

PET Imaging in Neuro-Oncology: An Update and Overview of a Rapidly Growing Area

Antoine Verger, Aurélie Kas, Jacques Darcourt, Eric Guedj

► To cite this version:

Antoine Verger, Aurélie Kas, Jacques Darcourt, Eric Guedj. PET Imaging in Neuro-Oncology: An Update and Overview of a Rapidly Growing Area. Cancers, 2022, 14 (5), pp.1103. 10.3390/cancers14051103 . hal-04497341

HAL Id: hal-04497341 https://hal.science/hal-04497341

Submitted on 9 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





PET Imaging in Neuro-Oncology: An Update and Overview of a Rapidly Growing Area

Antoine Verger ^{1,2,*}, Aurélie Kas ^{3,4}, Jacques Darcourt ^{5,6} and Eric Guedj ^{7,8,9}

- ¹ Department of Nuclear Medicine & Nancyclotep Imaging Platform, CHRU-Nancy, Université de Lorraine, 54000 Nancy, France
- ² IADI, INSERM, UMR 1254, Université de Lorraine, 54000 Nancy, France
- ³ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, APHP Sorbonne-Université, 75000 Paris, France; aurelie.kas@aphp.fr
- ⁴ Laboratoire d'Imagerie Biomédicale, Sorbonne Université, 75000 Paris, France
- ⁵ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Centre Antoine-Lacassagne, Université Côte d'Azur (UCA), 06189 Nice, France; jacques.darcourt@univ-cotedazur.fr
- ⁶ Laboratory Transporter in Imaging and Radiotherapy in Oncology (TIRO), UMR E 4320, CEA, UCA, 06189 Nice, France
- ⁷ Department of Nuclear Medicine, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Marseille, Aix-Marseille Université, Timone University Hospital, 13000 Marseille, France; eric.guedj@univ-amu.fr
- 8 CERIMED, Aix-Marseille Université, 13000 Marseille, France
- ⁹ Ecole Centrale de Marseille, UMR 7249, Institut Fresnel, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, 13000 Marseille, France
- * Correspondence: a.verger@chru-nancy.fr; Tel.: +33-3-83-15-55-67; Fax: +33-3-83-15-38-39

Simple Summary: Positron emission tomography (PET) is a functional imaging technique which plays an increasingly important role in the management of brain tumors. Owing different radiotracers, PET allows to image different metabolic aspects of the brain tumors. This review outlines currently available PET radiotracers and their respective indications in neuro-oncology. It specifically focuses on the investigation of gliomas, meningiomas, primary central nervous system lymphomas as well as brain metastases. Recent advances in the production of PET radiotracers, image analyses and translational applications to peptide radionuclide receptor therapy, which allow to treat brain tumors with radiotracers, are also discussed. The objective of this review is to provide a comprehensive overview of PET imaging's potential in neuro-oncology as an adjunct to brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Abstract: PET plays an increasingly important role in the management of brain tumors. This review outlines currently available PET radiotracers and their respective indications. It specifically focuses on ¹⁸F-FDG, amino acid and somatostatin receptor radiotracers, for imaging gliomas, meningiomas, primary central nervous system lymphomas as well as brain metastases. Recent advances in radiopharmaceuticals, image analyses and translational applications to therapy are also discussed. The objective of this review is to provide a comprehensive overview of PET imaging's potential in neuro-oncology as an adjunct to brain MRI for all medical professionals implicated in brain tumor diagnosis and care.

Keywords: PET; neuro-oncology; FDG; amino acid; somatostatin; glioma; meningioma; primary central nervous system lymphoma; brain metastases; peptide radionuclide therapy

1. Introduction

With an incidence rate of $12.0/10^5$ per 100,000 person-years, primary central nervous system (CNS) tumors are rare tumors but represent a major public health issue as they are associated with high morbidity and mortality [1]. Among primary brain tumors, glioblastomas are the most commonly occurring malignant brain tumors (14.6% of all



Citation: Verger, A.; Kas, A.; Darcourt, J.; Guedj, E. PET Imaging in Neuro-Oncology: An Update and Overview of a Rapidly Growing Area. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 1103. https:// doi.org/10.3390/cancers14051103

Academic Editor: Hirohito Yano

Received: 17 January 2022 Accepted: 19 February 2022 Published: 22 February 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). primary CNS tumors), whereas meningiomas represent the most commonly detected nonmalignant tumors (37.6% of all primary CNS tumors) [2]. Among the malignant brain tumors, with an annual age-adjusted incidence rate of 0.43 per 100,000 persons, primary CNS lymphomas represent the fourth most common cause of malignant brain tumors after glioblastomas, glioma malignant NOS and diffuse astrocytomas [2]. Brain metastases are the most commonly diagnosed CNS tumors and cause significant morbidity and mortality. Brain metastases are estimated to occur as much as 10 times more frequently than primary malignant brain tumors and represent 9–10% of all cancer diagnoses [3]. Lung cancer, breast cancer, and melanomas continue to be the leading primary cancer sites for brain metastases [3].

Positron Emission Tomography (PET) provides insights into the biology of brain tumors that extend beyond MRI and that can be used for differential diagnosis, noninvasive grading, delineating the extent of tumor involvement, for planning surgery and radiotherapy, and for post-treatment monitoring and prognostics. One of the main advantages of PET radiotracers over conventional MRI is that, in addition to image-specific pathophysiological mechanisms, these radiotracers are in most cases independent of disruption of the blood brain barrier (BBB) as opposed to contrast-enhanced MRI sequences [4].

Among the PET radiotracers, 18F-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (¹⁸F-FDG) is the most widely available and commonly used in clinical nuclear medicine [5]. Tumor cells are characterized by increased glycolytic metabolism mediated through the GLUT-1 transporters, in parallel with increased cell proliferation and a loss of differentiation [6]. The high ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in surrounding normal brain tissue, specifically due to the GLUT-3 transporters, does, however, limit the use of this radiotracer for imaging specific brain tumors, particularly low-grade gliomas, which may not be visible with ¹⁸F-FDG [4]. Amino acid PET tracers are characterized by their relatively low uptake in normal grey matter, and can therefore detect gliomas with greater sensitivity than ¹⁸F-FDG in primary and recurrent tumors which is informative for differentiating recurrent tumors from treatmentinduced changes [7]. The three most widely used amino acid PET radiotracers, namely 11C-methyl-methionine (¹¹C-MET), O-(2-[18F]-fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine (¹⁸F-FET) and 3,4dihydroxy-6-[18F]-fluoro-L-phenylalanine (¹⁸F-FDOPA), the latter with a physiological uptake in the basal ganglia, enter cells through the L-amino acid transporters that are overexpressed in gliomas and brain metastases [8–10]. However, L-amino acid transporter expression was still present in photopenic gliomas on ¹⁸F-FET, making it less clear what drives amino acid uptake [11]. The transport and uptake of amino acid PET radiotracers are independent of the blood brain barrier. Because somatostatin receptors (SSTR) are overexpressed in meningioma, particularly SSTR subtype 2 [12,13], radiolabeled SSTR ligands allow to visualize meningiomas. The ⁶⁸Ga-labeled tracers DOTA-D-Phe1-Tyr3-octreotate (DOTATATE) targeting SSTR subtype 2 receptors, and DOTA-Tyr3-octreotide (DOTATOC) targeting SSTR subtype 2 and 5 receptors, are the most used radiotracers in the clinical management of meningiomas. These tracers provide an excellent lesion-to-background contrast, which is due to their low uptake in healthy brain parenchyma [14,15].

Medical professionals, implicated in brain tumor diagnosis and care, typically need to address three fundamental questions that may sometimes not be resolved by conventional MRI: firstly, the initial characterization of the brain lesion, particularly in the context of potentially planning for a future biopsy or for radiotherapy; secondly, the monitoring of treatments to differentiate between recurrence/progression and treatment-related changes; and thirdly, the effectiveness of treatment.

In all instances, the PET information added is relatively easy to access, not only because of tracer availability but also because the data are displayed clearly and interpreted based on multidisciplinary criteria. For example, the visual reading of PET images fused to MRI imaging not only considerably improves the diagnosis but also facilitates the multidisciplinary sharing of information. PET data may thus ultimately modify patient management [16].

An up-to-date summary of the different available PET radiotracers and their current indications for different types of brain tumors in this fast-evolving field would therefore appear informative.

This current review outlines the different indications that PET radiotracers can be used for in the management of gliomas, meningiomas, primary CNS lymphomas, and brain metastases, in current practice, and their advantages over MRI-based applications. Recent advances in radiopharmaceuticals, image analyses and translational applications in peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT) are also discussed.

2. Current Uses of PET Imaging in Brain Tumors

Here, we present the main current indications of the different available PET radiotracers for gliomas, meningiomas, primary CNS lymphomas and brain metastases.

2.1. Glioma

The main indications for assessing gliomas using PET imaging, provided in this review, are derived from recommendations of the Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology working group and the European Association for Neuro-Oncology [7].

