Measuring climate change from an actuarial perspective: a survey of insurance applications

Nan Zhou

Dept. of Financial and Actuarial Economics & Statistics. Universidad Complutense de Madrid. Spain. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-5166-6753. zhounan@ucm.es

José Luis Vilar-Zanón (Corresponding author)

Dept. of Financial and Actuarial Economics & Statistics. Universidad Complutense de Madrid. Spain. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4829-0249. jlvilarz@ucm.es

Jose Garrido

Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics. Concordia University. Montreal, Canada. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2016-7524. jose.garrido@concordia.ca

Antonio José Heras Martínez

Dept. of Financial and Actuarial Economics & Statistics. Universidad Complutense de Madrid. Spain. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0506-2388. aheras@ccee.ucm.es

Authors' Bios:

Nan Zhou holds a master's degree in Actuarial and Financial Science from Universidad Complutense de Madrid (UCM), and is currently pursuing a doctoral degree at the Department of Financial and Actuarial Economics & Statistics.

Prof. Jose Garrido has a Masters from <u>Université Catholique de Louvain</u> and a PhD from the <u>University of Waterloo</u>. He is an Associate of the Society of Actuaries (SOA) and a Distinguished Professor Emeritus at the Department of Mathematics and Statistics of Concordia University in Montreal, Canada.

Prof. Antonio José Heras Martínez, has a degree in Mathematics and a PhD in Economics from the UCM, is a Full Professor at the Department of Financial and Actuarial Economics & Statistics (UCM).

Prof. Jose Luis Vilar-Zanón, has a degree in Mathematics and a PhD in Economics from the UCM, is an Associate Professor at the Department of Financial and Actuarial Economics & Statistics (UCM).

Funding: The research conducted by the four authors was supported by the "Ayudas a la Investigación Ignacio H. de Larramendi" grant from Fundación MAPFRE (Spain). Prof. Jose Luis Vilar–Zanón and Prof. Antonio Heras contributed to this research while funded by grants from the Spanish Government's Ministry of Science and Innovation, with grant numbers PID2020–115700RB–IOO and PID2021–125133NB–IOO, respectively. Prof. Garrido kindly acknowledges the financial support of the Chaire d'Excellence Digital Insurance and Long term risk –DIALog (https://chaire-dialog.fr/).

Conflict of interest statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study, in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

Abstract

Climate change refers to persistent alterations to global Earth's climate, such as a rise in global temperatures, reaching unprecedented peaks in recent years. At the same time, global mean sea levels are on an upward trajectory, with a rate of increase that has accelerated in recent years. These climatic shifts significantly influence the frequency, intensity, and duration of extreme weather events, such as heatwaves, heavy precipitations, droughts, floods, and tropical cyclones, that represent substantial risks and challenges for the insurance industry. This paper delves into the profound impact of climate change on the insurance sector, with a particular focus on the agriculture, property, health, and life insurance industries.

Our scientific approach consists in measuring climate change through an index composed of a basket of climate and weather-related extremes, such as the Actuarial Climate Index™ (ACI) in North America and its European counterparts, the Iberian (IACI) and French (FACI) climate indexes. We discuss how these indexes help quantify the impact of climate change on the balance sheets of insurance companies and, therefore, its impact on the sustainability of the insurance business. The paper underscores the pressing need for the insurance industry to adapt and strategically plan for the increasing risks associated with climate change.

1. Introduction

According to (UN, 2023), climate change refers to long-term changes in temperature, precipitation patterns, sea levels, and other aspects of Earth's system. Changes in climate can occur naturally due to phenomena such as volcanism or solar activity. However, since the early 19th century, the addition of human activities involving the combustion of fossil fuels, which releases greenhouse gasses, have contributed to the warming of the globe at a pace unprecedented in at least the last two thousand years. Since the 1980s, every decade has been warmer than the previous one. According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2023b), 2011–2020 was the warmest decade on record. The observed global surface temperature¹ from 2011 to 2020 was 1.1 degrees Celsius higher than the average in the last half of the 19th century. The global surface temperature has increased faster since 1970 than in any other 50-year period over at least the last 2,000 years. Moreover, the World Meteorological Organization (see WMO, 2024) has confirmed that 2023 has been the warmest year on record by a huge margin, and the global average annual temperature² is nearly 1.45 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels.

The emission of greenhouse gasses plays a pivotal role in influencing and exacerbating extreme climatic events, such as heatwaves, intense precipitations,

.

¹ In earth science, global surface temperature (GST; sometimes referred to as global mean surface temperature, GMST, or global average surface temperature) is calculated by averaging the temperatures over sea (sea surface temperature) and land (surface air temperature).

² To calculate the global mean annual temperature average, scientists collect temperature data from various locations around the world, including land and ocean surfaces. They then compute the average temperature for each year, providing an indication of the Earth's overall temperature for that particular time frame. This metric is essential to understand climate patterns, detect climate change trends, and assess the impact of various factors on the planet's temperature.

droughts, and tropical cyclones. The warming trend accelerates a heightened frequency, intensity, and duration of heat waves across most terrestrial regions since the 1950s, whereas cold extremes have witnessed a decline in both frequency and severity. Furthermore, certain regions have experienced an escalation in the frequency and intensity of droughts. The occurrence and severity of heavy precipitation events show a discernible increase since the 1950s, over a substantial portion of terrestrial areas. There has been a global uptrend in the occurrence of major tropical cyclones over the past four decades, and marine heatwaves have experienced an approximately twofold increase in frequency. These findings underscore the profound influence of anthropogenic activities on the evolving dynamics of extreme climatic events, as explained in (IPCC, 2019; IPCC, 2023a,b).

Climate change presents substantial challenges for human societies, endangering food, and water security, impacting human health, and threatening transportation infrastructures (Dundon et al., 2016) as well as properties (Warren-Myers et al., 2018; Miljkovic et al. 2018). This shift in the environment triggers widespread adverse effects on the economy and society (Pryor, 2017). It is anticipated that the consequences of this environmental transformation will be far-reaching and profound, particularly affecting critical sectors such as agriculture, property, health and life sectors within the insurance industry.

