

# **Penicillin-binding protein (PBP) inhibitor development: A 10-year chemical perspective**

Ariane Bertonha, Caio Silva, Karina Shirakawa, Daniel Trindade, Andréa

Dessen

## **To cite this version:**

Ariane Bertonha, Caio Silva, Karina Shirakawa, Daniel Trindade, Andréa Dessen. Penicillin-binding protein (PBP) inhibitor development: A 10-year chemical perspective. Experimental Biology and Medicine, 2023, 248 (19), pp.1657-1670.  $10.1177/15353702231208407$ . hal-04510760

# **HAL Id: hal-04510760 <https://hal.science/hal-04510760v1>**

Submitted on 17 Oct 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# *Minireview*

# **Penicillin-binding protein (PBP) inhibitor development: A 10-year chemical perspective**

## Ariane F Bertonha<sup>1</sup>, Caio C L Silva<sup>1</sup>, Karina T Shirakawa<sup>1,2</sup>, Daniel M Trindade<sup>1</sup> and **Andréa Dessen1,3**

1Brazilian Biosciences National Laboratory (LNBio), CNPEM, Campinas 13084-971, Brazil; 2Departamento de Genética, Evolução, Microbiologia e Imunologia, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas 13083-862, Brazil; 3Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, CEA, Institut de Biologie Structurale (IBS), F-38044 Grenoble, France Corresponding author: Andréa Dessen. Email: [andrea.dessen@ibs.fr](mailto:andrea.dessen@ibs.fr)

#### **Impact Statement**

β-lactam antibiotics, that inhibit bacterial growth and cell wall formation by targeting penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs), have been successfully used for decades. However, the emergence of resistance to these antibiotics presents a clear challenge. Here we review the literature covering research into novel PBP inhibitors through the last 10 years, including compounds that are active against major bacterial pathogens.

#### **Abstract**

Bacterial cell wall formation is essential for cellular survival and morphogenesis. The peptidoglycan (PG), a heteropolymer that surrounds the bacterial membrane, is a key component of the cell wall, and its multistep biosynthetic process is an attractive antibacterial development target. Penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) are responsible for cross-linking PG stem peptides, and their central role in bacterial cell wall synthesis has made them the target of successful antibiotics, including β-lactams, that have been used worldwide for decades. Following the discovery of penicillin, several other compounds with antibiotic activity have been discovered and, since then, have saved millions of lives. However, since pathogens inevitably become resistant to antibiotics, the search for new active compounds is continuous. The present review highlights the ongoing development of inhibitors acting mainly in the transpeptidase domain of PBPs with potential therapeutic applications for the

development of new antibiotic agents. Both the critical aspects of the strategy, design, and structure–activity relationships (SAR) are discussed, covering the main published articles over the last 10years. Some of the molecules described display activities against main bacterial pathogens and could open avenues toward the development of new, efficient antibacterial drugs.

**Keywords:** Penicillin-binding protein, inhibitors, substrate analogs, β-lactams, non-β-lactam inhibitors

*Experimental Biology and Medicine* **2023; 248: 1657–1670. DOI: 10.1177/15353702231208407**

### **Introduction**

Bacterial cell wall formation is a complex, highly regulated process that is key for cellular survival and morphogenesis. Peptidoglycan (PG), a key component of the cell wall, is a heteropolymer that surrounds the bacterial membrane, offering protection from osmotic lysis and serving as a binding platform for virulence factors and adhesins.1,2 Its central role in bacterial growth has made the biosynthetic machinery of the PG the target of successful antibiotics, including β-lactams, that have been used worldwide for decades. PG biosynthesis occurs during the cell division phase, where septum and polar caps are synthesized, and in non-spherical bacteria also during the elongation phase, where growth occurs along the longitudinal axis of the cell.3 Proteins involved in these processes are associated with the divisome and/or the elongasome (or Rod complex) and their inhibition can lead to impaired cell growth and often cell lysis and death.<sup>4,5</sup>

Assembled PG is composed of polymerized disaccharide subunits, N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) and N-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc), cross-linked by stem peptides (Figure 1). PG biosynthesis is a multistep process that is initiated in the cytoplasm, where the Lipid II building block is synthesized in a stepwise fashion, after which it is flipped toward the outside of the inner membrane. In the periplasm, penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) and shape, elongation, division, and sporulation (SEDS) proteins incorporate Lipid II into the growing peptidoglycan layer through glycosylation (where GlcNAc and MurNAc disaccharide units are polymerized) and transpeptidation (stem peptide cross-linking). SEDS and PBPs have been reported to both be able to catalyze glycosyltransfer, but only PBPs are able



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the PG biosynthesis steps occurring in the Gram-negative periplasm, and complexes formed by PBP2. The active site serine of PBP2 recognizes the terminal D-alanine, D-alanine moiety of the stem peptide, forming an acyl–enzyme complex by a nucleophilic addition reaction. This is followed by the elimination of the last D-alanine residue of the chain, regenerating the carbonyl group. Subsequently, the nucleophilic attack at the carbonyl group of the acyl–enzyme complex is performed by the lateral amino group at position (3) of an adjacent chain, followed by the elimination of the enzyme that generates the classical "4–3" cross-link. During cell wall elongation, the PBP2-RodA complex (PDB ID: 6PL5)<sup>12</sup> catalyzes glycosylation (RodA) and transpeptidation (PBP2) of the Lipid II building block to generate a new peptidoglycan layer. PBP2 can be activated upon binding to an MreC dimer (PDB ID: 5LP5)<sup>10</sup> and could potentially bind to both RodA and MreC concomitantly. Green dotted box: chemical details of the transpeptidation reaction catalyzed by PBP2, whose N-terminus is in yellow and C-terminal (transpeptidase) domain is shown in red. The C55 lipid carrier is indicated in pink, with MurNAc in black and GlcNAc in gray.

to catalyze transpeptidation reactions.2,4–7 It is precisely this transpeptidation reaction that is inhibited by β-lactam antibiotics; blocking peptide cross-linking eventually leads to weakening of the peptidoglycan and cell lysis.<sup>8,9</sup>

Different organisms can display diverging numbers of PBPs. *Escherichia coli*, for example, has 12 PBPs, while the 7 PBPs of *Bacillus subtilis* are also involved in sporulation and the ovalshaped *Streptococcus pneumoniae* has 6 PBPs. High molecular mass PBPs can either catalyze both glycosyltransfer and transpeptidation (class A), or only the transpeptidation (TP) reaction (class B).8 The TP active site is located in the C-terminal domain of the molecule, while the N-terminus of class B PBPs is involved in binding to protein partners $10-12$  (Figure 1).

During the transpeptidation reaction, the PBP active site recognizes the terminal D-alanine, D-alanine moiety of the stem peptide, forming an acyl–enzyme complex. The nucleophilic attack at the carbonyl group of the penultimate D-alanine by the lateral amino group at position (3) of an adjacent chain generates a classical "4–3" cross-link (Figure 1). β-lactam antibiotics structurally resemble the D-alanine, D-alanine dipeptide, and thus also form an acyl–enzyme

complex with the active site serine of PBPs; however, the relative stability of this complex to nucleophilic attack is key for its inhibitory effect.9,13,14

Since the discovery of penicillin,  $\beta$ -lactams have been widely used and remain among the most important small molecules in clinical use, representing more than 50% of all prescribed antibiotics.15 Notably, many PBPs are also involved in the development of resistance toward these drugs in a number of pathogens.16 β-lactamases, enzymes produced by several infectious bacterial strains, have also been shown to play important roles in antibiotic resistance. Class A, C, and D β-lactamases are serine-based enzymes that perform inactivation in a two-step process.17,18 The first step is the formation of the acyl–enzyme complex by the acylation of the catalytic serine through the nucleophilic attack of its hydroxyl group. Subsequently, the complex formed undergoes a second nucleophilic attack where the nucleophile is a water molecule, leading to hydrolysis and opening the β-lactam ring. Class B β-lactamases are metallo-enzymes that employ  $Zn^2$ + or other metal ions in the reaction with the β-lactam substrate.<sup>18</sup> The metal stabilizes the hydroxide



**Figure 2.** Structure of piperacillin (**1**, PIP) and the products of the hydrolysis and epimerization reactions (5*S*)-penicilloic acid ((5*S*)-PA, **2**) and (5*R*)-penicilloic acid  $((5R)$ -PA, 3).<sup>29</sup>

anion formed by a water molecule and coordinates its direct addition to the carbonyl group of the substrate, opening the β-lactam ring.18 Upon completion of these reactions, βlactams can no longer effectively target and inhibit PBPs.16,17

Nevertheless, PBPs have remained attractive targets for inhibitor development due to the essential role they play in bacterial growth and survival and their location on the outside of the cell membrane.<sup>19</sup> This review provides an update on the development of inhibitors acting mainly in the transpeptidase domain of PBPs with potential therapeutic applications for the development of new antibiotic agents. Both the critical aspects of the design and structure–activity relationships (SAR) are discussed, covering the main published articles within the last 10years.

