

First investigation of RH gene polymorphism in patients with sickle cell disease and associated blood donors in Cameroon, Central Africa

Jeanne Manga, Messina Mbeti, Caroline Bénech, Françoise Ngo Sack, Estelle Wete, Hortense Ngegni Pangetha, Simon Noël Ateba, Jules Tchatchueng, Alexandre Njan Nloga, Yann Fichou, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Jeanne Manga, Messina Mbeti, Caroline Bénech, Françoise Ngo Sack, Estelle Wete, et al.. First investigation of RH gene polymorphism in patients with sickle cell disease and associated blood donors in Cameroon, Central Africa. Blood Transfusion, In press, 10.2450/BloodTransfus.660. hal-04503247

HAL Id: hal-04503247 https://hal.science/hal-04503247v1

Submitted on 13 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

IMMUNOHEMATOLOGY

Original article

First investigation of *RH* gene polymorphism in patients with sickle cell disease and associated blood donors in Cameroon, Central Africa

Jeanne Manga Messina Mbeti^{1,2}, Caroline Bénech^{3,4}, Françoise Ngo Sack^{1,5,6}, Estelle Wete⁷, Hortense Ngegni Pangetha⁵, Simon Noël Ateba⁵, Jules Tchatchueng², Alexandre Njan Nloga^{1,8}, Yann Fichou^{3,4}



¹Université Catholique d'Afrique Centrale (UCAC), Yaoundé, Cameroon; ²Centre Pasteur du Cameroun (CPC), Yaoundé, Cameroon; ³Univ Brest, Inserm, EFS, UBO, UMR1078, GGB, Brest, France; ⁴Laboratory of Excellence GR-Ex, Paris, France; ⁵Banque de sang, Hôpital Central de Yaoundé, Yaoundé, Cameroon; ⁶Service Hémato-Oncologie, Hôpital Central de Yaoundé, Yaoundé, Cameroon; ⁷Centre Mère et Enfant, Fondation Chantal Biva, Yaoundé, Cameroon; ⁸Faculté des Sciences, Université de Ngaoundéré, Ngaoundéré, Cameroon **Background** - Although genetic polymorphism of the RH blood group system is well known in sub-Saharan Africa, national/regional specificities still remain to be described precisely. For the first time in Cameroon, Central Africa, and in order to better characterize the molecular basis driving RH phenotype variability, as well as to identify the main antigens that may be potentially responsible for alloimmunization, we sought 1) to study the *RH* genes in a cohort of 109 patients with sickle cell disease; 2) to study the same genes in the corresponding donors whose red blood cells (RBCs) were transfused to the patients (108 donors in 98 patients); 3) to predict RH phenotype on the basis of the molecular data and compare the results with serologic testing; and 4) to identify retrospectively patients at risk for alloimmunization.

Materials and methods - In order to generate an exhaustive dataset, the *RH* genes of all patient and donor samples were systematically investigated 1) by quantitative multiplex PCR of short fluorescent fragments (QMPSF) for characterization of *RHD* gene zygosity and potential structural variants (SVs), and 2) by Sanger sequencing for identification of single nucleotide variants (SNVs). Subsequent to molecular analysis, the genotypes and RH phenotype were deduced and predicted, respectively, from reference databases.

Results - In a total of 217 Cameroonian individuals, as many as 24 and up to 22 variant alleles were identified in the *RHD* and *RHCE* genes, respectively, in addition to the reference alleles. Interestingly, 65 patients with SCD (66.3%) were assumed to be exposed to one or more undesirable RH antigen(s) with varying degrees of clinical relevance.

Discussion - Beyond the comprehensive report of the nature and distribution of *RH* variant alleles in a subset of Cameroonian patients treated by transfusion therapy, this work highlights the need for an extensive review of current practice, including routine serologic typing procedures, preferably in the near future.

Keywords: epidemiology, genetics, RH antigen, sickle cell disease, variant.

Arrived: 26 September 2023 Revision accepted: 29 November 2023 **Correspondence:** Jeanne Manga Messina Mbeti e-mail: mangajeanne@yahoo.fr

INTRODUCTION

Sickle cell disease (SCD; MIM #603903) is a genetic disorder mostly encountered in populations of sub-Saharan African origin caused by variants within the *HBB* gene

Blood Transfus 2023; doi: 10.2450/BloodTransfus.660 © SIMTIPRO Srl



resulting in abnormal hemoglobin function¹⁻³. This hemoglobinopathy is typically characterized by red blood cells (RBCs) presenting with a so-called "sickle cell" shape⁴. Patients with SCD suffer from variable clinical symptoms, including anemia, vaso-occlusive pain and increased susceptibility to infections, which can be potentially life-threatening if severe complications occur. While there is still no cure available, the anemia and brain vessel occlusion may be prevented by long-term RBC transfusion, a major approach to patient management which has helped to dramatically improve quality of life^{5,6}. However, an adverse effect of RBC transfusion therapy is the risk of alloimmunization, which has been demonstrated to be dependent on multiple factors, such as gender/age, inflammatory status, cellular antigen expression and total number of RBC transfusions in the patient; another factor is the extent of genetic diversity between donor and patient⁶⁻¹¹. In fact, multi-transfused patients are potentially exposed to a broad range of RBC antigens expressed by various donors, and transfusion support may be seriously compromised in alloimmunized patients. To reduce the risk of alloimmunization, routine serologic matching for ABO, RH (D, C/c, E/e) and Kell (K) antigens has been recommended for RBC transfusion in clinical practice^{12,13}. However, the rate of alloimmunization against RH antigens in African patients has remained significantly high due to the diversity of variant alleles frequently encountered¹⁴⁻¹⁷, and RH genotyping in patients and donors has been proposed as a way of identifying those variant alleles and then further reducing alloimmunization by genotype-matching^{14,17-25}. It is therefore crucial to acquire a basic knowledge of gene polymorphism in populations of interest.

RH is the most complex and polymorphic blood group system. It currently includes 56 antigens carried by the RhD and RhCE proteins at the surface of RBCs. Since the discovery of the molecular bases driving RH antigen expression in the early nineties²⁶⁻²⁹, the population originating from sub-Saharan Africa has been known to be the most diverse in the homologous *RH* genes both in terms of nature and of frequency, as confirmed in several reports³⁰⁻³⁵. However, while these studies are definitely of great value, they have mostly focused on populations with heterogeneous African origins, and/or are limited in number. This observation suggests that knowledge about the molecular determinants resulting in RH variability at the national/regional level in sub-Saharan Africa is still poor, and needs to be investigated in an effort 1) to better characterize the fundamental *RH* gene polymorphism at a high resolution in Africa, 2) to define more precisely the epidemiology of RH antigen expression, and 3) ultimately to improve global transfusion settings in an African context.

In Cameroon, a Central African country of more than 28 million inhabitants, individuals presenting with sickle cell trait are estimated to account for ~20% of the whole population with regional/ethnic differences, and the prevalence of the disease is ~1% at birth³⁶. So far, *RH* gene polymorphism has never been investigated in the Cameroonian population, including patients with SCD. In this context, and in parallel with routine serologic testing, we decided 1) to investigate for the first time the *RH* genes specifically in a subset of patients with SCD, as well as their corresponding donors; 2) to report the nature and frequency of the variant alleles; 3) to predict RH antigen expression, including partial, high-frequency (HFA) and low-frequency (LFA) antigens; and 4) to describe the potential incompatibilities related to RH antigen exposure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics

This study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee "Comité d'Ethique Institutionnel de la Recherche pour la Santé Humaine" under the reference N°2019/0840/ CEIRSH/ESS/DR and the National Research Ethics committee for Human Health (CNERSH) under the reference N°2019/12/1200/CE/CNERSH/SP. The research was conducted ethically, with all study procedures being performed in accordance with the requirements of the World Medical Association's Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from each donor/patient for study participation and data publication.

Patients with sickle cell disease (SCD) and blood donors

The study was conducted in the cosmopolitan city of Yaoundé, which welcomes people from all ten regions of the country, and involved a total of 109 unrelated patients with SCD. In addition, *RH* genes were investigated in a total of 108 blood donors whose red blood cell units were transfused to 98 patients with SCD.