Climate change has the potential to negatively impact the sustainability of insurance in several ways. Firstly, the rise in total losses may necessitate expensive increases in premiums and solvency capital. While the quantitative evaluation of this point is yet to be fully explored (as discussed later in this paper), it becomes more obvious that both past and future costs are a serious menace. Regarding the former, according to (Munich Re, 2024), the losses caused by natural disasters in the year 2023 reached US\$ 250 bn, of which only US\$ 95 bn were insured. Although no mega-disasters such as Hurricane Ian³ occurred in the previous year, a large proportion of the losses in 2023 were associated with several severe storms in the US and Europe. These events are related and can be considered as evidence of the global warming tendency, with important consequences for the insurance business in general, and property insurance in particular (Gupta and Venkataraman, 2024; The Geneva Association, 2021). We can illustrate future expected losses for this last branch of insurance, where (Swiss Re, 2021) forecasts that the rise in the frequency and severity of events due to climate change will add 30%-63% to insured catastrophe losses in advanced markets by 2040. The rise could reach 90%-120% in key markets such as China, the UK, France and Germany.

Secondly, fundamental principles of insurance theory and practice, such as risk insurability, pooling, diversification, and risk transfer, might come into question, a crucial aspect that is still being discussed in the literature. The outcome could have profound implications for the foundations, or even the survival of the insurance industry in this evolving environment, as explained by (Charpentier, 2008; Thistlethwaite and Wood, 2018; Courbage and Golnaraghi, 2022). Despite these concerns, some more optimistic perspectives suggest that the insurance business may not be a victim of climate change

-

³ See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hurricane Ian

but rather a remedy, proposing the development of new technical solutions as highlighted by (Rao and Li, 2023; Savitz and Dan Gavriletea, 2019; Wagner, 2022).

Meanwhile, climate change has already begun to impact the survival of certain markets, as evidenced by recent strategic moves in the USA, see (California, 2023). As a result, quantifying the impact of past and future climate change on both theoretical and practical insurance fundamentals has become an urgent task for all those involved in insurance risk assessment, management, and sustainability.

In this paper, our objective is to present an actuarial perspective on the study of climate change's impact on the insurance industry and its study in scientific publications. We explore more specifically some key insurance sectors, namely property, health and life, and agricultural insurance.

Subsequently, we introduce and rationalize the need for a standardized method of measuring climate change. This is crucial for comparing various locations worldwide and applying it consistently across diverse insurance sectors, thereby standardizing the analysis. A significant conclusion drawn from this exploration is the necessity of establishing an actuarial climate index to quantify climate change consistently.

We examine recent scientific literature to assess progress towards creating such an actuarial climate index. Additionally, we address the central issue of how to quantify the impact of climate change on essential insurance variables, like total losses, premiums, and risk measures that determine solvency capital. This would allow us to correlate these variables with the climate change proxy provided by an index, leading to valuable insights for insurance risk assessment and management.

2. Impact of climate change in property insurance

(Moody's, 2018) highlights the negative impact of climate change in the property and casualty (P&C) insurance sector: the losses could be far beyond the model predictions, due to the difficulties of forecasting catastrophic losses and to the high correlation of climate-exposed risks. Research about modeling and quantifying these climate risks becomes crucial to help the insurance industry mitigate the present and future economic and social impacts of climate change.

The risks of floods, droughts, hurricanes, typhoons, tornados, hail, natural wildfires, landslides, storms, and sea level rise are usually cited among the most important climate-related risks affecting property insurance. These risks are not independent: for instance, hurricanes, typhoons and big storms often provoke severe floods and landslides. Globally, **floods** are the most destructive natural disasters (Michel-Kerjan, 2010) and there is evidence that the last decades constitute an exceptional "floor-rich period" in Europe (Blöschl et al., 2020). Consequently, flood insurance has been, by far, the most studied topic in the literature. (Lin et al., 2023) have performed a bibliometric analysis of the research hotspots related to climate risk insurance. The authors have divided the period between 1975 and 2022 into three parts, the *start-up phase* 1975-2007, the *development phase* 2008-2014 and the *boom phase* 2015-2022. According to them, most research papers in the first two phases were devoted to the study of different aspects of flood insurance, and only in the last phase there has been a growing interest in other types of risks. (Lucas et al., 2021) have carried out a systematic

review of the literature focused on the particular case of home insurance, reaching similar conclusions about the overall importance of flood insurance.

Although there is extensive research about flood risk, the contribution of the actuarial specialists has been relatively small. There are several reasons for this lack of interest, related to the special characteristics of this kind of risk, very different from the risks that actuaries are used to working with (Prettenthaler et al., 2017). For instance, the difficulty of correctly estimating low-frequency, high-impact risks gives rise to asymmetries of information between the insurers and the policyholders that can cause serious moral hazard and adverse selection problems in the portfolio of policies. Also, it is common to find a high degree of correlation between the damages, because the floods can affect large geographic areas. Besides, flood insurance premiums are often not risk-based, because they are subsidized or subject to other forms of state intervention (for descriptions of flood insurance systems in the US and some European countries, see (Paudel, 2012; Michel-Kerjan, 2010; Shively, 2017; Surminski and Eldridge, 2017). All these features could make less interesting the implementation of actuarial methods. Nevertheless, in the last years there has been an increased interest in the application of the actuarial techniques to flood insurance problems.

(Furman et al., 2019) sketch the standard methodology for modeling and pricing this kind of risk. The main components to consider are the *Flood Hazard* (frequency of occurrence of floods), the *Flood Risk Exposure* (valuation of the properties and infrastructures exposed to the risk) and the *Vulnerability* (susceptibility to the flood intensity). Historical discharge data or, when these are not available, rainfall data, together with topographical information, allow the analysts to build the hydrographical models for predicting the frequency and intensity of the floods. The vulnerability is usually quantified by means of the so-called *depth-damage curves*, describing the relationship between flood depth and asset damage.

Actuarial methods can help improve several aspects of the standard methodology. For example, (Boudreault and Ojeda, 2022) use clustering techniques to define more homogeneous ratemaking territories, increasing the risk differentiation of the policies and reducing adverse selection. (Prettenthaler et al, 2017) apply extreme value theory to model flood risks with heavy-tailed distributions and calculate estimates of the Value at Risk and Expected Shortfall for the total losses of the European countries. (Shen and Yuan, 2022) also apply extreme value theory together with hydrological models to price flood insurance policies. (Kousky et al., 2017) use actuarial principles to evaluate the NFIP (National Flood Insurance Program) rating system. Furthermore, many papers are devoted to the explanation of insurance data related to water flood damages in terms of meteorological, geographic, demographic, and property/building-based variables (see Gradeci et al., 2019) for a review of the literature).

