



HAL
open science

A moderately chocked estuary: Influence of a constriction on the water level variations of the Wouri estuary (Cameroon)

Willy Noël Dima, Yves Morel, Vanessa Elvire Toukep Ngnepi, Raphael Onguene, Thomas Stieglitz, Thomas Duhaut, Bénédicte Lemieux-Dudon, Jules Romain Ngueguim, Felix Besack, Ezinvi Baloitcha, et al.

► To cite this version:

Willy Noël Dima, Yves Morel, Vanessa Elvire Toukep Ngnepi, Raphael Onguene, Thomas Stieglitz, et al.. A moderately chocked estuary: Influence of a constriction on the water level variations of the Wouri estuary (Cameroon). *Regional Studies in Marine Science*, 2024, 73, pp.103468. 10.1016/j.rsma.2024.103468 . hal-04498533

HAL Id: hal-04498533

<https://hal.science/hal-04498533>

Submitted on 11 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **A moderately choked estuary: Influence of a constriction on the water level**
2 **variations of the Wouri estuary (Cameroon)**

3

4 Willy Noël DIMA ^{*,a,c}, Yves MOREL ^{b,f}, Vanessa Elvire TOUKEP NGNEPI ^d, Raphael ONGUENE ^d,
5 Thomas STIEGLITZ ^e, Thomas DUHAUT ^b, Bénédicte LEMIEUX-DUDON ^b, Jules Romain
6 NGUEGUIM ^a, Felix BESACK ^g, Ezinvi BALOITCHA ^c, Alexis CHAIGNEAU ^b.

7

8 * Corresponding authors

9 Email addresses: willy.dimamccoy@gmail.com (Willy Noel Dima), yves.morel@univ-tlse3.fr (Yves Morel),
10 ngnepivanessa@yahoo.fr (Vanessa Elvire Toupek Ngnepi), ziongra@yahoo.fr (Raphael Onguene),
11 stieglitz@cerege.fr (Thomas Stieglitz), thomas.duhaut@univ-tlse3.fr (Thomas Duhaut),
12 lemieux.benedicte@gmail.com (Bénédicte Lemieux-Dudon), njules_romain@hotmail.com (Jules Romain
13 Ngueguim), besackocean@gmail.com (Felix Besack), ezinvi.baloitcha@gmail.com (Ezinvi Baloitcha)
14 alexis.chaigneau@ird.fr (Alexis Chaigneau)

15 ^a Specialized Research Station on Marine Ecosystems (SS-ECOMA), IRAD - Kribi, Cameroon

16 ^b LEGOS, University of Toulouse, CNES, CNRS, IRD, UT3, Toulouse, France

17 ^c International Chair in Mathematical Physics and Applications (ICMPA, UNESCO Chair)/University of
18 Abomey-Calavi, Cotonou, Benin

19 ^d University of Douala, Laboratoire de Technologie et Sciences Appliquées (LTSA-Douala), Cameroon

20 ^e Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, IRD, INRAE, Coll France, CEREGE, Aix-en- Provence, France

21 ^f LOPS, University of Brest, CNRS, IRD, Ifremer, IUEM, France

22 ^g Department of oceanography of the Institute of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences of the
23 University of Douala, Association for the Conservation of Nature (Ascon), Cameroon

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

1 Abstract

2

3 The study focuses on the evolution of water levels (WL) in the Douala basin, a sub-basin of
4 the Wouri estuary, separated from the open ocean by a natural constriction and influenced by
5 tides and river inflow. Our objective is to assess whether floods in the adjacent coastal city of
6 Douala could result from water overflow from the Douala basin due to Wouri river floods.

7 We first evaluate the constriction's damping effect by analyzing the tide amplitude variations
8 from the ocean to the basin. We develop a simplified model for the variation in basin WL,
9 which includes a dissipation parameter, that is evaluated using the weak modulation of tidal
10 amplitudes from the ocean to the basin over the dry season of 2023. Our findings indicate a
11 moderate level of dissipation in the constriction.

12 Subsequently, the model is used to evaluate the mean and maximum WL, as well as tide
13 amplitude variations of the Doula basin, as functions of river flow and ocean tides. The mean
14 WL increases, but the maximum tide amplitude decreases as river input increases. The
15 maximum WL in the basin always exceeds the maximum amplitude of ocean tides but is only
16 significantly higher when river input is above 1000 m³/s.

17 In current conditions, where maximum observed river input is around 1800 m³/s, it is unlikely
18 that flooding will extend beyond the basin borders into the city of Douala. For a moderate
19 increase (20%) in future maximum river fluxes, it is also unlikely that the Douala basin will
20 overflow into Douala city. Only a drastic increase in mean ocean level and river fluxes (which
21 are possible scenarios associated with climate change) could potentially lead to a significant
22 rise in basin WL, resulting in severe flooding.

23

24 Key words: estuary, water level, tide, river flow, Douala.

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 **1. Introduction**

32

33 Estuaries and lagoons are complex transitional systems where seawater mixes with fresh
34 water (e.g. Duck and da Silva, 2012). They are often described as interfaces between oceans

1

2

1 and continents, and are considered among the world's most productive ecosystems. They play
2 a critical role in biodiversity, serving as important habitats, spawning grounds and feeding
3 areas for numerous marine or aquatic species (Harley et al.,2006; Scanes et al.,2020; Maicu et
4 al., 2021). Due to these characteristics, these areas provide significant economic benefits for
5 several human activities such as fishing, aquaculture, sand extraction or tourism (e.g., Gonenc
6 and Wolflin, 2004; Umgiesser et al., 2014; Newton et al., 2018).

7 Transitional waters are highly responsive to changes in external forcing, leading to significant
8 spatio-temporal fluctuations of physical, biogeochemical or biological variables (Viaroli et al.,
9 2007; Tagliapietra et al., 2009; Barbone and Basset, 2010; Umgiesser et al., 2014). In recent
10 years, flooding has become one of the main causes of natural disasters worldwide (Paprotny
11 et al., 2018). Urban flooding risks are increasing due to rapid urbanization and climate change
12 in many cities globally, both in coastal and continental settings (Abebe et al., 2018). Although
13 there are uncertainties regarding the evolution of precipitation patterns associated with climate
14 change, this trend is likely to persist or intensify in the future (Bertoni, 2006; Stocker, 2014).
15 Sub-Saharan Africa is a region where the risks associated with global change, including
16 climate change and anthropogenic pressure, are particularly significant (Martinis, 2017; Hu et
17 al., 2019). In Cameroon, the Wouri estuary experiences high flow conditions during the rainy
18 season (May-October) and low flow conditions during the dry season (November to April)
19 (Olivry, 1974). Flash floods, i.e. sudden increases in water level (WL), can have dramatic
20 consequences for local populations. Since the 1980s, the coastal city of Douala has
21 experienced unplanned urban expansion, driven by rural migration. The large influx of people
22 has resulted in settlement of areas identified as flood-prone zones, swamps, and mangroves,
23 increasing their vulnerability. Between 1980 and 2018, Douala experienced 34 major floods
24 (Amanejieu, 2018), resulting in more than 100 deaths and significant damage between 2000
25 and 2010 (Bruckmann et al., 2019). This underlines the importance of implementing flood
26 warning systems to protect both the population and properties. To do so, a scientific analysis
27 of the causes of recorded floods is necessary. We here assess the potential impact of ocean
28 surge (or more accurately, basin surge) on the flood risk of the city.

