



HAL
open science

Structure and Chemical Composition of Soil C-Rich Al–Si–Fe Coprecipitates at Nanometer Scale

Floriane Jamoteau, Nithavong Cam, Clément Levard, Emmanuel Doelsch,
Ghislain Gassier, Adrien Duvivier, Adrien Boulineau, François Saint-Antonin,
Isabelle Basile-Doelsch

► **To cite this version:**

Floriane Jamoteau, Nithavong Cam, Clément Levard, Emmanuel Doelsch, Ghislain Gassier, et al..
Structure and Chemical Composition of Soil C-Rich Al–Si–Fe Coprecipitates at Nanometer Scale.
Environmental Science and Technology, 2023, 57 (49), pp.20615-20626. 10.1021/acs.est.3c06557 .
hal-04498067

HAL Id: hal-04498067

<https://hal.science/hal-04498067>

Submitted on 11 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Structure and chemical composition of soil C-rich
2 Al-Si-Fe-coprecipitates at nanometer scale

3 *Floriane Jamoteau^{1,2,3†*}, Nithavong Cam¹, Clément Levard¹, Emmanuel Doelsch^{1,2,3}, Ghislain*
4 *Gassier¹, Adrien Duvivier¹, Adrien Boulineau⁴, François Saint-Antonin⁴, Isabelle Basile-*
5 *Doelsch¹*

6 *¹Aix Marseille University, CNRS, IRD, INRAE, Coll France, CEREGE, Aix-en-Provence, France*
7 *; ²CIRAD, UPR Recyclage et risque, F-34398 Montpellier, France ; ³Recyclage et Risque, Univ*
8 *Montpellier, CIRAD, Montpellier, France ; ⁴Université Grenoble Alpes, CEA, LITEN, Grenoble,*
9 *France.*

10 *Corresponding Author: floriane.jamoteau@unil.ch.

11 †Present Addresses: Institute of Earth Surface Dynamics, University of Lausanne, Lausanne,
12 Switzerland

13

14 ABSTRACT. Soil carbon stabilization is mainly driven by organo-mineral interactions.
15 Coprecipitates, of organic matter with short-range order minerals, detected through indirect
16 chemical extraction methods, are increasingly recognized as key carbon sequestration phases.
17 Yet the atomic structure of these coprecipitates is still rather conceptual. We used transmission
18 electron microscopy imaging combined with EDX (energy dispersive x-ray) and EELS (electron
19 energy loss spectroscopy) chemical mappings, which enabled direct nanoscale characterization
20 of coprecipitates from Andosols. A comparison with reference synthetic coprecipitates showed
21 that the natural coprecipitates were structured by an amorphous Al, Si and Fe inorganic skeleton
22 associated with C, and were therefore even less organized than short-range order minerals
23 usually described. These amorphous type of coprecipitates resembled previously conceptualized
24 nanosized coprecipitates of inorganic oligomers with organics (nanoCLICs) with heterogeneous
25 elemental proportions (of C, Al, Si and Fe) at nanoscale. These results mark a new step in the
26 high-resolution imaging of organo-mineral associations, while shedding further light on the
27 mechanisms that control carbon stabilization in soil, and more broadly in aquatic colloid,
28 sediment and extraterrestrial samples.

29 KEYWORDS. Soil carbon cycle, organic matter, organo-mineral associations, coprecipitates,
30 transmission electron microscopy, EDX, EELS.

31

32 SHORT SYNOPSIS STATEMENT.

33 Structural characterization of organo-mineral associations of soils remains limited. This study
34 provides chemical mapping down to a few nanometers of the structure of these associations,

35 leading to a new conceptualization of the latter with implications for their fate and stability in
36 soils.

37 INTRODUCTION

38 Soil is the third largest reservoir of organic carbon (C) on Earth¹. A large part of this organic
39 C is found in form of organic compounds bound to minerals²⁻⁴, thereby shielding them from
40 microbial mineralization⁵, which accounts for one of the mechanisms for long-term organic
41 carbon persistence^{6,7}. These associations includes two interaction mechanisms at the molecular
42 scale: adsorption and coprecipitation^{3,8}. Adsorption refers to accumulation of organic compounds
43 on primary or secondary mineral surface with various bond types (classified as chemisorption or
44 physisorption). As for the formation of co-precipitates in soil, as defined by Kleber et al.³, it may
45 involve several interacting processes (complexation, precipitation and adsorption) between OM
46 and metal (mainly Fe and Al) species hydrolyzed, insolubilized and/or oxidized. In soils with
47 acidic pH ($\leq \sim 7$), organo-mineral associations mainly involve coprecipitates formed by
48 precipitation of organic compounds with secondary mineral phases or with elements derived
49 from mineral weathering containing Fe and Al⁹⁻¹². Coprecipitates are thought to have a major
50 role in soil C sequestration¹², yet their chemical composition and 3D atomic structure using
51 conventional geochemical techniques has been substantially hampered by three main challenges.

52 The first challenge relates to the analytical methods for detecting coprecipitates in soils.
53 Coprecipitates, in terms of crystalline structure, are intermediate between allophane and metal-
54 organic association. To detect this type of crystalline structure, wet-chemical extraction of soil is
55 a standard procedure (e.g. extracted by Na-pyrophosphate and to some extent by ammonium
56 oxalate–oxalic or hydroxylamine^{13,14}). Following these chemical extractions, the ionic

57 concentrations in solution (e.g. Fe, Al or Si) are used to recalculate the quantities of phases
58 initially present in the soil. Moreover, for some extractions (Na-pyrophosphate and
59 hydroxylamine) the detection of C in solution is used to detect its association with this type of
60 crystalline structure, presumably in the form of coprecipitates. However, no structural
61 information on coprecipitates can be obtained as wet-chemical extractions destroy the phases of
62 interest.

63 The second challenge concerns lab experiments. As it is hard to extract intact coprecipitates
64 from soils, in many studies they have been characterized using synthetic coprecipitates obtained
65 by coprecipitating organic matter (OM) with two metals, i.e. with Fe¹⁵⁻²⁵ and sometimes with
66 Al²⁶⁻²⁸. The choice of these metals is linked to their high complexation capacity with OM (Figure
67 1), and also to the fact that they are major elements in terms of concentration in most soils.
68 However, the assumption that only these two metals are involved in coprecipitate formation in
69 soils is simplistic since many other chemical elements derived from the weathering of soil
70 minerals (e.g. Si, Mg²⁺, K⁺, Na or Ca) are also present in the soil solutions in which
71 coprecipitation occurs.

72 The third challenge relates to the conceptual models used to represent coprecipitates at
73 molecular scales. In the literature, coprecipitates are generally represented by OM in contact with
74 well-known short-range order (SRO) minerals. For Fe, SROs are represented by aggregates of
75 spherical ferrihydrite nanoparticles^{3,23}, whereas for Al, amorphous Al oxyhydroxide, imogolite
76 or allophane phases are often mentioned but barely represented by a conceptual model, except in
77 Levard et al. (2012)²⁹ (Figure 1). There are two main reasons for this shortcoming in conceptual
78 molecular scale coprecipitate models: (1) firstly, unlike adsorption models on mineral
79 surfaces^{8,30}, the surfaces reactivity of the inorganic part of coprecipitates is difficult to determine

80 and predict, and (2) secondly, carrying out in situ coprecipitate analyses at the nanometric scale
81 with synthetic or natural soil samples is challenging.


82 Progress on the last two locking points has been achieved in recent laboratory studies.
83 Tamrat et al. (2018, 2019)^{31,32} synthesized coprecipitates from a biotite weathering solution.
84 They showed that the mono (K^+) and divalent (Mg^{2+}) ions remained in solution while the
85 coprecipitates formed 5- to -200 nm nanoparticles containing OM, Fe, Al, as well as Si. Using Fe
86 K-edge extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy, the local structure of
87 the coprecipitates was modeled as a loose irregular 3D network of amorphous small Fe, Si and
88 Al oligomers forming an amorphous and open-structured inorganic skeleton. Within this inorganic
89 network, the organic compounds would be linked in the network pores by Fe and Al bonds of the
90 skeleton, by monomeric Fe-O-C and Al-O-C, or by weak bonds with another organic molecule
91 (Figure 1). These results gave rise to definition of the nanosized coprecipitates of inorganic
92 oligomers with organics (nanoCLICs) concept. However, direct in situ characterization of
93 nanoCLICs has yet to be achieved.

94 Substantial advances have also been made in the in situ soil organo-mineral associations
95 analysis field³³. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) is the tool of choice to probe
96 coprecipitates at high spatial resolution through the analytical combinations offered by the
97 different sensors available in a single instrument. Electron diffraction can be used to differentiate
98 mineral-crystallized phases from poorly crystallized or even amorphous phases. Energy
99 dispersion X ray (EDX) and electron energy loss (EELS)^{34,35} spectroscopy can be used in
100 scanning TEM mode (STEM) to map the nanoscale elemental composition, with elemental
101 colocation resolutions of 10 nm^{36,37} and few Å^{34,35}, respectively. While the spatial resolution of
102 EELS is higher, its sensitivity is not as good as EDX for heavy elements. These two imaging

103 spectroscopy tools can therefore be used advantageously in a complementary way, but they have
104 yet to be markedly used in soil science research. EDX spectroscopy has mainly been used for
105 spot analyses^{38,39,40}. But recently Possinger et al. (2020)⁴¹ took up the challenge of mapping C
106 and N by EELS and revealed soil OM spatial heterogeneity patterns at the 1 nm scale. This latter
107 research has opened a promising avenue for the simultaneous characterization of organic and
108 inorganic coprecipitate phases at the nanoscale.

