



HAL
open science

An estimate for an equation with holderian condition and weight and boundary singularity

Samy Skander Bahoura

► **To cite this version:**

Samy Skander Bahoura. An estimate for an equation with holderian condition and weight and boundary singularity. 2024. hal-04540355

HAL Id: hal-04540355

<https://hal.science/hal-04540355>

Preprint submitted on 10 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

AN ESTIMATE FOR AN EQUATION WITH HOLDERIAN CONDITION AND WEIGHT AND BOUNDARY SINGULARITY.

SAMY SKANDER BAHOURA

ABSTRACT. We consider the following problem on open set Ω of \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_i = |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u_i = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here, $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and, $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

We assume, for example that:

$$\int_{\Omega} |x - x_0|^{+2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy \leq 16\pi - \epsilon, \quad \epsilon > 0$$

1) We give, a quantization analysis of the previous problem under the conditions:

$$\int_{\Omega} |x - x_0|^{+2\beta} e^{u_i} dy \leq C,$$

and,

$$0 \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$$

2) In addition to the previous hypothesis we assume that V_i s -holderian with $1/2 + \beta/2 < s \leq 1$, then we have a compactness result, namely:

$$\sup_{\Omega} u_i \leq c = c(b, C, A, s, \epsilon, \beta, x_0, \Omega).$$

where A is the holderian constant of V_i .

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

We set $\Delta = \partial_{11} + \partial_{22}$ on open set Ω of \mathbb{R}^2 with a smooth boundary. Here we assume that we are on the unit disk.

We consider the following problem on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$:

$$(P) \begin{cases} -\Delta u_i = |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u_i = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here, $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and, $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

We assume that,

$$0 \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy \leq C, \quad u_i \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$$

The above equation is called, the Prescribed Scalar Curvature equation in relation with conformal change of metrics. The function V_i is the prescribed curvature.

Here, we try to find some a priori estimates for sequences of the previous problem.

Equations of this type (in dimension 2 and higher dimensions) were studied by many authors, see [1-24]. We can see in [8], different results for the solutions of those type of equations with or without boundaries conditions and, with minimal conditions on V , for example we suppose $V_i \geq 0$ and $V_i \in L^p(\Omega)$ or $V_i e^{u_i} \in L^p(\Omega)$ with $p \in [1, +\infty]$.

Among other results, we can see in [8], the following important Theorem,

Theorem A (Brezis-Merle [8]). *If $(u_i)_i$ and $(V_i)_i$ are two sequences of functions relatively to the previous problem (P) with, $0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$, then, for all compact set K of Ω ,*

$$\sup_K u_i \leq c = c(a, b, K, \Omega).$$

A simple consequence of this theorem is that, if we assume $u_i = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ then, the sequence $(u_i)_i$ is locally uniformly bounded. We can find in [8] an interior estimate if we assume $a = 0$, but we need an assumption on the integral of e^{u_i} . We have in [8]:

Theorem B (Brezis-Merle [8]). *If $(u_i)_i$ and $(V_i)_i$ are two sequences of functions relatively to the previous problem (P) with, $0 \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$, and,*

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{u_i} dy \leq C,$$

then, for all compact set K of Ω ,

$$\sup_K u_i \leq c = c(b, C, K, \Omega).$$

If, we assume V with more regularity, we can have another type of estimates, $\sup + \inf$. It was proved, by Shafrir, see [23], that, if $(u_i)_i, (V_i)_i$ are two sequences of functions solutions of the previous equation without assumption on the boundary and, $0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$, then we have the following interior estimate:

$$C \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) \sup_K u_i + \inf_{\Omega} u_i \leq c = c(a, b, K, \Omega).$$

We can see in [12], an explicit value of $C \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}$. In his proof, Shafrir has used the Stokes formula and an isoperimetric inequality, see [6]. For Chen-Lin, they have used the blow-up analysis combined with some geometric type inequality for the integral curvature.

