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# On the friable mean-value of the Erdős-Hooley Delta function 

B. Martin, G. Tenenbaum, and J. Wetzer


#### Abstract

For integer $n$ and real $u$, define $\Delta(n, u):=\left|\left\{d: d \mid n, \mathrm{e}^{u}<d \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{u+1}\right\}\right|$. Then, the Erdős-Hooley Delta function is defined as $\Delta(n):=\max _{u \in \mathbb{R}} \Delta(n, u)$. We provide uniform upper and lower bounds for the mean-value of $\Delta(n)$ over friable integers, i.e. integers free of large prime factors.


## 1. Introduction and statement of Results

For integer $n \geqslant 1$ and real $u$, put

$$
\Delta(n, u):=\left|\left\{d: d \mid n, \mathrm{e}^{u}<d \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{u+1}\right\}\right|, \quad \Delta(n):=\max _{u \in \mathbb{R}} \Delta(n, u) .
$$

The $\Delta$-function was introduced by Erdős in 1974 and was highlighted in 1979 by Hooley [13]. It turned out to be a key-concept in many branches of analytic number theory such as Waring type problems, circle method, Diophantine approximation, distribution of prime factors in polynomial sequences, etc.

However, the behaviour of $\Delta(n)$ remains rather mysterious. For instance, the average order is still not known with desirable precision. Hall and Tenenbaum [8] obtained in 1982 the lower bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x):=\sum_{n \leqslant x} \Delta(n) \gg x \log _{2} x \quad(x \geqslant 3) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas Tenenbaum [16] showed in 1985 that for suitable $c>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(x) \ll x \mathrm{e}^{c \sqrt{\log _{2} x \log _{3} x}} \quad(x \geqslant 16) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and in the sequel, we let $\log _{k}$ denote the $k$-fold iterated logarithm. Recently, La Bretèche and Tenenbaum [3, th. 1.1] obtained a slight improvement to (1.2) by removing the triple logarithm in the exponent and, even more recently, Koukoulopoulos and Tao [14] obtained the remarkable bound

$$
D(x) \ll x\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{11 / 4} \quad(x \geqslant 3) .
$$

A few months later, Ford, Koukouloulos and Tao [7] improved (1.1) by showing

$$
D(x) \gg x\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{1+\eta+o(1)} \quad(x \geqslant 3)
$$

where the exponent $\eta \approx 0.3533227$ appears in the work of Ford, Green and Koukoulopoulos [6] on the normal order of $\Delta(n)$. Both bounds have been recently improved by La Bretèche and Tenenbaum [4]: we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{3 / 2} \ll D(x) \ll x\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{5 / 2} \quad(x \geqslant 3) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which constitutes the current state of the art.
Let $P^{+}(n)$ denote the largest prime factor of an integer $n>1$ and let us agree that $P^{+}(1)=1$. Following usual notation, we define $S(x, y)$ as the set of $y$-friable integers not exceeding $x$, and denote by $\Psi(x, y)$ its cardinality, viz.

$$
S(x, y):=\left\{n \leqslant x: P^{+}(n) \leqslant y\right\}, \quad \Psi(x, y)=|S(x, y)| \quad(x \geqslant 1, y \geqslant 1) .
$$

Structural properties of the set $S(x, y)$ motivated a vast array of the literature in the last fourty years. The applications are indeed numerous and significant: circle method, Waringtype problems, cryptology, sieve theory, probabilistic models in number theory.

[^0]Given an arithmetical function $f$, let us use the notation $\Psi(x, y ; f):=\sum_{n \in S(x, y)} f(n)$. In this work we investigate bounds for the friable mean-value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}(x, y):=\frac{\Psi(x, y ; \Delta)}{\Psi(x, y)} \quad(x \geqslant y \geqslant 2) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define some quantities arising in our statements. Given $\kappa>0$, denote by $\varrho_{\kappa}$ the continuous solution on $] 0, \infty[$ of the delay differential system

$$
\begin{cases}\varrho_{\kappa}(v)=v^{\kappa-1} / \Gamma(\kappa) & (0<v \leqslant 1) \\ v \varrho_{\kappa}^{\prime}(v)+(1-\kappa) \varrho_{\kappa}(v)+\kappa \varrho_{\kappa}(v-1)=0 & (v>1)\end{cases}
$$

and set $\varrho_{\kappa}(v):=0$ for $v<0$.
Thus (see, e.g., [12]) $\varrho_{\kappa}$ is the order $\kappa$ fractional convolution power of $\varrho:=\varrho_{1}$, the Dickman function, which provides a continuous approximation to $\Psi(x, y)$ in

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{(x, y): x \geqslant 3, \mathrm{e}^{\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{5 / 3+\varepsilon}} \leqslant y \leqslant x\right\} \quad(\varepsilon>0) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, improving on results by Dickman and de Bruijn, Hildebrand [10] proved the asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(x, y)=x \varrho(u)\left\{1+O\left(\frac{\log (2 u)}{\log y}\right)\right\} \quad\left((x, y) \in H_{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the standard notation

