

# **The cell surface is the place to be for brassinosteroid perception and responses**

Charlotte Delesalle, Grégory Vert, Satoshi Fujita

## **To cite this version:**

Charlotte Delesalle, Grégory Vert, Satoshi Fujita. The cell surface is the place to be for brassinosteroid perception and responses. Nature Plants, 2024, 10 (2), pp.206-218.  $10.1038/s41477-024-01621-2$ . hal-04527873

## **HAL Id: hal-04527873 <https://hal.science/hal-04527873>**

Submitted on 31 Mar 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



#### **Abstract**

 Adjusting the micro-environment around the cell surface is critical to respond to external cues or endogenous signals and to maintain cell activities. In plant cells, the plasma membrane is covered by the cell wall and scaffolded with cytoskeletal networks, which altogether compose the cell surface. It has long been known that these structures mutually interact, but the mechanisms that integrate the whole system are still obscure. Here, we spotlight the brassinosteroid (BR) plant hormone receptor BRI1 since it represents an outstanding model for understanding cell surface signaling and regulation. We summarize how BRI1 activity and dynamics are controlled by plasma membrane components and their associated factors to fine- tune signaling. The downstream signals, in turn, manipulate cell surface structures by transcriptional and post-translational mechanisms. Moreover, the changes in these architectures impact BR signaling, resulting in a feedback loop formation. This review will discuss how BRI1 and BR signaling function as central hubsto integrate cell surface regulation. Keywords

Brassinosteroid receptor (BRI1) / Brassinosteroid signaling / Cell surface regulation / Post-

- translational modification / Cytoskeletons / Cell wall / Feedback loop / Cell growth
- 

#### 32 **Introduction**

 The plasma membrane (PM) provides a unique barrier and interface for exchanging chemicals 34 or information between extra- and intra-cellular environments to maintain cellular homeostasis. These contradictory functions of the PM are supported by a water-impermeable lipid bilayer and numerous membrane-integral or -surface proteins that facilitate the exchange of molecules or signals. Cell surface receptors, such as G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs), receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), or receptor-like kinases (RLKs), are representative classes of transmembrane proteins that play a crucial role in signal transduction at the cell surface. Animals expanded GPCR and RTK families (over 800 GPCRs<sup>1</sup> and 58 RTKs<sup>2</sup> in humans), 41 while plants developed a wide variety of RLKs (over 600 RLK-family genes in Arabidopsis<sup>3</sup> 42 and over 1100 RLK-family genes in rice<sup>4</sup>). Among them, the brassinosteroid (BR) hormone 43 receptor BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE1 (BRI1)<sup>5</sup> has been widely used as a model receptor to better understand the molecular mechanisms of signal transduction in plants and its interplay with the cell surface.

46

47 BRs are a group of polyhydroxylated sterols that show phytohormonal activities in many 48 aspects of the plant life cycle. For instance, Arabidopsis *bri1* or other BR-defective mutants 49 show extreme dwarfism<sup>5,6</sup>, cell proliferation defects<sup>7</sup>, abnormal vascular tissue differentiation<sup>8</sup>, 50 or impaired male fertility<sup>9,10</sup>. Not limited to developmental or reproductive defects, BR-related 51 mutants also display impaired resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses<sup>11,12</sup>. Similar to what is 52 observed in dicots, BRs also play significant roles in monocots. Several rice BR biosynthetic 53 mutants indeed show dwarf and fertility-related phenotypes<sup>13,14</sup>. Null mutation of the rice 54 ortholog *OsBRI1* leads to a severe defect in organ growth in shoots but a mild effect in root 55 growth, most likely due to the strong expression of its homologs<sup>15</sup>. A genetic screen in wheat 56 also identified a high-yield semi-dwarf strain, which is impaired in BR signaling, corroborating the high importance of BR signaling in agriculture<sup>16</sup>.

58

59 BRI1 belongs to the LRR-RLK (leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase) subfamily X and plays a 60 central role in triggering BR signaling upon BR perception. BRI1 has both Ser/Thr and Tyr 61 kinase activities, and both enzymatic activities are critical for its functions<sup>17,18</sup>. As already 62 reviewed in many articles<sup>19–21</sup>, the BR signaling pathway is one of the best-characterized 63 pathways in plant receptor signaling, particularly in the control of genomic responses. In the 64 absence of BRs, BIN2 (BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE 2) kinase<sup>22</sup> suppresses BR- 65 triggered transcriptional responses by phosphorylating the transcription factors BES1 and 66 BZR1 (BRASSINOSTEROID-INSENSITIVE EMS SUPPRESSOR1 and BRASSINAZOLE 67 RESISTANT  $1)^{23,24}$ . This phosphorylation has been shown to control BES1 and BZR1 at 68 different levels, all preventing downstream genomic responses. These include the inability to 69 bind target promoters<sup>25</sup>, nuclear export/cytoplasmic retention<sup>26–29</sup>, or proteasome-dependent 70 degradation<sup>30</sup>. The presence of BRs induces the formation of the BRI1-BAK1 (BRI1) 71 ASSOCIATED KINASE, from LRR-RLK subfamily II) receptor complex  $31-33$ . Full activation 72 of the BRI1-BAK1 complex allows signal transduction to BSKs (BR-SIGNALING KINASEs) 73 and CDGs (CONSTITUTIVE DIFFERENTIAL GROWTH proteins) RLCKs (receptor-like 74 cytoplasmic kinases)  $34-36$ . These RLCKs, in turn, phosphorylate and activate the BSU1 (BRI1) 75 SUPPRESSOR 1) phosphatase that dephosphorylates BIN2, leading to BIN2 deactivation and 76 destabilization <sup>37,38</sup>. Consequently, BES1 and BZR1 transcription factors are dephosphorylated by PP2A phosphatases<sup>39</sup>, resulting in their accumulation in the nucleus where they control the 78 transcription of thousands of BR-responsive genes<sup>25,27,28,38,40–42</sup>. The intricate mechanism of 79 BR signal transduction from the cell surface to the nucleus is very well understood, but how 80 BR signaling regulates cell surface components or structures remains quite elusive compared 81 to other RLK signaling<sup>43–47</sup>. Recent advances shed light on how BR signaling impacts the 82 membrane surface environment and how cell surface cues regulate BRI1 and BR signaling. 83 This review will focus on the dynamics of BRI1 at the PM and the mutual relationships between 84 BR signaling and cell surface structures, such as cortical microtubules (MTs) and the cell wall.

85

#### 86 **BRI1 regulation at the cell surface**

## 87 *Quantitative control of BRI1 at the PM*

88 BRI1 spans over diverse subcellular compartments, including PM, early endosomes (EE), 89 multivesicular bodies (MVB), and the vacuolar lumen<sup>48</sup>. It has already been shown in animals 90 that the spatial distribution of membrane-bound receptors within cells is a critical determinant 91 of signaling activity<sup>49</sup>. Several studies demonstrated that stabilization of BRI1 at the cell 92 surface by genetic perturbation yields hypersensitivity to BRs both in terms of physiological 93 signatures and BES1 phosphorylation status<sup>50–53</sup>, supporting the idea that BRI1 initiates 94 signaling from the PM. Only one pharmacological approach where BRI1 is trapped into 95 endosome-containing cellular structures suggested that BRI1 could fire from endosomes, but 96 this hypothesis lacks physiological evidence<sup>54</sup>.

 Intracellular trafficking appears to be one of the major ways to adjust the PM pool of BRI1. BRI1 is believed to be constitutively internalized from the PM to EE in a ligand-independent manner together with BAK1 since a BRI1 variant unable to bind BRs still shows comparable internalization to the wild-type form<sup>54–56</sup> (**Fig. 1a**), while some other receptors, such as FLS2 (FLAGELLIN INSENSITIVE 2) and SGN3 (SCHENGEN 3, also called GSO1 (GASSHO1)), show ligand-induced endocytosis<sup>57,58</sup>. This concept, however, remains obscure for BRI1 considering that several mechanisms driving BRI1 endocytosis, such as ubiquitination or the recruitment of the E3 ligases PUB12/13 (Plant U-box type E3 ligase 12 and 13), appear to be 105 themselves BR-regulated<sup>51,59</sup>.

 Recent studies highlighted the numerous components involved in BRI1 endocytic machinery. A pair of SNARE (Soluble N-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor attachment protein receptors) family proteins, SYP22 (Q-SNARE) and VAMP727 (R-SNARE), regulates the PM pool of 109 BRI1<sup>60</sup>. BRI1 endocytosis is executed by the AP-2- or T-PLATE-dependent clathrin-mediated 110 endocytosis (CME)<sup>50,61</sup>, and the clathrin-independent pathway through FLOT1 (flotillin1)<sup>62</sup> (**Fig. 1a**). A recent report showed that a Tyr motif (YXXΦ, where Y stands for Tyr, X is any amino acid, and Φ is a bulky hydrophobic residue) of BRI1 was critical for the CME-dependent internalization through the interaction with an adaptor AP-2 subunit AP2M, similar to what has 114 also been characterized for plant nutrient transporters<sup>52,63</sup>.

 One important mechanism leading to BRI1 receptor internalization is polyubiquitination (**Fig. 116 1b**). More precisely, endocytosis of BRI1 largely relies on Lys63 (K63) polyubiquitination<sup>51</sup>. 117 as seen for other PM proteins<sup>64–66</sup>. The PUB12/13 E3 ubiquitin ligases and UBC35/36 (UBIQUITIN CONJUGATING ENZYMES 35 and 36) E2s have been demonstrated as main 119 actors of BRI1 Lys63 polyubiquitination *in vivo* and *in vitro* <sup>53,59,65</sup>. *In vitro* experiments showed that PUB13 phosphorylation by BRI1 is necessary for activating its ubiquitin ligase activity<sup>59</sup>. This result is consistent with the fact that the BRI1 kinase activity and its co-receptor BAK1 are required for BRI1 constitutive ubiquitination and that BR depletion decreases BRI1 123 ubiquitination<sup>51,59</sup>. So far, the precise ubiquitination sites on BRI1 are yet to be identified, but introducing 25 Lys mutations in a BRI1 cytosolic domain severely abolished its internalization 125 and degradation, and yielded hyper-stimulated BR signaling<sup>51</sup>. Furthermore, BRI1 Lys63 polyubiquitination is reversibly removed by deubiquitination enzymes UBP12/13 (Ubiquitin specific protease 12 and 13), enabling fine-tuning the degree of receptor polyubiquitination and endocytosis<sup>67</sup> (**Fig. 1b)**. These factors coordinately dictate the levels of active BRI1 at the cell surface. Interestingly, PUB12/13 components also significantly impact the polyubiquitination 130 of the immune signaling FLS2 receptor<sup>68</sup>, but the precise mechanisms determining the level of ubiquitination for distinct receptors through PUB12/13 remain to be clarified.