109 The aim of this study was to develop a conceptual molecular model of organo-mineral
110 coprecipitates that contribute to soil C stabilization. We focused on an Andosol due the high
111 abundance of these coprecipitates in this type of soil. We analyzed the crystallinity of inorganic
112 phases obtained by electron diffraction and performed STEM-EDX and TEM-EELS imaging to
113 collocate and quantify C, Al, Si and Fe at the nanometric scale. In support of our interpretations
114 of coprecipitate formation in natural systems, we also relied: (1) on synthesized coprecipitates
115 made with inorganic solutions from basalt and labradorite weathering to obtain solutions
116 containing a diverse range of elements closer to the elemental diversity in natural soil solution
117 (these two coprecipitates served as reference coprecipitates, denoted nanoCLIC_{Basalt} and
118 nanoCLIC_{Labradorite}) and (2) on statistical approaches based on a Python code we specifically
119 developed for TEM-EELS imaging. Our results demonstrate that the AAndosol coprecipitates
120 are structured by an amorphous Al, Si and Fe inorganic skeleton, and are therefore even less
121 organized than short-range order minerals usually described. These findings provide insights into
122 the nanoscale mechanisms that control C stabilization in soils.

123



124
 125 **Figure 1.** Molecular models of soil coprecipitates in the literature. Coprecipitates can be conceptualized with: (i) an inorganic phase of
 126 one or several 100 to 1,000 atoms forming a more or less organized crystal lattice, or so-called short-range orders (SRO). These SRO
 127 are imogolites or allophanes (composed of Si and Al) or ferrihydrate (composed of Fe; scheme adapted from Kleber et al.³); (ii) an

128 inorganic phase made up of only ~30 atoms with limited local organization, such as proto-imogolites (scheme adapted from Levard et
129 al.²⁹); and (iii) an inorganic phase involving the polymerization of only 2-3 atoms, the inorganic phase is composed of small
130 amorphous oligomers, without local arrangement called nanosized coprecipitates of inorganic oligomers with organics (nanoCLICs)
131 (scheme adapted from Tamrat et al.³²); and (iv) an inorganic part involving only one atom (e.g. Fe, Al or Ca) complexed with organic
132 molecules through a mono or bidentate bond.

133 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

134 2.1. Synthetic nanoCLICs preparation

135 Synthetic nanoCLICs were obtained using a protocol similar to that of Tamrat et al. (2019)³²,
136 which consisted of two main steps: (1) silicate weathering to obtain a solution with dissolved
137 elements, and (2) coprecipitation of the dissolved elements with an organic L-3,4-
138 dihydroxyphenylalanine molecule (hereby referred to as DOPA). The DOPA molecule was
139 selected due to its low molecular weight typical of organic compounds and because the DOPA
140 has the main functional groups of MAOM⁴². Moreover, using DOPA also avoided fractionation
141 uncertainty during coprecipitation³². This protocol was carried out using two types of minerals or
142 rocks, i.e. basalt and labradorite, to obtain weathered solutions with various dissolved elements.

143 Briefly, the weathering solutions were obtained as follows: basalt or labradorite were crushed
144 and particles between 50 and 100 μm were isolated by dry sieving. Then, to mimic mineral
145 weathering, 33 g of particles were agitated in 1 L of an HNO_3 solution at 10^{-2}M . After 3 months,
146 the solutions were filtered using tangential flow filtration (KrosFlo®) with a 10 KDa pore size
147 (corresponding to a pore size of about 2 nm). The dissolved elements were measured by ICP-
148 AES (see results in SI1). The weathering solutions were then diluted to obtain an Al+Fe
149 concentration of as low as 1.8 mM according to the protocol of Tamrat et al.³² (4-fold dilution
150 for the basalt weathering solution and 1.8-fold for the labradorite weathering solution). In a
151 second step, L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-DOPA) was added to 150 ml of the weathering
152 solutions to reach an atomic ratio of (Al+Fe):C = 1 (corresponding to an L-DOPA mass of 5.9
153 mg). The coprecipitation step involved titration of the solutions with NaOH (0.1 M) at an
154 hydrolysis rate of $600 \mu\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$. Titrations were performed at a fixed temperature of 25°C

155 using a thermostatic bath. Titrations were stopped when the pH reached 5 to be representative of
156 acidic soil conditions (210 min titration time). The coprecipitates obtained were sub-sampled by
157 the collection of a few ml of the solution. The sub-samples were kept at 4°C for microscopy
158 analysis to avoid micro-organisms development during storage. As demonstrated by Tamrat et al.
159 (2019)³², this procedure creates nanoCLICs, which is why these two coprecipitates obtained from
160 basalt and labradorite weathering solutions were called nanoCLICs_{Basalt} and nanoCLICs_{Labradorite}.

161 **2.2. Natural soil fine fraction preparation**

162 Natural soil was sampled on the island of Réunion, on the western side of the Piton des
163 Neiges shield volcano, 1720 m ASL (55°21.530 E, 21°04.700 S). The mean annual temperature
164 and precipitation are 13°C and 1,700 mm. The soil supports a forest composed predominantly of
165 tamarinds (*Acacia heterophylla*), philippia (mainly *Philippia montana*), ferns (*Histiapteris*
166 *incisa*) and bamboo (*Nastus borbonicus*). As a result of intermittent volcanic activity, this soil is
167 an Andosol and consists of a modern surface layer overlying a series of buried horizons. We
168 selected the sil-andic 4Bw horizon (90-190 cm depth, charcoal age of around 40 000 BP) for its
169 high content in low-crystalline phases associated with organic matter^{9,29}. X ray diffraction on this
170 horizon previously showed the predominant content of low-crystalline amorphous phase, with
171 some gibbsite and feldspar⁹. Low-crystalline amorphous phases have been characterized by
172 indirect spectroscopic techniques, showing a lower degree of polymerization than imogolites,
173 then referring to proto-imogolites in the papers by Basile-Doelsch et al. (2005, 2007)^{9,43} and
174 Levard et al. (2012)³⁰. The previous characterization of this horizon showed that it contains 60
175 gC.kg⁻¹ and had a pH of 5.2⁹.

176 The soil fine fraction was isolated as follows, in order to isolate them by a slight
177 disaggregation but without modifying the coprecipitates' structure: up to 2 g of humid soil was
178 dispersed in 100 ml of water by sonication (16 J.ml^{-1} by immersion probe; in an ice bath). After
179 48 h of decantation, the supernatant brown gel, enriched in coprecipitates was collected and few
180 μL were immediately deposited on microscopy grids and air-dried.

181 **2.3. TEM imaging, TEM-EDX and TEM-EELS chemical maps**

182 Solutions containing synthetic nanoCLICs or soil fine fractions were diluted with ultrapure
183 water, at 1/50 and 1/100 ratio, respectively, to limit the number of particles likely to clump
184 together on microscopy grids. Then 7 μL of the sample solutions were directly deposited on a
185 copper grid coated with a Lacey carbon film and air dried. This type of grid allowed us to
186 investigate the structure and chemical compositions of particles located on the holes to prevent C
187 signal from the Lacey C film.

188 Microscopic analyses were performed on and FEI OSIRIS transmission electron microscope
189 (TEM) operating at 200 kV. TEM was used to image the sample from the micrometer to the
190 nanoscale. The scanning transmission electron microscopy configuration was used to image the
191 sample with a very resolved probe size of 1.8 \AA and a 0.3 nA current. Two chemical mapping
192 techniques were used in STEM configuration, as detailed hereafter and in SI2, i.e. energy
193 dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) and electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) techniques.

- 194 • Following electron beam scanning, EDX mapping uses the energy of photons emitted
195 by a volume of the surface sample. EDX at 200 kV allows a wide range of elemental
196 detection. In our study, we focused on C, N, Si, Al, Fe, Ca, K and Mg. However, the
197 exact size of elementary collocations depends on the volume of interaction between

198 the electrons and the material. This volume is hard to estimate, but in STEM mode, it
199 can be about $10 \text{ nm}^{36,37}$. Yet the acquisition time necessary to obtain an exploitable
200 signal-to-noise ratio to compute the atomic proportions (at.%) remains long at the 10
201 nm scale, hence, atomic proportions are obtained in areas of several hundred $100 \times$
202 100 nm . The data were analyzed using Esprit software version 1.9 and atomic
203 proportions were calculated using the PB-ZAF interactive algorithm.