$$
u=\frac{\log x}{\log y}
$$

The asymptotic behaviour of the functions $\varrho_{\kappa}$ (and in fact of more general delay differential equations, as displayed in [12]) may be described in terms of the function $\xi(t)$ defined as the unique positive solution to $\mathrm{e}^{\xi}=1+t \xi$ for $t \neq 1$ and by $\xi(1)=0$. From [17, lemma III.5.11] and the remark following [17, th.III.5.13], we quote the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(t)=\log t+\log _{2} t+O\left(\frac{\log _{2} t}{\log t}\right), \quad \xi^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{t \log t}+O\left(\frac{\log _{2} t}{t(\log t)^{2}}\right) \quad(t \rightarrow \infty) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying [12, cor. 2] in the case $(a, b)=(1-\kappa, \kappa)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\kappa}(v)=\sqrt{\frac{\xi^{\prime}(v / \kappa)}{2 \pi \kappa}} \exp \left\{\kappa \gamma-\kappa \int_{1}^{v / \kappa} \xi(t) \mathrm{d} t\right\}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{v}\right)\right\} \quad(v \geqslant 1+\kappa) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ denotes Euler's constant. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{r}(v):=\frac{\varrho_{2}(v)}{\sqrt{v} \varrho(v)} \asymp \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} \exp \left(\int_{1}^{v}\{\xi(t)-\xi(t / 2)\} \mathrm{d} t\right) \asymp 2^{v+O(v / \log 2 v)} \quad(v \geqslant 1) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

while a genuine asymptotic formula follows from (1.8).
Let $\tau(n)$ denote the total number of divisors of an integer $n$. We trivially have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(n) / \log 2 n \ll \Delta(n) \leqslant \tau(n) \quad(n \geqslant 1) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the lower bounds follows from the pigeon-hole principle. Since, by [19, cor. 2.3], we have

$$
\Psi(x, y ; \tau)=\left\{1+O\left(\frac{\log (2 u)}{\log y}\right)\right\} x \varrho_{2}(u) \log y \quad\left((x, y) \in H_{\varepsilon}\right),
$$

we may state as a benchmark that

$$
\frac{\mathfrak{r}(u)}{\sqrt{u}} \ll \mathfrak{S}(x, y) \ll 2^{u+O(u / \log 2 u)} \log y \quad\left((x, y) \in H_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

We obtain the following results, where the following notation is used:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{u}:=\min \left(\frac{y}{\log y}, u\right) \quad(x \geqslant y \geqslant 2)  \tag{1.11}\\
& g(t):=\log \left(\frac{(1+2 t)^{1+2 t}}{(1+t)^{1+t}(4 t)^{t}}\right) \quad(t>0),  \tag{1.12}\\
& \varepsilon_{y}:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log y}} \quad(y \geqslant 2) \tag{1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 1.1. (i) Let $\varepsilon>0$. For a suitable absolute constant $c>0$ and uniformly for $(x, y) \in H_{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{2} y+\mathfrak{r}(u) \ll \mathfrak{S}(x, y) \ll 2^{u+O(u / \log 2 u)} \mathrm{e}^{c \sqrt{\log _{2} y \log _{3} y}} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For $2 \leqslant y \leqslant x^{1 /\left(2 \log _{2} x \log _{3} x\right)}$, and with $\lambda:=y / \log x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}(x, y) \asymp \mathrm{e}^{\left\{1+O\left(\varepsilon_{y}+1 / \log 2 u\right)\right\} g(\lambda) u} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $g$ is positive and strictly increasing on $(0,+\infty)$. The asymptotic behaviour of this function is given by

$$
g(\lambda)= \begin{cases}\log 2-1 /(4 \lambda)+O\left(1 / \lambda^{2}\right) & \text { as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty  \tag{1.16}\\ \lambda \log (1 / \lambda)-\lambda(\log 4-1)+O\left(\lambda^{2}\right) & \text { as } \lambda \rightarrow 0\end{cases}
$$

Morever, the lower bound $g(\lambda) u \gg \bar{u}$ holds on the whole range $x \geqslant y \geqslant 2$.
The error term in (1.15) may be simplified to $1 / \log 2 u$ if $\log y>\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{2}$ and to $\varepsilon_{y}$ otherwise.
Note that (1.10) implies

$$
\mathfrak{S}(x, y) \asymp \frac{\Psi(x, y ; \tau)}{u^{K} \Psi(x, y)} \quad(\log y \leqslant \sqrt{\log x})
$$

with $K=K(x, y) \in[0,2]$, so that, to the stated accuracy, the evaluation of $\mathfrak{S}(x, y)$ reduces in this range to that of $\Psi(x, y ; \tau) / \Psi(x, y)$. This is consistent with the Gaussian tendency of the distribution of the divisors of friable integers: as the friability parameter $y$ decreases, the divisors of friable $n$ concentrate around the mean-value $\sqrt{n}$ and $\Delta(n)$ resembles more and more to $\tau(n)$, the total number of divisors. Another description of this phenomenon appears in [5].

Considering available methods, Theorem 1.1 essentially agrees with standard expectations regarding methodology. We leave to a further project the task of adapting the method of [14] or [4] in the upper bound of (1.14). We note right away that, in the present context, such an improvement would only be relevant for very large values of $y$ since the exponent $\sqrt{\log _{2} y \log _{3} y}$ is absorbed by the remainder $O(u / \log 2 u)$ as soon as $y \leqslant x^{1 /\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{c}}$ with $c>1 / 2$.

