

VaRank: a simple and powerful tool for ranking genetic variants

Véronique Geoffroy, Cécile Pizot, Claire Redin, Amélie Piton, Nasim Vasli, Corinne Stoetzel, André Blavier, Jocelyn Laporte, Jean Muller

To cite this version:

Véronique Geoffroy, Cécile Pizot, Claire Redin, Amélie Piton, Nasim Vasli, et al.. VaRank: a simple and powerful tool for ranking genetic variants. PeerJ, 2015, 3, pp.e796. $10.7717/$ peerj.796. hal-04542563

HAL Id: hal-04542563 <https://hal.science/hal-04542563>

Submitted on 5 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Peer.

VaRank: a simple and powerful tool for ranking genetic variants

Véronique Geoffroy^{1,2}, Cécile Pizot², Claire Redin², Amélie Piton^{2,3}, Nasim Vasli**²** , Corinne Stoetzel**¹** , Andre Blavier ´ **4** , Jocelyn Laporte**²** and Jean Muller**2,3,5**

- ¹ Laboratoire de Génétique médicale, UMR_S INSERM U1112, IGMA, Faculté de Médecine FMTS, Universite de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France ´
- ² IGBMC, CNRS UMR 7104/INSERM U964/Université de Strasbourg, Illkirch Cedex, France
- ³ Laboratoire de Diagnostic Génétique, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg Cedex, France
- **4** Interactive Biosoftware, Rouen, France
- **⁵** Laboratoire ICUBE, UMR CNRS 7357, LBGI, Universite de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France ´

ABSTRACT

Background. Most genetic disorders are caused by single nucleotide variations (SNVs) or small insertion/deletions (indels). High throughput sequencing has broadened the catalogue of human variation, including common polymorphisms, rare variations or disease causing mutations. However, identifying one variation among hundreds or thousands of others is still a complex task for biologists, geneticists and clinicians.

Results. We have developed VaRank, a command-line tool for the ranking of genetic variants detected by high-throughput sequencing. VaRank scores and prioritizes variants annotated either by Alamut Batch or SnpEff. A barcode allows users to quickly view the presence/absence of variants (with homozygote/heterozygote status) in analyzed samples. VaRank supports the commonly used VCF input format for variants analysis thus allowing it to be easily integrated into NGS bioinformatics analysis pipelines. VaRank has been successfully applied to disease-gene identification as well as to molecular diagnostics setup for several hundred patients. **Conclusions.** VaRank is implemented in Tcl/Tk, a scripting language which is platform-independent but has been tested only on Unix environment. The source code is available under the GNU GPL, and together with sample data and detailed documentation can be downloaded from [http://www.lbgi.fr/VaRank/.](http://www.lbgi.fr/VaRank/)

Jean Muller, jeanmuller@unistra.fr

[Academic editor](https://peerj.com/academic-boards/editors/) [Gerard Lazo](https://peerj.com/academic-boards/editors/)

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 16

Submitted 17 October 2014 Accepted 5 February 2015 Published 3 March 2015 Corresponding author

[DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.796) **[10.7717/peerj.796](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.796)**

Copyright 2015 Geoffroy et al.

[Distributed under](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

OPEN ACCESS

Subjects Bioinformatics, Genetics, Genomics

Keywords Next generation sequencing, Variant ranking, Human genetics, Molecular diagnostic, Mutation detection, Annotation, Software, Barcode

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, high throughput sequencing has generated thousands of new genomes from various species across the tree of life and millions of genetic variants. Especially in the field of human genetics, targeted or whole exome and genome sequencing are becoming standard assays (*Ng et al., 2010*; *Ng et al., 2009*; *Saunders et al., 2012*) to identify causal single-nucleotide variations (SNVs) as well as short insertions/deletions (indels)

in patients with Mendelian diseases, or variants associated to increased disease risk (*Cirulli & Goldstein, 2010*; *Manolio et al., 2009*).

The classical data workflow in next generation sequencing includes several bioinformatics steps from the raw sequencing data analysis which transforms the signal from the sequencers (e.g., fluorescence, pH ...) to raw sequences that are further aligned to the reference genome. Sequence differences from the reference genome (variants) are then detected aiming at identifying causal mutation ($Fig. 1$). Although sequencing limitations are overcome with increasing instrument capacity (*Glenn, 2011* and [http://](http://www.molecularecologist.com/next-gen-fieldguide-2014/) [www.molecularecologist.com/next-gen-fieldguide-2014/\)](http://www.molecularecologist.com/next-gen-fieldguide-2014/), the development of bioinformatics solutions for variant prioritization remains a great challenge. The focus on high throughput sequencing resulted in the development of a variety of tools, protocols and applications including variant filtering and ranking (for a review see *Bao et al., 2014*). Recent approaches include the use of additional data such as haploinsufficiency prediction and phenotype information (*Sifrim et al., 2013*), cross species phenotype information (*Robinson et al., 2014*) or interaction data (*Smedley et al., 2014*) to enhance the analysis. However, molecular biologists still require simple tools in the variant filtering and ranking process to identify causal mutations among a large pool of rare variants existing in each individual.

Here we propose a new simple and powerful tool named VaRank [\(http://www.lbgi.](http://www.lbgi.fr/VaRank) [fr/VaRank\)](http://www.lbgi.fr/VaRank) for human variant ranking which provides a comprehensive workflow for annotating and ranking SNVs and indels. Four modules create the strength of this workflow (Fig. 2): (i) Data integration with variant call quality summary, to filter out false positive calls, depending on the sequencing technology and the analysis pipeline; (ii) Variant annotation to integrate genetic and predictive information (functional impact, putative effects in protein coding regions, population frequency, phenotypic features. . .) from different sources, using HGVS nomenclature (*Taschner & den Dunnen, 2011*); (iii) Presence/absence of variants (with homozygote/heterozygote status) within all samples represented in a barcode, to search for recurrence between families or group of individuals and (iv) Prioritization, to score and rank variants according to their predicted pathogenic status.