Exon	SNV	dbSNP ID	AA change	Occurence	Frequency (%)	MAF ¹
2	c.186G>T	rs199509194	p.Leu62Phe	15	6.88	0.06668
_	c.410C>T	rs113982491	p.Ala137Val	14	6.42	0.06600
3	c.455A>C	rs17418085	p.Asn152Thr	14	6.42	0.06097
	c.505A>C	rs17421137	p.Met169Leu	11	5.05	0.00007
	c.509T>G	rs17421144	p.Met170Arg	11	5.05	0.00006
	c.514A>T	rs17421151	p.Ile172Phe	11	5.05	0
	c.541C>T	rs139508538	p.Leu181=	1	0.16	0.00246
	c.544T>A	rs17421158	p.Ser182Thr	11	5.05	0.00004
4	c.577G>A	rs1053352	p.Glu193Lys	11	5.05	0.00013
	c.579G>A	rs77813628	p.Glu193=	3	1.38	0.01392
	c.594A>T	rs569974439	p.Lys198Asn	11	5.05	0.00017
	c.602C>G	rs1053355	p.Thr201Arg	22	10.09	0.04878
	c.609G>A	rs114032679	p.Thr203=	5	2.29	0.04299
	c.611T>A	rs2124674196	p.Ile204Lys	1	0.46	N/R
	c.654G>C	rs141540728	p.Met218Ile	5	2.29	0.04161
	c.667T>G	rs1053356	p.Phe223Val	27	12.39	0.10780
	c.674C>T	rs148014996	p.Ser225Phe	5	2.29	0.04266
-	c.697G>C	rs1053359	p.Glu233Gln	11	5.05	0.01448
Э	c.712G>A	rs1053360	p.Val238Met	11	5.05	0.00034
	c.744C>T	rs1053362	p.Ser248=	12	5.50	0.01092
	c.787G>A	rs3118454	p.Gly263Arg	11	5.05	0
	c.800A>T	rs112907722	p.Lys267Met	11	5.05	0.00004
	c.807T>G	rs141833592	p.Tyr269*	5	2.29	0.04126
	c.819G>A	rs150606530	p.Ala273=	7	3.21	0.03573
6	c.835G>A	rs139704879	p.Val279Met	4	1.83	0.02509
	c.916G>A	rs590813	p.Val306Ile	12	5.50	0.00296
	c.932A>G	rs590787	p.Tyr311Cys	11	5.05	0.00004
	c.941G>T	rs200762372	p.Gly314Val	13	5.96	0.00077
	c.957G>A	rs146292192	p.Val319=	1	0.46	0.00687
	c.968C>A	rs200415166	p.Pro323His	13	5.96	0.00004
	c.974G>T	rs377051051	p.Ser325Ile	13	5.96	N/R
	c.979A>G	rs780001468	p.Ile327Val	13	5.96	0.00004
	c.985_986delinsCA	N/R	p.Gly329His	13	5.96	N/R
	c.989A>C	rs751746562	p.Tyr330Ser	13	5.96	0.00013
7	c.992A>T	rs755177266	p.Asn331Ile	13	5.96	0.00013
	c.998G>A	rs144996388	p.Ser333Asn	2	0.92	0.00068
	c.1006G>T	N/R	p.Gly336Cys	11	5.05	N/R
	c.1025T>C	rs138235491	p.Ile342Thr	14	6.42	0.00736
	c.1048G>C	rs41307826	p.Asp350His	14	6.42	0.01432
	c.1053C>T	rs41300142	p.Thr351=	13	5.96	0.00098
	c.1057_1059delinsTGG	rs200307239/rs1468536305	p.Gly353Trp	13	5.96	0/0.00079
	c.1060_1061delinsAA	rs753613761/rs756982993	p.Ala354Asn	13	5.96	0/0.00077
8	c.1136C>T	rs61740966	p.Thr379Met	52	23.85	0.69788

Table I - Rare RHD SNVs in 109 Cameroonian patients with SCD and frequency

¹Minor allele frequency from the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD v2.1.1; African/African American subpopulation)⁴⁸; N/R: not reported in gnomAD v2.1.1.

Routine serological testing

The reactivity of D (RH1), C (RH2), E (RH3), c (RH4), and e (RH5) antigens was analyzed by agglutination in a gel matrix technique using two types of cards in conditions recommended by the manufacturer. D antigen expression was determined by the Diaclon ABO/D ID-Card (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) containing two monoclonal antibodies: anti-DVI- ([LHM59/20 (LDM3) + 175-2]) and anti-DVI+ ([ESD-1M + 175-2]). C/c and E/e expression was screened using the Diaclon Rh-Subgroups + K ID-Card ([Bio-Rad Laboratories] anti-C: MS-24; anti-c: MS-33; anti-E: MS-260; anti-e: MS-16, MS-21, MS-63).

Genomic DNA extraction and molecular analysis of the *RH* genes

Genomic DNA was extracted from 200 μ L whole blood with the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Les Ulis, France) in the conditions recommended by the manufacturer and eluted in 200 μ L molecular-grade, distilled water.

Molecular investigation was carried out by two complementary approaches. A quantitative multiplex PCR of short fluorescent fragments (OMPSF)-based method with gene-specific sets of primers was performed to identify potential copy number variations, i.e. RHD zygosity, as well as structural variants (SVs) such as hybrid gene conversions, insertions/deletions, duplications, etc.37; while single nucleotide variants (SNVs) in the RH genes were analyzed by Sanger sequencing in exons and flanking intronic regions as described before³⁸⁻⁴⁰. The most probable genotypes/alleles were deduced using reference databases, including RHD and RHCE Blood Group Allele Tables from the Red Cell Immunogenetics and Blood Group Terminology ISBT Working Party^{41,42}, RHCE Table from the New York Blood Center (NYBC) Genomics Laboratory⁴³, the Human RhesusBase⁴⁴, Erythrogene⁴⁵, BloodAntigens.com⁴⁶, and RHeference⁴⁷.

RESULTS

RH gene polymorphism in patients with SCD

RHD QMPSF and Sanger sequencing of all RHD exons were carried out systematically in the 109 patients. Forty-three SNVs were found, of which c.1136C>T, c.667T>G, and c.602C>G were most common, presenting with a frequency above 10% in our subset (**Table I**): this is in line with the data reported in gnomAD v2.1.1 (African/African American subpopulation)⁴⁸. Those 43 SNVs define a total of 18 variant *RHD* alleles (**Table II**). A conventional (reference) *RHD**01 allele was observed in

 Table II - RHD genotype and predicted D phenotype in 109
 Cameroonian patients with SCD

D phenotype	RHD genotype	Occurence	Frequency (%)
	*01/*01	27	24.77
	*01/*10.00	23	21.10
	*01/*01N.01	11	10.09
	*01/*03N.01	5	4.59
	*01/*08N.01	3	2.75
	*01/*09.03.01	3	2.75
	*01/*10.03	3	2.75
D-positive (D+)	*01/*58	2	1.83
	*01/*DIIIa(819G) ²	1	0.92
	*01/*01W.66	1	0.92
	*01/*09.01.02	1	0.92
	*01/*09.03	1	0.92
	*01/*10.00.01	1	0.92
\mathbf{O}	*01/*10.06	1	0.92
X	*01(541T)/*01N.01	1	0.92
	Subtotal	84	77.06
	*10.00/*10.00	6	5.50
	*09.03.01/*01N.01	2	1.83
	*10.00/*01N.01	2	1.83
	*10.00/*03N.01	2	1.83
	*01(186T)/*01N.06	1	0.92
	*04.01 ³ /*03N.01	1	0.92
Verient D1	*10.03/*03N.01	1	0.92
variant D-	*10.06/*08N.01	1	0.92
	*DIIIa(819G)²/*01N.01	1	0.92
	*10.00/*01W.161	1	0.92
	*10.00/*08N.01	1	0.92
	*10.00/*09.03.01	1	0.92
	*10.00/*10.00.01	1	0.92
	*10.00.01/*03.01	1	0.92
	Subtotal	22	20.18
	*01N.01/*01N.01	2	1.83
D-negative (D-)	*01N.01/*03N.01	1	0.92
	Subtotal	3	2.75
Total		109	100.00

¹Variant (weak/partial) D phenotype in agreement with the recommendations of the Red Cell Immunogenetics and Blood Group Terminology ISBT Working Party⁴¹. ²Allele associated with DAK (RH54) expression. ³Allele associated with Go^a (RH30) expression. 83 samples, and one sample was found to carry only the synonymous c.541C>T SNV. Overall, 84/109 samples (77.1%) supposedly expressed a "normal" D-positive phenotype, while three samples carried two *RHD*-negative alleles, in agreement with the D-negative phenotype observed by routine serologic testing (**Table II**). Finally, 22 patients harbored two variant alleles at either the homozygous or compound heterozygous state, and were thus considered to be expressing a variant (i.e. weak and/or partial) D phenotype (**Table II**). Twenty-one SNVs were found in *RHCE* beside the common variants defining the four reference alleles in exons 1, 2, and 5 (**Table III**). By far the most common was c.733C>G, found in as many as 70/218 (32.1%) alleles, while 7 and 13 other SNVs had a frequency of >1% and <1%, respectively (**Table III**). The most prevalent allelic background was *RHCE*01* (**ce*; No.=189, 87.7%), followed by *03 (**cE*; 18, 8.3%), *02 (**Ce*; 10, 4.6%), and the rare *04 (**CE*; 1, 0.4%), and a total of 17 potential variant alleles was assumed (*Online supplementary* **Table SI**).

Exon	SNV	dbSNP ID	AA change	Occurence	Frequency (%)	MAF ¹
Common SN	IVs					
1	c.48G>C	rs586178	p.Trp16Cys	105	48.17	0.54705
	c.150C>T	rs200955066	p.Val50=	11	5.05	0.00016
	c.178C>A	rs181860403	p.Leu60Ile	11	5.05	0.00006
2	c.201A>G	rs1053343	p.Ser67=	11	5.05	0.00016
	c.203A>G	rs1053344	p.Asn68Ser	11	5.05	0.00017
	c.307C>T	rs676785	p.Pro103Ser	11	5.05	0.00008
5	c.676G>C	rs609320	p.Ala226Pro	19	8.72	0.10501
Rare SNVs						
1	c 105C>T	rs142971926	n Asn35=	11	5.05	0.03229
2	c 254C>G	rs57992529	n Ala85Glv	4	1.83	0.05445
-	c 505C>A	rs1020280601	p.r.u050ty	1	0.46	0.00110
	c 509G>T	rs987753117	n Arg170Met	1	0.46	0.00020
	c 514T>A	rs1053349	n Phe172lle	1	0.46	0.00012
4	c.544A>T	rs1053350	n Thr182Ser	1	0.46	0
	c.577A>G	rs1384157219	p.Lvs193Glu	1	0.46	0.00008
	c.594T>A	rs1053354	p.Asn198Lys	1	0.46	0.00012
	c.602G>C	rs141398055	p.Arg201Thr	1	0.46	0.00048
	c.667G>T	rs147357308	p.Val223Phe	7	3.21	0.01282
	c.712A>G	rs144163296	p.Met238Val	2	0.92	0.01092
	c.733C>G	rs1053361	p.Leu245Val	70	32.11	0.23115
5	c.744T>C	rs149352457	p.Ser248=	1	0.46	0.00068
	c.787A>G	rs1132763	p.Arg263Gly	2	0.92	0.00511
	c.800T>A	rs1132764	p.Met267Lys	2	0.92	0.00427
6	c.916A>G	rs1132765	p.Ile306Val	1	0.46	0.00529
	c.941T>C	rs79321360	p.Val314Ala	6	2.75	0.02016
7	c.1006G>T	rs116261244	p.Gly336Cys	13	5.96	0.03980
	c.1025C>T	rs1053374	p.Thr342Ile	2	0.92	0.01981
	c.1170C>T	rs630931	p.Leu390=	5	2.29	N/R
9	c.1193T>A	rs630612	p.Val398Glu	5	2.29	0

|--|

¹Minor allele frequency from the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD v2.1.1; African/African American subpopulation);⁴⁰ N/R: not reported in gnomAD v2.1.1.