29 In estuaries or lagoons, WL are primarily influenced by ocean waves and tides, river inputs,
30 the wind and, to a lesser extent, direct precipitation contributions (e.g., Chaigneau et al., 2022;
31 Albrecht and Vennell, 2007). Waves associated with storm surges can play a crucial role in
32 coastal flooding, especially when they coincide with high tides and/or river flooding (Zheng

1 et al., 2013). However, the Douala basin is located in the upper part of the estuary and is
2 protected from the intrusion of large amplitude waves (Besack et al., 2020).

3 Observations indeed highlight that floods in Douala city follow periods of intense
4 precipitation and become almost annual, more intense, and more severe along the Wouri river
5 (Munji et al., 2014). The interaction between tides and river flow can play a major role on the
6 WL variations in an estuary or lagoon and depends on dissipation associated with bottom
7 friction (Stigebrandt, 1980; Speer, 1985). While tidal amplitude can increase in converging
8 estuaries, dissipation along channels, or constrictions connecting the ocean to an inland basin
9 or lagoon, reduces the tidal amplitude while increasing river WL during flood periods
10 (Stigebrandt, 1980; Glenne and Simensen, 1963; Oliveira and Kjerfve, 1993; Hill, 1994;
11 Buschman et al., 2009; Sassi and Hoitink, 2013). Recently, it has been shown that the
12 nonlinear interaction of tides, river flow, and friction can influences the seasonal WL
13 variability when the dissipation and river flow are high (Morel et al., 2022) and that these
14 processes should be considered when predicting WL variations across different temporal
15 scales.

16 The city of Douala is located adjacent to a small sub-basin of the Wouri estuary, referred as
17 the Douala basin. This basin is separated from the ocean by a constriction across which two
18 bridges have been constructed, potentially increasing dissipative effects. Recent observations
19 within the Wouri estuary (Besack et al., 2020 ; Fossi Fotsi et al., 2023) have indeed shown
20 that tide amplitude is damped in the Douala basin in comparison with the ocean. However the
21 damping remain moderate and is sensitive to both tide amplitude and season (that is to say
22 river flow).The objective of this study is to determine whether WL variations in the Douala
23 basin could lead to flooding in the city of Douala, either presently or in the future. We focus
24 on the interaction of river flow and ocean tide. We use a model, based on Stigebrandt (1980)
25 (see also Hill, 1994; Albrecht and Vennell, 2007; MacMahan et al., 2014; Morel et al., 2022),
26 which relies on the estimation of a dissipation coefficient Γ . We propose a method to estimate
27 Γ by observing tide modulation in the Douala basin. We present a new set of analytical
28 solutions for the Stigebrandt (1980) model, applicable under moderate dissipation. This
29 allows us to evaluate the dissipation parameter relative to the constriction. Subsequently, the
30 model is validated using available data and used to estimate mean WL, tide amplitude and
31 maximum WL in the Douala basin, depending on river flow and ocean tides.

32

33 **2. Geographical context, data and model**


1

2 2.1. Douala basin (DB)

3

4 The Wouri estuary flows into the Atlantic on the central coast of Cameroon (Fig. 1-B). Our
5 focus in this study is the upper part of the estuary (Fig. 1-C), an area that forms a basin near
6 Douala. The lower estuary is wide and can be considered part of the ocean. The DB covers
7 approximately 9 km². It is separated from the ocean by a constriction approximately 1000-m
8 long and 400-m wide, resembling a channel. The depth of this constriction varies
9 significantly, reaching depths of more than 10 m (Fig. 1-C). Additionally, the constriction is
10 crossed by two bridges with pylons that can locally impact bathymetry and flow. Thus,
11 dissipation can be expected, leading to an elevation of the mean WL in the basin, potentially
12 causing flooding in districts bordering the DB. This is the hypothesis we aim to investigate in
13 this study.

14 We observed WL variations at three locations, positioned on both sides of the constriction
15 (Fig. 1-C). Two sensors are located within the DB and one is located at the Port of Douala,
16 representing oceanic WL variations primarily associated with tides. These oceanic variations
17 act as a forcing condition for the DB.



19 Fig. 1. Geographical location of the Wouri estuary. A: Map of Cameroon. B: Map of the Wouri
20 estuary. C: Bathymetry of the upper part of the estuary where the Douala basin is located. The black
21 dots correspond to the positions of the water-level sensors used in this study.

22

23 2.2. WL variations in the DB

24

1


2

1 WL data were recorded by two pressure sensors deployed near the constriction zone. These
2 observations span two distinct periods: the first period extends from March to December 2022
3 for the sensor deployed at Bonassama, and the second period from February to early April
4 2023 for the sensor deployed near an island in the middle of the basin (see Fig. 1C). Each
5 station was equipped with a HOBO Onset WL Logger P/N: U20L-01 anchored 20-30 cm
6 from the bottom in a PVC tube with an external diameter of 7 cm and perforated over its
7 whole length. Data were recorded continuously at one-hour intervals for each tide gauge, with
8 a typical accuracy of ± 1 cm and a maximum error of 2 cm (Wilson et al., 2016; Guragai et al.,
9 2018; Chaigneau et al., 2021).

10 The observed variations are influenced by both oceanic tides and the river's hydrology (Fig
11 2). The short observation period for the "Island sensor" falls within the dry season when
12 Wouri river flow is minimal (see section 2.4 below). The vertical reference is here chosen to
13 be the mean WL over the observation period.

14 For the available data at Bonassama, the observations span nearly a year, including the wet
15 season when river fluxes reach their maximum values. The available data are truncated and do
16 not cover the entire tide cycle, because the sensor was positioned in an area that falls dry
17 during low tides for accessibility and security reasons. However, these data can still be used
18 for model validation. In this case, the vertical reference is established at the minimum
19 pressure recorded by the sensor. The impact of atmospheric pressure variations is clearly
20 noticeable at low pressure levels.

21




1
2 Fig. 2. Water level variations in the Douala basin. A: island sensor. B: Bonassama sensor.

3
4
5 *2.3. Ocean tide*

6 Figure 3 shows the WL variations from January 2022 to July 2023 on the ocean side of the
7 constriction zone, off the port of Douala. The tide data was provided to us by the port of
8 Douala, which is responsible for the tide gauge installed on the seaward side of the
9 constriction. This data is acquired every 5 minutes.

10 While there are interruptions in the observations, a thorough analysis of the time series did not
11 reveal any additional issues, such as vertical repositioning of the tide gauge following an
12 interruption. To fill the missing observations, we used the Pytide software
13 (<http://github.com/sam-cox/pytides>), which analyzes tidal harmonics by correlating them with
14 the existing signal. The tidal variations closely align with the observations when they are
15 available, resulting in a correlation coefficient of $r = 0.89$. While some differences exist, they
16 can primarily be attributed to non-tidal and/or high frequency variations, such as the effects of
17 wind. However, these factors are disregarded in this study. The tidal signal observed off the
18 Douala port is semi-diurnal, with a typical amplitude of 1 m but ranging from 0.5 m during
19 neap tides to 1.3 m during spring tides.



1

2 Fig. 3. Water level variations off the Douala port with the reconstructed signal (red), generated using
 3 Pytide, superimposed on the observed signal (blue).


4

5 *2.4. River flow variations*

6

7 Flash floods in the basin are triggered by sudden increase in river inflow. To analyze the
 8 variability of the Wouri River fluxes, we examined 40 years of daily flow data (Olivry, 1974;
 9 Tsalefac et al., 2003), from 1951 to 1991 (Figure 4). More recent data are unfortunately not
 10 available.