VaRank can substantially reduce the number of potential causal variants to be manually inspected for further studies and increase the efficiency of sequence analysis for researchers and clinicians. VaRank has been already successfully applied in the field of human genetics, first in research including identification of new genes responsible for rare human disease and second in diagnostics to identify mutation in known human disease implicated genes.

Figure 2 VaRank's workflow. The work flow is separated into 4 major steps, (i) Sequencing data from a single or from multiple VCF files are integrated including variant call quality summary, (ii) Annotation of each variant including genetic and predictive information (functional impact, putative effects in protein coding regions, population frequency, phenotypic features. . .) from different sources. The annotation can either be done by Alamut Batch or SnpEff. (iii) Presence/absence of variants (with homozygote/heterozygote status) within all samples represented in a barcode, and (iv) Prioritization, to score and rank variants according to their predicted pathogenic status. The final output files are available for each samples.

In this work we describe VaRank as a tool and how it is implemented but also present how it was used in several real datasets.

IMPLEMENTATION

VaRank is written in Tcl/Tk and runs on all Unix platforms with a standard Tcl/Tk 8.5 installation and one of the compatible annotation engines (Alamut Batch, a commercial software developed by Interactive Biosoftware, Rouen, France, or SnpEff (*Cingolani et al., 2012*)). PolyPhen-2 (*Adzhubei et al., 2010*) can also be installed locally and results can be automatically integrated into VaRank.

Input and output

To run VaRank, the user specifies the input files and chosen options with a single command. In case of wrong commands, VaRank will print a description of the options and defaults settings.

VaRank reads SNV and indel variant descriptions from a VCF file (variant call format *Danecek et al., 2011*), the reference format for genomic variants. The VCF file can be gzip compressed. The program can take any combination of a single VCF file with multiple patients and/or multiple VCF files with single patient's data as input (Fig. 2).

Each variant is checked for consistency (genotype, depth of coverage, variant call especially for indels) and VaRank prints warnings if appropriate (i.e., patients redundancy based on the sample identifiers in the VCFs), information from each analysis step (including status and running time), as well as statistics on the submitted data such as total number of variants and number of patients.

The output from VaRank is presented in an easily-accessible tab-separated file that can be opened in any spreadsheet program. Output files report one variant per line. Nevertheless, two types of rankings are provided: one presenting each variant independently, ordered from most likely pathogenic to least likely pathogenic (files with "byVar" suffix), and another ranking genes from most likely causative to least likely causative (files with "byGene" suffix). For the latter, each gene is scored along two criteria: (i) based on its homozygous most pathogenic variant, or (ii) based on its first two heterozygous most pathogenic variants. In order to make sure that no variants are overlooked by the user (by only displaying the most pathogenic variants) all other gene variants are also reported. The "byVar" file is more appropriate for analyzing patient's data under the dominant or pseudominant hypothesis, while the "byGene" file is more appropriate for recessive diseases (especially for compound heterozygous cases).

Each of these output files is also available in two versions: one contains all submitted variants ("AllVariants" files) while the other one is prefiltered ("filteredVariants" files). The default filters remove variants: (i) called with a read depth \leq = 10, (ii) with a supporting read count ϵ = 10, (iii) with a ratio of supporting reads ϵ = 15%, (iv) with a validated status annotation in the dbSNP (*Sherry et al., 2001*) database (based on at least two supporting evidences) that are not pathogenic (based on the ClinicalSignificance field), and (v) with an allele frequency $>1\%$ (extracted from the dbSNP database or the Exome Variant Server). All these parameters can be modified by the user.

Finally, a short report of counts (homozygous, heterozygous and total counts) for each of the variant categories (5′ and 3′ UTR, upstream, downstream, frameshift, in-frame, nonsense, splice site, start loss, stop loss, missense, synonymous, intronic, not annotated) is generated for each sample and for the whole submitted dataset.

Variant annotation

The annotation of variants is performed by the annotation engine (Fig. 2). It is composed of several parts including the main annotation software, which can be either Alamut Batch or SnpEff, the barcode annotation (see Fig. 3 and corresponding section) and optionally PolyPhen-2 predictions. As an example, Alamut Batch collects among others (Table 2): the gene symbol, the OMIM ID, the transcript ID (i.e., RefSeq), the protein IDs (RefSeq and UniProt), the HGVS nomenclature (genomic, cDNA and proteic), information from public variation databases such as dbSNP and the Exome Variant Server

Figure 3 Barcode. (A) The barcode represents the SNV's zygosity status in an ordered list of samples. Samples that are homozygous for the reference allele are represented using "0," heterozygous variants are represented using "1" and homozygous variants are represented with "2." (B) Selected annotations from the VaRank output representing 3 variants from a single patient. The barcode gives an overview of the presence/absence of one variant in all other patients analyzed. The family barcode gives a user ordered view of the presence/absence of one variant in a selection of patients. Together with this, the total counts of alleles are given in the last 4 columns. (C) Example of pedigrees and barcodes that can be specifically used in family analyses such as trio exome sequencing. On the left, homozygous mutations in a consanguineous family could be highlighted by the "121" barcode indicating homozygous variants ("2") in the proband inherited from heterozygous parents ("1"). On the right de novo variants in the proband could be highlighted with the proposed barcode "010."

(EVS, [http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/\)](http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/) and predicted effects at both nucleotide and protein levels. When available, known mutations are highlighted by extracting either reported SNVs/indels flagged as "probably-pathogenic"/"pathogenic" in the field "Clinical significance" introduced since dbSNP134 or using the HGMD database (*Stenson et al., 2014*).