Molecular-based vs serologic phenotype and RH antigen expression

On the basis of the genotyping data, RH phenotype was deduced by following the official recommendations of the RCIBGT ISBT Working Party^{41,42}. Concordance between prediction and serologic testing was 97.6% for the five major RH antigens: 100.0% for RH1 (D), RH3 (E), and RH4 (c), while RH2 (C) and RH5 (e) yielded two false-positive and as many as eleven false-negative results, respectively (*Online supplementary* **Table SII**).

In terms of RH antigen expression deduced from genotyping, RH5, RH4, and RH1 were supposed to be the most common (100.0%, 99.1%, and 97.2%, respectively) in the subset of patients, while RH2 and RH3 were less common (18.3% and 17.4%, respectively). In these, partial RH1, RH5, RH4, and RH2 antigens may be expected in 21, 20, 13, and 10 patients, respectively, while no partial RH3 was suspected (*Online Supplementary* **Table SII**).

Potential expression of both LFAs and HFAs could be also predicted. In the 109 patients, 47 (43.1%) and 58 (53.2%) patients are supposed to express the RH10 (V) and RH20 (VS) LFAs, respectively, which are common in sub-Saharan Africans^{49,50}; and RH30 (Go^a), RH32, and RH54 (DAK) LFAs are predicted in one (Po58), one (Po60), and three (Po17, Po60, and P114) patients, respectively (*Online supplementary* **Table SII**). Finally, the RH59 (CEAG) and RH31 (hr^B) HFAs, which are not considered clinically relevant, are thought to be defective in one patient (Po75), and in the range of 4 to 16 patients, respectively (*Online supplementary* **Table SII**).

RH genotype in donors and molecular investigation of donor-patient genotype matching

Of great interest to us was the genomic DNA of our 108 blood donors, whose red blood cells were transfused to a subset of 98 patients with SCD (one-to-four donors per patient), and which was available for molecular analysis. We decided to investigate the *RH* genes in these donors using a similar genotyping strategy (*Online supplementary* **Table SIII**), and did a retrospective study of the risk of RH antigen exposure in our patients subsequent to phenotype prediction in the donors.

Serologic testing yielded two false-negative samples for RH2 testing, and a very significant number of 40 falsenegative samples (37.0%) for RH5, which raises great concern and definitely questions the sensitivity of the current method. Overall, concordance between prediction



Figure 1 - Occurrence of theoretical undesirable exposure to RH antigens in 98 Cameroonian patients with SCD HFA: high-frequency antigen; LFA: low-frequency antigen.

and serologic testing was 92.2% for the five major RH antigens (Online supplementary **Table SIII**). Comparison of predicted phenotype in donors and patients suggested that 33 patients (30.3%) were not at risk of being exposed to undesirable antigen(s), i.e. patients with a partial antigen or antigen-negative patients transfused by antigen-positive donor RBCs, with a potential subsequent risk of alloimmunization. Therefore, 65 patients with SCD were potentially exposed to a total of ten undesirable antigens with varying degrees of clinical significance (Online supplementary **Table SIV**, **Figure 1**). Unfortunately, no data could be obtained about subsequent alloimmunization in these patients.

DISCUSSION

For the first time in Cameroon and more broadly speaking, in sub-Saharan Africa, we provide a comprehensive description of the genetic polymorphism of the RH blood group system in a cohort of patients with SCD. This work contains important epidemiological data for understanding the molecular basis of the RH variant phenotype in the Cameroonian population by documenting the nature and frequency of variant alleles. But it also paves the way for future guidelines in terms of blood typing and supply, selection of reagents for routine diagnostics, and identification of the clinically relevant antigens which might result in alloimmunization, all of which are crucial factors in improving the management and safety of patients, including those suffering from hemoglobinopathies. Although it was obvious from serologic testing that

routine typing was deficient to some extent, as shown in particular by the unsurprisingly high number of individuals presenting with the rare RH:-3,-5 phenotype (Online supplementary Tables SII and SIII), genotyping helped to identify those issues. Two patients typed as RH2-positive actually carried RH alleles that do not encode this antigen (Online supplementary Tables SII). The reason for these false-positive results is thus still unknown, but cross-contamination cannot be ruled out. On the other hand, it is well known that variant RHCE alleles may severely weaken expression of RH antigens at the surface of RBCs, which can give rise to a false-negative reaction in routine typing⁵¹. From genotyping-based phenotype prediction, it would appear that two donors and a remarkable total number of 51 patients and donors are supposed to express RH2 and RH5, respectively, while being typed negative for these antigens. While it cannot be excluded that antigen expression may be severely reduced in some of these individuals due to the variant alleles, it is also worth mentioning that some of the donors yielding a false-negative result carried at least one conventional RHCE allele unambiguously (Online supplementary Table SIII: RHCE*01 and/or *02 in donors typed "RH5-negative" and "RH2-negative", respectively), suggesting that routine typing very likely failed initially in some individuals. Taken together, these observations clearly point to the need for an extensive review of the reagents, techniques, in-house procedures and overall methodologies adopted, in order to identify the stage (or stages) responsible for mistyping, and resolve the problem, especially as regards RH5, which has been singled out as the main challenge in current settings.

As expected, the variants found in the subset of patients with SCD are typically "sub-Saharan Africantype" SNVs. It is interesting to note that the frequency reported in reference databases, such as gnomAD, may diverge very much from the experimental data, more specifically in *RHD* (data not shown). For example, the frequency of the c.1136C>T change in *RHD*, which is the specific marker of the *DAU* allele cluster⁵², is 69.8% in the African/African-American subpopulation in gnomAD v2.1.1, while in our cohort, the variant was carried by only 23.9% (**Table I**; Fisher's exact test: $p<10^{-42}$). Various factors may explain this kind of discrepancy, including the relative homogeneity of the patient cohort originating from a localized region compared to the geographic and ethnic heterogeneity of the gnomAD subpopulation in terms of their African ancestry⁴⁸. Also, variant frequency in gnomAD was compiled on the basis of short-read exome/genome sequencing, which is known to be inappropriate for identifying and assigning SVs correctly⁵³⁻⁵⁵, especially when homologous genes with rearrangements are involved as in RH genetics⁵⁶. The genotyping strategy adopted in the present study allowed the robust identification of SVs in hybrid alleles and precise reporting of all variations with a high resolution at the nucleotide level. Generally speaking, reference databases are excellent sources of information, but the data they contain need to be handled with care, taking into account the context of the study, the gene(s) of interest, the prevalence of complex mutational mechanisms, and other variables.

The nature and frequency of RH variant alleles identified in our study are mostly in line with previous findings in other sub-Saharan populations. As observed in this work, the RHD*10.00, *09.03.01, and *10.03 alleles, as well as RHCE*01.01, *01.20.01, and *01.20.03, are globally the most common in Congo, Mali, and heterogeneous subsets originating from different sub-Saharan African countries^{32,34,35}. However, certain specificities can be noted. The *RHD**09.01.00 (or **weak D type* 4.2.0 or **DAR1*) allele⁵⁷, reported as having a frequency in the range of 3.4-11.8% in heterogeneous non-pygmoid and pygmoid populations³⁴, was not found in the 109 patients with SCD (Fisher's exact test: $p=3.72 \times 10^{-3}$); and the commonly cis-associated RHCE*01.04.01 allele was observed only once in our subset, while in the other study, its frequency ranged from 6.4% to 11.4% (p<10-3). Also, the clinically relevant RHCE*02.10.01 (or *CeRN) allele58 was almost absent here (Online supplementary Table SI: 1/218, 0.46%), while it accounted for 19.6% of the total alleles in 46 Fulani individuals in Mali (p<10-8)35. Although further large-scale studies are required to confirm our data, these observations seem to indicate a trend towards regional/local patterns of RH polymorphism which are highly dependent on the ethnicity of the population of interest.

Beyond the basic reporting of variants and alleles in the cohort, in transfusion therapy, the crucial point to consider is without doubt the expression of RH antigens.