11



1

2 Fig. 4. Mean daily flow of the Wouri River (1951-1991) is shown in blue, with the grey area
 3 indicating \pm one standard deviation (std) from the mean. Red and green curves represent maximum
 4 and minimum daily flows, respectively.

5

6 Average maximum flow typically reaches $800 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, but occasionally the observed flux
 7 reaches up to $1800 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ between September and October. Conversely, minimum flux
 8 occurring in February and March are about $70 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$.


9

10 2.5. Simplified model

11 Figure 5 depicts the configuration of the theoretical model, which represents the DB
 12 connected to the ocean by a constriction (Fig. 1). In the open ocean, sea surface height is
 13 mostly controlled by tides, and remains independent of the constriction or basin dynamics and
 14 geometry. Conversely, the dynamics within the basin cannot be solely considered as riverine,
 15 as its spatial extent and connection to the ocean make the dynamics two-dimensional (2D).
 16 However, we consider that WL variations in the sub-basin are predominantly controlled by
 17 tides and river inflow, and these variations exhibit relative homogeneity across the entire
 18 basin. Thus, we employ a simplified model for basin WL variations based on Stigebrandt
 19 (1980) (see also Hill, 1994; MacMahan et al., 2014; Morel et al., 2022). In this model, the
 20 constriction controls the exchange between the basin and the open ocean.

1

2



1
 2 Figure 5: Geometry of the configuration, with a basin connected to the ocean by a channel
 3 or constriction and fed by river fluxes. A: general view. B: side view.

4
 5 The flux in the constriction is hypothesized to be constrained by a balance between the
 6 pressure gradient, arising from the WL difference between the ocean and the basin, and
 7 bottom friction. This equilibrium can be expressed as:

8
 9

$$\frac{C_D |U| U}{h} = g \frac{\eta_{oc} - \eta_{DB}}{L} \quad (1)$$

10 where η_{oc} and η_{DB} are the ocean and basin WL, respectively. g is the gravitational acceleration,
 11 L denotes the length of the channel, h is its depth and C_D is the bottom friction coefficient. U
 12 represents the mean velocity within the channel, with a positive value indicating flow directed
 13 into the basin.

14 The equation for the basin volume variations is then given by (Stigebrandt, 1980)

15

$$A \frac{d\eta_{DB}}{dt} = U h w + Q^{riv} \quad (2)$$

16
 17 where Q^{riv} is the net river fluxes entering the basin, A is the basin area and w denotes the
 18 width of the constriction (Fig. 5-A). Expressing the mean velocity in the channel $-U-$ as a
 19 function of the water levels using Eq. 1 and replacing into Eq. 2, we get the final nonlinear
 20 equation for η_{DB}

$$\frac{d\eta_{DB}}{dt} = \Gamma \frac{\eta_{oc} - \eta_{DB}}{\sqrt{|\eta_{oc} - \eta_{DB}|}} + \frac{Q^{riv}}{A} \quad (3)$$

Where Γ represents the dissipation or choking coefficient (Stigebrandt, 1980). Theoretically, in very simple configurations and when the water level variations are small in comparison with the channel depth, the combination of Eq. 1 and 2 yields Eq. 3 with $\Gamma \approx \frac{hw}{A} \sqrt{\frac{gh}{C_D L}}$, so Γ is related to the geometrical characteristics of the constriction and bottom friction (Stigebrandt, 1980). In realistic configurations all characteristics vary within the channel and the latter expression is not valid. However, Γ can be viewed as a coefficient that characterizes the bulk dissipative effects of the constriction and has to be evaluated from observations (Morel et al., 2022). So, we here consider Γ to be constant. To determine its value, we evaluate it using a try/error method. We use Eq. 3, forced by the observed ocean tide and river flux (Fig. 3 and 4), and calculate η_{DB} for various choices of Γ . We then select the value that minimizes the differences with observations. One difficulty in this analysis is the unavailability of the river fluxes for the time period corresponding to the observed basin WL variations (Fig. 2). Consequently, we need to vary both Γ and Q^{riv} . To reduce the number of simulations, we proceed as follows:

- We first focus on the dry season, during which the river flux variations are reduced, using the island η_{DB} observations, which have no bias (Fig. 2A):
 - o We use approximate theoretical solutions, valid for low river fluxes and moderate dissipation, to reduce the search interval for Γ values.
 - o We apply the try/error method to select the optimal Γ value within this time period.
- We then validate the optimal value for Γ using independent η_{DB} observations at Bonassama, which span both the dry and wet seasons (Fig. 2B).

3. Theoretical solutions

3.1. WL decomposition in the DB

In this section we calculate approximate analytical solutions enabling us to estimate a range of values for the dissipation coefficient Γ from observations of η_{oc} and η_{DB} . We adopt the approach described by Morel et al. (2022), in which we consider a constant river flux and a purely tidal signal in the ocean. Unlike most cases previously studied with the Stigebrandt (1980) model, the tide amplitude in DB is weakly damped. Thus, dissipation in the

1 constriction is moderate and water level variations in the DB cannot be regarded as small in
 2 comparison to the oceanic tide. Morel et al. (2022) hypothesized that the tide variations in the
 3 basin are much smaller than in the ocean, but this assumption does not hold in this context.
 4 Therefore, it is imperative to develop a new set of solutions considering moderate dissipation.
 5 We define

$$\eta_{DB} = \eta_{oc} + \bar{\eta}_{DB} + \tilde{\eta}_{DB} \quad (4)$$

7 Where $\bar{\eta}_{DB}$ is the mean level in the DB, and $\tilde{\eta}_{DB}$ is the tide modification due to -moderate-
 8 dissipation in the constriction and is considered smaller than η_{oc} : $\tilde{\eta}_{DB} \ll \eta_{oc}$ or $\eta_{DB} \approx \eta_{oc}$. We
 9 also assume that $\bar{\eta}_{DB}$ evolves slowly in comparison with the tide period. Note that when there
 10 is no tidal variation in the ocean, the mean level is given as the equilibrium between river
 11 fluxes and dissipation in the constriction and is $\bar{\eta}_{DB}^{riv}$. Equation 3 shows it is simply given by

$$\bar{\eta}_{DB}^{riv} = \left(\frac{Q^{riv}}{\Gamma A} \right)^2 \quad (5)$$

14 3.2. Effect of tidal forcing

16 We now consider the ocean sea surface height variations associated with tides. Equation 3 can
 17 be rewritten:

$$\bar{\eta}_{DB} + \tilde{\eta}_{DB} = \frac{1}{\Gamma^2} \left(\frac{Q^{riv}}{A} - \frac{d\eta_{DB}}{dt} \right) \left| \frac{Q^{riv}}{A} - \frac{d\eta_{DB}}{dt} \right| \quad (6)$$

19 Given the assumption $\eta_{DB} \approx \eta_{oc}$, we can evaluate a first order correction

$$\bar{\eta}_{DB} + \tilde{\eta}_{DB} = \frac{1}{\Gamma^2} \left(\frac{Q^{riv}}{A} - \frac{d\eta_{oc}}{dt} \right) \left| \frac{Q^{riv}}{A} - \frac{d\eta_{oc}}{dt} \right| \quad (7)$$