The choice of transcript is a critical task that can lead to misannotation (*McCarthy et al., 2014*). To avoid underestimating variant effects, they are annotated on all transcripts available (i.e., one variant can be either intronic or exonic depending on the isoform) and the most pathogenic effect is retained. Given that VaRank is compatible with 2 annotation software there are small differences. Using Alamut Batch, each variant is scored for each transcript. By default we report the longest transcript for each gene except if any variation is more pathogenic in another transcript. In the case of SnpEff, the annotations are already sorted from the most to the least pathogenic.

In order to further enrich the annotation for each variant and each gene, VaRank can integrate (using the option -extann) external annotations provided by the user as a tab separated file. One could for instance associate private expression data or transmission mode for each gene of interest.

Annotating and analyzing several individuals together can be very computationally effective since most of the variants are common polymorphisms. As an example, looking at 180 patients sequenced for 217 genes, a total of 204,625 variations could be identified where only 9,378 were non redundant. In this case, the separate annotation of each patient's variant set would have required \sim 20× times the computational cost of the combined analysis. Although the total number of non-redundant variants does not

of the number of variants identified in each sample in a cohort of 180 patients sequenced for 217 genes. The dark line represents the cumulative number of non-redundant (NR) variants in the same dataset due to each new sample added.

plateau, each new sample adds only a very limited number of new variants to the analysis (Fig. 4).

Variant ranking

The observed variants (SNVs/indels) can be characterized at different levels (DNA, RNA and protein levels) that VaRank aims at summarizing into a single score. This score is then used to rank variants based on their predicted pathogenicity and thus accelerates identification of relevant ones by biologists. The aim of this score is not to provide yet another score to assess the pathogenicity of each variant but a rationale to present the most relevant variants according to the biologist common use and interpretation rules. Thus, the relative weights of each score components were determined experimentally to best separate categories. VaRank uses the variation type (i.e., substitution, deletion, insertion, duplication) and the coding effect to score. The VaRank scoring is categorized from the most likely to the less likely pathogenic state as follows (score in parenthesis): known mutation (110), nonsense (100), frameshift (100), start loss (80), stop loss (80), missense (50) , in-frame (40) and synonymous coding (10) (Table 1).

In addition when using Alamut Batch, each variant is assessed for any potential effect on the nearest splice site. Following the guidelines from *Houdayer et al. (2012)* and our own tests (data not shown), we selected three assessment programs: MaxEntScan (*Yeo & Burge, 2004*), NNSplice (*Reese et al., 1997*) and Splice Site Finder (based on *Shapiro & Senapathy, 1987*). A variant is considered to affect splicing when at least two out of the three programs indicate a significant score change between the wild type and the mutated sequences (respectively −10%, −5% and −15%). A VaRank score is then attributed depending on the following three splice site categories (score into parenthesis): (i) essential splice site: two

Table 1 Scoring scheme description. Scores in bold reflect score values after the adjustment score is applied.

Notes.

^a Each variant score is adjusted $(+5)$ if high conservation at the genomic level is observed (phastCons cutoff >0.95).

 b Missense scores are adjusted (+5) for each deleterious prediction (SIFT and/or PPH2).</sup>

first intronic bases up- or downstream the exon (90), (ii) intron-exon boundary: donor site from -3 to $+6$, acceptor site from -12 to $+2$ (70) and (iii) deep intronic changes (25). It should be noted that a SNV affecting the first or last base of an exon can either have a coding effect as a missense or an effect on splicing. VaRank reports the most pathogenic of these two possible effects.

If appropriate the variant score is further adjusted using additional information as nucleotide-level conservation (phastCons *Siepel et al., 2005*) and protein-level pathogenicity predictions (SIFT and PolyPhen-2) (*Adzhubei et al., 2010*; *Kumar, Henikoff* $\&$ *Ng, 2009*) that are used to compute an adjustment score (0 or +5) to be added to the relevant category (Table 1).

Barcode

Comparing variations of several individuals, related and/or unrelated, affected or not, has proven to be a very effective strategy for distinguishing polymorphisms from variants causing or increasing the likelihood of disease (*Ng et al., 2010*; *Ng et al., 2009*). In order to take advantage of this, VaRank introduces a barcode that allows a quick overview of the presence/absence status of each variant within all samples and their zygosity status ("0" representing homozygous wild type, "1" heterozygous and "2" homozygous for the variant) (Fig. 3A). In Fig. 3B, three variants are reported for one specific patient out of a cohort of 32 samples analyzed together. For example, the third variation c.601G>A in *TTC21B* is heterozygous for this patient. In light of the presented barcode, one can

<u> 1980 - Johann Barbara, martxa amerikan personal (h. 1980).</u>

(*continued on next page*)

<u> 1980 - Johann Barn, marwolaethau a bhann an t-Amhain Aonaich an t-Amhain Aonaich an t-Amhain Aonaich an t-Amh</u>

(*continued on next page*)

Table 2 (*continued*)

immediately notice that the variant is also present in 28 other samples from the cohort, of which in total 12 are homozygous and 17 heterozygous.

In order to allow inheritance analysis, a second barcode (the family barcode) representing only user selected samples can be defined. As an example in trio exome sequencing, we have represented two typical pedigrees (Figs. $3B$ and $3C$), one consanguineous family on the left and one sporadic case on the right. In the case of consanguinity, homozygous mutations are often the cause of the disease in the family. This could be highlighted by selecting the "121" barcode indicating homozygous variants ("2") in the proband inherited from heterozygous parents ("1"). In the sporadic case, several hypotheses could be tested including a *de novo* variant which could be highlighted using the barcode "010."