204 • Following the electron beam scan, EELS mapping uses the energy loss of the
205 transmitted electron beam to detect elements. This technique allows us to attribute a
206 very resolved elemental colocation size from a few Å to the nm scale, but the
207 sensitivity is weak for heavy elements (Egerton 2011). This technique allows
208 elementary detection on a range of up to 2000 eV. This range covers the C K-edge
209 (284 eV), O K-edge (532 eV), Fe L-edge (708 eV), Al K-edge (1560 eV) and Si
210 K-edge (1839 eV). However, this technique is more sensitive to light elements (e.g.
211 more sensitive to C K-edge detection than to Si K-edge detection), so it may
212 underestimate heavier elements such as Si³⁵. The analysis time per pixel is reduced as
213 much as possible to reduce beam damage, while ensuring elemental detection at the
214 desired scales. EELS ranging from 250 to 1224 eV were acquired first with a pixel
215 analysis time of 0.05 to 0.09 s. In a second step, EELS were acquired from 1,050 to
216 2,074 eV with a time analysis of 0.1 to 1.5 s per pixel. However, due to the potential
217 alterations to elemental fine structure resulting from beam damage^{45,46}, element
218 speciation is not interpreted in this paper. Data interpretation was done to obtain
219 spatially resolved elemental detection (e.g. extracted core loss signal). EELS data
220 were analyzed using Digital Micrograph software (version 2.32.888.0) and atomic

221 proportions calculated with power law fitted backgrounds and Hartree Slater cross
222 sections. Energy ranges for power law fitted backgrounds and Hartree Slater cross
223 sections are summarized in SI3.

224 EDX and EELS mappings are used as complementary methods rather than for comparative
225 purposes, as the thicknesses of the probed zones are unknown (and variable). Furthermore
226 performing EDX and EELS maps can lead to C deposition on the sample (electron-induced
227 carbon fixation from residual gases and local volatilization and re-adsorption from and onto the
228 sample)⁴⁷. To quantify these possible deposits, we synthesized coprecipitates from basalt
229 weathering solution without the addition of DOPA (referred to SRO_{Basalt}), which therefore did
230 not contain C. The EDX and EELS maps showed that the C atomic proportion was low (0.1-
231 0.2%; SI4). Hence, the C deposits were considered negligible under the analysis conditions used.
232 Moreover, TEM imaging, EDX and EELS mappings were carried out in chronological order, but
233 on different particles to avoid any artifacts induced by the preceding analyses.

234 **2.4. Nanoscale elemental colocation using EELS maps**

235 As explained above, the EELS technique allows elemental detection down to a few nm. In
236 order to analyze the elemental colocations of C with inorganic elements at the nanometer scale,
237 we detected the presence or absence of C, Fe, Al and Si elements in each pixel of about 10 nm
238 (pixel lateral distance) of the EELS maps. To automatically perform these elementary multi-
239 detections per pixel, we encoded a script in Python 3.9 that included the following steps:
240 Localization of the elementary thresholds of C K-edge, O K-edge, Fe L-edge, Al K-edge and Si
241 K-edge. Then a power law function fits and removes the background per elementary threshold,
242 as defined by Egerton (2011)³⁵. The energy range of the power law fits are mentioned in part


243 SI4. Then elementary detection was done by computing the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) adapted
244 from Egerton (2011)³⁵. The calculations are outlined in SI3. Finally, the script compiles all
245 elementary multi-detections per pixel into a spreadsheet.

246 **3. RESULTS**

247 **3.1. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging**

248 TEM imaging of nanoCLICs_{Basalt} and nanoCLICs_{Labradorite} (Figure 2; SI5) showed that they
249 had a gel-like texture. No crystal planes were detected at the scale of a few nm using high
250 resolution TEM. A fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the images at 10 nm scale did not show any
251 diffraction pattern (indicated by bright dots), thereby suggesting the absence of crystal lattices
252 (Figure 2). The local synthetic nanoCLICs structures were then very similar to each other and
253 characterized as a gel-texture electron-amorphous phase. Moreover, TEM images of the Andosol
254 fine fractions showed close similarities with the synthetic nanoCLICs—these fine fractions were
255 mainly composed of a gel-textured phase (Figure 2) that was also electron amorphous (no atomic
256 arrangement noted at the nanoscale by FFT). However, at microscale, Andosol fine fractions
257 appeared to have greater differences in thickness or density than synthetic nanoCLICs (wider
258 gray-scale contrast for Andosol fine fractions at microscale, Figure 2 and SI5). These differences
259 may also be partly induced by sample preparation (dilution and drying, method 2.3).
260 Furthermore, these analyses showed that these fine Andosol fractions did not have imogolite- or
261 allophane-like arrangements.

262




263
 264 **Figure 2.** Imaging and characterization of the structure of synthetic nanoCLICs and AAndosol
 265 fine fractions using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Scales range from the micrometer
 266 scale to a few nanometers (high-resolution TEM). On high-resolution TEM images (10 nm
 267 scale), the fast Fourier transform (FFT) shows electron diffractions characteristic of amorphous
 268 structures for synthetic nanoCLICs and for the Andosol fine fraction.

269
270

3.2. Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) mappings

271 Chemical EDX mappings of nanoCLICs_{Basalt} and nanoCLICs_{Labradorite} revealed a
272 homogeneous distribution of C across the analyzed areas (Figure 3A; SI6). However, C was not
273 detected alone, i.e., Al, Fe and Si were also jointly detected in all of the EDX maps. These
274 inorganic elements (Al, Fe and Si) were also homogeneously distributed in the sample and did
275 not form inorganic clusters. However, nanoCLICs_{Basalt} and nanoCLICs_{Labradorite} had different
276 inorganic compositions when colocalized with C (Figure 3B): nanoCLICs_{Basalt} showed C
277 colocation (59 at.%) with mostly Al (13 at.%), Fe (9 at.%) and Si (7 at.%) whereas
278 nanoCLICs_{Labradorite} had C colocation (32 at.%) with mostly Al (33 at.%) and Si (23 at.%) and
279 trace of Fe (0.3 at.%). These proportions demonstrated that coprecipitates could be formed with
280 different inorganic elements derived from mineral weathering and that the presence of Fe is not
281 necessary for nanoCLICs formation. Moreover, nanoCLICs_{Basalt} and nanoCLICs_{Labradorite}
282 detections revealed other elements, including K, Ca and Mg, but their elemental proportions
283 were negligible (1–3 at.%). Chemical maps of the Andosol fine fractions by EDX also showed
284 that C was heterogeneously detected throughout the sample with Al, Si and Fe (Figure 3A).
285 Overall, C (45 at.%; Figure 3B) was colocalized with Al (26 at.%) and Si (19 at.%) and Fe (5
286 at.%), but unlike the synthetic nanoCLICs, elemental proportions were more spatially
287 heterogeneous—some areas had a higher proportion of Al and Si (sub-area 1; Fe = 3 at.%), while
288 others had more Fe, Al and Si (sub-areas 2 and 3; Fe = 8-9 at.%) and some areas had a lower
289 proportion of C (sub-area 1; C = 24 at.%). In these sub-areas, the three predominant elements in
290 the coprecipitate structures were C (24–42 at.%), Al (20–40 at.%) and Si (18–24 at.%).

291



292 **Figure 3.** Chemical mapping of synthetic nanoCLICs and AAndosol fine fractions using STEM-
 293 EDX. (A) imaging using a high angular dark field detector and chemical mapping showing
 294 elemental detection of C, Fe, Al and Si. (B) The atomic proportions on each sample are from an
 295 area of $\sim 0.07 \mu\text{m}^2$, dotted shapes on (A); atomic proportions on sub-areas of AAndosol fine

296 fractions are shown with a solid line on (A); The proportions do not include O₂ and Cu. The
297 acquisition pixel size is of 4, 3 and 1 nm for nanoCLIC_{SBasalt}, nanoCLIC_{SLabradorite} and the
298 AAndosol fine fraction, respectively. Spectra used for atomic proportions are available in SI6.

299
300


3.3. Electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) mappings

301 Chemical EELS mapping results of NanoCLIC_{SBasalt} and nanoCLIC_{SLabradorite} were similar to
302 those obtained by EDX maps, i.e. an homogeneous distribution of C throughout all of the
303 analyzed areas and colocation of C with Al, Si and Fe down to the pixel scale (7-11 nm) (Figure
304 4A; SI7). NanoCLIC_{SBasalt} showed C colocation (53 at.%) with mostly Al (16 at.%), Fe (24 at.%)
305 and Si (8 at.%), while nanoCLIC_{SLabradorite} had C colocation (39 at.%) with mostly Al (34 at.%)
306 and Si (24 at.%) and little Fe (3 at.%). EELS maps results of the fine Andosol fractions were
307 similar to those obtained by EDX maps, i.e. an heterogeneous detection of C throughout the
308 sample (54 at.%), colocalized with Al (23 at.%), Si (15 at.%), and Fe (7 at.%). However, the
309 elementary colocation proportions were noticeably heterogeneous (sub-areas 4-6), with sub-area
310 4 rich in Fe (35 at.%) but it also included C (27 at.%), Al (22 at.%) and Si (15 at.%), while sub-
311 area 5 included a C-rich mixture (66 at.%) with Al (15 at.%), Si (10 at.%) and Fe (9 at.%), and
312 sub-area 6 included C-rich mixture (54 at.%) with Al (26 at.%), and Si (16 at.%) and little Fe (3
313 at.%).

314 At the nanometer scale of a single pixel (here from 6 to 10 nm of the lateral distance), the
315 signal-to-noise ratio was too low to compute the atomic proportions. However, in a given pixel,
316 we were able to obtain binary information (presence or absence of an element; method used
317 outlined in part 5.4, SI3 and SI8). The inorganic element(s) collocated with C at 6-10 nm scales
318 are summarized in Figure 5. The nanoCLIC_{SBasalt} results showed that 94% of C colocalized with

319 Fe+Al+Si. Little C was measured alone or just with Al or Fe at ~10 nm scale. Otherwise
320 nanoCLICs_{Labradorite} showed that C was predominantly colocalized with Al alone (48%) and
321 Al+Si (37%). Little C was measured alone (9%) at ~10 nm scale. Moreover, the Andosol fine
322 fraction results showed that C was mostly colocalized with mixtures of inorganic elements in
323 decreasing proportion, i.e. Al+Si (32%) > Al+Fe+Si (19%) > Al+Fe (19%) > Al (17%) > Fe+Si
324 (2%) > Si (1%). Consistent with the synthetic nanoCLICs findings, little C was measured alone
325 (6%) in the AAndosol fine fraction at the ~10 nm scale.