 A remarkable example of quantitative control of BRI1 at the PM takes place under higher ambient growth temperatures. Elevated ambient temperature downregulates BR signal by ubiquitin-mediated selective BRI1 degradation, which tunes root cell elongation under high 135 temperature condition<sup>69,70</sup>. Recent work, however, revealed the interplay between ubiquitination and another post-translational modification targeting BRI1, SUMOylation 137 (SMALL UBIQUITIN MODIFIER), in the control of BRI1 at warmer temperatures<sup>70</sup> (**Fig. 1b**). 138 Interestingly, SUMO was also reported in the case of FLS2<sup>71</sup>. *In vitro* experiments showed that the SCE1 E2 SUMO conjugation enzyme SUMOylated FLS2, and the DESUMOYLATING ISOPEPTIDASE 3a (Desi3a) SUMO protease removes SUMO from FLS2. *In planta*, the SUMOylation level of FLS2 is increased in an flg22-dependent manner by degrading Desi3a, which unleashes BIK1 (BOTRYTIS-INDUCED KINASE1) from the FLS2-BAK1 complex and activates downstream pathways<sup>71</sup>. BRI1 is also SUMOylated *in planta*, but the identity of the SUMO E2 or E3 catalyzing the SUMO conjugation to BRI1 is still uncharacterized. Elevated temperature induces Desi3a protein accumulation, recruitment to BRI1, and BRI1 146 deSUMOylation<sup>70</sup>. DeSUMOylated BRI1 shows higher affinity to BIK1, a negative regulator 147 of BR signaling<sup>72</sup>, and promotes BRI1 internalization. Both mechanisms limit the activation of BRI1 upon elevated temperature and prevent an over-elongation of hypocotyls under such conditions. An attractive possibility is that SUMOylation counteracts ubiquitination, as SUMOylation sites on BRI1 likely overlap with ubiquitination targets, allowing plants to modulate BR sensitivity in response to warmth. This regulatory mechanism represents an excellent model for understanding how multi-posttranslational modifications compete, converge, and determine BRI1 abundance at the PM.

## *Cell surface nanodomains and BR signaling*

156 Receptor-like kinases are embedded in the PM where uncountable components meet<sup>73</sup>. Recent advances in microscopic techniques unveiled that specific proteins or lipids form nanodomains at the PM within a sub-micrometer order. A prominent example is ROP6 nanodomain formation upon auxin or hyperosmotic stress stimulation, leading to downstream activation<sup>74,75</sup>. BRI1 also forms nanodomains at the PM, serving as hot spots for flotillin-dependent 161 endocytosis<sup>62</sup>. Pharmacological disruption of sterol or sphingolipid distribution attenuates  BRI1 endocytosis and BES1 dephosphorylation, implying these membrane components are 163 crucial for BRI1 function by stabilizing nanodomain formation<sup>62</sup>. The BRI1 co-receptor, BAK1, also forms nanodomains at the PM, but its relevance for BR signaling remains to be 165 characterized<sup>76</sup>.

 Some components in the BR pathways, like BIK1, are indeed shared by immune signaling 167 receptors, such as FLS2 or  $EFR^{72,77-79}$ , enabling plants to cross-regulate each pathway and to 168 provide trade-off mechanisms between defense and growth<sup>72,80,81</sup>. A major question arises in such a context: how do plants disentangle the different signaling pathways by sharing the same components? Part of the answer relies on nanodomains that spatially separate signaling complexes to avoid unfavorable signal interference (**Fig. 1c**). Single particle analysis by variable angle epifluorescence microscopy (VAEM) revealed that BRI1 and FLS2 reside, in 173 fact, in distinct nanoclusters within the  $PM^{82}$ . These particles show different dynamics at the PM, and of note, the BRI1-BIK1 complex in nanodomains tends to localize along cortical MTs, while the FLS2-BIK1 complex appears to be in distinct nanodomains with no correlation with MTs (**Fig. 1c**). This observation might give us a clue to grasp how plants regulate distinct signaling pathways using the same components. Similarly, BSK1 forms nanodomains with BRI1 or FLS2, and the complexes behave differently after stimulation by corresponding 179 ligands<sup>83</sup>. Overall, nanodomain formation now emerges as a critical step to emit different outputs while sharing the same signaling components.

## *Scaffolding function in BR signaling*

 Quantitative proteomics estimated that 25.8 billion protein molecules are found in one plant 184 mesophyll cell, and 3.2 billion protein molecules exist in its cytosol<sup>73</sup>. Under such crowded conditions, gathering signaling components by scaffolding proteins or in nanodomains allows them to initiate quick, efficient, and precise signal transduction from the PM to the nucleus, as 187 seen in yeast or humans<sup>84</sup>. In plants, only a few examples are found for signal scaffolding 188 proteins, but the TTL (TETRATRICOPEPTIDE THIOREDOXIN-LIKE) family proteins<sup>85</sup> and BSK3 (BR-SIGNALLING KINASE 3)<sup>86</sup> serve such a function in BR signaling. *ttl3* and *bsk3* show hyposensitivity to BRs, supporting the positive roles of TTLs and BSK3 in BR 191 signaling<sup>85,86</sup>. Mechanistically, both interact with central molecules in BR signaling, such as 192 BRI1, BSK1, BSU1, BIN2, and BZR1 for  $TTL3^{85,86}$ , enabling smooth signal relay. TTL proteins interact with these components through TPR (TETRATRICOPEPTIDE REPEAT) 194 domains<sup>85</sup>, while TPR domains in BSK3 are not required for its scaffolding activity, except

- hetero/homo-oligomerization with BSK1/3 $86$ . This is consistent with the fact that TPRs are not
- 196 absolutely required for its functions since a TRP-deleted BSK3 construct could complement
- 197 *bsk3*<sup>86</sup>. BR signaling enhances this scaffolding mechanism by upregulating *TTL* transcription 198 and promoting relocation from the cytosol to the  $PM<sup>85</sup>$ .
- 199 TTL1, 3, and 4 proteins were also recently reported as CESA1 (Cellulose synthase A1) 200 interactors and confer abiotic stress tolerance to plants by maintaining CESAs at the PM during  $201$  stress<sup>87,88</sup>. Therefore, TTL family scaffolding proteins also offer a chance for a deeper 202 understanding of a crossing point of stress response and BR signaling.
- 203

204 *Activity regulation by homo and heterodimerization of BRI1 and interaction with other* 

205 *regulators*

206 Besides the quantitative regulation of BRI1 at the PM, BRI1 is also tightly controlled at the 207 activity level. BRI1 was early demonstrated to undergo BR-dependent homodimerization using 208 *in planta* biochemical approaches<sup>89</sup>. BRI1 homodimerization is further supported by *in vitro* 209 data where purified BRI1 cytoplasmic kinase domain can homodimerize<sup>18</sup>, but not the 210 extracellular domain *in vitro*, regardless of the presence of ligands<sup>90</sup>. Additionally, a BR-211 independent homodimerization of BRI1 was brought to light using imaging analysis<sup>62,91</sup>. BRI1 212 also possesses an autoinhibitory domain in its C-terminal domain. This autoinhibitory lock is 213 released by BR-induced inter-molecular phosphorylation of the BRI1 C-ter domain, suggesting 214 BRI1 homodimerization might be important for this regulation  $89,92$ . Another 215 autophosphorylation site, Ser891, in the ATP-binding domain strongly suppresses the auto-216 phosphorylation on Tyr residues, resulting in BR signal inhibition<sup>93</sup>.

217 The BRI1-BAK1 complex formation represents another important regulatory mechanism. 218 BAK1 is sequestered away from BRI1 by BIR3 (BAK1-INTERACTING RECEPTOR-LIKE 219 KINASE 3) in the absence of ligand, as also observed for other BAK1 partners<sup>94–96</sup> (**Fig. 1a**). 220 Ligand binding to BRI1 shifts the affinity of BAK1 toward BRI1 and allows BAK1 to form 221 the BR receptor complex with BRI1<sup>97</sup>. Interestingly, BIR3 also binds to BRI1, arguing for the 222 existence of additional BIR3-dependent regulatory mechanisms targeting BRI1 $^{98}$ .

223 BRI1 interactors such as BKI1 (BRI1 KINASE INHIBITOR 1), a MEMBRANE-224 ASSOCIATED KINASE REGULATOR (MAKR) family protein, also participate in the 225 confinement of BRI1 activity<sup>99</sup> (**Fig. 1a**). BKI1 prevents the complex formation of BRI1 and 226 BAK1, and its inhibitory activity largely depends on its association with  $PM<sup>100</sup>$ . Four doublets 227 of KR (Lys-Arg) motifs of BKI1 ensure electrostatic interaction with specific lipids of the PM 228 in a "membrane hook" domain<sup>100,101</sup>. Upon BR perception by BRI1, BKI1 is phosphorylated

229 by BRI1 on a tyrosine next to one KR motif and quickly disassociates from the PM, unlocking 230 the BR signaling pathway activation<sup>99,100</sup>. Furthermore, a recent report demonstrated that an evolutionary-conserved RING-type E3 Ub ligase in plants, namely ZnF, induces degradation 232 of the wheat TaBKI1, resulting in activating the downstream pathway<sup>16</sup>. Other RLK proteins 233 are known to be regulated either positively or negatively by MAKR family proteins<sup>102,103</sup>, including the BKI1-interacting partner ER (ERECTA). BKI1 inhibits ER kinase activity and disassociates from ER upon BR signaling activation, but not after EPF1/2 (EPIDERMAL PATTERNING FACTOR 1/2) perception by ER. This mechanism adds one more complexity 237 to plant architecture development<sup>104</sup>.

 After the activation of the BR pathway, a PP2A phosphatase complex attenuates BR signaling by dephosphorylating BRI1, as attested by PP2A mutant and overexpression phenotypes<sup>105,106</sup>. Of note, PP2A localization is regulated by reversible methylation on an A subunit of PP2A 241 through SBI1 (SUPPRESSOR OF BRI1) leucine carboxylmethyltransferase activity. Subunits A, such as RCN1 (ROOTS CURL IN NAPHTHYLPHTHALAMIC ACID1), are generally distributed in the cytosol, but methylation on RCN1 leads to PP2A complex formation to 244 membrane fractions at PM and/or endosomes, where BRI1 resides<sup>105</sup>. BR signaling also 245 transcriptionally upregulates *SBI1* expression, which in turn dampens signaling<sup>105</sup>. SBI1 and 246 PP2A are also required for BRI1 degradation<sup>105</sup>, implying that dephosphorylation of BRI1 is critical for diverting BRI1 to MVBs or lytic vacuolar compartments for degradation, although SBI1 and PP2A might lead to BRI1 degradation by other mechanisms. These multi-lock systems at distinct layers tightly regulate BRI1 activity or complex formation to suppress the basal or excess BRI1 activity.

 The activities of BR signaling components are not solely under the control of BR perception. For example, other phytohormones crosstalk with BR signaling factors through post- translational mechanisms. Salicylic acid, one of the stress-induced phytohormones, promotes the detachment of BSKs from the PM by upregulating de-S-acylation enzymes, resulting in BR 255 pathway downregulation<sup>107</sup>. The core regulators of abscisic acid (ABA) signaling ABI1 and 2 (ABA INSENSITIVE 1 and 2) phosphatases dephosphorylate BIN2, and BIN2 phosphorylates 257 SnRK2s (SNF1-Related Kinase  $2)^{108}$ , thereby oscillating both signaling mechanisms. Further study in such growth/stress response signaling cross points is critical to understand plant resilience under complex environments.