Together with the barcode, simple counts on the individuals (homozygous, heterozygous and total allelic counts) are also available and can easily be used to further filter variants. Indeed, in rare diseases such as the Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS, OMIM# 209900), mutations are often private (i.e., one mutation found only in one family) (*Muller et al., 2010*) meaning that their frequency in the population is very low. Counts can be used to estimate the frequency of a known variant in the user cohort and add significant value to variants not yet reported in any public variant database but for which a frequency can be estimated based on the user's cohort. As an example, looking at 2,888 non redundant SNVs observed in 107 patients with moderate to severe intellectual disability, 979 did not have any frequency information in the dbSNP and EVS databases. Such information could be directly retrieved from the VaRank output.

The observed frequency of variants in public databases but also in private cohorts can be a powerful filtering strategy. Using the same data (Fig. 3B), variant c.7911dup in *ALMS1* is present only once in the cohort of patients at the homozygous state and is very likely the disease causing mutation in this patient.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

VaRank was successfully applied in various human genetics studies both in diagnostics and research. In total, more than 800 patients from several datasets of increasing complexity including the Cockayne syndrome (10 genes tested, manuscript in preparation), Bardet-Biedl syndrome (30 genes (*Redin et al., 2012*)), ataxias (60 genes), leucodystrophies (70 genes, manuscript in preparation), congenital myopathies (142 genes (*Vasli et al., 2012*) and 275 genes, manuscript in preparation), intellectual disability (217 genes,

Redin et al., 2014) and exome sequencing (*Scheidecker et al., 2014*) have been analyzed to highlight potential pathogenic variants.

In the following sections, we will provide insight into several datasets analyzed by VaRank and that were used to validate the tool and to highlight its effectiveness. All the input files and output files from the following datasets are available online [\(www.lbgi.fr/](http://www.lbgi.fr/VaRank) [VaRank\)](http://www.lbgi.fr/VaRank).

Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS) dataset

The Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS; OMIM# 209900) is a pleiotropic recessive disorder, part of the ciliopathies, characterized by extensive genetic heterogeneity counting to date 19 genes (*Aldahmesh et al., 2014*; *Scheidecker et al., 2014*). We applied targeted highthroughput sequencing for 30 ciliopathy related genes to 52 patients with clinical features compatible with BBS (*Redin et al., 2012*). VaRank was used to annotate and rank the variants identified in those patients. Thirty-two cases could be solved by this approach leading to frameshift, missense and splice site mutations all validated by Sanger sequencing (we excluded Copy Number Variations). Sequencing data from the 32 positive samples have been reanalyzed using Alamut Batch version 1.1.11 and PolyPhen-2 v2.2.2 installed on our local servers. A total of 784 non redundant variants have been annotated resulting on average into 167 private variants per sample. We extracted the validated mutations and highlighted the ranking position in the output files (Table 3). In 30/32 samples, mutations were ranked first, while in the remaining ones they were present in the top five among ∼170 variants per patient. This result clearly shows the effectiveness of the ranking in such situation.

As mentioned in the original paper, one variant is always ranked in first position and represents a false positive described in *BBS2* as a third allele mutation according to the triallelic hypothesis (NM 031885.3:c.209G>A, rs4784677). It is flagged as pathogenic in dbSNP, but it is too frequent to be a fully penetrant mutation according to the observed frequency in the Exome Variant Server (EVS) (0.77%). Interestingly, using the barcode this variant is very easily filtered out since it is present in almost all patients in our cohort (31/32 samples).

Intellectual disability (ID) dataset

Intellectual disability is a common neurodevelopmental disorder (∼2% of children and adolescents) (*Ellison, Rosenfeld & Shaffer, 2013*) that can be initiated by either environmental, genetic or multifactorial causes. The monogenic forms are very heterogeneous genetically, with several hundred genes identified so far. We present here the results of 203 patients affected with moderate to severe intellectual disability.

A first cohort of 107 patients was already analyzed by VaRank (*Redin et al., 2014*) and led to the identification of 25 causal mutations (Table 4A). A second cohort of 96 additional patients is reported here for which 12 causative mutations could be identified (Table 4B). The identified mutations were all validated by Sanger sequencing (we excluded pathogenic CNV). High-throughput sequencing data of protein-coding exons of 217 genes (first cohort) and 275 genes (second cohort) (either on the X-chromosome or associated to autosomal dominant or recessive forms of ID) were collected for the 25 positive known

<mark>Table 3 Analysis of 32 patients with Bardet-Biedl syndrome.</mark> From the BBS dataset, mutations and ranking for 32 patients sequenced for 30 genes. The ranking for each mutation has been obtained from the "filteredVariants" output. Mutations in italics are predicted to ^affect splicing.

Notes.

^a The second mutation of the patient, a complete heterozygous deletion of exon 8 and 9 (c.(592-?)_(830+?)del) is a pathogenic CNV that cannot be ranked by VaRank.

b Parent of BBS patients, ^a single heterozygous mutations is expected.

 $\rm ^c$ This validated mutation was filtered out in the "filteredVariants" results due to low sequencing quality (only 7 reads supported the variant) but ranked at the second position in the non-filtered results.

Table 4 Analysis of 203 patients with intellectual disability. (A) Mutations and ranking in the 25 positive patients from the 107 patients sequenced for 217 genes (*Redin et al., 2014*). (B) Mutations and ranking from 12 novel positive patients with ID identified in an additional cohort of 96 patients screened for 275 genes. Patients are sorted according to the mode of inheritance and the identified gene. Known mutations (from the literature) are highlighted in bold. Ranking into parenthesis highlights the ranking of the variations with a similar score. Mode of inheritance include: AD, autosomic dominant; AR, autosomic recessive; XL, X-linked; XLD, dominant on the X chromosome.

(B)

Notes.