326




327

328 **Figure 4.** Chemical mapping of synthetic nanoCLICs and Andosol fine fractions using STEM-
 329 EELS. (A) image using an angular dark field detector and chemical mapping showing elemental
 330 detection of C, Fe, Al and Si. (B) Atomic proportions per sample on the whole images and
 331 atomic proportions on sub-areas of the Andosol fine fraction (sub-areas displayed with solid

332 lines). The proportions do not take oxygen into account. The acquisition pixel size was 8, 11 and
333 7 nm for nanoCLIC_{Basalt}, nanoCLIC_{Labradorite} and AAndosol fine fractions, respectively. Spectra
334 used for atomic proportion calculation are available in SI7.

335



337

338

339 **Figure 5.** Nanoscale elemental colocalization through EELS mapping of 6-10 nm pixels size.

340 The pixel sizes ranged from 6 to 11 nm (lateral distance). n stands for the number of analyzed

341 pixels with C detection. For each sample, two EELS maps were acquired, this histogram

342 summed the pixels of these two maps (including the EELS shown in Figure 4). A bar chart for

343 each EELS map is shown in Figure SI8. This compilation includes 49 pixels of 8 nm (lateral

344 distance) and 64 pixels of 6 nm for the nanoCLIC_{basalt}, 20 pixels of 10 nm and 70 pixels of 11 nm

345 for the nanoCLIC_{Slabradorite} and 276 pixels of 10 nm and 1517 pixels of 7 nm for the Andosol fine
346 fraction.


347 **4. DISCUSSION**

348 **4.1. First nanoCLICs model results on synthetic coprecipitates with multi-**
349 **elemental solutions.**

350 The nanoCLICs model proposed by Tamrat et al.³² (Figure 1) describes coprecipitates in
351 which organic molecules are bound (by covalent or weak bonds) to oligomers of 2-3 inorganic
352 atoms (Fe, Al, Si) structured in a loose irregular 3D network. The representation of this 3D
353 structure was deduced from EXAFS spectroscopy measurements and could be roughly 5 nm in
354 size. For the coprecipitates obtained from the basalt and labradorite weathering solutions, the
355 TEM approaches used in the present study helped validate this nanoCLICs structure model based
356 on the following results. Firstly, the chemical mappings with ~10 nm-pixel-size (from 6 to 8 nm
357 size for nanoCLICs_{basalt} and from 10 to 11 nm size for nanoCLICs_{Labradorite}) detected C in
358 majority (91–100%) along with one or more inorganic elements (Al, Si, Fe, see Figures 3, 4 and
359 5). Furthermore, the electron diffraction results (Figure 2) indicated that the atoms formed an
360 amorphous phase (hence without any electron-detectable crystalline arrangement). The
361 nanoCLICs model results regarding the size, composition or crystal structure criteria were thus
362 consistent for coprecipitates formed from the basalt and labradorite weathering solutions. Yet
363 beyond their common structure, nanoCLICs_{Basalt} and nanoCLICs_{Labradorite} were not identical in all
364 aspects. Prior to coprecipitation, basalt and labradorite weathering solutions contained dissolved
365 Al, Fe and Si, but also Mg and Ca (see Methods, no Fe in labradorite weathering solutions).
366 However, EDX and EELS chemical maps of nanoCLICs_{Basalt} and nanoCLICs_{Labradorite} did not
367 show any substantial presence of Mg and Ca in the synthetic coprecipitates (Figures 3 and 4).
368 These divalent elements thus did not appear to coprecipitate with OM up to pH ~5. A similar
369 trend had also been documented in coprecipitates from biotite weathering within the 4-7 pH
370 range for various OM concentrations^{31,32}. Our results confirmed that, regardless of the chemical

371 composition of the initial solution, mono and divalent cations did not enter the synthetic
372 nanoCLICs structure under acidic to neutral conditions. Otherwise, Al, Si and/or Fe were highly
373 present in nanoCLICs_{Basalt} and nanoCLICs_{Labradorite}. However, coprecipitation of nanoCLICs_{Basalt}
374 was incongruent since, relative to the initial solution, it contained a higher proportion of Al and
375 C, an equivalent or even slightly lower proportion of Fe, but a lower proportion of Si (SI6). In
376 nanoCLICs_{Labradorite}, however, the proportions of C, Al and Si present in the solution before
377 coprecipitation remained almost identical in the coprecipitates (SI9), thus reflecting congruent
378 coprecipitation. Based on these results, Figure 6 illustrates the nanoCLICs_{Basalt} and
379 nanoCLICs_{Labradorite} structures which could account for the possible heterogeneity of the
380 inorganic part of the nanoCLICs model (Figure 6). These nanoCLICs models may be used as
381 benchmarks to study, by direct comparison, natural coprecipitates in Andosols.

382



383 **Figure 6.** Conceptual molecular models of nanoCLICs' local structure observed in
 384 nanoCLICs_{Basalt}, nanoCLICs_{Labradorite} and in the Andosol. For the Andosol fine fraction, note that
 385 the model represents an elemental mix of C+Al+Si+Fe or C+Al+Si detected above 10 nm scale.
 386 Atomic proportions are deduced from larger-scale analyses from Figure 3 and 4 and should be
 387 taken with caution as it is not possible to represent all compositional variability at this scale.
 388 Also, organic matter is represented in this scheme by an identical small organic molecule,
 389 however, co-precipitated organic matter is most likely heterogeneous in composition and size.

4.2. Coprecipitates in Andosol fine fractions: are they natural nanoCLICs?

The local structure of the inorganic part of the Andosol coprecipitates analyzed in this study was characterized in a previous study²⁹, highlighting the absence of allophane spheres and imogolite tubes as well as very limited local organization of Al and Si atoms. The authors therefore concluded that the mineral part was likely rather poorly ordered proto-imogolites. Our results confirmed that the Andosol fine fraction consisted of an electron amorphous phase (Figure 2), indicating that the atoms were not organized in a crystallographic lattice. From a local structure perspective, the Andosol fine fraction coprecipitates were therefore very similar to synthetic nanoCLICs in which inorganic elements are structured in a loose irregular 3D structure of small amorphous oligomers forming an amorphous and open-structured mineral skeleton³². Otherwise, regarding their elemental composition (from EDX and EELS mappings), the Andosol fine fraction coprecipitates contained C, Al, Fe and Si in proportions quite similar to those of synthetic nanoCLICs (SI9). Our results thus suggested that the natural Andosol coprecipitates were mainly composed of organic molecules bound to an inorganic network of amorphous small oligomers (See SI10 for further clarification). However, it is likely that the organic compounds may also have simply been complexed with unpolymerized Al and Fe (monomers) within the coprecipitates. Figure 6 illustrates the Andosol coprecipitate structures, as done for the nanoCLICs_{Basalt} and nanoCLICs_{Labradorite}. In conclusion, the local structure and chemical nature of the Andosol coprecipitates were similar to those of both synthetic coprecipitates (no crystalline structure and elemental mix of C, Al, Si and \pm Fe down to 7 nm), thereby showing that the inorganic part that stabilized C in the studied Andosol could be assimilated to nanoCLICs. We hence propose to qualify the Andosol coprecipitates as ‘natural nanoCLICs’.

412

4.3. Heterogeneity of natural nanoCLICs in Andosol

413 The EELS and EDX results (Figure 3-6) showed that the composition of natural nanoCLICs
414 was somewhat heterogeneous. For example, the C+Al+Fe+Si mix was mainly located in sub-
415 area 5 (Figure 4), while the C+Al+Si mix was more prevalent in sub-area 6. Several non-
416 exclusive assumptions could be put forward to explain this heterogeneity: (i) It could be the
417 result of coprecipitation from locally different soil solutions since in the two synthetic systems
418 studied we found that the variability of elements present in the starting solution could create
419 nanoCLICs with inorganic phases of different compositions (i.e. Al+Si+Fe for nanoCLICs_{Basalt}
420 and Al+Si or Al only for nanoCLICs_{Labradorite}, Figure 5). Indeed, soil solutions can show
421 variations in element concentrations depending on their proximity to different types of minerals
422 of varying chemical compositions; (ii) Soil solution concentration heterogeneity could be related
423 to the extent of weathering of the minerals that provide the dissolved elements, particularly for
424 non-congruent weathered mineral phases³¹; (iii) Also, this degree of mineral weathering could be
425 locally variable depending on the biotic environment of the mineral (presence of root exudates,
426 microorganisms, etc.) and physicochemical (moisture, pH, Eh⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰) conditions. For Fe especially,
427 in an Andosol at the micrometer scale, coprecipitates were previously found to have less C
428 collocated with Fe when there were marked redox fluctuations^{51,52}. Redox fluctuations might
429 therefore induce Fe migration out of nanoCLICs. Fe could then migrate into re-oxidation
430 clusters, as observed in Figure 4..(iv) Finally, even if the speciation of organic matter could not
431 be probed here, different proportions of C were detected (at the centi-nanometric scale; Figure 3
432 and 4). These variations could result from different types of organic molecules or matter which
433 have more or less affinities for a given metal, and thus, could give elementary mixture
434 heterogeneities. These multiple factors would likely induce the formation of natural nanoCLICs
435 that could present very small-scale variations in Al, Si and/or Fe composition. In the Andosol,
436

437 natural nanoCLICs were thus mainly composed of nanoCLICsC+Al+Si (32%),
438 nanoCLICsC+Al+Si+Fe (19%), nanoCLICsC+Al+Fe (19%), nanoCLICsC+Al (18%). The local
439 variability in natural nanoCLICs is schematically illustrated in 2D in Figure 6, where Al, Si and
440 Fe might play different roles in the organic compound stabilization process.

