

dp-minimal groups

Frank O Wagner

▶ To cite this version:

Frank O Wagner. dp-minimal groups. 2024. hal-04503556

HAL Id: hal-04503556 https://hal.science/hal-04503556

Preprint submitted on 13 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

DP-MINIMAL GROUPS

FRANK O. WAGNER

ABSTRACT. A dp-minimal group is virtually nilpotent.

Definition 1. A partial type $\pi(x)$ is dp-minimal if there are no formulas $\varphi(x, y)$ and $\psi(x, y)$ and mutually indiscernible sequences $(a_i)_{i < \omega}$ and $(b_i)_{i < \omega}$ such that for any $i, j \in \omega$ the type

$$\pi(x) \cup \{\varphi(x, a_i), \psi(x, b_j)\} \cup \{\neg \varphi(x, a_s) : s \neq i\} \cup \{\neg \psi(x, b_t) : t \neq j\}$$

is consistent.

Equivalently, for any two mutually indiscernible sequences $(a_i)_{i < \omega}$ and $(b_i)_{i < \omega}$ and any $c \models \pi$, either $(a_i)_{i < \omega}$ or $(b_i)_{i < \omega}$ is indiscernible over c.

Recall that a dp-minimal theory is dependent, so in particular the Baldwin-Saxl condition holds, and any intersection of uniformly definable subgroups is equal to a (uniformly) finite subintersection. Moreover, Pierre Simon has shown [4, Claim in Proof of 4.31] that in a dp-minimal group, for any two definable subgroups H and K the intersection $H \cap K$ has finite index in either H or in K. By compactness, for any family of uniformly definable subgroups $\{H_i : i \in I\}$ there is $n < \omega$ such that $H_i \cap H_j$ has index at most n in either H_i or in H_j , for any $i, j \in I$.

We shall call a group \bigwedge -definable if it is an intersection of definable groups. Recall that a group us virtually P if it has a subgroup of finite index which is P.

Remark 2. If G is virtually soluble, then its soluble radical R(G) (the subgroup generated by all normal soluble subgroups) is soluble and definable; if G is virtually nilpotent, its Fitting subgroup F(G) (the subgroup generated by all normal nilpotent subgroups) is nilpotent and definable. If G is soluble, it has a definable characteristic series with abelian quotients; if G is nilpotent, it has a definable characteristic series with central quotients.

Proof. If G has a soluble subgroup H of finite index, H contains a normal soluble subgroup N of finite index. Now for any normal soluble subgroup S the group NS

Date: 13 March 2024.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 03C45, 03C60, 20A15, 20F14.

Key words and phrases. dp-minimal, group, nilpotent.

Partially supported by ANR AAPG2019 GeoMod.

FRANK O. WAGNER

is again normal soluble. Hence G has a maximal normal soluble subgroup of finite index, so R(G) is soluble. It is then definable by [3, Theorem 1.1]. The proof for F(G) is analogous, replacing soluble by nilpotent.

Now if G is soluble of derived length n + 1, define $c_0(x) = x$ and $c_{i+1}(x_1, \ldots, x_{2^{i+1}}) = [c_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{2^i}), c_i(x_{2^i+1}, \ldots, x_{2^{i+1}})]$. Then

$$A = Z(C_G(c_n(x_1, \dots, x_{2^n}) : x_1, \dots, x_{2^n} \in G))$$

is a definable characteristic abelian subgroup containing $G^{(n)}$. We divide out by A and finish by induction. For nilpotency, the claim is obvious, as Z(G) is clearly definable.

Proposition 3. A dp-minimal group G is virtually soluble.

Proof. By a theorem of Simon [4, Proposition 4.31], G has a definable characteristic abelian subgroup A such that G/A has finite exponent. As G/A is still dp-minimal, we may assume that G has finite exponent.