## **Interplay between BR signaling and cytoskeletal networks**

 Two interplaying cytoskeletal networks in the cytosol and close contact with the PM, MTs and the actin microfilaments (MF), participate in essential cell activities such as cell elongation, 264 cell division, and vesicle/organelle trafficking<sup>109</sup>.

 The cytoskeleton is a highly dynamic biopolymer, and its organization is tightly controlled by 266 external and internal cues<sup>110–113</sup>. This plastic nature of the cytoskeleton provides the essential basis for morphogenesis, directional growth, and stress adaptation, as seen in stomatal closure 268 under high salinity stress<sup>114,115</sup>, for example. Phytohormones, including BRs, are representative 269 . endogenous regulators of the cytoskeleton. BRs modulate the organization and dynamics of 270 MFs in response to gravity in Arabidopsis<sup>117</sup>, and other plants such as *Zea mays* and *Medicago* 271 *truncatula*<sup>118</sup>. However, the detailed molecular mechanisms underlying such regulation are still obscure<sup>119</sup>. Here, we highlight recent advances in how BRs impact cytoskeletal network regulation, particularly focusing on MTs.

## *Transcriptional regulation*

 Transcriptome analyses have already connected BRs and MTs/MFs, since the expression of some tubulin (*TUB1* and *TUB8*) and actin (*ACT11*) genes are upregulated in the presence of  $BRS<sup>19</sup>$ . A couple of studies have also highlighted the BR-induced transcriptional upregulation of MAPs (Microtubule-Associated Proteins) that impacts MT dynamics and organ developmental processes. The BZR1 transcription factor protein directly binds to promoter regions of *MDP40 (MICROTUBULE DESTABILIZING PROTEIN40)*, *KATANIN1* (*KT1,*  encoding p60 subunit), and *KATANIN80(1/2/3/4) (KT80s)* and upregulates their transcription. MDP40 promotes hypocotyl elongation, and KATANINs (KTN) support stamen filament cell

284 elongation for efficient fertilization through BR-triggered MT reorganization<sup>120,121</sup>.

 Not limited to uni-directional transcriptional regulation, BR signaling and MTs form a feedback loop by controlling *CLASP* (*CLIP170-associated protein*) transcription (**Fig. 2d**). *CLASP* encodes a MAP acting in transfacial MT bundle formation, particularly important to 288 maintain proper cell population in root division zone<sup>122</sup>. Ruan *et al.* demonstrated that the transcription of *CLASP* was negatively regulated by BRs, which prolongs the transition from the division zone to the elongation zone in the root meristems through the reorganization of MTs. Conversely, CLASP modulates BR signaling through the delivery of BRI1 to the PM by 292 bridging BRI1-containing SORTING NEXIN1 (SNX1)-labeled endosomes and MTs<sup>122-125</sup>. Thus, CLASP plays a pivotal role in the negative feedback loop in BR sensing by adjusting the levels of BRI1 (**Fig. 2d, Fig. 3a**). Further analyses using super-resolution microscopy will

 reveal how transfacial MTs, where CLASP preferentially accumulates, contribute to BRI1 secretion and distribution on the PM.

## *Non-transcriptional regulation*

 Several studies have shown that BRs also control MT organization through post-translational modifications. Such effects prominently appear in lobe-indent formation during leaf pavement 301 cell development. Genetic or pharmacological perturbation of BR biosynthesis/signaling<sup>126,127</sup> 302 leads to MT disorganization and altered jigsaw puzzle shapes of pavement cells<sup>128</sup>. However, the detailed molecular mechanisms underlying the impact of BRs on MT regulation are still missing.

 Two recent complementary papers have nicely connected BR signaling to cortical MTs via regulating ROP (Rho of plant) small GTPase signaling during pavement cell formation (**Fig. 2a**)<sup>129,130</sup>. ROPs, which regulate pavement cell shapes, are small GTPases acting as molecular so switches from active to inactive states depending on GTP or GDP binding, respectively<sup>131</sup>. The ROP activation cycle is carried out by GEFs (Guanine nucleotide Exchange Factors), and the inactivation of ROPs is finely tuned by GAPs (GTPase-Activating Proteins) and GDIs (GDP Dissociation Inhibitors). BRs were shown to impact MT organization through BIN2-mediated phosphorylation of PHGAP1 and 2 (Pleckstrin Homology GAP1 and 2), whose double mutant 313 demonstrates vague lobe-indent patterns in pavement cells<sup>129,130</sup>. PHGAPs target ROP2, which prevents MT alignment in indent areas by inhibiting RIC1 (ROP Interactive CRIB motif-315 containing protein1)<sup>132</sup>. PHGAPs are preferentially accumulated at the anticlinal face of the 316 pavement cell indent regions in MT- and BIN2-dependent manners<sup>129,130</sup>. In the absence of BRs, BIN2 activates and stabilizes PHGAPs, leading to ROP2 suppression, allowing MTs to  $f(318)$  form well-ordered networks in indent regions through the ROP6-RIC1-KTN module<sup>133</sup>. On the other hand, when the BR signal is on, BIN2 is inactivated and degraded, allowing PHGAPs to  $s$  320 stay dormant<sup>129</sup>. Then, active ROP2 prevents MT bundle formation by inhibiting ROP6, leading to lobe formation. Thus, BRs modulate pavement cell lobe-indent formation through MT regulation. This model also implies that local BR signal modulation occurs since BIN2 stays active only on the indent sides, possibly through feedback signal from ROP-related factors, bundled MTs, or tensile stress to the BR pathways (**Fig. 2a, Fig. 3b)**.

Previous reports also show that BIN2 phosphorylates both α and β-tubulins *in vitro*<sup>128</sup>, and some cytoskeletal proteins, such as TUA6, TUB4, and ACT2, showed mobility shifts on 2D electrophoresis gels after BR treatments, implying that BRs induce some modifications on

these proteins that are yet to be characterized<sup>134</sup>. Furthermore, a recent proximal labeling screen 329 identified potential substrates of  $BIN2^{135}$ . The candidate list includes various cytoskeleton- associated proteins and PM proteins, suggesting the BR pathway impacts cell surface regulation in several ways.

## **Interplay between BR signaling and cell wall homeostasis**

 Cell growth is an intricate process that requires precise regulation from both the inner- and extracellular sides. As mentioned, BR signaling leads to complex cell shape formation in the leaf epidermis by spatially controlling tip growth through cortical MT network regulation. BRs also dictate cell growth by global transcriptional changes in cell wall biogenesis and modification enzymes. For instance, several cell wall-remodeling genes, such as *CESA6* (*CELLULOSE SYNTHASE A 6*), *XTH9, 18, 33* (*XYLOGLUCAN ENDOTRANSGLUCOSYLASE/HYDROLASEs*), *EXPA8* (*EXPANSINA8*), and *PME2 PME3* 342 (*PECTIN METHYLESTERASEs*), are direct targets of BES1/BZR1<sup>41,42</sup>. In addition to the transcriptional down-regulation of  $CESAI<sup>42</sup>$ , BIN2 also phosphorylates CESA1 and negatively regulates its activity<sup>136</sup>. BR treatment also suppresses gravitropism response in etiolated hypocotyls by reorientating cellulose microfibrils and changes in cell wall components<sup>137</sup>. Besides, the BR pathway plays multiple roles in determining the onset timing of secondary cell 347 wall deposition<sup>138–140</sup>. In this part, we will focus on how BRI1 engages the modulation and sensing of the extracellular environment.

*Negative feedback loop between plasmodesmata aperture and BR signaling/biosynthesis*

 Changes in cell wall composition determine the activity of cell surface structures such as plasmodesmata (PD). PDs function as narrow channels for micro- and macro-molecules, such as nutrients, signaling molecules, bacterial effectors, or viruses, between the cytoplasm of neighboring cells. Their permeability responds to internal and external stimuli and is 354 predominantly regulated by callose deposition on the PD necks.<sup>141,142</sup>. BR signaling manipulates the PD permeability by inducing callose accumulation, thereby restricting the 356 diffusion of molecules through  $PD<sup>143</sup>$ . BR-induced callose deposition appears to be mediated by a transcriptional pathway, still, this does not exclude a possibility that the BRI1 complex directly regulates callose synthase activity or other non-transcriptional mechanisms at the 359 PM<sup>143</sup>.

360 . Of note, PD permeability impacts BR biosynthesis<sup>143</sup>. The metabolic pathway of BRs from 361 campesterol to brassinolide, the most bioactive BR, is well-documented, and a reductase and several cytochrome P450 family mono-oxygenases are assigned to each step<sup>144</sup>. Each enzyme 363 is expressed in different tissue layers around root meristem, despite some overlaps, indicating 364 that BR precursors should relocate across the layers to complete the full biosynthesis 365 pathway<sup>145</sup>. Furthermore, full complementation of the *cpd* BR biosynthetic mutant by 366 epidermis-specific expression of *CPD* also supports such relocation idea<sup>8</sup>. This model is further 367 reinforced by elegant experiments combining a dominant callose synthase transgenic line that 368 over-accumulates callose and a fluorescently tagged BR precursor to directly visualize the 369 precursor movements<sup>143</sup>. Together with the negative transcriptional feedback of biosynthesis 370 genes<sup>144</sup>, callose deposition at plasmodesmata regulates BR production, constituting another 371 feedback in BR signaling regulation via the relocation of BRs and their precursors between 372 cells.

373

### 374 *Control of cell wall extensibility by acidification*

375 Acidifying apoplastic spaces is a critical step in expanding plant cells by increasing the 376 extensibility of the cell walls. Some cell wall loosening enzymes, such as expansins, possess  $\mu$  higher activity under acidic conditions<sup>146</sup>. Auxins are well-known inducers of apoplast 378 acidification acting through the ABP1 (AUXIN BINDING PROTEIN1)-TMKs 379 (TRANSMEMBRANE KINASEs)-H<sup>+</sup>ATPase module. TMKs interact with the AHA1/2 H<sup>+</sup>-380 ATPase and phosphorylate their penultimate residues (Thr948/947, respectively)<sup>46,47,147</sup>, 381 whereas PP2C-D (PROTEIN PHOSPHATASE2C-D) deactivates AHA1/2 by 382 dephosphorylation<sup>148</sup>.

383 Similar to auxin, BR treatments trigger apoplastic acidification by inducing phosphorylation of 384 the AHA1/2  $H^+$ -ATPases<sup>149–151</sup>. Mechanistically, two non-mutually exclusive models are 385 proposed to explain the proton ATPase activation (Fig. 2b)<sup>149–151</sup>. In the first model, the  $386$  activation of H<sup>+</sup>-ATPases relies on the canonical BR pathway through transcriptional 387 upregulation of PP2C inhibitors, *SAUR9/19* (*SMALL AUXIN UP RNA 9/19*)<sup>150</sup>. In the second 388 model, BRI1 directly interacts with AHA1/2, and SAUR15 enhances the complex formation 389 to activate the proton ATPases by enhancing BRI1 activity<sup>151</sup>. Genetic evidence supports both 390 scenarios since *SAUR15* overexpression increases hypersensitivity to BRs by enhancing extracellular acidification through upregulating H+ 391 -ATPase activity, and a *saur15* mutant

shows reduced sensitivity to BRs in root length<sup>151</sup>. Similarly, *SAUR19* overexpression leads to extracellular acidification<sup>148</sup>. It is still unclear how much both pathways contribute to apoplast acidification and whether they crosstalk to coordinate proper cell elongation by adjusting cell wall extensibility.