441 **4.4. Different roles of Fe, Al and Si in organic compound stabilization in** 442 **nanoCLICs**

443 In the studied Andosol, Fe is not the major element expected to stabilize C in coprecipitates,
444 but rather Al and Si, often described in the form of allophane and imogolites^{54,55}. However, a
445 slight amount of Fe is detected in the coprecipitates of this andosol (up to 3 to 8 at.% in Figures 3
446 and 4, excepted area 4). In literature, Fe has long been identified for its high affinity to organic
447 molecules and put forward as playing a primary function in stabilizing OM in soils^{56-59,17,19-}
448 ^{21,23,24} as well as in sediments^{60,61}, and even in some Andosols^{62,63}. The short-range order form of
449 Fe, i.e. ferrihydrite (Figure 1), has been widely cited for its high reactivity, mainly related to its
450 large specific surface. This ferrihydrite + OM association was represented by Kleber et al.³ with
451 an interaction model that showed Fe octahedrons organized in spherical clusters of a few
452 thousand Fe atoms, and these clusters were aggregated together to form Fe oxy-hydroxide phases
453 of several tens of nanometers³. In the Andosol studied here, despite the presence of Fe, the TEM
454 maps did not highlight any such high Fe-rich zones over several tens of nanometers. Moreover,
455 the proportion of pixels in which C was only associated with Fe was very small (2%). Hence, we
456 concluded that Fe in form of ferrihydrite was not involved in the Andosol fine fraction
457 stabilization process. Most of the Fe was associated with Al or Si and the rest was locally more
458 concentrated in some areas (area 2 in Figure 3, areas 2 and 4 in Figure 4). This indicates that,
459 despite the fact that Fe was not expected in the coprecipitate of this andosol, it is important when
460 it is associated in nanoscale elementary mixtures as Fe+Al+Si, Fe+Al and Fe+Si (41% of the ~10

461 nm pixels had Fe+Al+Si, Fe+Al and Fe+Si detections). Similar results were obtained by
462 NanoSIMS on ferromanganese concretions in a Stagnosol⁵³. These authors showed that Fe and C
463 were not necessarily collocated at the submicron scale, confirming the necessity of spatially
464 resolved studies along with, a more frequent than previously thought, decoupling of Fe and
465 organic matter. As for Fe, Al has also long been recognized for its affinity to organic
466 molecules^{64,65,28}. Our results showed that Al appeared to play a major role in stabilizing organic
467 matter in Andosol fine fractions. Indeed, Al was colocalized with C in 89% of the pixels (Figure
468 5). 18% of the pixels showed collocation of C with Al alone. Al associated with C was also
469 mainly associated with Si (32%), with an Al/Si ratio of close to 2, potentially in a proto-
470 imogolite type of structure as described previously²⁹. Yet Al was also associated with Fe in the
471 presence (19%) or absence (20%) of Si. However, Si detection was probably underestimated by
472 EELS mapping (see Methods). Al hence appeared to play a central role in interactions with OM
473 within Andosol natural nanoCLICs. Unlike Al and Fe, Si is known to have low affinity to OM⁶⁶.
474 However, it was present to a substantial extent in the structure of nanoCLICs and, in most of the
475 nanoCLICs analyzed (except for nanoCLICs_{Basalt}), in a larger proportion than Fe. The presence
476 of Si in coprecipitates is described as being a factor that disrupts Al and Fe oxy-hydroxide
477 polymerization, thereby preventing their crystal growth into gibbsite, ferrihydrite or goethite⁶⁷⁻
478 ^{69,9,43,70}. The presence of Si could therefore maintain amorphous Al and Fe structures in the
479 oligomeric state, hence ensuring greater accessibility of reactive OH groups⁷⁰. Si would favor the
480 preservation of the very poorly polymerized state, maintaining the high specific surface area and
481 high reactivity of the inorganic skeleton of nanoCLICs³². Si would also limit the progressive
482 aging of Fe (and Al) phases towards better crystallized and more stable Fe (and Al) oxides⁷¹,
483 thereby ensuring OM stabilization within natural nanoCLICs.

484 5. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

485 The findings of this study showed that, using solutions containing various elements, the
486 coprecipitates formed are of the nanoCLICS type and so even less organized than SRO-OM
487 usually described. Thus, depending on the element concentrations and pH levels of these
488 solutions, the amorphous nanoCLICs-type of coprecipitates are likely to be formed in many
489 types of soils and environments at pH ~4–6, and not SRO-OM, as usually conceptualized in the
490 literature. In addition to their potential presence, their amorphous structure might lead to their
491 rapid fluctuations in ecosystems. In soils, the physicochemical conditions of a microsite can
492 change over time, especially in the rhizosphere^{72–75}. These changes disrupt some organo-mineral
493 associations and thereby allow access to OM (and thus nutrients as Fe and Si) previously
494 unavailable to microorganisms and plants. This sensitivity to disruption is higher for organo-
495 mineral associations with a lower crystalline inorganic part⁷⁶. Considering the amorphous and
496 heterogeneous nature of the inorganic part of nanoCLICs, nanoCLICs could be fairly unstable in
497 soil microsites and subject to disruption, particularly in the rhizosphere. NanoCLICs could thus
498 have a predominant role in plant and microorganism nutrient cycles. Moreover, the role of
499 coprecipitates has recently been highlighted in the maintenance of soil aggregates via their glue-
500 like properties^{77,78}. By their gel-like texture observed in this study, nanoCLICs could also be
501 involved in soil aggregate formation. However, nanoCLICs are hard to detect because it depends
502 on costly nanoscale analytical methods (hard to extrapolate to large scales). Despite these
503 obstacles, future research could be geared towards quantifying nanoCLICs in various
504 environments to gain further insight into their importance in rapid soil C stock dynamics, as well
505 as in other environments such as sediments.

506

507 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

508 **Supporting Information**

509 SI 1. Measurement of dissolved elements in the basalt and labradorite weathering solutions
510 obtained by ICP-AES.

511 SI 2. Table of microscopic analysis parameters used for TEM imaging and chemical mapping.

512 SI 3. Determination of the signal-to-noise ratio for the detection of C, O, Fe, Al and Si elements
513 per pixel.

514 SI 4. C contamination survey: EDX and EELS mapping on SRO_{Basalt}.

515 S I5. TEM images and EDX and EELS mapping summary.

516 SI 6. EDX spectra used in Figure 3 for atomic proportions.

517 SI 7. EELS spectra used in Figure 4 for atomic proportions.

518 SI 8. Summary of the acquired EELS data and bar plot of all acquired EELS maps with a lateral
519 pixel size of 6-10 nm.

520 SI 9. Comparison of atomic proportions between Al-Fe-Si and Al-Fe-C before coprecipitation
521 and in the nanoCLIC_{SBasalt}, nanoCLIC_{SLabradorite} and the Andosol fine fraction.

522 SI 10. Detailed information to interpret the inorganic part of co-precipitates as predominantly
523 oligomers.

524

525 **Funding Sources**

526 ANR (NanoSoilC project ANR-16-CE01-0012-02), the Equipex nanoID platform (2010-2019),

527 la Région SUD and CIRAD (Emploi Jeunes Doctorants, subvention n°2019_03559, DEB 19-

528 574).

529 **Notes**

530 Data is available upon request to the corresponding author. The code used for nanoscale
531 elemental colocation through EELS mapping is available at the following link:

532 https://github.com/FlorianeJamoteau/EELS_elemental_detection_and_multi-detections.

533 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

534 We would like to thank the research funding partners: ANR (NanoSoilC project ANR-16-CE01-
535 0012-02), the Equipex nanoID platform (2010-2019), la Région SUD and CIRAD (Emploi
536 Jeunes Doctorants, subvention n°2019_03559, DEB 19-574). We thank Jérôme Rose who
537 headed the Equipex nanoID platform that was used for the microscopy analyses.