Let $H \leq G$ be a definable subgroup. The family of its *G*-conjugates is a family of uniformly definable subgroups, so there is $n < \omega$ such that $H \cap H^g$ has index $\leq n$ either in *H* or in H^g . Suppose $|H: H \cap H^g| \leq n$ (the other case is analogous). Then $|H^g: H^g \cap H^{g^2}| \leq n$, and $|H \cap H^g: H \cap H^g \cap H^{g^2}| \leq n$, whence $|H: H \cap H^g \cap H^{g^2}| \leq n^2$. Iterating, we see that $|H: H \cap \cdots \cap H^{g^k}| \leq n^k$. But for k = o(g) - 1 the subgroup $N = H \cap \cdots \cap H^{g^k}$ is *g*-invariant, so $|H: N| = |H^g: N| \leq n^k$. But this implies $|H: H \cap H^g| = |H^g: H \cap H^g| \leq n$. Now NIP implies that there is ℓ such that the intersection of any finite number of *G*-conjugates of *H* is an intersection of at most ℓ of them, and has index $\leq \ell n$ in *H*. Hence $H^{nor} = \bigcap_{g \in G} H^g$ is a normal subgroup of index at most ℓn in *H*, definable over the same parameters as *H*.

Let \mathfrak{M} be a model, and \mathfrak{S} the set of M-definable normal soluble subgroups. Since \mathfrak{S} is closed under product, $\bigcup \mathfrak{S}$ is a normal subgroup of G. If G is not virtually soluble, there is a minimal M- \bigwedge -definable subgroup H which is not virtually contained in any subgroup in \mathfrak{S} . Let p be an f-generic type of H over M. Note that by minimality $H = H^0$, so H is connected; if $H = \bigcap_i H_i$ then $H = \bigcap_i H_i^{nor}$ as well by connectivity, so H is an intersection of M-definable normal subgroups and must itself be normal. By dp-minimality, p is either generically stable or distal. If p is distal, then by the generalization of [5, Section 5] to \bigwedge -definable normal subgroups of a dp-minimal group, H is nilpotent. By compactness it is contained in a definable normal nilpotent group of the same class which must be in \mathfrak{S} , a contradiction.

So p is generically stable. Let $(a_i)_{\mathbb{Z}}(b_i)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a Morley sequence in p over M.

If $[a_0, b_0]$ lies in some *M*-definable coset of some group $S \in \mathfrak{S}$, say $[a_0, b_0] \in mS$, then b_0, b_1 and b_0b_1 all satisfy $p|Ma_0$ by generic stability and genericity of p, so

$$[a_0, b_0] = [a_0, b_1] = [a_0, b_0 b_1] = [a_0, b_1][a_0, b_0]^{b_1} \mod S.$$

DP-MINIMAL GROUPS

Hence $[a_0, b_0]^{b_1} \in S$, so $[a_0, b_0] \in S$. Now $C_G(a_0/S)$ contains a generic b_0 , so has finite index in H, which is connected. By compactness $C_G(a_0/S)$ contains an M-definable normal supergroup K of H. Then Z(K/S) is M-definable and contains a_0 which is generic in H. By connectivity $H \leq Z(K/S)$. But $Z(K/S) \in \mathfrak{S}$, a contradiction.

In particular $q = \operatorname{tp}([a_0, b_0]/M)$ is a non-algebraic type over M; it is generically stable since $\operatorname{tp}(a_0, b_0/M)$ is. By [5, Lemma 6.2] the stabilizer $\operatorname{Stab}(q)$ is infinite; in fact it contains $c_0c_1^{-1}$ for some/any independent $c_0, c_1 \models q$. Thus the coset $\operatorname{Stab}(p)c_1$ does not depend on $c_1 \models q$ and must be M-definable; moreover it contains all realizations of q. By the previous paragraph, $\operatorname{Stab}(q) \notin \mathfrak{S}$; as q(x) implies $x \in H$ we get $\operatorname{Stab}(q) \leq H$ and we must have equality by minimality of H.

Work over M. By dp-minimality of q, one of $(a_i)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ or $(b_i)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is indiscernible over $[a_0, b_0]$, whence independent of $[a_0, b_0]$. In particular $[a_0, b_0]$ is independent of a_0 or of b_0 ; by symmetry $[b_0, a_0]$ is independent of b_0 or of a_0 . But $[b_0, a_0] = [a_0, b_0]^{-1}$, so it is independent of a_0 and of b_0 . It follows that

$$H = \text{Stab}(q) = \text{Stab}(q|Mb_0) = \text{Stab}([a_0, b_0]/Mb_0) = \text{Stab}(b_0^{-a_0}b_0/Mb_0) = \text{Stab}(b_0^{-a_0}/Mb_0).$$

But $H = \operatorname{Stab}(p) = \operatorname{Stab}(p|Mb_0)$ by generic stability of the generic type p. Then H is the *right* stabilizer of p^{-1} . So for two independent realizations $a, a' \models p$ we have that

$$\operatorname{tp}(a^{-1}a'/Ma') = p^{-1}a'|Ma' = p^{-1}|Ma'$$
 and $\operatorname{tp}(a^{-1}a'/Ma) = a^{-1}p|Ma = p|Ma$.