#### **Substrate analog inhibitors**

*β-lactams.* Structural biology has been extensively employed to characterize complexes between PBPs and β-lactam analogs with the objective of understanding catalytic details, resistance mechanisms, and developing novel ligands.8,20–28 In these structures, the nucleophilic serine is covalently associated to the β-lactam carbonyl as an ester. Despite these efforts, until recently no reports had been published relating complex formation between a PBP and a ligand product resulting from hydrolysis by a β-lactamase. However, recent crystallographic studies found that an epimerized hydrolysis product of piperacillin (**1**, PIP), (5*S*)-penicilloic acid ((5*S*)-PA, **2**, Figure 2), can bind to PBP3 from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. 29 When PBP3 was co-crystallized with piperacillin, the electron density map revealed that the nucleophilic serine was not linked to the carbonyl group of the β-lactam as an ester, as expected. Instead, a noncovalent complex with 5S-stereochemistry was observed.29 The noncovalent binding mode of the (5*S*)-PA epimer in the crystal structure indicated an apparent preference for this epimer rather than the (5*R*)-PA epimer (**3**, Figure 2) formed through hydrolysis and epimerization reactions. Using NMR spectroscopy, authors verified that, in solution, PBP3 first catalyzes the hydrolysis of piperacillin into (5*R*)-PA, which is slowly converted into (5*S*)-PA in a non-enzymatic fashion.29 In the absence of PBP3, low levels of the hydrolyzed (5*R*)-PA were observed.

Competition assays revealed that in contrast to piperacillin  $(IC_{50}$  of 166  $\pm$  62 nM), both (5*R*)-PA and (5*S*)-PA are weak PBP3 inhibitors (IC<sub>50</sub> of  $196 \pm 28$  and  $126 \pm 18$  μM, respectively), which may reflect the noncovalent nature of the complex formed. Despite that, these results provide new insights into the manner of penicilloic acid binding to PBP3 and could be used to guide the design of new PBP inhibitors.

Due to their complex cell wall architecture, Gramnegative pathogens present notable challenges toward the discovery of new antibacterial agents.30 Resistance in Gram-negative organisms is multicausal and includes reduction of outer membrane permeability, caused by porin deficiency, as well as β-lactamase production and degradation of target affinity through the introduction of mutations in PBPs.31 Cefiderocol (**4**), formerly known as S-649226, is a siderophore with a cephalosporin core (**5**, Figure 3) developed by Shionogi & Co., Ltd.32–34 to treat infections caused by carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. The compound has already been approved in the United States and Europe for the treatment of infections in adults.35 Regarding the mechanism, the cephalosporin moiety of cefiderocol binds primarily to PBP3, as is the case for other cephalosporins, while the catechol moiety contributes to the formation of a chelated complex with ferric iron that facilitates the crossing of the outer membrane using the receptor-mediated bacterial iron transport system.33 Bacterial iron transport systems accelerate and enhance the influx of cefiderocol into the periplasmic space, thereby enhancing its antimicrobial activity.33

Another example of a compound that can overcome the penetration barrier presented by the Gram-negative outer membrane and the action of β-lactamases is the tricyclic βlactam **6** (Figure 3).31 This compound emerged from the SAR evaluation of compound **7** (Figure 3).36 Compound **6** shows antibacterial activity against several clinical isolates, solid therapeutic efficacy in the neutropenic mouse lung infection model, and a low frequency of production of spontaneous resistant mutants.31 The presence of a sulfoxide group at the core structure with the γ-lactone ring was essential for the generation of potent antibacterial activities against several β-lactamase-producing strains.



**Figure 3.** Cefiderocol (**4**), core structure of cephalosporin (**5**),32–34 and compounds **6**31 and **7**. 36

Monobactams also target PBPs from Gram-negative species, such as *P. aeruginosa* PBP3.37 Aztreonam (ATM, **8**, Figure 4) was the first clinical monobactam antibiotic successfully used to treat infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria.38 Nonetheless, ATM has some limitations against *P. aeruginosa*, possibly due to its poor outer membrane permeability, β-lactamase susceptibility, and high propensity for efflux.30,39 However, ATM has become an ideal starting point for structure optimization viewing the development of new monobactam antibiotic candidates due to its simple structure and clinical success. Structural analyses reveal ample space between the oxime-linked group in monobactams and the active site of PBP3, which can accommodate various substituents with different polarities and sizes to increase activity.37

Inspired by ATM's properties and chemical structure, 34 new monobactam derivatives were synthesized with nitrogen-based groups on the oxime side chain and were evaluated for their antibacterial activities using a phenotypic screening assay.<sup>37</sup> Hydrophobic unsaturated aliphatic chains, phenyl and amino groups, carboxyl as well as siderophore catecholate fragments were evaluated. These compounds had their minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) determined against bacterial strains including drug-resistant *E. coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, and

*P. aeruginosa* and drug-susceptible *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Enterobacter aerogenes* from the ATCC collection and clinical isolates from Chinese hospitals.37 Most of the compounds exhibited reduced antibacterial potencies compared with the lead ATM, except for compounds **9** and **10**, both of which bear unsaturated allyl and propargyl groups (Figure 4). These compounds showed comparable potencies against *E. coli* (MICs of 0.25–0.5 µg mL−1) and higher potency against *A. baumannii* and *E. cloacae* when compared to values obtained with ATM, with no cytotoxicity effects up to a concentration of 30 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>37</sup>

Isolated from *Nocardia uniformis* in the late 1970s,<sup>40</sup> the first monobactam described as an antibacterial agent was Nocardicin A (**11**, Figure 4). Although it originally had limited antibacterial activity, its promising potential inspired the synthesis of a new nocardicin-like analogs library. $41$ First, an *in silico* design was performed to construct a virtual combinatorial library of novel monocyclic β-lactams that identified 64 hits from a virtual screening campaign. Then, the compounds had their potential activity against PBP5fm from *Enterococcus faecium* evaluated via *in silico* covalent docking studies. Subsequent to their synthesis and stereochemical determination, 30 selected compounds were submitted to a PBP binding and competition assay. It was



**Figure 4.** Examples of monobactams: Aztreonam (ATM, **8**),37,38 derivatives **9** and **10**, 37 Nocardicin A (**11**),40 benzylidene derivative **12**, 41 U-78608 (**13**),42 MC-1 (**14**), and MC-8 (**15**),43 compounds **16**, **17**, and **18**. 30

observed that an adequate distance between the last functional group and the β-lactam core is essential to mimic the D-alanyl-D-alanine terminus of the natural substrate of the PBPs. Purified PBP3 from *E. coli* K12, PBP5fm from *E. faecium* D63r, and R39 DD-carboxypeptidase from *Actinomadura* spp. were then incubated with the compounds, and their residual activities were determined by labeling the free enzymes with BOCILLIN FL, a fluorescent derivative of penicillin V. The benzylidene derivative (**12**, Figure 4) showed a promising inhibitory potential of PBP3 with 28% residual activity and an  $IC_{50}$  value of 130 µM. Weak inhibition was observed of the other two enzymes, with 88% and 62% residual activities for PBP5fm and R39, respectively. The inhibitory activity of β-lactamases in the presence of the compounds was also determined and compound **12** inhibited the catalytic activity of the P99 class C β-lactamase by about 40%.