2.1.1. Initial Characterization

As regards the initial diagnosis, ¹⁸F-FDG PET allows to identify high-grade gliomas even if its specificity is moderate [6,17]. Delaying PET acquisitions to more than 3 h post injection has been suggested to enhance ¹⁸F-FDG PET specificity but integrating this type of analysis into the routine practice of nuclear medicine departments remains problematic [18]. The differential diagnosis of other brain tumor entities such as cerebral lymphomas and brain metastases, or of brain infections and inflammatory tissue is also sometimes challenging [19]. Although ¹⁸F-FDG PET provides a prognostic value in highgrade gliomas [6,20,21] it has only limited value for treatment planning. This is juxtaposed to amino acid radiotracers whose better contrast ratio relative to uptake in healthy brains makes them superior to ¹⁸F-FDG PET for the differential diagnosis between glioma and non-neoplastic tissue. With 90% sensitivity and specificity, amino acid PET may help differentiate glioma from non-neoplastic tissue, although it is a low priority issue as the overwhelming majority of patients eventually undergo histological evaluation before committing to prolonged cytotoxic therapies [22]. However, a small proportion of gliomas present with no amino acid uptake at all [23], and some inflammation cases are reported with moderate amino acid uptake [24].

The prognostic information provided by amino acid radiotracers is significant, as confirmed by the associations between dynamic parameters and histological properties and/or key mutations [25–29] included in the WHO classification [30]. This dynamic analysis, which has been extensively studied in the context of ¹⁸F-FET PET for many years [31], has been successfully transposed to two other amino acid PET radiotracers: ¹¹C-MET [27] and ¹⁸F-FDOPA [26]. Briefly, dynamic acquisitions begin upon injection of the radiopharmaceutical and last for 30 to 40 min. Two parameters are typically determined from the tumor uptake curves: (i) the time-to-peak (TTP), the interval between the start of acquisition and the maximum uptake value (wash-in), and (ii) the slope, calculated by linear regression of the wash-out phase. A long time-to-peak interval (consistently increasing curve) is classically associated with good tumor prognosis while a short time-to-peak (wash-in followed by a wash-out) is more common in more aggressive tumors. Dynamic parameters of amino acid radiotracers allow to identify gliomas with different outcomes even in populations which include gadolinium-negative gliomas [32].

Delineating the extent of glioma involvement, which is essential for planning surgery, is improved by the use of amino acid PET radiotracers, both for low- and high-grade gliomas, since histology-validated series report amino acid uptake in tumor areas extending beyond contrast-enhanced MRI and within nonspecific regions of T2 FLAIR [33,34]. Consistently better prognoses are reported in studies with amino acid PET imaging when surgery is performed with dedicated amino acid PET delineation [35–37]. Along this same line, postsurgical amino acid PET volume showed an independent prognostic value for time to recurrence after radio-chemotherapy in a recent prospective glioblastoma trial [37]. Amino acid radiotracers may help to guide the biopsy even in gliomas with a negative contrast-enhanced MRI [38]. Amino acid PET radiotracers may also similarly identify aggressive tumor subregions that may be targeted by radiation therapy, thus limiting marginal or noncentral tumor recurrences [39]. This latter indication does, however, need to be confirmed in larger studies to evaluate its added value to patient outcome. In any case, future amino acid PET imaging studies should implement the Joint EANM/EANO/RANO practice guidelines on standardized analysis of amino acid PET [40] to harmonize data across different centers, facilitate comparability of studies and to build larger databases.

2.1.2. Treatment Monitoring

Early modifications after treatment, namely pseudoprogression within the first 3 months of treatment and radionecrosis after 3 months, currently represent significant challenges in neuroradiology [41]. In this regard and concerning the differential diagnosis between tumor recurrence/progression and treatment-related changes, ¹⁸F-FDG PET has only a limited added value compared to MRI [42]. Amino acid PET does, however, provide excellent diagnostic performances for the differential diagnosis between tumor progression and pseudoprogression [43] or radionecrosis [44–47], with sensitivities and specificities of around 80–90%.

2.1.3. Treatment Effectiveness

Several clinical trials are currently underway to assess the use of ¹⁸F-FDG PET for evaluating treatment responses in high-grade gliomas (NCT05212272, NCT02902757). There is currently only limited data which evaluate amino acid PET imaging in the assessment of treatment responses of high grade gliomas [48–53]. ¹⁸F-FET PET was nevertheless able to assess treatment responses after completion of radio-chemotherapy or during adjuvant chemotherapy while conventional MRI was not [50,51]. Similar results were obtained after bevacizumab treatment using ¹⁸F-FET PET or ¹⁸F-FDOPA PET [52,53]. However, PET-based radiotherapy in patients with newly diagnosed glioma and PET-based re-irradiation in patients with relapsed glioma have not yet shown any clear evidence of a potential benefit as recently confirmed by the PET/RANO Group [54]. Although data for evaluating treatment responses with amino acid PET in low-grade gliomas are scarce, they are promising as they point to stronger associations between survival and PET responses, compared to responses assessed by MRI [55,56].

2.2. Meningioma

The indications reported above are based on recommendations of the Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology working group [57].

2.2.1. Initial Characterization

In meningiomas, SSTR ligands are undoubtedly the radiotracers of choice since meningiomas almost invariably express the SSTR type 2 receptor [58]. Even though significant uptake can be observed in chronic inflammatory tissues, gliomas and brain metastases [15], even higher uptake is observed in meningiomas when using SSTR ligands, with detection sensitivities superior to contrast-enhanced MRI (about 90% vs. 80% for MRI) [14,15]. PET with SSTR ligands is particularly useful in cases of ambiguous, non-contributive MRI and for specific regions which are known to be difficult to appreciate by MRI, such as the base of the skull and bony structures. The rare but aggressive optic nerve sheath meningioma entity almost invariably takes up SSTR ligands [59]. PET with SSTR ligands is thus better suited than contrast-enhanced MRI to delineate tumor margins, which is potentially informative for tumor resection. By the same token, the definition of irradiation volumes prior to radiotherapy could also be improved by performing amino acid PET [60–62] or PET with SSTR ligands [63], which invariably and consistently modifies the volumes marked for irradiation compared to those only determined by MRI. This added value is particularly pronounced for tumors located in the previously mentioned regions that are difficult to interpret by MRI, and is also important to potentially spare healthy tissue such as the optic nerve, the chiasm, and the pituitary gland or to reduce irradiation doses to adjacent normal tissue [64]. It should nevertheless be specified that in contrast to the well-established pathological-driven tumor delineation using amino acid PET in glioma [65], the definition of metabolic volume with SSTR ligands in meningioma still remains to be defined. The currently proposed threshold based on an SUV value of 2.3 has been obtained from a small sample size and needs to be replicated before it may be applied to surgical/radiation planning based on PET imaging [14]. In a series of 21 meningioma patients, having undergone amino acid PET and PET with SSTR ligands, every tumor showed high SSTR ligand uptake while two meningiomas remained ¹⁸F-FET-negative [66]. Tumor grading based on PET imaging is not recommended since it still needs to be evaluated in larger-scale studies. It should nevertheless be noted that SSTR ligand uptake may be reduced in WHO II and is almost absent in WHO III meningiomas because of dedifferentiation of the tumor, which results in the decoupling of SSTR ligand uptake and proliferative activity [67,68]. This does, however, need to be confirmed since the decrease in SSTR2 ligand expression in WHO III meningiomas has not been confirmed in an in vitro study [69].

2.2.2. Treatment Monitoring

As far as the differential diagnosis between residual tumor and post-treatment-related changes is concerned, PET with SSTR ligands provides good diagnostic performances to discriminate between meningiomas and other entities, including chronic inflammatory diseases [14]. SSTR PET ligands may therefore be considered to help diagnose recurrent disease. In a series of pretreated transosseous intracranial meningiomas, PET with SSTR ligands showed higher sensitivity (98.5% vs. 53.7%) whilst maintaining high specificity (86.7% vs. 93.3%) when compared to contrast-enhanced MRI [70].

2.2.3. Treatment Effectiveness

The evaluation of meningioma treatment responses using PET is currently still only based on preliminary data [57]. A clinical trial using ⁶⁸GA-DOTATATE to evaluate radio-therapy responses of meningiomas is nevertheless currently in progress (NCT03953131).

2.3. Primary CNS Lymphoma

Primary CNS lymphomas are rare brain tumors since they represent only 2% of non-Hodgkin lymphomas and 3 to 6% of primary brain tumors [2]. They are associated with unfavorable prognoses with a median overall survival of 25.3 months [71]. To identify systemic non-CNS lymphomas in patients with lymphomatous brain lesions, the International Primary CNS Lymphoma Collaborative group recommends systemic staging evaluations, which include a whole-body ¹⁸F-FDG PET [72].

2.3.1. Initial Characterization

Even though the same group only recommends brain ¹⁸F-FDG PET as optional for primary CNS lymphoma, ¹⁸F-FDG PET may nevertheless still contribute to the diagnosis as it is able to differentiate primary CNS lymphomas from other malignant brain tumors such as glioblastomas and metastases. Indeed, primary CNS lymphoma lesions exhibit great avidity for FDG, with homogeneous uptake and an accuracy of 95% (93–100%) to differentiate between primary CNS lymphomas and glioblastomas [73–75]. At initial diagnosis, differential diagnosis between primary CNS lymphomas and infectious lesions can also be achieved with ¹⁸F-FDG PET in immunocompromised patients [76]. The prognostic value of ¹⁸F-FDG PET at initial diagnosis has also been recently reported, with the risk of progression increasing by 9% for every 5-unit increase in maximal standard uptake value in a study evaluating Ibrutinib-based regimens [77].