In particular $p = \operatorname{tp}(a^{-1}a'/M) = p^{-1}$, and H is the left and right stabilizer of p. Similarly, if $a \models p | Mb_0$ and $c \models \operatorname{tp}(b_0^{-a_0}/Mb_0)$ with $a \downarrow_{Mb_0} c$, then

$$p|Mb_0 = \operatorname{tp}(ac/Mb_0) = \operatorname{tp}(b_0^{-a_0}/Mb_0).$$

Since $b_0^{-1} \models d_p x \exists z \in G x = y^z$, there is $m' \in M$ such that $p \vdash x \in m'^G$. As $H = p \cdot p$ is normal in G, we have that $H = m'^G \cdot m'^G$ is M-definable. Again by definability of p there is $m \in M$ such that $p \vdash x \in m^H$. (In fact it is easy to see that we can choose m = m'.)

It follows that $m \in H(M) \setminus \bigcup \mathfrak{S}(M)$; in particular $H(M) / \bigcup \mathfrak{S}(M)$ is nontrivial. Note that if there is $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $m^2 \in S$, then every realization of p has order two modulo S. But for two independent realizations $a, a' \models p$ we have $aa' \models p$, whence $a^2, a'^2, (aa')^2 \in S$ and

$$aa' = (aa')^{-1} = a'^{-1}a^{-1} = a'a \mod S.$$

So H/S is generically commutative and $[a_0, b_0] \in S$; as above $H \leq \bigcup \mathfrak{S}$, a contradiction. It follows that $m^2 \notin \bigcup \mathfrak{S}$.

Now $m \neq m^{-1} \models p^{-1} = p$, so there is $h \in H$ with $m^{-1} = m^h$. Since $m \in M$ we can choose $h \in H(M)$. Clearly $h \notin \bigcup \mathfrak{S}$, and $m \in C_H(h^2) \setminus C_H(h)$.

FRANK O. WAGNER

Consider any $c \in H(M) \setminus \bigcup \mathfrak{S}(M)$, and put $q' = \operatorname{tp}(c^{a_0}/M)$, a generically stable type. By [5, Lemma 6.2] for $T = \operatorname{Stab}(q')$ and any $t \models q'$ the coset Tt does not depend on t, is M-definable and contains q'.

If T were soluble, then for generic $a \models p|Ma_0$ we have $\operatorname{tp}(a_0a/M) = p = \operatorname{tp}(a_0/M)$, so $q'^a = q' \subseteq (Tt)^a \cap Tt$, whence $[a, t^{-1}] \in T$ (recall that T is a stabilizer, whence connected, and thus normal). Hence $C_H(Tt/T)$ is a generic M-definable subgroup of H, and must equal H by connectivity. Thus t is central in H/T, as is t^G , and there is a definable $S \in \mathfrak{S}$ containing Tt. Then $c \in S$, a contradiction.

Since $T \leq H$ we get T = H by minimality. But then q' = p as before, and $c \in m^H$. So $H(M) / \bigcup \mathfrak{S}(M)$ is a group of order at least 3, finite exponent, and a single non-trivial conjugacy class, a contradiction.

Proposition 4. Let G be a soluble dp-minimal group. Then G is virtually nilpotent.

Proof. By [5, Theorem 5.21] we may assume that G has a generically stable principal f-generic type. Since G is soluble, it has a definable normal series with abelian quotients. So by induction on the derived length we may assume that there is a normal definable nilpotent subgroup N such that G/N is finite-by-abelian. Let F/Nbe the finite subgroup such that G/F is abelian. Then $\bar{n}^g \in \bar{n}F$ for every $\bar{n} \in G/N$, and $|G : C_G(\bar{n})| \leq |F|$. Since G is NIP, the intersection of all $C_G(\bar{n})$ is a finite subintersection G^c . We replace G by G^c and assume that G/N is abelian.