In our subset, 40/109 patients carried conventional RHD alleles only (Table II: *01 and *01N.01). Also, on the basis of experimental and epidemiological data, it has been proposed that patients with *10.00 (and very likely *10.00.01) and *09.03.01 should be considered and treated as "wild-type" D+ individuals^{49,59,60}. Overall, then, in addition to the three D- individuals, only five patients (4.6%) should be transfused with D- RBCs, in order to prevent potential anti-D alloimmunization (Table II: patients carrying the *01(186T), *04.01, *10.03, *10.06, and *DIIIa(819G) alleles). The situation regarding RHCE-encoded antigens is slightly more complex, because 26 patients (23.9%) expressed partial RH2 (9.2%) and/or RH4 (11.9%) and/or RH5 (18.3%), including three (Online supplementary Table SII: P058, P082, and P089) who expressed the three partial antigens, and even one (Po58) carrying also the partial RHD*04.01 allele. Considering the reported frequency for RH4 and RH5 in Black Africans (i.e. $\sim 98\%$)^{61,62}, it seems reasonable to state that finding antigen-negative RBC units for patients alloimmunized to these antigens in the Cameroonian population would represent a real challenge. Finally, the frequency of the other RH LFAs and HFAs in our cohort was in line with that of previous reports^{61,62}. In the subset of donors, who were selected on the basis of routine serologic testing, beside the discrepancies discussed above and in addition to RH10 and RH20, only RH49 (STEM) and RH54 LFAs were suspected and transfused to a limited number of antigen-negative patients (Figure 1: two and three patients, respectively). Most importantly, our data showed that a significant number of patients carried partial antigens, which suggests that attention should be paid above all to these antigens. Ultimately, there is a pressing need to investigate the alloimmunization rate in future, larger-scale retrospective studies, aimed in particular at investigating how conventional D+ patients may form anti-D when transfused with RBCs from donors carrying RHD variant alleles¹⁷.

CONCLUSIONS

To sum up, current practice for preventing alloimmunization after blood transfusion in patients with SCD is limited to ABO/D matching in Cameroon, and clear guidelines to avoid this risk for these patients have not yet been adopted. Despite the difficulty in collecting RBC units and the fact that much of the blood transfusion in this population is just beginning, this study suggests that it may be worth extending routine typing to RH C/c and E/e antigens in a standard setting. Moreover, it would be highly desirable to carry out systematic immunological surveillance by looking for irregular antibodies, and investigate the immunogenicity of specific variants in these patients in future studies in the Cameroonian context⁶³. Lastly, implementation of genotyping approaches dedicated to screening the most prevalent variants found in Africans would be invaluable for preventing alloimmunization, not only in sub-Saharan Africa, but also in countries with large communities originating from Africa.

FUNDING AND RESOURCES

This work was supported financially by the Catholic University of Central Africa (UCAC-ESS), the Centre Pasteur of Cameroon (CPC), the Etablissement Français du Sang (EFS) - Bretagne, and the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (Inserm).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Authors thank the patients, blood donors and staff of the Centre Mère et Enfant de la Fondation Chantal Biya, the Pavillon Hémato-oncologie and the Blood Bank of the Central Hospital of Yaoundé.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTIONS

JMMM, FNS, ANN and YF designed the study. JMMM, EW, HNP, SNA and JT collected the samples and performed serological testing. CB carried out the molecular analysis. JMMM, CB, FNS and YF analyzed the results and interpreted the data. JMMM and YF wrote the paper. CB, FNS, EW, HNP, SNA, JT and ANN reviewed and approved the paper.

The Authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Rees DC, Williams TN, Gladwin MT. Sickle-cell disease. Lancet 2010; 376: 2018-2031. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61029-X.
- Piel FB, Steinberg MH, Rees DC. Sickle cell disease. N Engl J Med 2017; 376: 1561-1573. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1510865.
- Esoh K, Wonkam A. Evolutionary history of sickle-cell mutation: implications for global genetic medicine. Hum Mol Genet 2021; 30: R119-R128. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddab004.
- Herrick JB. Peculiar elongated and sickle-shaped red blood corpuscles in a case of severe anemia. Arch Intern Med 1910; 6: 517-521. doi: 10.1001/ archinte.1910.00050330050003.

- Han H, Hensch L, Tubman VN. Indications for transfusion in the management of sickle cell disease. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2021; 2121: 696-703. doi: 10.11182/hematology.2021000307.
- Linder GE, Chou ST. Red cell transfusion and alloimmunization in sickle cell disease. Haematologica 2021; 106: 1805-1815. doi: 10.3324/ haematol.2020.270546.
- Yazdanbakhsh K, Ware RE, Noizat-Pirenne F. Red blood cell alloimmunization in sickle cell disease: pathophysiology, risk factors, and transfusion management. Blood 2012; 120: 528-537. doi: 10.1182/ blood-2011-11-327361.
- Matteocci, Pierelli L. Red blood cell alloimmunization in sickle cell disease and in thalassemia: current status, future perspectives and potential role of molecular typing. Vox Sang 2014; 106: 197-208. doi: 10.1111/vox.12086.
- Sins JWR, Biemond BJ, van den Bersselaar SM, Heijboer H, Rijneveld AW, Cnossen MH, et al. Early occurrence of red blood cell alloimmunization in patients with sickle cell disease. Am J Hematol 2014; 91: 763-769. doi: 10.1002/ajh.24397.
- 10. Gehrie EA, Ness PM, Bloch EM, Kacker S, Tobian AAR. Medical and economic implications of strategies to prevent alloimmunization in sickle cell disease. Transfusion 2017; 57: 2267-2276. doi: 10.1111/trf.14212.
- Hudson KE, Fasano RM, Karafin MS, Hendrickson JE, Francis RO. Mechanisms of alloimmunization in sickle cell disease. Curr Opin Hematol 2019; 26: 434-441. doi: 10.1097/MOH.00000000000540.
- 12. LaSalle-Williams M, Nuss R, Le T, Cole L, Hassell K, Murphy JR, et al. Extended red blood cell antigen matching for transfusions in sickle cell disease: a review of a 14-year experience from a single center. Transfusion 2011; 51: 1732-1739. doi: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2010.03045.x.
- Chou ST, Liem RI, Thompson AA. Challenges of alloimmunization in patients with haemoglobinopathies. Br J Haematol 2012; 159: 394-404. doi: 10.1111/bjh.12061.
- Chou ST, Jackson T, Vege S, Smith-Whitley K, Friedman DF, Westhoff CM. High prevalence of red blood cell alloimmunization in sickle cell disease despite transfusion from Rh-matched minority donors. Blood 2013; 122: 1062-1071. doi: 10.1182/blood-2013-03-490623.
- Silvy M, Tournamille C, Babinet J, Pakdaman S, Cohen S, Chiaroni J, et al. Red blood cell immunization in sickle cell disease: evidence of a large responder group and a low rate of anti-Rh linked to partial Rh phenotype. Haematologica 2014; 99: e115-e117. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2014.104703.
- Boateng LA, Schonewille H, Ligthart PC, Javadi A, Veldhuisen B, Osei-Akoto A, et al. One third of alloantibodies in patients with sickle cell disease transfused with African blood are missed by the standard red blood cell test panel. Haematologica 2021; 106: 2274-2276. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2021.278451.
- Takasaki K, Friedman DF, Uter S, Vege S, Westhoff CM, Chou ST. Variant RHD alleles and Rh immunization in patients with sickle cell disease. Br J Haematol 2023; 201: 1220-1228. doi: 10.1111/bjh.18774.
- Noizat-Pirenne F, Tournamille C. Relevance of RH variants in transfusion with sickle cell disease. Transfus Clin Biol 2011; 18: 527-535. doi: 10.1016/j. tracli.2011.09.001.
- Reid ME, Halter Hipsky C, Hue-Roye K, Hoppe C. Genomic analyses of RH alleles to improve transfusion therapy in patients with sickle cell disease. Blood Cells Mol Dis 2014; 52: 195-202. doi: 10.1016/j.bcmd.2013.11.003.
- 20. Sippert E, Fujita CR, Machado D, Guelsin G, Gaspardi AC, Pellegrino Jr J, et al. Variant *RH* alleles and Rh immunisation in patients with sickle cell disease. Blood Transfus 2015; 13: 72-77. doi: 10.2450/2014.0324-13.
- Gaspardi AC, Sippert EA, de Macedo MD, Pellegrino Jr J, Costa FF, Castilho L. Clinically relevant *RHD-CE* genotypes in patients with sickle cell disease and in African Brazilian donors. Blood Transfus 2016; 14: 449-454. doi: 10.2450/2016.0275-15.
- Chou ST, Westhoff CM. Application of genomics for transfusion therapy in sickle cell anemia. Blood Cells Mol Dis 2017; 67: 148-154. doi: 10.1016/j. bcmd.2017.08.006.
- 23. Chou ST, Evans P, Vege S, Coleman DF, Friedman DF, Keller M, et al. *RH* genotype matching for transfusion support in sickle cell disease. Blood 2018; 132: 1198-1207. doi: 10.1182/blood-2018-05-851360.
- 24. Floch A, Tournamille C, Chami B, Pirenne F. Genotyping in sickle cell disease patients: the French strategy. Transfus Med Hemother 2018; 45: 264-270. doi: 10.1159/000490858.