538 **REFERENCES**

- 539 (1) Friedlingstein, P.; O’Sullivan, M.; Jones, M. W.; Andrew, R. M.; Gregor, L.; Hauck, J.; Le
540 Quéré, C.; Luijkx, I. T.; Olsen, A.; Peters, G. P.; Peters, W.; Pongratz, J.; Schwingshackl,
541 C.; Sitch, S.; Canadell, J. G.; Ciais, P.; Jackson, R. B.; Alin, S. R.; Alkama, R.; Arneeth, A.;
542 Arora, V. K.; Bates, N. R.; Becker, M.; Bellouin, N.; Bittig, H. C.; Bopp, L.; Chevallier, F.;
543 Chini, L. P.; Cronin, M.; Evans, W.; Falk, S.; Feely, R. A.; Gasser, T.; Gehlen, M.;
544 Gkritzalis, T.; Gloege, L.; Grassi, G.; Gruber, N.; Gürses, Ö.; Harris, I.; Hefner, M.;
545 Houghton, R. A.; Hurtt, G. C.; Iida, Y.; Ilyina, T.; Jain, A. K.; Jersild, A.; Kadono, K.;
546 Kato, E.; Kennedy, D.; Klein Goldewijk, K.; Knauer, J.; Korsbakken, J. I.; Landschützer,
547 P.; Lefèvre, N.; Lindsay, K.; Liu, J.; Liu, Z.; Marland, G.; Mayot, N.; McGrath, M. J.;
548 Metzl, N.; Monacci, N. M.; Munro, D. R.; Nakaoka, S.-I.; Niwa, Y.; O’Brien, K.; Ono, T.;
549 Palmer, P. I.; Pan, N.; Pierrot, D.; Pockock, K.; Poulter, B.; Resplandy, L.; Robertson, E.;
550 Rödenbeck, C.; Rodriguez, C.; Rosan, T. M.; Schwinger, J.; Séférian, R.; Shutler, J. D.;
551 Skjelvan, I.; Steinhoff, T.; Sun, Q.; Sutton, A. J.; Sweeney, C.; Takao, S.; Tanhua, T.; Tans,
552 P. P.; Tian, X.; Tian, H.; Tilbrook, B.; Tsujino, H.; Tubiello, F.; van der Werf, G. R.;
553 Walker, A. P.; Wanninkhof, R.; Whitehead, C.; Willstrand Wranne, A.; Wright, R.; Yuan,
554 W.; Yue, C.; Yue, X.; Zaehle, S.; Zeng, J.; Zheng, B. Global Carbon Budget 2022. *Earth
555 System Science Data* **2022**, *14* (11), 4811–4900. [https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-4811-
556 2022](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-4811-2022).
- 557 (2) Schmidt, M. W. I.; Torn, M. S.; Abiven, S.; Dittmar, T.; Guggenberger, G.; Janssens, I. A.;
558 Kleber, M.; Kögel-Knabner, I.; Lehmann, J.; Manning, D. A. C.; Nannipieri, P.; Rasse, D.
559 P.; Weiner, S.; Trumbore, S. E. Persistence of Soil Organic Matter as an Ecosystem
560 Property. *Nature* **2011**, *478* (7367), 49–56. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10386>.
- 561 (3) Kleber, M.; Eusterhues, K.; Keiluweit, M.; Mikutta, C.; Mikutta, R.; Nico, P. S. Chapter
562 One - Mineral–Organic Associations: Formation, Properties, and Relevance in Soil
563 Environments. In *Advances in Agronomy*; Sparks, D. L., Ed.; Academic Press, 2015; Vol.
564 130, pp 1–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2014.10.005>.
- 565 (4) Stoner, S. W.; Schrumpf, M.; Hoyt, A. M.; Sierra, C. A.; Doetterl, S.; Galy, V.; Trumbore,
566 S. How Well Does Ramped Thermal Oxidation Quantify the Age Distribution of Soil
567 Carbon? Assessing Thermal Stability of Physically and Chemically Fractionated Soil
568 Organic Matter. *EGUsphere* **2022**, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2022-624>.
- 569 (5) Hemingway, J. D.; Rothman, D. H.; Grant, K. E.; Rosengard, S. Z.; Eglinton, T. I.; Derry,
570 L. A.; Galy, V. V. Mineral Protection Regulates Long-Term Global Preservation of Natural
571 Organic Carbon. *Nature* **2019**, *570* (7760), 228–231. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-
1280-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-
572 1280-6).

- 573 (6) Balesdent, J.; Basile-Doelsch, I.; Chadoeuf, J.; Cornu, S. S.; Derrien, D.; Fekiacova, Z.;
574 Hatté, C. Atmosphere–Soil Carbon Transfer as a Function of Soil Depth. *Nature* **2018**, *559*
575 (7715), 599–602. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0328-3>.
- 576 (7) Shi, Z.; Allison, S. D.; He, Y.; Levine, P. A.; Hoyt, A. M.; Beem-Miller, J.; Zhu, Q.;
577 Wieder, W. R.; Trumbore, S.; Randerson, J. T. The Age Distribution of Global Soil Carbon
578 Inferred from Radiocarbon Measurements. *Nat. Geosci.* **2020**, *13* (8), 555–559.
579 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0596-z>.
- 580 (8) Kleber, M.; Bourg, I. C.; Coward, E. K.; Hansel, C. M.; Myneni, S. C. B.; Nunan, N.
581 Dynamic Interactions at the Mineral–Organic Matter Interface. *Nat Rev Earth Environ*
582 **2021**, *2* (6), 402–421. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00162-y>.
- 583 (9) Basile-Doelsch, I.; Amundson, R.; Stone, W. E. E.; Masiello, C. A.; Bottero, J. Y.; Colin,
584 F.; Masin, F.; Borschneck, D.; Meunier, J. D. Mineralogical Control of Organic Carbon
585 Dynamics in a Volcanic Ash Soil on La Réunion. *European Journal of Soil Science* **2005**,
586 *56* (6), 689–703. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2005.00703.x>.
- 587 (10) Finlay, R. D.; Mahmood, S.; Rosenstock, N.; Bolou-Bi, E. B.; Köhler, S. J.; Fahad, Z.;
588 Rosling, A.; Wallander, H.; Belyazid, S.; Bishop, K.; Lian, B. Reviews and Syntheses:
589 Biological Weathering and Its Consequences at Different Spatial Levels – from Nanoscale
590 to Global Scale. *Biogeosciences* **2020**, *17* (6), 1507–1533. [https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-1507-2020)
591 [1507-2020](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-1507-2020).
- 592 (11) Kramer, M. G.; Chadwick, O. A. Climate-Driven Thresholds in Reactive Mineral Retention
593 of Soil Carbon at the Global Scale. *Nature Clim Change* **2018**, *8* (12), 1104–1108.
594 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0341-4>.
- 595 (12) Rasmussen, C.; Heckman, K.; Wieder, W. R.; Keiluweit, M.; Lawrence, C. R.; Berhe, A.
596 A.; Blankinship, J. C.; Crow, S. E.; Druhan, J. L.; Hicks Pries, C. E.; Marin-Spiotta, E.;
597 Plante, A. F.; Schädel, C.; Schimel, J. P.; Sierra, C. A.; Thompson, A.; Wagai, R. Beyond
598 Clay: Towards an Improved Set of Variables for Predicting Soil Organic Matter Content.
599 *Biogeochemistry* **2018**, *137* (3), 297–306. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-018-0424-3>.
- 600 (13) Blakemore, L. C. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Soils. *N.Z. Soil Bureau Scientific*
601 *Report* **1987**, *80*, 71–76.
- 602 (14) Rennert, T. Wet-Chemical Extractions to Characterise Pedogenic Al and Fe Species – a
603 Critical Review. *Soil Res.* **2018**, *57* (1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SR18299>.
- 604 (15) Vilg -Ritter, A.; Rose, J.; Masion, A.; Bottero, J.-Y.; Lain , J.-M. Chemistry and Structure
605 of Aggregates Formed with Fe-Salts and Natural Organic Matter. *Colloids and Surfaces A:*
606 *Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* **1999**, *147* (3), 297–308.
607 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7757\(98\)00325-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7757(98)00325-2).
- 608 (16) Schwertmann, U.; Wagner, F.; Knicker, H. Ferrihydrite–Humic Associations. *Soil Science*
609 *Society of America Journal* **2005**, *69* (4), 1009–1015.
610 <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2004.0274>.
- 611 (17) Mikutta, C.; Mikutta, R.; Bonneville, S.; Wagner, F.; Voegelin, A.; Christl, I.; Kretzschmar,
612 R. Synthetic Coprecipitates of Exopolysaccharides and Ferrihydrite. Part I:
613 Characterization. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **2008**, *72* (4), 1111–1127.
614 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2007.11.035>.
- 615 (18) Karlsson, T.; Persson, P.; Skyllberg, U.; M rth, C.-M.; Giesler, R. Characterization of
616 Iron(III) in Organic Soils Using Extended X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure Spectroscopy.
617 *Environ Sci Technol* **2008**, *42* (15), 5449–5454. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es800322j>.