^a Known mutation not annotated as pathogenic in dbSNP.

patients and the 96 additional ones. A total of 8,388 non redundant variants have been reannotated by VaRank using Alamut Batch version 1.1.11 and PolyPhen-2 v2.2.2, resulting on average into $1,129 \ (\pm 189)$ private variants per sample. Each sample has been reanalyzed and a summary of the ranking results using the default filtration strategy for this dataset defined by the biologists (frequency in dbSNP or EVS >1% and presence in \lt 3 samples) is available in Table 4. Among the 37 patients with causative mutations identified almost all were ranked first or within the top five among 1,129 variants on average demonstrating the usefulness of this strategy.

As an example, in a boy with autism spectrum disorder, attention deficit and autoaggressive behavior, one mutation (c.797 798delinsTT, p.C266F) has been observed in the *MAOA* gene. A total of 688 variants have been annotated by VaRank and the mutation described for this patient could be ranked without filtering at position 8. When the usual filters are applied (frequency in dbSNP or $EVS > 1\%$), the mutation is ranked at the first position in the "filteredVariants.rankingByVar.txt" file. This result was the first mutation report in the *MAOA* gene since 20 years (*Piton et al., 2014*).

Whole Exome Sequencing (WES) dataset

WES of a single patient with a clear BBS phenotype from a consanguineous Italian family (*Scheidecker et al., 2014*) revealed for the first time mutations in the *BBIP1* gene counting since as the 18th BBS gene. A total of 50,569 non redundant variants have been annotated by VaRank using Alamut Batch version 1.1.11 and PolyPhen-2 v2.2.2.

The nonsense mutation c.173T>G (p.Leu58*) in *BBIP1* has been ranked at the 50th position/50569 in the "allVariants.rankingByVar" file. Applying the default filtration strategy (i.e., frequency in dbSNP or EVS $>1\%$ and sequence quality filters) changed the ranking to the 6th position/4,908 ("filteredVariants.rankingByVar" file). This exome sequencing dataset was analyzed together with 29 other exomes from unrelated patients and pathologies for a BBS patient for which we could further filter variations using for example the barcode. Given that the most frequent known disease causing mutation in BBS is the c.1169T>G (p.M390R) mutation in *BBS1* (*Mykytyn et al., 2002*), found in EVS at the frequency of 26/12,694 (0.2%) at the heterozygous state, we used the barcode statistics to further reduce the total number of variations. Being very tolerant, we kept variants present less than four times at the heterozygous state or two times at the homozygous state out of 29 samples. The final ranking placed the mutation at the forth position (and first homozygous) out of 3,493 remaining variants.

The integration of these three datasets of increasing complexity (BBS with 30 genes consolidated on 188 patients, ID with more than 200 genes in 121 patients and the WES data consolidated for 35 samples) highlights major directions for further developments. Considering the distribution of the non-redundant variation by functional category, one can first observe, despite a different gene composition, that the distribution of the categories is similar among the datasets (Fig. 5). The vast majority of the identified and annotated variations are either intronic or synonymous. Those categories contain known variations in dbSNP (∼85% have an rs#) and are either polymorphisms or rare variant.

■ intronic ■ 5'UTR ■ synonymous ■ missense ■ in-frame ■ truncating ■ 3'UTR

Figure 5 Representation of the non-redundant variations by functional type in 3 datasets. The chart is built upon the Intellectual disability (ID) and Bardet-Biedl Syndrome (BBS) (consolidated from 188 patients addressed for BBS) datasets discussed in the Results section together with an enhanced exome dataset (35 exomes). The "truncating" category corresponds to frameshift, nonsense, stoploss and startloss.

Thus they are often considered as non-pathogenic. Nevertheless, some of these could potentially affect the correct splicing of the closest gene. Although efforts are being taken to enhance the predictions on splicing especially for the consensus sequences (*Houdayer et al., 2012*; *Jian, Boerwinkle & Liu, 2014*), little is done in more distant regions including enhancers sequences, branch point or even promoter regions. The 5' and 3' UTRs are also sources of variations that are often overlooked but contain important functional signals such as miRNA binding sites and polyadenylation signal (*Chatterjee & Pal, 2009*). Missenses are one of the major variations sources and also one of the more difficult to interpret. There is a high number of predictions methods and tools available aiming at predicting the pathogenicity of this category of variant but there is still improvement to be made (*Flanagan, Patch & Ellard, 2010*; *Hicks et al., 2011*; *Tavtigian et al., 2008*). Recent approaches aimed at combining knowledge based information such as structural

information for missense variants (*Luu et al., 2012*) or focusing only on orthologous sequences (*Wong & Zhang, 2014*). Other interesting annotation sources might be included as the assessment of non-frameshift indels (*Bermejo-Das-Neves et al., 2014*; *Hu & Ng, 2012*) and the consideration of CNVs to improve the decision-making. Those issues will be amplified using whole genome sequencing (WGS).

CONCLUSION

The rationale behind VaRank is to provide a simple yet powerful tool for biologists and researchers aiming to discover new human disease causing variants (i.e., mutations) from DNA sequencing projects. VaRank is a comprehensive workflow for annotating and ranking SNVs and indels that aims at collecting the major annotation sources. The program is currently compatible with two annotation software namely Alamut Batch and SnpEff. This could further expanded to other annotation solutions such as Annovar (*Wang, Li & Hakonarson, 2010*) or VEP (*McLaren et al., 2010*). It stands out from other solutions by being able to provide a summarized overview of the presence/absence status of each variant within all patients (e.g., barcode and family barcode) and allowing users to easily test several disease transmission hypothesis (recessive, dominant. . .). The barcode counts can serve as an internal frequency database in order to filter out known and unknown frequent variants together with annotation errors and recurrent sequencing errors. Moreover, a specific ranking for each gene is particularly appropriate in the case of recessive diseases. Finally, as a command line tool it can easily be integrated into existing bioinformatics pipelines and accelerate identification of causal variants. The manual and a tutorial together with changelogs and various use cases are available via our dedicated website at [http://www.lbgi.fr/VaRank.](http://www.lbgi.fr/VaRank)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Ngoc-Hoan Nguyen for his help in the bioinformatics setup, Osorio Lopes Abath Neto and Nathalie Drouot for their comments, our collaborators for validating our analysis (Valérie Biancalana, Nadège Calmels, Bénédicte Gérard) and all the clinical colleagues who addressed patients for diagnostic or research purpose.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This study was supported by grants and fellowships from Agence de Biomédecine, Fondation Jerome Lejeune, APLM, CREGEMES, INSERM and ANR-11-BSV1-026-01. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Agence de Biomedecine, Fondation Jerome Lejeune, APLM, CREGEMES, INSERM and ´ ANR-11-BSV1-026-01.