Now, if we suppose $(V_i)_i$ uniformly Lipschitzian with A the Lipschitz constant, then, $C(a/b) = 1$ and $c = c(a, b, A, K, \Omega)$, see Brezis-Li-Shafrir [7]. This result was extended for Hölderian sequences $(V_i)_i$ by Chen-Lin, see [12]. Also, we can see in [18], an extension of the Brezis-Li-Shafrir to compact Riemann surface without boundary. We can see in [19] explicit form, $(8\pi m, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ exactly), for the numbers in front of the Dirac masses, when the solutions blow-up. Here, the notion of isolated blow-up point is used.

In [8], Brezis and Merle proposed the following Problem:

Problem (Brezis-Merle [8]). *If $(u_i)_i$ and $(V_i)_i$ are two sequences of functions relatively to the previous problem (P) with,*

$$0 \leq V_i \rightarrow V \text{ in } C^0(\bar{\Omega}).$$

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{u_i} dy \leq C,$$

Is it possible to prove that:

$$\sup_{\Omega} u_i \leq c = c(C, V, \Omega) ?$$

Here, we assume more regularity on V_i , we suppose that $V_i \geq 0$ is C^s (s -holderian) $1/2 < s \leq 1$) and when we have a boundary singularity. We give the answer where $bC < 16\pi$ for an equation with boundary singularity.

The following first theorem can be proved with the assumption $\beta \in (0, +\infty)$ but we prove it in the case $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

Our main results are:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $\Omega = B_1(0)$, $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$, and,*

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy \leq 16\pi - \epsilon, \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

$$u_i(x_i) = \sup_{B_1(0)} u_i \rightarrow +\infty.$$

There is a sequences $(x_i^0)_i, (\delta_i^0)$, such that:

$$(x_i^0)_i \equiv (x_i)_i, \delta_i^0 = \delta_i = d(x_i, \partial B_1(0)) \rightarrow 0,$$

and,

$$u_i(x_i) = \sup_{B_1(0)} u_i \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$u_i(x_i) + 2 \log \delta_i + 2\beta \log d(x_i, x_0) \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} |x - x_0|^{+2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy \geq 4\pi > 0.$$

If we assume:

$$V_i \rightarrow V \text{ in } C^0(\bar{B}_1(0)),$$

then,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \sup_{B_1(0) - B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} u_i \leq C_\epsilon$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} |x - x_0|^{+2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy = 8\pi.$$

And, thus, we have the following convergence in the sense of distributions:

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy \rightarrow \int_{B_1(0)} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V e^u dy + 8\pi \delta_{x_0^1}.$$

Theorem 1.2. Assume that, V_i is uniformly s -holderian with $1/2 + \beta/2 < s \leq 1$, $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$, and,

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy \leq 16\pi - \epsilon, \epsilon > 0,$$

then we have:

$$\sup_{\Omega} u_i \leq c = c(b, C, A, s, \beta, \epsilon, x_0, \Omega).$$

where A is the h\"olderian constant of V_i .

2. PROOFS OF THE RESULTS

Proofs of the theorems:

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\Omega = B_1(0)$ the unit ball centered on the origin.

Here, G is the Green function of the Laplacian with Dirichlet condition on $B_1(0)$. We have (in complex notation):

$$G(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{|1 - \bar{x}y|}{|x - y|},$$

Since $u_i \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$, we have by the Brezis-Merle result and the elliptic estimates, (see [1]):

$$u_i \in C^2(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\epsilon}(\bar{\Omega})$$

for all $2 < p < +\infty$.

Set,

$$v_i(x) = \int_{B_1(0)} G(x, y) V_i(y) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^{u_i(y)} dy.$$

We decompose v_i in two terms (Newtonian potential):

$$v_i^1(x) = \int_{B_1(0)} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log |x - y| V_i(y) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^{u_i(y)} dy,$$

and,

$$v_i^2(x) = \int_{B_1(0)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \log |1 - \bar{x}y| V_i(y) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^{u_i(y)} dy,$$

According to the proof in the book of Gilbarg-Trudinger see [15], v_i^1, v_i^2 and thus v_i are $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$. Indeed, we use the same proof as in [15] (Chapter 4, Newtonian potential).