Monobactams U-78608 (13, Figure 4),<sup>42</sup> MC-1 (14, Figure 4), and MC-8 (15, Figure 4)<sup>43</sup> are known examples of monocyclic β-lactams that include iron-chelating siderophore groups within their structures.30 Despite the potent inhibition of *P. aeruginosa* PBP3 and the resistance to hydrolysis by β-lactamases, $44$  these compounds present poor hydrolytic stability and high human plasma protein binding.43 In 2015, aiming to improve the activity of some monobactam derivatives, Murphy-Benenato and co-workers<sup>30</sup> developed a SAR study focused on increasing permeation by changing the siderophore mimics. Analogs with modifications on the side chains of the triazole, the iron-chelating group, the aminothiazole, and the 4-position of the β-lactam core were synthesized. Antibacterial activity against *P. aeruginosa*, PBP3 acylation rates, and physicochemical properties were assessed for all analogs. In general, the analogs demonstrated MICs toward *P. aeruginosa* ranging from 0.13 to 8 µg mL−1, significant variability of PBP3 acylation rate constants  $(5.9 \times 10^3 \text{ to } 6.2 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1})$ , depending on the size of the side chain on the β-lactam core), and good hydrolytic stability. Co-crystal structures of *P. aeruginosa* PBP3 with compounds **16**, **17a**, and **17b** (Figure 4) indicated that all three compounds were covalently bound to Ser294 of PBP3 with similar binding modes.<sup>30</sup> Some observed key differences between the structures of the allyl analog **16** and the methyl and vinyl analogs **17a** and **17b** included the absence of a salt bridge between Arg489 and the oxyiminopropylcarboxylic acid and a flipped conformation of the urea of the acylsulfonamide. Currently, **17a** is an example of a monobactam candidate in a preclinical stage of investigation.37

In some cases, an adopted strategy to overcome bacterial resistance is the combination of drugs with different modes of action.31 Combinations approved in some countries include AVYCAZ® (ceftazidime, **18**, CAZ, and Avibactam, **19**, AVI, a β-lactamase inhibitor based on a diazabicyclooctane pharmacophore)45 and VABOMERE® (meropenem, **20**, MER, and vaborbactam, **21**, VAB, a β-lactamase inhibitor based on a cyclic boronic acid pharmacophore)<sup>46</sup> (Figure 5). The combination of ATM (**8**, Figure 4) and AVI (**19**) has completed a phase II clinical trial for the treatment of infections caused by resistant Gram-negative bacteria.<sup>47</sup>



**Figure 5.** Antibiotic combinations in use: AVYCAZ® (ceftazidime, **18**, CAZ, and Avibactam, **19**, AVI)45 and VABOMERE® (meropenem, **20**, MER, and vaborbactam, **21**, VAB).46

Antibiotic and adjuvant combinations can result in four possible effects: synergy, additivity, antagonism, and autonomy.48 Synergy is the most desirable interaction and occurs when the effect of two drugs in combination is significantly greater than that of either drug alone.48 Additivity is the sum of the effects of each drug, with the premise that they do not interact with each other. When a combination has less effect than either drug alone, they are considered antagonistic.48 If the observed effect is equal to that of the most active drug, it is autonomous.<sup>48</sup> The effect of the combination can be determined through the measurement and calculation of the fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) (see reference Kalan and Wright<sup>48</sup> for more details). An FIC index of  $\leq 0.5$ indicates synergy and a value  $\geq 4.0$  indicates antagonism. Additivity and autonomy are the intermediate values and cannot always be distinguished. They can be classified as "no interaction." Synergistic studies of compounds **9** and **10** (Figure 4) with AVI (**19**) were performed and showed FIC values ⩽ 0.5 against two drug-resistant *K. pneumoniae* strains.37 These combinations show significantly reduced MIC values of up to 8-fold and 256-fold, respectively, in a ratio of 1:16 (samples **9** or **10** in combination with AVI).

Dual therapy with β-lactams is a poorly explored treatment option for multidrug-resistant infections. To evaluate different β-lactam combinations, 28 dual combinations of β-lactams derived from eight approved drugs from different β-lactams classes were tested.39 The *in vivo* efficacy of each combination was compared with its constituent monotherapies against *Galleria mellonella* larvae infected with antibiotic-resistant strains of *P. aeruginos*a NCTC13437. The most potent dual combinations identified were MER/ATM (**20**/**8**) and MER/CAZ (**20**/**18**). The central hypothesis of this type of approach is that a broader spectrum of PBPs can be inhibited by combining β-lactams with different PBP affinity profiles.39 While β-lactams confer antibiotic activity through PBP inhibition, individual antibiotics differ in their affinities for each one of the PBPs. In addition, an alternative hypothesis is that one of the components can bind preferentially, or with greater affinity, to β-lactamases, thus sequestering the hydrolytic capacity of the enzyme and allowing the other β-lactam component to bind to its PBP(s) target(s) more effectively.39

*Non-β-lactam inhibitors.* Lactivicins (**22**, Figure 6), a class of PBP inhibitors of microbial origin, $49$  are non-β-lactam compounds that display cycloserine (five-membered lactam) and  $\gamma$ -lactone (five-membered cyclic ester) rings in their structures. X-ray crystallographic data support the covalent mechanism of action of lactivicins wherein the carbonyl of



**Figure 6.** Lactivicin (**22**), its acyl–enzyme complex (**23**),22 and phthalimide-conjugated lactivicin analog **26.**50 Lactivicins are known to undergo ring-chain tautomerization (**24** to **25**) leading to equilibration of the γ-lactone chiral center. Both structures lead to **23**.



**Figure 7.** (A) γ-Lactam pyrazolidinone YU253434 (**27**)52 and YU253911 (**28**).53 (B) Crystal structure of *P. aeruginosa* PBP3 in complex with YU253434 (**27**) (PDB ID: 3PBO)<sup>51</sup> and (C) CAZ (18) (PDB ID: 3PBO),<sup>41</sup> zooming in on the active site and the vicinity of catalytic Ser294. In both structures, note that the aminothiazole moieties are in similar positions.

the cycloserine ring reacts with the active site serine hydroxyl to form a covalent bond that is analogous to that formed by a typical β-lactam (**23**, Figure 6).22 Nucleophilic substitution at the carbonyl group of an amide usually occurs in a stepwise manner initiated by the formation of a tetrahedral intermediate as the rate-determining step. The presence of electron-withdrawing substituents, such as the appended lactone moiety and the cycloserine ring oxygen, provides sufficient stability for the transition state in the

reaction with the PBP. In addition, lactivicins are known to undergo ring-chain tautomerization (**24** to **25**) leading to equilibration of the γ-lactone chiral center.<sup>22</sup>

The synthesis of small molecules that mimic siderophores, leading to an active cellular uptake strategy, has also been applied to lactivicins. To date, crystal structures of several lactivicin sideromimic analogs bound to PBP3 and PBP1a from *P. aeruginosa* have been described, together with their reactivities.50 Among them, compound **26** (Figure 6) was identified



