Frost SE, Howe GR, Zablotska LB (2010) Mortality (1950– 1999) and cancer incidence (1969–1999) in the cohort of Eldorado uranium workers. Radiat Res 174:773–785
- Lane RS, Tomasek L, Zablotska LB, Rage E, Momoli F, Little J (2019) Low radon exposures and lung cancer risk: joint analysis of the

Czech, French, and Beaverlodge cohorts of uranium miners. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 92:747–762

- Laurier D, Marsh JW, Rage E, Tomasek L (2020) Miner studies and radiological protection against radon. Ann ICRP 49(1_suppl):57–67
- Marsh JW, Tomasek L, Laurier D, Harrison JD (2021) Effective dose coefficients for radon and progeny: a review of ICRP and UNSCEAR values. Radiat Prot Dosim 195:1–20
- NRC, National Research Council (1999) Committee on health risks of exposure to Radon. Board on radiation effects research. Health effects of exposure to radon. BEIR VI Report. National Academy Press, Washington
- R Core Team (2022) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/
- Rage E, Richardson DB, Demers PA, Do M, Fenske N, Kreuzer M, Samet J, Wiggins C, Schubauer-Berigan MK, Kelly-Reif K, Tomasek L, Zablotska LB, Laurier D (2020) PUMA—pooled uranium miners analysis: cohort profile. Occup Environ Med 77(3):194–200
- Richardson DB, Rage E, Demers PA, Do MT, DeBono N, Fenske N, Deffner V, Kreuzer M, Samet J, Wiggins C, Schubauer-Berigan MK, Kelly-Reif K, Tomasek L, Zablotska LB, Laurier D (2021) Mortality among uranium miners in North America and Europe: the Pooled Uranium Miners Analysis (PUMA). Int J Epidemiol 50:633–643
- Richardson DB, Rage E, Demers PA, Do MT, Fenske N, Deffner V, Kreuzer M, Samet J, Bertke SJ, Kelly-Reif K, Schubauer-Berigan MK, Tomasek L, Zablotska LB, Wiggins C, Laurier D (2022) Lung cancer and radon: pooled analysis of uranium miners hired in 1960 or later. Environ Health Perspect 130:57010
- Tomasek L, Rogel A, Tirmarche M, Mitton N, Laurier D (2008a) Lung cancer in French and Czech uranium miners: Radon-associated risk at low exposure rates and modifying effects of time since exposure and age at exposure. Radiat Res 169:125–137
- Tomasek L, Rogel A, Laurier D, Tirmarche M (2008b) Dose conversion of radon exposure according to new epidemiological findings. Radiat Prot Dosim 130:98–100
- Tomasek L (2020) Lung cancer lifetime risks in cohort studies of uranium miners. Radiat Prot Dosim 191:171–175
- UNSCEAR (2020) Lung cancer from exposure to radon. UNSCEAR 2019 Report, Annex B, New York.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.