 A modeling approach predicted that cation channels were involved in this pathway to compensate for positive charges when protons are transported toward extracellular spaces. CNGC10 (CYCLIC NUCLEOTIDE GATED CHANNEL 10) was proposed as a candidate since *cngc10* mutants show defects in apoplastic acidification upon BR treatment. CNGC10 400 forms a complex with BRI1-BAK1, suggesting direct regulation by the receptor complex<sup>152</sup>. 401 Recent reports described that the altered pH changed receptor-peptide ligand binding<sup>153</sup> or ligand activities<sup>154</sup>. Remains to be determined whether the acidification matters to the BRI1- BR binding capacity or BRI1-BR-BAK1 complex formation, and these points might be essential to understand the homeostasis of apoplastic spaces.

#### *Sensing cell wall integrity*

 Plant cell walls consist of carbohydrates, proteins, and other secondary metabolites. Cellulose, cross-bridged by hemicellulose, provides tensile strength, while pectin confers elasticity to cell walls. Transcriptional regulations and enzymatic activity changes allow plants to dynamically remodel plant cell walls depending on developmental cues or stress responses, but how plant cells monitor the cell wall status is still obscure. Yeast, which is also encapsulated in a cell wall, has cell wall sensors, Wsc1-3, Mid1, and Mtl1 that monitor its mechanical properties and 412 trigger transcriptional changes to adapt to acute osmotic changes or heat shock<sup>155,156</sup>. Plants do not have obvious homologs of these molecules, but solid evidence indicates that plants do have 414 cell wall surveillance systems<sup>157,158</sup>. Recent studies indeed revealed that different classes of 415 proteins, such as mechano-sensitive  $Ca^{2+}$  channels<sup>159</sup>, cell wall proteins<sup>160</sup>, receptor-like 416 proteins<sup>161</sup>, GPI-anchored proteins<sup>162</sup>, or receptor-like kinases<sup>163–166</sup>, function in cell wall sensing. Mutations in these proteins often lead to abnormal cell shapes, defects in cell growth, 418 or pollen tube rupture<sup>158</sup>.

 An unexpected role of BRI1 in cell wall sensing emerged from a forward genetic approach (**Fig. 2c**). Recent reports demonstrated that a BRI1-mediated cell wall surveillance system monitors the homeostasis of pectin methyl esterification, affecting the biophysical properties 422 of pectins. Demethylesterified pectin binds to  $Ca^{2+}$  through its free carboxy groups, promoting bridge formation between pectin chains. Of interest, super-resolution microscopic observation

424 clearly highlighted the biased distribution of methyl- and demethyl-esterified pectins<sup>167</sup>. PMEs 425 (pectin methylesterases) and PMEIs (PME inhibitors) are critical proteins that determine the 426 pectin methyl esterification ratio. Disruption of the pectin methylesterification balance by abover 427 overexpression of *PMEI5* leads to wavy root growth<sup>168</sup>. Wolf *et al.* used this wavy growth as a 428 phenotypic proxy to the methylesterification status of the cell walls and conducted a forward 429 suppressor screen of the PMEIox phenotype<sup>168</sup>. One of the suppressor mutants, called 430 *comfortable numb 1* (*cnu1*), has a mutation in the kinase domain of BRI1. Other *bri1* mutant 431 alleles, or the *det2* BR biosynthesis mutant, also suppress the PMEIox phenotype<sup>168,169</sup>, 432 suggesting the phenotype of PMEI5 overexpression is a result of the enhanced BR signaling 433  $pathway^{168}$ .

434 Another component in this pathway was identified as CNU2, encoding RLP44 (Receptor Like 435 Protein 44), in the same suppressor screen. RLP44 stabilizes a ternary complex with BRI1- 436 BAK1 and enhances BR transcriptional responses as an auxiliary component of the pathway<sup>161</sup> 437 (**Fig. 2c**). RLP44 is phosphorylated in BR/cell wall homeostasis-dependent manners, which is 438 required for its functionality in cell wall maintenance<sup>161,170</sup>. Since phosphorylated RLP44 tends 439 to stay at the PM, while a non-phosphorylated form mainly resides in endosomes, phospho-440 status of RLP44 may affect BR signal initiation in this context<sup>171</sup>. In parallel, RLP44 also 441 strengthens the PSKR (phytosulfokine receptor)-BAK1 complex formation, which mediates 442 procambium/xylem patterning together with  $BRI1^{172}$ . This fact raises the question of how RLP44 balances BRI1 and PSKR activities. Indeed, plants harboring the *bri1<sup>cnu4</sup>* mutation 444 display abnormal xylem pattern formation due to the imbalance of competition between 445 BRI1<sup>cnu4</sup>, which has tighter interaction with RLP44, and PSKR<sup>169</sup>. Distinct from BR signaling, 446 the phospho-status of RLP44 does not affect PSK signaling, suggesting RLP44 447 phosphorylation status may not explain the competition<sup>170</sup>. Moreover, a recent study also 448 suggested that BRI1 plays a role in the xylem patterning process partially independent of BRs<sup>55</sup>. 449 Further study may reveal the nature of microenvironmental cues or components that govern 450 the competition over RLP44 during xylem patterning.

 A recent report provides mechanistic insights into how BRI1 functions in cell-surface continuum signaling with another RLK in rice. OsWAK11 (WALL ASSOCIATED KINASE 11) was identified as a negative growth regulator and as an interactor of OsBRI1. OsWAK11 monitors pectin methylation status by preferentially binding to methylesterified pectins. Demethylesterified pectin suppresses BR signaling through BRI1 phosphorylation by OsWAK11. On the other hand, the methylesterified rich situation leads to OsWAK11 reduction, 457 allowing BRI1 to initiate signals<sup>173</sup>. OsWAK11 phosphorylates a monocot-specific motif in OsBRI1, suggesting this pectin sensing module is unique to monocots. How the pectin status enhances BR signaling is still an open question in Arabidopsis, but the recent identification of RFO1 (RESISTANCE TO FUSARIUM OXYSPORUM1), a WAK-like receptor-like kinase, may fill the gap (**Fig. 2c)**. *rfo1* mutant suppresses the PMEIox phenotype like BR-defective mutants by modulating the transcription of BR-related genes. In addition, RFO1 directly binds to demethylesterified pectins, supporting the idea that RFO1 may connect pectins to BR-464 signaling<sup>174</sup>. Further studies on direct interaction between RFO1 and BRI1-BAK1-RLP44, and divergent mechanisms in cell wall sensing among plant species are awaited.

## **Coordination of organ growth**

 Coordinated cell growth in each tissue is one of the critical processes for multicellular organisms to determine organ shape, to keep their integrity, and to achieve biased organ growth. For example, the root endodermis-specific expression of a dominant negative form of DELLA protein, a gibberellin and other growth signal integrating factor, severely attenuates whole root 472 growth<sup>175</sup>. On the other hand, ERECTA-EPFL4/6 (EPIDERMAL PATTERNING FACTOR- LIKE 4/6) receptor-peptide pairs determine inflorescence stem height by modulating cortex 474 cell proliferation and growth<sup>176</sup>.

 Several lines of evidence prove that BR signaling coordinates organ growth by adjusting physical constraint forces. For example, the proper balance of responses to BRs in root hair and non-hair cells is crucial since an imbalanced BR-induced cell growth triggers ethylene 478 responses and accumulation of crystalline cellulose<sup>177</sup>, resulting in inadequate whole root growth. Quantitative 3D cell growth analysis also revealed that cells in each tissue layer display distinct responses to BRs, which are essential for proper radial root meristem growth. Additionally, BR treatment decreases the stele area in WT roots, while BR signaling 482 perturbation increases the stele size<sup>178</sup>. Of note, epidermal specific BRI1 expression in *bri1* 483 mutant rescues the root growth and limits the stele area<sup>8</sup>. These observations suggest that the epidermal layer provides BR-dependent mechanical constraint. A recent study uncovered that BRs are also involved in organ integrity through coordinated tissue growth in the carnivorous aquatic plant *Utricularia gibba* (commonly called floating bladderwort) and terrestrial plant 487 shoots<sup>179</sup>. In this study, a genetic screen identified dwarf and extra-dwarf mutants, both presenting short internodes, small leaves, small traps, and distorted vascular tissue.  Computational modeling predicted that the wavy vascular tissue phenotype in the dwarf mutant resulted from the physical constraint of retarded epidermal layer growth. Consistent with the dwarf phenotypes, the responsible gene was a cytochrome P450 90B1 enzyme, a homolog of *DWF4* (*DWARF4*) in Arabidopsis<sup>179–181</sup>. In line with this observation, treatment with a BR biosynthesis inhibitor recapitulates *dwarf* mutant phenotypes of *U. gibba*, while BR treatment rescued the mutant phenotypes. Furthermore, a mutation in Arabidopsis *DWF4* enhances the crack phenotype in *qua1-2* (*quasimodo 1-2*) in hypocotyl epidermal cells, suggesting BRs 496 reduce epidermal constraint<sup>179</sup>. Modeling analysis revealed that cell wall extensibility through BR-induced apoplast acidification could be one of the determinants of such coordination, but 498 this does not exclude the possibility that other BR-related mechanisms also drive the process. Decoding BR response under tension in each tissue may support the unwiring of these interactions. Thus, BRs impact not only the adjustment of the microenvironment around the cell surface but also regulate the whole apoplast continuum to coordinate organ growth.

### **Conclusion and perspectives**

504 Since the identification of BRs as "growth-promoting chemicals" from *B.napa*<sup>182</sup>, forward genetic approaches in Arabidopsis or rice, biochemical characterization, and many other physiological analyses unraveled the critical roles of BRs in plant life cycles and the detailed 507 underlying molecular mechanisms<sup>21</sup>. Besides these developmental and physiological aspects, BRI1 serves as a model of choice to many cell biologists because of its unique dynamic regulation through post-translational modifications, particularly in the vicinity of the cell surface (**Fig. 1**). Together with the dynamic quantitative regulation of BRI1, a multi-layered interaction network fine tunes the activity of BRI1 or BRI1-BAK1 complex formation at the PM (**Fig. 1**), oscillating the level of BR signaling to regulate cell surface structures through transcriptional and non-transcriptional mechanisms (**Fig. 2**).

 Many questions are yet to be answered, from the factors binding to and decoding the post- translational modification codes of BRI1 controlling its activity and dynamics, to the mechanisms driving the diffusion, nanodomain formation/maintenance, or intracellular sorting of BRI1. Recent technical advances in high- and super-resolution microscopy uncovered nanodomain formation of BRI1 and will be instrumental in understanding better this new facet of BRI1 biology.

 Signals from BRI1 are emitted from nanodomains, but how these signals can modulate the spatially restricted cell wall status changes is still obscure. For example, methyl- and demethyl- esterified pectins distribute ununiformly, suggesting pectin modification status sensing is locally activated. As mentioned above, transcriptional feedback is thought to maintain cell wall status, but it is still unclear how transcriptional feedback can achieve local cell wall modifications. Combining recent advanced methods, such as proximal labeling-based spatial proteomes, super-resolution microscopy techniques, and all conventional approaches will help us approach the molecular machinery for local cell wall modification with unprecedented resolutions. Thus, BRI1 and its signaling are still inspiring us to discover novel concepts in cell biology.