The increasing frequency and severity of floods and other weather hazards in coastal zones is clearly related to the **sea level rise** induced by climate change. More than 10% of the world's population live in low elevation (below 10 m) coastal zones (McGranahan et al., 2007), and most of the world's biggest cities are located in these regions. Despite the great vulnerability of this population (Neumann et al., 2015), a great part of these risks remains uninsured (Evans et al., 2020).

The severe droughts and large periods of high temperatures associated with climate change are one of the main drivers of the increasing incidence of **wildfires**. Countries such as the EU, Canada, Chile, Portugal, Spain, France, and Greece, among others, have recently suffered destructive wildfires that caused huge damages. Although forest insurance is available in many countries, there is a great variability in its penetration rate, which has motivated the research on the determinants of forest insurance demand and the influence of public assistance (Brunette and Couture, 2023). So far, classical actuarial problems related to wildfire insurance have not received much attention, although this can change in the future. Actuaries should pay attention to this risk, given its potential to cause large losses in many sectors of the economy (Sousounis et al., 2021).

The literature on **droughts** and **hailstorms** is mainly concerned with their impact on agriculture and farming. In relation to property insurance, (Heranval et al., 2023) estimate the damages to infrastructures and individual houses in France, caused by ground movements. These damaging horizontal and vertical movements are caused by the clay shrinking and swelling, in response to the changing degree of dryness of the land. The goal of the paper is to estimate the total damage of future drought events. As for hailstorms, (Gao and Shi, 2022) use a spatial point pattern framework to model the frequency and geographical distribution of hail damage claims. (Shi et al., 2024) propose a deep learning method to incorporate dynamic weather information in the predictive modeling of the insured losses for reported claims. Also, (Tzougas et al., 2022; Mobley et al., 2021; Knighton, 2020) apply decision tree methods such as random forests and gradient boosting to the prediction and classification of insurance claims.

3. Impact of climate change on life and health Insurance

Several survey papers study the global impact of some aspect of climate change on mortality and/or morbidity. For example, (D'Ippoliti et al., 2010) defines what constitutes a heat wave and links them mortality data for 9 European cities, while (Song et al., 2017) gives a comprehensive survey of studies on the impact of ambient temperature and (Arsad et al., 2022) looks at heat waves, on both, mortality and morbidity.

In some countries governments have taken measures to mitigate the effect of climate change on their populations (adaptability). For example, after the severe 2003 heat wave France adopted health watch warning systems (Pascal et al., 2006). These are based on prognostic factors that can help prevent heat wave related deaths, see for instance (Bouchama et al., 2007), or (McGeehin and Mirabelli, 2001) for US urban areas data (midwestern cities), (Peng et al., 2011) for Chicago, and (Gasparrini and Armstrong, 2011) study also the effect of the duration of heat waves in 108 communities in the US (see also their dlnm *R* package, Garparrini, 2011, or more recent studies, Garparrini, 2015, 2017), while (Smid et al., 2019) ranks European capital cities with respect to their heat wave risk. More recent studies focus on the seasonal variations in mortality and the role played by temperatures (Madaniyazi, et al, 2021, 2022).

Adaptability to heat waves is a key aspect of the problem as these are bound to occur with increased frequency, see for example (Fouillet et al., 2008) on how France adapted after 2003, or (Zacharias et al., 2015) for occurrence data and the impact on mortality in Germany. Although the way heat waves are evolving is challenging already

the warning systems that were devised just a few years ago (Pascal et al., 2021). Similar studies look at the health care costs of heat waves, see for instance (Adélaïde et al., 2021) for French data or (Alsaiqali et al., 2022) for Belgium. For more on population adaptability and vulnerability, see (IPCC, 2022).

By contrast, several papers propose to use instead some climate index that summarizes and synthesizes the multiple facets of climate change; for example (Di Napoli et al., 2018) uses the Universal Thermal Climate Index (UTCI), and similarly (Nayak et al., 2018) studies the Heat Vulnerability Index for New York state data. In fact, climate scientists use a variety of indexes. For example the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOOA) Heat Index (Rothfusz et al., 1990)) or that of the joint CCI/CLIVAR/JCOMM Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices (ETCCDI), see (Peterson, 2005).

Here we adopt an actuarial perspective and look at studies that have linked a physical climate risk, as those described above, to the frequency or the financial losses (severity) associated with life insurance (mortality) and health insurance (morbidity) contracts.

For example, (Kim et al., 2016) uses regression to relate the occurrence of heat waves to deaths from heat disorders in Korea. Similarly, (Miljkovic et al., 2018) uses US data to show that climate change related weather events significantly contribute to property damages, which in turn impact on mortality rates. Then, (Crisóstomo Mazaira, 2022) finds strong correlations between excess mortality and the 95-percentile of high temperature records, for most regions of Spain, while (Díaz et al., 2015) looks at the relation between extreme temperatures and mortality in Madrid. Using more modeling, based on advanced extreme value theory, (Li and Tang, 2022) analyzes Joint extremes in temperature and mortality, with a bivariate peaks-over-threshold (POT) approach, to unveil the strongest type of dependence for US data.

One technique that actuaries use commonly to predict future mortality improvements is the so-called Lee-Carter model, see (Lee and Carter, 1992). In a series of papers, the method has been extended to include explanatory terms based on a heat index, see (Seklecka et al., 2017, 2019). These successfully link the physical climate risk, through the index, to the mortality risk predictions.

For additional literature on the impact of climate change on health and life insurance see (Bhattacharya-Craven et al., 2024, and the references therein).

4. Impact of climate change on agricultural insurance

Crop insurance constitutes a very special case within the general panorama of the insurance business because of its extensive variety of lines of business, each dedicated to a specific crop, such as wheat, soybeans, apples, wine grapes, table grapes, greenhouse horticulture, etc., and insurable risks (frost, flood, drought, hailstorm, windstorm, plagues, etc., for a systematic classification, see Marin, 2019). In addition, due to the high importance of agriculture in social and economic terms, many countries subsidize agricultural insurance. A situation that is exceptional within the overall framework of the insurance business. Finally, agricultural insurance mechanisms may fail for various reasons, such as spatially correlated risks, moral hazard, adverse selection, and high administrative costs (see Maestro et al., 2018). Risk assessment and

management are so particular that, in some cases, insurance companies form a coinsurance pool that manages all the technical work in a unified manner for the entire country or region (see, for instance, the Spanish case where Agroseguro pools 17 insurance companies; Agroseguro, 2024). The indemnity mechanism is also rather different, based on the lost plot yield caused by a claim. Furthermore, the technical approach (total loss modeling, premium calculations, etc.) is quite different because it is based on the yearly tracking of the crop yield probability model that must be particularized to individual plots. Natural hazards and general climatic evolution add complexity to this risk modeling process.