2.3.2. Treatment Monitoring and Effectiveness

Only few studies with small patient numbers have evaluated ¹⁸F-FDG PET for monitoring treatment [78-80] and amino acid PET radiotracers in primary CNS lymphomas [81]. Regarding the treatment response monitoring in primary CNS lymphoma, the three ¹⁸F-FDG PET studies are concordant in terms of the performance of interim PET during ongoing chemotherapy to predict end-of-treatment complete response [78–80]. The high negative predictive values reported for interim ¹⁸F-FDG PET should encourage the use of PET during ongoing chemotherapy to determine the duration needed for induction therapy, especially when patients do not achieve a complete response on MRI. Regarding progression-free survival prediction specifically, data from the literature are less straightforward: interim ¹⁸F-FDG PET and interim amino acid PET may predict progression-free survival in primary CNS lymphoma patients [80,81], whereas interim ¹⁸F-FDG PET may not predict the survival outcome in a larger cohort [79]. No study has to date revealed a significant impact of PET results on overall survival in primary CNS lymphoma. Larger prospective trials in primary CNS lymphoma patients are required to fully assess the true prognostic value of PET imaging on survival outcome. Two clinical trials exploring ¹⁸F-FDG PET in treatment responses of central nervous system lymphomas (NCT03582254, NCT05083936), the latter also studying the ¹⁸F-FET PET radiotracer, are currently in progress.

2.4. Brain Metastases

The following is based on the Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology group recommendations [82].

2.4.1. Treatment Monitoring

Treatment monitoring of brain metastases is of course highly dependent on controlling the primary extra-CNS disease and should give due consideration to systemic neoplastic disease prior to initiating any treatment changes. Generally, and irrespective of the primary cancer, ¹⁸F-FDG PET and amino acid PET are recommended for the differential diagnosis of recurrent brain metastases and radiation-induced changes. Radionecrosis after irradiation of brain metastases is common, occurring in about 25% of cases, and contrast-enhanced MRI is not always able to accurately assess the differential diagnosis between radiation-induced changes and recurrent brain metastases [83]. Diagnostic performances of ¹⁸F-FDG PET for the differential diagnosis of radionecrosis and recurrent brain metastases vary considerably between studies with reported performances, in relatively low numbers of patients [84], ranging from 50% sensitivity/specificity [85] to close to 100% [86]. It appears that like in the case of gliomas, delayed acquisitions may enhance diagnostic performances even though as already discussed this may be difficult to apply in the clinical setting due to camera occupation times [86]. Amino acid PET appears to be superior to ¹⁸F-FDG PET and perfusion or diffusion weighted MRI for the differential diagnosis of radionecrosis and recurrent brain metastases [85,87]. Diagnostic performances of amino acid PET for this differential diagnosis range from 70–80% for sensitivity and specificity for ¹¹C-MET [88] or ¹⁸F-FDOPA PET [89]. Reported diagnostic performances are even higher when using dynamic ¹⁸F-FET PET acquisitions in a larger number of patients, with accuracies approaching 90% [90]. Studies with longitudinal follow-up also report higher values for amino acid PET compared to contrast-enhanced MRI for this differential diagnosis (accuracy of 94% for amino acid PET long-term follow-up vs. non-significant for MRI) [91]. In any case, the cost effectiveness of amino acid PET for differentiating recurrent brain metastases and radiation-induced changes has been established in Germany [92].

2.4.2. Initial Characterization

PET imaging of brain metastases is not recommended for other indications, such as initial diagnosis, for which the better spatial resolution of contrast-enhanced MRI remains the preferred imaging technique [93,94]. Furthermore, unlike in glioma, brain metastases are usually well delineated and of small size and amino acid PET does not generally add

significant information for biopsy or treatment planning. This may potentially induce a brain metastases selection bias in PET imaging studies, with the inclusion of only centimetric metastases which do not necessarily reflect the overall pool of brain metastases. However, in some clinical situations such as large and heterogeneous tumors, amino acid PET helps identify regions with higher tumor content to better guide biopsies [95]. The differential diagnosis of brain metastases and other malignant brain tumors is nevertheless also challenging when using PET imaging, because ¹⁸F-FDG PET is highly positive in brain metastases and glioblastomas [96], with brain metastases exhibiting similar levels of expression of the L-amino-acid transporters as gliomas [8].

2.4.3. Treatment Effectiveness

PET imaging is currently being evaluated to assess treatment responses and preliminary results, with amino acid PET to monitor immune checkpoint inhibition and targeted therapy appearing promising [97].

A decision flowchart summarizing the main indications of PET imaging radiotracers for the previously mentioned brain tumors and the corresponding levels of 1–3 evidence according to the Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine (OCEBM Levels of Evidence Working Group: "The Oxford 2011 Levels of Evidence") are provided in Figure 1. Representative PET images of these types of brain tumors are also shown in Figure 2.



Figure 1. Decision flowchart and main indications for PET imaging radiotracer use according to the type of brain tumor and the corresponding levels of 1–3 evidence according to the Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine (OCEBM Levels of Evidence Working Group: "The Oxford 2011 Levels of Evidence").



Figure 2. Representative PET imaging of brain tumors. On the left, ¹⁸F-FDOPA PET at initial diagnosis in a 62-year-old man who presented with a glioblastoma. Note the typical decreasing time-activity curve observed in this lesion with only slight contrast enhancement on MRI. In the middle left, ⁶⁸GA-DOTATOC PET at initial diagnosis in a 70-year-old woman with grade II meningioma. The meningioma is observed in two locations: within the sphenoid bone with an intra-orbital extension on the contrast-enhanced MRI and a transosseous lesion within the temporal bone, not visible on MRI. In the middle right, ¹⁸F-FDG PET in a 78-year-old woman with a primary central nervous system lymphoma at initial diagnosis. ¹⁸F-FDG PET exhibits a good contrast ratio between normal brain and the left precuneus lesion. On the right, ¹⁸F-FDOPA PET identifies the recurrence of a pulmonary adenocarcinoma metastasis in the right occipital brain of a 72-year-old man referred for a differential diagnosis between treatment-related changes and progression related to a lesion, which could not be differentiated on the contrast-enhanced MRI.

3. Future Perspectives

PET imaging in neuro-oncology is a rapidly growing area. Here, we present recent advances in radiopharmaceuticals, technological PET systems, image treatment analyses and translational approaches to therapeutic applications.

3.1. Advances in Radiopharmaceuticals

Novel physio-pathological brain tumor mechanisms are targeted with new PET radiotracers. Tumor perfusion, angiogenesis and neuroinflammation pathways have attracted particular interest [98]. However, results are very preliminary, and validation studies are required.

3.1.1. PET Radiotracers for Tumor Perfusion

PET radiotracers for imaging brain tumor perfusion have been used since the 1980s, predominantly including the ¹⁵O-H₂O [99] and ¹³N-NH₃ [100] radiotracers. These radiotracers have the advantage of crossing an intact BBB. They nonetheless have a limited application since their radioactive periods are very short (less than 10 min). In routine practice, they have been surpassed by contrast-enhanced MRI even though the latter is dependent on disruption of the BBB. Another way to image the perfusion of brain tumors is to systematically apply dynamic PET acquisitions which are particularly informative for amino acid PET radiotracers [101].

3.1.2. PET Radiotracers for Angiogenesis

Vascularization of brain tumors, in particular high-grade gliomas, can be appreciated with radiotracers targeting angiogenesis, a hallmark of tumor aggressiveness [102]. Arg-Gly-Asp peptide (RGD) PET is an example of this type of angiogenesis-targeting radiotracer which binds $\alpha\nu\beta3$ integrins, key mediators of the development of new vessels, and which has been evaluated in brain tumors [103,104]. These PET radiotracers are nonetheless dependent on disruption of the BBB but may be useful to monitor antiangiogenic therapies. ⁸⁹Zr-Bevacizumab PET has also been evaluated in pediatric patients to monitor bevacizumab treatment outcomes [105]. Along this same line, PET imaging may also help identify the appropriate individual bevacizumab treatment dose to improve therapeutic efficacy similarly to what has been reported for PET imaging of PD-L1 checkpoint antibodies [106].

3.1.3. PET Radiotracers for Neuroinflammation

Neuroinflammation is another currently widely studied physio-pathological mechanism in brain tumors. One of the neuroinflammation targets that has been investigated in brain tumors is the translocator protein (TSPO), a mitochondrial membrane protein predominantly expressed in mononuclear phagocytes. Its expression in the brain increases in neurodegenerative inflammatory diseases and cancer and is related to the activation of brain auto-immune cells [107]. Even if it is sometimes debated [108], TSPO PET radiotracers seem to be able to cross the BBB [109] as shown in areas exhibiting increased ¹⁸F-GE-180 both with and without MRI contrast enhancement [110]. TSPO PET, with the historical ¹¹C-PK11195 radiotracer [111] or radiotracers with a better signal-to-noise ratio, such as the fluorine-radiolabeled 18F-DPA-714 and 18F-PBR06 tracers which succeeded them [112], has recently been applied to decipher the heterogeneity and dynamics of the tumor microenvironment, specifically in the context of targeted immune therapies and focusing on delineating pro- and anti-glioma immune cell modulation [112]. TSPO PET is able to differentiate potentially aggressive forms of gliomas, graded according to the WHO classification, with a PET-positive rate of 100% among the high-grade gliomas investigated [113]. One of the difficulties that still needs to be overcome is how to compensate for changes in individual patient TSPO affinity due to the presence of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the gene [114].