## 2. Preliminary estimates

Here and throughout, the letter $p$ denotes a prime number. In [11], Hildebrand and Tenenbaum provided a universal estimate for $\Psi(x, y)$ by the saddle-point method. Define

$$
\zeta(s, y):=\prod_{p \leqslant y}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{s}}\right)^{-1}, \quad \varphi_{y}(s):=-\frac{\zeta^{\prime}(s, y)}{\zeta(s, y)} \quad(\Re s>0, y \geqslant 2)
$$

and, for $2 \leqslant y \leqslant x$, let $\alpha=\alpha(x, y)$ denote the unique positive solution to the equation $\varphi_{y}(\alpha)=\log x$. According to [11, th. 1], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(x, y)=\frac{x^{\alpha} \zeta(\alpha, y)}{\alpha \sqrt{2 \pi\left|\varphi_{y}^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|}}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{u}+\frac{\log y}{y}\right)\right\} \quad(x \geqslant y \geqslant 2) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $[11,(2.4)]$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\log (1+y / \log x)}{\log y}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{\log _{2} y}{\log y}\right)\right\} \quad(x \geqslant y \geqslant 2) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by $[11,(7.8)]$, we have, for any given $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=1-\frac{\xi(u)}{\log y}+O\left(\mathrm{e}^{-(\log y)^{(3 / 5)-\varepsilon}}+\frac{1}{u(\log y)^{2}}\right) \quad\left(x \geqslant x_{0}(\varepsilon),(\log x)^{1+\varepsilon} \leqslant y \leqslant x\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by [11, (2.5)], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{y}^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|=\left(1+\frac{\log x}{y}\right) \log x \log y\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log (u+1)}+\frac{1}{\log y}\right)\right\} \quad(x \geqslant y \geqslant 2) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1(i): Lower bound

Let $\tau(n)$ denote the total number of divisors of a natural integer $n$. The following inequality is established in [9, lemma 60.1]

$$
\Delta(n) \tau(n) \geqslant \sum_{\substack{d, d^{\prime} \mid n \\ 0<\log \left(d^{\prime} / d\right) \leqslant 1}} 1=\sum_{\substack{d d^{\prime} \mid n \\\left(d, d^{\prime}\right)=1 \\ 0<\log \left(d^{\prime} / d\right) \leqslant 1}} \tau\left(\frac{n}{d d^{\prime}}\right) \quad(n \geqslant 1)
$$

the equality above being obtained by representing the ratios $d^{\prime} / d$ in reduced form.
Put

$$
u_{t}:=\frac{\log t}{\log y} \quad(t \geqslant 1, y \geqslant 2), \quad \Omega(n):=\sum_{p^{\nu} \| n} \nu \quad(n \geqslant 1) .
$$

Since $\tau(a b) \leqslant \tau(a) 2^{\Omega(b)}(a, b \geqslant 1)$, we have, for $(x, y) \in H_{\varepsilon}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}(x, y) \geqslant \frac{1}{\Psi(x, y)} \sum_{\substack{d d^{\prime} \in S(x, y) \\\left(d, d^{\prime}\right)=1 \\ 0<\log \left(d^{\prime} / d\right) \leqslant 1}} \frac{1}{2^{\Omega\left(d d^{\prime}\right)}} \Psi\left(\frac{x}{d d^{\prime}}, y\right) \gg \sum_{\substack{d d^{\prime} \in S(x, y) \\\left(d, d^{\prime}\right)=1 \\ 0<\log \left(d^{\prime} / d\right) \leqslant 1}} \frac{\varrho\left(u-u_{d d^{\prime}}\right)}{\varrho(u) d d^{\prime} 2^{\Omega\left(d d^{\prime}\right)}}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last inequality follows from (1.6). To evaluate the double sum in (3.1), we establish an asymptotic formula for

$$
T_{d}(x, y):=\sum_{\substack{m \in S(x, y) \\(m, d)=1}} \frac{1}{2^{\Omega(m)}}
$$

We shall make use of the following notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C:=\prod_{p} \frac{\sqrt{1-1 / p}}{1-1 / 2 p}, \quad \kappa_{y}:=\frac{1}{(\log y)^{2 / 5}}, \\
& \varphi_{y}(d):=\prod_{p \mid d}\left(1+\frac{1}{2 p^{1-\kappa_{y}}}\right), \quad \vartheta_{y}(d):=\sum_{p \mid d} \frac{\log p}{p^{1-\kappa_{y}}}, \quad \mathfrak{q}(d):=\prod_{p \mid d}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 p}\right) \quad(d \geqslant 1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.1. Let $\varepsilon>0$. For $x \geqslant 1, y>\exp \left\{\left(\log _{2} 3 x\right)^{5 / 3+\varepsilon}\right\}, d \in S(x, y)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{d}(x, y)=\frac{C x \varrho_{1 / 2}(u)}{\sqrt{\log y}}\left\{\mathfrak{q}(d)+O\left(\kappa_{y} \varphi_{y}(d)\left\{1+\vartheta_{y}(d)\right\}\right)\right\} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
T_{d}(x, y)=\sum_{m \in S(x, y)} \frac{1}{2^{\Omega(m)}} \sum_{t \mid(m, d)} \mu(t)=\sum_{t \mid d} \frac{\mu(t)}{2^{\Omega(t)}} T_{1}\left(\frac{x}{t}, y\right)
$$

An estimate for the inner $T_{1}$-term follows from [19, cor. 2.3], which, in the domain

$$
x \geqslant 1, \quad y>\exp \left\{\left(\log _{2} 3 x\right)^{5 / 3+\varepsilon}\right\}
$$