- 618 (19) Karlsson, T.; Persson, P. Coordination Chemistry and Hydrolysis of Fe(III) in a Peat Humic
619 Acid Studied by X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **2010**,
620 *74*, 30–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2009.09.023>.
- 621 (20) Eusterhues, K.; Rennert, T.; Knicker, H.; Kögel-Knabner, I.; Totsche, K. U.; Schwertmann,
622 U. Fractionation of Organic Matter Due to Reaction with Ferrihydrite: Coprecipitation
623 versus Adsorption. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *45* (2), 527–533.
624 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es1023898>.
- 625 (21) Karlsson, T.; Persson, P. Complexes with Aquatic Organic Matter Suppress Hydrolysis and
626 Precipitation of Fe(III). *Chemical Geology* **2012**, *322–323*, 19–27.
627 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.06.003>.
- 628 (22) Mikutta, R.; Lorenz, D.; Guggenberger, G.; Haumaier, L.; Freund, A. Properties and
629 Reactivity of Fe-Organic Matter Associations Formed by Coprecipitation versus
630 Adsorption: Clues from Arsenate Batch Adsorption. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*
631 **2014**, *144*, 258–276. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.08.026>.
- 632 (23) Eusterhues, K.; Neidhardt, J.; Hädrich, A.; Küsel, K.; Totsche, K. U. Biodegradation of
633 Ferrihydrite-Associated Organic Matter. *Biogeochemistry* **2014**, *119* (1), 45–50.
634 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-013-9943-0>.
- 635 (24) Chen, K.-Y.; Chen, T.-Y.; Chan, Y.-T.; Cheng, C.-Y.; Tzou, Y.-M.; Liu, Y.-T.; Teah, H.-Y.
636 Stabilization of Natural Organic Matter by Short-Range-Order Iron Hydroxides. *Environ.*
637 *Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (23), 12612–12620. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02793>.
- 638 (25) Possinger, A. R.; Zachman, M. J.; Dynes, J. J.; Regier, T. Z.; Kourkoutis, L. F.; Lehmann,
639 J. Co-Precipitation Induces Changes to Iron and Carbon Chemistry and Spatial Distribution
640 at the Nanometer Scale. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **2021**, *314*, 1–15.
641 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2021.09.003>.
- 642 (26) Masion, A.; Bertsch, P. M. Aluminium Speciation in the Presence of Wheat Root Cell
643 Walls: A Wet Chemical Study. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **1997**, *20* (4), 504–512.
644 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.1997.d01-86.x>.
- 645 (27) Masion, A.; Vilg -Ritter, A.; Rose, J.; Stone, W. E. E.; Teppen, B. J.; Rybacki, D.; Bottero,
646 J.-Y. Coagulation-Flocculation of Natural Organic Matter with Al Salts: Speciation and
647 Structure of the Aggregates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2000**, *34* (15), 3242–3246.
648 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es9911418>.
- 649 (28) Mikutta, R.; Zang, U.; Chorover, J.; Haumaier, L.; Kalbitz, K. Stabilization of Extracellular
650 Polymeric Substances (*Bacillus Subtilis*) by Adsorption to and Coprecipitation with Al
651 Forms. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **2011**, *75* (11), 3135–3154.
652 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.03.006>.
- 653 (29) Levard, C.; Doelsch, E.; Basile-Doelsch, I.; Abidin, Z.; Miche, H.; Masion, A.; Rose, J.;
654 Borschneck, D.; Bottero, J.-Y. Structure and Distribution of Allophanes, Imogolite and
655 Proto-Imogolite in Volcanic Soils. *Geoderma* **2012**, *183–184*, 100–108.
656 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.03.015>.
- 657 (30) Kleber, M.; Sollins, P.; Sutton, R. A Conceptual Model of Organo-Mineral Interactions in
658 Soils: Self-Assembly of Organic Molecular Fragments into Zonal Structures on Mineral
659 Surfaces. *Biogeochemistry* **2007**, *85* (1), 9–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-007-9103-5>.
- 660 (31) Tamrat, W. Z.; Rose, J.; Grauby, O.; Doelsch, E.; Levard, C.; Chaurand, P.; Basile-
661 Doelsch, I. Composition and Molecular Scale Structure of Nanophases Formed by
662 Precipitation of Biotite Weathering Products. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **2018**,
663 *229*, 53–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.03.012>.

- 664 (32) Tamrat, W. Z.; Rose, J.; Grauby, O.; Doelsch, E.; Levard, C.; Chaurand, P.; Basile-
665 Doelsch, I. Soil Organo-Mineral Associations Formed by Co-Precipitation of Fe, Si and Al
666 in Presence of Organic Ligands. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **2019**, *260*, 15–28.
667 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2019.05.043>.
- 668 (33) Weng, Z. (Han); Lehmann, J.; Van Zwieten, L.; Joseph, S.; Archanjo, B. S.; Cowie, B.;
669 Thomsen, L.; Tobin, M. J.; Vongsvivut, J.; Klein, A.; Doolette, C. L.; Hou, H.; Mueller, C.
670 W.; Lombi, E.; Kopittke, P. M. Probing the Nature of Soil Organic Matter. *Critical Reviews*
671 *in Environmental Science and Technology* **2022**, *52* (22), 4072–4093.
672 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2021.1980346>.
- 673 (34) Williams, D. B.; Carter, C. B. *Transmission Electron Microscopy*; Springer US: Boston,
674 MA, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-76501-3>.
- 675 (35) Egerton, R. F. *Electron Energy-Loss Spectroscopy in the Electron Microscope*; Springer
676 US: Boston, MA, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9583-4>.
- 677 (36) *Introduction to Analytical Electron Microscopy*; Hren, J. J., Goldstein, J. I., Joy, D. C.,
678 Eds.; Springer US: Boston, MA, 1979. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-5581-7>.
- 679 (37) Rez, P. A Transport Equation Theory of Beam Spreading in the Electron Microscope.
680 *Ultramicroscopy* **1983**, *12* (1), 29–38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3991\(83\)90302-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3991(83)90302-9).
- 681 (38) Chenu, C.; Plante, A. F. Clay-Sized Organo-Mineral Complexes in a Cultivation
682 Chronosequence: Revisiting the Concept of the ‘Primary Organo-Mineral Complex.’
683 *European Journal of Soil Science* **2006**, *57* (4), 596–607. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2006.00834.x>.
- 685 (39) Wen, Y.; Li, H.; Xiao, J.; Wang, C.; Shen, Q.; Ran, W.; He, X.; Zhou, Q.; Yu, G. Insights
686 into Complexation of Dissolved Organic Matter and Al(III) and Nanominerals Formation in
687 Soils under Contrasting Fertilizations Using Two-Dimensional Correlation Spectroscopy
688 and High Resolution-Transmission Electron Microscopy Techniques. *Chemosphere* **2014**,
689 *111*, 441–449. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.03.078>.
- 690 (40) Cornelis, J.-T.; Delvaux, B.; Van Ranst, E.; Rouxhet, P. G. Sub-Micrometer Distribution of
691 Fe Oxides and Organic Matter in Podzol Horizons. *Geoderma* **2018**, *323*, 126–135.
692 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.02.043>.
- 693 (41) Possinger, A. R.; Zachman, M. J.; Enders, A.; Levin, B. D. A.; Muller, D. A.; Kourkoutis,
694 L. F.; Lehmann, J. Organo–Organic and Organo–Mineral Interfaces in Soil at the
695 Nanometer Scale. *Nat Commun* **2020**, *11* (1), 6103. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19792-9>.
- 697 (42) Sutton, R.; Sposito, G. Molecular Structure in Soil Humic Substances: The New View.
698 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *39* (23), 9009–9015. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es050778q>.
- 699 (43) Basile-Doelsch, I.; Amundson, R.; Stone, W. E. E.; Borschneck, D.; Bottero, J. Y.;
700 Moustier, S.; Masin, F.; Colin, F. Mineral Control of Carbon Pools in a Volcanic Soil
701 Horizon. *Geoderma* **2007**, *137* (3), 477–489.
702 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2006.10.006>.
- 703 (44) Silva, J. H. S.; Deenik, J. L.; Yost, R. S.; Bruland, G. L.; Crow, S. E. Improving Clay
704 Content Measurement in Oxidic and Volcanic Ash Soils of Hawaii by Increasing
705 Dispersant Concentration and Ultrasonic Energy Levels. *Geoderma* **2015**, *237–238*, 211–
706 223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.09.008>.
- 707 (45) Vesely, D. Electron Beam Damage of Amorphous Synthetic Polymers. *Ultramicroscopy*
708 **1984**, *14* (3), 279–290. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3991\(84\)90096-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3991(84)90096-2).

