



HAL
open science

Representing bioinformatics Nextflow workflows in RO-Crate: challenges and opportunities

George Marchment, Marie Schmit, Clémence Sebe, Frédéric Lemoine, Hervé
Ménager, Sarah Cohen-Boulakia

► To cite this version:

George Marchment, Marie Schmit, Clémence Sebe, Frédéric Lemoine, Hervé Ménager, et al.. Representing bioinformatics Nextflow workflows in RO-Crate: challenges and opportunities. Semantic Web Applications and Tools for Health Care and Life Sciences, Feb 2024, Leiden, Netherlands. Zenodo, 2024, 10.5281/zenodo.10822156 . hal-04540040

HAL Id: hal-04540040

<https://hal.science/hal-04540040>

Submitted on 10 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Abstract

ShareFAIR partners use diverse kinds of workflow systems (e.g., Snakemake [2], Nextflow [1], Galaxy [4]). A crucial challenge involves creating workflows in a **clear and standardised manner**, enabling partners to easily **comprehend, exchange, and utilise them**.

Our aim is to evaluate the capacity of current standards, particularly **RO-Crate** [3], to describe **Nextflow workflows** (to begin with).


To do so, we have collected over 1,500 Nextflow workflows using a dedicated crawler specifically designed to extract public Nextflow workflows from GitHub repositories. **Figure 1** shows the number of workflows found on GitHub by creation date, as extracted by our crawler. We then parsed and analysed our workflow collection, in order to extract several pieces of information. This includes the workflow's metadata, such as its **authors, publication date**, etc; its **subworkflows**; and its constituting **processes** alongside their **inputs and outputs**, thus forming a comprehensive dataset (**Figure 2** depicts a simplified representation of a workflow and its steps).

Studying this dataset allowed us to realise how **heterogeneous the diverse implementations of Nextflow workflows are**. **Annotating and describing them in a homogeneous way** would greatly facilitate their **sharing, comparison and interrogation**. RO-Crate emerges as a strong contender for this undertaking.

RO-Crate is a standard for aggregating and describing research data along with associated metadata. It allows, among other things, to **describe workflows and scripts**. However, the framework provided by RO-Crate may not be fully suitable to describe workflows at a high level of detail (e.g., detailed subworkflow or process description). To do so, it may be adapted to reach a higher level of granularity.

In this study, we investigate the **possibilities offered by RO-Crate for describing Nextflow workflows** and present solutions to **enrich it for capturing more fine-grained workflow informations**, and that can be extended to **Snakemake and Galaxy workflows**.

ShareFAIR



This research was conducted as part of the **ShareFAIR** project, a collaborative initiative involving **nine French research partners**. ShareFAIR is dedicated to assisting bioinformaticians in **creating, comparing, and exchanging** robust analysis workflows for multi-scale datasets related to **neuro-vascular pathologies**, encompassing genomic, neuro-vascular imaging, and clinical data.




Figure 1: Evolution of the monthly and cumulative number of Nextflow workflows available on GitHub since 2017





Figure 2: Structure depicting the different steps of a Nextflow workflow that performs a RNA-Seq analysis.



Results

Current : Workflow RO-Crate profile 1.0




Limitations :

- **1 file is linked to 1 subworkflow**. There are no capabilities for defining multiple subworkflows within a single file.
- There is no **Process/Module granularity**.

Our proposition :

- **Decouples files and subworkflow**
- **Adds Process/Module granularity**

Our proposition : Workflow RO-Crate profile 2.0



Conclusion

In this work, we propose an extended profile for describing workflows using RO-Crate, with the new proposition:

- If a workflow can be described **using the original RO-Crate standard**, it can **also be described using our proposed extension**.
- Workflows implemented **Nextflow and Snakemake** can be fully described, at a **higher level of granularity**.



For the full **description and documentation** of the extended workflow RO-Crate profile, please refer to our GitHub repository (<https://gitlab.liris.cnrs.fr/sharefair/posters/swat4hcls-2024> or scan the QR code).



[1] Di Tommaso, P.; Chatzou, M.; Floden, E. W.; Barja, P. P.; Palumbo, E.; Notredame, C.: Nextflow enables reproducible computational workflows. en, Nature Biotechnology 35/4, Number: 4 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group, S. 316–319, Apr. 2017, ISSN: 1546-1696, URL: <https://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.3820>

[2] Köster, J.; Rahmann, S.: Snakemake—a scalable bioinformatics workflow engine. Bioinformatics 28/19, S. 2520–2522, Okt. 2012, ISSN: 1367-4803, UR L: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts480>

[3] Soiland-Reyes, S.; Sefton, P.; Crosas, M.; Castro, L. J.; Coppens, F.; Fernández, J. M.; Garijo, D.; Grüning, B.; La Rosa, M.; Leo, S.; Ó Carragáin, E.; Portier, M.; Trisovic, A.; Community, R.-C.; Groth, P.; Goble, C.: Packaging research artefacts with RO-Crate. en, Data Science 5/2, Publisher: IOS Press, S. 97–138, Jan. 2022, ISSN: 2451-8484, URL: <https://content.iospress.com/articles/data-science/ds210053>

[4] The Galaxy Community: The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2022 update. Nucleic Acids Research 50/W1, W345–W351, Juli 2022, ISSN: 0305-1048, URL: <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac247>