Competing Interests

Co-author Andre Blavier declares financial competing interest as a member of Interactive ´ Biosoftware, the company commercializing Alamut Batch.

Author Contributions

- Véronique Geoffroy and Jean Muller conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Cécile Pizot conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Claire Redin, Amelie Piton, Nasim Vasli and Corinne Stoetzel analyzed the data, ´ reviewed drafts of the paper.
- André Blavier and Jocelyn Laporte contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, reviewed drafts of the paper.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at [http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.796#supplemental-information) [10.7717/peerj.796#supplemental-information.](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.796#supplemental-information)

REFERENCES

- **Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, Kondrashov AS, Sunyaev SR. 2010.** A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. *Nature Methods* **7**:248–249 DOI [10.1038/nmeth0410-248.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth0410-248)
- **Aldahmesh MA, Li Y, Alhashem A, Anazi S, Alkuraya H, Hashem M, Awaji AA, Sogaty S, Alkharashi A, Alzahrani S, Al Hazzaa SA, Xiong Y, Kong S, Sun Z, Alkuraya FS. 2014.** IFT27, encoding a small GTPase component of IFT particles, is mutated in a consanguineous family with Bardet-Biedl syndrome. *Human Molecular Genetics* **23**:3307–3315 DOI [10.1093/hmg/ddu044.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddu044)
- **Bao R, Huang L, Andrade J, Tan W, Kibbe WA, Jiang H, Feng G. 2014.** Review of current methods, applications, and data management for the bioinformatics analysis of whole exome sequencing. *Cancer Informatics* **13**:67–82 DOI [10.4137/CIN.S13779.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4137/CIN.S13779)
- **Bermejo-Das-Neves C, Nguyen HN, Poch O, Thompson JD. 2014.** A comprehensive study of small non-frameshift insertions/deletions in proteins and prediction of their phenotypic effects by a machine learning method (KD4i). *BMC Bioinformatics* **15**:111 DOI [10.1186/1471-2105-15-111.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-15-111)
- Chatterjee S, Pal JK. 2009. Role of 5'- and 3'-untranslated regions of mRNAs in human diseases. *Biology of the Cell* **101**:251–262 DOI [10.1042/BC20080104.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/BC20080104)
- **Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang le L, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, Land SJ, Lu X, Ruden DM. 2012.** A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly (Austin)* **6**:80–92 DOI [10.4161/fly.19695.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/fly.19695)
- **Cirulli ET, Goldstein DB. 2010.** Uncovering the roles of rare variants in common disease through whole-genome sequencing. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **11**:415–425 DOI [10.1038/nrg2779.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrg2779)
- **Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE, Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, McVean G, Durbin R. 2011.** The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**:2156–2158 DOI [10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330)
- **Ellison JW, Rosenfeld JA, Shaffer LG. 2013.** Genetic basis of intellectual disability. *Annual Review of Medicine* **64**:441–450 DOI [10.1146/annurev-med-042711-140053.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-med-042711-140053)
- **Flanagan SE, Patch AM, Ellard S. 2010.** Using SIFT and PolyPhen to predict loss-of-function and gain-of-function mutations. *Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers* **14**:533–537 DOI [10.1089/gtmb.2010.0036.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/gtmb.2010.0036)
- **Glenn TC. 2011.** Field guide to next-generation DNA sequencers. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **11**:759–769 DOI [10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03024.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03024.x)
- **Hicks S, Wheeler DA, Plon SE, Kimmel M. 2011.** Prediction of missense mutation functionality depends on both the algorithm and sequence alignment employed. *Human Mutation* **32**:661–668 DOI [10.1002/humu.21490.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/humu.21490)
- **Houdayer C, Caux-Moncoutier V, Krieger S, Barrois M, Bonnet F, Bourdon V, Bronner M, Buisson M, Coulet F, Gaildrat P, Lefol C, Leone M, Mazoyer S, Muller D, Remenieras A, Revillion F, Rouleau E, Sokolowska J, Vert JP, Lidereau R, Soubrier F, Sobol H, Sevenet N, Bressac-de Paillerets B, Hardouin A, Tosi M, Sinilnikova OM, Stoppa-Lyonnet D. 2012.** Guidelines for splicing analysis in molecular diagnosis derived from a set of 327 combined in silico/in vitro studies on BRCA1 and BRCA2 variants. *Human Mutation* **33**:1228–1238 DOI [10.1002/humu.22101.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/humu.22101)
- **Hu J, Ng P. 2012.** Predicting the effects of frameshifting indels. *Genome Biology* **13**:R9 DOI [10.1186/gb-2012-13-2-r9.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2012-13-2-r9)
- **Jian X, Boerwinkle E, Liu X. 2014.** In silico prediction of splice-altering single nucleotide variants in the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Research* **42**:13534–13544 DOI [10.1093/nar/gku1206.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1206)
- **Kumar P, Henikoff S, Ng PC. 2009.** Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. *Nature Protocols* **4**:1073–1081 DOI [10.1038/nprot.2009.86.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2009.86)
- **Luu TD, Rusu A, Walter V, Linard B, Poidevin L, Ripp R, Moulinier L, Muller J, Raffelsberger W, Wicker N, Lecompte O, Thompson JD, Poch O, Nguyen H. 2012.** KD4v: comprehensible knowledge discovery system for missense variant. *Nucleic Acids Research* **40**:W71–W75 DOI [10.1093/nar/gks474.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks474)
- **Manolio TA, Collins FS, Cox NJ, Goldstein DB, Hindorff LA, Hunter DJ, McCarthy MI, Ramos EM, Cardon LR, Chakravarti A, Cho JH, Guttmacher AE, Kong A, Kruglyak L, Mardis E, Rotimi CN, Slatkin M, Valle D, Whittemore AS, Boehnke M, Clark AG, Eichler EE, Gibson G, Haines JL, Mackay TF, McCarroll SA, Visscher PM. 2009.** Finding the missing heritability of complex diseases. *Nature* **461**:747–753 DOI [10.1038/nature08494.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08494)
- **McCarthy D, Humburg P, Kanapin A, Rivas M, Gaulton K, Consortium TW, Cazier J-B, Donnelly P. 2014.** Choice of transcripts and software has a large effect on variant annotation. *Genome Medicine* **6**:26 DOI [10.1186/gm543.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gm543)
- **McLaren W, Pritchard B, Rios D, Chen Y, Flicek P, Cunningham F. 2010.** Deriving the consequences of genomic variants with the Ensembl API and SNP Effect Predictor. *Bioinformatics* **26**:2069–2070 DOI [10.1093/bioinformatics/btq330.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq330)
- **Muller J, Stoetzel C, Vincent MC, Leitch CC, Laurier V, Danse JM, Helle S, Marion V, Bennouna-Greene V, Vicaire S, Megarbane A, Kaplan J, Drouin-Garraud V, Hamdani M, Sigaudy S, Francannet C, Roume J, Bitoun P, Goldenberg A, Philip N, Odent S, Green J, Cossee M, Davis EE, Katsanis N, Bonneau D, Verloes A, Poch O, Mandel JL, Dollfus H.**