3.1.4. Other PET Radiotracers

Other PET radiotracers which target specific receptors or factors such as: (i) ⁶⁸Ga-NOTA-NFB and ⁶⁸Ga-Pentixafor which target the expression of C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4), a cell surface chemokine receptor mediating invasion and metastatic spread [115,116], (ii) ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI-02/04 for imaging inhibitors of fibroblast activation protein

(FAP), a protein overexpressed in cancer-associated fibroblast, and (iii) ⁶⁸GA-PSMA for evaluating prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) expression in glioblastoma neovasculature [117], are currently being investigated. They may be useful for translational PRRT but only in high-grade gliomas, as they are all unable to cross an intact BBB.

3.2. Advances in PET Systems and Image Analyses 3.2.1. PET Systems

The PET/MRI hybrid system is undoubtedly a technical advance for neuro-oncology imaging [118]. In addition to providing a one-shot PET/MRI procedure, PET/MRI allows to accurately combine information from PET imaging with those of advanced MRI techniques such as perfusion, diffusion, and spectroscopy. This is even more significant when working at the voxel level of radiomics analyses [119] to avoid any misregistration between two imaging techniques acquired with separate imaging systems [120]. Multitude studies have sought to associate and/or compare PET imaging with perfusion MRI [87,121,122], diffusion MRI [123–125], and spectroscopy [126–128] with PET/MR or to dissociate PET and MRI systems in neuro-oncology. Most of these studies highlight the fact that the information provided by PET imaging and advanced MRI techniques is not redundant but provides complementary information. Available evidence from the literature calls for better performances of amino acid PET over perfusion MRI to detect high-grade tumors, identify tumor recurrence, differentiate recurrence from treatment effects and predict survival, even though both imaging methods are able to discern these indications to some extent [129]. The better performances related to the use of amino acid PET are much more appreciated when compared to diffusion MRI or spectroscopy [129]. These reported differences do, however, underpin the need for prospective studies on larger cohorts and neuropathological validation to further evaluate the differences between these two imaging systems.

Technological PET advances cannot be separated from improvements in image analyses since the latter are directly dependent on PET system performances. With marked improvements in image signal-to-noise ratios as well as significant potential for further enhancement of spatial resolution, fully digital PET cameras offer high performances for brain PET imaging [130]. The higher detection sensitivity of the latest digital PET systems also tends to offer larger fields of view, and thereby facilitates the development of dynamic analyses [131]. These new PET systems also allow more sophisticated image analyses, namely radiomics, to be developed for neuro-oncology.

3.2.2. Images Analyses

Radiomics extraction, which corresponds to the voxel-level extraction of morphological, statistical, and textural features, is a challenging and complex process which requires specific steps to be followed to obtain accurate results. Even if it is not currently the case, radiomics measures in neuro-oncology should be prospectively evaluated. In addition, potential confounders such as the higher risk of motion artifacts from patients have to be accounted for, especially for longer scanning times, even though PET/MRI is acquired concurrently. Machine learning models are necessary to interpret results of radiomics features. These advanced image analyses require the development of algorithms based on large datasets, which can sometimes be challenging to obtain for a rare brain tumor and may therefore necessitate data augmentation processes [132]. Several PET radiomics analyses have been performed in neuro-oncology with promising accuracies ranging from 85 to 95% being reported. These include an initial diagnosis study to predict glioma grade with 18 F-FET [133,134], as well as studies to detect the IDH mutation in glioma with 18 F-FET [134,135] or ¹⁸F-FDOPA [136], MGMT promoter methylation with ¹⁸F-FDG [137] and BRAF mutation status in patients with melanoma brain metastases, although the latter study was only based on a small validation cohort [138]. Although performances with these radiomics analyses using ¹⁸F-FET PET reach accuracies of around 80%, for glioma recurrences [132,139] and recurrent brain metastases [140], their added value over conventional analyses remains to be defined [141]. It is noteworthy that these radiomics feature

extractions can also be extrapolated to dynamic parametric images [28,142] to take into account information provided by both static and dynamic PET acquisitions.

3.3. Translation to Peptide Receptor Radionuclide Therapy (PRRT)

3.3.1. PRRT in Meningiomas

One of the most significant advances in nuclear medicine and neuro-oncology is the development of theranostics, which can currently be used via PET imaging with ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC in refractory meningiomas, an entity which cannot be treated by surgery or conventional radiotherapy [143,144]. Indeed, a radiopharmaceutical combining the same molecular vector targeting the SSTR type 2 receptor with a β -emitting radioactive label, yields a high-energy treatment which opens the way to new treatment methods in oncology. This PRRT can be monitored with ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC PET imaging. This allows ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC PET to serve as a predictive biomarker to monitor outcome and to facilitate individualized treatment optimization in patients with meningiomas. Patients with grade I meningiomas, which are well differentiated, exhibit higher levels of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC PET uptake, and have the best responses to PRRT [67]. ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA-octreotate is a radiopharmaceutical combining a molecular vector targeting the SSTR type 2 receptor with Lutetium 177, a β-emitting radioactive label. ⁹⁰Y-DOTATOC and ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATOC similarly combine a molecular vector targeting the SSTR receptor with a β -emitting radioactive label and can also be used in PRRT of meningiomas. These innovative PRRTs present promising initial results in a meta-analysis of 111 patients with a progression-free survival at 6 months of 61% (95% CI, 50–72) and an overall survival at 12 months of 78% (95% CI, 70–86) [145]. These therapeutic performances should be compared to the actual poor prognosis reported for refractory meningioma with an average rate of progression-free survival at 6 months ranging from 26% (grade II and III) to 29% (grade I). The Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology group recommends considering as promising any new therapy showing a rate of progression-free survival at 6 months of 30% (grade II and III) to 40% (grade I), which is currently the case for SSTR-targeted PRRTs [143]. PET images before and after ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA-octreotate therapy are presented in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Whole-body maximal intensity projections of ⁶⁸GA-DOTATOC PET and axial slices of contrast-enhanced MRI and ⁶⁸GA-DOTATOC/MRI fused images in a 60-year-old woman patient with a refractory grade I meningioma progressing at a high tumor growth rate, before (**A**) and after 2 courses of ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA-octreotate (**B**). The two PET scans show stable disease.

3.3.2. PRRT in Gliomas

PRRT with SSTR receptors has been studied in gliomas [146,147], but SSTR expression is poor, as it is only expressed in 25% of astrocytomas [148]. Pilot studies have evaluated targeting the neurokinin type-1 receptor, which is well expressed in gliomas and whose main ligand is substance P, with β -emitting ⁹⁰Y-DOTAGA-substance P [149] or with α emitting ²¹³Bi-DOTA-substance P radiopharmaceuticals [150], as well as ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA 617 in glioblastoma [151]. It is important to keep in mind that the key to a successful PRRT in glioma relies on the radiotracer of interest crossing the blood brain barrier, at least for targeting tumors without any contrast enhancement. Another challenge to overcome is to ensure sufficient uptake of the associated theranostic radiotracer to guarantee effective PPRT [152].

4. Conclusions

To conclude, this review summarizes current recommendations for PET clinical routine imaging of gliomas, meningiomas, primary CNS lymphomas and brain metastases which are supported by extensive literature data and make PET fully mature for routine clinical use in brain tumors. It also discusses recent neuro-oncology advances, specifically new radiopharmaceuticals, developments in image analyses and translational approaches to therapeutic applications, thereby supporting the view that the PET imaging field is only in its infancy.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.V.; methodology, A.V., A.K., J.D. and E.G.; validation, A.K., J.D. and E.G.; investigation, A.V.; writing—original draft preparation, A.V.; writing—review and editing, A.V, A.K., J.D. and E.G.; supervision, E.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Darlix, A.; Zouaoui, S.; Rigau, V.; Bessaoud, F.; Figarella-Branger, D.; Mathieu-Daudé, H.; Trétarre, B.; Bauchet, F.; Duffau, H.; Taillandier, L.; et al. Epidemiology for primary brain tumors: A nationwide population-based study. *J. Neurooncol.* 2017, 131, 525–546. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ostrom, Q.T.; Cioffi, G.; Gittleman, H.; Patil, N.; Waite, K.; Kruchko, C.; Barnholtz-Sloan, J.S. CBTRUS Statistical Report: Primary Brain and Other Central Nervous System Tumors Diagnosed in the United States in 2012–2016. *Neuro-Oncology* 2019, 21, v1–v100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ostrom, Q.T.; Wright, C.H.; Barnholtz-Sloan, J.S. Brain metastases: Epidemiology. In *Handbook of Clinical Neurology*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2018; Volume 149, pp. 27–42. ISBN 978-0-12-811161-1.
- 4. Verger, A.; Arbizu, J.; Law, I. Role of amino-acid PET in high-grade gliomas: Limitations and perspectives. *Q. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2018**, *62*, 254–266. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Chen, W.; Silverman, D.H.S. Advances in evaluation of primary brain tumors. Semin. Nucl. Med. 2008, 38, 240–250. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Colavolpe, C.; Metellus, P.; Mancini, J.; Barrie, M.; Béquet-Boucard, C.; Figarella-Branger, D.; Mundler, O.; Chinot, O.; Guedj, E. Independent prognostic value of pre-treatment 18-FDG-PET in high-grade gliomas. J. Neurooncol. 2012, 107, 527–535. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Albert, N.L.; Weller, M.; Suchorska, B.; Galldiks, N.; Soffietti, R.; Kim, M.M.; La Fougère, C.; Pope, W.; Law, I.; Arbizu, J.; et al. Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology working group and European Association for Neuro-Oncology recommendations for the clinical use of PET imaging in gliomas. *Neuro-Oncology* 2016, *18*, 1199–1208. [CrossRef]
- Papin-Michault, C.; Bonnetaud, C.; Dufour, M.; Almairac, F.; Coutts, M.; Patouraux, S.; Virolle, T.; Darcourt, J.; Burel-Vandenbos, F. Study of LAT1 Expression in Brain Metastases: Towards a Better Understanding of the Results of Positron Emission Tomography Using Amino Acid Tracers. *PLoS ONE* 2016, *11*, e0157139. [CrossRef]
- 9. Habermeier, A.; Graf, J.; Sandhöfer, B.F.; Boissel, J.-P.; Roesch, F.; Closs, E.I. System L amino acid transporter LAT1 accumulates O-(2-fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine (FET). *Amino Acids* **2015**, *47*, 335–344. [CrossRef]
- 10. Okubo, S.; Zhen, H.-N.; Kawai, N.; Nishiyama, Y.; Haba, R.; Tamiya, T. Correlation of L-methyl-11C-methionine (MET) uptake with L-type amino acid transporter 1 in human gliomas. *J. Neurooncol.* **2010**, *99*, 217–225. [CrossRef]
- Vettermann, F.J.; Diekmann, C.; Weidner, L.; Unterrainer, M.; Suchorska, B.; Ruf, V.; Dorostkar, M.; Wenter, V.; Herms, J.; Tonn, J.-C.; et al. L-type amino acid transporter (LAT) 1 expression in 18F-FET-negative gliomas. *EJNMMI Res.* 2021, *11*, 124. [CrossRef]