we rewrite as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}(x, y)=\frac{C x \varrho_{1 / 2}(u)}{\sqrt{\log y}}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{\log (u+1)}{\log y}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log y}}+\frac{1}{\log (2 x)}\right)\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the error term $1 / \log (2 x)$ enables to include the case $1 \leqslant x<y$ : the corresponding estimate follows from [17, th. II.6.2]. Since $\log (u+1) \ll(\log y)^{3 / 5}$ in $H_{\varepsilon}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{d}(x, y)=\frac{C x}{\sqrt{\log y}} \sum_{\substack{t \mid d \\ t \leqslant x / \sqrt{y}}} \frac{\mu(t) \varrho_{1 / 2}\left(u-u_{t}\right)}{t 2^{\Omega(t)}}+R_{1}+R_{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1} & \ll \frac{x}{(\log y)^{9 / 10}} \sum_{\substack{t \mid d \\
t \leqslant x / \sqrt{y}}} \frac{\mu(t)^{2} \varrho_{1 / 2}\left(u-u_{t}\right)}{t 2^{\Omega(t)}} \ll \frac{x \varrho_{1 / 2}(u)}{(\log y)^{9 / 10}} \sum_{t \mid d} \frac{\mu(t)^{2}}{2^{\Omega(t)} t^{1-\xi(2 u) / \log y}}, \\
R_{2} & \ll \sum_{\substack{t \mid d \\
x / \sqrt{y}<t \leqslant x}} \frac{x \sqrt{\log 2 x / t}}{t},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the bound for $R_{1}$ follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{1 / 2}(u-v) \ll \varrho_{1 / 2}(u) \mathrm{e}^{v \xi(2 u)} \quad\left(u \geqslant 1,0 \leqslant v \leqslant u-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

proved in $[15]^{1}$. By multiplicativity, we thus get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1} \ll \frac{x \varrho_{1 / 2}(u) \varphi_{y}(d)}{(\log y)^{9 / 10}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $d \leqslant x$, we have $p_{\omega(d)} \ll \log x$, where $p_{\omega(d)}$ denotes the $\omega(d)$ th prime number. Hence, using de Bruijn's estimate for $\log \Psi(x, y)$ as refined in [17, th. III.5.2], we plainly obtain, for a suitable absolute constant $c>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t \mid d, t \leqslant z} 1 \leqslant \Psi\left(z, p_{\omega(d)}\right) \leqslant z^{c / \log _{2} x} \quad(\sqrt{x} \leqslant z \leqslant x) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence

$$
R_{2} \ll x \int_{\sqrt{x}}^{x} \frac{1}{z} \mathrm{~d} O\left(z^{c / \log _{2} x}\right) \ll \sqrt{x} \mathrm{e}^{c \log x / \log _{2} x}
$$

and we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}+R_{2} \ll \frac{x \varrho_{1 / 2}(u) \varphi_{y}(d)}{(\log y)^{9 / 10}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate the main term of (3.4), we approximate $\varrho_{1 / 2}\left(u-u_{t}\right)$ by $\varrho_{1 / 2}(u)$, using the bound

$$
\varrho_{1 / 2}^{\prime}(w) \ll \varrho_{1 / 2}(w) \log (1+w) \quad\left(w \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

which, with an appropriate modification of the range of validity, is also proved in [15, lemma 6.2]. In view of (3.5), this implies that

$$
\varrho_{1 / 2}\left(u-u_{t}\right)-\varrho_{1 / 2}(u) \ll u_{t} \varrho_{1 / 2}(u) t^{\kappa_{y}} \log (u+1) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{t \mid d \\
t \leqslant x / \sqrt{y}}} \frac{\mu(t) \varrho_{1 / 2}\left(u-u_{t}\right)}{t 2^{\Omega(t)} \varrho_{1 / 2}(u)} & =\sum_{\substack{t \mid d \\
t \leqslant x / \sqrt{y}}} \frac{\mu(t)}{t 2^{\Omega(t)}}+O\left(\sum_{\substack{t \mid d \\
t \leqslant x / \sqrt{y}}} \frac{\mu(t)^{2}(\log t) \log (u+1)}{\left.t^{1-\kappa_{y} 2^{\Omega(t)} \log y}\right)}\right. \\
& =\mathfrak{q}(d)+O\left(\sum_{\substack{t \mid d \\
x / \sqrt{y}<t \leqslant x}} \frac{1}{t}+\kappa_{y} \sum_{t \mid d} \frac{\mu(t)^{2} \log t}{t^{1-\kappa_{y}} 2^{\Omega(t)}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.7), the first error term is $\ll \sqrt{y} x^{-1+c / \log _{2} x}$, which is compatible with (3.2). To estimate the second, we write $\log t=\sum_{p \mid t} \log p$ since $\mu^{2}(t)=1$ and invert summations. This yields the required estimate (3.2).

By (3.1), we have

$$
\mathfrak{S}(x, y) \gg \sum_{d \in S(\sqrt{x} / \mathrm{e}, y)} \frac{\varrho\left(u-2 u_{d}\right)\left\{T_{d}(\mathrm{e} d, y)-T_{d}(d, y)\right\}}{\varrho(u) d^{2} 2^{\Omega(d)}} .
$$

We insert (3.2) to evaluate the difference between curly brackets and sum separately the resulting main term and the remainder terms. This can be done by partial summation, using a variant of (3.3) in which the inclusion of the factors $\mathfrak{q}(d)$ or $\varphi_{y}(d)\left\{1+\vartheta_{y}(d)\right\}$ has as sole effects to alter the value of the constant $C$. This yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{S}(x, y) & \gg \sum_{d \in S(\sqrt{x} / \mathrm{e}, y)} \frac{\mathfrak{q}(d) \varrho\left(u-2 u_{d}\right) \varrho_{1 / 2}\left(u_{d}\right)}{\varrho(u) 2^{\Omega(d)} d \sqrt{\log y}}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \gg \frac{1}{\varrho(u)} \int_{1 / \log y}^{u / 2} \varrho(u-2 v) \varrho_{1 / 2}(v)^{2} \mathrm{~d} v=\frac{1}{2 \varrho(u)} \int_{2 / \log y}^{u} \varrho(u-v) \varrho_{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2} v\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} v .
\end{align*}
$$