21 If we now hypothesize that, over a tide period, η_{oc} can be written with a constant amplitude

$$\eta_{oc} = \delta \eta_{oc} \sin(\omega_{M2} t) \quad (8)$$

23 Where $\delta \eta_{oc}$ is the tide amplitude and ω_{M2} is the frequency of the M2 semi-diurnal tide, we get

$$\bar{\eta}_{DB} + \tilde{\eta}_{DB} = \frac{\omega_{M2}^2 \delta \eta_{oc}^2}{\Gamma^2} \left(\frac{Q^{riv}}{A \delta \eta_{oc} \omega_{M2}} - \cos(\omega_{M2} t) \right) \left| \frac{Q^{riv}}{A \delta \eta_{oc} \omega_{M2}} - \cos(\omega_{M2} t) \right| \quad (9)$$

25 which yields

$$\bar{\eta}_{DB} = \frac{\omega_{M2}^2 \delta \eta_{oc}^2}{\Gamma^2} H \left(\frac{Q^{riv}}{A \delta \eta_{oc} \omega_{M2}} \right) \quad (10)$$

27 where the function H is given by:

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (x - \cos(\theta)) |x - \cos(\theta)| d\theta \quad (11)$$

Equation 9 and 10 can be used to relate $\tilde{\eta}_{DB}$, $\delta \eta_{oc}$, Γ , Q^{riv} and $\overline{\eta}_{DB}$. During the dry season, river flow is small enough so that Q^{riv} can be neglected when evaluating $\tilde{\eta}_{DB}$ and we finally get the approximate correction

$$\tilde{\eta}_{DB} \simeq \frac{\omega_{M2}^2 \delta \eta_{oc}^2}{\Gamma^2} \cos(\omega_{M2} t) \quad (12)$$

Thus, the amplitude of the tide modification $\tilde{\eta}_{DB}$ during the dry season is directly related to the ocean tide amplitude $\delta \eta_{oc}$ and the dissipation parameter Γ . This relation serves as a basis to estimate an interval for Γ values from the observations of $\delta \eta_{oc}$, and $\tilde{\eta}_{DB}$ ($\simeq \eta_{DB} - \eta_{oc}$) during the dry season.

Note that the previous first order correction (9) or (12) can be reinjected into Eq. (6) to get a second order correction, but this is not of strong interest in the present study.

12

13 4. Results

14 4.1. First estimation of Γ value range

15


According to our model, Eq. 12 gives a relationship between the oceanic tide amplitude $\delta \eta_{oc}$ and the (slight) modulation of the tidal signal $\tilde{\eta}_{DB} \simeq \eta_{DB} - \eta_{oc}$ within the basin. Note that the modified signal is a quadratic phase modulation, meaning it only has a slight impact on the total tide signal.

However, focusing on the amplitude of the modulated signal, Eq. 12 yields

$$\Gamma \simeq \frac{\omega_{M2} \delta \eta_{oc}}{\sqrt{\delta \tilde{\eta}_{DB}}} \quad (13)$$

where $\delta \tilde{\eta}_{DB}$ is now the amplitude of the modulation and $\omega_{M2} \simeq 1.41 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

We then use the observations of the island sensor and the ocean tide measurements near the port of Douala during a 2-month period in the low water season (see Fig. 2-A), spanning 55 tidal periods.



1
2 Fig. 6: Γ values for each of the 55 tide periods (data point index). The red line represents the mean
3 value, and the shaded area represents \pm one standard deviation from the mean.


4
5 We isolate each tidal cycle and estimate both the amplitude of the oceanic tide $\delta \eta_{oc}$ and of the
6 modulated signal amplitude $\delta \tilde{\eta}_{DB}$. From these data, using Eq. 13, we obtained 55 estimates
7 for the dissipation coefficient Γ (Fig. 6). The estimated Γ values vary from $2.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{1/2}/\text{s}$ to
8 $5.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{1/2}/\text{s}$. The mean value is $3.05 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{1/2}/\text{s}$, the median value is $2.94 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{1/2}/\text{s}$ and
9 the standard deviation is $4.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^{1/2}/\text{s}$.

10
11 4.2. *Optimal choice for Γ*

12
13 We now use a try/error method to estimate Γ using WL variations during the time period of
14 the Island sensor observations (February-March). We solve Eq. 3, considering various values
15 for Γ within the range given by Fig. 6 and also varying the river flux Q^{riv} within the range of
16 70 to $250 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$.

17 The optimal values resulting in the highest correlation between the observed $\delta \tilde{\eta}_{DB}$ at the island
18 sensor and the modeled signal are obtained for $\Gamma = 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{1/2}/\text{s}$ (very close to the estimated

1 mean Γ in Fig. 6) and $Q^{riv} = 150 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. The phase relationship is generally well represented and
 2 follows a quadratic pattern with respect to the oceanic tide forcing (Fig. 7-A), coherent with
 3 the approximate theoretical solution (Eq. 12). During spring tides, the amplitudes are very
 4 similar. However, during neap tides, some discrepancies appear (Fig. 7-B). Notably, the mean
 5 WL differs, and visible modulations are present in the observations, while the model predicts
 6 no mean WL variations. It is important to note that the spring tide is of particular interest in
 7 this study as it represents the most favorable time period for potential flooding. The
 8 correlation of both signals over the entire time period is $r = 0.76$ with a rmse of 8.5cm. This
 9 correlation increases to $r = 0.86$ with a rmse of 7cm when the analysis is restricted to the
 10 spring tide time periods, which is considered satisfactory.



11

12

1
2

1 Fig.7. Comparison of the observed (green) and the modeled (red) $\tilde{\eta}_{Db}$ ($\simeq \eta_{Db}-\eta_{Oc}$) signals in the
 2 Douala basin (Island sensor). The blue curve represents the ocean tide. A: for the entire available time
 3 series. B: zoom over 16 days from February 20 to March 8.

4

5 4.3. Dynamical regime

6

7 Theoretical studies evaluating combined effects of river fluxes, tidal forcing and/or wind
 8 surge effects in general configurations rely on the use of nondimensional numbers
 9 (Stigebrandt, 1980; Hill, 1994; Lorenz, 2023). Two main parameters are useful in the present
 10 context.

11 First, to evaluate the strength of the dissipation, Γ can be non-dimensionalized using the ocean
 12 tide amplitude and period. This gives the Stigebrandt choking parameter:

$$13 \quad P = \frac{2\pi\Gamma}{\omega_{M2}\sqrt{\delta}\eta_{oc}}$$

14 (14)

15 In the present configuration, we derive a range of P values from 12 to 19, corresponding to
 16 moderate to small choking or dissipation as expected. Indeed, previous studies have shown
 17 that strong choking occurs for $P < 5$ (Stigebrandt, 1980; Hill, 1994).

18 Another useful parameter is the nondimensional river discharge:

19

$$20 \quad S = \frac{2\pi Q^{riv}}{\omega_{M2} A \delta \eta_{oc}}$$

21 (15)

22 For the present basin, given its relatively small area, the parameter S ranges from 0.2 to 3 for
 23 mean (climatic) seasonal variations and can reach 7 for extreme river flows ($Q^{riv} = 1800 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$).
 24 This corresponds to strong river discharge regimes.

25 Moderate tidal dampings have been observed and studied in other estuaries (e.g., Guo et al.,
 26 2015; Jalón-Rojas et al., 2017; 2018; Cai et al, 2018; Xie et al., 2022), but to our knowledge
 27 the combination of moderate dissipation and strong river flow has been seldom addressed
 28 (Stigebrandt, 1980; Lorenz, 2023). The combination of moderate to small choking with strong
 29 river flow is thus an interesting regime, worth studying as it corresponds to many lagoon or
 30 basin along the Western Africa coast.