- Reubi, J.C.; Maurer, R.; Klijn, J.G.; Stefanko, S.Z.; Foekens, J.A.; Blaauw, G.; Blankenstein, M.A.; Lamberts, S.W. High incidence of somatostatin receptors in human meningiomas: Biochemical characterization. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **1986**, *63*, 433–438. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Menke, J.R.; Raleigh, D.R.; Gown, A.M.; Thomas, S.; Perry, A.; Tihan, T. Somatostatin receptor 2a is a more sensitive diagnostic marker of meningioma than epithelial membrane antigen. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2015, 130, 441–443. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rachinger, W.; Stoecklein, V.M.; Terpolilli, N.A.; Haug, A.R.; Ertl, L.; Pöschl, J.; Schüller, U.; Schichor, C.; Thon, N.; Tonn, J.-C. Increased ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE Uptake in PET Imaging Discriminates Meningioma and Tumor-Free Tissue. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2015, 56, 347–353. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Afshar-Oromieh, A.; Giesel, F.L.; Linhart, H.G.; Haberkorn, U.; Haufe, S.; Combs, S.E.; Podlesek, D.; Eisenhut, M.; Kratochwil, C. Detection of cranial meningiomas: Comparison of 68Ga-DOTATOC PET/CT and contrast-enhanced MRI. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2012, 39, 1409–1415. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Humbert, O.; Bourg, V.; Mondot, L.; Gal, J.; Bondiau, P.-Y.; Fontaine, D.; Saada-Bouzid, E.; Paquet, M.; Chardin, D.; Almairac, F.; et al. 18F-DOPA PET/CT in brain tumors: Impact on multidisciplinary brain tumor board decisions. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2019, 46, 558–568. [CrossRef]
- 17. Kosaka, N.; Tsuchida, T.; Uematsu, H.; Kimura, H.; Okazawa, H.; Itoh, H. 18F-FDG PET of common enhancing malignant brain tumors. *AJR Am. J. Roentgenol.* 2008, 190, W365–W369. [CrossRef]
- Spence, A.M.; Muzi, M.; Mankoff, D.A.; O'Sullivan, S.F.; Link, J.M.; Lewellen, T.K.; Lewellen, B.; Pham, P.; Minoshima, S.; Swanson, K.; et al. 18F-FDG PET of gliomas at delayed intervals: Improved distinction between tumor and normal gray matter. J. Nucl. Med. 2004, 45, 1653–1659.
- 19. Omuro, A.M.; Leite, C.C.; Mokhtari, K.; Delattre, J.-Y. Pitfalls in the diagnosis of brain tumours. Lancet Neurol. 2006, 5, 937–948. [CrossRef]
- 20. De Witte, O.; Lefranc, F.; Levivier, M.; Salmon, I.; Brotchi, J.; Goldman, S. FDG-PET as a Prognostic Factor in High-Grade Astrocytoma. *J. Neurooncol.* 2000, 49, 157–163. [CrossRef]
- Colavolpe, C.; Chinot, O.; Metellus, P.; Mancini, J.; Barrie, M.; Bequet-Boucard, C.; Tabouret, E.; Mundler, O.; Figarella-Branger, D.; Guedj, E. FDG-PET Predicts Survival in Recurrent High-Grade Gliomas Treated with Bevacizumab and Irinotecan. *Neuro-Oncology* 2012, 14, 649–657. [CrossRef]
- 22. Dunet, V.; Pomoni, A.; Hottinger, A.; Nicod-Lalonde, M.; Prior, J.O. Performance of 18F-FET versus 18F-FDG-PET for the diagnosis and grading of brain tumors: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuro-Oncology* **2016**, *18*, 426–434. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zaragori, T.; Castello, A.; Guedj, E.; Girard, A.; Galldiks, N.; Albert, N.L.; Lopci, E.; Verger, A. Photopenic Defects in Gliomas With Amino-Acid PET and Relative Prognostic Value: A Multicentric 11C-Methionine and 18F-FDOPA PET Experience. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* 2021, 46, e36–e37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Sala, Q.; Metellus, P.; Taieb, D.; Kaphan, E.; Figarella-Branger, D.; Guedj, E. 18F-DOPA, a clinically available PET tracer to study brain inflammation? *Clin. Nucl. Med.* **2014**, *39*, e283–e285. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Verger, A.; Stoffels, G.; Bauer, E.K.; Lohmann, P.; Blau, T.; Fink, G.R.; Neumaier, B.; Shah, N.J.; Langen, K.-J.; Galldiks, N. Static and dynamic (18)F-FET PET for the characterization of gliomas defined by IDH and 1p/19q status. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2017, 45, 443–451. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ginet, M.; Zaragori, T.; Marie, P.-Y.; Roch, V.; Gauchotte, G.; Rech, F.; Blonski, M.; Lamiral, Z.; Taillandier, L.; Imbert, L.; et al. Integration of dynamic parameters in the analysis of 18F-FDopa PET imaging improves the prediction of molecular features of gliomas. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2019, 47, 1381–1390. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nomura, Y.; Asano, Y.; Shinoda, J.; Yano, H.; Ikegame, Y.; Kawasaki, T.; Nakayama, N.; Maruyama, T.; Muragaki, Y.; Iwama, T. Characteristics of time-activity curves obtained from dynamic 11C-methionine PET in common primary brain tumors. *J. Neurooncol.* 2018, 138, 649–658. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, Z.; Kaiser, L.; Holzgreve, A.; Ruf, V.C.; Suchorska, B.; Wenter, V.; Quach, S.; Herms, J.; Bartenstein, P.; Tonn, J.C.; et al. Albert Prediction of TERTp-mutation status in IDH-wildtype high-grade gliomas using pre-treatment dynamic 18F-FET PET radiomics. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med.* 2021, 48, 4415–4425. [CrossRef]
- 29. Lohmann, P.; Herzog, H.; Rota Kops, E.; Stoffels, G.; Judov, N.; Filss, C.; Galldiks, N.; Tellmann, L.; Weiss, C.; Sabel, M.; et al. Dual-time-point O-(2-[(18)F]fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine PET for grading of cerebral gliomas. *Eur. Radiol.* 2015, 25, 3017–3024. [CrossRef]
- Louis, D.N.; Perry, A.; Wesseling, P.; Brat, D.J.; Cree, I.A.; Figarella-Branger, D.; Hawkins, C.; Ng, H.K.; Pfister, S.M.; Reifenberger, G.; et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: A summary. *Neuro-Oncology* 2021, 23, 1231–1251. [CrossRef]
- Pöpperl, G.; Kreth, F.W.; Mehrkens, J.H.; Herms, J.; Seelos, K.; Koch, W.; Gildehaus, F.J.; Kretzschmar, H.A.; Tonn, J.C.; Tatsch, K. FET PET for the evaluation of untreated gliomas: Correlation of FET uptake and uptake kinetics with tumour grading. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2007, 34, 1933–1942. [CrossRef]
- Kunz, M.; Albert, N.L.; Unterrainer, M.; La Fougere, C.; Egensperger, R.; Schüller, U.; Lutz, J.; Kreth, S.; Tonn, J.-C.; Kreth, F.-W.; et al. Dynamic 18F-FET PET is a powerful imaging biomarker in gadolinium-negative gliomas. *Neuro-Oncology* 2019, 21, 274–284. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pafundi, D.H.; Laack, N.N.; Youland, R.S.; Parney, I.F.; Lowe, V.J.; Giannini, C.; Kemp, B.J.; Grams, M.P.; Morris, J.M.; Hoover, J.M.; et al. Biopsy validation of 18F-DOPA PET and biodistribution in gliomas for neurosurgical planning and radiotherapy target delineation: Results of a prospective pilot study. *Neuro-Oncology* 2013, *15*, 1058–1067. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Ledezma, C.J.; Chen, W.; Sai, V.; Freitas, B.; Cloughesy, T.; Czernin, J.; Pope, W. 18F-FDOPA PET/MRI fusion in patients with primary/recurrent gliomas: Initial experience. *Eur. J. Radiol.* **2009**, *71*, 242–248. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Tanaka, Y.; Nariai, T.; Momose, T.; Aoyagi, M.; Maehara, T.; Tomori, T.; Yoshino, Y.; Nagaoka, T.; Ishiwata, K.; Ishii, K.; et al. Glioma surgery using a multimodal navigation system with integrated metabolic images: Clinical article. *J. Neurosurg.* 2009, 110, 163–172. [CrossRef]