### **Acknowledgments**

 We apologize to authors whose work is related to brassinosteroid-driven cell surface regulation that could not be cited either because of my oversight or space limitation. The figures were created with BioRender.com. We thank Steven Moussu for the critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by a Ph.D. fellowship from the University Toulouse 3- Paul Sabatier to C.D., research grants from Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-17-CE20- 537 0026-01 to G.V. and ANR-22-CE13-0021-01 to S.F.), and the French Laboratory of Excellence (project "TULIP" grant nos. ANR–10–LABX–41 and ANR–11–IDEX–0002–02 to G.V.).

#### **Author contributions**

- Conceptualization, C.D., G.V., and S.F.; writing original draft, C.D.; writing review &
- editing, C.D., G.V., and S.F.; visualization, C.D., S.F.; supervision, S.F. and G.V.

#### **Declaration of interests**

No interests are declared.

- 
- 547 1. Congreve, M., de Graaf, C., Swain, N. A. & Tate, C. G. Impact of GPCR Structures on Drug

Discovery. *Cell* **181**, 81–91 (2020).

- 2. Robinson, D. R., Wu, Y.-M. & Lin, S.-F. The protein tyrosine kinase family of the human genome. *Oncogene* **19**, 5548–5557 (2000).
- 3. Shiu, S.-H. & Bleecker, A. B. Receptor-like kinases from Arabidopsis form a monophyletic gene
- family related to animal receptor kinases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **98**, 10763–10768 (2001).
- 4. Shiu, S.-H. *et al.* Comparative Analysis of the Receptor-Like Kinase Family in Arabidopsis and
- Rice[W]. *Plant Cell* **16**, 1220–1234 (2004).
- 5. Li, J. & Chory, J. A Putative Leucine-Rich Repeat Receptor Kinase Involved in Brassinosteroid Signal Transduction. *Cell* **90**, 929–938 (1997).
- 6. Choe, S. *et al.* The Arabidopsis dwf7/ste1 Mutant Is Defective in the Δ7 Sterol C-5 Desaturation
- Step Leading to Brassinosteroid Biosynthesis. *Plant Cell* **11**, 207–221 (1999).
- 7. Nakaya, M., Tsukaya, H., Murakami, N. & Kato, M. Brassinosteroids Control the Proliferation of Leaf Cells of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **43**, 239–244 (2002).
- 8. Savaldi-Goldstein, S., Peto, C. & Chory, J. The epidermis both drives and restricts plant shoot growth. *Nature* **446**, 199–202 (2007).
- 9. Ye, Q. *et al.* Brassinosteroids control male fertility by regulating the expression of key genes
- involved in Arabidopsis anther and pollen development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 6100–6105 (2010).
- 10. Vogler, F., Schmalzl, C., Englhart, M., Bircheneder, M. & Sprunck, S. Brassinosteroids promote Arabidopsis pollen germination and growth. *Plant Reprod.* **27**, 153–167 (2014).
- 11. Krishna, P., Prasad, B. D. & Rahman, T. Brassinosteroid Action in Plant Abiotic Stress Tolerance.
- in *Brassinosteroids: Methods and Protocols* (eds. Russinova, E. & Caño-Delgado, A. I.) 193–202
- (Springer, 2017). doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-6813-8\_16.
- 12. Planas-Riverola, A. *et al.* Brassinosteroid signaling in plant development and adaptation to
- stress. *Development* **146**, dev151894 (2019).
- 13. Hong, Z. *et al.* Loss-of-function of a rice brassinosteroid biosynthetic enzyme, C-6 oxidase,
- prevents the organized arrangement and polar elongation of cells in the leaves and stem. *Plant J.* **32**, 495–508 (2002).
- 14. Mori, M. *et al.* Isolation and Characterization of a Rice Dwarf Mutant with a Defect in
- Brassinosteroid Biosynthesis. *Plant Physiol.* **130**, 1152–1161 (2002).
- 15. Nakamura, A. *et al.* The Role of OsBRI1 and Its Homologous Genes, OsBRL1 and OsBRL3, in Rice. *Plant Physiol.* **140**, 580–590 (2006).
- 16. Song, L. *et al.* Reducing brassinosteroid signalling enhances grain yield in semi-dwarf wheat. *Nature* **617**, 118–124 (2023).
- 17. Oh, M.-H. *et al.* Tyrosine phosphorylation of the BRI1 receptor kinase emerges as a component
- of brassinosteroid signaling in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **106**, 658–663 (2009).
- 18. Bojar, D. *et al.* Crystal structures of the phosphorylated BRI1 kinase domain and implications for brassinosteroid signal initiation. *Plant J.* **78**, 31–43 (2014).
- 19. Vert, G., Nemhauser, J. L., Geldner, N., Hong, F. & Chory, J. MOLECULAR MECHANISMS OF
- STEROID HORMONE SIGNALING IN PLANTS. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **21**, 177–201 (2005).
- 20. Jaillais, Y. & Vert, G. Brassinosteroid signaling and BRI1 dynamics went underground. *Curr.*
- *Opin. Plant Biol.* **33**, 92–100 (2016).
- 21. Nolan, T. M., Vukašinović, N., Liu, D., Russinova, E. & Yin, Y. Brassinosteroids: Multidimensional Regulators of Plant Growth, Development, and Stress Responses[OPEN]. *Plant Cell* **32**, 295–318 (2020).
- 
- 22. Li, J., Nam, K. H., Vafeados, D. & Chory, J. BIN2, a New Brassinosteroid-Insensitive Locus in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **127**, 14–22 (2001).
- 23. He, J.-X., Gendron, J. M., Yang, Y., Li, J. & Wang, Z.-Y. The GSK3-like kinase BIN2 phosphorylates and destabilizes BZR1, a positive regulator of the brassinosteroid signaling pathway in
- Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **99**, 10185–10190 (2002).

24. Zhao, J. *et al.* Two Putative BIN2 Substrates Are Nuclear Components of Brassinosteroid

Signaling. *Plant Physiol.* **130**, 1221–9 (2002).

- 25. Vert, G. & Chory, J. Downstream nuclear events in brassinosteroid signalling. *Nature* **441**, 96– 100 (2006).
- 26. Gampala, S. S. *et al.* An Essential Role for 14-3-3 Proteins in Brassinosteroid Signal Transduction in Arabidopsis. *Dev. Cell* **13**, 177–189 (2007).
- 27. Yin, Y. *et al.* BES1 Accumulates in the Nucleus in Response to Brassinosteroids to Regulate

Gene Expression and Promote Stem Elongation. *Cell* **109**, 181–191 (2002).

- 28. Wang, Z. Y. *et al.* Nuclear-localized BZR1 mediates brassinosteroid-induced growth and
- feedback suppression of brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *Dev. Cell* **2**, 505–513 (2002).
- 29. Ryu, H. *et al.* Nucleocytoplasmic Shuttling of BZR1 Mediated by Phosphorylation Is Essential in

Arabidopsis Brassinosteroid Signaling. *Plant Cell* **19**, 2749–2762 (2007).

