

Controlling the helicity of light by electrical magnetization switching

Pambiang Abel Dainone, Nicholas Figueiredo Prestes, Pierre Renucci, Alexandre Bouché, Martina Morassi, Xavier Devaux, Markus Lindemann, Jean-Marie George, Henri Jaffrès, Aristide Lemaitre, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Pambiang Abel Dainone, Nicholas Figueiredo Prestes, Pierre Renucci, Alexandre Bouché, Martina Morassi, et al.. Controlling the helicity of light by electrical magnetization switching. Nature, 2024, 627 (8005), pp.783-788. 10.1038/s41586-024-07125-5 . hal-04530065

HAL Id: hal-04530065 https://hal.science/hal-04530065v1

Submitted on 2 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Controlling the helicity of light by electrical magnetization switching

Pambiang Abel Dainone¹, Nicholas Figueiredo Prestes², Pierre Renucci³, Alexandre Bouché¹, Martina Morassi⁴, Xavier Devaux¹, Markus Lindemann⁵, Jean-Marie George², Henri Jaffrès², Aristide Lemaitre⁴, Bo Xu⁶, Mathieu Stoffel¹, Tongxin Chen¹, Laurent Lombez³, Delphine Lagarde³, Guangwei Cong⁷, Tianyi Ma⁸, Philippe Pigeat¹, Michel Vergnat¹, Hervé Rinnert¹, Xavier Marie³, Xiufeng Han⁸, Stephane Mangin¹, Juan-Carlos Rojas Sanchez¹, Jian-Ping Wang⁹, Matthew C. Beard¹⁰, Nils C. Gerhardt⁵, Igor Žutić¹¹ & Yuan Lu^{1*}

¹Institut Jean Lamour, Université de Lorraine, CNRS, UMR 7198, 54011 Nancy, France

²Unité Mixte de Physique, CNRS, Thales, Université Paris-Saclay, 91767 Palaiseau, France

- ³Université de Toulouse, INSA-CNRS-UPS, LPCNO, 135 Av. Rangueil, 31077 Toulouse, France
- ⁴Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Centre de Nanosciences et de Nanotechnologies, 91120, Palaiseau, France
- ⁵Photonics and Terahertz Technology, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 44801 Bochum, Germany
- ⁶Key Laboratory of Semiconductor Materials Science, Institute of Semiconductors, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100083, China; College of Materials Science and Opto-Electronic Technology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101408, China
- ⁷Platform Photonics Research Center, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Onogawa 16-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8569, Japan
- ⁸Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
- ⁹School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States; Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States
- ¹⁰Chemistry and Nanoscience Center, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, CO 80401, USA
- ¹¹Department of Physics, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA

*Corresponding author: yuan.lu@univ-lorraine.fr

Controlling the intensity of emitted light and charge current is the cornerstone of transferring and processing information¹. On the other hand, robust information storage and magnetic random-access memories are implemented using the carrier's spin and the associated magnetization in ferromagnets². The missing link between the respective disciplines of photonics, electronics, and spintronics, is to modulate the circular polarization of the emitted light, rather than its intensity, by the electrically-controlled magnetization. Here we demonstrate that this missing link is established *at room temperature and zero-applied magnetic field in light-emitting diodes*^{2,3,4,5,6,7}, through the transfer of angular momentum between photons, electrons, and ferromagnets. With spin-orbit torque^{8,9,10,11}, a charge current generates also a spin current to electrically switch the magnetization. This switching

determines the spin orientation of injected carriers into semiconductors, where the transfer of angular momentum from the electron spin to photon controls the circular polarization of the emitted light². For the first time, the spin-photon conversion with the nonvolatile control of magnetization opens paths to seamlessly integrate information transfer, processing, and storage. Our results offer breakthroughs towards electrically-controlled ultrafast modulation of circular polarization and spin injection with magnetization dynamics for the next-generation information-communication technology¹², including space-light data transfer. Remarkably, the same operating principle in scaled-down structures or employing two-dimensional materials, provides transformative opportunities for quantum information processing with spin-controlled single-photon sources, as well as well for implementing novel spin-dependent time-resolved spectroscopies.

An explosive growth of information and communication technology yields an increasing power consumption dominated by transferring, rather than processing information¹³. This is exemplified by the global internet traffic which surged by 40% between February and mid-April 2020 during the Covid-19 containment¹⁴, while data centers alone are predicted to require 8% of globally-generated electrical power by 2030¹⁵. To overcome this situation and the related von Neumann bottleneck¹⁶, there is a push to develop novel integrated multifunctional single-chip platforms that combine magnetic storage, optical transmission, and CMOS processing, while recognizing the importance of energy-efficient optical-communication with enhanced bandwidth¹⁷. A promising direction is to use the left/right circularly polarized light or photons with negative/positive helicity, since their spin angular momentum can be directly coupled to the spin of electrons, with applications from quantum information processing¹⁸ and 3D displays¹⁹ to optical sensors²⁰ and encryption²¹. In spintronics, this light-matter interaction provides an effective source of spin information and overcomes the limitation for carrier spin imbalance in solids to typical lengths (< µm) and timescales (< ns). Instead, from the conservation of total angular momentum, the information of spin imbalance of carriers (spin polarization) can be transferred much further and faster through the circular

polarization of the emitted light with polarization degree³ $P_c = (S^{\sigma^+} - S^{\sigma^-})/(S^{\sigma^+} + S^{\sigma^-})$, where $S^{\sigma^{+(-)}}$ is the right (left) circularly polarized photon density.

Surprisingly, in spin-lasers with optically injected spin-polarized carriers, ultrafast $P_{\rm c}$ switching at above 200 GHz is about one order of magnitude faster than the fastest intensity-modulated lasers and simultaneously supports an ultralow power operation¹². While this advance is encouraging for the $P_{\rm c}$ -encoded information transfer, the optically generating spin-polarized carriers is impractical for many applications. The key challenge is modulating P_c through electrically-controlled magnetization, which would combine electron and photon spin with the nonvolatile properties of ferromagnets, to effectively integrate transferring, processing, and storing information²². The importance of this breakthrough is well recognized. Even without integrating magnetization dynamics, changing electrically-controlled P_c in atomically-thin semiconductors, WSe₂, by combining the effects of anisotropic band structure and applied electric field in electric-double-layer transistor²³ or in light-emitting diode (LED)²⁴, has attracted a significant interest. In both cases, modulating P_c is not decoupled from modulating the light intensity which constraints the P_c encoded information transfer. By integrating GaAs semiconductor-based LEDs with a pair of ferromagnets having antiparallel magnetizations, M, $P_{\rm c}$ can be modulated by varying the current injected into each of the ferromagnets to inject carriers of opposite spins, thus contributing to the emission of opposite helicity²⁵. Such spin-LED is attractive since its operation is directly coupled to the nonvolatile M. However, switching P_c in this manner is complicated because it requires alternating currents injected into the different ferromagnets, thus removing the advantage compared to the conventional intensity modulation. Extending this design principle to spin-lasers^{26,27}, which are necessary for ultrafast operation and can be viewed as LEDs with resonant cavities adding optical gain, possesses inherent disadvantages (Supplementary information (SI) Note 1). A large separation (several microns) from the ferromagnet to the active region of laser, where the electrons and holes recombine, exceeds the spin-diffusion length and substantially diminishes the degree of carrier spin polarization which determines the P_c amplitude change²⁸.