The contribution of the interval $[2 / \log y, 2]$ to the last integral is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\geqslant 2 \varrho(u) \int_{1 / \log y}^{1} \varrho_{1 / 2}(v)^{2} \mathrm{~d} v=\frac{2 \varrho(u)}{\pi} \int_{1 / \log y}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} v}{v}=\frac{2 \varrho(u)}{\pi} \log _{2} y . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Now observe that (1.8) implies

$$
\varrho_{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2} v\right)^{2} \asymp \frac{\varrho(v)}{\sqrt{v}} \quad(v \geqslant 1) .
$$

Since $\varrho_{2}$ is the convolution square of $\varrho$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\varrho(u)} \int_{2}^{u} \varrho(u-v) \varrho_{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2} v\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} v \gg \frac{\varrho_{2}(u)}{\sqrt{u} \varrho(u)}=\mathfrak{r}(u) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Carrying back into (3.9) and taking (3.10) into account, we obtain the required estimate.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1(i): upper bound

We adapt to the friable case the iterative method developed by Tenenbaum in [16] (see also [ $9, \S 7.4]$ ) for bounding the mean-value of the $\Delta$-function. Throughout this proof the letters $c$ and $C$, with or without index, stand for absolute positive constants.

Given an integer $n \geqslant 2$, let us denote by $\left\{p_{j}(n)\right\}_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant \omega(n)}$ the increasing sequence of its distinct prime factors. Following [16] (see also [9]), define

$$
M_{q}(n)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta(n, u)^{q} \mathrm{~d} u
$$

and, for squarefree $n$, put

$$
n_{k}:= \begin{cases}\prod_{j \leqslant k} p_{j}(n) & \text { if } k \leqslant \omega(n) \\ n & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Now, let

$$
L_{k, q}=L_{k, q}(x, y):=\sum_{P^{+}(n) \leqslant y} \frac{\mu(n)^{2} M_{q}\left(n_{k}\right)^{1 / q}}{n^{\beta}}
$$

where $\beta:=\alpha(\sqrt{x}, y)$ is the saddle-point related to the friable mean-value of $\tau(n)$, the divisor function.

We aim at bounding $L_{k, q}$ from above for large $k$ and $q$. The starting point is the identity

$$
\Delta(m p, u)=\Delta(m, u)+\Delta(m, u-\log p) \quad(u \in \mathbb{R}, p \nmid m)
$$

Apply this to $m=n_{k}, p=p_{k+1}(n)$. Raising to the power $q$ and expanding out, we obtain

$$
M_{q}\left(n_{k+1}\right)=2 M_{q}\left(n_{k}\right)+E_{q}\left(n_{k}, p_{k+1}\right) \quad(\omega(n)>k)
$$

with

$$
E_{q}(m, p):=\sum_{1 \leqslant j<q}\binom{q}{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta(m ; v)^{j} \Delta(m ; v-\log p)^{q-j} \mathrm{~d} v .
$$

It follows that

$$
L_{k+1, q} \leqslant 2^{1 / q} L_{k, q}+\sum_{\substack{P^{+}(m) \leqslant y \\ \omega(m)=k}} \mu(m)^{2} \sum_{P^{+}(m)<p \leqslant y} E_{q}(m, p)^{1 / q} \sum_{\substack{P^{+}(n) \leqslant y \\ \omega(n) \geqslant k+1 \\ n_{k+1}=m p}} \frac{\mu(n)^{2}}{n^{\beta}} .
$$

The latter sum is

$$
\ll \frac{\zeta_{1}(\beta, y)}{p^{\beta} m^{\beta}} \prod_{\ell \leqslant p} \frac{1}{1+\ell^{-\beta}}=: \frac{\zeta_{1}(\beta, y) g_{\beta}(p)}{p^{\beta} m^{\beta}},
$$

where, here and in the remainder of this proof, $\ell$ denotes a prime number, and

$$
\zeta_{1}(\sigma, y):=\prod_{\ell \leqslant y}\left(1+\ell^{-\sigma}\right) .
$$

Hölder's inequality yields

$$
\sum_{z<p \leqslant y} \frac{E_{q}(m, p)^{1 / q}}{p^{\beta}} \leqslant\left\{\sum_{p \geqslant 2} \frac{E_{q}(m, p) \log p}{p}\right\}^{1 / q}\left\{\sum_{z<p \leqslant y} \frac{1}{p^{(q \beta-1) /(q-1)}(\log p)^{1 /(q-1)}}\right\}^{(q-1) / q} .
$$

and the prime number theorem enables to bound the last sum over $p$ by

$$
\ll \frac{q y^{q(1-\beta) /(q-1)}}{(\log z)^{1 /(q-1)}} .
$$

Now, we have (see, e.g., [9, th. 73])

$$
\sum_{p} \frac{E_{q}(m, p) \log p}{p} \leqslant C 4^{q} \tau(m)^{q /(q-1)} M_{q}(m)^{(q-2) /(q-1)}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{k+1, q} \leqslant 2^{1 / q} L_{k, q}+C_{1} q \mathrm{e}^{\xi(u / 2)} G_{k} \leqslant 2^{1 / q} L_{k, q}+C_{2} q u^{2} G_{k}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
G_{k}:=\zeta_{1}(\beta, y) \sum_{\substack{P^{+}(m) \leqslant y \\ \omega(m)=k}} \frac{\mu(m)^{2} \tau(m)^{1 /(q-1)} M_{q}(m)^{(q-2) / q(q-1)} g_{\beta}\left(P^{+}(m)\right)}{m^{\beta}\left(\log P^{+}(m)\right)^{1 / q}} .
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\mu(m)^{2} \zeta_{1}(\beta, y) g_{\beta}\left(P^{+}(m)\right)}{m^{\beta}}=\sum_{\substack{P^{+}(n) \leqslant y \\ n_{k}=m}} \frac{\mu(n)^{2}}{n^{\beta}}
$$

we infer that

$$
G_{k} \leqslant \sum_{\substack{P^{+}(n) \leqslant y \\ \omega(n) \geqslant k}} \frac{\mu(n)^{2} \tau\left(n_{k}\right)^{1 /(q-1)} M_{q}\left(n_{k}\right)^{(q-2) / q(q-1)}}{n^{\beta}\left(\log p_{k}(n)\right)^{1 / q}} .
$$