Research on agricultural insurance before 2020 is summarized in the survey by (Vyas et al., 2021), where topics related to climate change can also be found. Climate change impact on insurance claims is a growing discipline where advanced methodologies derived from Data Science and machine learning are being applied, as seen in (Lyubchich et al., 2019) and (Crane-Droesch, 2018). Climate change was soon identified as a threat to crops, and discussions ensued about to what extent agricultural insurance mechanisms would be a valid tool for de-risking and adapting farms, as discussed by (Falco et al., 2014; Jørgensen et al., 2020; Simbürger et al., 2022). A negative answer to this question is given by (Wang et al., 2021a; Miao, 2020); both argue that crop insurance can act as a disincentive for climate change adaptation in agriculture. Finally (Wang et al., 2021b) investigate the influence of cover crops⁴ on US insurance losses due to extreme weather events like droughts, floods, and excess heat, using panel data and linear fixed effects econometric models. They find evidence that counties with higher cover crop adoption tend to have lower crop insurance losses due to drought, excess heat, or excess moisture (flood), outlining cover crops as an effective climate change adaptation strategy to attenuate insurance losses in US agriculture.

A classical way of studying the relationship between climate change and insurance losses is to investigate its influence on crop yield distribution. As a rule, there is a recognition that climate change decreases mean crop yields and increases crop yield risk (Wang et al., 2021a). More detailed research is carried out by generating simulated scenarios for some climate variables and estimating their impact on crop yields by fitting parametric yield distributions to assess the potential long-term implications of climate change for crop Insurance portfolios. (Beach et al., 2010) applied this kind of analysis to several US crops. (Rodziewicz and Dice, 2020) estimate that US farmers' losses from extreme drought can reach 20 percent of production value for corn and wheat and 35 percent for soybeans. (Tack and Ubilava, 2015) show that the extreme climate event known as El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) alters cotton yield distributions in the Southeastern US, translating into economically meaningful effects on crop insurance premium rates. Different climate change impacts on the lower and upper tails of the crop yield distribution are modeled as heterogeneity and the methodology of mixing distributions (Schuurman and Ker, 2024).

⁴ A cover crop is a type of crop that is planted primarily to manage and improve the soil rather than for harvesting for direct human consumption. Farmers use cover crops to protect and enhance the soil's fertility, structure, and health. These crops are typically grown during periods when the main cash crops are not in the field, such as during the off-season or between planting cycles. Examples of cover crops are legumes like clover and peas, grasses such as rye and barley, and other plants like mustard.

Another important line of research is the investigation of the impact of some climate variables (such as temperature for instance) on insurance losses. (Diffenbaugh et al., 2021) use panel regression with fixed effects to calculate the relationship between temperature, precipitation, and crop indemnities in the US, showing that global warming has already contributed substantially to rising crop insurance losses in the US. The risk of spring frosts for apple orchards in Europe is studied by (Unterberger et al., 2018), whose primary finding is that the overall frost risk for apple growers yields would remain in a warmer climate and potentially even increase.

In (Pfleidereret al., 2019), temperature is analyzed with regards to apple trees in Germany, applying a scenario analysis that assumes a 2° C warmer world; they conclude that due to early blossom, there might be an increased risk of frost damage as a result of warmer winters. In (Fusco et al., 2018) precipitation and minimum temperatures are shown to be the most influential risk factors for Drought risk in Italy, regarding total premiums and insured values of crop insurance. They find this by applying panel data and pooled ordinary least squares regression, obtaining as a main result an explanation of between 44% and 51% of the variation in the insurance-dependent variables.

In (Diffenbaugh et al., 2021), temperature and precipitation are also taken as independent variables to apply panel regression with fixed effects to calculate their relationship with crop indemnities in the US. They monetize climate change, finding that county-level temperature trends have contributed to 19% of the national-level crop insurance losses over the 1991–2017 period. Also by simulating a large suite of global climatic models, they conclude that anthropogenic climate forcing has increased U.S. crop insurance losses.

Precipitation and minimum and maximum temperatures are used in (Botzen, 2010) to predict hailstorm damage cost to increase if global warming leads to further temperature increase. This is done by estimating Tobit models between normalized insured hailstorm damage to agriculture and several temperature and precipitation indicators for the Netherlands, deducing different effects for the cases of damage costs for greenhouse horticulture or outdoor farming.

In summary, these studies aim to identify the impact of climate change by utilizing statistical methods that correlate meteorological variables such as precipitation or temperatures, with insurance metrics like costs or premiums. Notably, some studies go beyond, seeking to monetize the prospective evolution of climate change within the insurance business. However, the use of one or another meteorological variable as a proxy for climate change is a subject of discussion, as different regions and crops may be influenced by different variables. The use of diverse variables in these studies results in highly heterogeneous conclusions, making it challenging to compare and collectively discuss findings. This is especially true for monetizing climate change in the insurance business within a specific geographical area.

5. Measuring climate change from an actuarial point of view

To address these aforementioned drawbacks, efforts are underway to mitigate them through the development of actuarial climate indexes designed to comprehensively encapsulate all relevant information on climate change within a specific geographical

area. This initiative aims to establish an actuarially significant, more homogeneous, and objective measure of climate change.

Just as the Consumer Price Index (CPI) tracks changes in the cost of a standard basket of goods and services over time, an actuarial climate index aims to measure climate risks through a basket of extreme climate events and changes in sea level. The use of extreme values instead of averages is very important here because extremes have a greater impact on policyholders and their insured goods, as well as on society and the economy.

The first achievement in this line was the Actuaries Climate Index[™] (ACI), jointly developed by the Canadian Institute of Actuaries (CIA), the Society of Actuaries (SOA), the Casualty Actuarial Society (CAS), and the American Academy of Actuaries (AAA), from climate data in North America (see ACI, 2018). The ACI consists of six components, warm and cool temperatures, precipitation, drought, wind speed, and sea level. Each one forms a monthly time series starting in 1961, from records of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA, see Menne et al., 2012), GHCNDEX1 (CLIMDEX, see Donat et al., 2013), and the Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level (PSMSL, see Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level, 2023).