In this work, we use a novel approach to establish the missing link between photonics, electronics, and spintronics: A single ferromagnet injects electron spins in a LED, while a commercially-established spin-orbit torque (SOT) electrically switches M, which in turn controls the changes in P_c at room temperature (RT) and zero applied magnetic field. Despite the apparent simplicity of this approach with common materials, in SI Note 2 we document the development obstacles for SOT spin injector and identify subtle material challenges to be overcome. Figure 1a describes the principle of M switching. Passing a steady-state or pulsed charge current, I, in the spin injector channel, comprised of a ferromagnet (F)/heavy metal (HM) junction, is accompanied by a spin Hall effect (SHE) in the HM with strong spin-orbit coupling, where the electrons with opposite spins (s) are pushed in the opposite directions perpendicular to I. The misalignment of these spins with an out-of-plane M of the ferromagnet leads to its twisting or SOT. The SOT related dampinglike torque, $\tau_{SO} \propto M \times (M \times s)$, generates a spin-orbit field, H_{SO}^{29} , to switch the out-of-plane M if I is large enough. With a small applied constant in-plane field, H_{ext} , M can be deterministically switched even with alternating direction of I and its pulsed behavior. Ultimately, very short current pulses (a few ps)³⁰ would allow for an ultrafast operation, while using textured ferromagnetic layers³¹, in-plane exchange bias³², or a substrate with suitable crystalline orientations³³, removes the need for any H_{ext} . The top view of an integrated SOT spin-LED device is shown in Fig. 1b. A pulsed current, I_{pulse} , is injected in the lateral direction to switch M, while a vertical bias, V_{bias} , is applied to emit the light, with P_c determined by the direction of the injected spin. Our quantum dot (QD)-based spin-LED in **Fig. 1c**, reveals that the spin injector is less than 100 nm away from the active QD region where the electron-hole recombination leads to the light emission. While LEDs are already important building blocks for integrated photonics³⁴, this design is also compatible with future spin-lasers, where the proximity of spin injector to the active region ensures large $P_{\rm c}$ modulation. A high-resolution scanning transmission electron microscopy (HR-STEM) image in Fig. 1d shows that the spin injector includes common materials, such as tunnel barrier: MgO, F: CoFeB, and HM: Ta, which are used for commercial spintronic applications in magnetically storing information²⁹. An important innovation is adding a 3 nm-thick Cr in the injector which yields several advantages. (i) It largely reduces the channel resistance to 300 Ω , which significantly increases the breakdown current of the Schottky barrier to overcome the semiconductor shunting problem (**SI Note 2**). (ii) Cr produces a large spin Hall angle, θ_{SH} . With tunneling spin Hall spectroscopy, we have measured a large θ_{SH} ~ -0.1 for Cr³⁵. Since the sign of θ_{SH} for Cr and Ta is the same, that could enhance the SOT for *M* switching. (iii) Cr can produce a large orbital current via the orbital Hall effect with an opposite sign compared to its spin Hall angle^{36,37}. However, through a negative orbital-to-spin current conversion process by the inserted 3 nm-thick Ta (spin-orbit correlation factor of Ta $\eta_{L-S} < 0^{38}$), Cr could also enhance SOT for *M* switching. Detailed information about the sample preparation and materials characterization is given in **Methods**.

To study *M* switching, we use the anomalous Hall effect (AHE)^{2,29} which, for a finite out-ofplane *M*, leads to the spin imbalance and the resulting voltage in the direction transverse to *I* (*V*_{AHE} between the contacts 3 and 4 in **Fig. 1a**). The corresponding AHE resistance², $R_{AHE} \sim M$, and its reversal indicates the out-of-plane *M* switching, measured in **Fig. 2a** as a function of out-of-plane field H_z and in **Fig. 2b** as a function of I_{pulse} with the duration, $t_{pulse}=0.1$ s, at a fixed small in-plane field $H_x=-10$ mT. A constant channel current of 1 mA is applied to measure R_{AHE} . Grown on a LED substrate, the spin injector shows a robust *M* switching, from 140 to 300 K, with varying either H_z or I_{pulse} . Square-like hysteresis loops in **Fig. 2a** confirm a good perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) of the injector. From **Fig. 2b** at 300 K, the critical switching current density is ~9.6×10⁶ A/cm², comparable to the values reported for MgO/CoFeB/Ta system^{10,39}. Upon reversal of the inplane H_x , the polarity of the current-induced switching loop also reverses, which is consistent with the SOT driven *M* switching (**Extended Data Fig. 2**). The full *M* switching cannot be realized without in-plane field ($H_x=0$ T) (**Fig. 2c**), which is important to break the SOT symmetry for deterministic perpendicular switching⁴⁰. From the change of R_{AHE} compared to that measured in **Fig. 2a**, we can estimate 52% of magnetic domains have been switched at $H_x=0$ T. We use magneto-optic Kerr effect (MOKE) microscope images (**Figs. 2d,e**) to study the magnetic domain propagation with different t_{pulse} and I_{pulse} . At RT, with $t_{pulse}=0.1$ s, a single $I_{pulse}=20$ mA is large enough to fully switch *M* with a small in-plane field. However, when reducing t_{pulse} to 1 ms (**Fig. 2d**), larger I_{pulse} up to 55 mA is needed to achieve single-pulse switching. With smaller $I_{pulse}=45$ mA, *M* is gradually switched with increasing pulse number (**Fig. 2e**). With 10 pulses, *M* can be completely switched. In our system, t_{pulse} for *M* switching is much longer than that reported for CoFeB/MgO/Ta system⁴¹. This could be due to the strong pinning sites in the injector channel preventing the domain wall propagation in the creep regime⁴² and related to the Ta diffusion inside CoFeB or structure defects for CoFeB/MgO on GaAs substrate (**Extended Data Fig. 1**). However, this pulse duration is not a fundamental obstacle. In a different sample with W based spin injector (**SI Note 4**), we can reduce t_{pulse} to at least 10 µs, while the actual value might be even lower and is limited by our measurement technique. With future improvements of injector structure and channel geometry, a shorter t_{pulse} can be expected.

