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# Invariant foliations for endomorphims of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with a pluripotentialist product structure 

Virgile Tapiero


#### Abstract

Let $f$ be a holomorphic endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, let $T$ be its Green current and $\mu=T \wedge T$ be its equilibrium measure. We prove that if $\mu$ has a local product structure with respect to $T$ then (an iterate of) $f$ preserves a local foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a neighborhood of $\operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ denotes the exceptional set of $f$. If the local foliation $\mathcal{F}$ extends through $\mathcal{E}$, then it extends to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and is an invariant pencil of lines.


Keywords - Holomorphic dynamics, Equilibrium measure, Green current, Lyapunov exponents, Holomorphic foliations. MSC 2020: 32H50, 32U40, 37D25, 37F75.

## 1 Introduction

This article concerns holomorphic endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ preserving geometric structures. Endomorphisms preserving pencils of curves, webs or foliations were classified by Dabija-Jonsson $[9,10]$ and Favre-Pereira $[16,17]$ by using algebraic geometry. Jonsson studied in [20] the dynamics of polynomial skew products on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ by using pluripotential theory. He proved that the equilibrium measure $\mu$ satisfies a skew product property with respect to the Green current $T$ and provided formulas for the Lyapunov exponents. In particular, the two exponents of $\mu$ are larger than the logarithm of the algebraic degree $d$ of the endomorphism. Recently, Dupont-Taflin [14] extended Jonsson's results to endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ preserving a pencil of lines.

In this article we are interested in the reverse property. Let $f$ be an endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$, let $T$ be its Green current and $\mu:=T \wedge T$ be its equilibrium measure. We prove that if $\mu$ locally satisfies a product structure with respect to $T$, then there exists a holomorphic foliation near the support of $T$ which is $f$-invariant. Moreover, if the foliation extends to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, then this extension is an invariant pencil of lines. The precise statements are given below.

Let us recall the definition of $T$ and $\mu$, we refer to Dinh and Sibony [11, 22] for a general account. We have $T:=\lim _{n} d^{-n} f^{n *} \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$, where $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ is the normalized FubiniStudy form, it is a positive closed $(1,1)$-current on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ satisfying $f^{*} T=d \times T$. The product $\mu=T \wedge T$ is well defined since $T$ has local continuous potentials, it is a mixing invariant probability measure on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ satisfying $f^{*} \mu=d^{2} \times \mu$. Its Lyapunov exponents satisfy $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \log d$, as shown by Briend-Duval [6].

For our purpose, let us develop the situation when $f$ preserves a pencil of lines as above. It has the form $f[z: w: t]=[P(z, w): Q(z, w): R(z, w, t)]$ for a convenient choice of coordinates. Dupont-Taflin [14, Thm. 1.1] proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=T \wedge \pi^{*} \mu_{\theta} \text { and } \pi_{*}(\mu)=\mu_{\theta} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta:=[P(z, w): Q(z, w)], \pi:[z: w: t] \mapsto[z: w]$ (undefined at $[0: 0: 1]$ ) and $\mu_{\theta}$ is the equilibrium measure of $\theta$. If moreover $\theta$ is a Lattès map of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, then

$$
\mu=T \wedge \pi^{*} \mu_{\theta} \ll T \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}
$$

and the smallest exponent of $\mu$ is equal to $\lambda_{\theta}=\frac{1}{2} \log d$, see [14, Cor. 1.3 and 1.4]. Note that, without assuming the existence of an invariant pencil of lines, Dujardin proved that $\mu \ll T \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ implies $\lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log d$, see [12, Thm. 3.6] (see also [15] for another argument).

We recall that Lattès maps of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ are characterized by the three equivalent properties : $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log d, \mu \ll \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$, and $T$ is equal to a positive smooth $(1,1)$-form on a non empty open set. We refer here to the works by Berteloot, Dupont and Loeb $[2,4,5]$. Similar (adapted) characterizations are also valid on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.

In the present article, we first begin by specifying the formula $\mu=T \wedge \pi^{*} \mu_{\theta}$ near most of repelling periodic points $a \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$ when $\theta$ is Lattès : there exists Poincaré-Dulac coordinates $\left(Z_{a}, W_{a}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{a}\right|^{2} \text { on }\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, a\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is done in Section 3, by using results by Berteloot, Dupont, Loeb and Molino.
We are then motivated to study mappings satisfying Formula (2) for at least one repelling periodic point. We introduce the Radon-Nikodym decomposition of the trace measure of $T$ with respect to $\mu$ :

$$
\sigma_{T}:=T \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}=\mu^{a}+\mu^{s},
$$

where $\mu^{a} \ll \mu$ and $\mu^{s} \perp \mu$.
Theorem 1.1. Let $f$ be a holomorphic map of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$, $T$ be its Green current, $\mu=T \wedge T$ be its equilibrium measure and $\mathcal{E}$ be its exceptional set. Assume that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \cap \mathcal{E}=\emptyset$. Assume moreover that

1. there exist a $N$-periodic repelling point $a \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$ and Poincaré-Dulac coordinates $\left(Z_{a}, W_{a}\right)$ for $f^{N}$ at the point a such that

$$
\mu=T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{a}\right|^{2} \text { on }\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, a\right)
$$

2. there exists an open set $\Omega$ charged by $\mu$ such that, for any open subset $V \subset \Omega$, $\mu(V)>0$ implies $\mu^{s}(V)>0$.
Then there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $\operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E}$, and there exists a holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ defined on $\mathcal{V}$, such that $\mathcal{F}$ is invariant by $f^{N}$ on $\mathcal{V}$.

Examples of mappings satisfying the hypothesis of this theorem are given by $f=\left[P(z, w): Q(z, w): t^{d}\right]$, where $\theta=[P(z, w): Q(z, w)]$ is Lattès. Indeed, in this case $\mathcal{E}$ is composed of the center of the pencil and the invariant line at infinity, we refer to Proposition 3.3 and $[15, \S 8]$ to check the items 1. and 2. More generally, if $f$ preserves a pencil of lines, then $\mathcal{E}$ contains its center. For a generic map of $\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathcal{E}$ is empty.

The local first assumption $\mu=T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{a}\right|^{2}$ implies that $\mu \ll \sigma_{T}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, see Proposition 6.1. In particular $f$ has a minimal Lyapunov exponent according to Dujardin's theorem [12]. Note that the second assumption implies that $f$ is not Lattès.

The proof of the Theorem 1.1 requires several steps provided in Sections 4, 5 and 6. In Section 4 we introduce Poincaré maps $\sigma: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ associated to PoincaréDulac coordinates $\left(Z_{a}, W_{a}\right)$. Roughly speaking, the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ will be obtained by the images of the horizontal lines of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ by a Poincaré map $\sigma$. To implement this idea, we prove in Section 5 a Patching Theorem : it allows, under the second assumption of the Theorem 1.1, to patch holomorphic 1 -forms $d W_{1}$ and $d W_{2}$ satisfying $T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{i}\right|^{2} \ll \mu$.

In Section 6, we construct the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ near $\operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E}$, thus proving Theorem 1.1. First we explain that the local formula $\mu=T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{a}\right|^{2}$ near $a$ can be lifted to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and gives a global formula $\sigma^{*} \mu=\sigma^{*} T \wedge d d^{c}|w|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Then in Section 6.2 we explain how to build the desired foliation $\mathcal{F}$ using Theorem 6.2, proved in Section 6.3. The Theorem 6.2 asserts the following : we can construct a foliation $\mathcal{F}$, such that $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}$ coincide with the horizontal foliation of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, on a neighborhood of any compact set $J \subset \operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E}$ such that $J \supset \operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$ and $J=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J}\right)$.

We obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 1.2. Let $f$ be an endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $f^{N}$-invariant holomorphic foliation on a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $\operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E}$ given by this theorem.

Assume that for each point $p$ of $\operatorname{Supp}(T) \cap \mathcal{E}$ there exists an open neighborhood $U_{p}$ of $p$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ extends on $\mathcal{V} \cup U_{p}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ uniquely extends to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and this extension is a pencil of lines invariant by $f^{N}$.

Let us outline the proof, we give details in Section 2. Denote $\mathcal{U}:=\cup_{p \in \operatorname{Supp}(T) \cap \mathcal{E}} U_{p}$. We use the following extension theorem : any holomorphic foliation defined on an open neighborhood of a connected compact subset whose complementary set in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is Stein, extends to a holomorphic foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. This result is due to Lins Neto [21], see also Canales [7]. Since the support of $T$ is a connected compact subset of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ whose complementary set is Stein (see Fornaess-Sibony [18], Ueda [23]), we can apply Lins Neto's theorem to the compact set $\operatorname{Supp}(T) \subset \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{U}$. We obtain that $\mathcal{F}$ extends to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. We can then check that the extension is also invariant. Finally, we use Favre-Pereira classification [16] of invariant foliations on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.
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## 2 Holomorphic foliations and invariance

### 2.1 Holomorphic foliations on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$

Let $U$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. We define a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $U$ by a collection $\left(U_{i}, \omega_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$, where $\cup_{i \in U} U_{i}=U$ is an open cover, and where the $\omega_{i} \in \Omega^{1}\left(U_{i}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ are
holomorphic 1 -forms, such that the following compatibility condition is satisfied :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i} \wedge \omega_{j} \equiv 0 \text { on } U_{i} \cap U_{j} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two collections $\left(U_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)_{i}$ and $\left(V_{j}, \beta_{j}\right)_{j}$ define the same foliation on $U$ if $\alpha_{i} \wedge \beta_{j} \equiv$ 0 on $U_{i} \cap V_{j}$ whenever $U_{i} \cap V_{j} \neq \emptyset$. It is an equivalence relation.

Let us assume that each $U_{i}$ is equipped with holomorphic coordinates $\left(Z_{i}, W_{i}\right)$ and that $\omega_{i}=f_{i} d Z_{i}+g_{i} d W_{i}$, where $f_{i}, g_{i} \in \mathcal{O}(U)$. Let us define the set $U^{0}:=$ $U \backslash\left(\cup_{i \in I}\left\{f_{i}=0\right\} \cap\left\{g_{i}=0\right\}\right)$. Since we work in complex dimension 2 , the condition (3) ensures that the distribution of complex lines $p \in U^{0} \mapsto \operatorname{Ker}\left(\omega_{i}\right)_{p}$ is integrable in the sense of Frobenius, and thus there exists a sub-bundle $T \mathcal{F} \subset T U^{0}$ such that $T_{p} \mathcal{F}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\omega_{i}\right)_{p}$, for any $p \in U^{0}$ and for any $i \in I$ such that $p \in U_{i}$. The vector field

$$
v_{i}:=g_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{i}}-f_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial W_{i}}
$$

satisfies $\omega_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)=0$ on $U_{i}$ and so $T_{p} \mathcal{F}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\omega_{i}\right)_{p}=\mathbb{C} \cdot v_{i}(p)$ for any $p \in U_{i} \cap U^{0}$.
For the next Lemma, we introduce holomorphic 1-forms $\eta_{i}=\left(h_{i} d Z_{i}+k_{i} d W_{i}\right)$ defining a foliation $\mathcal{G}$ on $U$. Let $\left(w_{i}\right)_{i}$ be the corresponding vector fields and $U^{1}:=$ $U \backslash\left(\cup_{i \in I}\left\{h_{i}=0\right\} \cap\left\{k_{i}=0\right\}\right)$.

Lemma 2.1. For every $i \in I$, we have

1. $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U_{i}}=\left.\mathcal{G}\right|_{U_{i}} \Longleftrightarrow\left(\omega_{i} \wedge \eta_{i}=0\right.$ on $\left.U_{i}\right) \Longleftrightarrow\left(\omega_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)=0\right.$ on $\left.U_{i}\right)$.
2. $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U_{i}}=\left.\mathcal{G}\right|_{U_{i}} \Longleftrightarrow\left(T_{p} \mathcal{F}=T_{p} \mathcal{G}, \forall p \in U_{i} \cap U^{0} \cap U^{1}\right)$.
3. Assume $U=U_{i}, \forall i \in I$, and that $U$ is connected. If there exists a non empty open subset $V \subset U$ such that $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{V}=\left.\mathcal{G}\right|_{V}$, then by analytic continuation $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{G}$.

## Proof:

1. The first equivalence is our definition of foliations. For the second equivalence, just observe that $\omega_{i} \wedge \eta_{i}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}f_{i} & h_{i} \\ g_{i} & k_{i}\end{array}\right| d Z_{i} \wedge d W_{i}$ and that $\omega_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)=f_{i} k_{i}-g_{i} h_{i}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}f_{i} & h_{i} \\ g_{i} & k_{i}\end{array}\right|$.
2. We have to prove the reverse implication. Let us assume that $T_{p} \mathcal{F}=T_{p} \mathcal{G}$ for any $p \in U_{i} \cap U^{0} \cap U^{1}$. Since $T_{p} \mathcal{F}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\omega_{i}\right)_{p}$ and $T_{p} \mathcal{G}=\mathbb{C} \cdot w_{i}(p)$, we get $\omega_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)(p)=0$ for any $p \in U_{i} \cap U^{0} \cap U^{1}$. Since $U_{i}$ is connected, $\omega_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)(p)=0$ for every $p \in U_{i}$ by analytic continuation. Hence $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{U_{i}}=\left.\mathcal{G}\right|_{U_{i}}$ according to the first item.
3. If $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{V}=\left.\mathcal{G}\right|_{V}$ on $V \subset U$, then $\omega_{i} \wedge \eta_{i}=0$ on $V$. Since $\omega_{i} \wedge \eta_{i}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}f_{i} & h_{i} \\ g_{i} & k_{i}\end{array}\right| d Z_{i} \wedge d W_{i}$, we deduce that $\left|\begin{array}{ll}f_{i} & h_{i} \\ g_{i} & k_{i}\end{array}\right|=0$ on $V$ and thus on $U$ by analytic continuation. It means that $\omega_{i} \wedge \eta_{i}=0$ on $U_{i}$ for every $i \in I$, and thus $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{G}$ on $U$.

### 2.2 Pull-back of foliations and invariance

Let $U$ and $V$ be two open subsets of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and let $f: V \rightarrow U$ be a holomorphic surjective map which is not constant on each connected component of $V$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on $U$ defined by a collection $\left(U_{i}, \omega_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$. For each $i \in I$, the 1 -form $f^{*} \omega_{i}$ is not null on each connected component of $f^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ and $f^{*} \omega_{i} \wedge f^{*} \omega_{j}=f^{*}\left(\omega_{i} \wedge \omega_{j}\right)=0$ on $f^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right) \cap f^{-1}\left(U_{j}\right)$. Then the collection $\left(U_{i}, f^{*} \omega_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ defines a foliation $f^{*} \mathcal{F}$ on $V$, called the pull-back of $\mathcal{F}$ by $f$.

Definition 2.2. Assume $V=U$ and that $f^{-1}(V) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is invariant by $f$ on $V$ if $f^{*} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}$ on $f^{-1}(V) \cap V$.