- Dinardo CL, Kelly S, Dezan MR, Ribeiro IH, Castilho SL, Schimidt LC, et al. Diversity of *RH* and transfusion support in Brazilian sickle cell disease patients with unexplained Rh antibodies. Transfusion 2019; 59: 3228-3235. doi: 10.1111/trf.15479.
- Avent ND, Ridgwell K, Tanner MJA, Anstee DJ. cDNA cloning of a 30 kDa erythrocyte membrane protein associated with Rh (Rhesus)-bloodgroup-antigen expression. Biochem J 1990; 271: 821-825. doi: 10.1042/ bj2710821.
- Chérif-Zahar B, Bloy C, Le Van Kim C, Blanchard D, Bailly P, Hermand P, et al. Molecular cloning and protein structure of a human blood group Rh polypeptide. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1990; 87: 6243-6247. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.87.16.6243.
- Colin Y, Chérif-Zahar B, Le Van Kim C, Raynal V, Van Huffel V, Cartron JP. Genetic basis of the RhD-positive and RhD-negative blood group polymorphism as determined by Southern analysis. Blood 1991; 78: 2747-2752. doi: 10.1182/blood.V78.10.2747.2747.
- Le Van Kim C, Mouro I, Chérif-Zahar B, Raynal V, Cherrier C, Cartron JP, et al. Molecular cloning and primary structure of the human blood group RhD polypeptide. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1992; 89: 10925-10999. doi: 10.1073/pnas.89.22.10925.
- Singleton BK, Green CA, Avent ND, Martin PG, Smart E, Daka A, et al. The presence of an *RHD* pseudogene containing a 37 base pair duplication and a nonsense mutation in Africans with the Rh D-negative blood group phenotype. Blood 2000; 95: 12-18. doi: 10.11182/blood.V95.1.12.
- Wagner FF, Moulds JM, Tounkara A, Kouriba B, Flegel WA. RHD allele distribution in Africans of Mali. BMC Genet 2003; 4:14. doi: 10.1186/1471-2156-4-14.
- Touinssi M, Chapel-Fernandes S, Granier T, Bokilo A, Bailly P, Chiaroni J. Molecular analysis of inactive and active *RHD* alleles in native Congolese cohorts. Transfusion 2009; 49: 1353-1360. doi: 10.1111/j.1537-2995-2009.02161.x.
- Pham BN, Peyrard T, Juszczak G, Beolet M, Deram G, Martin-Blanc S, et al. Analysis of RhCE variants among 806 individuals in France: considerations for transfusion safety, with emphasis on patients with sickle cell disease. Transfusion 2011; 51: 1249-1260. doi: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2010.02970.x.
- Granier T, Beley S, Chiaroni J, Bailly P, Silvy M. A comprehensive survey of both *RHD* and *RHCE* allele frequencies in sub-Saharan Africa. Transfusion 2013; 53: 3009-3017. doi: 10.1111/trf.1313109.
- Ba A, Beley S, Chiaroni J, Bailly P, Silvy M. RH diversity in Mali: characterization of a new haplotype RHD*DIVa/RHCE*ceTI(D2). Transfusion 2015; 55(Suppl 2): 1423-1431. doi: 10.1111/trf.12409.
- Ngo Sack F, Njangtang DM, Chemegni BC, de Paul Djientcheu V. Prevalence of sickle cell disease in newborns in the Yaounde Central Hospital. J Med Res 2017; 3: 277-279. doi: 10.31254/jmr.2017.3607.
- Fichou Y, Le Maréchal C, Bryckaert L, Dupont I, Jamet D, Chen JM, et al. A convenient qualitative and quantitative method to investigate *RHD-RHCE* hybrid genes. Transfusion 2013; 53(Suppl 2): 2974-2982. doi: 10.1111/trf.12179.
- Fichou Y, Le Maréchal C, Jamet D, Bryckaert L, Ka C, Audrézet MP, et al. Establishment of a medium-throughput approach for the genotyping of *RHD* variants and report of nine novel rare alleles. Transfusion 2013; 53: 1821-1828. doi: 10.1111/trf.12009.
- Fichou Y, Le Maréchal C, Férec C. The RHD*weak D type 4.0 allele is predominantly but not exclusively cis-associated with the altered RHCE*ce(c.48C, c.105T, c.733G, c.744C, c.1025T) allele in the French population. Transfus Med 2014; 24: 120-122. doi: 10.1111/tme.12100.
- Fichou Y, Le Maréchal C, Scotet V, Jamet D, Férec C. Insights into *RHCE* molecular analysis in samples with partial *D* variants: the experience of Western France. Transfus Med Hemother 2015; 42: 372-377. doi: 10.1159/000382086.
- RHD Blood Group Allele Table from the Red Cell Immunogenetics and Blood Group Terminology ISBT Working Party. Available from: www. isbt.org/isbt-working-parties/rcibgt/blood-group-allele-tables.html/. Accessed on 31/07/2023.
- RHCE Blood Group Allele Tables from the Red Cell Immunogenetics and Blood Group Terminology ISBT Working Party. Available from: www. isbt.org/isbt-working-parties/rcibgt/blood -group-allele-tables.html/. Accessed on 31/03/2022.

- RHCE Table from the New York Blood Center (NYBC) Genomics Laboratory. Available from: www.bloodgroupgenomics.org/rhce/rhcetable/. Accessed on 31/07/2023.
- 44. Wagner FF, Flegel WA. The Rhesus site. Transfus Med Hemother 2014; 41: 357-363. doi: 10.1159/000366176.
- Möller M, Jöud M, Storry JR, Olsson ML. Erythrogene: a database for in-depth analysis of the extensive variation in 36 blood group systems in the 1000 Genomes Project. Blood Adv 2016; 1: 240-249. doi: 10.1182/ bloodadvances.2016001867.
- Lane WJ, Westhoff CM, Gleadall NS, Aguad M, Smeland-Wagman R, Vege S, et al. Automated typing of red blood cell and platelet antigens: a whole-genome sequencing study. Lancet Haematol 2018; 5: e241-e251. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3026(18)30053-X.
- Floch A, Téletchéa S, Tournamille C, de Brevern AG, Pirenne F. A review of the literature organized into a new database : RHeference. Transfus Med Rev 2021; 35: 70-77. doi: 10.1016/j.tmrv.2021.04.002.
- Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alföldi J, Collins RL, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature 2020; 581: 434-443. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2308-7.
- Faas BHW, Beckers EA, Wildoer P, Ligthart PC, Overbeeke MA, Zondervan HA, et al. Molecular background of VS and weak C expression in blacks. Transfusion 1997; 37: 38-44. doi: 10.1046/j.1537-2995.1997.37197176949.x.
- Daniels GL, Faas BHW, Green CA, Smart E, Maaskant-van Wijk PA, Avent ND, et al. The VS and V blood group polymorphisms in Africans: a serologic and molecular analysis. Transfusion 1998; 38: 951-958. doi: 10.1046/j.1537-2995.1998.381098440860.x.
- 51. Westhoff CM. Blood group genotyping. Blood 2019; 133: 1814-1820. doi: blood-2018-11-833954.
- Wagner FF, Ladewig B, Angert KS, Heymann GA, Eicher NI, Flegel WA. The DAU allele cluster of the RHD gene. Blood 2002; 100: 306-311. doi: 10.1182/blood-2002-01-0320.
- 53. Onishi-Seebacher M, Korbel JO. Challenges in studying structural vairant formation mechanisms: the short-read dilemma and beyond. Bioessays 2011; 33: 840-850. doi: 10.1002/bies.201100075.
- Mahmoud M, Gobet N, Cruz-Dávalos DI, Mounier N, Dessimoz C, Sedlazeck FJ. Strcutural variant calling: the long and the short of it. Genome Biol 2019; 20: 246. doi: s13059-019-1828-7.
- Hook PW, Timp W. Beyond assembly: the increasing flexibility of singlemolecule sequencing technology. Nat Rev Genet 2023 May 9;1-15. doi: 10.1038/s41576-023-00600-1.
- Fichou Y, Audrézet MP, Guéguen P, Le Maréchal C, Férec C. Nextgeneration sequencing is a credible strategy for blood group genotyping. Br J Haematol 2014; 167: 554-562. doi: 10.1111/bjh.13084.
- Hemker MB, Ligthart PC, Berger L, van Rhenen DJ, van der Schoot CE, Maaskant-van Wijk. DAR, a new RhD variant involving exons 4, 5, and 7, often in linkage with ceAR, a new Rhce variant frequently found in African Blacks. Blood 1999; 94: 4337-4342. doi: 10.1182/blood.V94.12.4337.
- Rouillac C, Gane P, Cartron J, Le Pennec PY, Cartron JP, Colin Y. Molecular basis of the altered antigenic expression of RhD in weak D (D^u) and RhC/e in R^N phenotypes. Blood 1996; 87: 4853-4861. doi: 10.1182/blood. V87.11.4853.bloodjournal87114853.
- 59. Flegel WA, Peyrard T, Chiaroni J, Tournamille C, Jamet D, Pirenne F. A proposal for a rational transfusion strategy in patients of European and North African descent with weak D type 4.0 and 4.1 phenotypes. Blood Transfus 2019; 17: 89-90. doi: 10.2450/2018.0059-18.
- Westhoff CM, Nance S, Lomas-Francis C, Keller M, Chou ST. Experience with *RHD*weak D type 4.0* in the USA. Blood Transfus 2019; 17: 91-93. doi: 10.2450/2018.0114-18.
- Reid ME, Lomas-Francis C, Olsson ML. Rh blood group system. In: Reid ME, Lomas-Francis C, Olsson ML, editors. The Blood Group Antigen FactsBook. 3rd edition. Academic Press; 2012. p.147-262.
- 62. Daniels G. Rh and RHAG Blood Group Systems. In: Daniels G, editor. *Human Blood Groups*. 3rd ed. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2013. p.182-258.
- Pirenne F, Floch A, Diop S. Alloimmunisation agaist red blood cells in sickle cell disease: transfusion challenges in high-income and lowincome countries. Lancet Haematol 2023; 10:e468-e476. doi: 10.1016/ S2352-3026(23)00066-2.