We next compare V_{bias} -dependent polarization-resolved electroluminescence (EL) for standard and SOT spin-LEDs to characterize P_c (H_z , T, V_{bias}) (see **Methods**). In **Fig. 3a** both spin-LEDs validate our highly-efficient spin injector at RT and zero-applied field. For the SOT spin-LED, P_c decreases from 30% at $V_{\text{bias}}=1.7$ V to 18% at 3.1 V. Detailed V_{bias} -dependent polarization-resolved EL spectra are shown in **Extended Data Fig. 4**. The standard spin-LED with a large circular injector shows nearly constant $P_c \sim 36\%$, even up to $V_{\text{bias}}=4.5$ V. This difference can be explained by a ~60 times smaller injector area in the SOT spin-LED. Since the product of the metal-insulatorsemiconductor junction resistance and the injector area $R \square A \propto e^d$, where *d* is MgO thickness, is constant, the smaller injector area yields a larger *R*. Therefore, the voltage drop across the MgO barrier becomes more significant in the SOT spin-LED, resulting in a higher kinetic energy, E_k , of injected electrons (see the inset of **Fig. 3a**) than in the standard spin-LED. Since the spin-flip rate is $\propto E_k^3$ in the Dyakonov-Perel (DP) spin-relaxation mechanism², P_c decreases more rapidly in the SOT spin-LED at large $V_{\text{bias}}^{4,43}$. To verify the P_c control by M switching, we first used a single $I_{pulse}=30$ mA with $t_{pulse}=0.1$ s and then measured P_c , shown in **Fig. 3b** for $V_{bias}=1.9$ V after M switching with positive and negative I_{pulse} . During the SOT switching, a small $H_x =+10$ mT was applied, while P_c was measured at $H_x=0$ T and $H_z=0$ T. These results clearly show that the intensities of σ + and σ - luminescence have been modulated depending on the pulsed-current direction. As a consequence, the P_c can be switched from +31% to -31%. Remarkably, as shown in **Fig. 3c**, the control of P_c remains possible even at zero-field. A partial 52% M switching (**Fig. 2c**), explains why the modulation amplitude of P_c becomes smaller (~±12%). However, since a stable two-state switching can still be fulfilled at $H_x=0$ T, these results are very encouraging for future practical application. Furthermore, for spin-lasers, a few percent spin polarization of injected carriers can already result in $P_c \sim 100\%^{44,45}$.

To verify the stability of P_c for repeated switching, we consider switching for 60 cycles. For each cycle, I_{pulse} =+25 mA and I_{pulse} =-25 mA were alternatively applied at H_x =+10 mT. After each M switching, the polarization-resolved spectra were recorded, and P_c was measured. To have a higher light intensity with a shorter acquisition time for EL spectra, we used a higher V_{bias} =3.5 V. The P_{c} evolution as a function of the number of switching cycles in Fig. 3d reveals very robust switching between the two states at +15% and -19%. The deviation of P_c in each state is less than 1%. Several selected EL spectra after different number of repetitions are shown in Extended Data Fig. 6. To further verify if there is any damage of the F/semiconductor Schottky interface due to the switching current in the lateral injector channel, we measured the I-V_{bias} curves of spin-LED before and after the repetition measurement. As shown in Extended Data Fig. 7, the two $I-V_{\text{bias}}$ curves almost coincide, which indicates that the Schottky barrier height is robust and negligibly affected by the switching current in the channel, thus validating the appropriate approach for $P_{\rm c}$ modulation. This is a striking difference from the previously tried spin injectors, which have shown a strong degradation of the F/semiconductor interface after pulse-current switching (SI Note 2). In Fig. 3e, we compare the switching of P_c vs. I_{pulse} and current-induced M switching measured by R_{AHE} , while detailed EL spectra measured after different pulse-current switching are shown in Extended Data **Fig. 8**. Since P_c and R_{AHE} display similar hysteresis loops, this further demonstrates the connection between the P_c modulation and the *M* switching. Between I_{pulse} of 10 and 20 mA, there is a partial *M* switching, resulting in intermediate P_c states. By controlling the fraction of *M* switching with I_{pulse} and t_{pulse} , we are able to obtain multi-state P_c , which could enable a multi-level modulation coding, even for P_c modulation-based optical communications.

While our new approach to transfer angular momentum between photons, electrons, and ferromagnets was demonstrated in spin-LEDs, this electrical control of the polarization of light at room temperature and zero-magnetic field also provides a key breakthrough to enable electricallyinjected spin-lasers. With its ultrathin metallic layers (<7 nm), our spin injector could be embedded directly in the optical cavity of a spin-laser. By placing the injector in a node of the stationary electromagnetic field beneath a dielectric distributed Bragg reflector top mirror and close to the semiconductor-based optical gain region, the already low absorption and small magnetic circular dichroism of the magnetic layers⁴⁶ can be further minimized while keeping a desirably short path for injected spins to the gain region. Since our SOT-based spin-injection concept can be operated in a continuous wave mode with a constant carrier density in the gain region under magnetization switching (SI Note 7), this allows to decouple modulating P_c from modulating the light intensity, crucial for future high-speed and secure data transmission. Independent advances in the fast M switching for magnetic memories could further enhance our implementation of SOT spin-LEDs and spin-lasers and stimulate the investigations into the ultimate speed limitations, perhaps reaching ps scale³⁰. By reducing the mesa diameter from Fig. 1b to several μ m, our concept enables realizing disruptive devices including spin-controlled single-photon sources for optical quantum applications. With the spin-photon polarization conversion, such a source produces high-yield circularly polarized single photons, increasing the probability of obtaining N indistinguishable photons by a factor of 2^N , compared to the unpolarized counterparts. This exponential increase will accelerate the development of quantum technologies. GHz polarization modulation of single photons would drastically increase the data bandwidth for quantum cryptographic communication, compared to Kbps-Mbps in the current quantum key distribution technology⁴⁷. We also envision a scaled-down realization of our proposal relying on the growing family of two-dimensional ferromagnets and semiconductors with excellent optical and SOT properties^{48,49}. Since spin injection is not only an element of spintronic devices but also a sensitive spectroscopic tool to study various fundamental phenomena, such as the pairing symmetry of unconventional superconductors, skyrmion excitations in the quantum Hall regime, and spin-charge separation in non-Fermi liquids,² our progress provides fascinating prospects for novel spin-dependent time-resolved spectroscopies. Our scale-downed SOT-based spin injector and mesa would enable ultrafast electrical control of both spin injection and circular polarization of the emitted light, which could be used as time- spin- and spatially-resolved probe in such novel optically- or electrically-detected spectroscopies, all at room temperature and in the absence of an applied magnetic field.