For $V=U=\mathbb{P}^{2}$ the pairs $(\mathcal{F}, f)$ where $f^{*} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}$, have been classified by FavrePereira. We shall need the following version of their classification.

Theorem 2.3 (Favre-Pereira [16]). Let $f: \mathbb{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a holomorphic map of degree $d \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ invariant by $f$. Then in appropriate homogeneous coordinates $[x: y: z]$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, one of the following cases holds :

1. $\mathcal{F}$ is the pencil on lines $\pi[z: w: t]=[z: w]$. In this case $f=[P(x, y)$ : $Q(x, y): R(x, y, z)]$ with $P, Q, R$ homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$.
2. $\mathcal{F}$ is not a pencil of lines through a point in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $f$ has the form:
i. $f=\left[x^{d}: y^{d}: z^{d}\right]$ or $f=\left[z^{d}: x^{d}: y^{d}\right]$ or
ii. $f=\left[x^{d}: y^{d}: R(x, y, z)\right]$ or $f=\left[y^{d}: x^{d}: S(x, y, z)\right]$, where $R$ and $S$ depends on the three variables $(x, y, z)$.
We refer to [16] for a description of the foliations $\mathcal{F}$, and for the polynomials $R, S$.
In particular, Lattès maps on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ do not preserve any foliation, however they can preserve webs [17]. We shall use Theorem 2.3 in the following form.

Corollary 2.4. If $f$ preserves a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and if the smallest Lyapunov exponent of $\mu$ satisfies $\lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log d$, then in appropriate homogeneous coordinates $\mathcal{F}$ is a pencil of lines given by the fibers of $\pi[z: w: t]=[z: w]$.

We then can prove the following result.
Proposition 2.5. Let $f$ be a holomorphic map of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $f$-invariant foliation defined on a neighborhood of a fixed point of $f$. If $\mathcal{F}$ admits an extension $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, then $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is invariant by $f$. Moreover, if $\lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log d$ then, in appropriate coordinates, $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is the pencil of lines given by $\pi[z: w: t]=[z: w]$. In this case the rational map induced by $f$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ is Lattès.

Proof: The second part of the statement is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.4. Let $a \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the fixed point given by the statement. $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ coincide with $\mathcal{F}$ on a neighborhood of $a$, and $f^{*} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}$ near $a$. So $f^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}=f^{*} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}$ near $a$. Using again that $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is an extension of $\mathcal{F}$, we deduce that $f^{*} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ near $a$ and thus on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ by analytic continuation (Lemma 2.1).

## 3 Poincaré-Dulac coordinates

Let us consider $f:\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, a\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, a\right)$ a holomorphic germ such that the eigenvalues $\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)$ of $d_{a} f$ satisfy $\left|\chi_{1}\right| \geq\left|\chi_{2}\right|>1$. By Poincaré-Dulac theorem, there exists a germ of biholomorphism $\sigma_{0}:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, a\right)$ and a polynomial mapping $D(z, w)=$ $\left(\chi_{1} z+c w^{q}, \chi_{2} w\right)$, with $q \geq 2$, such that the following commutative relation holds :

$$
f \circ \sigma_{0}=\sigma_{0} \circ D \text { on }\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)
$$

The map $\sigma_{0}^{-1}$ induces holomorphic coordinates $\sigma_{0}^{-1}=\left(Z_{a}, W_{a}\right)$, we call them PoincaréDulac coordinates for the germ $f$ of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, a\right)$.

### 3.1 Lattès mappings on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and multipliers on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$

We begin by recalling some results due to Berteloot-Loeb. For a rational map $\theta$ of degree $d \geq 2$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, we use the following definitions :

- A regular point $p \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is a point such that $\mu_{\theta}$ is a strictly positive smooth $(1,1)$-form on an open neighborhood of $p$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let $\Omega_{\theta}$ be the set of regular points and let $A_{\theta}:=\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash \Omega_{\theta}$.
- $R_{1}(\theta)$ is the set of repelling fixed points of $\theta$, it is contained in $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mu_{\theta}\right)$.

We refer to [4, Theorem 1.1 \& Proposition 2.5] and [5, Proposition 4.1].
Theorem 3.1 (Berteloot-Loeb).

1. If $\theta$ is a Lattès map then $A_{\theta}$ is a finite set, otherwise $A_{\theta}=\mathbb{P}^{1}$.
2. Let $a \in R_{1}(\theta), D(w):=\theta^{\prime}(a) w$ and suppose that $a \in \Omega_{\theta}$. There exists an invertible holomorphic germ $\sigma_{0}:(\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, a\right)$ such that the following relation holds :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{0}^{-1}=: W_{0} \text { and } W_{0} \circ \theta=D \circ W_{0} \text { on }(\mathbb{C}, 0) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that $\mu_{\theta}=d d^{c}\left|W_{0}\right|^{2}$ (which implies $\left|\theta^{\prime}(a)\right|=\sqrt{d}$ ). We call the coordinate $W_{0}$ a Poincaré-Dulac coordinate for $\theta$ at the point a.

Now we deal with the multipliers of repelling cycles for mappings $f$ of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d$, of equilibrium measure $\mu$ and of Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $R_{\mu}^{n, \varepsilon}$ be the set of $n$-periodic repelling points $a \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$ satisfying

$$
\frac{1}{n} \log \left|\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}} d_{a} f^{n}\right| \geq \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-2 \varepsilon
$$

Proposition 3.2 (Berteloot-Dupont-Molino [3, Lemma 4.5]).

1. Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be an open set satisfying $\mu(V)>0$. There exists $n_{\varepsilon} \geq 1$ such that for any $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Card}\left(R_{\mu}^{n, \varepsilon} \cap V\right) \geq d^{2 n}(1-\varepsilon)^{3} \mu(V) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular $R_{\mu}^{\varepsilon}:=\bigcup_{n \geq 1} R_{\mu}^{n, \varepsilon}$ is dense in $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$.
2. Let $R_{\mu}^{n}$ denote the set of $n$-periodic repelling points satisfying

$$
\frac{1}{n} \log \left|\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}} d_{a} f^{n}\right|>\log d
$$

If $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}$ then $R_{\mu}:=\bigcup_{n \geq 1} R_{\mu}^{n}$ is dense in $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$.
We note that (5) is actually proved in [3, Lemma 4.5] for $V=\mathbb{P}^{2}$, but the same proof works for a general open set $V$ charged by $\mu$. The second item is a direct consequence of the first item.

### 3.2 Endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ preserving a pencil of lines

We recall some facts on mappings preserving a pencil of lines through a point in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $f$ be a holomorphic map of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$, and let $T$ and $\mu$ being the Green current of $f$ and $\mu$ its equilibrium measure. We denote $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2}$ the Lyapunov exponents of $\mu$. Let us assume that $f$ preserves the pencil of lines given $\pi:[z: w:$ $t] \mapsto[z: w]$. We denote $\theta$ the rational map such that $\pi \circ f=\theta \circ \pi$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\{[0: 0: 1]\}$.

Recall that $A_{\theta}$ is the complementary set of regular points $p$ ( $\mu_{\theta}$ is smooth on a neighborhood of $p$ ) in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. We denote $E_{\theta}:=\pi^{-1}\left(A_{\theta}\right)$, and we define $R_{\mu, \theta}$ the set of periodic and repelling points $a \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \backslash E_{\theta}$ such that (with $n$ the period of $a$ ) :

$$
\frac{1}{n} \log \left|\operatorname{det}_{\mathbb{C}} d_{a} f^{n}\right|>\log d
$$

Proposition 3.3. Assume that $\theta$ is Lattès. Then $E_{\theta} \cup\{[0: 0: 1]\}$ is a finite union of projectives lines which do not contain $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$, and $R_{\mu, \theta}$ is dense in $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \backslash E_{\theta}$. For any $a \in R_{\mu, \theta}$ of period $n \geq 1$, there exists $\left(Z_{a}, W_{a}\right)$ Poincaré-Dulac coordinates for $f^{n}$ near a such that:

$$
\mu=T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{a}\right|^{2} \text { on }\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, a\right)
$$

Let us give the arguments for the proof. Note that the relation $\pi_{*} \mu=\mu_{\theta}$ (provided by Dupont-Taflin (1)) implies :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(\operatorname{Supp}(\mu))=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mu_{\theta}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Theorem 3.1, the assumption $\theta$ Lattès implies that $E_{\theta} \cup\{[0: 0: 1]\}$ is a union of a finite number of projective lines passing through the point $[0: 0: 1]$. We have $[0: 0: 1] \notin \operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$ (this point belongs to the Fatou set as a super attractive fixed point) and we can not have $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \subset E_{\theta}$ since $\pi(\operatorname{Supp}(\mu))=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mu_{\theta}\right)=\mathbb{P}^{1}$ (by (6)) and since $\pi\left(E_{\theta}\right)=A_{\theta}$ is finite. We deduce that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \backslash E_{\theta}$ is a non empty open subset of $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$, and therefore Proposition 3.2 implies the first assertion of Proposition 3.3. It remains to prove the second one.

Let us fix $a \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$ a repelling $N$-periodic point satisfying $\frac{1}{N} \log \left|\chi_{1} \chi_{2}\right|>$ $\log d$, where $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ are the eigenvalues of $d_{a} f^{N}\left(\right.$ with $\left.\left|\chi_{1}\right| \geq\left|\chi_{2}\right|\right)$. Let $a_{0}:=\pi(a)$, since $a$ is a $N$-periodic point of $f$, the relation $\pi \circ f=\theta \circ \pi$ ensures that $a_{0}$ is a $N$-periodic point of $\theta$. This relation also ensures that $\left(\theta^{N}\right)^{\prime}\left(a_{0}\right)$ is an eigenvalue of $d_{a} f^{N}$. Finally, $a_{0}$ is a repelling fixed point of $\theta^{N}$.

We recall that $\Omega_{\theta}=\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash A_{\theta}$. Now if we assume that $a \in R_{\mu, \theta}$ i.e. $a_{0} \in \Omega_{\theta}$, then to prove the second assertion in Proposition 3.3, it remains to prove the following :

Lemma 3.4. With the preceding notations, if $a_{0} \in \Omega_{\theta}$ then

1. $\left|\chi_{1}\right|>\left|\chi_{2}\right|=\sqrt{d^{N}}$,
2. There exists Poincaré-Dulac coordinates $\left(Z_{a}, W_{a}\right)$ for $f^{N}$ such that:

$$
\mu=T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{a}\right|^{2} \text { and } W_{a} \circ f^{N}=\chi_{2} W_{a} \text { on }\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, a\right) .
$$

Proof: Since $f$ and $f^{N}$ have same equilibrium measure $\mu$ and Green current $T$ (similarly for $\theta$ and $\theta^{N}$ ), we can assume that $N=1$. By assumption the fixed point
$a_{0}$ belongs to $\Omega_{\theta}$, hence by Theorem 3.1 there exists an open neighborhood $U_{0}$ of $a_{0}$ and there exists a Poincaré-Dulac coordinate $W_{0}$ on $U_{0}$ such that $\mu_{\theta}=d d^{c}\left|W_{0}\right|^{2}$ on $U_{0}$. We denote $W_{a}:=W_{0} \circ \pi$ on $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right) \ni a$. Then injecting the normal form $\mu_{\theta}=d d^{c}\left|W_{0}\right|^{2}$ into Dupont-Taflin formula (1), one gets $\mu=T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{a}\right|^{2}$ on $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right)$.

At this stage, by the commutative relation (4), we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{a} \circ f=W_{0} \circ \pi \circ f=W_{0} \circ \theta \circ \pi=\lambda \times W_{0} \circ \pi=\lambda W_{a}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=\theta^{\prime}\left(a_{0}\right)$. According to Theorem 3.1, it satisfies $|\lambda|=\sqrt{d}$.
Let $\widetilde{Z}_{a}$ be a submersion on an open neighborhood $U_{a}$ of $a$ such that $U_{a} \subset \pi^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right)$ and such that $\widetilde{\xi}_{a}:=\left(\widetilde{Z}_{a}, W_{a}\right)$ define holomorphic coordinates centered at $a$. If $\widetilde{D}_{f}:=$ $\widetilde{\xi}_{a} \circ f \circ\left(\widetilde{\xi}_{a}\right)^{-1}$ and $p_{w}:(z, w) \mapsto w$, then

$$
p_{w} \circ \widetilde{D}_{f}=p_{w} \circ \widetilde{\xi}_{a} \circ f \circ\left(\widetilde{\xi}_{a}\right)^{-1}=W_{a} \circ f \circ\left(\widetilde{\xi}_{a}\right)^{-1}=\lambda W_{a} \circ\left(\widetilde{\xi}_{a}\right)^{-1}=\lambda p_{w},
$$

where the third equality is given by (7). This shows that $\widetilde{D}_{f}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{D}_{f}(z, w)=(h(z, w), \lambda w), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$. By construction $d_{0} \widetilde{D}_{f}$ has the same eigenvalues $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$ than $d_{a} f$ which by assumption satisfy $\left|\chi_{1} \chi_{2}\right|>d$. From (8) we deduce that $\lambda$ is also an eigenvalue of $d_{0} \widetilde{D}_{f}: \lambda \in\left\{\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right\}$. But $|\lambda|=\sqrt{d}$, hence $\chi_{2}=\lambda$ and $\left|\chi_{1}\right|>\left|\chi_{2}\right|$. By Lemma 3.5 (proved below) there exist a chart $\xi_{a}$ such that $D_{f} \circ \xi_{a}=\xi_{a} \circ f$ on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$, where $D_{f}(z, w)=\left(\chi_{1} z+c w^{q}, \lambda w\right)$ and $p_{w} \circ \xi_{a}=W_{a}$. Finally $\xi_{a}=\left(Z_{a}, W_{a}\right)$ are Poincaré-Dulac coordinates for $f$ at $a$ and $\mu=T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{a}\right|^{2}$ near $a$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $g$ be a germ fixing 0 in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ such that there exists $\xi=(Z, W)$ an invertible germ fixing 0 such that $D:=\xi \circ g \circ \xi^{-1}$ has the form $D(z, w)=\left(h(z, w), \chi_{2} w\right)$. We denote $\chi_{1}:=\partial_{z} h(0,0)$ and we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\chi_{1}\right|>\left|\chi_{2}\right|>1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists $\widetilde{\xi}=(\widetilde{Z}, W)$ an invertible germ fixing 0 and there exists a germ $\widetilde{D}(z, w)=\left(\chi_{1} z+\widetilde{c} w^{\widetilde{q}}, \chi_{2} w\right)$ such that $\widetilde{\xi} \circ g \circ \widetilde{\xi}^{-1}=\widetilde{D}$.