**Figure 8.** PBP inhibitors possessing a diazabicyclooctane (DBO) core scaffold: FPI-1465 (**29**),54 FPI-1523 (**30**),54 FPI-1602 (**31**),54 Nacubactam (**32**),56 Durlobactam (**33**),<sup>57</sup> Zidebactam (**34**),<sup>58</sup> CDP4 (**35**),<sup>21</sup> and ETX0462 (**36**).<sup>59</sup>

as a novel phthalimide-conjugated lactivicin analog exhibiting both the lowest MICs and  $IC_{50}$  values toward PBPs in the evaluated series (IC<sub>50</sub> of 0.030  $\mu$ M and 0.046  $\mu$ M for PBP1a and PBP3, respectively). Compound **26** was identified after a design effort that aimed to explore potential intermolecular noncovalent aryl–aryl interactions between groups linked at the α-position in the lactone and residues Tyr503, Tyr532, and Phe533 in the PBPs. Crystallographic studies revealed a covalent acyl–enzyme interaction between *P. aeruginosa* PBP1a and **26**, with continuous electron density between the hydroxyl group of the active site Ser461 and the lactivicin acyl carbon. Furthermore, compound **26** appears to use a broader set of siderophore receptors for its uptake and has low susceptibility to β-lactamases, which provides a unique efficacy against Gram-negative bacteria.50

Molecules carrying the γ-lactam pyrazolidinone chemical scaffold also irreversibly inhibit PBPs by acylating the catalytic serine. Pyrazolidinones are poorly hydrolyzed by all four classes of β-lactamases.51 YU253434 (**27**, Figure 7(A)) is a pyrazolidinone containing a dihydroxyphthalimide siderophore mimetic. In 2020, YU253434 was synthesized, and its antimicrobial activity was compared to that of current β-lactam antibiotics against clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *E. coli*. 51 The compound's PBP binding characteristics were visualized in a 2.4 Å crystal structure in complex with *P. aeruginosa* PBP3 where the catalytic Ser294 was acylated (Figure 7(B)). Comparing these structures and that of CAZ (18) (Figure 7(C)) covalently bound to PBP3,<sup>52</sup> it is noted that the aminothiazole moieties are in a similar position in both, making almost identical interactions. The following year, the synthesis of YU253911 (**28**, Figure 7(A)) was described.53 This molecule is a chloroaminothiazole analog that displays enhanced potency against *Acinetobacter* spp. and *P. aeruginosa* (MIC of 0.5 µg mL−1), as well as improved pharmacokinetic properties when compared to previously described pyrazolidinones.<sup>53</sup>

As mentioned before, avibactam (**19**) is a reversible, covalent inhibitor possessing a diazabicyclooctane (DBO) core scaffold.54 AVI displays potent inhibitory activity against

class A and C and some class D serine β-lactamases (SBLs),  $45$ through a mechanism that occurs via a reversible active site serine acylation, thus differing from the irreversible mechanism of action of β-lactam-based inhibitors.<sup>55</sup> Evidence suggests that by following regioselective ring opening of the five-membered cyclic urea of AVI, a major stable carbamoyl acyl-enzyme complex is formed.<sup>45</sup> β-lactam inhibitors, on the other hand, can undergo a series of fragmentation reactions after acyl–enzyme formation which lead to the destruction of the β-lactam scaffold.45 Furthermore, the crystal structure of CTX-M-15 complexed to AVI revealed that the complex is stabilized by several interactions with residues in the active site which appear to be optimized for binding of the open ring form.45 These findings corroborate the evidence that AVI is more efficient than β-lactam-based β-lactamase inhibitors since inhibition requires fewer avibactam molecules per β-lactamase (10–20 times less), compared to other β-lactam inhibitors.45

Synthetic modifications in the AVI core led to the development of derivatives with a broader spectrum of activity and resistance to β-lactamases, among other favorable properties. Three examples of these derivatives, FPI-1465 (**29**, Figure 8), FPI-1523 (**30**, Figure 8), and FPI-1602 (**31**, Figure 8), synthesized by Fedora Pharmaceuticals, were evaluated against *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, and *Enterobacter* spp.54 FPI-1602 (**31**) displayed antimicrobial activity against *P. aeruginosa* (PAO1, MIC of 2 µg mL−1), *E. coli* (GN688 and GN610, MICs of 0.5 and 2 µg mL−1, respectively), and *Enterobacter cloacae* (GN574 and 579, MICs of 1 and 2 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). In a gelbased competition assay using BOCILLIN FL and purified *E. coli* PBP1a, PBP1b, PBP2, and PBP3, it was observed that all the derivatives tested displayed preferential inhibition of PBP2. FPI-1523 (**30**, IC<sub>50</sub>=3.2 ± 0.4 μM) and FPI-1602 (**31**, IC<sub>50</sub>=3.6  $\pm$  0.3 µM) displayed more potent inhibition than FPI-1465 (**29**, IC<sub>50</sub>=15  $\pm$  1 μM) and AVI (IC<sub>50</sub>=63  $\pm$  6 μM).<sup>54</sup> The crystal structure of *E. coli* PBP1b complexed to FPI-1465 (**29**) was solved to 2.85 Å and confirmed the covalent acylation of the catalytic serine.54 Subsequent to this publication, several DBO-based β-lactamase inhibitors were described



**Figure 9.** (A) Active site of PBP1b from *S. pneumoniae* bound to boronic acid **37** (PDB ID: 2Y2K).25 (B) Boronic acid PBP inhibitors: compounds **37**, **38**, **39**, and **40**. 25 (C) Structure of fluorescein labeled-compound **41**60 and cyclic boronate **42**. 61

as PBP inhibitors, such as Nacubactam (**32**, Figure 8),56 Durlobactam (33, Figure 8),<sup>57</sup> Zidebactam (34, Figure 8),<sup>58</sup> CDP4 (**35**, Figure 8),21 and ETX0462 (**36**, Figure 8).59

#### **Other inhibitors**

*Boron compounds.* Boronic-acid-based inhibitors are organoboranes that act as Lewis acids and inhibit nucleophilic enzymes, including PBPs and β-lactamases.25 In its trivalent form, the boron atom has an electron-deficient nature, allowing it to form reversible covalent "tetrahedral" adducts with nucleophilic molecules.60 In 2011, Contreras-Martel *et al.*25 identified boronic-acid-based PBP inhibitors by employing PBP1b from *S. pneumoniae* as a model enzyme. The evaluation of high-resolution crystal structures of PBP1b bound to a number of boronate-based inhibitors, including compound **37** (Figure 9(A)), allowed the verification that the Oγ from Ser460 makes a covalent bond with the boron atom, forming a tetrahedral complex. In the structure, the acetamido side chain of **37** is positioned similarly to what is observed in β-lactam acyl–enzyme complexes (Figure 9(A)). Notably, boronic acid **37** (Figure 9(B)) was the most active inhibitor tested, presenting an IC<sub>50</sub> of  $6.9 \pm 0.1$  µM against PBP1b. Antibacterial activity of the compounds was also evaluated with compounds **38**, **39**, and **40** (Figure 9(B)) showing the most promising results against Gram-positive species, including methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA).

The ability of boronic acids to covalently bind to PBPs indicated that they could be promising labeling reagents for fluorescence polarization (FP)-based assays for PBPs and SBLs.60 An example of a labeled molecule used in FP-based assays with PBPs is BOCILLIN FL.61 However, the irreversible nature of the BOCILLIN-PBP bond limits its applicability for the study of weakly binding compounds. Aiming to develop an alternative assay based on reversibly binding reagents, Inglis and co-workers evaluated boronic-acidbased compounds<sup>60</sup> using a design based on crystal structures of PBP1b. It was observed that the replacement of the aromatic ring by a linker in the para position connecting the fluorescein group could be accommodated in the active site with the fluorescein group remaining largely exposed to the solution. Fluorescein-labeled compound **41** was synthesized by coupling a (–)-(pinanediolboronate)amine derivative with fluorescein-5-isothiocyanate (Figure 9(C)). Compound **41** binds to three different PBPs with modest affinity  $(K_d = 4-12 \mu M)$  and more tightly to the TEM1  $(K_d = 109$ nM). Evaluation of the efficiency of compound **41** in competitive binding assays against compound **37** showed that these compounds competed for binding to TEM1 with an  $EC_{50}$  value of approximately 900 nM. These results indicated