The impact of the Actuarial Climate Index (ACI) is steadily expanding worldwide. (Curry, 2015) investigates extending the use of the ACI formula to the UK and Europe, finding it applicable to this region without change, although it would need appropriate regional data.

In 2018, the Institute of Actuaries of Australia developed the Australian Actuaries Climate Index (AACI), using the ACI methodology, to monitor climate change in Australia (see AACI, 2018). Also, (Nevruz, et al., 2022) propose to apply the ACI in Turkey, adapting it to the conditions in Ankara, and develop an index suitable for this region. This methodology has been applied also to define a high-resolution Iberian Actuarial Climate Index (IACI, see Zhou et al., 2023), obtaining in particular cases the Spanish (SACI) and Portuguese Actuarial Climate Indexes. Finally, (Garrido et al., 2023) extend the ACI methodology by applying it to French data to define the French Actuarial Climate Index (FACI), and illustrating the use of this index in parametric insurance.

The second and final stage involves employing the concept of actuarial climate index to gauge the impact of climate change on insurance risks. Through this approach, it is possible to investigate both the historical and prospective influences of climate change on the insurance business, ultimately addressing its sustainability. In the realm of this research, two recent examples stand out. (Pan, Porth, and Li, 2022), delve into the effectiveness of the Actuarial Climate Index (ACI) in predicting crop yields for (re)insurance ratemaking. Their findings highlight the ACI's significant predictive power for both crop yields and yield losses. They argue that a high-resolution index could prove advantageous for the insurance industry.

Another noteworthy study by (Zhou and Vilar-Zanon, 2024), investigates the impact of climate change on hailstorm risk in Spanish crop insurance, specifically focusing on the wine grape sector. Utilizing linear and quantile regression methodologies with the SACI as an independent variable, the researchers successfully determine its influence on key insurance metrics obtaining an explanation for up to 81% of the variation in the insurance-dependent variables. These metrics include the monthly number of

claims, number of loss costs equal to one, and total losses. Furthermore, they quantify the effect of future climate shifts, as measured by the SACI, within crucial insurance metrics such as the mean and value at risk (VaR) of monthly total losses. This insight is crucial for premium and solvency calculations, shaping our understanding of the future evolution and sustainability of this line of business.

6. Conclusions

Health insurance faces the threat of increased disease propagation, as climate change creates favorable conditions for the proliferation of infectious diseases. Simultaneously, the adverse consequences of heightened temperatures and air pollution on human health act as catalysts, elevating the risks associated with health insurance and thereby intensifying the frequency of claims.

The life insurance sector is undergoing transformations in the wake of climate change. Regions confronting heightened mortality risks, particularly stemming from extreme heat waves or natural disasters, experience an upsurge in mortality rates. Concurrently, health complications arising from climate change amplify the vulnerability of policyholders and hence an added risk for life insurance losses.

Agricultural insurance is significantly impacted by climate change, affecting nearly all crops and regions worldwide. Scientific research aims to quantify this impact on essential insurance metrics, including premiums, total losses, loss costs, risk measures, and the number of claims. Statistical methods, such as linear and quantile regression methods, as well as linear mixed models, have been crucial in this research endeavor. The definition of a compound index to measure climate change is of great help because it enables its incorporation into statistical methods to investigate its influence on these insurance metrics.

Also property insurance is impacted by climate change, increasing the exposure of many regions to risks like floods, droughts, hurricanes, typhoons, tornados, hail, natural wildfires, landslides, heavy storms, sea level rise, etc. Measuring and quantifying these risks is crucial to mitigate their potential catastrophic consequences, and the actuarial techniques are proving to be useful for this task.

In recent research, a methodology akin to that employed in the Actuaries Climate Index™ was applied to formulate the Iberian Actuarial Climate Index, defined to measure climate change within the Iberian Peninsula (Spain and Portugal). The primary objective of this index is to provide insurers, actuaries, and policymakers with a straightforward and efficient instrument to quantify climate change (see Zhou et al., 2023). Furthermore, the Spanish Actuarial Climate Index, a sub-index of the Iberian Actuarial Climate Index (IACI) framework, was used to assess the impact of climate change on both the frequency of hail damages and the severity of losses incurred by Spanish wine grape producers (see Zhou and Vilar-Zanón, 2024). This article briefly reviews the observed impact of climate change on agricultural insurance.

References

ACI (2018). Actuaries climate index development and design. American Academy of Actuaries, Canadian Institute of Actuaries, Casualty Actuarial Society, Society of

Actuaries. (for Version 1.1, April 2019 see https://actuariesclimateindex.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/ACI.DevDes.2.20.pdf) (Accessed on 13 March 2024).

AACI (2018). Design documentation: Australian actuaries climate index. Retrieved from https://www.actuaries.asn.au/microsites/climate-index/about/development-and-design. (Accessed on 4 March 2024).

Adélaïde, L., Chanel, O. and Pascal M. (2021). Évaluation monétaire des effets sanitaires des canicules en France métropolitaine entre 2015 et 2020. Bulletin Epidémiologique Hebdomadaire, (12), 215-223.

Agroseguro (2024). Agroseguro. Who we are. https://agroseguro.es/en/conocenos/who-we-are/. (Accessed on 4 March 2024). (Accessed on 4 March 2024).

Alsaiqali, M., Troeyer, K.D., Casas, L., Hamdi, R., ... and Pottelbergh, G.V. (2022). The effects of heatwaves on human morbidity in primary care settings: A case-crossover study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(2), 832.

Arsad, F.S., Hod, R., Ahmad, N., Ismail, R., ... and Tangang, F. (2022). The impact of heatwaves on mortality and morbidity and the associated vulnerability factors: a systematic review", *International Journal Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(23), 16356.

Blöschl, G., Kiss, A., Viglione, A., Barriendos, M., ... and Wetter, O. (2020). Current European flood-rich period exceptional compared with past 500 years. *Nature* 583, 560–566.

Beach, R.H., Zhen, C., Thomson, A., Rejesus, R.M., ... and McCarl, B.A. (2010). Climate change impacts on crop insurance. AgEcon contract report AG-645S-C-08-0025. https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/record/338292. (Accessed on 13 March 2024).

Bhattacharya-Craven, A., Golnaraghi, M., Thomson, M. and Caplan, T. (2024). Climate change: What does the future hold for health and life insurance?. The Geneva Association, report

https://www.genevaassociation.org/sites/default/files/2024-02/cch-report_web-270224.pdf . (Accessed on 13 March 2024).