Methods:

Sample preparation

The spin-LED structure contains two parts: the semiconductor LED and the metallic spin injector. The *p-i-n* LED device was grown by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) containing a single layer of InAs QDs embedded in the active region. The full structure is as follows: *p*+-GaAs:Zn (001) substrate ($p=3\times10^{18}$ cm⁻³)/300 nm *p*-GaAs:Be ($p=2\times10^{18}$ cm⁻³)/400 nm *p*-Al_{0.3}Ga_{0.7}As:Be ($p=2\times10^{18}$ -5×10¹⁷ cm⁻³)/30 nm *i*-GaAs with Be δ-doping in the center/InAs QD/30 nm *i*-GaAs/50 nm *n*-GaAs:Si ($n=1\times10^{16}$ cm⁻³). The role of the Be doping is to form *p*-doped InGaAs QDs. This growth method allows to precisely control the doping concentration in the QDs, which is optimized to an average of one dopant per QD. The reason for the need of *p*-doped QDs is the following. The eigenstates of neutral QDs are linearly polarized due to the anisotropic electron-hole exchange interaction, resulting in only a vanishing or weak circular polarization of the emitted light⁵⁰. In contrast, in *p*-doped QDs, the exchange interactions between the electron and the two holes within the positively charged exciton cancel each other⁵¹, resulting in circularly polarized eigenstates (even in absence of external magnetic field), which leads to a large circular polarization of the light and long electron spin-relaxation time, both desirable for the operation of our spin-LEDs. **SI Note 3** provides more structural and chemical characterizations of InAs QDs.

The LED was passivated with arsenic in one MBE chamber and transferred via air to a second inter-connected MBE-sputtering system where the As capping layer was desorbed at 300 °C. Next, the sample was transferred to a sputtering chamber under ultra-high vacuum to grow the spin injector. The structure of the spin injector is MgO(2.5 nm)/Co_{0.4}Fe_{0.4}B_{0.2}(1.2 nm)/Ta(3 nm). Afterwards, the sample was transferred back to the MBE and a 3 nm thick Cr layer was deposited on the top. Two types of spin-LEDs were processed with UV photolithography and etching techniques. One is a standard spin-LED device with a circular mesa and spin injector of a diameter 500 μ m. The other is a SOT spin-LED with a spin injector in Hall bar shape, as shown in **Fig. 1b**. The channel length and width are 50 μ m and 20 μ m, respectively. Finally, the processed wafers

were cut into small pieces to perform rapid temperature annealing at 300 °C for 3 min to establish the PMA of the spin injector. More details concerning the growth and the optimization of the PMA spin injector can be found in Refs.^{4,5,52,53}.

Structural and chemical characterization of spin injectors

The interface of the spin injector was investigated by HR-STEM combined with spatiallyresolved electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS). These characterizations were performed by using a probe-corrected microscope JEOL ARM200F equipped with a GATAN GIF quantum energy filter to reveal the structure and element distribution. The microscope was operated at 200 kV. EELS spectrum images were recorded with a probe current of about 50 pA. Two EELS spectrum images were simultaneously recorded: one for the low-loss part containing the zero loss, the other for the core loss, which allows advanced data post processing. Thin lamellas were prepared by focused ion beam (FIB) milling using an FEI Helios Nanolab 600i dual beam.

Extended Data Fig. 1a displays a low-magnification STEM high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) image showing the QD-based spin-LED structure. The QD layer appears as a light-grey line in the middle of the micrograph. The spin injector exhibits a very good homogeneity on the top of the LED. **Extended Data Fig. 1b** shows the magnified HR-STEM bright-field (BF) image of the injector structure. Here the contrasts are inverted as compared to the HAADF image and the interferential contrast is visible in the crystalline parts. The MgO layer exhibits a clear (001) texture with an abrupt interface between GaAs and CoFeB layers. While the Ta/CoFeB interface appears rather diffuse, we can still confirm a continuity of the 1.2 nm thick CoFeB layer. After annealing at 300 °C, the CoFeB layer is crystallized. On the right, the fast Fourier transform (FFT) in different layers shows a good crystallinity and epitaxial features between GaAs [110] (001)//MgO [110] (001)//CoFe [100] (001)⁵².

Extended Data Fig. 1c shows quantitative chemical maps generated by processing the EELS spectral images. The clear layered structures of Ga, As, O, Fe, Co elements prove that no evident interdiffusion occurs from the F layer to the MgO and GaAs layers, thus demonstrating that MgO

acts as an efficient diffusion barrier. Moreover, B atoms are found to strongly diffuse into the Ta layer after annealing at 300 °C, which is critical for establishing the PMA at the MgO/CoFeB interface^{5,52}. To qualitatively identify the interfacial diffusion, semi-quantitative profiles of the normalized content of each element are plotted in Extended Data Fig. 1d. Each point in the profile is extracted by integrating the elemental signals of the EELS maps from an area of 0.2 nm in height (about 1 atomic column) and 10 nm in width, parallel to the interfaces. The chemical distribution profiles clearly show that B diffuses into Ta due to the crystallization of CoFeB from the MgO side⁵⁴. A perfect overlap of Co and Fe is found, in contrast to the previous samples where the MgO/CoFeB interface was Fe enriched⁵². We also find a slight oxidation of CoFe at the MgO interface for about 1-2 planes of thickness (as a small shift between Mg and O signals). A small diffusion of Ta into CoFe layer and a small interdiffusion between Ta and Cr at the Ta/Cr interface can also be detected. As for the Cr capping layer, a part of it is oxidized. A small oxygen content detected elsewhere in Cr and Ta comes from the surface oxidation of the TEM lamella during the air exposure at the time of the transfer between the FIB milling system, vacuum storage, and TEM. The high background for Ga is due to a small Ga implantation in the TEM lamella due to the FIB milling procedure.

Polarization-resolved electroluminescence characterization of standard and SOT spin-LEDs

For the polarization-resolved EL measurements, the EL signal is detected in a Faraday geometry and analyzed by a spectrometer (Acton SP2500 Princeton Instruments) equipped with an 80 grooves/mm grating (blazed at 870 nm) and detected by a Si-based CCD camera (Pylon Excelon 400 BR from Princeton Instruments). The P_c of the EL is analyzed by a quarter-wave retarder and a linear polarizer. All spectra data have been smoothed by 10-point FFT filter method. As the wavelength of the light emitted by the spin-LED approaches the limitation of the Si-based detector, the shape of the EL spectra is partially deformed, resulting in a change of the peak position of about 20 nm at RT (see **SI Note 5**). However, the wavelength dependence of P_c shows very slight difference around the EL peak wavelength (~1%), where the P_c value is analyzed in this work.