ONLINE SUPPLEMENTARY CONTENT

RHCE allele	Associated RH phenotype ¹	Occurren		nce (%)²	
		Min		Мах	
Common alleles					
*01	RH:4,5	42	(19.27)	55	(25.23)
*02	RH:2,5	9	(4.13)	9	(4.13)
*03	RH:3,4	18	(8.26)	18	(8.26)
*04	RH:2,3	1	(0.46)	1	(0.46)
Variant alleles					
*01.01	RH:4,5w	37	(16.97)	49	(22.48)
*01.20.01	RH:4p,5p,10,20,31w ³	32	(14.68)	44	(20.18)
*01.20.03	RH:4p,5p,-10,20,-31	10	(4.59)	11	(5.05)
*01(48C,105T)	RH:4,5w ⁴	8	(3.67)	9	(4.13)
*01.20.09	RH:4,5w,10,20,31w	6	(2.75)	6	(2.75)
*01.16	RH:4,5w	5	(2.29)	5	(2.29)
*01.20.02.01	RH:4p,5p,10,20,-31	4	(1.83)	15	(6.88)
*01.07.01	RH:4p,5pw,-19,-31,-61	4	(1.83)	8	(3.67)
*01.06.01	RH:4,5pw,-31,-59	4	(1.83)	4	(1.83)
*01.20.05	RH:4,5p,-10,20,-31	2	(0.92)	3	(1.38)
*01.20.02.02	RH:4p,5p,10,20,-31	1	(0.46)	2	(0.92)
*01.02.01	RH:4pw,5pw	1	(0.46)	1	(0.46)
*01.04.01	RH:4p,5pw,10w,-18,-19,-20	1	(0.46)	1	(0.46)
*01.05.01	RH:4p,5pw,-18,-19	1	(0.46)	1	(0.46)
*01.20.04.02	RH:4,5p,10,20,-31 ⁵	1	(0.46)	1	(0.46)
*02.10.01	RH:2pw,5pw,32,-46,54	1	(0.46)	1	(0.46)
*01.07.02	RH:4p,5pw,-19,-31,-61	0	(0.00)	4	(1.83)

Table SI - RHCE alleles and frequency in 109 Cameroonian patients with SCD

¹RH phenotype in agreement with the recommendations of the Red Cell Immunogenetics and Blood Group Terminology ISBT Working Party (URL: isbtweb.org/resource/004rhce.html; updated on March 31st, 2022); by convention, "p" and "w" indicate partial and weak antigens, respectively.

²188 *RHCE* alleles were resolved in 94 samples, while genotype could not be determined unambiguously in 15 samples (i.e. four possible alleles/sample defining two different possible combinations).

³Very weak to negative RH31 (hr^B) antigen expression.

⁴Likely same phenotype as *01.01.

⁵Probable RH31-negative (RH:-31 or hr^B-).

Patient	RHD genotype		RHCE ge	notype ¹	RH phenotype		
ID	Allele 1	Allele 2	Allele 1	Allele 2	Molecular ^{2,3}	Serology⁴	
P001	*03N.01	*01N.01	*01.06.01	*01.20.03	-1,2p,- 3,4,5p,-10,20,-31	-1,2,-3,4,5	
P002	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P003	*10.03	*03N.01	*01.01	*01.20.03	1p,2p,-3,4,5,-10,20	1,2,-3,4,5	
P004	*01W.161	*10.00	*01.01	*02	1,2,-3,4,5	1,2,-3,4,5	
P005	*01	*10.03	*01	*01.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P006	*01	*01N.01	*01.01	*02	1,2,-3,4,5	1,2,-3,4,5	
P007	*10.00	*01N.01	*01.07.(01 or 02)	*01.20.(01 or 02.01)	1p,-2,- 3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
P008	*01	*10.00	*01.07.(01 or 02)	*01.20.(01 or 02.01)	1,-2,- 3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
P009	*01	*10.00	*01(48C,105T)	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
P010	*01	*03N.01	*01	*01.20.05	1,2p,-3,4,5,-10,20	1, 2, -3, 4, 5	
P011	*01	*01	*01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
P012	*10.00	*10.00	*01.01	*01.07.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P013	*01	*09.03.01	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P014	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*02	1,2,-3,4,5	1,2,-3,4,5	
P015	*10.00	*10.00	*01.01	*03	1p,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
P016	*01	*10.00	*01	*01.07.02	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P017	*03.01	*10.00.01	*01.01	*01.20.03	1p,-2,-3,4,5,- 10,20,54	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
P018	*10.00	*10.00	*01	*01	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P019	*10.00	*01N.01	*01 or *01(48C,105T)	*01.20.(02.02 or 01)	1p,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P020	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4, -5	
P021	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P022	*01	*10.00.01	*01.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
P023	*10.00	*08N.01	*01(48C,105T)	*01.16	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P024	*10.00	*10.00	*01.01	*01.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P025	*01	*01	*01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
P026	*01	*08N.01	*01.16	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P030	*01	*08N.01	*01.01	*01.16	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P031	*01	*08N.01	*01.16	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P032	*01	*01	*01.01	*01.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P033	*01	*01N.01	*01.20.01	*01.20.01	1,-2,- 3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P034	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P035	*01	*10.00	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P036	*01	*10.00	*01	*01.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P037	*01	*10.00	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
P038	*01	*10.00	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
P039	*01	*10.00	*01.05.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5p	1,-2,3,4, -5	

 Table SII - RH genotype, predicted and experimental phenotype in 109 Cameroonian patients with SCD

Patient	RHD ge	notype	RHCE genotype ¹		RH phenotype		
ID	Allele 1	Allele 2	Allele 1	Allele 2	Allele 1	Allele 2	
P040	*01(186T)	*01N.06	*01.20.05	*04	1p,2,3,4,5p,-10,20	1,2,3,4, -5	
P041	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4, -5	
P042	*01	*09.03	*01.20.02.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5p,10,20,-31	1,-2,3,4, -5	
P043	*01	*01	*01	*01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P044	*01	*01	*01.20.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,3,4, -5	
P045	*01	*10.00	*01	*01(48C,105T)	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P046	*01	*01	*01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
P047	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*02	1,2,-3,4,5	1,2,-3,4,5	
P048	*10.00	*10.00	*01.01	*01.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P049	*01	*09.01.02	*01.04.01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20	1 ,2 ,-3,4,5	
P050	*01	*01N.01	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P051	*01	*01W.66	*01.20.04.02	*02	1,2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,2,-3,4,5	
P052	*01	*10.03	*01	*01.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P053	*10.00	*10.00	*01.07.01	*01.20.09	1p,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P054	*01	*01	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P055	*01	*58	*01.01	*01.20.09	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P056	*10.00	*03N.01	*01.01	*01.20.03	1p,2p,-3,4,5,-10,20	1,2,-3,4,5	
P057	*01	*01	*01.20.01	*01.20.09	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P058	*04.01	*03N.01	*01.02.01	*01.20.03	1p,2p,- 3,4p,5p,-10,20,30	1,2,-3,4,5	
P059	*01	*01N.01	*01.20.01	*01.20.01	1,-2,- 3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P060	*01	*01	*02	*02.10.01	1,2,-3,-4,5,32,54	1,2,-3,-4,5	
P061	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P062	*01	*10.00	*01	*01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1 ,2 ,-3,4,5	
P063	*01N.01	*01N.01	*01	*01(48C,105T)	-1,-2,-3,4,5	-1,-2,-3,4,5	
P064	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
P065	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P066	*01	*01N.01	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(03 or 05)	1,-2,-3,4,5,-10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P067	*10.00	*09.03.01	*01 or *01.01	*01.07.(01 or 02)	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P068	*01	*03N.01	*01	*01.20.03	1,2p,-3,4,5,-10,20	1,2,-3,4,5	
P069	*01	*58	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P070	*01	*01	*01	*01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P071	*09.03.01	*01N.01	*01.01	*01.20.02.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P072	*01	*09.03.01	*01	*01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P073	*01	*10.00	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P074	*01	*01	*01.20.09	*03	1,-2,3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,3,4,5	
P075	*01N.01	*01N.01	*01.06.01	*01.06.01	-1,-2,-3,4,5p,-31,-59	-1,-2,-3,4,5	
P076	*01	*10.00	*01	*01(48C,105T)	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P077	*01	*01N.01	*01.01	*01.06.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	

Table SII - RH genotype	, predicted and experimenta	el phenotype in 109 Camer	roonian patients with SC	CD (follows from previous page)
-------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------------

Patient	RHD genotype		RHCE ge	notype ¹	RH phenotype		
ID	Allele 1	Allele 2	Allele 1	Allele 2	Allele 1	Allele 2	
P078	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
P079	*01	*03N.01	*01	*01.20.03	1,2p,-3,4,5,-10,20	1,2,-3,4,5	
P080	*01	*01	*01.20.01	*01.20.02.02	1,-2,- 3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P081	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P082	*01	*03N.01	*01.20.03	*01.20.03	1,2p,- 3,4p,5p,-10,20,-31	1,2,-3,4,5	
P083	*01	*01N.01	*01	*01(48C,105T)	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P084	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P085	*01	*01	*01	*02	1,2,-3,4,5	1,2,-3,4,5	
P087	*09.03.01	*01N.01	*01.20.01	*01.20.02.01	1p,-2,- 3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P088	*01	*01N.01	*01.01	*02	1,2,-3,4,5	1,2,-3,4,5	
P089	*01	*03N.01	*01.20.01	*01.20.03	1,2p,- 3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,2,-3,4,5	
P090	*10.06	*08N.01	*01.16	*01.20.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P091	*01	*01	*01	*01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P092	*01	*10.00	*01.20.01	*01.20.09	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P093	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P094	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*01.20.09	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P096	*01	*01N.01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P097	*01	*01N.01	*01	*01(48C,105T)	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P098	*01(541T)	*01N.01	*01	*01.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P099	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*01.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P100	*01	*10.00	*01	*01.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P101	*01	*10.03	*01.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
P102	*01	*01	*01.20.01	*01.20.01	1,-2,- 3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P103	*01	*10.06	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P104	*01	*01	*01.01	*02	1,2,-3,4,5	1,2,-3,4,5	
P105	*01	*09.03.01	*01.20.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,3,4,5	
P106	*01	*01N.01	*01.20.01	*01.20.01	1,-2,- 3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P107	*01	*10.00	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P108	*01	*01	*01 or *01.01	*01.07.(01 or 02)	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P109	*01	*DIIIa(819G)	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P110	*01	*01	*01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
P111	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
P112	*10.00	*03N.01	*01.07.01	*01(48C,105T)	1p,2p,-3,4,5	1,2,-3,4,5	
P113	*10.00	*10.00.01	*01.01	*03	1p,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
P114	*DIIIa(819G)	*01N.01	*01.20.01	*01.20.02.01	1p,-2,- 3,4p,5p,10,20,±31,54	1,-2,-3,4,5	