Let \mathfrak{M} be an ω -saturated model. Recall that G has a characteristic \emptyset -definable abelian subgroup A such that G/A has finite exponent. Put

 $\mathfrak{Z} = \{Z \leq G : Z = Z_n(G_Z) \text{ for some normal } M \text{-definable } G_Z \leq G \text{ of finite index} \}.$

Note that $Z_n(G_Z) Z_m(G_{Z'}) \leq Z_{n+m}((G_Z \cap G_{Z'})ZZ')$, so \mathfrak{Z} is closed under product, and consists of normal \emptyset -definable groups. We want to show that $N \leq Z$ for some $Z \in \mathfrak{Z}$. So suppose not.

Let H be a minimal M- \wedge -definable subgroup not virtually contained in $\bigcup \mathfrak{Z}$. If A is not virtually contained in $\bigcup \mathfrak{Z}$ we choose $H \leq A$. Note that H is connected, and G-invariant, as $G/C_G(H)$ has finite exponent, say k. Dividing out by some $Z \in Z$ we may assume that H is abelian.

Consider a principal generic element g of G over M, and a (g, M)-definable subgroup K_g of G. Then for an independent $g' \equiv_M g$ we either have $M_g \leq M_{g'}$ or $M_{g'} \leq M_g$. But by generic stability of $\operatorname{tp}(g/M)$ we have $g, g' \equiv_M g', g$, so M_g and $M_{g'}$ are (uniformly) commensurable. For any $g'' \equiv_M g$ we choose $g' \equiv_M g$ independent of g, g'' over M, so M_g and $M_{g'}$ are both uniformly commensurable to $M_{g''}$, and hence uniformly commensurable. It follows that the intersection $\bigcap_{g' \equiv_M g} M_{g'}$ equals a finite subintersection, has finite index in M_g and is M-definable.

In particular, for any $Z \in \mathfrak{Z}$ the centraliser $C_G(g/Z)$ has an *M*-definable subgroup *K* of finite index normal in *G* which centralises modulo *Z* some normal generic subgroup

 $G_K \leq G$. Then $K \cap G_K$ has finite index in $C_G(g/Z)$, and is contained in \mathfrak{Z} . Moreover, the subgroup [g, H] is (g, M)- \wedge -definable (as H is abelian) and connected (since His), whence M- \wedge -definable; it must either equal H or be virtually contained in $\bigcup \mathfrak{Z}$, whence contained in $\bigcup \mathfrak{Z}$ by connectedness. The latter case implies that $H \leq \bigcup \mathfrak{Z}$ again by connectedness, a contradiction.

Let R be the ring of quasi-endomorphisms modulo equivalence of $H/\bigcup \mathfrak{Z}$ generated by G, and their inverses (where they exist), in the monster model. Note that by the above, the map $r_g: x \mapsto [g, x]$ has kernel virtually contained in $\bigcup \mathfrak{Z}$ and is surjective, whence invertible in R. Composing with conjugation by an independent principal generic g', we see that $f_{g,g'}: x \mapsto x^{-gg'}x^{g'}$ is also invertible in R.

Now let I be a maximal ideal in R, so R/I is a field. Since conjugation by g has order dividing k, there are only k different images in R/I for conjugation by realizations of p. But since $f_{g,g'}$ is invertible, it cannot be in I. As there is a unique type of two independent realizations of p, which is realized by gg' and g, independent generics have a different image in R/I, a contradiction.

This finishes the proof.

References

- Bryant, R. M. and B. Hartley, Periodic locally soluble groups with the minimal condition on centralizers, J. Alg. 61:328–334, 1979.
- [2] Kegel, O., Four lectures on Sylow theory in locally finite groups, pp. 3-28 in Group Theory, edited by K. N. Nah and Y. K. Leong, Walter de Gruyter, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [3] Ould Houcine, A., A remark on the definability of the Fitting subgroup and the soluble radical, Math. Log. Quart. 1–4, 2013.
- [4] Pierre Simon. A Guide to NIP Theories. ASL Lecture notes in Logic 44, CUP 2015.
- [5] Atticus Stonestrom. On non-abelian dp-minimal groups, arXiv:2308.04209

UNIVERSITÉ LYON 1; CNRS; INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN UMR 5208, 21 AVENUE CLAUDE BERNARD, 69622 VILLEURBANNE-CEDEX, FRANCE Email address: wagner@math.univ-lyon1.fr