**Figure 10.** Compounds with PBP inhibition activity: 4-quinolones **43–50**, 65 quinazolinones **51**, **52**, 63 and **54**. 66

that fluorescent boronic acids can serve as reversibly binding tracers in FP-based assays with PBPs and SBLs and potentially with other related enzymes.<sup>60</sup>

Cyclic boronates can potently inhibit both SBLs and metallo-β-lactamases (MBLs) by mimicking the common high-energy tetrahedral intermediate.<sup>62</sup> These compounds also strongly inhibit the non-essential PBP5 from *E. coli* through the same mechanism of action, leading to a residual activity that is lower than  $1\%$  at 10  $\mu$ M.<sup>62</sup> SBLs and PBPs are evolutionarily and mechanistically related, but MBLs are distinct and constitute a heterogeneous group.<sup>62</sup> Compound **42** (Figure 9(C)) was identified as a potent inhibitor of all three enzyme classes *in vitro*  $(IC_{50} = 1.6 \text{ nM})$ for PBP5, IC<sub>50</sub>=3.0 nM for TEM-1, IC<sub>50</sub>=3.0 and 29.0 nM for VIM-2 and NDM-1, respectively), but did not display antibacterial activity on its own. When employed in combination, it reduced the MIC of MER (**20**, Figure 5) for strains producing NDM-1 at the concentration of 10 µg mL−1. Cyclic boronates are a promising class of compounds for the development of inhibitors for both MBLs and SBLs and, also, for direct inhibition of PBPs.

*Heterocyclic compounds.* Over the years, β-lactams have been the antibiotics of choice for treating *S. aureus* infections.63 However, these molecules became obsolete with the emergence of MRSA. Clinical resistance to β-lactam antibiotics by MRSA is based on the acquisition of the *mecA* gene, which encodes PBP2a.<sup>64</sup> Strategies including computational fragment-based approaches were employed in the search for small molecules that could noncovalently bind within the PBP active site, including studies based on compounds with heterocyclic cores that differed from β-lactams. Investigations using docking studies suggested that 4-quinolones were good binding candidates. Competition assays using BOCILLIN FL in the presence of PBPs from *E. coli* were used to measure dissociation constants (*K*<sup>i</sup> )s.65 Derivatives **43**−**50** (Figure 10) were able to bind to PBPs with different affinities:  $K_i$  between  $27 \pm 4$  and  $510 \pm 40$   $\mu$ M to PBP1a/1b;  $K_i$ between  $26 \pm 8$  and  $250 \pm 30$   $\mu$ M to PBP2;  $K_i$  between  $27 \pm 7$ 

and  $120 \pm 80$   $\mu$ M to PBP3;  $K_i$  between  $3.1 \pm 0.8$  and  $55 \pm 10$  $μ$ M to PBP4;  $K_i$  between 220  $± 80$  and 520  $± 160$   $μ$ M to PBP5/6. However, no antimicrobial activity was observed.65

A high-throughput *in silico* screening was subsequently performed, leading to 118 high-rankers that were tested for antibacterial activity against *E. coli* and the ESKAPE panel of bacteria (*E. faecium, S. aureus, K. pneumoniae, A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacter* species).63 This evaluation indicated that quinazolinone **51** (Figure 10) displayed a MIC of 2 and 16 μg mL−1 against *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 and *E. faecium*, respectively. Aiming to improve this *in vitro* potency, 80 analogs of quinazolinone **51** were synthesized and screened for *in vitro* antibacterial activity and other desired properties.<sup>63</sup> Quinazolinone **52** (Figure 10) emerged from these studies with a MIC of 2 μg mL<sup>-1</sup> against MRSA NRS70 and showed efficacy in the mouse peritonitis model of infection with a terminal half-life of 20h and an oral bioavailability of 50%.<sup>63</sup> The crystal structure of the complex between PBP2a and quinazolinone **52** revealed density for the compound within a secondary, potential allosteric site of PBP2a at 60 Å from the transpeptidase active site. The binding of ligands at the allosteric site of PBP2a has been suggested to lead to the opening of the active site, enabling the inhibition of peptidoglycan synthesis.<sup>63</sup> Subsequently, SAR for the 4(3H)-quinazolinone core with 77 analogs was investigated by introducing variations on the rings 1, 2, and 3 at the core structure (**53**, Figure 10).66 This evaluation led to the discovery of compound **54** (Figure 10), which presents MICs of 0.03 μg mL−1 (*S. aureus* ATCC 29213), 0.06 μg mL−1 (*S. aureus* ATCC 27660 and VRS1), 0.06 μg mL−1 (*S. aureus* NRS119), 0.03 μg mL−1 (*S. aureus* VRS2) and better efficacy than compound **52** in the mouse neutropenic thigh infection model.<sup>66</sup> Although the quinazolinone by itself is bacteriostatic *in vitro*, compound **54** presents bactericidal synergy when used in combination with PIP (1) and/or tazobactam (a β-lactamase inhibitor).<sup>67</sup> Compound **54** also binds to the allosteric site of PBP2a, as demonstrated by X-ray crystallography, and to PBP1.67

Another class of non-β-lactams that inhibits MRSA PBP2a are the oxadiazoles.64,68 *In silico* screening of the



**Figure 11.** Examples of oxadiazole derivatives with antibacterial activity: compounds **55**–**58**64 and **59**–**61**. 68



**Figure 12.** Lead β-peptide oligomer **62** and its labeled modified compounds **63** and **64.**<sup>69</sup>

ZINC database led to the selection of 29 compounds that were tested for antibacterial activity against *E. coli* and other ESKAPE pathogens.64 Compound **55** (Figure 11) emerged from the screening as a possible inhibitor and inspired the synthesis of a library with 370 derivatives that were evaluated against the same panel. Compounds **56**–**58** (Figure 11) presented MIC values against *S. aureus* (including MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium* in the range of 1–2 μg mL−1. MIC values indicate that PBPs other than PBP2a are likely inhibited by oxadiazoles since not all bacteria tested express PBP2a.64 The chemical structural space of oxadiazole **57** was also explored by syntheses of 120 derivatives.68 Although some compounds are potently active *in*  *vitro* against bacteria, they are also cytotoxic. For example, pyrazole derivatives **59** and **60** (Figure 11) were the most active (MICs of 0.50 and 0.25 μg mL−1, respectively) against *S. aureus* ATCC 29213, but also exhibit toxicity to mammalian cells.68 Replacement of the pyrazole group by an indole circumvented the toxicity issues while maintaining the antibacterial activity. Compound **61** (Figure 11) showed good efficacy *in vivo* (MIC 4 μg mL−1) with a long half-life, a high volume of distribution, low clearance, and 97% oral bioavailability.68 These studies indicated that the discovery of new classes of compounds may be critical to future success in the treatment of MRSA infections since only linezolid and tedizolid are presently orally approved bioavailable treatments.

*Carbonyl compounds.* In 2019, Stepek *et al.*69 produced a library of β-peptide oligomers through synthetic fermentation using an α-ketoacid-hydroxylamine (KAHA) amideforming ligation strategy with a mixture of 3 α-ketoacid initiators, 24 isoxazolidine monomers with different side chains, and 4 terminators. Phenotypic screening followed by SAR studies led to the identification of peptide **62** (Figure 12), which was able to inhibit the growth of *B. subtilis* with a MIC of 5.7 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> and with low toxicity (IC<sub>50</sub> above 100 µg mL−1) against HEK293 cells. Using a chemical proteomic approach, PBP4 from *B. subtilis* was identified as its target through the use of a photoaffinity-labeled variant of compound **62** containing a diazirine and an alkyne group (**63**, Figure 12) and evaluated by quantitative mass spectrometry. When conjugated to a cyanine-3 probe and tested in microscale thermophoresis (MST) with PBP4 from *B. subtilis*, compound **64** (Figure 12) showed high affinity for the protein with a dissociation constant  $(K_d)$  of 364  $\pm$  19.1 nM.<sup>69</sup> This work showed that synthetic fermentation can be a rapid and useful tool for phenotypic screening through the preparation and evaluation of thousands of molecules on a live organism. It also describes a pipeline where synthetic fermentation cultures could be used for biological evaluation after dilution without any purification step.