The insets of Extended Data Fig. 3a show the typical polarization-resolved EL spectra measured from a SOT spin-LED at 300 K and V_{bias} =3.5 V with a current density of 8.2 A/cm² at $H_z=0$ T. In these spectra, we can observe a two-peak feature, one is centered at about 1µm corresponding mainly to the emission of the X⁺ trion transition (one electron and two holes forming a spin singlet) from the QD ensemble. The other peak, located at 930 nm, corresponds to the emission from the InGaAs wetting layer. This peak becomes less important in the net spectra after taking into account the total response efficiency of spectrometer and CCD camera (see SI Note 5). The left and right insets correspond to the measurements where the sample M is first saturated with a positive and negative 15 mT field, respectively. In both cases, we measured a large difference in the EL intensities for the right ($S^{\sigma+}$) and left ($S^{\sigma-}$) circularly polarized components at zero field. The EL P_c can be determined to be about +15.5% and -18.5% in the left and right insets, respectively. While a spurious component due to magnetic circular dichroism could artificially increase the measured P_c , it is estimated to be less than 1% for such a thin CoFeB layer (1.2 nm)⁵. As shown in Extended Data Fig. 3a, the behavior of P_c shows a clear hysteresis loop as a function of an applied magnetic field, which matches well the hysteresis loop in *M*, measured from an unpatterned sample by a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) at RT. This provides a strong support that the large remnant P_c observed at $H_z=0$ T is due to the injection of spin-polarized charge carriers from the ultrathin CoFeB layer with PMA. The sign change of the P_c is due to the M reversal of the spin injector. Slightly different P_c amplitudes for the two saturation states are probably due to a partial non-reversible magnetic domain pinned at the CoFeB/MgO interface.

We also investigated the temperature, *T*, dependence of P_c for the standard spin-LED (**Extended Data Fig. 3b**). It is interesting to find that the P_c increases with *T* and saturates for *T*>250 K. Detailed EL spectra measured at different *T* are shown in **Extended Data Fig. 5**. It is found that the intensity of the peak assigned to the InGaAs wetting layer (shorter wavelength side) increases with *T*, indicating that a fraction of the electrons injected into the QD have escaped and recombined in the wetting layer at high *T*. It is convenient to relate P_c and the spin polarization of

the injected electrons, $P_{\rm e}$, using $P_{\rm c}=F\Box P_{\rm e}$, with the renormalization factor $F=1/(1+\tau/\tau_{\rm s})^{2,55}$, which describes the reduction of the injected spin polarization at the time of carrier recombination, where τ and τ_s are the carrier and spin lifetimes of the electrons in the QD, respectively. In III-V semiconductors, the reduction of the injected spin polarization is dominated by the DP spinrelaxation mechanism². It is well known that CoFeB has a high Curie temperature (>750 K)⁵⁶, leading to the nearly constant P_e for T between 10 K and 300 K. Therefore, $P_c(T)$ should reflect the change of the F factor inherent to the semiconductor part rather than to the spin injector. To extract τ and τ_s , we have performed time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) measurements on an identical QD LED substrate (see details below). As shown in **Extended Data Fig. 3c**, both τ and τ_s decrease with T. However, the drop of τ is much larger than that of τ_s , resulting in an increase of F from 0.2 at 100 K to 0.53 at 300 K. The evolution of F follows well the same trend as the variation of $P_{\rm c}$, thus explaining that the increase of $P_{\rm c}$ at RT is mainly due to the reduction of the carrier lifetime in the QD. With the extracted F factor of 0.53 and P_c of 35% at RT, we can deduce the injected spin polarization P_e of about 66%, which is close to the spin polarization of bulk CoFeB $(P_0=65\%)$ measured by point-contact Andreev reflection⁵⁷. Two opposite effects are relevant for our spin-LEDs: (i) spin relaxation and depolarization during the transport across the GaAs layer, before the electron-hole recombination^{2,4}, which reduces the injected spin polarization and (ii) MgO spin filtering, which enhances the injected spin polarization beyond what would be expected from the ferromagnet for CoFeB^{29,58}. Therefore, the figure of merit of spin injection (P_e/P_0) in our spin-LED is close to 100%. The spin-filtering mechanism in the MgO is well known. Its band structure allows electrons with orbital symmetry Δ_1 to pass through the barrier with the smallest decay compared to electrons with other orbital symmetries of an evanescent wavefunction inside the barrier^{29,59,60,61}. In this case, MgO can effectively enhance the spin polarization for spin injection because Δ_1 electrons in the Fe band structure have the highest spin polarization at the Fermi level (half-metallic property with ~100% spin polarization).

The high P_c measured at zero magnetic field in the QD spin-LED at RT is almost four times larger than our previously reported P_c values (8%) in the QW-based spin-LED with the same type of PMA spin injector⁵. The reason why our previous QD spin-LEDs⁴ cannot operate at RT is mainly due to the lower In concentration in the QDs. The higher In concentration in this work results in a smaller bandgap and better confinement of electrons and holes at high *T*. Furthermore, owing to the smaller τ at RT, we can obtain a twice larger P_c than previously reported in QD-based spin-LEDs (19%)⁴. The high P_c value also exceeds the highest RT record (32%) reported with *in-plane* magnetized spin injectors⁶² in the same detection geometry (Faraday geometry, see benchmark of spin-LED work in **SI Note 6**). In that case, a large applied magnetic field up to 5 T was required to orient *M* to out-of-plane direction (recall also a similar situation in **SI Figure S1b**).

Time-resolved photoluminescence and the extraction of spin and carrier lifetimes

Short laser pulses from a mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser with 2 ps pulse width were used for non-resonant circularly polarized excitation at a center wavelength of 810 nm (1.531 eV). The TRPL signal was analyzed with a temporal (spectral) resolution of 2.7 ps (5 nm) using a streak camera (Hamamatsu C5680, S-1 cathode) in combination with a spectrometer (Bruker Chromex 250IS). To analyze P_c , the TRPL signal was detected through a quarter-wave retarder and a linear polarizer.

Extended Data Fig. 9a shows the TRPL intensity as a function of photon wavelength at 100 K. Two peaks can be found, one is related to the GaAs barrier, and the other is related to the QD layer. To extract the carrier and spin lifetimes, τ and τ_s , we focus on the QD spectrum. As an example, we show in the **Extended Data Fig. 9b** TRPL intensity evolution for the $S^{\sigma+}$ and $S^{\sigma-}$ components measured at 300 K. τ can be extracted from the exponential fit of the PL curve ($S^{\sigma+}+S^{\sigma-}$) to be about 37.8 ps (**Extended Data Fig. 9c**). To extract τ_s , we have studied the P_c dynamics, recalling that $P_c=(S^{\sigma+}-S^{\sigma-})/(S^{\sigma+}+S^{\sigma-})$. **Extended Data Fig. 9d** shows P_c as a function of time. The decay time of P_c that corresponds to τ_s can be extracted from the exponential fit to be 43.3 ps at 300 K.