A new application of Hölder's inequality yields

$$
G_{k} \leqslant L_{k, q}^{(q-2) /(q-1)} S_{k}^{1 /(q-1)},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{k} & :=\sum_{\substack{P^{+}(n) \leqslant y \\
\omega(n) \geqslant k}} \frac{\mu(n)^{2} \tau\left(n_{k}\right)}{n^{\beta}\left\{\log p_{k}(n)\right\}^{(q-1) / q}} \\
& \leqslant 2 \sum_{\substack{P^{+}(m) \leqslant y \\
\omega(m)=k-1}} \frac{\mu(m)^{2} \tau(m)}{m^{\beta}} \sum_{P^{+}(m)<p \leqslant y} \frac{1}{p^{\beta}(\log p)^{1-1 / q}} \prod_{p<\ell \leqslant y}\left(1+\frac{1}{\ell^{\beta}}\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{\zeta_{1}(\beta, y)}{(k-1)!} \sum_{p \leqslant y} \frac{g_{\beta}(p)}{p^{\beta}(\log p)^{1-1 / q}}\left(\sum_{\ell \leqslant p} \frac{2}{\ell^{\beta}}\right)^{k-1} \ll \frac{\zeta_{1}(\beta, y) y^{1-\beta}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{p \leqslant y} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-T(p)}\{2 T(p)\}^{k-1}}{p(\log p)^{1-1 / q}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we set

$$
T(p):=\sum_{\ell \leqslant p} \frac{1}{\ell^{\beta}} .
$$

(Recall that the letter $\ell$ denotes generically a prime number.)
We evaluate $T(p)$ by [2, lemma 3.6]. Writing

$$
\mathcal{L}(z):=\mathrm{e}^{(\log z)^{3 / 5} /\left(\log _{2} z\right)^{1 / 5}}, \quad w(t):=\frac{t^{1-\beta}-1}{(1-\beta) \log t},
$$

we have

$$
T(p)=\log _{2} p+\int_{1}^{w(p)} t \xi^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t+b+O\left(\frac{w(p)}{\mathcal{L}(p)^{c}}+\frac{\log (u+1)}{\log y}\right)
$$

where $b$ is a suitable constant. Note that $w(y)=u / 2+O(u / \log y)$. Defining

$$
h(v):=\int_{1}^{w\left(\operatorname{expe} e^{v}\right)} t \xi^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t+b_{1}
$$

with $b_{1}$ sufficiently large so that $T(p) \leqslant \log _{2} p+h\left(\log _{2} p\right)$, and writing $z_{v}:=v+h(v)$, we have, by the prime number theorem,

$$
W_{k}(y):=\sum_{p \leqslant y} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-T(p)}\{T(p)\}^{k-1}}{p(\log p)^{1-1 / q}} \ll \int_{0}^{\log _{2} y} \mathrm{e}^{-(2-1 / q) z_{v}+(1-1 / q) h\left(\log _{2} y\right)} z_{v}^{k-1} \mathrm{~d} v
$$

Since $h\left(\log _{2} y\right) \leqslant u / 2+O(u / \log 2 u)$ and since $h^{\prime}(v) \geqslant 0$, the change of variables $z=z_{v}$ yields

$$
W_{k}(y) \ll \mathrm{e}^{u / 2+O(u / \log 2 u)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-(2-1 / q) z} z^{k-1} \mathrm{~d} z \ll \frac{\mathrm{e}^{u / 2+O(u / \log 2 u)}(k-1)!}{(2-1 / q)^{k-1}} .
$$

Thus,

$$
S_{k} \ll \frac{\zeta_{1}(\beta, y) \mathrm{e}^{u / 2+O(u / \log 2 u)}}{(1-1 / 2 q)^{k}} \ll \frac{\zeta_{1}(\alpha, y) \mathrm{e}^{O(u / \log 2 u)}}{(1-1 / 2 q)^{k}}
$$

since $\zeta(\beta, y)=\zeta(\alpha, y) \mathrm{e}^{-u / 2+O(u / \log 2 u)}-$ see $[18,(4.2)]$.
Finally, for $q$ sufficiently large and $\frac{1}{2}<\lambda<\log 2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{k} \leqslant C_{3} L_{k, q}^{(q-2) /(q-1)} \zeta_{1}(\alpha, y)^{1 /(q-1)} \mathrm{e}^{c_{0} u /(q \log 2 u)+\lambda k / q(q-1)} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this stage, we introduce

$$
L_{k, q}^{*}=L_{k, q}+2^{k / q} u^{2 q} \mathrm{e}^{c_{0} u / \log 2 u} \zeta_{1}(\alpha, y)
$$

so that (4.1) still holds for $L_{k, q}^{*}$ in place of $L_{k, q}$. Setting $q(k):=\left\lfloor c_{1} \sqrt{k / \log k}\right\rfloor$ with sufficiently small, absolute $c_{1}$, we thus have, for large $k$,