### **Conclusions and future perspectives**

Since the discovery of  $β$ -lactams, several other classes of compounds with antibiotic activity have been discovered identified and used to save millions of lives. As pathogens inevitably become resistant to antibiotics, the search for new active compounds is under permanent pressure. In this search several strategies are used, but essential proteins for bacterial wall synthesis, such as PBPs, remain excellent targets. As observed, strategies aimed at the search for new antibiotics starting from well-known chemical classes by medicinal chemistry are among the most used approaches. However, with the advancement of *in silico* technologies, high-throughput screening methods, new labeling techniques, and a better understanding of protein structures using artificial intelligence (AI) strategies, the development of new PBP inhibitors with good *in vivo* efficacy could become a reality. An interdisciplinary approach will be essential for overcoming the challenges of the antibiotic crisis.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

All authors contributed to the planning and writing of the manuscript.

#### **Declaration Of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **Funding**

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: We acknowledge support to AD from the Laboratoire International Associé BACWALL (CNRS), and grants from the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) 2011/52067-6 and

2017/12436-9. AFB was supported by fellowship 2021/01309-1, CCLS was supported by fellowship 2019/13497-7, and KTS was supported by fellowships 2018/16346-7 and 2020/01286-9, from FAPESP, CAPES, and CNPq.

#### **ORCID ids**

Ariane F Bertonha <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6651-8641> Daniel M Trindade **b** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8005-8784> Andréa Dessen D <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6487-4020>

#### **References**

- 1. Höltje J-V. Growth of the stress-bearing and shape-maintaining murein sacculus of *Escherichia coli. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 1998;**62**:181–203
- 2. Matteï PJ, Neves D, Dessen A. Bridging cell wall biosynthesis and bacterial morphogenesis. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2010;**20**:749–55
- 3. den Blaauwen T, de Pedro MA, Nguyen-Distèche M, Ayala JA. Morphogenesis of rod-shaped sacculi. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2008;**32**:321–44
- 4. Egan AJF, Errington J, Vollmer W. Regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis and remodeling. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2020;**18**:446–60
- 5. Miyachiro MM, Contreras-Martel C, Dessen A. Penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) and bacterial cell wall elongation complexes. In: Harris JR, Marles-Wright J (eds) *Macromolecular protein complexes II: structure and function*. Cham: Springer, pp.273–89
- 6. Leclercq S, Derouaux A, Olatunji S, Fraipont C, Egan AJF, Vollmer W, Breukink E, Terrak M. Interplay between penicillin-binding proteins and SEDS proteins promotes bacterial cell wall synthesis. *Sci Rep* 2017;**7**:43306
- 7. Cho H, Wivagg CN, Kapoor M, Barry Z, Rohs PDA, Suh H, Marto JA, Garner EC, Bernhardt TG. Bacterial cell wall biogenesis is mediated by SEDS and PBP polymerase families functioning semi-autonomously. *Nat Microbiol* 2016;**1**:16172
- 8. Macheboeuf P, Contreras-Martel C, Job V, Dideberg O, Dessen A. Penicillin binding proteins: key players in bacterial cell cycle and drug resistance processes. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2006;**30**:673–91
- 9. Tipper DJ, Strominger JL. Mechanism of action of penicillins: a proposal based on their structural similarity to acyl-D-alanyl-D-alanine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1965;**54**:1133–41
- 10. Contreras-Martel C, Martins A, Ecobichon C, Trindade DM, Matteï PJ, Hicham S, Hardouin P, Ghachi M EI, Boneca IG, Dessen A. Molecular architecture of the PBP2-MreC core bacterial cell wall synthesis complex. *Nat Commun* 2017;**8**:776
- 11. Martins A, Contreras-Martel C, Janet-Maitre M, Miyachiro MM, Estrozi LF, Trindade DM, Malospirito CC, Rodrigues-Costa F, Imbert L, Job V, Schoehn G, Attrée I, Dessen A. Self-association of MreC as a regulatory signal in bacterial cell wall elongation. *Nat Commun* 2021;**12**:2987
- 12. Sjodt M, Rohs PDA, Gilman MSA, Erlandson SC, Zheng S, Green AG, Brock KP, Taguchi A, Kahne D, Walker S, Marks DS, Rudner DZ, Bernhardt TG, Kruse AC. Structural coordination of polymerization and crosslinking by a SEDS–bPBP peptidoglycan synthase complex. *Nat Microbiol* 2020;**5**:813–20
- 13. Macheboeuf P, Lemaire D, Martins ADS, Dideberg O, Jamin M, Dessen A. Trapping of an acyl–enzyme intermediate in a penicillin-binding protein (PBP)-catalyzed reaction. *J Mol Biol* 2008;**376**:405–13
- 14. Drawz SM, Bonomo RA. Three decades of β-lactamase inhibitors. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2010;**23**:160–201
- 15. Hamad B. The antibiotics market. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2010;**9**:675–76
- 16. Nikolaidis I, Favini-Stabile S, Dessen A. Resistance to antibiotics targeted to the bacterial cell wall. *Protein Sci* 2014;**23**:243–59
- 17. Ghuysen J-M. Serine β-lactamases and penicillin-binding proteins. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 1991;**45**:37–67
- 18. He Y, Lei J, Pan X, Huang X, Zhao Y. The hydrolytic water molecule of Class A β-lactamase relies on the acyl-enzyme intermediate ES\* for proper coordination and catalysis. *Sci Rep* 2020;**10**:10205
- 19. Sauvage E, Terrak M. Glycosyltransferases and transpeptidases/penicillin-binding proteins: valuable targets for new antibacterials. *Antibiotics* 2016;**5**:12

20. Bellini D, Koekemoer L, Newman H, Dowson CG. Novel and improved crystal structures of *H. influenzae*, *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* penicillin-binding protein 3 (PBP3) and *N. gonorrhoeae* PBP2: toward a better understanding of β-lactam target-mediated resistance. *J Mol Biol* 2019;**431**:3501–19