Proof: Since $\left|\chi_{1}\right|>\left|\chi_{2}\right|>1$, Poincaré-Dulac theorem yields the existence of $\xi^{\prime}$ an invertible germ fixing 0 such that :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime} \circ \xi^{\prime}=\xi^{\prime} \circ D \text { on }\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D^{\prime}(z, w)=\left(\chi_{1} z+c w^{q}, \chi_{2} w\right) \text { and } c=0 \text { if } \chi_{2}^{q} \neq \chi_{1} .
$$

Let $W^{\prime}$ be the second component of $\xi^{\prime}$, our goal is to show that $W^{\prime}$ is given by $W^{\prime}:(z, w) \mapsto \gamma \times w$ on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ for some constant $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. Taking $\widetilde{\xi}:=\xi^{\prime \prime} \circ \xi^{\prime} \circ \xi$ with $\xi^{\prime \prime}(z, w):=\left(z, \frac{1}{\gamma} w\right)$ and $\widetilde{D}:=\xi^{\prime \prime} \circ D^{\prime} \circ\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right)^{-1}$, the desired conclusion follows.

As a holomorphic function, $W^{\prime}$ is a power series near 0 of the form :

$$
W^{\prime}(z, w)=\sum_{r \geq 1}\left(\gamma_{r, 0} z^{r}+\gamma_{r-1,1} z^{r-1} w+\cdots+\gamma_{0, r} w^{r}\right)=\sum_{r \geq 1} \mathcal{O}^{\prime}(r)
$$

The function $h$ also expends into a power series near 0 of the form :

$$
h(z, w)=\sum_{r \geq 1}\left(H_{r, 0} z^{r}+H_{r-1,1} z^{r-1} w+\cdots+H_{0, r} w^{r}\right)=\left[H_{1,0} z+H_{0,1} w\right]+\mathcal{O}_{\geq 2} .
$$

Of course $H_{1,0}$ is equal to $\chi_{1}$ (we recall that $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ are the eigenvalues of $d_{0} D$ ). The equality (10) provides

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{2} W^{\prime}(z, w)=W^{\prime}\left(h(z, w), \chi_{2} w\right) \text { on }\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that (11) implies (looking at terms of order 1 in the power series) :

$$
\chi_{2} \gamma_{1,0} z+\chi_{2} \gamma_{0,1} w=\left(\gamma_{1,0} H_{1,0} z+\gamma_{1,0} H_{0,1} w\right)+\gamma_{0,1} \chi_{2} w
$$

Since $H_{1,0}=\chi_{1}$ we deduce, looking at coefficients of the variable $z$, that $\chi_{2} \gamma_{1,0}=$ $\gamma_{1,0} \chi_{1}$. Since $\chi_{1} \neq \chi_{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1,0}=0 \quad \text { i.e. } \quad \mathcal{O}^{\prime}(1)=\gamma_{0,1} w . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now prove by induction on $r \geq 2$ that:

$$
\forall k \in\{2, \cdots, r\}, \mathcal{O}^{\prime}(k)=0 \text { i.e. } W^{\prime}(z, w)=\gamma_{0,1} w+\sum_{k \geq r+1} \mathcal{O}^{\prime}(k) \text { on }\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)
$$

Let us explain the base case $r=2$, the induction step $r>2$ can be treated analogously. Using (11) and (12), we get :
$\chi_{2} \gamma_{2,0} z^{2}+\chi_{2} \gamma_{1,1} z w+\chi_{2} \gamma_{0,2} w^{2}=\gamma_{2,0}\left(H_{1,0} z+H_{0,1} w\right)^{2}+\gamma_{1,1}\left(H_{1,0} z+H_{0,1} w\right)\left(\chi_{2} w\right)+\gamma_{0,2}\left(\chi_{2} w\right)^{2}$.
Since $\chi_{1}=H_{1,0}$ we deduce, looking at the coefficients of $z^{2}$, that $\chi_{2} \gamma_{2,0}=\gamma_{2,0} \chi_{1}^{2}$, it yields $\gamma_{2,0}=0$ by (9). Using again $\chi_{1}=H_{1,0}$ and looking at the coefficient of $z w$ we obtain $\chi_{2} \gamma_{1,1}=\gamma_{1,1} \chi_{1} \chi_{2}$, thus $\gamma_{1,1}=0$ by (9). At last looking at the coefficients of $w^{2}$ we obtain $\chi_{2} \gamma_{0,2}=\gamma_{0,2} \chi_{2}^{2}$, and thus $\gamma_{0,2}=0$. We have proved $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}(2)=0$.

## 4 Poincaré maps for repelling fixed points

Let us fix a dilating germ $f:\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, a\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, a\right)$ and let us consider a germ $D(z, w)=$ $\left(\chi_{1} z+c w^{q}, \chi_{2} w\right)$, with $c \in \mathbb{C}, q \geq 2$, and Poincaré-Dulac coordinates $\sigma_{0}^{-1}=\left(Z_{a}, W_{a}\right)$ such that $f \circ \sigma_{0}=\sigma_{0} \circ D$ near 0 on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. We assume that $f$ is induced by a holomorphic map of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d \geq 2$.

From the local commutative relation $f \circ \sigma_{0}=\sigma_{0} \circ D$ near 0 in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, we shall construct a global commutative relation semi-conjugating $f$ to $D$ via a globally defined holomorphic map $\sigma: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$. The construction of $\sigma$ is classical, see for instance Berteloot-Loeb [5] when $D$ is linear.

Let $U_{a}$ be a connected open neighborhood of $a$ on which $\sigma_{0}^{-1}$ is defined. We denote $U_{0}:=\sigma_{0}^{-1}\left(U_{a}\right)$. Let $\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2} \subset U_{0} \cap D^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right)$ be a small bidisc such that $D^{-1}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}$. In particular the following diagram commutes


Proposition 4.1 (Poincaré maps).

1. There exists an increasing sequence of integers $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k}$ such that $D^{-n_{k}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}$. In particular the restriction $\sigma_{k}$ of $f^{n_{k}} \circ \sigma_{0} \circ D^{-n_{k}}$ to $\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}$ is well defined. It satisfies $f \circ \sigma_{k}=\sigma_{k} \circ D$ on $\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2} \cap D^{-1}\left(\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}\right)$.
2. $\sigma_{k}$ is an open mapping and $\# \sigma_{k}^{-1}(p) \leq d^{2 n_{k}}$ for every $p \in \sigma_{k}\left(\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}\right)$.
3. The critical values $E_{k}:=\sigma_{k}\left(\right.$ Crit $\left.\sigma_{k}\right)$ are included in $f^{n_{k}}\left(\right.$ Crit $\left.f^{n_{k}}\right)$, which is an algebraic subset of codimension 1 of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.
4. For every positive integers $k \leq l, \sigma_{k}=\sigma_{l}$ on $\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}$. Hence one can define the holomorphic map $\sigma: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ by $\sigma:=\lim _{k} \sigma_{k}$.
5. The relation $f \circ \sigma=\sigma \circ D$ holds on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.
6. The map $\sigma$ is open and have discrete fibers on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.
7. If $E:=\sigma($ Crit $\sigma)$ then $E=\bigcup_{k \geq 0} E_{k}$ and $\mu(E)=\sigma_{T}(E)=0$.

Proof: We explain the first and the fourth items, the others being a direct consequence of the previous ones, or could be deduced from the fact that $f$ is a degree $d^{2}$ ramifying covering map of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, and from the fact that $\mu$ and $\sigma_{T}$ do not charge proper analytic subsets of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (cf. [11] for details).

1. We have $D^{n}=\left(\chi_{1}^{n} z+n c \chi_{1}^{n-1} w^{q}, \chi_{2}^{n} w\right)$ and $D^{-n}=\left(\chi_{1}^{-n} z-n c \chi_{1}^{-(n-1)} w^{q}, \chi_{2}^{-n} w\right)$. Hence, for every $k \geq 1$, there exists $n_{k}$ such that $D^{-n_{k}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}$. Let $\sigma_{k}$ be the restriction of $f^{n_{k}} \circ \sigma_{0} \circ D^{-n_{k}}$ to $\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}$. One can assume that $n_{k}$ is increasing. We have $f \circ \sigma_{k}=\sigma_{k} \circ D$ on $\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2} \cap D^{-1}\left(\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}\right)$ thanks to the commutative diagram (13).
2. Let $y \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ and assume that $D^{m}(y) \in \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}$ for some $m \geq 1$. From the inclusion $D^{-1}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}$, we get $\left\{y, D(y), \cdots, D^{m}(y)\right\} \subset \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}$. Now if $p \in D^{n}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \cap D^{n+m}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)$ with $p=D^{n}(x)=D^{n+m}(y)$, then $D^{m}(y)=x \in \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}$ and thus, as explained above, $D^{j}(y) \in \mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}$ for any $j \in\{0, \cdots, m\}$. By the commutative diagram (13) we get

$$
f^{m} \circ \sigma_{0}(y)=f^{m-1} \circ \sigma_{0} \circ D(y)=\cdots=\sigma_{0}\left(D^{m}(y)\right)=\sigma_{0}(x) .
$$

Composing by $f^{n}$ we obtain $f^{n+m} \circ \sigma_{0} \circ D^{-(n+m)}\left(D^{n+m}(y)\right)=f^{n} \circ \sigma_{0} \circ D^{-n}\left(D^{n}(x)\right)$. If $k \leq l$ then taking $n=n_{k}$ and $m=n_{l}-n_{k} \geq 0$, one has $\sigma_{l}=\sigma_{k}$ on $D^{n_{k}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \cap$ $D^{n_{l}}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \supset \mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}$. It allows to define $\sigma: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ by $\left.\sigma\right|_{\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}}:=\sigma_{k}$ for any $k \geq 0$. It also satisfies $\sigma=\lim _{k} \sigma_{k}$.

It is important to know whether $\sigma\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ contains $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$ or not. Let us introduce the exceptional set $\mathcal{E}$ of $f$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}:=\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash\left\{x \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \mid \mu_{x, n}:=\frac{1}{d^{2 n}} \sum_{y \in f^{-n}(x)} \delta_{y} \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\stackrel{\text { weak-» }}{\rightarrow}} \mu\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $\mathcal{E}$ is the largest totally $f$-invariant proper algebraic subset of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ [11].
Proposition 4.2. If the fixed point a belongs to the support of $\mu$, then

$$
\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \backslash \mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \mathcal{E} \subset \sigma\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)
$$

Proof : Let $q \in \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \mathcal{E}$ and let $\rho \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$be a continuous function such that $\rho \equiv 1$ on a ball $B_{a}$ centered at $a$ and such that $\operatorname{Supp}(\rho) \subset 2 B_{a}$, we can assume that $\sigma_{0}^{-1}$ is defined on $2 B_{a}$. By definition of $\mathcal{E}$ one has $\lim _{n}\left\langle\mu_{q, n}, \rho\right\rangle=\langle\mu, \rho\rangle \geq \mu\left(B_{a}\right)>0$ because $a$ belongs to the support of $\mu$. Thus there exists $n_{k} \geq 1$ such that $\left\langle\mu_{q, n_{k}}, \rho\right\rangle>0$ and then, by definition of $\mu_{q, n_{k}}$, there exists a point $q_{n_{k}} \in f^{-n_{k}}(q)$ such that $\rho\left(q_{n_{k}}\right)>0$. Since the support of $\rho$ is included in $2 B_{a}$ we have $q_{n_{k}} \in 2 B_{a} \subset \operatorname{Dom}\left(\sigma_{0}^{-1}\right)$. This allows us to consider $p_{n_{k}}:=D^{n_{k}} \circ \sigma_{0}^{-1}\left(q_{n_{k}}\right)$, it satisfies $\sigma\left(p_{n_{k}}\right)=f^{n_{k}}\left(q_{n_{k}}\right)=q$.

We conclude this section with the following lemma. This type of statement is classical and arises from Briend-Duval [6] work. We refer also to [13, Lemme 1.1.32] where the arguments can be borrowed to prove the lemma.
Lemma 4.3. Let $U$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash($ Crit $\sigma)$. There exists $r>0$ satisfying the following property. Let $x \in U$ and let $p:=\sigma(x)$, then $B(p, r) \subset \sigma(U)$ and the inverse branche $\sigma_{x, p}^{-1}$ of $\sigma: U \rightarrow \sigma(U)$ sending $p$ to $x$ is defined on $B(p, r)$.
Corollary 4.4. Let $U$ as in Lemma 4.3. For every Lipschitz path $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \sigma(U)$ and for every $x_{0} \in U$ such that $\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)=\gamma(0)$, then there exists a (unique) continuous path $\tilde{\gamma}:[0,1] \rightarrow U$ such that $\sigma \circ \tilde{\gamma}=\gamma$.

Proof: Lemma 4.3 ensures that there exists $r>0$ such that any inverse branches $\sigma_{x, p}^{-1}$ of $\sigma: U \rightarrow \sigma(U)$ (sending $p$ to $x$ ) is defined on $B(p, r)$. Let $N>\kappa / r$ be an integer, where $\kappa$ is the Lipschitz constant of $\gamma$. Put $p_{j}:=\gamma(j / N), 0 \leq j \leq N-1$. Observe that $\gamma([j / N,(j+1) / N]) \subset B\left(p_{j}, r\right)$ since $\gamma$ is $\kappa$-Lipschitz. Using inverse branches, we can defined a sequence of points $x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N-1}$ such that $\sigma\left(x_{j}\right)=p_{j}$. We can then define the desire path $\widetilde{\gamma}: t \in[0,1] \mapsto \gamma \circ \sigma_{x_{j}, p_{j}}^{-1}(t), t \in[j / N,(j+1) / N]$.

## 5 The Patching Theorem

We recall the Radon-Nikodym decomposition of $\sigma_{T}=T \wedge \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ with respect to $\mu$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{T}=\mu^{a}+\mu^{s} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mu^{a}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu$ and $\mu^{s} \perp \mu$. Our aim is to prove :
Theorem 5.1 (Patching Theorem). Let $U$ be a connected chart of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with two systems of holomorphic coordinates $\left(Z_{1}, W_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Z_{2}, W_{2}\right)$. Let us suppose that these systems of coordinates satisfy :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{i}\right|^{2} \ll \mu \text { on } U, i \in\{1,2\} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If moreover $\mu^{s}(U)>0$, then there exists $\beta \in \mathcal{O}^{*}(U)$ such that $d W_{2}=\beta d W_{1}$ on $U$.

We give two proofs of the theorem. The first one exploits the hermitian properties of the Green current $T$ which can be seen as a positive singular (not smooth) metric on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. More precisely, we express $T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{2}\right|^{2}$ as a sum of measures absolutely continuous with respect to the measures $T \wedge d d^{c}\left|Z_{1}\right|^{2}$ and $T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{1}\right|^{2}$. By using some results about dimension of measures, recalled in the section just below, we conclude that $d W_{2}$ and $d W_{1}$ are proportional. Variations of this technique can be used to detect fine properties of the current $T$, for example in [15] it is used to give a new proof of Dujardin's theorem : $\mu \ll \sigma_{T}$ implies $\lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log d$.

The second proof do not use specific properties of the Green current, except that it has local continuous potentials. In particular, we mention in Remark 5.4 that this proof can be adapted for a more general setting.

### 5.1 Hausdorff dimension of measures

Let $\nu$ be a finite Borel measure on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. The pointwise lower dimension of $\nu$ at $x \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is defined by

$$
\underline{d}_{\nu}(x):=\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\log \nu B(x, r)}{\log r} .
$$

Since $T$ has continuous Hölder potentials, there exists a lower estimate for the lower pointwise dimension of $\sigma_{T}$ as follows.