Representing bioinformatics Nextflow workflows in RO-Crate : challenges and opportunities

George Marchment^a, Marie Schmit^b, Clémence Sebe^a, Frédéric Lemoine^b, Hervé Ménager^b, Sarah Cohen-Boulakia^a

^a *Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire des Sciences du Numérique, Orsay 91405, France.*

^b *Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Bioinformatics and Biostatistics Hub, Paris, France, 28, rue du Dr Roux, Paris 75015, France.*

Abstract

This research was conducted as part of the ShareFAIR project dedicated to assisting bioinformaticians in creating, comparing, and exchanging robust analysis workflows for multi-scale datasets related to neuro-vascular pathologies. ShareFAIR partners use workflows from diverse types of systems. One important challenge lies in uniformly and simply representing workflows such that partners can understand, share and reuse them. The aim of this research is to evaluate how the standards currently available, especially RO-Crate, allow to represent workflows.

Keywords

Workflows, RO-Crate, Nextflow

1. Body

This research was conducted as part of the ShareFAIR project (<https://projet.liris.cnrs.fr/sharefair/>), a collaborative initiative involving nine French research partners. ShareFAIR is dedicated to assisting bioinformaticians in creating, comparing, and exchanging robust analysis workflows for multi-scale datasets related to neuro-vascular pathologies, encompassing genomic, neuro-vascular imaging, and clinical data.

ShareFAIR partners use workflows from diverse types of systems (e.g., Snakemake [3], Nextflow [2], Galaxy [5]). One important challenge lies in uniformly and simply representing workflows such that partners can understand, share and reuse them.

The work presented here specifically focuses on workflows from the Nextflow workflow system where already two distinct types of workflows can be designed, namely, domain specific language DSL1 and DSL2. Available Nextflow workflows are equally distributed between DSL1 and DSL2.

Our aim is to evaluate how the standards currently available, especially RO-Crate [4], allow to describe Nextflow workflows, both from DSL1 and DSL2, at various levels of granularity.

To do so, we have collected over 1,500 Nextflow workflows using a dedicated crawler specifically designed to extract public Nextflow workflows from GitHub repositories. Figure 1 shows the number of workflows found on GitHub by creation date, as extracted by our crawler. We then parsed and analysed our workflow collection, in order to extract several pieces of information. This includes the workflow's metadata, its subworkflows and its constituting processes alongside their inputs and outputs, thus forming a comprehensive dataset.

Parsing and analysing this dataset allowed us to realise how heterogeneous the diverse implementations of Nextflow workflows are. Annotating and describing them in a homogeneous way would greatly facilitate their sharing, comparison and interrogation. RO-Crate emerges as a strong contender for this undertaking.




Figure 1: Evolution of the monthly and cumulative number of Nextflow workflows available on GitHub since 2017

RO-Crate is a standard for aggregating and describing research data along with associated metadata. It allows, among other things, to describe workflows and scripts. However, the framework provided by RO-Crate may not be fully suitable to describe workflows at a high level of detail (e.g., up to the data flow structure or detailed process description). To do so, it may be adapted to reach a higher level of granularity.

In this study, we investigate the possibilities offered by RO-Crate for describing Nextflow workflows and present solutions to enhance it for capturing a more advanced level of workflow information.

Ultimately, we aim to extend this work to Snakemake and Galaxy workflows, enabling cross-platform comparisons between workflows. Additionally, we intend to investigate how other solutions, such as the Common Workflow Language [1], could contribute to improving workflow descriptions.

This work received support from the National Research Agency under the France 2030 program, with reference to ANR-22-PESN-0007.

2. References

[1] Crusoe, M. R.; Abeln, S.; Iosup, A.; Amstutz, P.; Chilton, J.; Tijanic, N.; Ménager, H.; Soiland-Reyes, S.; Gavrilovic, B.; Goble, C.; Community, T. C.: Methods included: standardizing computational reuse and portability with the Common Workflow Language. *Communications of the ACM* 65/6, S. 54–63, Mai 2022, I SSN: 0001-0782, URL: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3486897>

[2] Di Tommaso, P.; Chatzou, M.; Floden, E. W.; Barja, P. P.; Palumbo, E.; Notredame, C.: Nextflow enables reproducible computational workflows. en, *Nature Biotechnology* 35/4, Number: 4 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group, S. 316–319, Apr. 2017, ISSN: 1546-1696, URL: <https://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.3820>

[3] Köster, J.; Rahmann, S.: Snakemake—a scalable bioinformatics workflow engine. *Bioinformatics* 28/19, S. 2520–2522, Okt. 2012, ISSN: 1367-4803, URL: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts480>

[4] Soiland-Reyes, S.; Sefton, P.; Crosas, M.; Castro, L. J.; Coppens, F.; Fernández, J. M.; Garijo, D.; Grüning, B.; La Rosa, M.; Leo, S.; Ó Carragáin, E.; Portier, M.; Trisovic, A.; Community, R.-C.; Groth, P.; Goble, C.: Packaging research artefacts with RO-Crate. en, *Data Science* 5/2, Publisher: IOS Press, S. 97–138, Jan. 2022, I SSN: 2451-8484, URL: <https://content.iospress.com/articles/data-science/ds210053>

[5] The Galaxy Community: The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2022 update. *Nucleic Acids Research* 50/W1, W345–W351, Juli 2022, ISSN: 0305-1048, URL: <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac247>