31


32 4.4. Validation of the DB WL model

1


2

1 To further validate the model during a period of strong river fluxes, we conduct simulations
2 for the time period corresponding to the Bonassama observations, using $\Gamma = 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{1/2}/\text{s}$.
3 Since river flow data are not available for the study period, we use the mean historical flow
4 data presented previously. As the available observations at Bonassama are cut off at low tide,
5 we use the maximum values of the WL averaged over March and April to define a reference
6 level so that the maximum levels observed coincides with the model (for which the variations
7 are relative to the mean sea level).


8 Figure 8 represents the comparison between the observations (green curve) and the model (red
9 curve) for the entire duration of the Bonassama observations (Fig. 8-A) and for a restricted
10 time period in late August-early September (Fig. 8-B), when the river fluxes are near their
11 maximum ($\sim 800 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$). The correlation between the observed WL and the model (excluding
12 threshold observations) is satisfying with an $r = 0.79$. We now focus on the maximum WL
13 reached during each tide cycle throughout the entire observation period (Fig. 8-C), which is of
14 major interest for flooding considerations. The maximum amplitudes closely align throughout
15 the entire period and show a remarkably high correlation at $r = 0.94$ and a rmse of 7 cm.
16 While some discrepancies of up to 20 cm are observed in the dry season, these can be
17 attributed to the specific choice of river flow, which was made on an ad hoc basis. Overall, we
18 consider the performance of the model adapted to the requirements of this study.



1



2



3

4 Fig. 8. A: comparison between observed (green) and simulated (red) WL variation at Bonassama. B:
5 zoom over 15 days from August 21 to September 5. C: maximum WL evolution.

1

2

1

2

3 4.5. WL and tide amplitude in the DB


4

5 We now evaluate the mean WL and tidal amplitude in the Douala basin across a range of
 6 values of river flux and ocean tide amplitude. For the rest of the study, we concentrate on the
 7 Douala basin. We thus again set $\Gamma = 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{1/2}/\text{s}$, we vary the tide amplitude from 0.3 to 1.5
 8 m and the river flow from 0 to 3000 m^3/s . Given the previous characteristics, the
 9 nondimensional parameter P (Eq. 14) varies from 12 to 19 and S (Eq. 15) varies from 0 to 12,
 10 a combination that is rarely studied. We solve Eq. 3 over ten M2 tide periods and for the
 11 chosen values of Q^{riv} , $\delta \eta_{\text{oc}}$ and the calculated value for Γ . This time period is sufficiently long
 12 so that a stationary state is reached (the WL has regular oscillations around a constant mean)
 13 and we use the last M2 period to evaluate the mean WL and tide amplitude in the basin.

14

15 Figure 9 represents the combined influence of river flow and ocean tide amplitude $\delta \eta_{\text{oc}}$, on
 16 the mean WL of the Douala basin $\overline{\eta}_{\text{DB}}$ (Fig. 9-A). The effect of tides on the mean WL is
 17 obtained by subtracting $\overline{\eta}_{\text{DB}}^{\text{riv}}$ (given by Eq. 5) and is represented in Fig.9-B. As expected, WL
 18 consistently increases with tidal amplitude (e.g., Morel et al., 2022). The maximum increase
 19 due to tides is reached for intermediate river fluxes, specifically in the range of $Q^{\text{riv}} = 500 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
 20 to $1500 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ within the considered tidal range. Notably, the mean WL remains below 0.5 m if
 21 $Q^{\text{riv}} \leq 2000 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ but increases quadratically.

22



23

24 Fig. 9. A: mean WL in the Douala basin depending on river flow and ocean tide. B: increase in mean
 25 WL due to tide. The white dotted lines represent the maximum of the average climatological year (800


1

2

1 m^3/s) and the absolute maximum ($1800 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$) for the observed river flow. The results are obtained with
 2 $\Gamma = 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{1/2}/\text{s}$ (giving nondimensional parameter ranges $P=12$ to 19 and $S=0$ to 12 , see Eq.
 3 14 and 15).

4
 5

6 Figure 10 represents the tide amplitude in the basin $\delta \eta_{DB}$ (Fig. 10-a) and its variation with
 7 respect to ocean tide (Fig. 10-B). The tide amplitude in Douala basin is always below the
 8 oceanic one, indicating the tidal choking effect of the constriction (Stigebrandt, 1980, 1992).
 9 It is important to note that this damping effect intensifies with both ocean tide amplitude and
 10 river flow.



11

12 Fig. 10. Tide amplitude in the Douala basin as a function of river flow and ocean tide. A: tide
 13 amplitude. B: tidal amplitude damping (difference between the amplitude in the basin and the ocean
 14 tide). The white and black dotted lines represent the maximum of the average climatological year (800
 15 m^3/s) and the absolute maximum ($1800 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$) for the observed river flow. The results are obtained with
 16 $\Gamma = 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{1/2}/\text{s}$ (giving nondimensional parameter ranges $P=12$ to 19 and $S=0$ to 12 , see Eq.
 17 14 and 15).

18
 19

20 5. Discussion

21

22 5.1. Maximum WL in Douala basin due to tide and river flow

23


24 There are two counteracting effects of the constriction on the WL in the Douala basin: as the
 25 river flux increases, it increases the mean WL but decreases the tidal amplitude. The
 26 maximum WL that can be reached in the Douala basin is represented in Fig. 11. It is close to

1
 2

1 the sum of the mean WL and tide amplitude (because of dissymmetries between the flood
2 and ebb tide phases, the maximum water level is not equal to the sum of the mean level and
3 tide amplitude). With respect to future scenarios, the ocean tide is expected to remain
4 relatively stable, but river flux can be modified which will potentially impact the maximum
5 WL in the basin.

6 Given the lack of precise and georeferenced topographies of Douala city, in particular near the
7 estuary, establishing thresholds for flooding is challenging. However, based on our own field
8 estimates, we believe that a surge (an increase of level in comparison with the maximum tide
9 amplitude in the basin) of about 0.5 m is enough to flood some suburbs along the western side
10 of the basin. To inundate the eastern side, at least a 1 m surge is deemed necessary. This
11 criterion is applied in the subsequent analysis.

12 It is first worth noting that on long term average, the mean maximum river flow is $Q^{\text{riv}} \simeq$
13 $800\text{m}^3/\text{s}$ (lower white dotted in Fig. 11), and the maximum water level in the Douala basin
14 remains close to the oceanic tide amplitude; the surge above the basin tide induced by river
15 input remains below 0.2 m (see Fig. 9). Even at maximum river flow $Q^{\text{riv}} \simeq 1800\text{m}^3/\text{s}$ (2nd
16 white dotted line in Fig. 11), the maximum WL in the basin is about 1.7 m, equivalent to a
17 surge of 0.7 m above the basin tide (Fig. 9). Such a surge is sufficient to cause minor flooding
18 in the low-lying areas bordering the western side of the Douala basin, but it cannot account
19 for the severe floods experienced in the topographically higher Douala city on the eastern side
20 of the basin. Thus, recent floods in the city must be attributed to other mechanisms, such as
21 local watershed dynamics associated with small rivers passing through Douala city (Tongo
22 Basa in particular, Iroume et al, 2022; Essoh et al, 2023). In some future climate change
23 scenarios, the average Monsoon rainfall is predicted to increase by about 20% or more (Trisos
24 et al., 2022). It is also conceivable that extreme rainfall events may become more intense. Our
25 model suggests that, for river fluxes of $2500\text{m}^3/\text{s}$, the WL will reach 2.1m, with the flood-
26 driven surge still remaining below 1 m with respect to the basin tide. However, if the Wouri
27 river flow was to reach $Q^{\text{riv}} \simeq 3000\text{m}^3/\text{s}$, the surge could potentially reach 1.3m. Such an
28 increase would lead to more severe floods on the western side and could also impact Douala
29 city on the eastern side of the basin. Finally, in the present study and discussion, we focused
30 on the effect of river flow, but other processes can play a role on the maximum WL reached in
31 the Douala basin and are discussed in the concluding section.