Boudreault, M. and Ojeda, A. (2022). Ratemaking territories and adverse selection for flood insurance. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 107, 349–360.

Botzen, W.J.W., Bouwer, L.M. and Van den Bergh, J.C.J.M. (2010). Climate change and hailstorm damage: Empirical evidence and implications for agriculture and insurance. *Resource and Energy Economics*, 32(3), 341–362.

Bouchama, A., Dehbi, M., Mohamed, G., Matthies, F., ... and Menne, B. (2007). Prognostic factors in heat wave-related deaths: A meta-analysis. *Archive of Internal Medicine*, 167(20):2170–2176.

Brunette, M. and Couture, S. (2023). Forest insurance for natural events: an overview by economists. *Forests*, 14, 289.

California (2023). California insurance market rattled by withdrawal of major companies. https://apnews.com/article/california-wildfire-insurance-e31bef0ed7eeddcde096a5b8f2c1768f. (Accessed on 26 February 2024).

Charpentier, A. (2008). Insurability of climate risks. *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance-Issues and Practice*, 33, 91-109.

Courbage, C. and Golnaraghi, M. (2022). Extreme events, climate risks and insurance. *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance-Issues and Practice*, 47(1), 1-4.

Crane–Droesch, A. (2018). Machine learning methods for crop yield prediction and climate change impact assessment in agriculture. *Environmental Research Letters*, *13*(11), 114003.

Crisóstomo Mazaira, C. (2022). Modelo actuarial de la medida del impacto del cambio climático en los riesgos de mortalidad y longevidad, Masters' Thesis, U. Carlos III, Madrid, Spain. https://e-archivo.uc3m.es/handle/10016/36527. (Accessed on 13 March 2024).

Curry, C. (2015). Extension of the actuaries climate index to the UK and Europe. a feasibility study. *Institute and Faculty of Actuaries*. Retrieved from https://www.actuaries.org.uk/system/files/field/document/UK-ACI_scoping_FINAL.pdf. (Accessed on 13 March 2024).

Di Napoli, C., Pappenberger, F. and Cloke, H.L. (2018) Assessing heat-related health risk in Europe via the Universal Thermal Climate Index (UTCI). *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 62, 1155–1165.

D'Ippoliti, D., Michelozzi, P., Marino, C., de'Donato, F., ... and Perucci, C.A. (2010). "The impact of heat waves on mortality in 9 European cities: results from the EuroHEAT project", Environmental Health, 9, 37.

Díaz, J., Carmona, R., Mirón, I.J., Orhz, C. and Linares, C. (2015). Comparison of the effects of extreme temperatures on daily mortality in Madrid (Spain), by age group: The need for a cold wave prevention plan. *Environmental Research*, 143(Part A), 186–191.

Diffenbaugh, N.S., Davenport, F.V. and Burke, M. (2021). Historical warming has increased US crop insurance losses. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16(8), 084025.

Donat, M.G., Alexander, L.V., Yang, H., Durre, I., and Caesar, J. (2013). Global land-based datasets for monitoring climatic extremes. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 94(7), 997–1006.

Dundon, L.A., Nelson, K.S., Camp, J., Abkowitz, M. and Jones, A. (2016). Using climate and weather data to support regional vulnerability screening assessments of transportation infrastructure. *Risks*, 4(3), 28.

Evans, D., Webb, C., Braunstein, E., Glowacki, J., ... and Lohmann, D. (2020). Residential flood risk in the United States. Quantifying flood losses, mortgage risk and sea level rise. *Society of Actuaries*.

Falco, S.D., Adinolfi, F., Bozzola, M. and Capitanio, F. (2014). Crop insurance as a strategy for adapting to climate change. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, *65*(2), 485–504.

Fouillet, A, Rey, G., Wagner, V., Laaidi, K., ... and Hémon, D. (2008). Has the impact of heat waves on mortality changed in France since the European heat wave of summer 2003? A study of the 2006 heat wave, *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 37(2), 309–317.

Furman, E., Su, J., Chen, Y., Santoshkumar, S. and Zhang, L. (2019). Modeling, Measuring and Pricing Flood Risk. Survey and Actuarial Perspective. *Society of Actuaries*.

Fusco, G., Miglietta, P.P. and Porrini, D. (2018). How drought affects agricultural insurance policies: The case of Italy. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 11(2), 1–13.

Gao, L. and Shi, P. (2022). Leveraging high-resolution weather information to predict hail damage claims: A spatial point process for replicated point patterns. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 107, 161-179.

Garrido, J., Milhaud, X. and Olympio, A. (2023). The definition of a French actuarial climate index; one more step towards a European index. Preprint. https://hal.science/hal-04491982. (Accessed on 13 March 2024).

Gasparrini, A. (2011). Distributed lag linear and non-linear models in R: the package dlnm. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 43(8), 1–20.

Gasparrini, A. and Armstrong, B. (2011). The impact of heat waves on mortality, *Epidemiology*, 22(1), 68–73.

Gasparrini, A., Guo, Y., Hashizume, M., Lavigne, E., ... and Schwartz, J. (2015). Mortality risk attributable to high and low ambient temperature: a multicountry observational study. *Lancet*, 386(9991), 369–375.

Gasparrini, A., Guo, Y., Sera, F., Vicedo-Cabrera, A.M., ... and Armstrong, B. (2017). Projections of temperature-related excess mortality under climate change scenarios. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 1(9), e360–e367.

Gradeci, K., Labonnote, L., Sivertsen, E. and Time, B. (2019). The use of insurance data in the analysis of surface water floods events. A systematic review. *Journal of Hydrology*, 568, 194–206.

Gupta, A. and Venkataraman, S. (2024). Insurance and Climate Change. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 67: 101412.

Heranval, A., Lopez, O. and Thomas, M. (2023). Application of machine learning methods to predict drought cost in France. *European Actuarial Journal*, 13, 731–753.

IPCC (2019). Summary for Policymakers, in Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems. Available at https://www.ipcc.ch/srccl/chapter/summary-for-policymakers/. (Accessed on 26 February 2024).

IPCC (2022). Climate Change 2022: Impact Adaptation and Vulnerability. Technical report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157964.002. (Accessed on 13 March 2024).

IPCC (2023a). Summary for Policymakers, in Climate Change 2021– The Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Cambridge University Press.* p. 3–32.