$$
L_{k+1, q}^{*} \leqslant\left\{2^{1 / q}+\frac{1}{k}\right\} L_{k, q}^{*} \quad(q \leqslant q(k))
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{k+1, q}^{*} \leqslant 3^{1 / q} L_{k, q}^{*} \quad(q \leqslant q(k)) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To carry out a double induction on $k$ and $q$, we also need a bound on $L_{k, q+1}^{*}$ in terms of $L_{k, q}^{*}$. This is achieved by the inequality $M_{q+1}(n)^{1 /(q+1)} \leqslant 2 M_{q}(n)^{1 / q}$ proved in [9, th. 72], which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{k, q+1}^{*} \leqslant 2 u^{2} L_{k, q}^{*} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the aim of bounding $L_{k, q(k)}^{*}$ in terms of $L_{2, q(2)}^{*}$, we use (4.3) to reduce the parameter $k$ and (4.4) to secure the condition $q \leqslant q(k)$. The first handling provides an overall factor

$$
\leqslant \prod_{1 \leqslant q \leqslant q(k)} q^{c_{2}} \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{c_{3} \sqrt{k \log k}}
$$

whereas the second induces a global factor $\ll u^{c_{4} q(k)}$.
Finally, we obtain

$$
L_{k, q}^{*} \ll L_{2, q(2)}^{*} u^{c_{5} q(k)} \mathrm{e}^{c_{5} \sqrt{k \log k}}
$$

Let $K:=\log _{2} y+u$. It can be shown (see [1] and use a bound similar to [9, (7.44)]) that the contribution to $L_{k, q}$ of those integers $n$ such that $\omega(n)>C K$ is negligible, and we omit the details. Eventually, we arrive at

$$
L_{k, q} \ll \mathrm{e}^{c_{5} \sqrt{K \log K}} u^{c_{6} \sqrt{K / \log K}} \zeta(\alpha, y) \mathrm{e}^{c_{0} u / \log 2 u} \ll \zeta(\alpha, y) \mathrm{e}^{c_{7} \sqrt{\log _{2} y \log _{3} y}+O(u / \log 2 u)},
$$

and so

$$
\sum_{n \in S(x, y)} \frac{\mu(n)^{2} \Delta(n)}{n^{\beta}} \ll \zeta(\alpha, y) \mathrm{e}^{c \sqrt{\left(\log _{2} y\right) \log _{3} y}+O(u / \log 2 u)}
$$

Employing the representation $n=m r^{2}, \mu(m)^{2}=1$, we obtain that the same bound holds for

$$
\sum_{n \in S(x, y)} \frac{\Delta(n)}{n^{\beta}}
$$

This is the key to our upper bound for $D(x, y):=\sum_{n \in S(x, y)} \Delta(n)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(x, y) \log x-\int_{1}^{x} \frac{D(t, y)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t & =\sum_{n \in S(x, y)} \Delta(n) \log n \leqslant \sum_{\substack{m \nu^{\nu} \leqslant x \\
P^{+}(m p) \leqslant y}} \Delta(m)(\nu+1) \log p^{\nu} \\
& \ll y D\left(\frac{x}{y}, y\right)+\sum_{\substack{x / y<n \leqslant x \\
P^{+}(n) \leqslant y}} \frac{x \Delta(n)}{n}+\sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\
P^{+}(n) \leqslant y}} \Delta(n) \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The trivial bound

$$
D(x, y) \leqslant \sum_{n \in S(x, y)} \tau(n) \ll x \varrho_{2}(u) \log y
$$

that holds in $H_{\varepsilon}$ (see [19, Cor. 2.3]), furnishes

$$
\int_{1}^{x} \frac{D(t, y)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t \ll x \varrho_{2}(u) \log y, \quad y D(x / y, y) \ll x \varrho_{2}(u-1) \log y
$$

Moreover, in the same region, for $y$ sufficiently large, $\beta>1 / 2$

$$
\sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\ P^{+}(n) \leqslant y}} \Delta(n) \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}}+\sum_{\substack{x / y<n \leqslant x \\ P^{+}(n) \leqslant y}} \frac{x \Delta(n)}{n} \ll x^{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{\xi(u / 2)} \sum_{n \in S(x, y)} \frac{\Delta(n)}{n^{\beta}} .
$$

Collecting these estimates, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(x, y) & \ll x \frac{\varrho_{2}(u)}{u}+x \varrho_{2}(u) \log 2 u+\frac{x^{\beta} \zeta(\alpha, y) \mathrm{e}^{c \sqrt{\left(\log _{2} y\right) \log _{3} y}+O(u / \log 2 u)}}{\log x} \\
& \ll \Psi(x, y) 2^{u+O(u / \log 2 u)} \mathrm{e}^{c \sqrt{\log _{2} y \log _{3} y}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (1.9), (1.6), the estimate

$$
\frac{x^{\beta} \zeta(\alpha, y)}{\log x} \asymp \Psi(x, y) 2^{u+O(u / \log u)},
$$

which follows from (2.1), (2.4) and

$$
(\beta-\alpha) \log x=-u \int_{u / 2}^{u} \xi^{\prime}(t) d t+O(1)=u \log 2+O\left(\frac{u}{\log u}\right) .
$$

This concludes the proof of the upper bound included in (1.14).