Acknowledgement:

We acknowledge Bingshan Tao, Shiheng Liang, Abdelhak Djeffal, Thi Ha Pham, Jun-Yang Chen and Michel Hehn for their contribution on the previous development of spin injectors. We are grateful to the help of Stephane Suire and Cedric Robert for the EL setups and the help of Ludovic Pasquier for the MBE maintenance. We also acknowledge Denis Crete for the discussion of shunting problem. We greatly appreciate the support of Zhan-Guo Wang and Albert Fert for the SOT spin-LED project. This work is supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR) SOTspinLED project (No. ANR-22-CE24-0006-01), by the German Research Foundation (DFG) within the Reinhart-Koselleck-Project No. 490699635, by the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) Electrical, Communications and Cyber Systems Grant No. 213084 (I.Ž. for LEDs), and by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science Basic Energy Sciences (BES) Award No. DE-SC0004890 (I.Ž. for SOT). This work is also partially financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, Grant No. 12134017). J.-P.W. thanks for the partial support of Robert Hartmann Endowed Chair Professorship and the National Science Foundation SHF: Small: Collaborative Research: Energy efficient strain assisted spin transfer torque memory. We thank the French RENATECH network for the support of semiconductor growth. Experiments were performed using equipment from the CC-DAUM, CC-MINALOR, CC-3M and CC-MAGCRYO platforms funded by FEDER (EU), ANR, the Region Lorraine and Grand Nancy.

Author Contribution:

Y.L. coordinated the research project. Y.L., P.A.D., J.-M.G., H.J., A.L. and M.M. conceived the sample structure. P.A.D., A.B., P.P., M.V. and J.-P.W. contributed to the fabrication of spin injector. M.M., B.X. and A.L. grew LED structure. P.A.D., P.R., L.L., D.L., M.S., G.C. and H.R. contributed to EL characterizations. M.L. and N.C.G. carried out TRPL characterizations. P.A.D., N.F.P., T.C., T.M., J.-M.G., H.J. and J.-C.R.S. characterized magnetization switching of spin injector. N.F.P. and P.A.D. performed Kerr microscopy measurements. X.D. and P.A.D. performed TEM characterizations. Y.L., I.Ž. and N.C.G. prepared manuscript, with the help of P.A.D., X.D.,

P.R., X.M., M.C.B., H.J., J.-P.W., S.M. and X.H. All authors analyzed the data, discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Data availability: All data generated and analyzed in this work (main text, methods, supplementary information and response letter) are stored in a Zenodo repository (link to be given), which are available for all readers to access.

Code availability: There is no code used in the main text and supplementary information.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Main figures



Fig. 1 | **Structure of SOT spin-LED. a**, Schematic of the magnetization switching in the injector Hall-bar structure by the spin-Hall effect. To monitor *M* switching, the anomalous Hall resistance, R_{AHE} , is measured by probing the Hall voltage, V_{AHE} , between contacts 3 and 4 in the direction transverse to *I* (injected between contacts 1 and 2). **b**, Top view of the optical microscopy image of the SOT spin-LED device. A pulsed current, I_{pulse} , is injected in the spin injector channel (between electrodes 1 and 2) to switch the magnetization, while a vertical bias, V_{bias} , is applied to pump the LED (between electrodes 4 and 5). **c**, Schematic side view of the spin-LED structure with a InAs QD active layer. The spin injector consists of MgO(2.5 nm)/CoFeB(1.2 nm)/Ta(3 nm)/Cr(3 nm). **d**, Enlarged HR-STEM bright field (BF) image showing the injector multilayer structure.



Fig. 2 | **SOT switching injector magnetization. a**, R_{AHE} of the spin injector on top of the LED structure as a function of the out-of-plane magnetic field at different temperatures. **b**, R_{AHE} of the spin injector as a function of the pulsed current at different temperatures with a small in-plane field of H_x =-10 mT for switching. **c**, R_{AHE} of the spin injector as a function of pulsed current injected in the injector channel with zero in-plane field for switching at 300 K. **d**, RT MOKE images of the injector Hall bar channel after applying one single pulse of -55 mA (t_{puls} =1 ms) with H_x =-15 mT. **e**, RT MOKE images of the injector Hall bar channel after applying one single pulse after applying different number of pulses of +45 mA (t_{puls} =1 ms) with H_x =+15 mT. Error bars are smaller than data points in **a-c**.



Fig. 3 | **Polarization-resolved electroluminescence characterization and electrical control of circular polarization of spin-LEDs. a,** Bias-dependent circular polarization at 300 K and H_z =0 T for a SOT (black) and standard (red) spin-LED. Inset: Schematic spin injection from the Fermi level, E_F , of the metal to the GaAs conduction band by tunneling through the MgO barrier. With the applied bias, the electrons have a kinetic energy, E_k , injected into the GaAs layer. **b,c** EL spectra of SOT spin-LED measured at 300 K, V_{bias} =1.9 V, and H_z =0 T, after one single pulse of magnetization switching. All spectra data have been smoothed by 10-point FFT filter method. **b,** Switching with H_x =+10 mT and ±30 mA; **c,** Switching with H_x =0 T and ±30 mA. **d,** Repetition measurement of P_c at 300 K and H_z =0 with 60 cycles of magnetization switching. In each cycle, the magnetization is switched by two I_{pulse} for positive and negative 25 mA with H_x =+10 mT. **e,** Comparison of P_c and R_{AHE} loops as a function of I_{pulse} . Error bars are smaller than data points in **a-e**.



Extended Data Figure 1 | **Interfacial structure and chemical characterization of the spin injector. a,** Large-scale HR-STEM HAADF image showing a homogeneity of the QD spin-LED multilayer structure. **b,** Enlarged HR-STEM BF image showing the injector multilayer structure. **c,** Maps for individual elements drawn from processed EELS spectrum images. **d,** Elemental profiles extracted from the maps of elements. The colors of the profile lines are consistent with the colors of the elemental maps in **c**.



Extended Data Figure 2 | R_{AHE} of spin injector with a reversal of the in-plane H_x . R_{AHE} of spin injector as a function of pulsed current, I_{pulse} , with the duration of $t_{pulse}=0.1$ s, at different temperatures with a small in-plane field $H_x=+10$ mT.