2010. Identification of 28 novel mutations in the Bardet-Biedl syndrome genes: the burden of private mutations in an extensively heterogeneous disease. *Human Genetics* **127**:583–593 DOI [10.1007/s00439-010-0804-9.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00439-010-0804-9)

- **Mykytyn K, Nishimura DY, Searby CC, Shastri M, Yen HJ, Beck JS, Braun T, Streb LM, Cornier AS, Cox GF, Fulton AB, Carmi R, Luleci G, Chandrasekharappa SC, Collins FS, Jacobson SG, Heckenlively JR, Weleber RG, Stone EM, Sheffield VC. 2002.** Identification of the gene (BBS1) most commonly involved in Bardet-Biedl syndrome, a complex human obesity syndrome. *Nature Genetics* **31**:435–438.
- **Ng SB, Buckingham KJ, Lee C, Bigham AW, Tabor HK, Dent KM, Huff CD, Shannon PT, Jabs EW, Nickerson DA, Shendure J, Bamshad MJ. 2010.** Exome sequencing identifies the cause of a mendelian disorder. *Nature Genetics* **42**:30–35 DOI [10.1038/ng.499.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.499)
- **Ng SB, Turner EH, Robertson PD, Flygare SD, Bigham AW, Lee C, Shaffer T, Wong M, Bhattacharjee A, Eichler EE, Bamshad M, Nickerson DA, Shendure J. 2009.** Targeted capture and massively parallel sequencing of 12 human exomes. *Nature* **461**:272–276 DOI [10.1038/nature08250.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08250)
- **Piton A, Poquet H, Redin C, Masurel A, Lauer J, Muller J, Thevenon J, Herenger Y, Chancenotte S, Bonnet M, Pinoit JM, Huet F, Thauvin-Robinet C, Jaeger AS, Le Gras S, Jost B, Gerard B, Peoc'h K, Launay JM, Faivre L, Mandel JL. 2014.** 20 ans apres: a second mutation in MAOA identified by targeted high-throughput sequencing in a family with altered behavior and cognition. *European Journal of Human Genetics : EJHG* **22**:776–783 DOI [10.1038/ejhg.2013.243.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2013.243)
- **Redin C, Gerard B, Lauer J, Herenger Y, Muller J, Quartier A, Masurel-Paulet A, Willems M, Lesca G, El-Chehadeh S, Le Gras S, Vicaire S, Philipps M, Dumas M, Geoffroy V, Feger C, Haumesser N, Alembik Y, Barth M, Bonneau D, Colin E, Dollfus H, Doray B, Delrue MA, Drouin-Garraud V, Flori E, Fradin M, Francannet C, Goldenberg A, Lumbroso S, Mathieu-Dramard M, Martin-Coignard D, Lacombe D, Morin G, Polge A, Sukno S, Thauvin-Robinet C, Thevenon J, Doco-Fenzy M, Genevieve D, Sarda P, Edery P, Isidor B, Jost B, Olivier-Faivre L, Mandel JL, Piton A. 2014.** Efficient strategy for the molecular diagnosis of intellectual disability using targeted high-throughput sequencing. *Journal of Medical Genetics* **51**:724–736 DOI [10.1136/jmedgenet-2014-102554.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2014-102554)
- **Redin C, Le Gras S, Mhamdi O, Geoffroy V, Stoetzel C, Vincent MC, Chiurazzi P, Lacombe D, Ouertani I, Petit F, Till M, Verloes A, Jost B, Chaabouni HB, Dollfus H, Mandel JL, Muller J. 2012.** Targeted high-throughput sequencing for diagnosis of genetically heterogeneous diseases: efficient mutation detection in Bardet-Biedl and Alstrom syndromes. *Journal of Medical Genetics* **49**:502–512 DOI [10.1136/jmedgenet-2012-100875.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2012-100875)
- **Reese MG, Eeckman FH, Kulp D, Haussler D. 1997.** Improved splice site detection in Genie. *Journal of Computational Biology* **4**:311–323 DOI [10.1089/cmb.1997.4.311.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/cmb.1997.4.311)
- **Robinson PN, Kohler S, Oellrich A, Sanger Mouse Genetics P, Wang K, Mungall CJ, Lewis SE, Washington N, Bauer S, Seelow D, Krawitz P, Gilissen C, Haendel M, Smedley D. 2014.** Improved exome prioritization of disease genes through cross-species phenotype comparison. *Genome Research* **24**:340–348 DOI [10.1101/gr.160325.113.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.160325.113)
- **Saunders CJ, Miller NA, Soden SE, Dinwiddie DL, Noll A, Alnadi NA, Andraws N, Patterson ML, Krivohlavek LA, Fellis J, Humphray S, Saffrey P, Kingsbury Z, Weir JC, Betley J, Grocock RJ, Margulies EH, Farrow EG, Artman M, Safina NP, Petrikin JE, Hall KP, Kingsmore SF. 2012.** Rapid whole-genome sequencing for genetic disease diagnosis in neonatal intensive care units. *Science Translational Medicine* **4**:154ra135 DOI [10.1126/scitranslmed.3004041.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3004041)