We use this fact and the maximum principle to have $v_i = u_i$.

Also, we can use integration by part (the Green representation formula, see its proof in the first chapter of [15]) to have in Ω (and not $\bar{\Omega}$):

$$u_i(x) = - \int_{B_1(0)} G(x, y) \Delta u_i(y) dy = \int_{B_1(0)} G(x, y) V_i(y) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^{u_i(y)} dy.$$

We write,

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(x_i) &= \int_{\Omega} G(x_i, y) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i(y) e^{u_i(y)} dx = \int_{\Omega - B(x_i, \delta_i/2)} G(x_i, y) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i(y)} dy + \\ &\quad + \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i/2)} G(x_i, y) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i(y)} dy \end{aligned}$$

According to the maximum principle, the harmonic function $G(x_i, \cdot)$ on $\Omega - B(x_i, \delta_i/2)$ take its maximum on the boundary of $B(x_i, \delta_i/2)$, we can compute this maximum:

$$G(x_i, y_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{|1 - \bar{x}_i y_i|}{|x_i - y_i|} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{|1 - \bar{x}_i(x_i + \delta_i \theta_i)|}{|\delta_i/2|} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(2|(1 + |x_i|) + \theta_i|) < +\infty$$

with $|\theta_i| = 1/2$.

Thus,

$$u_i(x_i) \leq C + \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i/2)} G(x_i, y) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i(y)} dy \leq C + e^{u_i(x_i) + 2\beta \log d(x_i, x_0)} \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i/2)} G(x_i, y) dy$$

Now, we compute $\int_{B(x_i, \delta_i/2)} G(x_i, y) dy$
we set in polar coordinates,

$$y = x_i + \delta_i t \theta$$

we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i/2)} G(x_i, y) dy &= \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i/2)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{|1 - \bar{x}_i y|}{|x_i - y|} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{1/2} \delta_i^2 \log \frac{|1 - \bar{x}_i(x_i + \delta_i t \theta)|}{\delta_i t} t dt d\theta = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{1/2} \delta_i^2 (\log(|1 + |x_i| + t\theta|) - \log t) t dt d\theta \leq C \delta_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$u_i(x_i) \leq C + C \delta_i^2 e^{u_i(x_i) + 2\beta \log d(x_i, x_0)},$$

which we can write, because $u_i(x_i) \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$u_i(x_i) \leq C' \delta_i^2 e^{u_i(x_i) + 2\beta \log d(x_i, x_0)},$$

We can conclude that:

$$u_i(x_i) + 2 \log \delta_i + 2\beta \log d(x_i, x_0) \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Since in $B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)$, $d(x, x_0)$ is equivalent to $d(x_i, x_0)$ we can consider the following functions:

$$v_i(y) = u_i(x_i + \delta_i y) + 2 \log \delta_i + 2\beta \log d(x_i, x_0), \quad y \in B(0, 1/2)$$

The function satisfies all conditions of the Brezis-Merle hypothesis, we can conclude that, on each compact set:

$$v_i \rightarrow -\infty$$

we can assume, without loss of generality that for $1/2 > \epsilon > 0$, we have:

$$v_i \rightarrow -\infty, \quad y \in B(0, 2\epsilon) - B(0, \epsilon),$$

Lemma 2.1. For all $1/4 > \epsilon > 0$, we have:

$$\sup_{B(x_i, (3/2)\delta_i \epsilon) - B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} u_i \leq C_\epsilon.$$

Proof of the lemma

Let t'_i and t_i the points of $B(x_i, 2\delta_i \epsilon) - B(x_i, (1/2)\delta_i \epsilon)$ and $B(x_i, (3/2)\delta_i \epsilon) - B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)$ respectively where u_i takes its maximum.