- 30. Wang, R. *et al.* Nucleocytoplasmic trafficking and turnover mechanisms of BRASSINAZOLE
- RESISTANT1 in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **118**, e2101838118 (2021).
- 31. Li, J. *et al.* BAK1, an Arabidopsis LRR receptor-like protein kinase, interacts with BRI1 and
- modulates brassinosteroid signaling. *Cell* **110**, 213–222 (2002).
- 32. Nam, K. H. & Li, J. BRI1/BAK1, a Receptor Kinase Pair Mediating Brassinosteroid Signaling. *Cell*
- **110**, 203–212 (2002).
- 33. Santiago, J., Henzler, C. & Hothorn, M. Molecular Mechanism for Plant Steroid Receptor
- Activation by Somatic Embryogenesis Co-Receptor Kinases. *Science* **341**, 889–892 (2013).
- 34. Wang, X. *et al.* Sequential Transphosphorylation of the BRI1/BAK1 Receptor Kinase Complex
- Impacts Early Events in Brassinosteroid Signaling. *Dev. Cell* **15**, 220–235 (2008).
- 35. Tang, W. *et al.* Brassinosteroid-Signaling Kinases (BSKs) mediate signal transduction from the
- receptor kinase BRI1 in Arabidopsis. *Science* **321**, 557–560 (2008).
- 36. Kim, T.-W., Guan, S., Burlingame, A. L. & Wang, Z.-Y. The CDG1 Kinase Mediates
- Brassinosteroid Signal Transduction from BRI1 Receptor Kinase to BSU1 Phosphatase and
- GSK3-like Kinase BIN2. *Mol. Cell* **43**, 561–571 (2011).
- 37. Mora-García, S. *et al.* Nuclear protein phosphatases with Kelch-repeat domains modulate the
- response to brassinosteroids in Arabidopsis. *Genes Dev.* **18**, 448–460 (2004).
- 38. Kim, T.-W. *et al.* Brassinosteroid signal transduction from cell-surface receptor kinases to
- nuclear transcription factors. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **11**, 1254–1260 (2009).
- 39. Tang, W. *et al.* PP2A activates brassinosteroid-responsive gene expression and plant growth by dephosphorylating BZR1. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **13**, 124–131 (2011).
- 40. Müssig, C., Fischer, S. & Altmann, T. Brassinosteroid-Regulated Gene Expression. *Plant Physiol.*
- **129**, 1241–1251 (2002).
- 41. Sun, Y. *et al.* Integration of Brassinosteroid Signal Transduction with the Transcription Network for Plant Growth Regulation in Arabidopsis. *Dev. Cell* **19**, 765–777 (2010).
- 42. Yu, X. *et al.* A brassinosteroid transcriptional network revealed by genome-wide identification
- of BESI target genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J.* **65**, 634–646 (2011).
- 43. Kadota, Y. *et al.* Direct Regulation of the NADPH Oxidase RBOHD by the PRR-Associated Kinase
- BIK1 during Plant Immunity. *Mol. Cell* **54**, 43–55 (2014).
- 44. Li, L. *et al.* The FLS2-Associated Kinase BIK1 Directly Phosphorylates the NADPH Oxidase RbohD
- to Control Plant Immunity. *Cell Host Microbe* **15**, 329–338 (2014).
- 45. Fujita, S. *et al.* SCHENGEN receptor module drives localized ROS production and lignification in
- plant roots. *EMBO J.* **39**, e103894 (2020).
- 46. Lin, W. *et al.* TMK-based cell-surface auxin signalling activates cell-wall acidification. *Nature* **599**, 278–282 (2021).
- 47. Li, L. *et al.* Cell surface and intracellular auxin signalling for H+ fluxes in root growth. *Nature*
- **599**, 273–277 (2021).
- 48. Viotti, C. *et al.* Endocytic and Secretory Traffic in Arabidopsis Merge in the Trans-Golgi
- Network/Early Endosome, an Independent and Highly Dynamic Organelle. *Plant Cell* **22**, 1344– 1357 (2010).
- 49. Sutkeviciute, I. & Vilardaga, J.-P. Structural insights into emergent signaling modes of G
- protein–coupled receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* **295**, 11626–11642 (2020).
- 50. Di Rubbo, S. *et al.* The Clathrin Adaptor Complex AP-2 Mediates Endocytosis of
- BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE1 in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **25**, 2986–2997 (2013).
- 51. Martins, S. *et al.* Internalization and vacuolar targeting of the brassinosteroid hormone
- receptor BRI1 are regulated by ubiquitination. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6151 (2015).
- 52. Liu, D. *et al.* Endocytosis of BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE1 Is Partly Driven by a Canonical
- Tyr-Based Motif. *Plant Cell* **32**, 3598–3612 (2020).
- 53. Saeed, B. *et al.* K63-linked ubiquitin chains are a global signal for endocytosis and contribute to selective autophagy in plants. *Curr. Biol.* **33**, 1337-1345.e5 (2023).
- 54. Geldner, N., Hyman, D. L., Wang, X., Schumacher, K. & Chory, J. Endosomal signaling of plant
- steroid receptor kinase BRI1. *Genes Dev.* **21**, 1598–1602 (2007).
- 55. Neubus Claus, L. A. *et al.* BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE1 internalization can occur
- independent of ligand binding. *Plant Physiol.* kiad005 (2023) doi:10.1093/plphys/kiad005.
- 56. Russinova, E. *et al.* Heterodimerization and Endocytosis of Arabidopsis Brassinosteroid
- Receptors BRI1 and AtSERK3 (BAK1). *Plant Cell* **16**, 3216–3229 (2004).
- 57. Robatzek, S., Chinchilla, D. & Boller, T. Ligand-induced endocytosis of the pattern recognition
- receptor FLS2 in Arabidopsis. *Genes Dev.* **20**, 537–542 (2006).
- 58. Doblas, V. G. *et al.* Root diffusion barrier control by a vasculature-derived peptide binding to
- the SGN3 receptor. *Science* **355**, 280–284 (2017).
- 59. Zhou, J. *et al.* Regulation of Arabidopsis brassinosteroid receptor BRI1 endocytosis and
- degradation by plant U-box PUB12/PUB13-mediated ubiquitination. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **115**,
- E1906–E1915 (2018).
- 60. Zhang, L. *et al.* SYP22 and VAMP727 regulate BRI1 plasma membrane targeting to control plant
- growth in Arabidopsis. *New Phytol.* **223**, 1059–1065 (2019).
- 61. Gadeyne, A. *et al.* The TPLATE Adaptor Complex Drives Clathrin-Mediated Endocytosis in
- Plants. *Cell* **156**, 691–704 (2014).
- 62. Wang, L. *et al.* Spatiotemporal Dynamics of the BRI1 Receptor and its Regulation by Membrane
- Microdomains in Living Arabidopsis Cells. *Mol. Plant* **8**, 1334–1349 (2015).
- 63. Yoshinari, A. *et al.* Polar Localization of the Borate Exporter BOR1 Requires AP2-Dependent Endocytosis1. *Plant Physiol.* **179**, 1569–1580 (2019).
- 64. Leitner, J. *et al.* Lysine63-linked ubiquitylation of PIN2 auxin carrier protein governs hormonally
- controlled adaptation of Arabidopsis root growth. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, 8322–8327 (2012).
- 65. Johnson, A. & Vert, G. Unraveling K63 Polyubiquitination Networks by Sensor-Based
- Proteomics1. *Plant Physiol.* **171**, 1808–1820 (2016).
- 66. Dubeaux, G., Neveu, J., Zelazny, E. & Vert, G. Metal Sensing by the IRT1 Transporter-Receptor
- Orchestrates Its Own Degradation and Plant Metal Nutrition. *Mol. Cell* **69**, 953-964.e5 (2018).
- 67. Luo, Y. *et al.* Deubiquitinating enzymes UBP12 and UBP13 stabilize the brassinosteroid
- receptor BRI1. *EMBO Rep.* **23**, e53354 (2022).
- 68. Lu, D. *et al.* Direct Ubiquitination of Pattern Recognition Receptor FLS2 Attenuates Plant Innate Immunity. *Science* **332**, 1439–1442 (2011).
- 69. Martins, S. *et al.* Brassinosteroid signaling-dependent root responses to prolonged elevated ambient temperature. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 309 (2017).
- 70. Naranjo-Arcos, M. *et al.* SUMO/deSUMOylation of the BRI1 brassinosteroid receptor
- modulates plant growth responses to temperature. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **120**, e2217255120 (2023).
- 71. Orosa, B. *et al.* SUMO conjugation to the pattern recognition receptor FLS2 triggers
- intracellular signalling in plant innate immunity. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 5185 (2018).

 72. Lin, W. *et al.* Inverse modulation of plant immune and brassinosteroid signaling pathways by the receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase BIK1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, 12114–12119

(2013).

- 73. Heinemann, B., Künzler, P., Eubel, H., Braun, H.-P. & Hildebrandt, T. M. Estimating the number
- of protein molecules in a plant cell: protein and amino acid homeostasis during drought. *Plant*
- *Physiol.* **185**, 385–404 (2021).
- 74. Platre, M. P. *et al.* Developmental control of plant Rho GTPase nano-organization by the lipid phosphatidylserine. *Science* **364**, 57–62 (2019).
- 75. Smokvarska, M. *et al.* A Plasma Membrane Nanodomain Ensures Signal Specificity during

Osmotic Signaling in Plants. *Curr. Biol.* **30**, 4654-4664.e4 (2020).

- 76. Gronnier, J. *et al.* Regulation of immune receptor kinase plasma membrane nanoscale
- organization by a plant peptide hormone and its receptors. *eLife* **11**, e74162 (2022).
- 77. Chinchilla, D. *et al.* A flagellin-induced complex of the receptor FLS2 and BAK1 initiates plant defence. *Nature* **448**, 497–500 (2007).
- 78. Heese, A. *et al.* The receptor-like kinase SERK3/BAK1 is a central regulator of innate immunity
- in plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **104**, 12217–12222 (2007).
- 79. Schulze, B. *et al.* Rapid Heteromerization and Phosphorylation of Ligand-activated Plant
- Transmembrane Receptors and Their Associated Kinase BAK1\*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 9444–9451 (2010).
- 80. Belkhadir, Y., Yang, L., Hetzel, J., Dangl, J. L. & Chory, J. The growth–defense pivot: crisis
- management in plants mediated by LRR-RK surface receptors. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **39**, 447– 456 (2014).
- 81. Ortiz-Morea, F. A., He, P., Shan, L. & Russinova, E. It takes two to tango molecular links
- between plant immunity and brassinosteroid signalling. *J. Cell Sci.* **133**, jcs246728 (2020).
- 82. Bücherl, C. A. *et al.* Plant immune and growth receptors share common signalling components
- but localise to distinct plasma membrane nanodomains. *eLife* **6**, e25114 (2017).
- 83. Su, B. *et al.* Dynamic spatial reorganization of BSK1 complexes in the plasma membrane
- underpins signal-specific activation for growth and immunity. *Mol. Plant* **14**, 588–603 (2021).
- 84. Good, M. C., Zalatan, J. G. & Lim, W. A. Scaffold Proteins: Hubs for Controlling the Flow of
- Cellular Information. *Science* **332**, 680–686 (2011).
- 85. Amorim-Silva, V. *et al.* TTL Proteins Scaffold Brassinosteroid Signaling Components at the
- Plasma Membrane to Optimize Signal Transduction in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **31**, 1807–1828 (2019).
- 86. Ren, H. *et al.* BRASSINOSTEROID-SIGNALING KINASE 3, a plasma membrane-associated scaffold
- protein involved in early brassinosteroid signaling. *PLOS Genet.* **15**, e1007904 (2019).
- 87. Lakhssassi, N. *et al.* The Arabidopsis TETRATRICOPEPTIDE THIOREDOXIN-LIKE Gene Family Is
- Required for Osmotic Stress Tolerance and Male Sporogenesis1[C][W][OA]. *Plant Physiol.* **158**,
- 1252–1266 (2012).
- 88. Kesten, C. *et al.* Peripheral membrane proteins modulate stress tolerance by safeguarding cellulose synthases. *Sci. Adv.* **8**, eabq6971 (2022).
- 89. Wang, X. *et al.* Autoregulation and Homodimerization Are Involved in the Activation of the
- Plant Steroid Receptor BRI1. *Dev. Cell* **8**, 855–865 (2005).
- 90. Hothorn, M. *et al.* Structural basis of steroid hormone perception by the receptor kinase BRI1.
- *Nature* **474**, 467–471 (2011).
- 91. Hink, M. A., Shah, K., Russinova, E., de Vries, S. C. & Visser, A. J. W. G. Fluorescence Fluctuation
- Analysis of Arabidopsis thaliana Somatic Embryogenesis Receptor-Like Kinase and
- Brassinosteroid Insensitive 1 Receptor Oligomerization. *Biophys. J.* **94**, 1052–1062 (2008).
- 92. Wang, X. *et al.* Identification and Functional Analysis of in Vivo Phosphorylation Sites of the
- Arabidopsis BRASSINOSTEROID-INSENSITIVE1 Receptor Kinase. *Plant Cell* **17**, 1685–1703
- (2005).
- 93. Oh, M.-H., Wang, X., Clouse, S. D. & Huber, S. C. Deactivation of the Arabidopsis
- BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE 1 (BRI1) receptor kinase by autophosphorylation within the glycine-rich loop. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, 327–332 (2012).
- 94. Liu, Y., Huang, X., Li, M., He, P. & Zhang, Y. Loss-of-function of Arabidopsis receptor-like kinase
- BIR1 activates cell death and defense responses mediated by BAK1 and SOBIR1. *New Phytol.*