Proposition 5.2 (Dinh-Sibony [11, Proposition 1.18]). For every $x \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ we have $T=d d^{c}\left(u_{x}\right)$ on a small ball $B_{x}$, where $u_{x}$ is a plurisubharmonic function on $B_{x}$ which is $\gamma$-Hölder for any $\gamma \in] 0, \gamma_{0}[$, where

$$
\gamma_{0}=\min \left\{1, \frac{\log (d)}{\log \left(d_{\infty}\right)}\right\}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad d_{\infty}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\sup _{p \in \mathbb{P}^{2}}\left\|d_{p} f^{n}\right\|\right)^{1 / n}
$$

In particular, $\underline{d}_{\sigma_{T}}(x) \geq 2+\gamma_{0}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$.
Corollary 5.3. Let $U$ be a connected open set of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ such that $\nu(U)>0$.

1. Assume that there exists $\gamma>2$ such that $\underline{d}_{\nu}(x) \geq \gamma$ for $\nu$-almost every $x \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Then for any $h \in \mathcal{O}(U), \nu\{x \in U: h(x)=0\}>0$ implies $h \equiv 0$ on $U$.
2. Assume that $\nu \leq C \sigma_{T}$ for some constant $C>0$. Let $h \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ such that $h(p)=0$ for $\nu-$ almost every point $p \in U$. Then $h \equiv 0$ on $U$.

## Proof:

1. Let $\operatorname{HD}(A)$ denote the Hausdorff dimension of $A \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Young [24, Proposition 2.1] proved that if $\underline{d}_{\nu}(x) \geq \gamma$ for $\nu$-almost every $x \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$, then $\operatorname{HD}(A) \geq \gamma$ for every Borel set $A$ of positive $\nu$-measure. Using the assumptions, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{HD}(\{x \in U: h(x)=0\}) \geq \gamma>2 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h$ were not null on $U$, then the analytic set $\{x \in U: h(x)=0\}$ would have Hausdorff dimension 2, see for instance Chirka's book [8, Corollary 1 p.23]. But this is not compatible with (17).
2. Let $\widetilde{\nu}:=C \sigma_{T}-\nu \geq 0$ which is a finite Borel measure, so we have for any $x \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$, $\underline{d}_{(\nu+\widetilde{\nu})}(x)=\underline{d}_{\sigma_{T}}(x) \geq 2+\gamma_{0}$ by Proposition 5.2. It follows that $\underline{d}_{\nu}(x) \geq \underline{d}_{\sigma_{T}}(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$. By applying the first item with $\gamma:=2+\gamma_{0}$, we get the result.

### 5.2 Proof of the Patching Theorem

Proof using measure theory. - For any differentiable function $h$ on $U$, we use the following notations :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial h}{\partial Z_{1}}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[h \circ \xi_{1}^{-1}\right] \circ \xi_{1} \text { and } \frac{\partial h}{\partial W_{1}}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\left[h \circ \xi_{1}^{-1}\right] \circ \xi_{1}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{1}=\left(Z_{1}, W_{1}\right)$ is the chart associated to the coordinates $\left(Z_{1}, W_{1}\right)$. We are going to prove that $d W_{2}=\frac{\partial W_{2}}{\partial W_{1}} d W_{1}$, the function $\frac{\partial W_{2}}{\partial W_{1}}$ being defined by (18) with $W_{2}=p_{w} \circ \xi_{2}$. It is a local problem, it is sufficient to prove the equality on each $U^{\prime}$ open with $\overline{U^{\prime}} \subset U$. So we can assume without loss of generality that the holomorphic functions $Z_{1}, W_{1}, Z_{2}$ and $W_{2}$ are holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\bar{U}$ and thus the partial derivatives $\partial W_{2} / \partial Z_{1}$ and $\partial W_{2} / \partial W_{1}$ are bounded on $U$.

The Green current $T$ on $U$ can be written in the coordinates $\left(Z_{1}, W_{1}\right)$ as a ( 1,1 )-differential form with complex measures coefficients :

$$
\left.T\right|_{U}=\sigma_{1} \frac{i}{2} d Z_{1} \wedge d \bar{Z}_{1}+\left(\Lambda \frac{i}{2} d Z_{1} \wedge d \bar{W}_{1}\right)+\overline{\left(\Lambda \frac{i}{2} d Z_{1} \wedge d \bar{W}_{1}\right)}+\sigma_{2} \frac{i}{2} d W_{1} \wedge d \bar{W}_{1},
$$

with $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ the positive measures given by $\sigma_{1}=T \wedge \frac{i}{2} d W_{1} \wedge d \bar{W}_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}=$ $T \wedge \frac{i}{2} d Z_{1} \wedge d \bar{Z}_{1}$. The complex measure $\Lambda$ is given by $\Lambda=-T \wedge \frac{i}{2} d W_{1} \wedge d \bar{Z}_{1}$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have for every Borel set $A$ in $U$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Lambda(A)| \leq \sqrt{\sigma_{1}(A)} \sqrt{\sigma_{2}(A)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The trace $\sigma_{U}:=\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}$ gives a positive measure which is equivalent to the trace measure $\sigma_{T}$ on $U$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists C>0:\left.\frac{1}{C} \sigma_{T}\right|_{U} \leq \sigma_{U} \leq\left. C \sigma_{T}\right|_{U} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote $\lambda:=\sigma_{2}$ and $\mu_{1}^{a}:=\sigma_{1}$. By (16) we have $\mu_{1}^{a}=\left.\psi_{1} \mu\right|_{U} \ll \mu$, with $\psi_{1} \in L^{1}\left(\left.\mu\right|_{U}\right)$. Let $\lambda=h_{1} \mu_{U}+\mu_{1}^{s}$ be the Radon-Nikodym decomposition of $\lambda$ with respect to $\left.\mu\right|_{U}$, where $h_{1} \in L^{1}\left(\left.\mu\right|_{U}\right)$ is a non negative function, and $\mu_{1}^{s}$ is a positive measure on $U$ singular with respect to $\mu$. We have (recall that $\mu^{s}(U)>0$ ) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{C} \mu^{s}\right|_{U} \leq \mu_{1}^{s} \leq\left. C \mu^{s}\right|_{U} \Longrightarrow \mu_{1}^{s}(U)>0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the same $C>0$ than in (20). Indeed, by using (20) we have $\mu_{1}^{s} \leq C\left(\mu^{a}+\mu^{s}\right)$ and $\left.\mu^{s}\right|_{U} \leq C\left[\left.\left(h_{1}+\psi_{1}\right) \mu\right|_{U}+\mu_{1}^{s}\right]$, thus $\mu_{1}^{s} \leq C \mu^{s}$ and $\left.\mu^{s}\right|_{U} \leq C \mu_{1}^{s}$ since $\mu_{1}^{s} \perp \mu^{a}$ and $\mu^{s} \perp \mu$.

The idea now is to decompose $d W_{2}$ in terms of the 1 -forms $d Z_{1}$ and $d W_{1}$ :

$$
d W_{2}=\frac{\partial W_{2}}{\partial Z_{1}} d Z_{1}+\frac{\partial W_{2}}{\partial W_{1}} d W_{1}
$$

Denoting $\alpha:=\frac{\partial W_{2}}{\partial Z_{1}}, \beta:=\frac{\partial W_{2}}{\partial W_{1}}$ and $\gamma:=\alpha \bar{\beta}$, we have :

$$
d d^{c}\left|W_{2}\right|^{2}=|\alpha|^{2} d d^{c}\left|Z_{1}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left[\gamma \frac{i}{2} d Z_{1} \wedge d \overline{W_{1}}\right]+|\beta|^{2} d d^{c}\left|W_{1}\right|^{2} .
$$

Wedging by $T$ this equality, we get :
$\underbrace{T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{2}\right|^{2}}_{=: \mu_{2}^{a}}=|\alpha|^{2} \underbrace{\left(T \wedge d d^{c}\left|Z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)}_{=\lambda}+\underbrace{2 \operatorname{Re}\left[\gamma\left(T \wedge \frac{i}{2} d Z_{1} \wedge d \bar{W}_{1}\right)\right]}_{=: \Lambda^{\prime}}+|\beta|^{2} \underbrace{\left(T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{1}\right|^{2}\right)}_{=\mu_{1}^{a}}$,
and thus we have :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{2}^{a}=|\alpha|^{2} \lambda+\Lambda^{\prime}+|\beta|^{2} \mu_{1}^{a}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Lambda^{\prime}$ a signed measure which satisfies for any Borel set $A \subset U$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Lambda^{\prime}(A)\right| \leq 2\left(\max _{U}|\gamma|\right)|\Lambda(A)| \leq 2\left(\max _{U}|\gamma|\right) \sqrt{\mu_{1}^{a}(A)} \sqrt{\lambda(A)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second inequality comes from (19). Let $A \subset U$ be any Borel set, then using (22) and using the fact that $\lambda=\left.h_{1} \mu\right|_{U}+\mu_{1}^{s}$ we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \int_{A}|\alpha|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{1}^{s} & =\mu_{2}^{a}(A)-\int_{A}|\beta|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{1}^{a}-\Lambda^{\prime}(A)-\int_{A} h_{1}|\alpha|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu \\
& \leq \mu_{2}^{a}(A)+\left|\Lambda^{\prime}(A)\right| \leq \mu_{2}^{a}(A)+2\left(\max _{U}|\gamma|\right) \sqrt{\mu_{1}^{a}(A)} \sqrt{\lambda(A)} \text { by }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mu_{1}^{a}$ and $\mu_{2}^{a}$ are absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu$ and since $\mu_{1}^{s} \perp \mu$ on $U$, there exists $\mathcal{A} \subset U$ a Borel set of measure 0 for $\mu_{1}^{a}$ and $\mu_{2}^{a}$ such that $\mu_{1}^{s}=\mu_{1}^{s}(\cdot \cap \mathcal{A})$. So using the preceding inequalities we have for any $A \subset U$ :

$$
0 \leq \int_{A}|\alpha|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{1}^{s}=\int_{A \cap \mathcal{A}}|\alpha|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{1}^{s} \leq 0+2\left(\max _{U}|\gamma|\right) \sqrt{0} \sqrt{\lambda(A \cap \mathcal{A})}=0
$$

and thus $\int_{A}|\alpha|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{1}^{s}=0$ for any $A \subset U$. The measure $\mu_{1}^{s}$ charges the open set $U$ by (21), hence $\alpha(p)=0$ for $\mu_{1}^{s}$-almost every point $p \in U$. By construction $\mu_{1}^{s} \leq C \sigma_{T}$ for some $C>0$, so we can apply the second item of Corollary 5.3 with $\nu:=\mu_{1}^{s}$ to conclude that $\alpha \equiv 0$ on $U$. Thus we obtain $d W_{2}=\beta d W_{1}$ on $U$, and finally $\beta \in \mathcal{O}^{*}(U)$ since $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are submersions on $U$.

Proof using pluripotential theory. - If we assume that $d W_{1} \wedge d W_{2}$ is not null on $U$, then $d W_{1} \wedge d W_{2} \neq 0$ on a Zariski open set $V$ of $U$. In $V$, the coordinates $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$ create a chart and thus we have :

$$
\mu^{s} \leq \sigma_{T} \ll T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{1}\right|^{2}+T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{2}\right|^{2} \ll \mu \text { by assumption. }
$$

By the Chern-Levine-Nirenberg inequality, since $T$ has local continuous potentials, the current $T$ has no mass on $U \backslash V$ which is an analytic subset. Therefore the property $\mu^{s} \ll \mu$ on $V$ extends on $U$. Hence $\mu^{s}(U)=0$ and the result follows.

Remark 5.4. This second proof does not use the properties of the Green current T. The Patching Theorem thus can be generalized as follows.

Let $X$ be a complex surface ( a two dimensional complex manifold) equipped with a smooth $>0$ closed $(1,1)$-form $\omega$. Let $S$ be a positive closed $(1,1)-$ current on $X$ with continuous local potentials and being of finite mass. Denote $\nu:=S \wedge S, \sigma_{S}:=S \wedge \omega$. These wedge products are well defined since $S$ has continuous local potentials. Then $\nu$
is a positive finite Borel measure on $X$ (possibly identically null) and so is $\sigma_{S}$, so we can write the Radon-Nikodym decomposition of $\sigma_{S}$ with respect to $\nu: \sigma_{S}=\nu^{a}+\nu^{s}$, where $\nu^{a} \ll \nu$ and $\nu^{s} \perp \nu$.

The same arguments than above allows to prove the following. Let $U \subset X$ be a connected open subset of $X$ equipped with two systems of holomorphic coordinates $\left(Z_{1}, W_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Z_{2}, W_{2}\right)$. Assume that $S \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{i}\right|^{2} \ll \nu$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$. If $\nu^{s}(U)>0$, then the holomorphic $1-$ forms $d W_{1}$ and $d W_{2}$ patch together : there exists $\beta \in \mathcal{O}^{*}(U)$ such that $d W_{2}=\beta d W_{1}$ on $U$.

## 6 Construction of a foliation near $\operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E}$

We want to prove the Theorem 1.1. Let $a \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$ be a repelling $N$-periodic point of $f$ with Poincaré-Dulac coordinates $\sigma_{0}^{-1}=\left(Z_{a}, W_{a}\right)$. Let $D(z, w)=\left(\chi_{1} z+\right.$ $\left.c w^{q}, \chi_{2} w\right)$ be the polynomial map such that $f^{N} \circ \sigma=\sigma \circ D$, with $\sigma$ the Poincaré map of $\sigma_{0}$ given by Proposition 4.1. We assume that the following formula holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{a}\right|^{2} \text { on }\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, a\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also assume that there exists $\Omega$ a open set charged by $\mu$ such that for any open set $V \subset \Omega$, if $\mu(V)>0$ then $\mu^{s}(V)>0$. In Lemma 6.3 , we will in fact prove that it implies that, for any open set $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$, if $\mu(V)>0$ then $\mu^{s}(V)>0$. We also assume that the exceptional set $\mathcal{E}$ of $f$ does not intersect $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$.

### 6.1 Pull back by the Poincaré map

Our purpose in this section is to see how (24) is lifted by $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Let us specify how the positive closed $(1,1)$-current $\sigma^{*} T$ and the Borel measure $\sigma^{*} \mu$ are defined on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. We refer to $[1,18,22,11]$ for general accounts on currents.

For every $x \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$, let us write $T=d d^{c}(u)$ on an open neighborhood of $\sigma(x), u$ being a bounded continuous $p s h$ function. Then $\sigma^{*} T$ is defined near $x$ by $d d^{c}(u \circ \sigma)$. Since $u$ is bounded, $\sigma^{*} \mu:=\sigma^{*} T \wedge \sigma^{*} T$ is well defined near $x$ in the sense of BedfordTaylor. These currents are representable by integration (they have order 0), hence the trace of $\sigma^{*} T$ and $\sigma^{*} \mu$ are Borel measures on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. These two measures are finite on bounded open subsets by Chern-Levine-Nirenberg inequality, thus they are Borel regular measures (Radon measures) on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.