- 709 (46) Libera, M. R.; Egerton, R. F. Advances in the Transmission Electron Microscopy of
710 Polymers. *Polymer Reviews* **2010**, *50* (3), 321–339.
711 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15583724.2010.493256>.
- 712 (47) Bret, T.; Mauron, S.; Utke, I.; Hoffmann, P. Characterization of Focused Electron Beam
713 Induced Carbon Deposits from Organic Precursors. *Microelectronic Engineering* **2005**, *78–*
714 *79*, 300–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mee.2005.01.006>.
- 715 (48) Smits, M. M.; Wallander, H. Chapter 3 - Role of Mycorrhizal Symbiosis in Mineral
716 Weathering and Nutrient Mining from Soil Parent Material. In *Mycorrhizal Mediation of*
717 *Soil*; Johnson, N. C., Gehring, C., Jansa, J., Eds.; Elsevier, 2017; pp 35–46.
718 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804312-7.00003-6>.
- 719 (49) Samuels, T.; Bryce, C.; Landenmark, H.; Marie-Loudon, C.; Nicholson, N.; Stevens, A. H.;
720 Cockell, C. Microbial Weathering of Minerals and Rocks in Natural Environments. In
721 *Biogeochemical Cycles*; American Geophysical Union (AGU), 2020; pp 59–79.
722 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119413332.ch3>.
- 723 (50) Wild, B.; Imfeld, G.; Daval, D. Direct Measurement of Fungal Contribution to Silicate
724 Weathering Rates in Soil. *Geology* **2021**, *49* (9), 1055–1058.
725 <https://doi.org/10.1130/G48706.1>.
- 726 (51) Inagaki, T. M.; Possinger, A. R.; Grant, K. E.; Schweizer, S. A.; Mueller, C. W.; Derry, L.
727 A.; Lehmann, J.; Kögel-Knabner, I. Subsoil Organo-Mineral Associations under
728 Contrasting Climate Conditions. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **2020**, *270*, 244–263.
729 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2019.11.030>.
- 730 (52) Possinger, A. R.; Bailey, S. W.; Inagaki, T. M.; Kögel-Knabner, I.; Dynes, J. J.; Arthur, Z.
731 A.; Lehmann, J. Organo-Mineral Interactions and Soil Carbon Mineralizability with
732 Variable Saturation Cycle Frequency. *Geoderma* **2020**, *375*, 114483.
733 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114483>.
- 734 (53) Rennert, T.; Händel, M.; Höschen, C.; Lugmeier, J.; Steffens, M.; Totsche, K. U. A
735 NanoSIMS Study on the Distribution of Soil Organic Matter, Iron and Manganese in a
736 Nodule from a Stagnosol. *European Journal of Soil Science* **2014**, *65* (5), 684–692.
737 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12157>.
- 738 (54) Wada, K.; Harward, M. E. Amorphous Clay Constituents of Soils. In *Advances in*
739 *Agronomy*; Brady, N. C., Ed.; Academic Press, 1974; Vol. 26, pp 211–260.
740 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113\(08\)60872-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(08)60872-X).
- 741 (55) Henmi, T.; Wada, K. Morphology and Composition of Allophane. *American Mineralogist*
742 **1976**, *61* (5–6), 379–390.
- 743 (56) Vilgé-Ritter, A.; Rose, J.; Masion, A.; Bottero, J.-Y.; Lainé, J.-M. Chemistry and Structure
744 of Aggregates Formed with Fe-Salts and Natural Organic Matter. *Colloids and Surfaces A:*
745 *Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* **1999**, *147* (3), 297–308.
746 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7757\(98\)00325-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7757(98)00325-2).
- 747 (57) Schwertmann, U.; Wagner, F.; Knicker, H. Ferrihydrite–Humic Associations. *Soil Science*
748 *Society of America Journal* **2005**, *69* (4), 1009–1015.
749 <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2004.0274>.
- 750 (58) Wagai, R.; Mayer, L. M. Sorptive Stabilization of Organic Matter in Soils by Hydrous Iron
751 Oxides. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **2007**, *71* (1), 25–35.
752 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.08.047>.

- 753 (59) Karlsson, T.; Persson, P.; Skjellberg, U.; Mörth, C.-M.; Giesler, R. Characterization of
754 Iron(III) in Organic Soils Using Extended X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure Spectroscopy.
755 *Environ Sci Technol* **2008**, *42* (15), 5449–5454. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es800322j>.
- 756 (60) Lalonde, K.; Mucci, A.; Ouellet, A.; Gélinas, Y. Preservation of Organic Matter in
757 Sediments Promoted by Iron. *Nature* **2012**, *483* (7388), 198–200.
758 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10855>.
- 759 (61) Nitzsche, K. N.; Kayler, Z. E.; Premke, K.; Gessler, A.; Wagai, R. Divergent Roles of Iron
760 and Aluminum in Sediment Organic Matter Association at the Terrestrial–Aquatic
761 Interface. *Biogeochemistry* **2022**, *157* (3), 355–378. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-021-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-021-00878-5)
762 [00878-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-021-00878-5).
- 763 (62) Filimonova, S.; Kaufhold, S.; Wagner, F. E.; Häusler, W.; Kögel-Knabner, I. The Role of
764 Allophane Nano-Structure and Fe Oxide Speciation for Hosting Soil Organic Matter in an
765 Allophanic Andosol. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **2016**, *180*, 284–302.
766 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.02.033>.
- 767 (63) Thomas-Arrigo, L. K.; Kretschmar, R. Iron Speciation Changes and Mobilization of
768 Colloids during Redox Cycling in Fe-Rich, Icelandic Peat Soils. *Geoderma* **2022**, *428*,
769 116217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2022.116217>.
- 770 (64) Scheel, T.; Dörfler, C.; Kalbitz, K. Precipitation of Dissolved Organic Matter by Aluminum
771 Stabilizes Carbon in Acidic Forest Soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **2007**, *71*
772 (1), 64–74. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2006.0111>.
- 773 (65) Schneider, M. P. W.; Scheel, T.; Mikutta, R.; van Hees, P.; Kaiser, K.; Kalbitz, K. Sorptive
774 Stabilization of Organic Matter by Amorphous Al Hydroxide. *Geochimica et*
775 *Cosmochimica Acta* **2010**, *74* (5), 1606–1619. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2009.12.017>.
- 776 (66) Pokrovski, G. S.; Schott, J. Experimental Study of the Complexation of Silicon and
777 Germanium with Aqueous Organic Species: Implications for Germanium and Silicon
778 Transport and Ge/Si Ratio in Natural Waters. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **1998**, *62*
779 (21), 3413–3428. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037\(98\)00249-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(98)00249-X).
- 780 (67) Doelsch, E.; Rose, J.; Masion, A.; Bottero, J. Y.; Nahon, D.; Bertsch, P. M. Speciation and
781 Crystal Chemistry of Iron(III) Chloride Hydrolyzed in the Presence of SiO₄ Ligands. 1. An
782 Fe K-Edge EXAFS Study. *Langmuir* **2000**, *16* (10), 4726–4731.
783 <https://doi.org/10.1021/la991378h>.
- 784 (68) Doelsch, E.; Stone, W. E. E.; Petit, S.; Masion, A.; Rose, J.; Bottero, J.-Y.; Nahon, D.
785 Speciation and Crystal Chemistry of Fe(III) Chloride Hydrolyzed in the Presence of SiO₄
786 Ligands. 2. Characterization of Si–Fe Aggregates by FTIR and ²⁹Si Solid-State NMR.
787 *Langmuir* **2001**, *17* (5), 1399–1405. <https://doi.org/10.1021/la0013188>.
- 788 (69) Châtellier, X.; West, M. M.; Rose, J.; Fortin, D.; Leppard, G. G.; Ferris, F. G.
789 Characterization of Iron-Oxides Formed by Oxidation of Ferrous Ions in the Presence of
790 Various Bacterial Species and Inorganic Ligands. *Geomicrobiology Journal* **2004**, *21* (2),
791 99–112. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450490266343>.
- 792 (70) Lenhardt, K. R.; Breitzke, H.; Buntkowsky, G.; Mikutta, C.; Rennert, T. Interactions of
793 Dissolved Organic Matter with Short-Range Ordered Aluminosilicates by Adsorption and
794 Co-Precipitation. *Geoderma* **2022**, *423*, 115960.
795 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2022.115960>.
- 796 (71) Jones, A. M.; Collins, R. N.; Rose, J.; Waite, T. D. The Effect of Silica and Natural Organic
797 Matter on the Fe(II)-Catalysed Transformation and Reactivity of Fe(III) Minerals.

- 798 *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **2009**, 73 (15), 4409–4422.
799 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2009.04.025>.
- 800 (72) Keiluweit, M.; Bougoure, J. J.; Nico, P. S.; Pett-Ridge, J.; Weber, P. K.; Kleber, M. Mineral
801 Protection of Soil Carbon Counteracted by Root Exudates. *Nature Climate Change* **2015**, 5
802 (6), 588–595. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2580>.
- 803 (73) Jilling, A.; Keiluweit, M.; Gutknecht, J. L. M.; Grandy, A. S. Priming Mechanisms
804 Providing Plants and Microbes Access to Mineral-Associated Organic Matter. *Soil Biology*
805 *and Biochemistry* **2021**, 158, 108265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108265>.
- 806 (74) Bernard, L.; Basile-Doelsch, I.; Derrien, D.; Fanin, N.; Fontaine, S.; Guenet, B.; Karimi, B.;
807 Marsden, C.; Maron, P.-A. Advancing the Mechanistic Understanding of the Priming Effect
808 on Soil Organic Matter Mineralisation. *Functional Ecology* **2022**, n/a (n/a).
809 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.14038>.
- 810 (75) Arredondo, M. G.; Fang, Y.; Jones, M.; Yabusaki, S. B.; Cardon, Z.; Keiluweit, M.
811 Resolving Dynamic Mineral-Organic Interactions in the Rhizosphere by Combining In-Situ
812 Microsensors with Plant-Soil Reactive Transport Modeling. Rochester, NY March 24,
813 2023. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4385696>.
- 814 (76) Li, H.; Bölscher, T.; Winnick, M.; Tfaily, M. M.; Cardon, Z. G.; Keiluweit, M. Simple
815 Plant and Microbial Exudates Destabilize Mineral-Associated Organic Matter via Multiple
816 Pathways. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, 55 (5), 3389–3398.
817 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04592>.
- 818 (77) Wagai, R.; Kajiura, M.; Uchida, M.; Asano, M. Distinctive Roles of Two Aggregate
819 Binding Agents in Allophanic Andisols: Young Carbon and Poorly-Crystalline Metal
820 Phases with Old Carbon. *Soil Systems* **2018**, 2 (2), 29.
821 <https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems2020029>.
- 822 (78) Wagai, R.; Kajiura, M.; Asano, M. Iron and Aluminum Association with Microbially
823 Processed Organic Matter via Meso-Density Aggregate Formation across Soils: Organo-
824 Metallic Glue Hypothesis. *SOIL* **2020**, 6 (2), 597–627. [https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-6-597-](https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-6-597-2020)
825 [2020](https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-6-597-2020).
826