According to the Brezis-Merle work, we have:

$$u_i(t'_i) + 2 \log \delta_i + 2\beta \log d(x_i, x_0) \rightarrow -\infty$$

We write,

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(t_i) &= \int_{\Omega} G(t_i, y) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i(y) e^{u_i(y)} dx = \int_{\Omega - B(x_i, 2\delta_i \epsilon)} G(t_i, y) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i(y)} dy + \\ &+ \int_{B(x_i, 2\delta_i \epsilon) - B(x_i, (1/2)\delta_i \epsilon)} G(t_i, y) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i(y)} dy + \\ &+ \int_{B(x_i, (1/2)\delta_i \epsilon)} G(t_i, y) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i(y)} dy \end{aligned}$$

But, in the first and the third integrale, the point t_i is far from the singularity x_i and we know that the Green function is bounded. For the second integrale, after a change of variable, we can see that this integrale is bounded by (we take the supremum in the annulus and use Brezis-Merle theorem)

$$\delta_i^2 e^{u_i(t'_i) + 2\beta \log d(x_i, x_0)} \times I_j$$

where I_j is a Jensen integrale (of the form $\int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} (\log(|1 + |x_i| + t\theta|) - \log|\theta_i - t\theta|) t dt d\theta$ which is bounded).

we conclude the lemma.

From the lemma, we see that far from the singularity the sequence is bounded, thus if we take the supremum on the set $B_1(0) - B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)$ we can see that this supremum is bounded and thus the sequence of functions is uniformly bounded or tends to infinity and we use the same arguments as for x_i to conclude that around this point and far from the singularity, the sequence is bounded.

The process will be finished, because, according to Brezis-Merle estimate, around each supremum constructed and tending to infinity, we have:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy \geq 4\pi > 0.$$

Finally, with this construction, we have a finite number of "exterior" blow-up points and outside the singularities the sequence is bounded uniformly, for example, in the case of one "exterior" blow-up point, we have:

$$u_i(x_i) \rightarrow +\infty$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \sup_{B_1(0) - B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} u_i \leq C_\epsilon$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy \geq 4\pi > 0.$$

$$x_i \rightarrow x_0 \in \partial B_1(0).$$

Remark: For the general case, the process of quantization can be extended to more than one blow-up points.

We have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *Each δ_i^k is of order $d(x_i^k, \partial B_1(0))$. Namely: there is a positive constant $C > 0$ such that for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough:*

$$\delta_i^k \leq d(x_i^k, \partial B_1(0)) \leq (2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon}) \delta_i^k.$$

Proof of the lemma

Now, if we suppose that there is another "exterior" blow-up $(t_i)_i$, we have, because $(u_i)_i$ is uniformly bounded in a neighborhood of $\partial B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)$, we have :

$$d(t_i, \partial B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)) \geq \delta_i \epsilon$$

If we set,

$$\delta_i' = d(t_i, \partial(B_1(0) - B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon))) = \inf\{d(t_i, \partial B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)), d(t_i, \partial(B_1(0)))\}$$

then, δ_i' is of order $d(t_i, \partial B_1(0))$. To see this, we write:

$$d(t_i, \partial B_1(0)) \leq d(t_i, \partial B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)) + d(\partial B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon), x_i) + d(x_i, \partial B_1(0)),$$

Thus,

$$\frac{d(t_i, \partial B_1(0))}{d(t_i, \partial B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon))} \leq 2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon},$$

Thus,

$$\delta_i' \leq d(t_i, \partial B_1(0)) \leq \delta_i' (2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}).$$

Now, the general case follow by induction. We use the same argument for three, four, ..., n blow-up points.

We have, by induction and, here we use the fact that u_i is uniformly bounded outside a small ball centered at $x_i^j, j = 0, \dots, k-1$:

$$\delta_i^j \leq d(x_i^j, \partial B_1(0)) \leq C_1 \delta_i^j, \quad j = 0, \dots, k-1,$$

.

$$d(x_i^k, \partial B(x_i^j, \delta_i^j \epsilon / 2)) \geq \epsilon \delta_i^j, \quad \epsilon > 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, k-1,$$

.