Table SII - RH genotype, predicted and experimental phenotype in 109 Cameroonian patients with SCD (follows from previous page)

¹Several *RHCE* alleles defining two different combinations are possible. For example, in P007, allele 1 *01.07.(01 or 02) and allele 2 *01.20.(01 or 02.01) indicate that the sample carries either the *01.07.01/*01.20.01 or the *01.07.02/*01.20.02.01 that could not be discriminated by the genotyping strategy. ²RH phenotype predicted from molecular typing and based on the recommendations of the RCl&BGT ISBT Working Party (URL: isbtweb.org/resource/004rhce.html; updated on March 31st, 2022); by convention. Prediction of partial phenotype only (not weak), which is clinically relevant, is indicated by "p". ³Unresolved antigen expression (presence or absence) is indicated by "±". ⁴Discrepancy between molecular-based phenotype and serologic typing is indicated in red.

Donor ID ¹	<i>RHD</i> ge	notype	RHCE ge	notype ²	RH phenotype		
	Allele 1	Allele 2	Allele 1	Allele 2	Molecular ^{3,4}	Serology⁵	
D1-P002	*01	*10.00	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D2-P003	*01	*01	*01	*02	1,2,-3,4,5	1,2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P005	*10.00	*01N.01	*01	*01.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P006	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P007	*10.03	*03N.01	*01.20.05	*02	1p,2,-3,4,5,-10,20	1,2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P008	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D2-P008	*01	*01	*01(48C,105T)	*01.04.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,-20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D3-P008	*01	*10.00	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P009	*01	*01	*01.01	*01.06.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P010	*01	*01	*01.(04.01 or 01)	*02 or *02.30	1,2,-3,4(p),5,10,±20	1,2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P011	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P012	*01	*01	*01.05.(01 or 02) or *01.01	*01.20.(01 or 02.01) or *01.04.04	1,-2,-3,4(p),5(p),10,±20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P013	*01	*01	*01.(04.01 or 01)	*02 or *02.30	1,2,-3,4(p),5,10,±20	1, -2 ,-3,4, -5	
D1-P014	*01	*03N.01	*01.20.03	*02	1,2,-3,4p,5,-10,20	1,2,-3,4,5	
D2-P014	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P015	*01	*01N.01	*01 or *01(48C,105T)	*01.20.(02.02 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P016	*01	*10.00	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D2-P016	*01	*01	*01.20.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,3,4,5	
D1-P017	*01	*09.03.01	*01	*01.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P018	*01	*01	*01	*01.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P019	*03.01	*01N.01	*01.06.01	*01.20.03	1p,-2,-3,4,5p,-10,20,54	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D2-P019	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*01.20.02.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P020	*01	*08N.01	*01.01	*01.20.09	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P021	*01	*01	*01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4, -5	
D1-P022	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P023	*01	*09.03.01	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P024	*01	*01	*01	*02	1,2,-3,4,5	1,2,-3,4, -5	
D2-P024	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D3-P024	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*01.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D4-P024	*01	*01	*01.20.01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P025	*01(602G,667G,697,7 33C,744T,1136T)	*08N.01	*01.08	*01.16	1(p),-2,-3,4,5,49	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P026	*01	*10.00	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P030	*01	*01	*01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4, -5	
D1-P031	*01	*01	*01	*01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P032	*10.00	*01N.01	*01.06.01	*01.07.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5p,-31	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P033	*58	*03N.01	*01.20.05	*02.30	1p,2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P034	*01	*01	*01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4, -5	
D1-P035	*01	*58	*01.01	*01.20.09	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5	
D1-P036	*01	*01	*01.20.01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4, -5	

Table SIII - RH genotype	, predicted and	l experimental	phenotype in 108	Cameroonian blood donor	rs
--------------------------	-----------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------------	----

Donor ID ¹	RHD ge	notype	RHCE ge	enotype ²	RH phenotype	
	Allele 1	Allele 2	Allele 1	Allele 2	Molecular ^{3,4}	Serology ⁵
D1-P038	*01	*10.00	*01.07.(01 or 02)	*01.20.(01 or 02.01)	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4, -5
D1-P039	*58	*01N.01	*01.20.01	*01.20.09	1p,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5
D1-P041	*01	*12.02	*01.01	*01.08	1,-2,-3,4,5,49,54	1,-2,-3,4, -5
D1-P043	*03.01	*10.00	*01.20.03	*02	1p,2,-3,4p,5,-10,20,54	1, -2 ,-3,4, -5
D1-P044	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*02	1,2,-3,4,5	1, 2,-3,4, -5
D1-P045	*01	*10.00	*01.05.02	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20	1,-2,-3,4, -5
D1-P046	*01	*10.00	*01(48C,105T)	*02	1,2,-3,4,5	1,2,-3,4, -5
D2-P046	*01	*01	*01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5
D1-P047	*01	*01(rs756771686)	*01	*01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4, -5
D1-P048	*01	*09.03.01	*01.20.01	*01.20.02.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P049	*01	*01	*01 or *01.01	*01.04.(01 or 04)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,-20	1,-2,-3,4,5
D2-P049	*01	*01N.01	*01(48C,105T)	*02	1,2,-3,4,5	1,2,-3,4,5
D1-P050	*01	*01	*02	*03	1,2,3,4,5	1,2,3,4,5
D1-P051	*01	*10.00	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P052	*01	*01	*01	*01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P054	*10.00	*10.00	*01.01	*01.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P055	*01	*01	*02	*03	1,2,3,4,5	1,2,3,4,5
D1-P056	*10.00	*10.03	*01.01	*01.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P057	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P058	*01	*09.03	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	*03 or *03.18	1,-2,3,4,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,3,4,5
D1-P059	*10.00	*01N.01	*01.01	*01.06.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P061	*10.00	*10.00	*01.01	*01(48C,105T)	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P062	*01	*01N.01	*01	*01(48C,105T)	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P063	*01N.01	*01N.01	*01	*01	-1,-2,-3,4,5	-1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P064	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P065	*01	*01	*01	*02	1,2,-3,4,5	1,2,-3,4,5
D1-P066	*01	*10.03	*01	*01.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P067	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P068	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P069	*01	*01	*01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5
D1-P070	*01	*01N.01	*01.20.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5,10,20,-31	1,-2,3,4, -5
D1-P071	*01	*01N.01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P072	*01	*01N.01	*01.06.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5p,-31	1,-2,3,4,5
D1-P073	*01	*01N.01	*01	*01.06.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P074	*01	*08N.01	*01.20.02.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5p,10,20,-31	1,-2,3,4,5
D1-P076	*09.01.03	*10.03	*01.01	*01.05.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P077	*01	*01	*01.20.01	*02	1,2,-3,4p,5,10,20	1,2,-3,4,5
D1-P078	*01	*10.00	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5
D1-P079	*01	*01	*01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5

 Table SIII - RH genotype, predicted and experimental phenotype in 108 Cameroonian blood donors (follows from previous page)

Donor ID ¹	RHD ge	notype	RHCE ge	enotype ²	RH phenotype		
	Allele 1	Allele 2	Allele 1 Allele 2		Molecular ^{3,4}	Serology ⁵	
D1-P080	*01	*10.00	*01	*01.01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P081	*01	*01	*01.20.01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4, <mark>-5</mark>	
D1-P082	*10.00	*01N.01	*01(48C,105T)	*01.06.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P083	*01	*09.03.01	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P084	*01	*09.03.01	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P085	*09.01.03	*10.00	*01.05.01	*01.07.01	1p,-2,-3,4p,5p,-19	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P087	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P089	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
D1-P090	*01	*01	*01	*01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P091	*10.00	*01N.01	*01.01	*01.06.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P092	*10.03	*01N.01	*01.01	*01.06.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P093	*10.00	*10.00	*01.01	*01.01	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P094	*10.00.01	*03N.01(1006G)	*01.20.02.01	*02	1p,2,-3,4p,5,10,20	1,2,-3,4,5	
D1-P096	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P097	*01	*01	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P098	*10.00	*08N.01	*01.01	*01.16	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P099	*01	*01	*02	*03	1,2,3,4,5	1,2,3,4,5	
D1-P100	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
D1-P101	*01	*09.03.01	*01	*01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P102	*01	*01N.01	*01	*01(48C,105T)	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P104	*01	*01	*01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P105	*09.01.03	*09.03.01	*01.05.01	*01.16	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P106	*01	*01	*01.20.01	*02	1,2,-3,4p,5,10,20	1,2,-3,4,5	
D1-P107	*01	*01N.01	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P108	*01	*01	*01.20.01	*01.20.01	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P109	*01	*01N.01	*01	*01	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P110	*01	*10.00	*01.01	*03	1,-2,3,4,5	1,-2,3,4,5	
D1-P112	*01	*08N.01	*01	*01.16	1,-2,-3,4,5	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P113	*01	*10.00	*01 or *01.01	*01.20.(02.01 or 01)	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,-2,-3,4,5	
D1-P114	*01	*01	*01.20.01	*02	1,2,-3,4p,5,10,20	1, 2, -3, 4, 5	

Table SIII - RH genotype.	predicted and exp	verimental phenotype in 1	08 Cameroonian blood donors	(follows from previous page)
0 11				

¹Donor ID nomenclature: "Dx" defines the donor ID (x = 1, 2...) whose blood was given to patient "Pxxx" (xxx = 001, 002...). ²Several *RHCE* alleles defining two or three (D1-P012) combinations are possible (see legend in Table SII).