Proposition 6.1. Let $f$ be a degree $d \geq 2$ map on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of equilibrium measure $\mu=$ $T \wedge T$. Assume the first hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 so that the formula (24) holds for a repelling $N$-periodic point $a \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$. With the preceding notations,

1. $\left|\chi_{2}\right|=\sqrt{d^{N}}$.
2. The pull back $\sigma^{*} \mu$ and the pull back $\sigma^{*} T$ are related on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ by

$$
\sigma^{*} \mu=\sigma^{*} T \wedge d d^{c}|w|^{2} \text { on } \mathbb{C}^{2}
$$

where $w$ is the second standard coordinate on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.
3. One has $\mu \ll \sigma_{T}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.

Proof: Without loss of generality we can assume that $N=1$. Using $f^{*} \mu=d^{2} \mu$, $f^{*} T=d T$ and $W_{a} \circ f=\chi_{2} W_{a}$, we get by pulling back (24) by $f$ :

$$
\mu=\frac{\left|\chi_{2}\right|^{2}}{d}\left(T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{a}\right|^{2}\right) \text { on }\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, a\right) .
$$

Using again (24) one gets $\mu=\frac{\left|\chi_{2}\right|^{2}}{d} \mu$ on $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, a\right)$. Finally $\left|\chi_{2}\right|^{2}=d$ since $a \in \operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$.
Let us prove the second item. We want to show that $\sigma^{*} T \wedge d d^{c}|w|^{2}$ and $\sigma^{*} \mu$ are equal. Since they are Borel regular measures, it suffices to prove that they coincide on compact sets $K$ of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Let us fix a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$. Let $k$ be large enough such that $K \subset \mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}$, in particular $\sigma=\sigma_{k}=f^{n_{k}} \circ \sigma_{0} \circ D^{-n_{k}}$ on $K$. Since $f^{n_{k} *} \mu=d^{2 n_{k}} \mu$, we get

$$
\left(\sigma^{*} \mu\right)(K)=\left[\left(D^{-n_{k}}\right)^{*} \sigma_{0}^{*}\left(f^{n_{k} *} \mu\right)\right](K)=d^{2 n_{k}} \times\left[\left(D^{-n_{k}}\right)^{*} \sigma_{0}^{*} \mu\right](K)
$$

We obtain by the formula (24) :

$$
\left.\left(\sigma^{*} \mu\right)(K)=d^{2 n_{k}} \times\left[\left(D^{-n_{k}}\right)^{*} \sigma_{0}^{*} T\right) \wedge\left(d d^{c}\left|W_{a} \circ \sigma_{0} \circ D^{-n_{k}}\right|^{2}\right)\right](K)
$$

Now using

$$
W_{a} \circ \sigma_{0} \circ D^{-n_{k}}=w \circ \sigma_{0}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{0} \circ D^{-n_{k}}=w \circ D^{-n_{k}}=\chi_{2}^{-n_{k}} \times w
$$

we deduce that :

$$
\left.\left(\sigma^{*} \mu\right)(K)=d^{2 n_{k}}\left|\chi_{2}\right|^{-2 n_{k}} \times\left[\left(D^{-n_{k}}\right)^{*} \sigma_{0}^{*} T\right) \wedge d d^{c}|w|^{2}\right](K) .
$$

But $\left|\chi_{2}\right|=\sqrt{d}$ by the first item, hence we obtain :

$$
\left.\left(\sigma^{*} \mu\right)(K)=\left[\left(D^{-n_{k}}\right)^{*} \sigma_{0}^{*}\left(d^{n_{k}} T\right)\right) \wedge d d^{c}|w|^{2}\right](K)
$$

Using the invariant relation $d^{n_{k}} T=f^{n_{k} *} T$ one deduces:

$$
\left.\left(\sigma^{*} \mu\right)(K)=\left[\left(D^{-n_{k}}\right)^{*} \sigma_{0}^{*} f^{n_{k}^{*}} T\right) \wedge d d^{c}|w|^{2}\right](K)
$$

Finally, by recalling that $\left.\sigma\right|_{K}=\left.f^{n_{k}} \circ \sigma_{0} \circ D^{-n_{k}}\right|_{K}$, we get

$$
\left(\sigma^{*} \mu\right)(K)=\left[\left(\sigma^{*} T\right) \wedge d d^{c}|w|^{2}\right](K) .
$$

This proves that the measures $\sigma^{*} \mu$ and $\sigma^{*} T \wedge d d^{c}|w|^{2}$ are equal on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.
Let us explain now why $\mu \ll \sigma_{T}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $p$ be a point in $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \cap \sigma\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ which is not a critical point of $\sigma$. Then there exists a inverse branch $\sigma_{p}^{-1}$ of $\sigma$ defined on a neighborhood of $p$, and the formula $\sigma^{*} \mu=\sigma^{*} T \wedge d d^{c}|w|^{2}$ implies that we have $\mu=T \wedge d d^{c}\left|w \circ \sigma_{p}^{-1}\right|^{2} \ll \sigma_{T}$ near $p$. Since it is true for any point $p$ in $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \cap$ $\sigma\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \backslash \sigma($ Crit $\sigma)$, we deduce that $\mu \ll \sigma_{T}$ on $\sigma\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \backslash \sigma($ Crit $\sigma)$. Recall that $\sigma\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \supset$ $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \mathcal{E}$ (see Proposition 4.2) and that $\mu$ does not charge the set $\mathcal{E} \cup \sigma($ Crit $\sigma$ ), see Proposition 4.1. We thus obtain $\mu \ll \sigma_{T}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.

### 6.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In the following remaining sections, we are going to prove the following theorem :
Theorem 6.2. Assume the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 with the same notations. Let $J$ be a compact subset of $\operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E}$ containing $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$ such that $J=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J}\right)$. Then there exists a open neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $J$, and a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ defined on $\mathcal{V}$, such that $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{w}$ on $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$, where $\mathcal{F}_{w}$ is the horizontal foliation of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.

We then assert that this theorem implies Theorem 1.1, let us explain why. We start by explaining that $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$ is included in $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mu^{s}\right) \backslash \mathcal{E}$. Since $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \cap \mathcal{E}=\emptyset$, it remains to prove :

Lemma 6.3. Item 2. of Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to the following:
2'. Any open set charged by $\mu$ is also charged by $\mu^{s}$.
In particular $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mu^{s}\right)$ and thus $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mu^{s}\right)=\operatorname{Supp}(T)$.
Proof : Indeed, this assumption implies 2. Let us give briefly the arguments for the reverse implication. Let $\Omega$ be the open neighborhood provided by item 2. in the Theorem 1.1. By assumption, $\mu^{s}$ charges every open subset of $\Omega$ which is charged by $\mu$. Let $V$ be a neighborhood of a point in $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$. Since $\mu$ is mixing there exists $n_{0}$ such that $\mu\left(f^{-n_{0}} \Omega \cap V\right)>0$. Let $x_{0} \in\left(\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \cap f^{-n_{0}} \Omega \cap V\right) \backslash \operatorname{Crit}\left(f^{n_{0}}\right)$ and let $B \subset V$ be a small ball centered at $x_{0}$ such that $f^{n_{0}}(B) \subset \Omega$ and $f^{n_{0}}$ is injective on $B$. Observe that $\mu^{s}\left(f^{n_{0}}(B)\right)>0$ by hypothesis. Since $\left(f^{n_{0}}\right)^{*} T=d^{n_{0}} T$ and since $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ is quasi-invariant by the biholomorphism $f^{n_{0}}: B \rightarrow f^{n_{0}}(B)$, the measures $\sigma_{T} \circ f^{n_{0}}$ and $\sigma_{T}$ are equivalent on $B$. Moreover the measures $\mu \circ f^{n_{0}}$ and $\mu$ are also equivalent on $B$ since $\left(f^{n_{0}}\right)^{*} \mu=d^{2 n_{0}} \mu$. Hence $\mu^{s}(B)>0$ as desired.

Consider now a covering of $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \mathcal{E}$ by compact sets $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \mathcal{E}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} K_{j}$, where the $K_{j}^{\prime} s$ satisfy $K_{j} \subset \stackrel{\circ}{K}_{j+1}$. Then intersecting this covering with $\operatorname{Supp}(T)$ we obtain a covering $\operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} L_{j}$, where $L_{j}:=\operatorname{Supp}(T) \cap K_{j}$. Up to re-index the sequence $\left(K_{j}\right)_{j \geq 1}$, we can assume that for any $j \geq 1$ :

$$
\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \subset \stackrel{\circ}{K}_{j} \cap \operatorname{Supp}(T) \subset L_{j} \subset \operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E}
$$

Observe that these inclusions are possible since $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(T)$ (by Proposition 6.1 or by Lemma 6.3), and since $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \cap \mathcal{E}=\emptyset$.

Lemma 6.4. Let $J_{j}:=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{L_{j}}\right)$ for any $j \geq 1$. Then $\left(J_{j}\right)_{j \geq 1}$ is a increasing sequence of compact sets such that for any $j \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } J_{j}=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J_{j}}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \subset J_{j} \subset \operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E} \text {. } \\
& \text { 2. } \operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} J_{j} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Recall that $\operatorname{Supp}(T)=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\sigma_{T}\right)$ and that $\mu \ll \sigma_{T}$ by Proposition 6.1. 1. First $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J_{j}}\right) \subset J_{j}$, second if $p \in J_{j}$ then $\sigma_{T}\left(J_{j} \cap B(p, \varepsilon)\right)=\sigma_{T}\left(L_{j} \cap B(p, \varepsilon)\right)$ by definition of $J_{j}$, but $p \in J_{j}=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}\right|_{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{j}}}\right)$ thus $\sigma_{T}\left(L_{j} \cap B(p, \varepsilon)\right)>0$, for any $\varepsilon>0$. We deduce $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J_{j}}\right)=J_{j}$. To conclude, observe that because $\mu \ll \sigma_{T}$ and $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \subset \stackrel{\circ}{K}_{j}$, we must have $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu) \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{L_{j}}\right)=J_{j}$.
2. Let $p \in \operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E}$, then there exists $j \geq 1$ such that $p \in L_{j}$, let us assume $j=1$ for simplicity. Then in particular $p \in \stackrel{\circ}{K}_{2}$ and there exists $\varepsilon_{0}$ such that $B\left(p, \varepsilon_{0}\right) \subset K_{2}$. Then for every $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}$, we have $\sigma_{T}\left(L_{2} \cap B(p, \varepsilon)\right)=\sigma_{T}\left(\operatorname{Supp}(T) \cap K_{2} \cap B(p, \varepsilon)\right)=$ $\sigma_{T}\left(K_{2} \cap B(p, \varepsilon)\right)$ by definition of $L_{2}$. We deduce $\sigma_{T}\left(L_{2} \cap B(p, \varepsilon)\right)=\sigma_{T}(B(p, \varepsilon))>0$ since $B(p, \varepsilon) \subset K_{2}$ and since $p \in L_{1} \subset \operatorname{Supp}(T)$. It implies $p \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{L_{2}}\right)=J_{2}$ and the conclusion follows.

Theorem 6.2 and Lemma 6.4 thus imply the existence of a sequence of open sets $\left(\mathcal{V}_{j}\right)_{j \geq 1}$ and of a sequence of foliations $\left(\mathcal{F}_{j}\right)_{j \geq 1}$ such that for any $j \geq 1$ :

$$
J_{j} \subset \mathcal{V}_{j} \text { and } \mathcal{F}_{j} \text { is defined on } \mathcal{V}_{j} \text {, and } \sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}_{j}=\mathcal{F}_{w} \text { on } \sigma^{-1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{j}\right)
$$

Lemma 6.5. For any $j \geq 2, \mathcal{F}_{j}=\mathcal{F}_{j-1}$ on $\mathcal{V}_{j} \cap \mathcal{V}_{j-1}$.
 $\sigma^{-1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{2} \cap \mathcal{V}_{1}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a connected component of $\mathcal{V}_{2} \cap \mathcal{V}_{1}$, and let $U \neq \emptyset$ be an open set such that $\sigma(U) \subset \mathcal{C}$ and such that $\sigma$ is injective on $U$. Then observe that $\mathcal{F}_{2}=\mathcal{F}_{1}$ on $\sigma(U)$ by applying $\left(\left.\sigma\right|_{U}\right)_{*}$ to the equality $\left.\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}_{2}\right|_{U}=\left.\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}_{1}\right|_{U}$. It implies $\mathcal{F}_{2}=\mathcal{F}_{1}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ by analytic continuation (cf. Lemma 2.1), for every $\mathcal{C}$. The result follows.

This lemma implies that the foliations $\mathcal{F}_{j}, j \geq 1$, patch together and create a foliation

$$
\mathcal{F}:=\bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{F}_{j}
$$

on the open set $\mathcal{V}:=\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{V}_{j}\right) \supset \operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E}$. Observe that we have $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}=$ $\bigcup_{j=1}^{+\infty} \sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}_{j}=\mathcal{F}_{w}$ on $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. So we can conclude that $\mathcal{F}$ is invariant on $\mathcal{V}$ by $f^{N}$ (recall that $N$ is the period of the repelling point $a$ ):

Proposition 6.6. $\left(f^{N}\right)^{*} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}$ on $f^{-N}(\mathcal{V}) \cap \mathcal{V}$.
Proof: We can assume $N=1$ without loss of generality. Let us fix arbitrary points $p, q \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $f(p)=q$. Let us prove that there exists $W \subset \mathcal{V}$ an open neighborhood of $p$, and $V \subset \mathcal{V}$ an open neighborhood of $q$, satisfying $f(W) \subset V$, and such that $\left.\left(\left.f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right|_{V}\right)\right|_{W}=\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{W}$. It is enough to ensure the result.

Let $x \in \sigma^{-1}(p)$ and let $y:=D(x)$ which satisfies $\sigma(y)=q$. We can consider a connected open set $U_{x}$ (resp. $U_{y}$ ) in $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ containing $x$ (resp. $y$ ) such that $W:=$ $\sigma\left(U_{x}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.V:=\sigma\left(U_{y}\right)\right)$ is contained in $\mathcal{V}$. We can assume $D\left(U_{x}\right) \subset U_{y}$ and $f(W) \subset$ $V$. We denote $\sigma_{p}:=\left.\sigma\right|_{U_{x}}$ and $\sigma_{q}:=\left.\sigma\right|_{U_{y}}$.