and let's consider x_i^k such that:

$$u_i(x_i^k) = \sup_{B_1(0) - \cup_{j=0}^{k-1} B(x_i^j, \delta_i^j \epsilon)} u_i \rightarrow +\infty,$$

take,

$$\delta_i^k = \inf\{d(x_i^k, \partial B_1(0)), d(x_i^k, \partial(B_1(0) - \cup_{j=0}^{k-1} B(x_i^j, \delta_i^j \epsilon / 2)))\},$$

if, we have,

$$\delta_i^k = d(x_i^k, \partial B(x_i^j, \delta_i^j \epsilon / 2)), \quad j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_i^k &\leq d(x_i^k, \partial B_1(0)) \leq \\ &\leq d(x_i^k, \partial B(x_i^j, \delta_i^j \epsilon / 2)) + d(\partial B(x_i^j, \delta_i^j \epsilon / 2), x_i^j) + d(x_i^j, \partial B_1(0)) \\ &\leq (2 + \frac{C_1}{\epsilon}) \delta_i^k. \end{aligned}$$

To apply lemma 2.1 for m blow-up points, we use an induction:

We do directly the same approach for t_i as x_i by using directly the Green function of the unit ball.

If we look to the blow-up points, we can see, with this work that, after finite steps, the sequence will be bounded outside a finite number of balls, because of Brezis-Merle estimate:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B(x_i^k, \delta_i^k \epsilon)} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy \geq 4\pi > 0.$$

Here, we can take the functions:

$$u_i^k(y) = u_i(x_i^k + \delta_i^k y) + 2 \log \delta_i^k + 2\beta \log d(x_i, x_0).$$

Indeed, by corollary 4 of the paper of Brezis-Merle, if we have:

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B(x_i^k, \delta_i^k \epsilon)} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy \leq 4\pi - \epsilon_0 < 4\pi,$$

then, $(u_i^k)^+$ would be locally bounded and this contradict the fact that $u_i^k(0) \rightarrow +\infty$.

Finally, we can say that, there is a finite number of sequences $(x_i^k)_i, (\delta_i^k), 0 \leq k \leq m$, such that:

$$(x_i^0)_i \equiv (x_i)_i, \quad \delta_i^0 = \delta_i = d(x_i, \partial B_1(0)),$$

$$(x_i^1)_i \equiv (t_i)_i, \quad \delta_i^1 = \delta_i' = d(t_i, \partial(B_1(0) - B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon))),$$

and each δ_i^k is of order $d(x_i^k, \partial B_1(0))$.

and,

$$u_i(x_i^k) = \sup_{B_1(0) - \cup_{j=0}^{k-1} B(x_i^j, \delta_i^j \epsilon)} u_i \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$u_i(x_i^k) + 2 \log \delta_i^k + 2\beta \log d(x_i^k, x_0) \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \sup_{B_1(0) - \cup_{j=0}^m B(x_i^j, \delta_i^j \epsilon)} u_i \leq C_\epsilon$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \quad \limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B(x_i^k, \delta_i^k \epsilon)} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy \geq 4\pi > 0.$$

The work of YY.Li-I.Shafrir

Since in $B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)$, $d(x, x_0)$ is equivalent to $d(x_i, x_0)$ we can consider the following functions:

$$v_i(y) = u_i(x_i + \delta_i y) + 2 \log \delta_i + 2\beta \log d(x_i, x_0).$$

With the previous method, we have a finite number of "exterior" blow-up points (perhaps the same) and the sequences tend to the boundary. With the aid of proposition 1 of the paper of Li-Shafrir, we see that around each exterior blow-up, we have a finite number of "interior"

blow-ups. Around, each exterior blow-up, we have after rescaling with δ_i^k , the same situation as around a fixed ball with positive radius. If we assume:

$$V_i \rightarrow V \text{ in } C^0(\bar{B}_1(0)),$$

then,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \limsup_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B(x_i^k, \delta_i^k \epsilon)} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy = 8\pi m_k, \quad m_k \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

And, thus, we have the following convergence in the sense of distributions:

$$\int_{B_1(0)} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy \rightarrow \int_{B_1(0)} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V e^u dy + \sum_{k=0}^m 8\pi m'_k \delta_{x_0^k}, \quad m'_k \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad x_0^k \in \partial B_1(0).$$