- Suchorska, B.; Jansen, N.L.; Linn, J.; Kretzschmar, H.; Janssen, H.; Eigenbrod, S.; Simon, M.; Pöpperl, G.; Kreth, F.W.; La Fougere, C.; et al. Biological tumor volume in 18FET-PET before radiochemotherapy correlates with survival in GBM. *Neurology* 2015, 84, 710–719. [CrossRef]
- Seidlitz, A.; Beuthien-Baumann, B.; Löck, S.; Jentsch, C.; Platzek, I.; Zöphel, K.; Linge, A.; Kotzerke, J.; Petr, J.; Van den Hoff, J.; et al. Final Results of the Prospective Biomarker Trial PETra: [¹¹C]-MET-Accumulation in Postoperative PET/MRI Predicts Outcome after Radiochemotherapy in Glioblastoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2021, 27, 1351–1360. [CrossRef]
- Kunz, M.; Thon, N.; Eigenbrod, S.; Hartmann, C.; Egensperger, R.; Herms, J.; Geisler, J.; La Fougere, C.; Lutz, J.; Linn, J.; et al. Hot spots in dynamic (18)FET-PET delineate malignant tumor parts within suspected WHO grade II gliomas. *Neuro-Oncology* 2011, 13, 307–316. [CrossRef]
- Lee, I.H.; Piert, M.; Gomez-Hassan, D.; Junck, L.; Rogers, L.; Hayman, J.; Ten Haken, R.K.; Lawrence, T.S.; Cao, Y.; Tsien, C. Association of 11C-methionine PET uptake with site of failure after concurrent temozolomide and radiation for primary glioblastoma multiforme. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 2009, 73, 479–485. [CrossRef]
- Law, I.; Albert, N.L.; Arbizu, J.; Boellaard, R.; Drzezga, A.; Galldiks, N.; La Fougère, C.; Langen, K.-J.; Lopci, E.; Lowe, V.; et al. Joint EANM/EANO/RANO practice guidelines/SNMMI procedure standards for imaging of gliomas using PET with radiolabelled amino acids and [18F]FDG: Version 1.0. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2019, *46*, 540–557. [CrossRef]
- 41. Brandsma, D.; Stalpers, L.; Taal, W.; Sminia, P.; Van den Bent, M.J. Clinical features, mechanisms, and management of pseudoprogression in malignant gliomas. *Lancet Oncol.* 2008, *9*, 453–461. [CrossRef]
- Nihashi, T.; Dahabreh, I.J.; Terasawa, T. Diagnostic accuracy of PET for recurrent glioma diagnosis: A meta-analysis. AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol. 2013, 34, 944–950. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Galldiks, N.; Dunkl, V.; Stoffels, G.; Hutterer, M.; Rapp, M.; Sabel, M.; Reifenberger, G.; Kebir, S.; Dorn, F.; Blau, T.; et al. Diagnosis of pseudoprogression in patients with glioblastoma using O-(2-[18F]fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine PET. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2015, 42, 685–695. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Herrmann, K.; Czernin, J.; Cloughesy, T.; Lai, A.; Pomykala, K.L.; Benz, M.R.; Buck, A.K.; Phelps, M.E.; Chen, W. Comparison of visual and semiquantitative analysis of 18F-FDOPA-PET/CT for recurrence detection in glioblastoma patients. *Neuro-Oncology* 2014, 16, 603–609. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Galldiks, N.; Stoffels, G.; Filss, C.; Rapp, M.; Blau, T.; Tscherpel, C.; Ceccon, G.; Dunkl, V.; Weinzierl, M.; Stoffel, M.; et al. The use of dynamic O-(2-18F-fluoroethyl)-l-tyrosine PET in the diagnosis of patients with progressive and recurrent glioma. *Neuro-Oncology* 2015, *17*, 1293–1300. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Maurer, G.D.; Brucker, D.P.; Stoffels, G.; Filipski, K.; Filss, C.P.; Mottaghy, F.M.; Galldiks, N.; Steinbach, J.P.; Hattingen, E.; Langen, K.-J. ¹⁸F-FET PET Imaging in Differentiating Glioma Progression from Treatment-Related Changes: A Single-Center Experience. J. Nucl. Med. 2020, 61, 505–511. [CrossRef]
- Zaragori, T.; Ginet, M.; Marie, P.-Y.; Roch, V.; Grignon, R.; Gauchotte, G.; Rech, F.; Blonski, M.; Lamiral, Z.; Taillandier, L.; et al. Use of Static and Dynamic [¹⁸F]-FDopa PET Parameters for Detecting Patients with Glioma Recurrence or Progression. *EJNMMI Res.* 2020, *10*, 56. [CrossRef]
- 48. Yonezawa, S.; Miwa, K.; Shinoda, J.; Nomura, Y.; Asano, Y.; Nakayama, N.; Ohe, N.; Yano, H.; Iwama, T. Bevacizumab treatment leads to observable morphological and metabolic changes in brain radiation necrosis. *J. Neurooncol.* **2014**, *119*, 101–109. [CrossRef]
- Kawasaki, T.; Miwa, K.; Shinoda, J.; Asano, Y.; Takei, H.; Ikegame, Y.; Yokoyama, K.; Yano, H.; Iwama, T. Dissociation Between 11C-Methionine-Positron Emission Tomography and Gadolinium-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Longitudinal Features of Glioblastoma After Postoperative Radiotherapy. *World Neurosurg.* 2019, 125, 93–100. [CrossRef]
- Galldiks, N.; Langen, K.-J.; Holy, R.; Pinkawa, M.; Stoffels, G.; Nolte, K.W.; Kaiser, H.J.; Filss, C.P.; Fink, G.R.; Coenen, H.H.; et al. Assessment of Treatment Response in Patients with Glioblastoma Using O-(2-18F-Fluoroethyl)-L-Tyrosine PET in Comparison to MRI. J. Nucl. Med. 2012, 53, 1048–1057. [CrossRef]
- 51. Ceccon, G.; Lohmann, P.; Werner, J.-M.; Tscherpel, C.; Dunkl, V.; Stoffels, G.; Rosen, J.; Rapp, M.; Sabel, M.; Herrlinger, U.; et al. Early Treatment Response Assessment Using ¹⁸F-FET PET Compared with Contrast-Enhanced MRI in Glioma Patients After Adjuvant Temozolomide Chemotherapy. J. Nucl. Med. 2021, 62, 918–925. [CrossRef]
- Galldiks, N.; Rapp, M.; Stoffels, G.; Fink, G.R.; Shah, N.J.; Coenen, H.H.; Sabel, M.; Langen, K.-J. Response assessment of bevacizumab in patients with recurrent malignant glioma using [18F]Fluoroethyl-L-tyrosine PET in comparison to MRI. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2013, 40, 22–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schwarzenberg, J.; Czernin, J.; Cloughesy, T.F.; Ellingson, B.M.; Pope, W.B.; Grogan, T.; Elashoff, D.; Geist, C.; Silverman, D.H.S.; Phelps, M.E.; et al. Treatment response evaluation using 18F-FDOPA PET in patients with recurrent malignant glioma on bevacizumab therapy. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2014, 20, 3550–3559. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Galldiks, N.; Niyazi, M.; Grosu, A.L.; Kocher, M.; Langen, K.-J.; Law, I.; Minniti, G.; Kim, M.M.; Tsien, C.; Dhermain, F.; et al. Contribution of PET imaging to radiotherapy planning and monitoring in glioma patients-a report of the PET/RANO group. *Neuro-Oncology* 2021, 23, 881–893. [CrossRef]