1
 2 Fig. 11. Maximum water level reached in the Douala basin as a function of the Wouri outflow and
 3 oceanic tide amplitude. The dotted lines indicate the 800m³/s (mean long-term maximum river flow)
 4 and 1800m³/s (maximum river flow observed during in the 1951-1991 period) flow rates. The results
 5 are obtained with $\Gamma = 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{1/2}/\text{s}$ (giving nondimensional parameter ranges $P=12$ to 19 and
 6 $S=0$ to 12 , see Eq. 14 and 15).

7
 8

9 *5.2. Limitations of the present study and further analyses*

10

11 While our model has demonstrated its effectiveness in accurately representing maximum
 12 water level variations over a complete seasonal cycle (as shown in Fig. 8-C), it is important to
 13 acknowledge certain assumptions and approximations that underlie the model. Firstly, the
 14 determination of the dissipation parameter Γ plays a pivotal role in our calculations. The
 15 estimation of Γ is associated with uncertainty up to 15% (Fig. 6). This uncertainty can
 16 probably be reduced because it is most likely associated with high frequency processes
 17 induced by the wind or river flux.

18 A major limitation is the lack of recent river flow data for the Wouri, with the latest
 19 available data dating back to the early 1990s. Consequently, the discussion regarding the
 20 effect of climate change on river flow remains speculative at this stage. Continuous
 21 monitoring of this river is important to obtain more reliable estimates of potential WL
 22 variations in the future.

23 Lastly, our estimates for tide amplitude, mean WL and maximum WL variations as a
 24 function of oceanic tide amplitude and river flow are based on an equilibrium state, assuming

1 regular tides and constant river flow. If river flow varies, especially reaching peak levels over
 2 a limited time period, our model may overestimate the mean and maximum WL. However, as
 3 described in Section 2.5, our model can be adapted to accommodate variable river flows. This
 4 adaptation could help estimate the typical timescale over which river flow variability can be
 5 neglected. We can derive an exact solution for a configuration where the WL is initially
 6 at zero and a river flux Q^{riv} is suddenly applied at $t = 0$. Neglecting oceanic tide, since we
 7 want to estimate the adjustment time period associated with an increase in river flow, the WL
 8 at equilibrium is $\overline{\eta_{DB}^{riv}}$ (given by Eq. 5). Equation 3 then becomes

$$9 \quad \frac{d\eta_{DB}}{dt} = -\Gamma \sqrt{\eta_{DB}} + \frac{Q^{riv}}{A} \quad (16)$$

10 which can be integrated to give a relationship between time and the WL η_{DB}

$$11 \quad t = \frac{-2}{\Gamma} \left[\sqrt{\eta_{DB}} + \frac{Q^{riv}}{\Gamma A} \ln \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{\eta_{DB}}}{Q^{riv}/\Gamma A} \right) \right] \quad (17)$$

12 Taking advantage of the definition of $\overline{\eta_{DB}^{riv}}$ (Eq. 5), this can be written

$$13 \quad t = \frac{-2Q^{riv}}{\Gamma^2 A} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\eta_{DB}}{\eta_{DB}^{riv}}} + \ln \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\eta_{DB}}{\eta_{DB}^{riv}}} \right) \right] \quad (18)$$

14 The equilibrium value can be considered reached when

15 $\sqrt{\eta_{DB}} \approx 0.99 \sqrt{\eta_{DB}^{riv}}$ and the adjustment timescale is thus given by

$$16 \quad T_{adj} = \frac{-2Q^{riv}}{\Gamma^2 A} [0.99 + \ln(1 - 0.99)] \approx 7 \frac{Q^{riv}}{\Gamma^2 A} \quad (19)$$

17 For the Douala basin, for the estimated Γ value and the given basin area, the adjustment
 18 timescale to reach equilibrium for an increase of river flow of $Q^{riv} = 1000 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ is $T_{adj} \approx 20$
 19 min. In practice, this is small enough to consider equilibrium (and the highest level) is
 20 systematically reached in the Douala basin, as assumed here.

21

22

23

24

25 **6. Conclusion**

26

27 This study allows to dissociate the effects of ocean tides and river flow in a
 28 coastal/estuarine basin with a significant flow constriction. At current river flow conditions,
 29 floods along the western side of the basin can be explained by interacting tides and river flow,

1

2

1 but severe flooding within Douala city cannot be attributed to surges from the Douala basin.
2 Our study indicates that, presently, only extreme river flows, exceeding 3000 m³/s, may result
3 in inundation of Douala city originating from the Wouri basin. Such extreme flows have
4 never been observed and remain unlikely. However, in addition to modifying river flows,
5 climate change will also result in a sea level rise of approximately 30 cm by the end of the
6 century (Cazenave and Cozannet, 2014; Fossi Fotsi et al., 2019). This elevation of the mean
7 ocean level will lower the threshold for flooding to about 0.7 m which can be much more
8 easily achieved and, in addition to the combined effect of ocean tide and river flow, other
9 processes can become important.

10 The wind is known to cause significant WL fluctuations in some lagoons, often associated
11 with seiche events (e.g., Chaigneau et al., 2022). Lorenz et al (2023) have extended
12 Stigebrandt model to take into account wind stress, which could be useful for the present
13 configuration, provided local wind can be observed/estimated. Given the limited size of the
14 Douala basin, seiche amplitudes should remain relatively modest, likely in the range of few
15 centimeters. However, even such a moderate surge could become significant in the context of
16 climate change.

17 In specific tidal estuaries or basins, sediment inputs from rivers combined with complex
18 dynamics have been documented to induce bathymetric alterations, thereby influencing water
19 level variations (Hoitink et al, 2017; Xie et al., 2017; 2018; 2022, Wu et al, 2020), a process
20 that we have neglected here. Bathymetric data acquired both before and after flood events, or
21 measurements of sediment stability, are of interest to evaluate bathymetry evolution. In the
22 long term, recent studies have shown significant accretion along the coastline of the
23 constriction (Fossi Fotsi et al, 2019). A narrowing or maximum depth reduction of the
24 constriction could have drastic consequences on its dissipation characteristics, and
25 subsequently on the maximum WL that can be reached in the basin. Finally, the combination
26 of different effects discussed above, such as bathymetric and basin area changes, along with
27 the modification of river flow could lead to enhanced impacts (Lorenz et al, 2023).

28 Our simplified model did not incorporate additional factors such as groundwater inputs,
29 smaller river inputs, high- frequency variations in ocean level (related to coastal waves, wind
30 effect or large-scale circulation on coastal WL) and evaporation. While these parameters may
31 have some impacts on Douala basin WL, their effects are expected to be limited and are
32 unlikely to alter our overall conclusions for the present conditions. However, they can become
33 significant if climate change drastically modifies the geomorphology of the estuary.