Let now $\mathcal{G}:=\left.\left(\left.f^{*} \mathcal{F}\right|_{V}\right)\right|_{W}$, observe that $\sigma_{p}^{*} \mathcal{G}=\left.\left(D^{*} \sigma_{q}^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right|_{U_{x}}$ by using that $\sigma \circ D=$ $\sigma_{q} \circ D$ on $U_{x} \subset D^{-1}\left(U_{y}\right)$. Since $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{w}$ on $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$, we have $\sigma_{q}^{*} \mathcal{F}=\left.\mathcal{F}_{w}\right|_{U_{y}}$ and thus $\sigma_{p}^{*} \mathcal{G}=\left.\left(D^{*} \mathcal{F}_{w}\right)\right|_{U_{x}}=\left.\mathcal{F}_{w}\right|_{U_{x}}$. The equality $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{w}$ on $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$, also implies $\left.\mathcal{F}_{w}\right|_{U_{x}}=\sigma_{p}^{*}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{W}\right)$, and we deduce that $\sigma_{p}^{*} \mathcal{G}=\sigma_{p}^{*}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{W}\right)$. It implies that $\mathcal{G}=\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{W}$ since $U_{x}$ is connected (pull-back by a local inverse of $\sigma$ on $U_{x}$ and conclude by analytic continuation, cf. Lemma 2.1).

### 6.3 Proof of Theorem 6.2

Let us fixed from now on a compact set $J \subset \operatorname{Supp}(T) \backslash \mathcal{E}$ such that $J \supset \operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$, and such that $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J}\right)=J$. Our goal is now to prove that there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $J$ and a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ defined on $\mathcal{V}$ which satisfies $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{w}$ on $\mathcal{V}$. We proceed in several steps.

### 6.3.1 Step 1 : Inverse branches near regular values of $J$

Recall that $J \supset \operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$ and that $J \cap \mathcal{E}=\emptyset$. Thus we have that $J \subset \sigma\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ by Proposition 4.2.

By Proposition 4.1, the map $\sigma$ is equal to $\sigma_{k}=f^{n_{k}} \circ \sigma_{0} \circ D^{-n_{k}}$ on $\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}$. Let now $p \in J \subset \sigma\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ and let $k \geq 1$ be such that $p \in \sigma\left(\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}\right)$. Since $\sigma$ is open, $\sigma\left(\mathbb{D}_{k}^{2}\right)$ is an open neighborhood of $p$. By compactness we can cover $J$ by a finite number of such neighborhoods

$$
J \subset \mathcal{U}:=\sigma\left(\mathbb{D}_{k_{1}}^{2}\right) \cup \cdots \cup \sigma\left(\mathbb{D}_{k_{N}}^{2}\right)
$$

Let $R:=\max _{1 \leq i \leq N} k_{i}$ and observe that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{D}_{k_{j}}^{2}=\mathbb{D}_{R}^{2}=: D_{R}$, thus we have a map :

$$
\sigma_{R}:=\left.\left(f^{n_{R}} \circ \sigma_{0} \circ D^{-n_{R}}\right)\right|_{D_{R}}: D_{R} \longrightarrow \sigma_{R}\left(D_{R}\right)=\mathcal{U} \supset J
$$

According to Proposition 4.1, the critical values $E_{R}$ of $\sigma_{R}$ satisfies :

$$
E_{R}=\sigma_{R}\left(\text { Crit } \sigma_{R}\right) \subset f^{n_{R}}\left(\operatorname{Crit} f^{n_{R}}\right)
$$

In particular $\operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J):=J \backslash E_{R}$ has full $\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J}$-measure and full $\mu$-measure.
Any point $p \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfies $1 \leq \#\left(\sigma_{R}^{-1}(p)\right) \leq d^{2 n_{R}}$. So for every $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)$ there exists $n_{p} \in\left\{1, \cdots, d^{2 n_{R}}\right\}$ such that $\sigma_{R}^{-1}(p)=\left\{x_{1, p}, \cdots, x_{n_{p}, p}\right\}$. For every $j \in$ $\left\{1, \ldots, n_{p}\right\}$ there exists an open connected neighborhood $U_{j, p} \subset D_{R}$ of $x_{j, p}$ such that

$$
\sigma_{j, p}:=\left.\sigma_{R}\right|_{U_{j, p}}: U_{j, p} \longrightarrow \sigma\left(U_{j, p}\right)=: V_{j, p} \subset \mathcal{U}
$$

is a biholomorphism. We denote $\sigma_{j, p}^{-1}: V_{j, p} \rightarrow U_{j, p}$ the inverse map. We can assume that every $V_{j, p}$ does not intersect $E_{R}$. We denote

$$
V_{p}:=\bigcap_{j=1}^{n_{p}} V_{j, p}
$$

We can assume that $V_{p}$ is connected.
Observe that, even up to a reduction of $V_{p}$, the fiber of a point $p^{\prime} \in V_{p}$ by $\sigma_{R}$ may not be given by the inverse branches $\sigma_{1, p}^{-1}, \cdots, \sigma_{n_{p}, p}^{-1}$. Indeed, if $p$ admits a preimage by $\sigma$ on the boundary of $D_{R}$, the inclusion $\left\{\sigma_{1, p}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right), \cdots, \sigma_{n_{p}, p}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\} \subset \sigma_{R}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ could be strict. To avoid this difficulty, we shall introduce special sets of inverse branches :

Definition 6.7. For every $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{IB}_{R}(p):=\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{p}} \sigma_{j, p}^{-1}\left(V_{p}\right) \subset D_{R} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the abreviation "IB" stands for inverse branches.

### 6.3.2 Step 2 : Construction of the foliation near regular values

In this section we introduce for every $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)$ a foliation $\mathcal{F}_{p}$ on $V_{p}$. We shall patch together the foliations $\left(\mathcal{F}_{p}\right)_{p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)}$ (and also the foliations on neighborhoods of singular values $q \in J \cap E_{R}$ constructed in Section 6.3.3). To do so, we shall use the following distribution of tangent complex lines, defined for each for $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)$ :

$$
\mathcal{D}_{p}:\left\{\begin{align*}
V_{p} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(T \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)  \tag{26}\\
p^{\prime} & \longmapsto\left[d_{x^{\prime}} \sigma_{R} \cdot(1,0)\right] \text { for any } x^{\prime} \in \operatorname{IB}_{R}(p) \text { such that } \sigma_{R}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=p^{\prime}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

It will describe the directions followed by the different local foliations we will construct. The notation $[\vec{v}]$ stands for the complex line $\mathbb{C} \cdot \vec{v}$ of $T_{p} \mathbb{P}^{2}$. We verify in Proposition 6.8 that the maps $\mathcal{D}_{p}$ are well defined. The proof of this proposition uses the Patching Theorem 5.1, so wee need that $\mu^{s}$ charges all the neighborhoods of points of $\operatorname{Supp}(\mu)$. This property is ensured by Item 2. of Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 6.3.

Proposition 6.8. Assume that $f$ and the $N$-periodic repelling point a satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 with the same notations.

1. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash($ Crit $\sigma)$ and let $p:=\sigma(x)$ and $q:=\sigma(y)$. Let $U_{x, p}$ be an open connected neighborhood of $x$ such that the map $\sigma_{x, p}:=\left.\sigma\right|_{U_{x, p}}: U_{x, p} \rightarrow \sigma\left(U_{x, p}\right)=$ : $V_{x, p}$ is a biholomorphism. Similarly let $\sigma_{y, q}:=\left.\sigma\right|_{U_{y, q}}: U_{y, q} \rightarrow \sigma\left(U_{y, q}\right)=: V_{y, q}$ be a biholomorphism. Assume that $\Omega:=V_{x, p} \cap V_{y, q}$ is connected and that $\sigma_{T}(\Omega)>$ 0 . Then $\phi:=\left(\sigma_{y, q}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{x, p}\right)$ has the form $\phi(z, w)=(A(z, w), B(w))$ on $\sigma_{x, p}^{-1}(\Omega)$.
2. Let $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)$ and $p^{\prime} \in V_{p}$. Then for every $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in \sigma_{R}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \cap \operatorname{IB}_{R}(p)$, the complex lines $\left[d_{x^{\prime}} \sigma \cdot(1,0)\right]$ and $\left[d_{y^{\prime}} \sigma \cdot(1,0)\right]$ are equal. In particular the map $\mathcal{D}_{p}: V_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(T \mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is well defined.
3. Let $p, q \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a connected component of $V_{p} \cap V_{q}$ of measure $\sigma_{T}(\mathcal{C})>0$, then $\mathcal{D}_{p}=\mathcal{D}_{q}$ on $\mathcal{C}$.

## Proof:

1. Let us write $\phi=(A(z, w), B(z, w))$ and let us prove that $B(z, w)$ does not depend on $z$. To do so we use that $\sigma^{*} \mu=\sigma^{*} T \wedge d d^{c}|w|^{2}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, according to Proposition 6.1. Restricting this equality on $U_{x, p}$ (resp. on $U_{y, q}$ ) and pushing forward it by $\sigma_{x, p}$ (resp. by $\sigma_{y, q}$ ) we get on $\Omega=V_{x, p} \cap V_{y, q}$ :

$$
\mu=T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{p}\right|^{2} \text { and } \mu=T \wedge d d^{c}\left|W_{q}\right|^{2}
$$

with the notations $\left(Z_{p}, W_{p}\right):=\sigma_{x, p}^{-1}$ and $\left(Z_{q}, W_{q}\right):=\sigma_{y, q}^{-1}$.
We recall that $\sigma_{T}=\mu^{a}+\mu^{s}$ is defined by (15). By assumption $\sigma_{T}(\Omega)>0$ so by Lemma 6.3 it ensures that $\mu^{s}(\Omega)>0$. By hypothesis, $\Omega$ is also connected, thus we can apply the Patching Theorem 5.1 : there exists $\beta \in \mathcal{O}^{*}(\Omega)$ such that $d W_{q}=\beta d W_{p}$ which implies $d B=\sigma_{x, p}^{*} d W_{q}=\left(\beta \circ \sigma_{x, p}\right) d w$ on $\sigma_{x, p}^{-1}(\Omega)$. In particular $\partial_{z} B \equiv 0$ on $\sigma_{x, p}^{-1}(\Omega)$.
2. Since $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in \operatorname{IB}_{R}(p)$ and $\sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma\left(y^{\prime}\right)=p^{\prime}$, there exist $i, j$ such that $x^{\prime}=\sigma_{i, p}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ and $y^{\prime}=\sigma_{j, p}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$. Let us assume $i=1$ and $j=2$ for simplicity. Observe that $\sigma_{1, p}^{-1}$ and $\sigma_{2, p}^{-1}$ are defined on the connected set $V_{p}$ by construction, and $\sigma_{T}\left(V_{p}\right)>0$ since $V_{p}$ is an open neighborhood of $p \in J \subset \operatorname{Supp}(T)$. So we can apply the point 1. with
$p=q$, to conclude that the map $\phi:=\left(\sigma_{2, p}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{1, p}\right)$ satisfies $\phi(z, w)=(A(z, w), B(w))$ on $\sigma_{1, p}^{-1}\left(V_{p}\right)$. In particular we have $d_{x^{\prime}} \phi \cdot(1,0)=\partial_{z} A\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cdot(1,0)$ and so we deduce :

$$
d_{x^{\prime}} \sigma_{1, p} \cdot(1,0)=d_{y^{\prime}} \sigma_{2, p} \cdot d_{x^{\prime}} \phi \cdot(1,0)=\partial_{z} A\left(x^{\prime}\right) \times d_{y^{\prime}} \sigma_{2, p} \cdot(1,0)
$$

The coefficient $\partial_{z} A\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is not equal to 0 because $\phi$ is a biholomorphism. Finally

$$
\left[d_{x^{\prime}} \sigma \cdot(1,0)\right]=\left[d_{y^{\prime}} \sigma \cdot(1,0)\right] \in \mathbb{P}\left(T_{p^{\prime}} \mathbb{P}^{2}\right),
$$

which proves that $\mathcal{D}_{p}$ is well defined on $V_{p}$.
3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a connected component of $V_{p} \cap V_{q}$, and let us assume that $\sigma_{T}(\mathcal{C})>0$. Let us fix $p^{\prime}$ an element of $\mathcal{C}$, and let $x \in \sigma_{R}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \cap \operatorname{IB}_{R}(p)$ and $y \in \sigma_{R}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \cap \operatorname{IB}_{R}(q)$. By definition of $\mathrm{IB}_{R}(p)$ there exists $i \in\left\{1, \cdots, n_{p}\right\}$ such that $x=\sigma_{i, p}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$. Similarly there exists $j \in\left\{1, \cdots, n_{q}\right\}$ such that $y=\sigma_{j, q}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$. Let now $U_{x}:=\sigma_{i, p}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \subset U_{i, p}$ be a neighborhood of $x, \mathcal{C} \subset V_{p}$ is indeed contained in the domain of definition of $\sigma_{i, p}^{-1}$. Similarly let us define $U_{y}:=\sigma_{j, q}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \subset U_{j, q}$ which contains $y$. We have $\sigma\left(U_{x}\right)=$ $\sigma\left(U_{y}\right)=\mathcal{C}$, and by hypothesis $\mathcal{C}$ is a connected open set such that $\sigma_{T}(\mathcal{C})>0$. The $\operatorname{map} \phi:=\left(\sigma_{j, q}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{i, p}\right): U_{x} \rightarrow U_{y}$ is then well defined, and according to the first item (applied with $\Omega=\mathcal{C}$ ), $\phi$ has the form $\phi(z, w)=(A(z, w), B(w))$ on $U_{x}$. As in the proof of the preceding item, we can compute the matrix $d_{x} \phi$ and check that $d_{x} \sigma \cdot(1,0)=\partial_{z} A(x) \times d_{y} \sigma \cdot(1,0)$. We also have $\partial_{z} A(x) \neq 0$ and thus using again the second item we have :

$$
\mathcal{D}_{p}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\left[d_{x} \sigma \cdot(1,0)\right]=\left[d_{y} \sigma \cdot(1,0)\right]=\mathcal{D}_{q}\left(p^{\prime}\right)
$$

The proof is then complete.
Lemma 6.9. Let $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)$. Then the holomorphic 1-forms

$$
\omega_{j, p}:=d\left(w \circ \sigma_{j, p}^{-1}\right), \quad j \in\left\{1, \cdots, n_{p}\right\},
$$

are equal modulo a multiplicative function in $\mathcal{O}^{*}\left(V_{p}\right)$.
Proof: By the point 1. of Proposition 6.8, for each $i, j$ we have on $V_{p}$ that $\sigma_{j, p}^{-1} \circ$ $\sigma_{i, p}=\left(A_{i j}(z, w), B_{i j}(w)\right)$ and thus $\sigma_{i, p}^{*} \omega_{j, p}=d\left(w \circ \sigma_{j, p}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{i, p}\right)=B_{i j}^{\prime}(w) d w$. Then $\omega_{j, p}=\left(B_{i j}^{\prime} \circ\left(w \circ \sigma_{i, p}^{-1}\right)\right) \times \omega_{i, p}$. Because the function $w \circ \sigma_{i, p}^{-1}$ is a submersion, we have $\left(B_{i j}^{\prime} \circ\left(w \circ \sigma_{i, p}^{-1}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{O}^{*}\left(V_{p}\right)$.

Thanks to Lemma 6.9 we can put the following definition. We refer to Section 2.1 for the definition of foliations using 1-forms. Recall that $\mathcal{F}_{w}$ is the horizontal foliation on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ given by the 1-form $d w$. We also recall that $\mathrm{IB}_{R}(p)$ is defined by (25).