- 55. Roelcke, U.; Wyss, M.T.; Nowosielski, M.; Rudà, R.; Roth, P.; Hofer, S.; Galldiks, N.; Crippa, F.; Weller, M.; Soffietti, R. Amino acid positron emission tomography to monitor chemotherapy response and predict seizure control and progression-free survival in WHO grade II gliomas. *Neuro-Oncology* 2016, 18, 744–751. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Suchorska, B.; Unterrainer, M.; Biczok, A.; Sosnova, M.; Forbrig, R.; Bartenstein, P.; Tonn, J.-C.; Albert, N.L.; Kreth, F.-W. 18F-FET-PET as a biomarker for therapy response in non-contrast enhancing glioma following chemotherapy. *J. Neurooncol.* 2018, 139, 721–730. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Galldiks, N.; Albert, N.L.; Sommerauer, M.; Grosu, A.L.; Ganswindt, U.; Law, I.; Preusser, M.; Le Rhun, E.; Vogelbaum, M.A.; Zadeh, G.; et al. PET imaging in patients with meningioma—report of the RANO/PET Group. *Neuro-Oncology* 2017, 19, 1576–1587. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dutour, A.; Kumar, U.; Panetta, R.; Ouafik, L.; Fina, F.; Sasi, R.; Patel, Y.C. Expression of somatostatin receptor subtypes in human brain tumors. *Int. J. Cancer* 1998, *76*, 620–627. [CrossRef]
- Graef, J.; Furth, C.; Kluge, A.K.; Acker, G.; Kord, M.; Zimmermann, Z.; Amthauer, H.; Makowski, M.; Loebel, F.; Vajkoczy, P.; et al. 68Ga-DOTATOC-PET/MRI—A Secure One-Stop Shop Imaging Tool for Robotic Radiosurgery Treatment Planning in Patients with Optic Nerve Sheath Meningioma. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 3305. [CrossRef]
- Grosu, A.-L.; Weber, W.A.; Astner, S.T.; Adam, M.; Krause, B.J.; Schwaiger, M.; Molls, M.; Nieder, C. 11C-methionine PET improves the target volume delineation of meningiomas treated with stereotactic fractionated radiotherapy. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. *Biol. *Phys.* 2006, *66*, 339–344. [CrossRef]
- Astner, S.T.; Dobrei-Ciuchendea, M.; Essler, M.; Bundschuh, R.A.; Sai, H.; Schwaiger, M.; Molls, M.; Weber, W.A.; Grosu, A.-L. Effect of 11C-Methionine-Positron Emission Tomography on Gross Tumor Volume Delineation in Stereotactic Radiotherapy of Skull Base Meningiomas. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. *Biol. *Phys.* 2008, 72, 1161–1167. [CrossRef]
- Kowalski, E.S.; Khairnar, R.; Gryaznov, A.A.; Kesari, V.; Koroulakis, A.; Raghavan, P.; Chen, W.; Woodworth, G.; Mishra, M. 68Ga-DOTATATE PET-CT as a tool for radiation planning and evaluating treatment responses in the clinical management of meningiomas. *Radiat. Oncol.* 2021, *16*, 151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Milker-Zabel, S.; Zabel-du Bois, A.; Henze, M.; Huber, P.; Schulz-Ertner, D.; Hoess, A.; Haberkorn, U.; Debus, J. Improved target volume definition for fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy in patients with intracranial meningiomas by correlation of CT, MRI, and [68Ga]-DOTATOC-PET. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. *Biol. *Phys.* 2006, 65, 222–227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mahase, S.S.; Roth O'Brien, D.A.; No, D.; Roytman, M.; Skafida, M.E.; Lin, E.; Karakatsanis, N.A.; Osborne, J.R.; Brandmaier, A.; Pannullo, S.C.; et al. [68Ga]-DOTATATE PET/MRI as an adjunct imaging modality for radiation treatment planning of meningiomas. *Neuro-Oncol. Adv.* 2021, 3, vdab012. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Floeth, F.W.; Pauleit, D.; Sabel, M.; Stoffels, G.; Reifenberger, G.; Riemenschneider, M.J.; Jansen, P.; Coenen, H.H.; Steiger, H.-J.; Langen, K.-J. Prognostic value of O-(2-18F-fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine PET and MRI in low-grade glioma. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2007, 48, 519–527. [CrossRef]
- Dittmar, J.O.; Kratochwil, C.; Dittmar, A.; Welzel, T.; Habermehl, D.; Rieken, S.; Giesel, F.L.; Haberkorn, U.; Debus, J.; Combs, S.E. First intraindividual comparison of contrast-enhanced MRI, FET- and DOTATOC- PET in patients with intracranial meningiomas. *Radiat. Oncol.* 2017, 12, 169. [CrossRef]
- Seystahl, K.; Stoecklein, V.; Schüller, U.; Rushing, E.; Nicolas, G.; Schäfer, N.; Ilhan, H.; Pangalu, A.; Weller, M.; Tonn, J.-C.; et al. Somatostatin-receptor-targeted radionuclide therapy for progressive meningioma: Benefit linked to ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE/-TOC uptake. *Neuro-Oncology* **2016**, *18*, 1538–1547. [CrossRef]
- Sommerauer, M.; Burkhardt, J.-K.; Frontzek, K.; Rushing, E.; Buck, A.; Krayenbuehl, N.; Weller, M.; Schaefer, N.; Kuhn, F.P. ⁶⁸Gallium-DOTATATE PET in meningioma: A reliable predictor of tumor growth rate? *Neuro-Oncology* 2016, 18, 1021–1027. [CrossRef]
- Graillon, T.; Romano, D.; Defilles, C.; Saveanu, A.; Mohamed, A.; Figarella-Branger, D.; Roche, P.-H.; Fuentes, S.; Chinot, O.; Dufour, H.; et al. Octreotide therapy in meningiomas: In vitro study, clinical correlation, and literature review. *J. Neurosurg.* 2017, 127, 660–669. [CrossRef]
- Kunz, W.G.; Jungblut, L.M.; Kazmierczak, P.M.; Vettermann, F.J.; Bollenbacher, A.; Tonn, J.C.; Schichor, C.; Rominger, A.; Albert, N.L.; Bartenstein, P.; et al. Improved Detection of Transosseous Meningiomas Using ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT Compared with Contrast-Enhanced MRI. J. Nucl. Med. 2017, 58, 1580–1587. [CrossRef]
- Houillier, C.; Soussain, C.; Ghesquières, H.; Soubeyran, P.; Chinot, O.; Taillandier, L.; Lamy, T.; Choquet, S.; Ahle, G.; Damaj, G.; et al. Management and outcome of primary CNS lymphoma in the modern era: An LOC network study. *Neurology* 2020, 94, e1027–e1039. [CrossRef]
- Barajas, R.F.; Politi, L.S.; Anzalone, N.; Schöder, H.; Fox, C.P.; Boxerman, J.L.; Kaufmann, T.J.; Quarles, C.C.; Ellingson, B.M.; Auer, D.; et al. Consensus recommendations for MRI and PET imaging of primary central nervous system lymphoma: Guideline statement from the International Primary CNS Lymphoma Collaborative Group (IPCG). *Neuro-Oncology* 2021, 23, 1056–1071. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Makino, K.; Hirai, T.; Nakamura, H.; Murakami, R.; Kitajima, M.; Shigematsu, Y.; Nakashima, R.; Shiraishi, S.; Uetani, H.; Iwashita, K.; et al. Does adding FDG-PET to MRI improve the differentiation between primary cerebral lymphoma and glioblastoma? Observer performance study. *Ann. Nucl. Med.* 2011, 25, 432–438. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hatakeyama, J.; Ono, T.; Takahashi, M.; Oda, M.; Shimizu, H. Differentiating between Primary Central Nervous System Lymphoma and Glioblastoma: The Diagnostic Value of Combining ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose Positron Emission Tomography with Arterial Spin Labeling. *Neurol. Med. Chir.* 2021, *61*, 367–375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 75. Gupta, T.; Manjali, J.J.; Kannan, S.; Purandare, N.; Rangarajan, V. Diagnostic Performance of Pretreatment 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose Positron Emission Tomography With or Without Computed Tomography in Patients With Primary Central Nervous System Lymphoma: Updated Systematic Review and Diagnostic Test Accuracy Meta-analyses. *Clin. Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk.* 2021, 21, 497–507. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, M.; Sun, J.; Bai, H.X.; Tao, Y.; Tang, X.; States, L.J.; Zhang, Z.; Zhou, J.; Farwell, M.D.; Zhang, P.; et al. Diagnostic accuracy of SPECT, PET, and MRS for primary central nervous system lymphoma in HIV patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine* 2017, 96, e6676. [CrossRef]
- 77. Krebs, S.; Mauguen, A.; Yildirim, O.; Hatzoglou, V.; Francis, J.H.; Schaff, L.R.; Mellinghoff, I.K.; Schöder, H.; Grommes, C. Prognostic value of [18F]FDG PET/CT in patients with CNS lymphoma receiving ibrutinib-based therapies. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2021, 48, 3940–3950. [CrossRef]
- 78. Palmedo, H.; Urbach, H.; Bender, H.; Schlegel, U.; Schmidt-Wolf, I.G.H.; Matthies, A.; Linnebank, M.; Joe, A.; Bucerius, J.; Biersack, H.-J.; et al. FDG-PET in immunocompetent patients with primary central nervous system lymphoma: Correlation with MRI and clinical follow-up. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2006, 33, 164–168. [CrossRef]
- 79. Jo, J.-C.; Yoon, D.H.; Kim, S.; Lee, K.; Kang, E.H.; Park, J.S.; Ryu, J.-S.; Huh, J.; Park, C.-S.; Kim, J.H.; et al. Interim 18F-FGD PET/CT may not predict the outcome in primary central nervous system lymphoma patients treated with sequential treatment with methotrexate and cytarabine. *Ann. Hematol.* 2017, *96*, 1509–1515. [CrossRef]
- Birsen, R.; Blanc, E.; Willems, L.; Burroni, B.; Legoff, M.; Le Ray, E.; Pilorge, S.; Salah, S.; Quentin, A.; Deau, B.; et al. Prognostic value of early 18F-FDG PET scanning evaluation in immunocompetent primary CNS lymphoma patients. *Oncotarget* 2018, 9, 16822–16831. [CrossRef]