**212**, 637–645 (2016).

- 95. Ma, C. *et al.* Structural basis for BIR1-mediated negative regulation of plant immunity. *Cell Res.* **27**, 1521–1524 (2017).
- 96. Halter, T. *et al.* The Leucine-Rich Repeat Receptor Kinase BIR2 Is a Negative Regulator of BAK1 in Plant Immunity. *Curr. Biol.* **24**, 134–143 (2014).
- 97. Hohmann, U., Nicolet, J., Moretti, A., Hothorn, L. A. & Hothorn, M. The SERK3 elongated allele
- defines a role for BIR ectodomains in brassinosteroid signalling. *Nat. Plants* **4**, 345–351 (2018).
- 98. Imkampe, J. *et al.* The Arabidopsis Leucine-Rich Repeat Receptor Kinase BIR3 Negatively
- Regulates BAK1 Receptor Complex Formation and Stabilizes BAK1. *Plant Cell* **29**, 2285–2303
- (2017).
- 99. Wang, X. & Chory, J. Brassinosteroids Regulate Dissociation of BKI1, a Negative Regulator of
- BRI1 Signaling, from the Plasma Membrane. *Science* **313**, 1118–1122 (2006).
- 100. Jaillais, Y. *et al.* Tyrosine phosphorylation controls brassinosteroid receptor activation by
- triggering membrane release of its kinase inhibitor. *Genes Dev.* **25**, 232–237 (2011).
- 101. Simon, M. L. A. *et al.* A PtdIns(4)P-driven electrostatic field controls cell membrane identity and signalling in plants. *Nat. Plants* **2**, 1–10 (2016).
- 102. Kang, Y. H. & Hardtke, C. S. Arabidopsis MAKR5 is a positive effector of BAM3-dependent
- CLE45 signaling. *EMBO Rep.* **17**, 1145–1154 (2016).
- 103. Marquès-Bueno, M. M. *et al.* Auxin-Regulated Reversible Inhibition of TMK1 Signaling by
- MAKR2 Modulates the Dynamics of Root Gravitropism. *Curr. Biol.* **31**, 228-237.e10 (2021).
- 104. Wang, D. *et al.* BKI1 Regulates Plant Architecture through Coordinated Inhibition of the
- Brassinosteroid and ERECTA Signaling Pathways in Arabidopsis. *Mol. Plant* **10**, 297–308 (2017).
- 105. Wu, G. *et al.* Methylation of a Phosphatase Specifies Dephosphorylation and Degradation of
- Activated Brassinosteroid Receptors. *Sci. Signal.* **4**, ra29–ra29 (2011).
- 106. Wang, R. *et al.* The Brassinosteroid-Activated BRI1 Receptor Kinase Is Switched off by
- Dephosphorylation Mediated by Cytoplasm-Localized PP2A B′ Subunits. *Mol. Plant* **9**, 148–157 (2016).
- 107. Liu, X. *et al.* Salicylic acid attenuates brassinosteroid signaling via protein de-S-acylation. *EMBO J.* **n/a**, e112998 (2023).
- 108. Wang, H. *et al.* Abscisic Acid Signaling Inhibits Brassinosteroid Signaling through Dampening
- the Dephosphorylation of BIN2 by ABI1 and ABI2. *Mol. Plant* **11**, 315–325 (2018).
- 109. Kost, B. & Chua, N.-H. The Plant Cytoskeleton: Vacuoles and Cell Walls Make the Difference. *Cell* **108**, 9–12 (2002).
- 110. Hamant, O. *et al.* Developmental Patterning by Mechanical Signals in Arabidopsis. *Science* **322**, 1650–1655 (2008).
- 111. Fujita, S. *et al.* An Atypical Tubulin Kinase Mediates Stress-Induced Microtubule
- Depolymerization in Arabidopsis. *Curr. Biol.* **23**, 1969–1978 (2013).
- 112. Oda, Y. & Fukuda, H. Initiation of Cell Wall Pattern by a Rho- and Microtubule-Driven Symmetry Breaking. *Science* **337**, 1333–1336 (2012).
- 113. Lindeboom, J. J. *et al.* A mechanism for reorientation of cortical microtubule arrays driven by
- microtubule severing. *Science* **342**, 1245533 (2013).
- 114. Qian, D. *et al.* Arabidopsis ADF5 promotes stomatal closure by regulating actin cytoskeleton
- remodeling in response to ABA and drought stress. *J. Exp. Bot.* **70**, 435–446 (2019).
- 115. Takatani, S. *et al.* Microtubule Response to Tensile Stress Is Curbed by NEK6 to Buffer Growth
- Variation in the Arabidopsis Hypocotyl. *Curr. Biol.* **30**, 1491-1503.e2 (2020).
- 116. Catterou, M. *et al.* Brassinosteroids, microtubules and cell elongation in Arabidopsis thaliana.
- II. Effects of brassinosteroids on microtubules and cell elongation in the bul1 mutant. *Planta* **212**, 673–683 (2001).
- 117. Lanza, M. *et al.* Role of Actin Cytoskeleton in Brassinosteroid Signaling and in Its Integration
- with the Auxin Response in Plants. *Dev. Cell* **22**, 1275–1285 (2012).
- 118. de Bang, L. *et al.* Brassinosteroids Inhibit Autotropic Root Straightening by Modifying

Filamentous-Actin Organization and Dynamics. *Front. Plant Sci.* **11**, (2020).

- 119. Blume, Ya. B., Krasylenko, Yu. A. & Yemets, A. I. Effects of phytohormones on the cytoskeleton of the plant cell. *Russ. J. Plant Physiol.* **59**, 515–529 (2012).
- 120. Wang, X. *et al.* Arabidopsis MICROTUBULE DESTABILIZING PROTEIN40 Is Involved in
- Brassinosteroid Regulation of Hypocotyl Elongation. *Plant Cell* **24**, 4012–4025 (2012).
- 121. Wang, J. *et al.* Brassinosteroid signals cooperate with katanin-mediated microtubule severing
- to control stamen filament elongation. *EMBO J.* **n/a**, e111883 (2022).
- 811 122. Ambrose, C., Allard, J. F., Cytrynbaum, E. N. & Wasteneys, G. O. A CLASP-modulated cell edge
- barrier mechanism drives cell-wide cortical microtubule organization in Arabidopsis. *Nat.*
- *Commun.* **2**, 430 (2011).
- 123. Ruan, Y. *et al.* The Microtubule-Associated Protein CLASP Sustains Cell Proliferation through a
- Brassinosteroid Signaling Negative Feedback Loop. *Curr. Biol.* **28**, 2718-2729.e5 (2018).
- 124. Ambrose, C. *et al.* CLASP Interacts with Sorting Nexin 1 to Link Microtubules and Auxin
- Transport via PIN2 Recycling in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Dev. Cell* **24**, 649–659 (2013).
- 125. Jaillais, Y., Fobis-Loisy, I., Miège, C. & Gaude, T. Evidence for a sorting endosome in Arabidopsis root cells. *Plant J.* **53**, 237–247 (2008).
- 126. De Rybel, B. *et al.* Chemical Inhibition of a Subset of Arabidopsis thaliana GSK3-like Kinases
- Activates Brassinosteroid Signaling. *Chem. Biol.* **16**, 594–604 (2009).
- 127. Min, Y. K. *et al.* New lead compounds for brassinosteroid biosynthesis inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med.*
- *Chem. Lett.* **9**, 425–430 (1999).
- 128. Liu, X. *et al.* Brassinosteroids regulate pavement cell growth by mediating BIN2-induced
- microtubule stabilization. *J. Exp. Bot.* **69**, 1037–1049 (2018).
- 129. Zhang, C. *et al.* ROPGAP-dependent interaction between brassinosteroid and ROP2-GTPase
- signaling controls pavement cell shape in Arabidopsis. *Curr. Biol.* **32**, 518-531.e6 (2022).
- 130. Lauster, T. *et al.* Arabidopsis pavement cell shape formation involves spatially confined
- ROPGAP regulators. *Curr. Biol.* **32**, 532-544.e7 (2022).
- 131. Feiguelman, G., Fu, Y. & Yalovsky, S. ROP GTPases Structure-Function and Signaling Pathways. *Plant Physiol.* **176**, 57–79 (2018).
- 132. Fu, Y., Gu, Y., Zheng, Z., Wasteneys, G. & Yang, Z. Arabidopsis Interdigitating Cell Growth
- Requires Two Antagonistic Pathways with Opposing Action on Cell Morphogenesis. *Cell* **120**,
- 687–700 (2005).
- 133. Lin, D. *et al.* Rho GTPase Signaling Activates Microtubule Severing to Promote Microtubule Ordering in Arabidopsis. *Curr. Biol.* **23**, 290–297 (2013).
- 134. Deng, Z. *et al.* A Proteomic Study of Brassinosteroid Response in Arabidopsis. *Mol. Cell.*
- *Proteomics MCP* **6**, 2058–2071 (2007).
- 135. Kim, T.-W. *et al.* Mapping the signaling network of BIN2 kinase using TurboID-mediated biotin
- labeling and phosphoproteomics. *Plant Cell* **35**, 975–993 (2023).
- 136. Sánchez-Rodríguez, C. *et al.* BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE2 negatively regulates cellulose
- synthesis in Arabidopsis by phosphorylating cellulose synthase 1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **114**,
- 3533–3538 (2017).
- 137. Somssich, M. *et al.* Brassinosteroids Influence Arabidopsis Hypocotyl Graviresponses through
- Changes in Mannans and Cellulose. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **62**, 678–692 (2021).
- 138. Wang, W., Sun, Y., Li, G. & Zhang, S. Brassinosteroids promote parenchyma cell and secondary
- xylem development in sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.) root. *Plant Direct* **5**, e340 (2021).
- 139. Li, M. *et al.* Brassinosteroid signaling restricts root lignification by antagonizing SHORT-ROOT
- function in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **190**, 1182–1198 (2022).
- 140. Zhuang, Y. *et al.* MYB42 inhibits hypocotyl cell elongation by coordinating brassinosteroid
- homeostasis and signalling in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Ann. Bot.* **129**, 403–413 (2021).
- 141. Vatén, A. *et al.* Callose Biosynthesis Regulates Symplastic Trafficking during Root Development.
- *Dev. Cell* **21**, 1144–1155 (2011).
- 142. Sager, R. E. & Lee, J.-Y. Plasmodesmata at a glance. *J. Cell Sci.* **131**, jcs209346 (2018).
- 143. Wang, Y. *et al.* Plasmodesmata mediate cell-to-cell transport of brassinosteroid hormones.
- *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 1–11 (2023) doi:10.1038/s41589-023-01346-x.
- 144. Clouse, S. D. Brassinosteroids. *Arab. Book* **2002**, (2002).
- 145. Vukašinović, N. *et al.* Local brassinosteroid biosynthesis enables optimal root growth. *Nat.*
- *Plants* **7**, 619–632 (2021).
- 146. Takahashi, K., Hirata, S., Kido, N. & Katou, K. Wall-Yielding Properties of Cell Walls from
- Elongating Cucumber Hypocotyls in Relation to the Action of Expansin. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **47**, 1520–1529 (2006).
- 147. Friml, J. *et al.* ABP1–TMK auxin perception for global phosphorylation and auxin canalization. *Nature* **609**, 575–581 (2022).
- 148. Spartz, A. K. *et al.* SAUR Inhibition of PP2C-D Phosphatases Activates Plasma Membrane H+-
- ATPases to Promote Cell Expansion in Arabidopsis[C][W]. *Plant Cell* **26**, 2129–2142 (2014).
- 149. Caesar, K. *et al.* A fast brassinolide-regulated response pathway in the plasma membrane of
- Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J.* **66**, 528–540 (2011).
- 150. Minami, A., Takahashi, K., Inoue, S., Tada, Y. & Kinoshita, T. Brassinosteroid Induces
- Phosphorylation of the Plasma Membrane H+-ATPase during Hypocotyl Elongation in
- Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **60**, 935–944 (2019).
- 151. Li, M. *et al.* SAUR15 interaction with BRI1 activates plasma membrane H+-ATPase to promote
- organ development of Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **189**, 2454–2466 (2022).
- 152. Großeholz, R. *et al.* Computational modeling and quantitative physiology reveal central
- parameters for brassinosteroid-regulated early cell physiological processes linked to elongation

growth of the Arabidopsis root. *eLife* **11**, e73031 (2022).