Definition 6.10. For every $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)$ we denote $\mathcal{F}_{p}$ the non singular foliation on $V_{p}$ defined by one of the holomorphic 1-forms $\omega_{j, p}$. We note that $\left.\sigma\right|_{\mathrm{IB}_{R}(p)}{ }^{*} \mathcal{F}_{p}=\mathcal{F}_{w}$.

Finally one can reformulate the results of the present section as follows.

## Proposition 6.11.

1. If $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)$, then $T_{p^{\prime}} \mathcal{F}_{p}=\mathcal{D}_{p}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ for every $p^{\prime} \in V_{p}$.
2. $\forall p, q \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J), \mathcal{F}_{p}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{q}$ coincide on every connected component $\mathcal{C} \subset$ $V_{p} \cap V_{q}$ such that $\sigma_{T}(\mathcal{C})>0$ (use 1., $\left.\mathcal{D}_{p}\right|_{\mathcal{C}}=\left.\mathcal{D}_{q}\right|_{\mathcal{C}}$ by Prop. 6.8 and Lem. 2.1).

### 6.3.3 Step 3 : Construction of the foliation near singular values

For every singular value $q$ of $\sigma_{R}$ which belongs to $J$, we construct an open neighborhood $W_{q} \ni q$ and a foliation $\mathcal{F}_{q}$ (possibly with singularities) on $W_{q}$ which is tangent to directions $\left(\mathcal{D}_{p}\right)_{p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)}$ on neighborhoods of points of $\operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J) \cap W_{q}$, see Proposition 6.16. Thanks to this property $\mathcal{F}_{q}$ will coincide with the regular foliations $\left(\mathcal{F}_{p}\right)_{p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)}$ on connected components of $\bigcup_{p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)} V_{p} \cap W_{q}$ which have positive measure by $\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J}$, see Proposition 6.18. We finish the construction of the desired foliation in Section 6.3.4 using the compactness of $J$.

To create $\mathcal{F}_{q}$ the idea is to construct, using $d \sigma \cdot(1,0)$, a vector field on a neighborhood of $q$. Let us fix a singular value $q \in J \cap E_{R}$. We recall that $\sigma\left(D_{R}\right) \supset J$ and according to Proposition 4.1, the fiber $\sigma^{-1}(q)$ is discrete in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Thus we can consider a preimage $y \in D_{R}$ and an open set $U_{y} \subset \bar{U}_{y} \subset D_{R}$ containing $y$ such that $\bar{U}_{y} \cap \sigma^{-1}(q)=\{y\}$. Let $B_{y}$ be a centered ball at $y$ such that $\bar{B}_{y} \subset U_{y}$.

## Lemma 6.12.

1. There exists a connected neighborhood $U_{y, q} \subset B_{y}$ of $y$, such that $W_{q}:=\sigma\left(U_{y, q}\right)$ is a connected neighborhood of $q$ satisfying :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{-1}(p) \cap U_{y} \subset B_{y}, \quad \forall p \in W_{q} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Let $p \in W_{q} \backslash E_{R}$ and let $n_{p, q}$ be the cardinality of $\sigma^{-1}(p) \cap U_{y}$, it satisfies $1 \leq n_{p, q}<+\infty$. There exist a ball $B_{p} \subset W_{q} \backslash E_{R}$ centered at $p$ and a family of inverse branches $\sigma_{1, p, q}^{-1}, \cdots, \sigma_{n_{p, q}, p, q}^{-1}$ such that for all $p^{\prime} \in B_{p}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \cap U_{y}=\left\{\sigma_{1, p, q}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right), \cdots, \sigma_{n_{p, q}, p, q}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right\} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof:

1. Let us assume to the contrary that for any neighborhood of $q$ there exists a preimage by $\sigma$ of a point in this neighborhood which belongs to $U_{y} \backslash B_{y}$. Then looking at smaller and smaller neighborhoods of $q$ we obtain a sequence $\left(p_{n}\right)_{n}$ of points converging to $q$, and a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ of $U_{y} \backslash B_{y}$ such that $\sigma\left(x_{n}\right)=p_{n}$. Taking $x \in \bar{U}_{y} \backslash B_{y}$ a cluster value of $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$, we have by continuity of $\sigma$ that, up to a sub-sequence, $\sigma(x)=\lim _{n} \sigma\left(x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n} p_{n}=q$. Thus we have $x \in \sigma^{-1}(q) \cap \bar{U}_{y} \backslash B_{y}$, but this is a contradiction since $\sigma^{-1}(q) \cap \bar{U}_{y}=\{y\} \subset B_{y}$.

So we have proved the existence of a neighborhood $W_{q}^{\prime}$ of $q$ such that for all $p \in W_{q}^{\prime}, \sigma^{-1}(p) \cap U_{y} \subset B_{y}$. Taking a small connected neighborhood $U_{y, q}$ of $y$ included in $B_{y}$, one has $\sigma\left(U_{y, q}\right) \subset W_{q}^{\prime}$. We complete the proof by setting $W_{q}:=\sigma\left(U_{y, q}\right)$.
2. Observe first that because $\sigma\left(B_{y}\right) \supset W_{q}$, the fiber $\sigma^{-1}(p) \cap U_{y}$ is not empty. As explained above the fibers of $\sigma$ are discrete in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, thus the fiber $\sigma^{-1}(p) \cap U_{y}$ is finite. In particular we have $1 \leq n_{p, q}<+\infty$. Let $\left(\sigma_{j, p, q}\right)_{j=1, \cdots, n_{p, q}}$ be a family of inverse branches of $\sigma$ such that $\sigma^{-1}(p) \cap U_{y}=\left\{\sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}(p), 1 \leq j \leq n_{p, q}\right\}$. Let us fix a ball $B_{p} \subset W_{q} \backslash E_{R}$ centered at $p$ such that all these inverse branches are defined on $B_{p}$. We denote $\operatorname{IB}(p, q):=\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{p, q}} \sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}\left(B_{p}\right)$. Since $\sigma^{-1}(p) \cap U_{y} \subset B_{y}$ by (27), up to a reduction of the radius of the ball $B_{p}$, we can assume that $\mathrm{IB}(p, q) \subset B_{y}$. Observe that $\operatorname{IB}(p, q) \subset \sigma^{-1}\left(B_{p}\right) \cap U_{y}$ by construction. Using (27) and similar arguments involved in the previous item, we also have $\sigma^{-1}\left(B_{p}\right) \cap U_{y} \subset \operatorname{IB}(p, q)$, up to reduce $B_{p}$. Finally, observe that $\operatorname{IB}(p, q)=\sigma^{-1}\left(B_{p}\right) \cap U_{y}$ is exactly (28).

Definition 6.13. Let us fix $q \in J \cap E_{R}$. Let $W_{q}$ and $U_{y}$ be the open sets given by Lemma 6.12. We define a vector field $v_{q}: W_{q} \backslash E_{R} \longrightarrow T \mathbb{P}^{2}$ by:

$$
\forall p \in W_{q} \backslash E_{R}, v_{q}(p):=\sum_{x \in \sigma^{-1}(p) \cap U_{y}} h(x) d_{x} \sigma \cdot(1,0) \in T_{p} \mathbb{P}^{2} .
$$

The function $h \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ is chosen to have $v_{q} \not \equiv 0$ on $W_{q} \backslash E_{R}$.

## Lemma 6.14.

1. The vector field $v_{q}: W_{q} \backslash E_{R} \longrightarrow T \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is holomorphic.
2. The function $h \in \mathcal{O}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ chosen such that $v_{q} \not \equiv 0$ exists. Moreover one can choose $h$ equal to a polynomial function on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.

## Proof:

1. Let $p \in W_{q} \backslash E_{R}$ fixed. Let $\left(\sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}\right)_{j \in\left\{1, \cdots, n_{p, q}\right\}}$ be the family of inverse branches defined on a ball $B_{p}$ given by the second item of Lemma 6.12. According to (28) we have for any $p^{\prime} \in B_{p}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{q}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{p, q}}\left(h \circ \sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}\right)\left(p^{\prime}\right) d_{\sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)} \sigma \cdot(1,0) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula (29) shows that $v_{q}$ is holomorphic on $B_{p}$. Since these arguments are valid for any $p \in W_{q} \backslash E_{R}$, the vector field $v_{q}: W_{q} \backslash E_{R} \longrightarrow T \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is holomorphic.
2. Let us fix $p \in W_{q} \backslash E_{R}$ and let $\Phi: B_{p} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{n_{p, q}}$ be defined by $\Phi: p^{\prime} \in B_{p} \mapsto$ $\left(\sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right)_{1 \leq j \leq n_{p, q}}$. Let us defined $Z_{p, q}:=\left\{\left(Z_{j}, W_{j}\right)_{j} \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{n_{p, q}}, \exists i<j: Z_{i}=Z_{j}\right\}$, there exists $p^{\prime} \in B_{p} \backslash \Phi^{-1}\left(Z_{p, q}\right)$. Let us write $\Phi\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j}=\left(Z_{j}, W_{j}\right)_{j}$ and let $\vec{V}_{j}:=d_{x_{j}^{\prime}} \sigma \cdot(1,0)$. Taking $\lambda_{1}:=1$ and $\lambda_{j}:=0, j \geq 2$, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{n_{p, q}} \lambda_{j} \vec{V}_{j}=\vec{V}_{1} \neq \overrightarrow{0}$, since $x_{1}^{\prime}$ is not a critical point of $\sigma$. Since $\Phi\left(p^{\prime}\right) \notin Z_{p, q}$, there exists a polynomial function $P(Z)$ such that $P\left(Z_{j}\right)=\lambda_{j}$. Let $h(Z, W):=P(Z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, we deduce from (29) that $v_{q}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{p, q}} P\left(Z_{j}\right) \times \vec{V}_{j}=\vec{V}_{1} \neq 0$.

We prove now that $v_{q}$ extends holomorphically through $E_{R}$. We recall that $E_{R}$ is included in an analytic subset of codimension 1 of $\sigma\left(D_{R}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{R} \subset E_{R}^{\prime}, \text { with } E_{R}^{\prime}:=f^{n_{R}}\left(\text { Crit } f^{n_{R}}\right) \cap \sigma\left(D_{R}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 6.15. The vector field $v_{q}$ admits a unique holomorphic extension on $W_{q}$, still denoted $v_{q}$.

Proof : In this proof we extends $v_{q}$ through the analytic subset $E_{R}^{\prime}$ defined by (30), it extends in particular $v_{q}$ through $E_{R}$.

The content of the proposition is of local nature, thus we can assume that there exists $\xi: W_{q} \rightarrow \xi\left(W_{q}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ a chart, holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\bar{W}_{q}$. We define $v_{*}:=\xi_{*} v_{q}$ on $\xi\left(W_{q} \backslash E_{R}^{\prime}\right)=\xi\left(W_{q}\right) \backslash F^{\prime}$, with $F^{\prime}:=\xi\left(E_{R}^{\prime} \cap W_{q}\right)$. Let us write $v_{*}=(\alpha, \beta)$.

Let us fix $p \in W_{q} \backslash E_{R}^{\prime}$ and let us consider the ball $B_{p} \subset W_{q} \backslash E_{R}$ we have constructed in Lemma 6.12. Up to reduce this ball we have $B_{p} \subset W_{q} \backslash E_{R}^{\prime}$. For
$p^{\prime} \in B_{p}, v_{*}\left(\xi\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{p, q}}\left(h \circ \sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right) \times\left(d_{p^{\prime}} \xi \circ d_{\sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)} \sigma\right) \cdot(1,0)$ by (29), and $n_{p, q} \leq d^{2 n_{R}}$. Thus we deduce that $\left\|v_{*}\right\| \leq d^{2 n_{R}} M_{q}$ on $\xi\left(B_{p}\right) \backslash F^{\prime}$, where $M_{q}:=$ $\sup _{D_{R}}|h| \times \sup _{W_{q}}\|d \xi\| \times \sup _{D_{R}}\|d \sigma \cdot(1,0)\|$.
$M_{q}$ is finite and independent of $p$, thus by Riemann extension theorem (cf. [19, Proposition 1.1.7]), $\alpha$ and $\beta$ admit unique extensions $\widetilde{\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$ on $\xi\left(W_{q}\right)$. Finally, the vector field $\xi^{*}(\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta})$ extends uniquely $v_{q}$ on $W_{q}$.

The interest of this vector field $v_{q}$ is that for each $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J) \cap W_{q}$, it is tangent to the distribution of directions $\mathcal{D}_{p}$ on a neighborhood of $p$ included in $V_{p} \cap W_{q}$ :

Lemma 6.16. Let $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J) \cap W_{q}$. Let $B_{p}$ be the ball constructed in Lemma 6.12. There exists a ball $B_{p, q} \subset B_{p} \cap V_{p} \cap W_{q}$ centered at $p$ such that :

$$
\forall t \in B_{p, q}, v_{q}(t) \in \mathcal{D}_{p}(t)
$$

Proof: According to (29), $v_{q}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{p, q}}\left(h \circ \sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}\right)\left(p^{\prime}\right) d_{\sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right)} \sigma \cdot(1,0)$ for any $p^{\prime} \in B_{p}$. Observe that, because $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)$, the inverse branches $\sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}$ which appear are some of those we have constructed in Section 6.3.1 for the regular value $p$ of $\sigma$. So for any $j \in\left\{1, \cdots, n_{p, q}\right\}$ and any $p^{\prime} \in B_{p}$ we have $\sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{IB}_{R}(p)$, we refer to Definition 6.7. Hence by definition of $\mathcal{D}_{p}$ in (26), we have for any $p^{\prime} \in B_{p} \cap V_{p}$ and for any $j \in\left\{1, \cdots, n_{p, q}\right\},\left[d_{\sigma_{j, p, q}\left(p^{\prime}\right)} \sigma \cdot(1,0)\right]=\mathcal{D}_{p}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ and thus $v_{q}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{D}_{p}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$. If $B_{p, q}$ is a ball centered at $p$ and included in $\vec{B}_{p} \cap V_{p} \cap W_{q}$, the conclusion follows.

Up to a reduction of the neighborhood $U_{y, q}$ of $y$ in Lemma 6.12, we can assume that $W_{q}=\sigma\left(U_{y, q}\right)$ is equipped with holomorphic coordinates. As explained in Section 2.1, we can use these local coordinates to create a non trivial holomorphic 1-form $\omega_{q}$ on $W_{q}$ satisfying $\omega_{q}\left(v_{q}\right) \equiv 0$.

Definition 6.17. The (possibly singular) foliation induced by the 1 -form $\omega_{q}$ on $W_{q}$ is denoted $\mathcal{F}_{q}$.

Observe that the equation $\omega_{q}\left(v_{q}\right)=0$ ensures that the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{q}$ are tangent to the vector field $v_{q}$, at least where $v_{q} \neq 0$. We refer to Section 2.1 for more details on foliations and vector fields.