- **Scheidecker S, Etard C, Pierce NW, Geoffroy V, Schaefer E, Muller J, Chennen K, Flori E, Pelletier V, Poch O, Marion V, Stoetzel C, Strahle U, Nachury MV, Dollfus H. 2014.** Exome sequencing of Bardet-Biedl syndrome patient identifies a null mutation in the BBSome subunit BBIP1 (BBS18). *Journal of Medical Genetics* **51**:132–136 DOI [10.1136/jmedgenet-2013-101785.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2013-101785)
- **Shapiro MB, Senapathy P. 1987.** RNA splice junctions of different classes of eukaryotes: sequence statistics and functional implications in gene expression. *Nucleic Acids Research* **15**:7155–7174 DOI [10.1093/nar/15.17.7155.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/15.17.7155)
- **Sherry ST, Ward MH, Kholodov M, Baker J, Phan L, Smigielski EM, Sirotkin K. 2001.** dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation. *Nucleic Acids Research* **29**:308–311 DOI [10.1093/nar/29.1.308.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/29.1.308)
- **Siepel A, Bejerano G, Pedersen JS, Hinrichs AS, Hou M, Rosenbloom K, Clawson H, Spieth J, Hillier LW, Richards S, Weinstock GM, Wilson RK, Gibbs RA, Kent WJ, Miller W, Haussler D. 2005.** Evolutionarily conserved elements in vertebrate, insect, worm, and yeast genomes. *Genome Research* **15**:1034–1050 DOI [10.1101/gr.3715005.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.3715005)
- **Sifrim A, Popovic D, Tranchevent LC, Ardeshirdavani A, Sakai R, Konings P, Vermeesch JR, Aerts J, De Moor B, Moreau Y. 2013.** eXtasy: variant prioritization by genomic data fusion. *Nature Methods* **10**:1083–1084 DOI [10.1038/nmeth.2656.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2656)
- **Smedley D, Kohler S, Czeschik JC, Amberger J, Bocchini C, Hamosh A, Veldboer J, Zemojtel T, Robinson PN. 2014.** Walking the interactome for candidate prioritization in exome sequencing studies of Mendelian diseases. *Bioinformatics* **30**:3215–3222 DOI [10.1093/bioinformatics/btu508.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu508)
- **Stenson PD, Mort M, Ball EV, Shaw K, Phillips A, Cooper DN. 2014.** The Human Gene Mutation Database: building a comprehensive mutation repository for clinical and molecular genetics, diagnostic testing and personalized genomic medicine. *Human Genetics* **133**:1–9 DOI [10.1007/s00439-013-1358-4.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00439-013-1358-4)
- **Taschner PE, den Dunnen JT. 2011.** Describing structural changes by extending HGVS sequence variation nomenclature. *Human Mutation* **32**:507–511 DOI [10.1002/humu.21427.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/humu.21427)
- **Tavtigian SV, Greenblatt MS, Lesueur F, Byrnes GB, Variants IUG. 2008.** In silico analysis of missense substitutions using sequence-alignment based methods. *Human Mutation* **29**:1327–1336 DOI [10.1002/humu.20892.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/humu.20892)
- **Vasli N, Bohm J, Le Gras S, Muller J, Pizot C, Jost B, Echaniz-Laguna A, Laugel V, Tranchant C, Bernard R, Plewniak F, Vicaire S, Levy N, Chelly J, Mandel JL, Biancalana V, Laporte J. 2012.** Next generation sequencing for molecular diagnosis of neuromuscular diseases. *Acta Neuropathologica* **124**:273–283 DOI [10.1007/s00401-012-0982-8.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00401-012-0982-8)
- **Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. 2010.** ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Research* **38**:e164 DOI [10.1093/nar/gkq603.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq603)
- **Wong K-C, Zhang Z. 2014.** SNPdryad: predicting deleterious non-synonymous human SNPs using only orthologous protein sequences. *Bioinformatics* **30**:1112–1119 DOI [10.1093/bioinformatics/btt769.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt769)
- **Yeo G, Burge CB. 2004.** Maximum entropy modeling of short sequence motifs with applications to RNA splicing signals. *Journal of Computational Biology* **11**:377–394 DOI [10.1089/1066527041410418.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/1066527041410418)