- 153. Liu, L. *et al.* Extracellular pH sensing by plant cell-surface peptide-receptor complexes. *Cell* **185**, 3341-3355.e13 (2022).
- 154. Diaz-Ardila, H. N., Gujas, B., Wang, Q., Moret, B. & Hardtke, C. S. pH-dependent CLE peptide
- perception permits phloem differentiation in Arabidopsis roots. *Curr. Biol.* **33**, 597-605.e3 (2023).
- 155. Doblas, V. G., Gonneau, M. & Höfte, H. Cell wall integrity signaling in plants: Malectin-domain kinases and lessons from other kingdoms. *Cell Surf.* **3**, 1–11 (2018).
- 156. Mishra, R., Minc, N. & Peter, M. Cells under pressure: how yeast cells respond to mechanical forces. *Trends Microbiol.* **30**, 495–510 (2022).
- 157. Engelsdorf, T. *et al.* The plant cell wall integrity maintenance and immune signaling systems
- cooperate to control stress responses in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Sci Signal* **11**, eaao3070 (2018).
- 158. Wolf, S. Cell Wall Signaling in Plant Development and Defense. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **73**, 323– 353 (2022).
- 159. Haswell, E. S., Peyronnet, R., Barbier-Brygoo, H., Meyerowitz, E. M. & Frachisse, J.-M. Two
- MscS Homologs Provide Mechanosensitive Channel Activities in the Arabidopsis Root. *Curr. Biol.* **18**, 730–734 (2008).
- 160. Mecchia, M. A. *et al.* RALF4/19 peptides interact with LRX proteins to control pollen tube growth in Arabidopsis. *Science* **358**, 1600–1603 (2017).
- 161. Wolf, S. *et al.* A receptor-like protein mediates the response to pectin modification by
- activating brassinosteroid signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111**, 15261–15266 (2014).
- 162. Ge, Z. *et al.* LLG2/3 Are Co-receptors in BUPS/ANX-RALF Signaling to Regulate Arabidopsis

Pollen Tube Integrity. *Curr. Biol.* **29**, 3256-3265.e5 (2019).

- 163. Hématy, K. *et al.* A Receptor-like Kinase Mediates the Response of Arabidopsis Cells to the
- Inhibition of Cellulose Synthesis. *Curr. Biol.* **17**, 922–931 (2007).
- 164. Miyazaki, S. *et al.* ANXUR1 and 2, Sister Genes to FERONIA/SIRENE, Are Male Factors for
- Coordinated Fertilization. *Curr. Biol.* **19**, 1327–1331 (2009).
- 165. Tang, W. *et al.* Mechano-transduction via the pectin-FERONIA complex activates ROP6 GTPase
- signaling in Arabidopsis pavement cell morphogenesis. *Curr. Biol.* **32**, 508-517.e3 (2022).
- 166. Ge, Z. *et al.* Arabidopsis pollen tube integrity and sperm release are regulated by RALF-
- mediated signaling. *Science* **358**, 1596–1600 (2017).
- 167. Haas, K. T., Wightman, R., Meyerowitz, E. M. & Peaucelle, A. Pectin homogalacturonan
- nanofilament expansion drives morphogenesis in plant epidermal cells. *Science* **367**, 1003– 1007 (2020).
- 168. Wolf, S., Mravec, J., Greiner, S., Mouille, G. & Höfte, H. Plant Cell Wall Homeostasis Is Mediated by Brassinosteroid Feedback Signaling. *Curr. Biol.* **22**, 1732–1737 (2012).
- 169. Holzwart, E. *et al.* A Mutant Allele Uncouples the Brassinosteroid-Dependent and Independent

Functions of BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE 11[OPEN]. *Plant Physiol.* **182**, 669–678 (2020).

- 170. Garnelo Gómez, B., Holzwart, E., Shi, C., Lozano-Durán, R. & Wolf, S. Phosphorylation-
- dependent routing of RLP44 towards brassinosteroid or phytosulfokine signalling. *J. Cell Sci.*
- **134**, jcs259134 (2021).
- 171. Garnelo Gómez, B., Holzwart, E., Shi, C., Lozano-Durán, R. & Wolf, S. Phosphorylation-
- dependent routing of RLP44 towards brassinosteroid or phytosulfokine signalling. *J. Cell Sci.*
- **134**, jcs259134 (2021).
- 172. Holzwart, E. *et al.* BRI1 controls vascular cell fate in the Arabidopsis root through RLP44 and phytosulfokine signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **115**, 11838–11843 (2018).
- 173. Yue, Z.-L. *et al.* The receptor kinase OsWAK11 monitors cell wall pectin changes to fine-tune
- brassinosteroid signaling and regulate cell elongation in rice. *Curr. Biol.* **32**, 2454-2466.e7
- (2022).
- 174. Huerta, A. I. *et al.* The WAK-like protein RFO1 acts as a sensor of the pectin methylation status
- in Arabidopsis cell walls to modulate root growth and defense. *Mol. Plant* **16**, 865–881 (2023).

175. Ubeda-Tomás, S. *et al.* Root growth in Arabidopsis requires gibberellin/DELLA signalling in the

endodermis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **10**, 625–628 (2008).

- 176. Uchida, N. *et al.* Regulation of inflorescence architecture by intertissue layer ligand–receptor
- communication between endodermis and phloem. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, 6337–6342

(2012).

- 177. Fridman, Y. *et al.* Root growth is modulated by differential hormonal sensitivity in neighboring cells. *Genes Dev.* **28**, 912–920 (2014).
- 178. Fridman, Y. *et al.* The root meristem is shaped by brassinosteroid control of cell geometry. *Nat.*

*Plants* **7**, 1475–1484 (2021).

- 179. Kelly-Bellow, R. *et al.* Brassinosteroid coordinates cell layer interactions in plants via cell wall and tissue mechanics. *Science* **380**, 1275–1281 (2023).
- 180. Azpiroz, R., Wu, Y., LoCascio, J. C. & Feldmann, K. A. An Arabidopsis Brassinosteroid-Dependent

Mutant Is Blocked in Cell Elongation. *Plant Cell* **10**, 219–230 (1998).

- 181. Choe, S. *et al.* The DWF4 gene of Arabidopsis encodes a cytochrome P450 that mediates
- multiple 22alpha-hydroxylation steps in brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *Plant Cell* **10**, 231–243 (1998).
- 182. Grove, M. D. *et al.* Brassinolide, a plant growth-promoting steroid isolated from Brassica napus

pollen. *Nature* **281**, 216–217 (1979).

#### **Figure 1 Schematic representation of BRI1 levels and activity controls at the plasma membrane**

- **a.** BRI1 activity is negatively regulated by numerous protein partners such as BSKs, BKI1, and BIK1, all interacting with BRI1 at the plasma membrane (PM) when the BR pathway is off. BAK1 is also sequestered away from BRI1 by BIR3. BRs induce the formation of the BRI1-BAK1 complex and initiate downstream signaling, resulting in the release of inhibitors. The BRI1 pool at the PM is adjusted by the balance of exocytosis, endocytosis and degradation. Two endocytotic pathways, the clathrin-mediated and -independent endocytosis, remove BRI1 from the PM. Endocytosed BRI1 reaches the trans-Golgi network/early endosomes (TGN/EE) and is transported to the lytic vacuole for degradation.
- **b.** Post-translational modifications on lysine residues (K) of BRI1 cytoplasmic domain are signals regulating its dynamics. The UBC35/36 (E2) and PUB12/13 (E3) machinery leads to Lys63 (K63) polyubiquitination of BRI1, which is enhanced by BRs, driving BRI1 endocytosis. The UBP12/13 deubiquitinating enzymes stabilize BRI1 at the PM by removing ubiquitin moieties from BRI1. SUMOylation also stabilizes BRI1 at the PM, and deSUMOylation by DeSi3a enhances BRI1 endocytosis. Since both PTMs target the same residues, competition between PTMs likely happens to fine tune BRI1 cell surface levels.
- **c.** BRI1 and FLS2 form distinct nanodomains within the PM, acting as platforms to amplify signal and drive responses towards development or defense. BIK1 has different impacts on the two pathways. BRI1-BIK1 interaction colocalizes with CMTs and negatively affects the BR pathway. After sensing BRs, the BRI1-BAK1 complex and BIK1 trans-phosphorylate each other, leading to the release of the BIK1 in the cytosol. Consequently, the negative regulator BIN2 is degraded by the proteasome, allowing BES1/BZR1 transcription factors to initiate transcriptional changes. Conversely, the BIK1 phosphorylation induced by FLS2 activates the MAPK cascade and defense responses.

## **Figure 2 Schematic representation of cell surface regulation by the BR pathway in** *Arabidopsis thaliana* **seedling development**

- **a.** BRs modulate the formation of lobes and indentations in the leave pavement cells. In indent areas, the BR pathway is locally off, allowing BIN2 to be active and to phosphorylate PHGAPs, inhibitors of ROP2, specifically localized at the anticlinal face of indent regions in a microtubuleand BIN2-dependent manner. ROP6 activation acts on the CMTs through its effector RIC1/KTN, making the convex form. BIN2 also phosphorylates tubulins *in vitro*, but its effects are still unknown. In the lobe regions, BIN2 is inhibited by the canonical BR pathway, leading to the accumulation of an active form of ROP2, which acts on the actin cytoskeleton through RIC4 and promotes expansion. Reciprocal inhibitions between ROP2 and ROP6 also maintain spatially restricted ROP activation.
- **b.** BR-induced apoplastic acidification through AHA1 promotes cell wall swelling in hypocotyl cells. In the absence of BRs, PP2C inhibits AHA1, leading to less acidic pH conditions. When BR signaling turns on, *SAUR9/19*, targets of BES1/BZR1, are transcriptionally upregulated and inhibit PP2C, supporting apoplastic acidification. Furthermore, BRI1 forms a complex with SAUR15 and AHA1, increasing AHA1 phosphorylation and enhancing proton ATPase activity, resulting in altered CW enzyme activities and membrane polarization.
- **c.** Cell wall integrity sensing in root epidermal cells partially depends on BRI1. PMEs and their inhibitor PMEIs control the degree of homogalacturonan methylation. PME inhibition results in a higher ratio of methylated pectin. The altered pectin methylation ratio activates the RLP44-BAK1- BRI1 complex, probably through RFO1. *PME*s are transcriptionally upregulated by BES1/BZR1 directly, balancing the ratio between the two forms of homogalacturonan.
- **d.** BRI1 and CLASP form a negative feedback loop. When the BR pathway is off, CLASP allows the transport of BRI1 to the PM via the SNX1 retromer that depends on the MT network. In the presence of BRs, *CLASP* transcription is directly downregulated by BES1/BZR1, leading to the

reorientation of the MTs and less BRI1 transport to the PM. This feedback loop finetunes the division zone size in the root tips.

### **Figure 3 BR controls the feedback loop coupled by several mechanisms**

- **a.** BRI1 and CLASP constitute a negative feedback loop by a transcription-vesicle transport coupled mechanism. Please also refer to Fig. 2d for the detailed mechanisms.
- **b.** BIN2 kinase enhances the ROP-MT feedback loop through PHGAP focalization at indent sites and activation (left). In the lobe areas, active ROP2 promotes actin cytoskeleton network formation (right). Active ROP2 and ROP6 are highlighted by color. Please also refer to Fig. 2a for the detailed mechanisms.



Delesalle et al. Figure 1





Delesalle et al. Figure 3