Extended Data Figure 3 | Polarization-resolved electroluminescence characterization of spin-LEDs. a, P_c of the SOT spin-LED under bias, $V_{\text{bias}}=+3.5$ V (dashed lines with symbols) as a function of the out-of-plane magnetic field, H_z , and the corresponding SQUID hysteresis loop (blue solid line) measured at 300 K, respectively. The insets show the EL spectra measured at zero field, where $H_z=\pm0$ T indicate that the sample *M* is first saturated by $H_z=\pm15$ mT, respectively. b, $P_c(T)$ of a standard spin-LED at a fixed $V_{\text{bias}}=3.5$ V and $H_z=0$ T. c, *T* dependence of τ and τ_s measured from the TRPL characterization, while the *T* dependence of the *F* factor is deduced from $1/(1+\tau/\tau_s)$.



Extended Data Figure 4 | Bias dependence of circular polarization measured in the SOT spin-LED. a-e, EL spectra of the SOT spin-LED measured at 300 K and $H_z=0$ T, with V_{bias} : (a) 1.7 V, (b) 2.26 V, (c) 2.4 V, (d) 2.88 V, (e) 3.1 V. f, P_c as a function of V_{bias} at 300 K and $H_z=0$ T, for the SOT spin-LED.



Extended Data Figure 5 | Temperature dependence of circular polarization measured in the standard spin-LED. a-e, EL spectra of the standard spin-LED measured at $H_z=0$ T, with $V_{\text{bias}}=3.5$ V and different *T*. (a) 10 K, (b) 100 K, (c) 200 K, (d) 250 K, (e) 300 K. f, P_c as a function of *T* at $H_z=0$ T with $V_{\text{bias}}=3.5$ V.



Extended Data Figure 6 | Repetition measurement of P_c at 300K after different number of switching. EL spectra of the SOT spin-LED measured at $H_z=0$ T and $V_{\text{bias}}=3.5$ V with a repetition of a single pulsed current M switching. **a**, First switching, **b**, 20th switching, **c**, 39th switching, **d**, 56th switching, **e**, 75th switching, and **f**, 92nd switching.



Extended Data Figure 7 | $I-V_{\text{bias}}$ curves of the SOT spin-LED measured before and after the repetition switching at 300 K.



Extended Data Figure 8 | P_c loop as a function of pulsed switching current. a, P_c loop as a function of the switching pulsed current, I_{pulse} , measured at 300 K. Each *M* switching is at H_x =+10 mT. **b-h**, EL spectra of the SOT spin-LED measured at H_z =0 T and V_{bias} =3.5 V after *M* switching corresponding to each point numbered in (a). For one loop, it starts from (b) +25 mA to (c) -15 mA, (d) -18 mA, (e) -25 mA, (f) -15 mA, (g) +18 mA, and (h) +20 mA.



Extended Data Figure 9 | Time-resolved photoluminescence and the extraction of spin and carrier lifetimes. a, Typical PL intensity mapping at 100 K for QD LEDs as a function of time and photon wavelength. b, QD TRPL intensity evolution for the $S^{\sigma+}$ and $S^{\sigma-}$ components measured at 300 K. c, Determination of τ from the exponential fit of the decay time for the PL intensity $(S^{\sigma+}+S^{\sigma-})$. d, Determination of τ_s from the exponential fit of the decay time of P_c .

References:

1. Waser, R. Nanoelectronics and Information Technology (WILEY-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2012).

2. Žutić, I., Fabian, J. & Das Sarma, S. Spintronics: Fundamentals and applications. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76**, 323 (2004).

3. Fiederling, R. et al. Injection and detection of a spin-polarized current in a light-emitting diode. *Nature* **402**, 787-790 (1999).

4. Giba, A. E. et al. Spin injection and relaxation in *p*-doped (In,Ga)As/GaAs quantum-dot spin light-emitting diodes at zero magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **14**, 034017 (2020).

5. Liang, S. H. et al. Large and robust electrical spin injection into GaAs at zero magnetic field using an ultrathin CoFeB/MgO injector. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 085310 (2014).

6. Gerhardt, N. C. et al. Electron spin injection into GaAs from ferromagnetic contacts in remanence. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 87, 032502 (2005).

7. Kim, Y.-H. et al. Chiral-induced spin selectivity enables a room-temperature spin light-emitting diode. *Science* **371**, 1129-1133 (2021).

8. Chernyshov, A. et al. Evidence for reversible control of magnetization in a ferromagnetic material by means of spin–orbit magnetic field. *Nat. Phys.* **5**, 656-659 (2009).

9. Miron, I. M. et al. Perpendicular switching of a single ferromagnetic layer induced by in-plane current injection. *Nature* **476**, 189-193 (2011).

10. Liu, L. et al. Spin-torque switching with the giant spin Hall effect of tantalum. *Science* **336**, 555-558 (2012).

11. Mishra, R. et al. Shared-write-channel-based device for high-density spin-orbit-torque magnetic random-access memory. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **15**, 024063 (2021).

12. Lindemann, M. et al. Ultrafast spin-lasers. Nature 568, 212-215 (2019).

13. Miller, D. A. B. Attojoule Optoelectronics for low-energy information processing and communications - a tutorial review. *J. Lightwave Tech.* **35**, 346-396 (2017).

14. Sandvine (2020). The global internet phenomena report.

(https://www.sandvine.com/hubfs/Sandvine_Redesign_2019/Downloads/2020/Phenomena/COVID %20Internet%20Phenomena%20Report%2020200507.pdf)

15. Jones, N. How to stop data centers from gobbling up the world's electricity, *Nature* **561**, 163-166 (2018).

16. Dery, H. et al. Spintronic nanoelectronics based on magneto-logic gates. *IEEE Trans. Electron. Dev.* **59**, 259 (2012).

17. Vagionas, C. et al. Optical memory architectures for fast routing address look-up (AL) table operation. *J. Phys. Photonics* **1**, 044005 (2019).

18. Sherson, J. F. et al. Quantum teleportation between light and matter. *Nature* **443**, 557-560 (2006).

19. Zhan, X. et al. 3D laser displays based on circularly polarized lasing from cholesteric liquid crystal arrays. *Adv. Mater.* **33**, 202104418 (2021).

20. Nishizawa, N. & Munekata, H. Lateral-type spin-photonics devices: development and applications. *Micromachines* **12**, 644-675 (2021).

- 21. Ren, J.-G. et al. Ground-to-satellite quantum teleportation. Nature 549, 70-73 (2017).
- 22. Žutić, I. et al. Spin-lasers: spintronics beyond magnetoresistance. *Solid State Commun.* **316-317**, 113949 (2020).

23. Zhang, Y. J., Oka, T., Suzuki, R., Ye, J. T. & Iwasa, I. Electrically switchable chiral lightemitting transistor. *Science* **344**, 725-728 (2014).

24. Marin, J. F. G. et al. Room-temperature electrical control of polarization and emission angle in a cavity-integrated 2D pulsed LED. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 4884 (2022).

25. Nishizawa, N., Nishibayashi, K. & Munekata, H. A spin light emitting diode incorporating ability of electrical helicity switching. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **104**, 111102 (2014).