Consequence: using a Pohozaev-type identity. proof of theorem 2

By a conformal transformation, we can assume that our domain $\Omega = B^+$ is a half ball centered at the origin, $B^+ = \{x, |x| \leq 1, x_1 \geq 0\}$, and, $x_0 = 0$. In this case the normal at the boundary is $\nu = (-1, 0)$ and $u_i(0, x_2) \equiv 0$. Also, we set x_i the blow-up point and $x_i^2 = (0, x_i^2)$ and $x_i^1 = (x_i^1, 0)$ respectively the second and the first part of x_i . Let ∂B^+ the part of the boundary for which u_i and its derivatives are uniformly bounded and thus converge to the corresponding function.

The case of one blow-up point:

Theorem 2.3. *If V_i is s -Holderian with $1/2 + \beta/2 < s \leq 1$ and,*

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy \leq 16\pi - \epsilon, \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

we have :

$$2V_i(x_i) \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy = o(1),$$

which means that there are no blow-up points.

Proof of the theorem

In order to use the Pohozaev identity we need to have a good function u_i , since $\beta \in (0, 1)$, we have a function u_i such that:

$$u_i \in C^{2, \epsilon}(\bar{\Omega})$$

Thus, we can use integration by parts. The Pohozaev identity gives us the following formula:

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u_i \rangle (-\Delta u_i) dy = \int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u_i \rangle |x|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dy = A_i$$

$$A_i = \int_{\partial B^+} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u_i \rangle \langle \nu | \nabla u_i \rangle d\sigma + \int_{\partial B^+} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nu \rangle |\nabla u_i|^2 d\sigma$$

We can write it as:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u_i \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy &= A_i + V_i(x_i) \int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u_i \rangle |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy = \\ &= A_i + V_i(x_i) \int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | x \rangle |x|^{2\beta} |\nabla(e^{u_i})| dy \end{aligned}$$

And, if we integrate by part the second term, we have (because $x_1 = 0$ on the boundary and $\nu_2 = 0$):

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u_i \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy = -2(1 + \beta) V_i(x_i) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy +$$

$$+ 2\beta V_i(x_i) \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} x_2 x_2^i |x|^{2\beta-2} e^{u_i} dy - 2\beta V_i(x_i) \int_{\Omega - B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} x_2 x_2^i |x|^{2\beta-2} e^{u_i} dy + B_i$$

where B_i is,

$$B_i = V_i(x_i) \int_{\partial B^+} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nu \rangle |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy$$

applying the same procedure to u , we can write:

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u \rangle (V - V(0)) |x|^{2\beta} e^u dy = -2(1 + \beta) V(0) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{2\beta} e^u dy +$$

$$- 2\beta V(0) \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} x_2 x_2^i |x|^{2\beta-2} e^u dy - 2\beta V(0) \int_{\Omega - B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} x_2 x_2^i |x|^{2\beta-2} e^u dy + B,$$

with,

$$B = V(0) \int_{\partial B^+} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nu \rangle |x|^{2\beta} e^u dy$$

we use the fact that, u_i is bounded outside $B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)$ and the convergence of u_i to u on compact set of $\bar{\Omega} - \{0\}$, and the fact that $\beta \in (0, +\infty)$, to write the following:

$$2V_i(x_i) \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy + o(1) =$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u_i \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy - \int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u \rangle (V - V(0)) |x|^{2\beta} e^u dy +$$

$$+ (A_i - A) + (B_i - B),$$

where A and B are,

$$A = \int_{\partial B^+} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u \rangle \langle \nu | \nabla u \rangle d\sigma + \int_{\partial B^+} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nu \rangle |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma$$

$$B = V(0) \int_{\partial B^+} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nu \rangle |x|^{2\beta} e^u dy$$

and, because of the uniform convergence of u_i and its derivatives on ∂B^+ , we have:

$$A_i - A = o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad B_i - B = o(1)$$

which we can write as:

$$2V_i(x_i) \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy + o(1) =$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla (u_i - u) \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy +$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} (e^{u_i} - e^u) dy +$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i) - (V - V(0))) |x|^{2\beta} e^u dy + o(1)$$