³RH phenotype predicted from molecular typing and based on the recommendations of the RCI&BGT ISBT Working Party (URL: isbtweb.org/resource/004rhce. html; updated on March 31st, 2022); by convention. Prediction of partial phenotype only (not weak), which is clinically relevant, is indicated by "p" and between parentheses if unsure.

⁴Unresolved antigen expression (presence or absence) is indicated by "±".

⁵Discrepancy between molecular-based phenotype and serologic typing is indicated in red.

Donor ID	RH phenotype ¹ Pa ID		RH phenotype ²	RH antigen exposure ³
D1-P002	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P002	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D2-P003	1,2,-3,4,5	P003	1p,2p,-3,4,5,-10,20	1,2
D1-P005	1p,-2,-3,4,5	P005	1,-2,-3,4,5	-
D1-P006	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P006	1,2,-3,4,5	10,20
D1-P007	1p,2,-3,4,5,-10,20	P007	1p,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,2,4,5 (31)
D1-P008	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P008	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	4,5 (31)
D2-P008	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,-20			
D3-P008	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20			
D1-P009	1,-2,-3,4,5	P009	1,-2,3,4,5	-
D1-P010	1,2,-3,4(p),5,10,±20	P010	1,2p,-3,4,5,-10,20	2,10
D1-P011	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P011	1,-2,3,4,5	10,20
D1-P012	1,-2,-3,4(p),5(p),10,±20	P012	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,10 (20)
D1-P013	1,2,-3,4(p),5,10,±20	P013	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	2
D1-P014	1,2,-3,4p,5,-10,20	P014	1,2,-3,4,5	10,20
D2-P014	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20			
D1-P015	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P015	1p,-2,3,4,5	1,10,20
D1-P016	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P016	1,-2,-3,4,5	3,10,20
D2-P016	1,-2,3,4,5p,10,20,±31			
D1-P017	1,-2,-3,4,5	P017	1p,-2,-3,4,5,-10,20,54	1
D1-P018	1,-2,-3,4,5	P018	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1
D1-P019	1p,-2,-3,4,5p,-10,20,54	P019	1p,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1,54
D2-P019	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	$\nabla \lambda$		
D1-P020	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P020	1,-2,3,4,5	10,20
D1-P021	1,-2,3,4,5	P021	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	3
D1-P022	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P022	1,-2,3,4,5	10,20
D1-P023	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P023	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,10,20
D1-P024	1,2,-3,4,5	P024	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,2,10,20
D2-P024	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20			
D3-P024	1,-2,-3,4,5			
D4-P024	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31			
D1-P025	1(p),-2,-3,4,5,49	P025	1,-2,3,4,5	49
D1-P026	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P026	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P030	1,-2,3,4,5	P030	1,-2,-3,4,5	3
D1-P031	1,-2,-3,4,5	P031	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P032	1p,-2,-3,4,5p,-31	P032	1,-2,-3,4,5	-
D1-P033	1p,2,-3,4,5,10,20	P033	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	2,4,5 (31)
D1-P034	1,-2,3,4,5	P034	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	3
D1-P035	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P035	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P036	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	P036	1,-2,-3,4,5	10,20

Table SIV - Risk and specificity of RH antigen exposure in antigen-negative patients with SCD transfused by donor RBCs

Donor ID	RH phenotype ¹	Patient ID	RH phenotype ²	RH antigen exposure ³
D1-P038	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	P038	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P039	1p,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P039	1,-2,3,4,5p	5,10,20
D1-P041	1,-2,-3,4,5,49,54	P041	1,-2,3,4,5	49,54
D1-P043	1p,2,-3,4p,5,-10,20,54	P043	1,-2,-3,4,5	2,20,54
D1-P044	1,2,-3,4,5	P044	1,-2,3,4,5p,10,20,±31	2,5 (31)
D1-P045	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20	P045	1,-2,-3,4,5	10,20
D1-P046	1,2,-3,4,5	P046	1,-2,3,4,5	2
D2-P046	1,-2,3,4,5			
D1-P047	1,-2,-3,4,5	P047	1,2,-3,4,5	-
D1-P048	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20,±31	P048	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,10,20
D1-P049	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,-20	P049	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20	2,4,5
D2-P049	1,2,-3,4,5			
D1-P050	1,2,3,4,5	P050	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	2,3
D1-P051	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P051	1,2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P052	1,-2,-3,4,5	P052	1,-2,-3,4,5	-
D1-P054	1p,-2,-3,4,5	P054	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P055	1,2,3,4,5	P055	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	2,3
D1-P056	1p,-2,-3,4,5	P056	1p,2p,-3,4,5,-10,20	-
D1-P057	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P057	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P058	1,-2,3,4,5p,10,20,±31	P058	1p,2p,-3,4p,5p,-10,20,30	1,3,4,10
D1-P059	1p,-2,-3,4,5	P059	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	4,5 (31)
D1-P061	1p,-2,-3,4,5	P061	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P062	1,-2,-3,4,5	P062	1,-2,-3,4,5	-
D1-P063	-1,-2,-3,4,5	P063	-1,-2,-3,4,5	-
D1-P064	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P064	1,-2,3,4,5	10,20
D1-P065	1,2,-3,4,5	P065	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	2
D1-P066	1,-2,-3,4,5	P066	1,-2,-3,4,5,-10,20	-
D1-P067	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P067	1p,-2,-3,4,5	1,10,20
D1-P068	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P068	1,2p,-3,4,5,-10,20	10
D1-P069	1,-2,3,4,5	P069	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	3
D1-P070	1,-2,3,4,5,10,20,-31	P070	1,-2,-3,4,5	3,10,20
D1-P071	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P071	1p,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1
D1-P072	1,-2,3,4,5p,-31	P072	1,-2,-3,4,5	3
D1-P073	1,-2,-3,4,5	P073	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P074	1,-2,3,4,5p,10,20,-31	P074	1,-2,3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P076	1p,-2,-3,4,5	P076	1,-2,-3,4,5	-
D1-P077	1,2,-3,4p,5,10,20	P077	1,-2,-3,4,5	2,10,20
D1-P078	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P078	1,-2,3,4,5	10,20
D1-P079	1,-2,3,4,5	P079	1,2p,-3,4,5,-10,20	3

 Table SIV - Risk and specificity of RH antigen exposure in antigen-negative patients with SCD transfused by donor RBCs (follows from previous page)

Donor ID	RH phenotype ¹	Patient ID	RH phenotype ²	RH antigen exposure ³
D1-P080	1,-2,-3,4,5	P080	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	4,5 (31)
D1-P081	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	P081	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P082	1p,-2,-3,4,5	P082	1,2p,-3,4p,5p,-10,20,-31	4,5,31
D1-P083	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P083	1,-2,-3,4,5	10,20
D1-P084	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P084	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P085	1p,-2,-3,4p,5p,-19	P085	1,2,-3,4,5	-
D1-P087	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P087	1p,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	1,4,5 (31)
D1-P089	1,-2,3,4,5	P089	1,2p,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	3,4,5 (31)
D1-P090	1,-2,-3,4,5	P090	1p,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	1
D1-P091	1p,-2,-3,4,5	P091	1,-2,-3,4,5	-
D1-P092	1p,-2,-3,4,5	P092	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P093	1p,-2,-3,4,5	P093	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P094	1p,2,-3,4p,5,10,20	P094	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	2
D1-P096	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P096	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P097	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P097	1,-2,-3,4,5	10,20
D1-P098	1p,-2,-3,4,5	P098	1,-2,-3,4,5	-
D1-P099	1,2,3,4,5	P099	1,-2,-3,4,5	2,3
D1-P100	1,-2,3,4,5	P100	1,-2,-3,4,5	3
D1-P101	1,-2,-3,4,5	P101	1,-2,3,4,5	-
D1-P102	1,-2,-3,4,5	P102	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	4,5 (31)
D1-P104	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P104	1,2,-3,4,5	10,20
D1-P105	1p,-2,-3,4,5	P105	1,-2,3,4,5p,10,20,±31	5 (31)
D1-P106	1,2,-3,4p,5,10,20	P106	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	2,5 (31)
D1-P107	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P107	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P108	1,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31	P108	1,-2,-3,4,5	10,20
D1-P109	1,-2,-3,4,5	P109	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	-
D1-P110	1,-2,3,4,5	P110	1,-2,3,4,5	-
D1-P112	1,-2,-3,4,5	P112	1p,2p,-3,4,5	1
D1-P113	1,-2,-3,4,5,10,20	P113	1p,-2,3,4,5	1,10,20
D1-P114	1,2,-3,4p,5,10,20	P114	1p,-2,-3,4p,5p,10,20,±31,54	1,2,5 (31)

Table SIV	- Risk and	specificity	of RH ar	ntigen e	xposure i	n antigen	-negative	patients	s with S	CD trai	nsfused	by dono	r RBCs
					(follows fi	om previou	s page)						

¹From Table SIII.

²From Table SII. ³Partial or absent RH antigen in the patient carried by the donor RBCs; undefined exposure of RH antigen is between parentheses.