IPCC (2023b). Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2023: IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland. https://www.ipcc.ch/. (Accessed on 26 February 2024).

Jørgensen, S.L., Termansen, M. and Pascual, U. (2020). Natural insurance as condition for market insurance: Climate change adaptation in agriculture. *Ecological Economics*, *169*, 106489.

Kim, D.-W., Deo, R.C., Chung, J.-H. and Lee, J.-S. (2016). Projection of heat wave mortality related to climate change in Korea. *Natural Hazards*, 80, 623–637.

Knighton, J., Buchanan, B., Guzman, C., Elliott, R., White, E. and Rahm, B. (2020). Predicting flood insurance claims with hydrologic and socioeconomic demographics via machine learning: Exploring the roles of topography, minority populations, and political dissimilarity. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 272, 111051.

Kousky, C., Lingle, B. and Shabman, L. (2017). The pricing of flood insurance. *Journal of Extreme Events*, 4 (2), 1750001.

Lee, R.D. and Carter, L.R. (1992). Modeling and forecasting US mortality. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 87(419), 659–671.

Li, H. and Tang, Q. (2022). Joint extremes in temperature and mortality: A bivariate POT approach. *North American Actuarial Journal*, *26*(1), 43–63.

Lin, Y-H., Wang, L.-J.; Shi, X.-Y. and Chen, M.-P. (2023). Evolution of research on climate risk insurance: A bibliometric analysis from 1975 to 2022. *Advances in Climate Change Research*, 14, 592-604.

Lucas, Ch., Booth, K.I. and Garcia, C. (2021). Insuring homes against extreme weather events: a systematic review of the research. *Climatic Change*, 165: 61.

Lyubchich, V., Newlands, N.K., Ghahari, A., Mahdi, T. and Gel, Y.R. (2019). Insurance risk assessment in the face of climate change: Integrating data science and statistics. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics*, 11(4), e1462.

Madaniyazi, L., Chung, Y., Kim, Y., Tobias, A., ... and Hashizume, M. (2021). Seasonality of mortality under a changing climate: a time-series analysis of mortality in Japan between 1972 and 2015. *Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine*, 26(1), 69.

Madaniyazi, L., Armstrong, B., Chung, Y., Ng, C.F.S., ... and Hashizume, M. (2022). Seasonal variation in mortality and the role of temperature: a multi-country multi-city study. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 51(1), 122–133.

Maestro, T., Garrido, A. and Bielza, M. (2018). Drought insurance. *Drought: Science And Policy*, 147–162.

Marin, L. (2019). Classification of risks in agricultural insurance. *Scientific Papers Series Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development*, 19(4), 173-178.

McGeehin, M.A. and Mirabelli, M. (2001). The potential impacts of climate variability and change on temperature-related morbidity and mortality in the United States. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 109(Suppl 2), 185–9.

McGranahan, G., Balk, D. and Anderson, B. (2007). The rising tide: assessing the risks of climate change and human settlements in low elevation coastal zones. *Environment and Urbanization*, 19(1), 17–37.

Menne, M. J., Durre, I., Korzeniewski, B., McNeill, S., Thomas, K., Yin, X., ... Houston, T. G. (2012). Global historical climatology network – daily. NOAA National Climatic Data Center. doi:10.7289/V5D21VHZ. (Accessed on 13 March 2024).

Michel-Kerjan, E. (2010). Catastrophe economics: the US National Flood Insurance Program. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 24 (4), 399–422.

Miljkovic, T., Miljkovic, D. and Maurer, K. (2018). Examining the impact on mortality arising from climate change: important findings for the insurance industry. *European Actuarial Journal*, 8, 363–381.

Mobley, W., Sebastian, A., Blessing, R., Highfield, W., ... and Brody, S. (2021). Quantification of continuous flood hazard using random forest classification and flood insurance claims at large spatial scales: a pilot study in Southeast Texas. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 21(2), 807–822.

Moody's (2018). Climate change risks outweigh opportunities for P&C (re)insurers. Moody's investors service. 15 March 2018. https://www.law.berkeley.edu/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/Moodys-Climate-change-risks-outweigh-opportunities-for-PC-reinsurers.pdf

Munich Re (2024). Record thunderstorm losses and deadly earthquakes: the natural disasters of 2023. https://www.munichre.com/en/company/media-relations/media-information/2024/natural-disaster-figures-2023.html. (Accessed on 26 February 2024).

Nayak, S.G., Shrestha, S., Kinney, P.L., Ross, Z., ... and Hwang, S.A. (2018) Development of a heat vulnerability index for New York State. *Public Health*, 161, 127–137.

Neumann, J.E., Emanuel, K., Ravela, S., Ludwig, L., ... and Martinich, J. (2015). Joint effects of storm surge and sea-level rise on US Coasts: new economic estimates of impacts, adaptation, and benefits of mitigation policy. *Climatic Change*, *129*, 337–349.

Nevruz, E.Z.G.İ., ATICI, R.Y. and Yildirak, K. (2022). Actuaries Climate Index: An Application for Turkey. *İstatistik Araştırma Dergisi*, 12(2), 14–25.

Pan, Q., Porth, L. and Li, H. (2022). Assessing the effectiveness of the Actuaries Climate Index for estimating the impact of extreme weather on crop yield and insurance applications. *Sustainability*, 14(11), 6916.

Pascal, M., Laaidi, K., Ledrans, M., Baffert, E., ... and Empereur-Bissonnet, P. (2006). France's heat health watch warning system. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 50, 144–153.

Pascal, M., Lagarrigue, R., Tabai, A., Bonmarin, I., ... and Denys, S. (2021). Evolving

heat waves characteristics challenge heat warning systems and prevention plans. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 65, 1683–1694.

Paudel, Y. (2012). A comparative study of public-private catastrophe insurance systems: lessons from current practices. *The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance – Issues and Practice*, 37, 257-285.

Peng, R.D., Bobb, J.F., Tebaldi, C., McDaniel, L., ... and Dominici, F. (2011). Toward a quantitative estimate of future heat wave mortality under global climate change. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 119, 701–706.

Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level (PSMSL) (2023). Tide gauge data. https://www.psmsl.org/data/obtaining/. (Accessed on 13 March 2024).

Peterson, T.C. (2005). Climate change indices. *World Meteorological Organization* (WMO) Bulletin, 54 (2), 83–86.