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.1(ii)

We retain notation $g(t)$ from (1.12), $\varepsilon_{y}$ from (1.13), define $\eta_{y}:=\left(\log _{2} y\right) / \log y$. Since $\max (1,\lfloor\tau(n) / \log n\rfloor) \leqslant \Delta(n) \leqslant \tau(n)$ holds for all $n \geqslant 1$ (see e.g. [9, th. 60, (6.7)]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Psi(x, y ; \tau)}{2 \Psi(x, y) \log x} \leqslant \mathfrak{S}(x, y) \leqslant \frac{\Psi(x, y ; \tau)}{\Psi(x, y)} \quad(x \geqslant y \geqslant 2) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by [18, th. 1.2] and $[18,(1.6)]$, we have, with $\lambda:=y / \log x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Psi(x, y ; \tau)}{\Psi(x, y)} \asymp \zeta(\alpha, y) \mathrm{e}^{-u h(\lambda)\left\{1+O\left(\varepsilon_{y}\right)\right\} \quad(x \geqslant y \geqslant 2), ~} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have put

$$
h(t):=t \log 4-(1+2 t) \log \left(\frac{1+2 t}{1+t}\right)=t \log \left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)-g(t) \quad(t \geqslant 0)
$$

and, for the purpose of further reference, note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u h(\lambda) \sim(1-\log 2) u \quad(u \rightarrow \infty, \lambda \rightarrow \infty), \quad u h(\lambda) \asymp \bar{u} \quad(x \geqslant y \geqslant 2) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(\alpha, y)=\mathrm{e}^{\lambda u \log (1+1 / \lambda)\left\{1+O\left(\varepsilon_{y}+1 / \log 2 u\right)\right\}} \quad\left(2 \leqslant y \leqslant x^{1 /\left(2 \log _{2} x \log _{3} x\right)}\right) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g(\lambda) u \gg \bar{u}$ for $x \geqslant y \geqslant 2$, we see that (1.15) follows from (5.1) and (5.4) in any subregion where $\bar{u}\left(\varepsilon_{y}+1 / \log 2 u\right) \gg \log _{2} x$ : the condition above corresponds to this requirement when $y$ is large. However, for bounded $y$, we have $\Psi(x, y ; \tau) / \Psi(x, y) \asymp(\log x)^{\pi(y)}$, and so (1.15) holds trivially. Therefore, we may assume in the sequel that $y$ is sufficiently large.

Let us now embark on the proof of (5.4).
Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(\alpha, y)=\zeta(1, y) \exp \left\{\int_{\alpha}^{1} \varphi_{y}(\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma\right\} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the estimate for $\varphi_{y}(\sigma)$ given in [11, lemma 13], we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\alpha}^{1} \varphi_{y}(\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma=\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right)\right\} \int_{\alpha}^{1} \frac{y^{1-\sigma}-1}{(1-\sigma)\left(1-y^{-\sigma}\right)} \mathrm{d} \sigma . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inspection of the proof of (2.2) in [11, pp. 285-7], we see that, for a suitable constant $C$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x, y)=1-\frac{\xi(u)}{\log y}+O\left(\frac{1}{(\log y)^{2}}\right) \quad\left(C(\log x)\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{3}<y \leqslant x\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies $y^{\alpha} \gg y \mathrm{e}^{-\xi(u)} \gg \log y$ in the same domain, so the contribution of the term $1-y^{-\sigma}$ in (5.6) is absorbed by the error term. The change of variables defined by $(1-\sigma) \log y=\xi(t)$ then provides, in view of (5.7) and (1.7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\alpha}^{1} \varphi_{y}(\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma & =\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right)\right\} \int_{1}^{u} t \xi^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =u+\frac{u}{\log u}+O\left(\frac{u}{\log y}\right)=u+O\left(\frac{u}{\log 2 u}+\varepsilon_{y} u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, in the domain of (5.7),

$$
u \lambda \log \left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)=u+O\left(\frac{u}{\log 2 u}\right)
$$

we obtain (5.4) in the range $C(\log x)\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{3}<y \leqslant x^{1 /\left(2 \log _{2} x \log _{3} x\right)}$. Indeed the factor $\zeta(1, y) \asymp$ $\log y$ appearing in (5.5) is absorbed by the error term.

When $2 \leqslant y \leqslant C(\log x)\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{3}$, we put $t=y^{\sigma}$ in (5.6) to get

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{1} \varphi_{y}(\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma=\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right)\right\} \int_{y^{\alpha}}^{y} \frac{y / t-1}{(t-1) \log (y / t)} \mathrm{d} t .
$$

Note that (2.2) now implies $\alpha \log y \ll \log _{2} 2 y$. Put $T:=(\log y)^{K}$, where $K$ is so large so that $T>y^{\alpha}$. The contribution of the interval $[T, y]$ to the above integral is

$$
\ll \int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{y}{t^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \ll \frac{y}{(\log y)^{K}},
$$

where we used the bound $\mathrm{e}^{v}-1 \ll v \mathrm{e}^{v}(v \geqslant 0)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{y^{\alpha}}^{T} \frac{y / t-1}{(t-1) \log (y / t)} \mathrm{d} t & =\left\{1+O\left(\frac{\log _{2} y}{\log y}\right)\right\} \frac{y}{\log y} \int_{y^{\alpha}}^{T} \frac{1}{t(t-1)} \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\left\{1+O\left(\eta_{y}\right)\right\} \frac{y}{\log y} \log \left(\frac{1-1 / T}{1-1 / y^{\alpha}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$>$ From [11, (7.18)], it follows that

$$
\log \left(\frac{1}{1-y^{-\alpha}}\right)=\log \left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\left\{1+O\left(\frac{\log _{2} y}{\log y}\right)\right\} \quad\left(2 \leqslant y \leqslant C(\log x)\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{3}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\alpha}^{1} \varphi_{y}(\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma & =\left\{1+O\left(\eta_{y}\right)\right\} \frac{y}{\log y} \log \left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)+O\left(\frac{y}{(\log y)^{K}}\right) \\
& =\left\{1+O\left(\eta_{y}\right)\right\} u \lambda \log \left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This establishes (5.4) in the complementary range $2 \leqslant y \leqslant C(\log x)\left(\log _{2} x\right)^{3}$.
This completes the proof of theorem 1.1(ii).
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