## Proposition 6.18.

1. For any $q \in J \cap E_{R}$ and for any $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)$, the foliations $\mathcal{F}_{p}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{q}$ coincide on each connected component $\mathcal{C} \subset V_{p} \cap W_{q}$ such that $\sigma_{T}(J \cap \mathcal{C})>0$.
2. For any $\left(q, q^{\prime}\right) \in\left(J \cap E_{R}\right)^{2}$, the foliations $\mathcal{F}_{q}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{q^{\prime}}$ coincide on each connected component $\mathcal{C} \subset W_{q} \cap W_{q^{\prime}}$ such that $\sigma_{T}(J \cap \mathcal{C})>0$.
3. For $q \in J \cap E_{R}, \sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}_{q}=\mathcal{F}_{w}$ on $U_{y, q}$, where $U_{y, q}$ is defined in Lemma 6.12.

## Proof:

1. Since $\sigma_{T}(J \cap \mathcal{C})>0$ and $\sigma_{T}\left(E_{R}\right)=0$ (recall $\sigma_{T}(E)=0$, cf. Lemma 4.1), there exists $\widetilde{p} \in(J \cap \mathcal{C}) \backslash E_{R}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be the connected component of $\mathcal{C} \cap V_{p} \cap V_{\widetilde{p}}$ containing $\widetilde{p}$. Since $\widetilde{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(T)$ we have $\sigma_{T}(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}})>0$. So according to Proposition 6.8, we have $\mathcal{D}_{p}(t)=\mathcal{D}_{\widetilde{p}}(t)$ for $t \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Moreover for $t \in B_{\widetilde{p}, q} \backslash\left\{v_{q}=0\right\},\left[v_{q}(t)\right]=\mathcal{D}_{\widetilde{p}}(t)$ by Lemma
6.16. We deduce that $T_{t} \mathcal{F}_{q}=\left[v_{q}(t)\right]=\mathcal{D}_{\widetilde{p}}(t)=\mathcal{D}_{p}(t)$ for $t \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \cap B_{\widetilde{p}, q} \backslash\left\{v_{q}=0\right\}$. But $\mathcal{D}_{p}(t)=T_{t} \mathcal{F}_{p}$ for $t \in V_{p}$, thus we have $T_{t} \mathcal{F}_{q}=T_{t} \mathcal{F}_{p}$ for all $t \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \cap B_{\widetilde{p}, q} \backslash\left\{v_{q}=0\right\} \subset \mathcal{C}$. By using the second item and the third item of Lemma 2.1, we conclude that finally $\mathcal{F}_{q}=\mathcal{F}_{p}$ on $\mathcal{C}$.
2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a connected component of $W_{q} \cap W_{q^{\prime}}$ and let us assume that $\sigma_{T}(J \cap \mathcal{C})>0$. As in the preceding point, there exists $p \in\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}\right) \backslash E_{R}$. The connected component $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{C} \cap V_{p}$ containing $p$ satisfies $\sigma_{T}\left(J \cap \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right)>0$ since $p \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J}\right)$. According to the previous item (applied first to $q$ and $p$ and then secondly to $q^{\prime}$ and $p$ ) we have $\mathcal{F}_{q}=\mathcal{F}_{p}=\mathcal{F}_{q^{\prime}}$ on $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. Using again Lemma 2.1 we have at last $\mathcal{F}_{q}=\mathcal{F}_{q^{\prime}}$ on $\mathcal{C}$.
3. We have $\sigma_{T}\left(J \cap W_{q}\right)>0$ since $q \in J=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J}\right)$. So there exists $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J) \cap$ $W_{q}$. Let $B_{p, q}$ be the ball constructed in Lemma 6.16. Let $\sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}$ be an inverse branch of $\sigma$ which appears in the formula (29) and such that $x_{j}:=\sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}(p)$ belongs to $\operatorname{IB}_{R}(p) \cap U_{y, q}$. Observe that $\sigma_{j, p, q}^{-1}(p) \in \operatorname{IB}_{R}(p)$ by definition of $\operatorname{IB}_{R}(p)$. The fact that we can choose $j$ such that $x_{j} \in U_{y, q}$ comes from the fact that $\sigma\left(U_{y, q}\right)=W_{q}$, see Lemma 6.12.

According to the first item, the foliations $\mathcal{F}_{p}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{q}$ coincide on the ball $B_{p, q} \subset$ $V_{p} \cap W_{q}$ (since $\sigma_{T}\left(J \cap B_{p, q}\right)>0$ because $p \in J=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J}\right)$ ). Thus we have $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}_{q}=\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}_{p}$ on $\sigma^{-1}\left(B_{p, q}\right)$. But we have also $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}_{p}=\mathcal{F}_{w}$ on $\mathrm{IB}_{R}(p)$, see Definition 6.10. So we deduce that $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}_{q}=\mathcal{F}_{w}$ on $\sigma^{-1}\left(B_{p, q}\right) \cap \operatorname{IB}_{R}(p)$, and the point $x_{j}$ belongs to $\sigma^{-1}\left(B_{p, q}\right) \cap \mathrm{IB}_{R}(p) \cap U_{y, q}$. So $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}_{q}=\mathcal{F}_{w}$ on $U_{y, q}$ by analytic continuation (see Lemma 2.1).

### 6.3.4 Step 4 : Finite covering of $J$ by foliated neighborhoods

We have constructed for each point $p \in J$ a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ of $p\left(\mathcal{O}_{p}:=V_{p}\right.$ if $p \in \operatorname{Reg}_{\sigma_{R}}(J)$ or $\mathcal{O}_{p}=W_{p}$ if $p \in J \cap E_{R}$ ), equipped with a foliation $\mathcal{F}_{p}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{p}$. Propositions 6.11 and 6.18 ensure that two foliations $\mathcal{F}_{p}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{q}$ of this collection coincide on $\mathcal{O}_{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_{q}$ when the connected components of $\mathcal{O}_{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_{q}$ are charged by $\sigma_{T}$ or $\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J}$. Let us modify the covering $J \subset \cup_{p \in J} \mathcal{O}_{p}$ such that the two following properties hold : when two open sets of the covering intersect themselves, the foliations coincide on the intersection ; and every connected component of the covering contains an element of $J$. First, by an argument of Riemannian geometry, if we reduce the neighbourhoods $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ sufficiently, they are connected and they satisfy the following property : if two sets $\mathcal{O}_{p}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{q}$ intersect themselves then $\mathcal{O}_{p} \cap \mathcal{O}_{q}$ is also connected. Second, extract by compactness of $J$ a finite covering $J \subset \cup_{k=1}^{L} \mathcal{O}_{p_{k}}^{\prime}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{p_{k}}^{\prime}$ is a neighborhood of $p_{k}$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{p_{k}}^{\prime}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{p_{k}}$. Third, for each $p_{k}$ define $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}_{p_{k}}}$ the open subset of $\mathcal{O}_{p_{k}}^{\prime}$ obtained by depriving the sets $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{p_{k}}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{O}_{p_{l}}^{\prime}}, 1 \leq l \leq L$, which do not intersect $J$. Finally, we assert that the following covering has the two desire properties :
$J \subset \mathcal{V}:=\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \backslash\{$ connected components that do not contain elements of $J\}$
where $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}:=\bigcup_{k=1}^{L} \widetilde{\mathcal{O}_{p_{k}}}=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \widetilde{V}_{p_{i}}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{M} \widetilde{W}_{q_{j}}\right)$. Indeed, if $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{p_{k}} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{p_{l}} \neq \emptyset$ it means that $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{p_{k}}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{O}_{p_{l}}^{\prime}} \cap J \neq \emptyset$, and thus $\sigma_{T}\left(J \cap \mathcal{O}_{p_{k}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{p_{l}}\right)>0$ since $J=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J}\right)$. Using Proposition 6.8 or 6.18 we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_{p_{k}}=\mathcal{F}_{p_{l}}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{p_{k}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{p_{l}}$, since this intersection is connected.

In particular, the foliations $\left(\mathcal{F}_{p_{i}}\right)_{i \in\{1, \cdots, N\}}$ and $\left(\mathcal{F}_{q_{j}}\right)_{j \in\{1, \cdots, M\}}$ patch all together on $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ and form a (possibly singular) foliation :

Definition 6.19. We denote

$$
\mathcal{F}:=\left(\left.\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{F}_{p_{i}}\right|_{\widetilde{V}_{p_{i}}}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{M} \mathcal{F}_{q_{j}} \mid \widetilde{W}_{q_{j}}\right)
$$

the foliation on the covering $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ given by this patching.
To finish the proof of Theorem 6.2 it remains to show that $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{w}$ on $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. It is done in the next section and use the Proposition 6.20 below. Let us introduce an open set $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ such that $\sigma(\mathcal{W})=\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ and on which we are able to compute $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}$. We denote for each $p_{i}$ and $q_{j}$ :

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{IB}}_{R}\left(p_{i}\right):=\operatorname{IB}_{R}\left(p_{i}\right) \cap \sigma^{-1}\left(\widetilde{V}_{p_{i}}\right) \text { and } \widetilde{U}_{y_{j}, q_{j}}:=U_{y_{j}, q_{j}} \cap \sigma^{-1}\left(\widetilde{W}_{q_{j}}\right)
$$

where $U_{y_{j}, q_{j}}$ is defined in Lemma 6.12. The open set $\mathcal{W}$ is then given by :

$$
\mathcal{W}:=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \widetilde{\mathrm{IB}}_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{M} \widetilde{U}_{y_{j}, q_{j}}\right)
$$

For each $i$ and $j$ one has $\sigma\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{IB}}_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)\right)=\widetilde{V}_{p_{i}}$ and $\sigma\left(\widetilde{U}_{y_{j}, q_{j}}\right)=\widetilde{W}_{q_{j}}$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\mathcal{W})=\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Definition 6.10 and of the third item of Proposition 6.18, we observe that:
Proposition 6.20. We have $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{w}$ on $\mathcal{W}$.

### 6.3.5 Step 5 : The foliation is horizontal on $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$

This is the last step to complete the proof of Theorem 6.2.
Proposition 6.21. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a connected component of $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. Then there exists $x \in \mathcal{C} \backslash($ Crit $\sigma)$ such that $\sigma(x) \in J \backslash E_{R}$.

Proof: There exists $R^{\prime} \geq R$ large enough such that $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{D}_{R^{\prime}}^{2} \neq \emptyset$. Assume $R^{\prime}=R$ for simplicity. The set $\Sigma_{R}:=D_{R} \cap \sigma^{-1}\left(E_{R}\right)$ is an analytic subset of $D_{R}$ of codimension $\geq 1$, thus $D_{R} \backslash \Sigma_{R}$ is connected and $\left(\mathcal{C} \cap D_{R}\right) \backslash \Sigma_{R} \neq \emptyset$. So if $x_{0} \in\left(\mathcal{C} \cap D_{R}\right) \backslash \Sigma_{R}$ is an arbitrary point, there exists $U_{R} \subset D_{R} \backslash \Sigma_{R}$ an open connected set, relatively compact in $D_{R} \backslash \Sigma_{R}$, which contains $x_{0}$. The point $\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)$ belongs to $\mathcal{V}$, let $\mathcal{V}_{0} \subset \mathcal{V}$ be the connected component containing $\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)$.

By construction (31) of $\mathcal{V}$, we have $\mathcal{V}_{0} \cap J \neq \emptyset$. Since $J=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\left.\sigma_{T}\right|_{J}\right)$ and since $\sigma_{T}\left(E_{R}\right)=0$, we then deduce the existence of a point $p \in \mathcal{V}_{0} \cap J \backslash E_{R}$. We can therefore increase $U_{R}$ if necessary to ensure that $\sigma\left(U_{R}\right) \cap \mathcal{V}_{0}$ contains a Lipschitz path $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow$ $\sigma\left(U_{R}\right) \cap \mathcal{V}_{0}$ such that $\gamma(0)=\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\gamma(1)=p$. Then according to Corollary 4.4, there exists $\widetilde{\gamma}:[0,1] \rightarrow U_{R}$ a continuous path such that $\sigma \circ \tilde{\gamma}=\gamma$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(0)=x_{0}$. Since $\widetilde{\gamma}([0,1]) \subset \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ with $\widetilde{\gamma}(0) \in \mathcal{C}$, and since $\mathcal{C}$ is a connected component of $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$, we must have $\widetilde{\gamma}([0,1]) \subset \mathcal{C}$. In particular, we have $x:=\tilde{\gamma}(1) \in \mathcal{C} \backslash($ Crit $\sigma)$ such that $\sigma(x)=\gamma(1)=p \in J \backslash E_{R}$.

Lemma 6.22. The foliation $\left.\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}\right|_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V})}$ coincide with the horizontal foliation $\mathcal{F}_{w}$.
Proof: Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a connected component of $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. According to Proposition 6.21 there exists $x \in \mathcal{C} \backslash($ Crit $\sigma)$ such that $p:=\sigma(x) \in J \backslash E_{R}$. Thus there exists $U_{x} \subset \mathcal{C}$ a connected open neighborhood of $x$ such that $\sigma_{x}:=\left.\sigma\right|_{U_{x}}: U_{x} \rightarrow \sigma\left(U_{x}\right)=: V_{x}$ is a biholomorphism. By (32) there exists $y \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\sigma(y)=p$. Since $y \in D_{R}$ and since $p$ is not a critical value of $\left.\sigma\right|_{D_{R}}, y$ is not a critical value of $\sigma$. So let $U_{y} \subset \mathcal{W}$ be a open neighborhood of $y$ such that $\sigma_{y}:=\left.\sigma\right|_{U_{y}}: U_{y} \rightarrow \sigma\left(U_{y}\right)=: V_{y}$ is a biholomorphism.

We can assume that $V_{x} \subset V_{y}$ and $\phi:=\sigma_{y}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{x}: U_{x} \longrightarrow \sigma_{y}^{-1}\left(V_{x}\right)$ is well defined. Observe that $\sigma_{T}\left(V_{x}\right)>0$ (since $p \in J$ ) and that $V_{x}$ is connected. So according to the first item of Proposition 6.8, the map $\phi$ has the form $\phi(z, w)=(A(z, w), B(w))$ and it preserves $\mathcal{F}_{w}$. Observe that $\phi^{*}\left(\left.\sigma_{y}^{*} \mathcal{F}\right|_{V_{x}}\right)=\left.\sigma_{x}^{*} \mathcal{F}\right|_{V_{x}}$ by definition of $\phi$. Moreover, according to Proposition 6.20, we have $\sigma_{y}^{*}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{V_{y}}\right)=\left.\mathcal{F}_{w}\right|_{U_{y}}$, thus we have $\left.\left(\sigma_{x}^{*} \mathcal{F}\right)\right|_{U_{x}}=$ $\left.\left(\phi^{*} \mathcal{F}_{w}\right)\right|_{U_{x}}=\left.\mathcal{F}_{w}\right|_{U_{x}}$. We deduce that $\sigma^{*} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{w}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ by analytic continuation (Lemma 2.1). Since it is true for any connected component $\mathcal{C}$ the conclusion follows.
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