34 A major challenge we encountered in our study, which is a common issue in African

1 countries, is the lack of recent river flow data. While we use historic river flow rates in our
2 study, they may not accurately represent present conditions. It is crucial to monitor the Wouri
3 River flow to assess recent and future changes and validate the river flow range used in our
4 study. Satellite altimetric missions, such as the recent SWOT mission, hold promise for
5 providing virtual gauges for rivers worldwide, facilitating effective river flow monitoring and
6 flood warning systems. Finally, precise georeferenced topographic data of the city bordering
7 the basin would also help determine more precise thresholds for flooding.

8

9

10 **Acknowledgements.**

11 W. N. DIMA was funded through a scholarship grant of the German Academic Exchange
12 Service DAAD (Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst) within the framework of the "In-
13 Country/In-Region Scholarship Program" for sub-Saharan Africa. The study also benefited
14 from financial support from IRD (Institute of Research for Development). We would like to
15 sincerely thank the people who participated in the deployment and recovery of the sensors.

16 We also express our gratitude to LANGOUL Ulrich and AWOULMBANG SAKPAK
17 Thierry Derol for their assistance in acquiring certain data.

18 The manuscript also benefited from the corrections and suggestions of 2 anonymous
19 reviewers: their careful reading and many ideas have significantly improved the quality of the
20 document.

21

22 **References**

23

24 Abebe, Y., Kabir, G., & Tesfamariam, S. (2018). Assessing urban areas vulnerability to
25 pluvial flooding using GIS applications and Bayesian Belief Network model. *Journal of*
26 *Cleaner Production*, 174, 1629-1641.

27

28 Albrecht, N., & Vennell, R. (2007). Tides in two constricted New Zealand lagoons. *New*
29 *Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*, 41(1), 103-118.

30

31 Amanejieu, A. (2018). End of study work: "temporal analysis of the representation of flood
32 risk from 1980 to 2018 in douala-cameroon".

33

- 1 Barbone, E., & Basset, A. (2010). Hydrological constraints to macrobenthic fauna
2 biodiversity in transitional waters ecosystems. *Rendiconti Lincei*, 21, 301-314.
- 3
- 4 Bertoni, J.C. (2006). Urban flooding in Latin America: reflections on the role of risk factors.
5 IAHS-AISH publication, 123-141.
- 6
- 7 Besack, Felix & Onguéné, Raphaël & Rodrigue, Ebonji & Lawrence, Oben & Betsaleel,
8 Kouandji & Willy, Sone & Eyango, M. (2020). Small Scales Dynamics Inferred from Tidal
9 Measurements to Mitigate Daily Floodings in the City of Douala: A Case Study of the
10 Besseke's Flood Drain. *Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International*.
11 45-62. 10.9734/jgeesi/2020/v24i130193.
- 12
- 13 Bruckmann, L., Amanejieu, A., Moffo, M. O. Z., Ozer, P., 2019. Geohistorical analysis of the
14 spatio-temporal evolution of flood risk and its management in the urban area of Douala
15 (Cameroon). *Physio-Geo. Physical geography and environment (Volume 13)*, 91–113.
- 16
- 17 Buschman, F. A., Hoitink, A. J. F., Van Der Vegt, M., & Hoekstra, P. (2009). Subtidal water
18 level variation controlled by river flow and tides. *Water Resources Research*, 45(10).
- 19
- 20 Cai, H., Yang, Q., Zhang, Z., Guo, X., Liu, F., & Ou, S. (2018). Impact of river-tide dynamics
21 on the temporal-spatial distribution of residual water level in the Pearl River channel
22 networks. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 41, 1885-1903.
- 23 Cazenave, A., & Cozannet, G. L. (2014). Sea level rise and its coastal impacts. *Earth's Future*,
24 2(2), 15-34.
- 25
- 26 Chaigneau, A., Okpeitcha, O. V., Morel, Y., Stieglitz, T., Assogba, A., Benoist, M., ... &
27 Sohou, Z. (2022). From seasonal flood pulse to seiche: Multi-frequency water-level
28 fluctuations in a large shallow tropical lagoon (Nokoué Lagoon, Benin). *Estuarine, Coastal
29 and Shelf Science*, 267, 107767.
- 30
- 31 Duck, R. W., & da Silva, J. F. (2012). Coastal lagoons and their evolution: A
32 hydromorphological perspective. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 110, 2-14.
- 33

1 Essoh, W. S., Onguene, R., Ndong, B., Nshagali, G., Colmet-Daage, A., Marie, G., ... &
2 Braun, J. J. (2023). Using GIS and Multicriteria Analysis to Map Flood Risk Areas of the
3 Tongo Bassa River Basin (Douala, Cameroon). *Journal of Coastal Research*, 39(3), 531-543.
4
5 Fossi Fotsi, Y., Pouvreau, N., Brenon, I., Onguene, R., & Etame, J. (2019). Temporal (1948–
6 2012) and dynamic evolution of the Wouri estuary coastline within the Gulf of Guinea.
7 *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 7(10), 343.
8
9 Fossi Fotsi, Y., Brenon, I., Pouvreau, N., Ferret, Y., Latapy, A., Onguene, R., Jombe, D &
10 Etame, J. (2023). Exploring tidal dynamics in the Wouri estuary, Cameroon. *Continental Shelf*
11 *Research*, 104982.
12
13 Glenne, B., & Simensen, T. (1963). Tidal current choking in the landlocked fjord of
14 NordÅsyatnet. *Sarsia*, 11(1), 43-73.
15
16 Gonenc, I. E., & Wolflin, J. P. (Eds.). (2004). *Coastal lagoons: ecosystem processes and*
17 *modeling for sustainable use and development*. CRC Press.
18
19 Guo, L., van der Wegen, M., Jay, D. A., Matte, P., Wang, Z. B., Roelvink, D., & He, Q.
20 (2015). River-tide dynamics: Exploration of nonstationary and nonlinear tidal behavior in the
21 Yangtze River estuary. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 120(5), 3499-3521.
22
23 Harley, C. D., Randall Hughes, A., Hultgren, K. M., Miner, B. G., Sorte, C. J., Thornber, C.
24 S., ... & Williams, S. L. (2006). The impacts of climate change in coastal marine systems.
25 *Ecology letters*, 9(2), 228-241.
26
27 Hill, A. E. (1994). Fortnightly tides in a lagoon with variable choking. *Estuarine, Coastal and*
28 *Shelf Science*, 38(4), 423-434.
29
30 Hoitink, A. J. F., Wang, Z. B., Vermeulen, B., Huismans, Y., & Kästner, K. (2017). Tidal
31 controls on river delta morphology. *Nature geoscience*, 10(9), 637-645.
32

1 Hu, M., Zhang, X., Li, Y., Yang, H., & Tanaka, K. (2019). Flood mitigation performance of
2 low impact development technologies under different storms for retrofitting an urbanized
3 area. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 222, 373-380.

4

5 Jalón-Rojas, I., Schmidt, S., & Sottolichio, A. (2017). Comparison of environmental forcings
6 affecting suspended sediments variability in two macrotidal, highly-turbid
7 estuaries. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 198, 529-541.