We can write the second term as:

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} (e^{u_i} - e^u) dy = \int_{\Omega - B(0, \epsilon)} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) (e^{u_i} - e^u) |x|^{2\beta} dy +$$

$$+ \int_{B(0, \epsilon)} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) (e^{u_i} - e^u) |x|^{2\beta} dy = o(1),$$

because of the uniform convergence of u_i to u outside a region which contain the blow-up and the uniform convergence of V_i . For the third integral we have the same result:

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla u \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i) - (V - V(0))) |x|^{2\beta} e^u dy = o(1),$$

because of the uniform convergence of V_i to V .

Now, we look to the first integral:

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla(u_i - u) \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy,$$

we can write it as:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_2^i) | \nabla(u_i - u) \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy &= \int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_i) | \nabla(u_i - u) \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy + \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \langle x_1^i | \nabla(u_i - u) \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy, \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved by using the Pohozaev identity the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle (x - x_i) | \nabla(u_i - u) \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy + \\ + \int_{\Omega} \langle x_1^i | \nabla(u_i - u) \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy = \\ = 2V_i(x_i) \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

We can see, because of the uniform boundedness of u_i outside $B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)$ and the fact that :

$$\|\nabla(u_i - u)\|_1 = o(1),$$

it is sufficient to look to the integral on $B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)$.

Assume that we are in the case of one blow-up, it must be (x_i) and isolated, we can write the following inequality as a consequence of YY.Li-I.Shafrir result:

$$u_i(x) + 2 \log |x - x_i| + 2\beta \log d(x, 0) \leq C,$$

We use this fact and the fact that V_i is s-holderian to have that, on $B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)$,

$$|(x - x_i)(V_i - V_i(x_i))|x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} \leq \frac{C}{|x - x_i|^{1-s}} \in L^{(2-\epsilon')/(1-s)}, \quad \forall \epsilon' > 0,$$

and, we use the fact that:

$$\|\nabla(u_i - u)\|_q = o(1), \quad \forall 1 \leq q < 2$$

to conclude by the Hölder inequality that:

$$\int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} \langle (x - x_i) | \nabla(u_i - u) \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy = o(1),$$

For the other integral, namely:

$$\int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} \langle x_1^i | \nabla(u_i - u) \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy,$$

We use the fact that, because our domain is a half ball, and the sup + inf inequality to have:

$$x_1^i = \delta_i,$$

$$u_i(x) + 4 \log \delta_i + 4\beta \log d(x, 0) \leq C$$

and,

$$|x|^{s\beta} e^{(s/2)u_i(x)} \leq |x - x_i|^{-s},$$

$$|V_i - V_i(x_i)| \leq |x - x_i|^s,$$

Finally, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} \langle x_1^i |\nabla(u_i - u) \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy \right| \leq \\ & \leq C \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} |\nabla(u_i - u)| |x|^{2\beta(1/2-s/2)} (e^{u_i})^{(3/4-s/2)}, \end{aligned}$$

But in the second member, for $1/2 < s \leq 1$, we have $q_s = 1/(3/4 - s/2) > 2$ and thus $q'_s < 2$ and,

$$(|x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i})^{3/4-s/2} \in L^{q_s}$$

$$|x|^{2\beta(-1/4)} \in L^r, \quad r = \frac{4}{\beta + \epsilon}, \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

with,

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{q_s} = \frac{1}{t} < 1/2,$$

and,

$$\|\nabla(u_i - u)\|_{t'} = o(1),$$

This is possible if,

$$\beta < 2(s - 1/2).$$

or,

$$s > \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{2},$$

This is possible for $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

one conclude that:

$$\int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} \langle x_1^i |\nabla(u_i - u) \rangle (V_i - V_i(x_i)) |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy = o(1)$$

Finally, with this method, we conclude that, in the case of one blow-up point and V_i is s -Holderian with $1/2 < s \leq 1$:

$$2V_i(x_i) \int_{B(x_i, \delta_i \epsilon)} |x|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dy = o(1)$$

which means that there is no blow-up, which is a contradiction.