26. Yokota, N., Nisaka, K., Yasaka, H. & Ikeda, K. Spin polarization modulation for high-speed vertical-cavity surface-emitting lasers. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **113**, 171102 (2018).

27. Sinova, J. & Žutić, I. New moves of the spintronics tango. Nat. Mater. 11, 368-371 (2012).

28. Holub, M., Shin, J., Saha, D. & Bhattacharya, P. Electrical spin injection and threshold reduction in a semiconductor laser. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 146603 (2007).

29. Tsymbal, E. Y. & Žutić, I. Spintronics Handbook: Spin Transport and Magnetism: Volume Two: Semiconductor Spintronics. (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2019).

30. Jhuria. K et al. Spin–orbit torque switching of a ferromagnet with picosecond electrical pulses. *Nat. Electron.* **3**, 680-686 (2020).

31. Li, H. et al. Field-free deterministic magnetization switching with ultralow current density in epitaxial Au/Fe₄N bilayer films. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **11**, 16965-16971 (2019).

32. Van den Brink, A. Field-free magnetization reversal by spin-Hall effect and exchange bias. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10854 (2016).

33. Liu, L. et al. Symmetry-dependent field-free switching of perpendicular magnetization. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **16**, 277-282 (2021).

34. Pelucchi, E. et al. The potential and global outlook of integrated photonics for quantum technologies. *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **4**, 194-208 (2022).

35. Fang, C. et al. Observation of fluctuation spin Hall effect in antiferromagnet. Preprint: arXiv:2304.13400.

36. Lee, S., et al. Efficient conversion of orbital Hall current to spin current for spin-orbit torque switching. *Commun. Phys.* **4**, 234 (2021).

37. Xie, H. et al. Orbital torque of Cr-induced magnetization switching in perpendicularly magnetized Pt/Co/Pt/Cr heterostructures. *Chin. Phys.* **32**, 037502 (2023).

38. Kumar, S. & Kumar, S. Ultrafast THz probing of nonlocal orbital current in transverse multilayer metallic heterostructures. Preprint: arXiv:2306.17027.

39. Zhang, C. et al. Magnetotransport measurements of current induced effective fields in Ta/CoFeB/MgO. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 262407 (2013).

40. Yu, G. et al. Switching of perpendicular magnetization by spin–orbit torques in the absence of external magnetic fields. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **9**, 548-554 (2014).

41. Rahaman, S. Z. et al. Pulse-width and temperature effect on the switching behavior of an etchstop-on-MgO-barrier spin-orbit torque MRAM cell. *IEEE Electron. Device Lett.* **39**, 1306-1309 (2018).

42. Zhang, X., Vernier, N., Zhao, W., Vila, L. & Ravelosona, D. Extrinsic pinning of magnetic domain walls in CoFeB-MgO nanowires with perpendicular anisotropy. *AIP Adv.* **8**, 056307 (2018).

43. Barate, P. et al., Bias dependence of the electrical spin injection into GaAs from Co-Fe-B/MgO injectors with different MgO growth processes. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **8**, 054027 (2017).

44. Iba, S., Koh, S., Ikeda, K. & Kawaguchi, H. Room temperature circularly polarized lasing in an optically spin injected vertical-cavity surface-emitting laser with (110) GaAs quantum wells. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **98**, 081113 (2011).

45. Frougier, J. et al. Control of light polarization using optically spin-injected vertical external cavity surface emitting lasers. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 252402 (2013).

46. Low optical absorption of typically 10% was demonstrated for a 5 nm layer thickness and 1 μ m transmission wavelength (Ref. [45]), as well as a small magnetic circular dichroism of less than 1% (Ref. [5]), which can both further be reduced by an appropriate cavity design.

47. Diamanti, E., Lo, H.-K., Qi, B. & Yuan, Z. Practical challenges in quantum key distribution. *npj Quantum Inf.* **2**, 16025 (2016).

48. Žutić, I., Matos-Abiague, A., Scharf, B., Dery, H. & Belashchenko, K. Proximitized materials. *Mater. Today* **22**, 85-107 (2019).

49. Sierra, J. F., Fabian, J., Kawakami, R. K., Roche, S. & Valenzuela, S. O. Van der Waals heterostructures for spintronics and opto-spintronics. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **16**, 856-868 (2021).

50. Belhadj, T. et al. Controlling the polarization eigenstate of a quantum dot exciton with light. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 086601 (2009).

51. Braun, P. F. et al. Direct observation of the electron spin relaxation induced by nuclei in quantum dots. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 116601 (2005).

52. Tao, B. et al. Atomic-scale understanding of high thermal stability of Mo/CoFeB/MgO spin injector for spin-injection in remanence. *Nanoscale* **10**, 10213-10220 (2018).

53. Cadiz, F. et al. Electrical initialization of electron and nuclear spins in a single quantum dot at zero magnetic field. *Nano Lett.* **18**, 2381-2386 (2018).

54. Lu, Y. et al. Depth analysis of boron diffusion in MgO/CoFeB bilayer by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. *J. Appl. Phys.* **108**, 043703 (2010).

55. Liu, B.et al. Spin injection probed by combined optical and electrical techniques in spin-LED. *Phys. Stat. Sol.* (*c*) **1**, 475-478 (2004).

56. Lee K.-M., Choi, J. W., Sok, J. & Min, B.-C. Temperature dependence of the interfacial magnetic anisotropy in W/CoFeB/MgO. *AIP Advances* **7**, 065107 (2017).

57. Huang, S. X., Chen, T. Y. & Chien, C. L. Spin polarization of amorphous CoFeB determined by point-contact Andreev reflection. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **92**, 242509 (2008).

58. Barate, P. et al. Electrical spin injection into InGaAs/GaAs quantum wells: A comparison between MgO tunnel barriers grown by sputtering and molecular beam epitaxy methods. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **105**, 012404 (2014).

59. Butler, W. H., Zhang, X.-G., Schulthess, T. C. & MacLaren, J. M. Spin-dependent tunneling conductance of Fe|MgO|Fe sandwiches. *Phys. Rev. B* 63, 054416 (2001).

60. Yuasa, S., Nagahama, T., Fukushima, A., Suzuki, Y. & Ando, K. Giant room-temperature magnetoresistance in single-crystal Fe/MgO/Fe magnetic tunnel junctions. *Nat. Mater.* **3**, 868-871 (2004).

61. Parkin, S. S. P. et al. Giant tunnelling magnetoresistance at room temperature with MgO (100) tunnel barriers. *Nat. Mater.* 3, 862-867 (2004).

62. Jiang, X. et al. Highly spin-polarized room-temperature tunnel injector for semiconductor spintronics using MgO(100). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 056601 (2005).