- 21. Levy N, Bruneau JM, Le Rouzic E, Bonnard D, Le Strat F, Caravano A, Chevreuil F, Barbion J, Chasset S, Ledoussal B, Moreau F, Ruff M. Structural basis for *E. coli* penicillin binding protein (PBP) 2 inhibition, a platform for drug design. *J Med Chem* 2019;**62**:4742–54
- 22. Macheboeuf P, Fischer DS, Brown T, Zervosen A, Luxen A, Joris B, Dessen A, Schofield CJ. Structural and mechanistic basis of penicillinbinding protein inhibition by lactivicins. *Nat Chem Biol* 2007;**3**:565–69
- 23. Rajavel M, Kumar V, Nguyen H, Wyatt J, Marshall SH, Papp-Wallace KM, Deshpande P, Bhavsar S, Yeole R, Bhagwat S, Patel M, Bonomo RA, van den Akker F. Structural characterization of diazabicyclooctane β-lactam "enhancers" in complex with penicillin-binding proteins PBP2 and PBP3 of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. MBio* 2021;**12**:1–18
- 24. Singh A, Tomberg J, Nicholas RA, Davies C. Recognition of the βlactam carboxylate triggers acylation of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* penicillinbinding protein 2. *J Biol Chem* 2019;**294:**14020–32
- 25. Contreras-Martel C, Amoroso A, Woon ECY, Zervosen A, Inglis S, Martins A, Verlaine O, Rydzik AM, Job V, Luxen A, Joris B, Schofield CJ, Dessen A. Structure-guided design of cell wall biosynthesis inhibitors that overcome β-lactam resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). *ACS Chem Biol* 2011;**6**:943–51
- 26. Flanders PL, Contreras-Martel C, Brown NW, Shirley JD, Martins A, Nauta KN, Dessen A, Carlson EE, Ambrose EA. Combined structural analysis and molecular dynamics reveal penicillin-binding protein inhibition mode with β-lactones. *ACS Chem Biol* 2022;**17**:3110–20
- 27. Sainsbury S, Bird L, Rao V, Shepherd SM, Stuart DI, Hunter WN, Owens RJ, Ren J. Crystal structures of penicillin-binding protein 3 from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: comparison of native and antibiotic-bound forms. *J Mol Biol* 2011;**405**:173–84
- 28. Yoshida H, Kawai F, Obayashi E, Akashi S, Roper DI, Tame JRH, Park S-Y.Crystal structures of penicillin-binding protein 3 (PBP3) from methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in the Apo and Cefotaximebound forms. *J Mol Biol* 2012;**423**:351–64
- 29. van Berkel SS, Nettleship JE, Leung IKH, Brem J, Choi H, Stuart DI, Claridge TDW, McDonough MA, Owens RJ, Ren J, Schofield CJ. Binding of (5S)-penicilloic acid to penicillin binding protein 3. *ACS Chem Biol* 2013;**8**:2112–16
- 30. Murphy-Benenato KE, Dangel B, Davis HE, Durand-Réville TF, Ferguson AD, Gao N, Jahić H, Mueller JP, Manyak EL, Quiroga O, Rooney M, Sha L, Sylvester M, Wu F, Zambrowski M, Zhao SX. SAR and structural analysis of siderophore-conjugated monocarbam inhibitors of Pseudomonas aeruginosa PBP3. *ACS Med Chem Lett* 2015;**6**:537–42
- 31. Sato J, Kusano H, Aoki T, Shibuya S, Yokoo K, Komano K, Oguma T, Matsumoto S, Nakamura R, Sato T, Yamawaki K. Discovery of a tricyclic β-lactam as a potent antimicrobial agent against carbapenemresistant enterobacterales, including strains with reduced membrane permeability and four-amino acid insertion into penicillin-binding protein 3: structure-activity-relationships and in vitro and in vivo activities. *ACS Infect Dis* 2022;**8**:400–10
- 32. Ito A, Kohira N, Bouchillon SK, West J, Rittenhouse S, Sader HS, Rhomberg PR, Jones RN, Yoshizawa H, Nakamura R, Tsuji M, Yamano Y. In vitro antimicrobial activity of S-649266, a catechol-substituted siderophore cephalosporin, when tested against non-fermenting Gramnegative bacteria. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2016;**71**:670–77
- 33. Hackel MA, Tsuji M, Yamano Y, Echols R, Karlowsky JA, Sahma DF. In vitro activity of the siderophore cephalosporin, cefiderocol, against a recent collection of clinically relevant gram-negative Bacilli from North America and Europe, including carbapenem-nonsusceptible isolates (SIDERO-WT-2014 study). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2017;**61**:e00093
- 34. Ito A, Sato T, Ota M, Takemura M, Nishikawa T, Toba S, Kohira N, Miyagawa S, Ishibashi N, Matsumoto S, Nakamura R, Tsuji M, Yamano Y. In vitro antibacterial properties of cefiderocol, a novel siderophore cephalosporin, against gram-negative bacteria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2018;**62**:e01454
- 35. Bassetti M, Echols R, Matsunaga Y, Ariyasu M, Doi Y, Ferrer R, Lodise TP, Naas T, Niki Y, Paterson DL, Portsmouth S, Torre-Cisneros J, Toyoizumi K, Wunderink RG, Nagata TD. Efficacy and safety of cefiderocol or best available therapy for the treatment of serious infections caused by carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria (CREDIBLE-CR): a randomised, open-label, multicentre, pathogen-focused, descriptive, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2021;**21**:226–40
- 36. Sato J, Kusano H, Aoki T, Shibuya S, Yokoo K, Komano K, Oguma T, Matsumoto S, Sato T, Yasuo K, Yamawaki K. A novel tricyclic β-lactam exhibiting potent antibacterial activities against carbapenem-resistant enterobacterales: synthesis and structure-activity-relationships. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2021;**46**:116343
- 37. Li ZW, Lu X, Wang YX, Hu XX, Fu HG, Gao LM, You XF, Tang S, Song DQ. Synthesis and antibacterial evaluation against resistant gram-negative bacteria of monobactams bearing various substituents on oxime residue. *Bioorg Chem* 2020;**94**:103487
- 38. Sykes RB, Bonner DP. Aztreonam: the first monobactam. *Am J Med* 1985;**78:**2–10
- 39. Siriyong T, Murray RM, Bidgood LE, Young SA, Wright F, Parcell BJ, Voravuthikunchai SP, Coote PJ. Dual β-lactam combination therapy for multi-drug resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection: enhanced efficacy in vivo and comparison with monotherapies of penicillinbinding protein inhibition. *Sci Rep* 2019;**9**:9098
- 40. Aoki H, Sakai H, Kohsaka M, Konomi T, Hosoda J, Kubochi Y, Iguchi T. Nocardicin A, a new monocyclic beta-lactam antibiotic I: discovery, isolation and characterization. *J Antibiot* 1976;**5:**492–500
- 41. Decuyper L, Deketelaere S, Vanparys L, Jukič M, Sosič I, Sauvage E, Amoroso AM, Verlaine O, Joris B, Gobec S, D'hooghe M. In silico design and enantioselective synthesis of functionalized monocyclic 3-amino-1-carboxymethyl-β-lactams as inhibitors of penicillin-binding proteins of resistant bacteria. *Chem Eur J* 2018;**24**:15254–66
- 42. Barbachyn MR, Tuominen TC. Synthesis and structure activity relationships of monocarbams leading to U-78608. *J Antibiot* 1990;**43**:1199–203
- 43. Flanagan ME, Brickner SJ, Lall M, Casavant J, Deschenes L, Finegan SM, George DM, Granskog K, Hardink JR, Huband MD, Hoang T, Lamb L, Marra A, Mitton-Fry M, Mueller JP, Mullins LM, Noe MC, O'Donnell JP, Pattavina D, Penzien JB, Schuff BP, Sun J, Whipple DA, Young J, Gootz TD. Preparation, Gram-negative antibacterial activity, and hydrolytic stability of novel siderophore-conjugated monocarbam diols. *ACS Med Chem Lett* 2011;**2**:385–90
- 44. McPherson CJ, Aschenbrenner LM, Lacey BM, Fahnoe KC, Lemmon MM, Finegan SM, Tadakamalla B, O'Donnell JP, Mueller JP, Tomaras AP. Clinically relevant Gram-negative resistance mechanisms have no effect on the efficacy of MC-1, a novel siderophore-conjugated monocarbam. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012;**56**:6334–42
- 45. Wang DY, Abboud MI, Markoulides MS, Brem J, Schofield CJ. The road to avibactam: the first clinically useful non-β-lactam working somewhat like a β-lactam. *Future Med Chem* 2016;**8**:1063–84
- 46. Novelli A, del Giacomo P, Rossolini GM, Tumbarello M. Meropenem/ vaborbactam: a next generation β-lactam β-lactamase inhibitor combination. *Exp Rev Anti-Infect Therap* 2020;**18**:643–55
- 47. Mo Y, Lorenzo M, Farghaly S, Kaur K, Housman ST. What's new in the treatment of multidrug-resistant gram-negative infections? *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2019;**93**:171–81
- 48. Kalan L, Wright GD. Antibiotic adjuvants: multicomponent anti-infective strategies. *Expert Rev Mol Med* 2011;**13:**e5
- 49. Harada S, Tsubotani S, Ono THH, Okazaki H. Structure of lactivicin, an antibiotic having a new nucleus and similar biological activities to β-lactam antibiotics. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1986;**27**:6229–32
- 50. Starr J, Brown MF, Aschenbrenner L, Caspers N, Che Y, Gerstenberger BS, Huband M, Knafels JD, Lemmon MM, Li C, McCurdy SP, McElroy E, Rauckhorst MR, Tomaras AP, Young JA, Zaniewski RP, Shanmugasundaram V, Han S. Siderophore receptor-mediated uptake of lactivicin analogues in Gram-negative bacteria. *J Med Chem* 2014;**57**:3845–55
- 51. Goldberg JA, Nguyen H, Kumar V, Spencer EJ, Hoyer D, Marshall EK, Cmolik A, O'Shea M, Marshall SH, Hujer AM, Hujer KM, Rudin SD, Domitrovic TN, Bethel CR, Papp-Wallace KM, Logan LK, Perez F, Jacobs MR, van Duin D, Kreiswirth BM, Bonomo RA, Plummer MS,

van den Akker F. A γ-lactam siderophore antibiotic effective against multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacilli. *J Med Chem* 2020;**63**: 5990–6002