Finally, for one blow-up point and V_i is s -Hölderian with $1/2 < s \leq 1$, the sequence (u_i) is uniformly bounded on Ω .

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aubin. Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer-Verlag 1998
- [2] S.S Bahoura. Majorations du type $\sup u \times \inf u \leq c$ pour l'équation de la courbure scalaire sur un ouvert de \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$. J. Math. Pures. Appl.(9) 83 2004 no, 9, 1109-1150.
- [3] S.S. Bahoura. Harnack inequalities for Yamabe type equations. Bull. Sci. Math. 133 (2009), no. 8, 875-892
- [4] S.S. Bahoura. Lower bounds for sup+inf and $\sup \times \inf$ and an extension of Chen-Lin result in dimension 3. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 28 (2008), no. 4, 749-758
- [5] S.S. Bahoura. Estimations uniformes pour l'équation de Yamabe en dimensions 5 et 6. J. Funct. Anal. 242 (2007), no. 2, 550-562.
- [6] C. Bandle. Isoperimetric inequalities and Applications. Pitman. 1980.
- [7] H. Brezis, Y.Y. Li, I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. J.Funct.Anal.115 (1993) 344-358.
- [8] H.Brezis and F.Merle, Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = Ve^u$ in two dimensions, Commun Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991), 1223-1253.
- [9] L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, J. Spruck. Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984) 369-402.
- [10] W. Chen, C. Li. A priori Estimates for solutions to Nonlinear Elliptic Equations. Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. 122 (1993) 145-157.
- [11] C-C.Chen, C-S. Lin. Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. L(1997) 0971-1017.
- [12] C-C.Chen, C-S. Lin. A sharp sup+inf inequality for a nonlinear elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^2 . Commun. Anal. Geom. 6, No.1, 1-19 (1998).
- [13] C-C.Chen, C-S. Lin. Sharp estimates for solutions of multi-bubbles in compact Riemann surfaces. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 55 (2002), no. 6, 728-771
- [14] B. Gidas, W-Y. Ni, L. Nirenberg. Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle. Comm. Math. Phys. 68 (1979), no. 3, 209-243.
- [15] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger. Second elliptic equations. Springer.
- [16] J.M. Lee, T.H. Parker. The Yamabe problem. Bull.Amer.Math.Soc (N.S) 17 (1987), no.1, 37-91.
- [17] Y.Y. Li. Prescribing scalar curvature on \mathbb{S}_n and related Problems. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 317 (1993) 159-164. Part I: J. Differ. Equations 120 (1995) 319-410. Part II: Existence and compactness. Comm. Pure Appl.Math.49 (1996) 541-597.
- [18] Y.Y. Li. Harnack Type Inequality: the Method of Moving Planes. Commun. Math. Phys. 200,421-444 (1999).
- [19] Y.Y. Li, I. Shafrir. Blow-up Analysis for Solutions of $-\Delta u = Ve^u$ in Dimension Two. Indiana. Math. J. Vol 3, no 4. (1994). 1255-1270.
- [20] Y.Y. Li, L. Zhang. A Harnack type inequality for the Yamabe equation in low dimensions. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 20 (2004), no. 2, 133-151.
- [21] Y.Y.Li, M. Zhu. Yamabe Type Equations On Three Dimensional Riemannian Manifolds. Commun.Contem.Mathematics, vol 1. No.1 (1999) 1-50.
- [22] L. Ma, J-C. Wei. Convergence for a Liouville equation. Comment. Math. Helv. 76 (2001) 506-514.
- [23] I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for the equation $-\Delta u = Ve^u$. C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 315 (1992), no. 2, 159-164.
- [24] L. Zhang. Blowup solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. Comm. Math. Phys. 268 (2006), no. 1, 105-133.

DEPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE, 2 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75005, PARIS, FRANCE.

Email address: samybahoura@gmail.com