Pfleiderer, P., Menke, I. and Schleussner, C.F. (2019). Increasing risks of apple tree frost damage under climate change. *Climatic Change*, 157(3-4), 515-525.

Prettenthaler, F., Albrecher, H., Asadi, P. and Köberl, J. (2017). On flood risk pooling in Europe. *Natural Hazards*, 88, 1–20.

Pryor, L. (2017). The impacts of climate change on health. A paper presented to the *Institute & the Faculty of Actuaries in London*. https://www.actuaries.org.uk/system/files/field/document/Climate%20Change%20Health.pdf

Rao, S. and Li, X. (2023). China's Experiences in Climate Risk Insurance and Suggestions for Its Future Development. Annual Report on Actions to Address Climate Change (2019) Climate Risk Prevention, 157–171.

Rodziewicz, D. and Dice, J. (2020). Drought Risk to the Agriculture Sector. *Economic Review*, (01612387), 105(2).

Rothfusz, L.P. and NWS Southern Region Headquarters. (1990). The heat index equation (or, more than you ever wanted to know about heat index). National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Weather Service, Office of Meteorology. Technical Attachment 9023, 640.

https://www.wpc.ncep.noaa.gov/html/heatindex_equation.shtml. (Accessed on 13 March 2024).

Savitz, R. and Dan Gavriletea, M. (2019). Climate Change and Insurance. *Transformations in Business & Economics*, 18(1).

Schuurman, D. and Ker, A. (2024). Heterogeneity, climate change, and crop yield distributions: Solvency implications for publicly subsidized crop insurance programs. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*.

Seklecka, M., Pantelous, A.A. and O'Hare, C. (2017). Mortality effects of temperature changes in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Forecasting*, 36, 824–841.

Seklecka, M., Pantelous, A.A. and O'Hare, C. (2019). The impact of parameter uncertainty in insurance pricing and reserve with the temperature-related mortality model. *Journal of Forecasting*, 38, 327–345.

Shen, C. and Yuan, Z. (2022). A Hydro-EVT approach to flood insurance pricing. *Society of Actuaries*.

Shi, P., Zhang, W. and Shi, K. (2024). Leveraging Weather Dynamics in Insurance Claims Triage Using Deep Learning. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, DOI: 10.1080/01621459.2024.2308314. (Accessed on 13 March 2024).

Shively, D. (2017). Flood risk management in the USA: implications of National Flood Insurance Program changes for social justice. *Regional Environmental Change*, 17, 1663–1672.

Simbürger, M., Dreisiebner-Lanz, S., Kernitzkyi, M. and Prettenthaler, F. (2022). Climate risk management with insurance or tax-exempted provisions? An empirical case study of hail and frost risk for wine and apple production in Styria. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 80, 103216.

Smid, M., Russo, S., Costa, A.C., Granell, C. and Pebesma, E. (2019) Ranking European capitals by exposure to heat waves and cold waves, *Urban Climate*, 27, 388–402.

Song, X., Wang, S., Hu, Y., Yue, M., ... and Shang K. (2017). Impact of ambient temperature on morbidity and mortality: an overview of reviews. *Science of The Total Environment*, 586, 241–254.

Sousounis, P., Clarke, A. and Fullam, D. (2021). Potential impacts of climate change on US wildfire risk by mid-century. *Society of Actuaries*.

Surminski, S. and Eldridge, J. (2017). Flood insurance in England: an assessment of the current and newly proposed insurance scheme in the context of rising flood risk. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 10, 415-435.

Swiss Re (2021). More risk: the changing nature of P&C insurance by 2040. *Sigma*, No4/2021.

Tack, J.B. and Ubilava, D. (2015). Climate and agricultural risk: measuring the effect of ENSO on US crop insurance. *Agricultural Economics*, 46(2), 245–257.

Thistlethwaite, J. and Wood, M.O. (2018). Insurance and climate change risk management: rescaling to look beyond the horizon. *British Journal of Management*, *29*(2), 279–298.

The Geneva Association (2021). Climate Change Risk Assessment for the Insurance Industry. https://www.genevaassociation.org/sites/default/files/climate_risk_web_final_250221.pdf. (Accessed on 13 March 2024).

Tzougas, G., Dang, V., John, A., Kroustalis, S., ... and Kutzkov, K. (2022). Classification of Climate-Related Insurance Claims using Gradient Boosting. *Anales del Instituto de Actuarios Españoles*, 28, 149–168.

UN (2023). What Is Climate Change? Available online: https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/what-is-climate-change. (Accessed on 18 December 2023).

Unterberger, C., Brunner, L., Nabernegg, S., Steininger, K. W., ... and Truhetz, H. (2018). Spring frost risk for regional apple production under a warmer climate. *PloS one*, 13(7), e0200201.

Vyas, S., Dalhaus, T., Kropff, M., Aggarwal, P. and Meuwissen, M.P. (2021). Mapping global research on agricultural insurance. *Environmental Research Letters*, *16*(10), 103003.

Wagner, K.R. (2022). Designing insurance for climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, *12*(12), 1070–1072.

Wang, R., Rejesus, R.M. and Aglasan, S. (2021a). Warming temperatures, yield risk and crop insurance participation. *European Review of Agricultural Economics*, 48(5), 1109–1131.

Wang, R., Rejesus, R.M. and Aglasan, S. (2021b). Cover crops, crop insurance losses, and resilience to extreme weather events. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajae.12431. (Accessed on 13 March 2024).

Warren-Myers, G., Aschwanden, G., Fuerst, F. and Krause, A. (2018). Estimating the potential risks of sea level rise for public and private property ownership occupation and management. *Risks*, 6 (2), 37–58.

WMO (2024). WMO confirms that 2023 smashes global temperature record. 2024; Available from: https://wmo.int/media/news/wmo-confirms-2023-smashes-global-temperature-record. (Accessed on 26 February 2024).

Zacharias, S., Koppe, C. and Mücke, H.-G. (2015). Climate change effects on heat waves and future heat wave associated IHD mortality in Germany. *Climate*, 3, 100–117.

Zhou, N., Vilar-Zanón, J.L., Garrido, J. and Heras Martínez, A. (2023). On the definition of an actuarial climate index for the Iberian peninsula. *Anales del Instituto de Actuarios Españoles*, 29, 37-59.

Zhou, N. and Vilar-Zanón, J.L. (2024). Impact assessment of climate change on hailstorm risk in Spanish wine grape crop insurance: Insights from linear and quantile regressions. *Risks*, 12(2).