8

9 Jalón-Rojas, I., Sottolichio, A., Hanquiez, V., Fort, A., & Schmidt, S. (2018). To what extent
10 multidecadal changes in morphology and fluvial discharge impact tide in a convergent
11 (turbid) tidal river. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 123(5), 3241-3258.

12

13 Junior Yves-Audrey Iroume, Raphaël Onguéné, Francis Djanna Koffi, Antoine Colmet-
14 Daage, Thomas Stieglitz, et al. The 21st August 2020 Flood in Douala (Cameroon): A Major
15 Urban Flood Investigated with 2D HEC-RAS Modeling. *Water*, 2022, 14,
16 ff10.3390/w14111768ff. ffird-03761838

17

18 MacMahan, J., van de Kreeke, J., Reniers, A., Elgar, S., Raubenheimer, B., Thornton, E., ... &
19 Brown, J. (2014). Fortnightly tides and subtidal motions in a choked inlet. *Estuarine, Coastal
20 and Shelf Science*, 150, 325-331.

21

22 Lorenz, M., Arns, A., & Gräwe, U. (2023). How sea level rise may hit you through the
23 backdoor: Changing extreme water levels in shallow coastal lagoons. *Geophysical Research
24 Letters*, 50(21), e2023GL105512.

25

26 Maicu, F., Abdellaoui, B., Bajo, M., Chair, A., Hilmi, K., & Umgieser, G. (2021). Modelling
27 the water dynamics of a tidal lagoon: The impact of human intervention in the Nador Lagoon
28 (Morocco). *Continental Shelf Research*, 228, 104535.

29

30 Martinis, S. (2017, July). Improving flood mapping in arid areas using Sentinel-1 time series
31 data. In *2017 IEEE international geoscience and remote sensing symposium (IGARSS)* (pp.
32 193-196). IEEE.

33

- 1 Morel, Y., Chaigneau, A., Okpeitcha, V. O., Stieglitz, T., Assogba, A., Duhaut, T., ... &
2 Sohau, Z. (2022). Terrestrial or oceanic forcing? Water level variations in coastal lagoons
3 constrained by river inflow and ocean tides. *Advances in Water Resources*, 169, 104309.
- 4
- 5 Munji, C. A., Bele, M. Y., Idinoba, M. E., & Sonwa, D. J. (2014). Floods and mangrove
6 forests, friends or foes? Perceptions of relationships and risks in Cameroon coastal
7 mangroves. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 140, 67-75.
- 8
- 9 Newton, A., Brito, A. C., Icely, J. D., Derolez, V., Clara, I., Angus, S., ... & Khokhlov, V.
10 (2018). Assessing, quantifying and valuing the ecosystem services of coastal lagoons. *Journal*
11 *for Nature Conservation*, 44, 50-65.
- 12
- 13 Oliveira, A. M., & Kjerfve, B. (1993). Environmental responses of a tropical coastal lagoon
14 system to hydrological variability: Mundau-Manguaba, Brazil. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf*
15 *Science*, 37(6), 575-591.
- 16
- 17 Olivry, J., 1974. Hydrological regime of the Wouri river and estimation of the contributions
18 received by the Wouri estuary and mangrove. Overseas Scientific and Technical Research
19 Office, ORSTOM. United Republic of Cameroon.
- 20
- 21 Paprotny, D., Sebastian, A., Morales-Nápoles, O., & Jonkman, S. N. (2018). Trends in flood
22 losses in Europe over the past 150 years. *Nature communications*, 9(1), 1985.
- 23
- 24 Sassi, M. G., & Hoitink, A. J. F. (2013). River flow controls on tides and tide-mean water
25 level profiles in a tidal freshwater river. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 118(9),
26 4139-4151.
- 27
- 28 Scanes, E., Scanes, PR and Ross, PM (2020). Climate change is rapidly warming and
29 acidifying Australian estuaries. *Natural Communications*, 11 (1), 1803.
- 30
- 31 Speer, P. E., & Aubrey, D. G. (1985). A study of non-linear tidal propagation in shallow
32 inlet/estuarine systems Part II: Theory. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 21(2), 207-224.
- 33

- 1 Stigebrandt, A. (1980). Some aspects of tidal interaction with fjord constrictions. *Estuarine*
2 *and Coastal Marine Science*, 11(2), 151-166.
- 3
- 4 Stigebrandt, A. (1992). Bridge-induced flow reduction in sea straits with reference to effects
5 of a planned bridge across Öresund. *Ambio*, 130-134.
- 6
- 7 Stocker, T. (Ed.). (2014). *Climate change 2013: the physical science basis: Working Group I*
8 *contribution to the Fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate*
9 *Change*. Cambridge university press.
- 10
- 11 Tagliapietra, D., Sigovini, M., & Ghirardini, A. V. (2009). A review of terms and definitions
12 to categorise estuaries, lagoons and associated environments. *Marine and freshwater*
13 *Research*, 60(6), 497-509.
- 14
- 15 Trisos, C., Adelekan, I., Totin, E., Ayanlade, A., Efitre, J., Gameda, A., Kalaba, K., Lennard,
16 C., Masao, C., Mgay, Y., Ngaruiya, G., Olago, D., Simpson, N., Zakieldean, S., 2022.
17 Africa. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, USA, pp. 1285–1455.
- 18
- 19 Tsalefac, M., Ngoufo, R., Nkwambi, W., Tatsangue, E. D., Fobissie, B. L., 2003. Frequencies
20 and quantities of daily precipitation on Cameroonian territory. *Publ. AIC* 15, 359–367.
- 21
- 22 Umgiesser, G., Ferrarin, C., Cucco, A., De Pascalis, F., Bellafiore, D., Ghezzi, M., & Bajo,
23 M. (2014). Comparative hydrodynamics of 10 Mediterranean lagoons by means of numerical
24 modeling. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 119(4), 2212-2226.
- 25
- 26 Viaroli, P., Lasserre, P., & Campostrini, P. (2007). Lagoons and coastal wetlands in the global
27 change context: impacts and management issues. *Hydrobiologia*, 577, 1-168.
- 28
- 29 Wilson, G. V., Rigby, J. R., Ursic, M., & Dabney, S. M. (2016). Soil pipe flow tracer
30 experiments: 1. Connectivity and transport characteristics. *Hydrological Processes*, 30(8),
31 1265-1279.
- 32

- 1 Wu, Y., He, Y., Lu, C., Zhang, W., & Gao, S. (2020). Feedback between channel resilience
2 and tidal dynamics in an intensively dredged tidal river. *Journal of Hydrology*, 590, 125367.
3
- 4 Xie, D., Gao, S., Wang, Z. B., Pan, C., Wu, X., & Wang, Q. (2017). Morphodynamic
5 modeling of a large inside sandbar and its dextral morphology in a convergent estuary:
6 Qiantang Estuary, China. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 122(8), 1553-
7 1572.
8
- 9 Xie, D., Pan, C., Gao, S., & Wang, Z. B. (2018). Morphodynamics of the Qiantang Estuary,
10 China: Controls of river flood events and tidal bores. *Marine Geology*, 406, 27-33.
11
- 12 Xie, D., Wang, Z. B., Huang, J., & Zeng, J. (2022). River, tide and morphology interaction in
13 a macro-tidal estuary with active morphological evolutions. *Catena*, 212, 106131.
14
- 15 Zheng, F., Westra, S., & Sisson, S. A. (2013). Quantifying the dependence between extreme
16 rainfall and storm surge in the coastal zone. *Journal of Hydrology*, 505, 172-187.
17
18
19