- 52. Han S, Zaniewski RP, Marr ES, Lacey BM, Tomaras AP, Evdokimov A, Miller JR, Shanmugasundaram V. Structural basis for effectiveness of siderophore-conjugated monocarbams against clinically relevant strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2010;**107**:22002–7
- 53. Goldberg JA, Kumar V, Spencer EJ, Hoyer D, Marshall SH, Hujer AM, Hujer KM, Bethel CR, Papp-Wallace KM, Perez F, Jacobs MR, van Duin D, Kreiswirth BN, van den Akker F, Plummer MS, Bonomo RA. A γ-lactam siderophore antibiotic effective against multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Acinetobacter spp*. *Eur J Med Chem* 2021;**220**:113436
- 54. King AM, King DT, French S, Brouillette E, Asli A, Alexander JAN, Vuckovic M, Maiti SN, Parr TR, Brown ED, Malouin F, Strynadka NCJ, Wright GD. Structural and kinetic characterization of diazabicyclooctanes as dual inhibitors of both serine-β-lactamases and penicillinbinding proteins. *ACS Chem Biol* 2016;**11**:864–68
- 55. Ehmann DE, Jahić H, Ross PL, Gu R-F, Hu J, Kern G, Walkup GK, Fisher SL. Avibactam is a covalent, reversible, non–β-lactam βlactamase inhibitor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012;**109**:11663–68
- 56. Doumith M, Mushtaq S, Livermore DM, Woodford N. New insights into the regulatory pathways associated with the activation of the stringent response in bacterial resistance to the PBP2-targeted antibiotics, mecillinam and OP0595/RG6080. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2016;**71**:2810–14
- 57. Durand-Réville TF, Guler S, Comita-Prevoir J, Chen B, Bifulco N, Huynh H, Lahiri S, Shapiro AB, McLeod SM, Carter NM, Moussa SH, Velez-Vega C, Olivier NB, McLaughlin R, Gao N, Thresher J, Palmer T, Andrews B, Giacobbe RA, Newman JV, Ehmann DE, De Jonge B, O'Donnell J, Mueller JP, Tommasi RA, Miller AA. ETX2514 is a broadspectrum β-lactamase inhibitor for the treatment of drug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria including *Acinetobacter baumannii. Nat Microbiol* 2017; **2:** 17104
- 58. Moya B, Barcelo IM, Bhagwat S, Patel M, Bou G, Papp-Wallace KM, Bonomo RA, Oliver A, Moya CB. WCK 5107 (zidebactam) and WCK 5153 are novel inhibitors of PBP2 showing potent "β-lactam enhancer" activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, including multidrug-resistant metallo-β-lactamase-producing high-risk clones. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2017;**61**:e0252916
- 59. Durand-Reville TF, Miller AA, O'Donnell JP, Wu X, Sylvester MA, Guler S, Iyer R, Shapiro AB, Carter NM, Velez-Vega C, Moussa SH, McLeod SM, Chen A, Tanudra AM, Zhang J, Comita-Prevoir J, Romero JA, Huynh H, Ferguson AD, Horanyi PS, Mayclin SJ, Heine HS, Drusano GL, Cummings JE, Slayden RA, Tommasi RA. Rational

design of a new antibiotic class for drug-resistant infections. *Nature* 2021;**597**:698–702

- 60. Inglis SR, Strieker M, Rydzik AM, Dessen A, Schofield CJ. A boronicacid-based probe for fluorescence polarization assays with penicillin binding proteins and β-lactamases. *Anal Biochem* 2012;**420**:41–7
- 61. Zhao G, Meier TI, Kahl SD, Gee KR, Blaszczak LC. BOCILLIN FL, a sensitive and commercially available reagent for detection of penicillin-binding proteins. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999;**43**:1124–28
- 62. Brem J, Cain R, Cahill S, McDonough MA, Clifton IJ, Jiménez-Castellanos JC, Avison MB, Spencer J, Fishwick CWG, Schofield CJ. Structural basis of metallo-β-lactamase, serine-β-lactamase and penicillin-binding protein inhibition by cyclic boronates. *Nat Commun* 2016;**7**:12406
- 63. Bouley R, Kumarasiri M, Peng Z, Otero LH, Song W, Suckow MA, Schroeder VA, Wolter WR, Lastochkin E, Antunes NT, Pi H, Vakulenko S, Hermoso JA, Chang M, Mobashery S. Discovery of antibiotic (E)-3-(3-carboxyphenyl)-2-(4-cyanostyryl)quinazolin-4(3H)-one. *J Am Chem Soc* 2015;**137**:1738–41
- 64. O'Daniel PI, Peng Z, Pi H, Testero SA, Ding D, Spink E, Leemans E, Boudreau MA, Yamaguchi T, Schroeder VA, Wolter WR, Llarrull LI, Song W, Lastochkin E, Kumarasiri M, Antunes NT, Espahbodi M, Lichtenwalter K, Suckow MA, Vakulenko S, Mobashery S, Chang M. Discovery of a new class of non-β-lactam inhibitors of penicillin-binding proteins with Gram-positive antibacterial activity. *J Am Chem Soc* 2014;**136**:3664–72
- 65. Shilabin AG, Dzhekieva L, Misra P, Jayaram B, Pratt RF. 4-Quinolones as noncovalent inhibitors of high molecular mass penicillin-binding proteins. *ACS Med Chem Lett* 2012;**3**:592–95
- 66. Bouley R, Ding D, Peng Z, Bastian M, Lastochkin E, Song W, Suckow MA, Schroeder VA, Wolter WR, Mobashery S, Chang M. Structureactivity relationship for the 4(3H)-quinazolinone antibacterials. *J Med Chem* 2016;**59**:5011–21
- 67. Janardhanan J, Bouley R, Martínez-Caballero S, Peng Z, Batuecas-Mordillo M, Meisel JE, Ding D, Schroeder VA, Wolter WR, Mahasenan K v, Hermoso JA, Mobashery S, Chang M. The quinazolinone allosteric inhibitor of PBP 2a synergizes with piperacillin and tazobactam against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents and Chemother* 2019;**63**:1–12
- Spink E, Ding D, Peng Z, Boudreau MA, Leemans E, Lastochkin E, Song W, Lichtenwalter K, O'Daniel PI, Testero SA, Pi H, Schroeder VA, Wolter WR, Antunes NT, Suckow MA, Vakulenko S, Chang M, Mobashery S. Structure-activity relationship for the oxadiazole class of antibiotics. *J Med Chem* 2015;**58**:1380–89
- 69. Stepek IA, Cao T, Koetemann A, Shimura S, Wollscheid B, Bode JW. Antibiotic discovery with synthetic fermentation: library assembly, phenotypic screening, and mechanism of action of β-peptides targeting penicillin-binding proteins. *ACS Chem Biol* 2019;**14**:1030–40

Copyright of Experimental Biology & Medicine is the property of Sage Publications Inc. and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to <sup>a</sup> listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.