- Ahn, S.-Y.; Kwon, S.Y.; Jung, S.-H.; Ahn, J.-S.; Yoo, S.W.; Min, J.-J.; Bom, H.-S.; Ki, S.Y.; Kim, H.-J.; Lee, J.-J.; et al. Prognostic Significance of Interim 11C-Methionine PET/CT in Primary Central Nervous System Lymphoma. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* 2018, 43, e259–e264. [CrossRef]
- Galldiks, N.; Langen, K.-J.; Albert, N.L.; Chamberlain, M.; Soffietti, R.; Kim, M.M.; Law, I.; Le Rhun, E.; Chang, S.; Schwarting, J.; et al. PET imaging in patients with brain metastasis—report of the RANO/PET group. *Neuro-Oncology* 2019, 21, 585–595. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Minniti, G.; Clarke, E.; Lanzetta, G.; Osti, M.F.; Trasimeni, G.; Bozzao, A.; Romano, A.; Enrici, R.M. Stereotactic radiosurgery for brain metastases: Analysis of outcome and risk of brain radionecrosis. *Radiat. Oncol.* **2011**, *6*, 48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Chao, S.T.; Suh, J.H.; Raja, S.; Lee, S.Y.; Barnett, G. The sensitivity and specificity of FDG PET in distinguishing recurrent brain tumor from radionecrosis in patients treated with stereotactic radiosurgery. *Int. J. Cancer* **2001**, *96*, 191–197. [CrossRef]
- Tomura, N.; Kokubun, M.; Saginoya, T.; Mizuno, Y.; Kikuchi, Y. Differentiation between Treatment-Induced Necrosis and Recurrent Tumors in Patients with Metastatic Brain Tumors: Comparison among ¹¹C-Methionine-PET, FDG-PET, MR Permeability Imaging, and MRI-ADC—Preliminary Results. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 2017, *38*, 1520–1527. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 86. Horky, L.L.; Hsiao, E.M.; Weiss, S.E.; Drappatz, J.; Gerbaudo, V.H. Dual phase FDG-PET imaging of brain metastases provides superior assessment of recurrence versus post-treatment necrosis. *J. Neurooncol.* **2011**, *103*, 137–146. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cicone, F.; Minniti, G.; Romano, A.; Papa, A.; Scaringi, C.; Tavanti, F.; Bozzao, A.; Maurizi Enrici, R.; Scopinaro, F. Accuracy of F-DOPA PET and perfusion-MRI for differentiating radionecrotic from progressive brain metastases after radiosurgery. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2015, 42, 103–111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Minamimoto, R.; Saginoya, T.; Kondo, C.; Tomura, N.; Ito, K.; Matsuo, Y.; Matsunaga, S.; Shuto, T.; Akabane, A.; Miyata, Y.; et al. Differentiation of Brain Tumor Recurrence from Post-Radiotherapy Necrosis with 11C-Methionine PET: Visual Assessment versus Quantitative Assessment. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10, e0132515. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lizarraga, K.J.; Allen-Auerbach, M.; Czernin, J.; DeSalles, A.A.F.; Yong, W.H.; Phelps, M.E.; Chen, W. (18)F-FDOPA PET for differentiating recurrent or progressive brain metastatic tumors from late or delayed radiation injury after radiation treatment. J. Nucl. Med. 2014, 55, 30–36. [CrossRef]
- Ceccon, G.; Lohmann, P.; Stoffels, G.; Judov, N.; Filss, C.P.; Rapp, M.; Bauer, E.; Hamisch, C.; Ruge, M.I.; Kocher, M.; et al. Dynamic O-(2-18F-fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine positron emission tomography differentiates brain metastasis recurrence from radiation injury after radiotherapy. *Neuro-Oncology* 2016, 19, 281–288. [CrossRef]
- Cicone, F.; Carideo, L.; Scaringi, C.; Romano, A.; Mamede, M.; Papa, A.; Tofani, A.; Cascini, G.L.; Bozzao, A.; Scopinaro, F.; et al. Long-term metabolic evolution of brain metastases with suspected radiation necrosis following stereotactic radiosurgery: Longitudinal assessment by F-DOPA PET. *Neuro-Oncology* 2021, 23, 1024–1034. [CrossRef]
- Heinzel, A.; Müller, D.; Yekta-Michael, S.S.; Ceccon, G.; Langen, K.-J.; Mottaghy, F.M.; Wiesmann, M.; Kocher, M.; Hattingen, E.; Galldiks, N. O-(2-18F-fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine PET for evaluation of brain metastasis recurrence after radiotherapy: An effectiveness and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Neuro-Oncology* 2017, *19*, 1271–1278. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 93. Li, Y.; Jin, G.; Su, D. Comparison of Gadolinium-enhanced MRI and 18FDG PET/PET-CT for the diagnosis of brain metastases in lung cancer patients: A meta-analysis of 5 prospective studies. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *8*, 35743–35749. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Unterrainer, M.; Galldiks, N.; Suchorska, B.; Kowalew, L.-C.; Wenter, V.; Schmid-Tannwald, C.; Niyazi, M.; Bartenstein, P.; Langen, K.-J.; Albert, N.L. ¹⁸F-FET PET Uptake Characteristics in Patients with Newly Diagnosed and Untreated Brain Metastasis. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2017, 58, 584–589. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Meyer, H.S.; Liesche-Starnecker, F.; Mustafa, M.; Yakushev, I.; Wiestler, B.; Meyer, B.; Gempt, J. [18F]FET PET Uptake Indicates High Tumor and Low Necrosis Content in Brain Metastasis. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 355. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 96. Purandare, N.C.; Puranik, A.; Shah, S.; Agrawal, A.; Gupta, T.; Moiyadi, A.; Shetty, P.; Shridhar, E.; Jalali, R.; Rangarajan, V. Common malignant brain tumors: Can 18F-FDG PET/CT aid in differentiation? *Nucl. Med. Commun.* 2017, 38, 1109–1116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Galldiks, N.; Abdulla, D.S.Y.; Scheffler, M.; Wolpert, F.; Werner, J.-M.; Hüllner, M.; Stoffels, G.; Schweinsberg, V.; Schlaak, M.; Kreuzberg, N.; et al. Treatment Monitoring of Immunotherapy and Targeted Therapy Using ¹⁸F-FET PET in Patients with Melanoma and Lung Cancer Brain Metastases: Initial Experiences. J. Nucl. Med. 2021, 62, 464–470. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Laudicella, R.; Quartuccio, N.; Argiroffi, G.; Alongi, P.; Baratto, L.; Califaretti, E.; Frantellizzi, V.; De Vincentis, G.; Del Sole, A.; Evangelista, L.; et al. Unconventional non-amino acidic PET radiotracers for molecular imaging in gliomas. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2021, 48, 3925–3939. [CrossRef]
- 99. McKenzie, C.G.; Lenzi, G.L.; Jones, T.; Moss, S. Radioactive oxygen 15O studies in cerebral neoplasms. J. R. Soc. Med. 1978, 71, 417–425. [CrossRef]
- 100. Xiangsong, Z.; Changhong, L.; Weian, C.; Dong, Z. PET Imaging of cerebral astrocytoma with 13N-ammonia. *J. Neurooncol.* 2006, 78, 145–151. [CrossRef]
- Verger, A.; Imbert, L.; Zaragori, T. Dynamic amino-acid PET in neuro-oncology: A prognostic tool becomes essential. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2021, 48, 4129–4132. [CrossRef]
- 102. Cai, W.; Chen, X. Multimodality Molecular Imaging of Tumor Angiogenesis. J. Nucl. Med. 2008, 49, 113S–128S. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Zhang, H.; Liu, N.; Gao, S.; Hu, X.; Zhao, W.; Tao, R.; Chen, Z.; Zheng, J.; Sun, X.; Xu, L.; et al. Can an ¹⁸F-ALF-NOTA-PRGD2 PET/CT Scan Predict Treatment Sensitivity to Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Glioblastoma? J. Nucl. Med. 2016, 57, 524–529. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Li, D.; Zhang, J.; Ji, N.; Zhao, X.; Zheng, K.; Qiao, Z.; Li, F.; Lang, L.; Iagaru, A.; Niu, G.; et al. Combined 68Ga-NOTA-PRGD2 and 18F-FDG PET/CT Can Discriminate Uncommon Meningioma Mimicking High-Grade Glioma. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* **2018**, *43*, 648–654. [CrossRef]
- 105. Jansen, M.H.; Veldhuijzen van Zanten, S.E.M.; Van Vuurden, D.G.; Huisman, M.C.; Vugts, D.J.; Hoekstra, O.S.; Van Dongen, G.A.; Kaspers, G.-J.L. Molecular Drug Imaging: ⁸⁹Zr-Bevacizumab PET in Children with Diffuse Intrinsic Pontine Glioma. *J. Nucl. Med.* 2017, 58, 711–716. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 106. Kumar, D.; Lisok, A.; Dahmane, E.; McCoy, M.; Shelake, S.; Chatterjee, S.; Allaj, V.; Sysa-Shah, P.; Wharram, B.; Lesniak, W.G.; et al. Peptide-based PET quantifies target engagement of PD-L1 therapeutics. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2019**, 129, 616–630. [CrossRef]
- Dupont, A.-C.; Largeau, B.; Santiago Ribeiro, M.; Guilloteau, D.; Tronel, C.; Arlicot, N. Translocator Protein-18 kDa (TSPO) Positron Emission Tomography (PET) Imaging and Its Clinical Impact in Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, 18, 785. [CrossRef]
- 108. Zanotti-Fregonara, P.; Pascual, B.; Rostomily, R.C.; Rizzo, G.; Veronese, M.; Masdeu, J.C.; Turkheimer, F. Anatomy of 18F-GE180, a failed radioligand for the TSPO protein. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2020**, *47*, 2233–2236. [CrossRef]
- 109. Janczar, K.; Su, Z.; Raccagni, I.; Anfosso, A.; Kelly, C.; Durrenberger, P.F.; Gerhard, A.; Roncaroli, F. The 18-kDa mitochondrial translocator protein in gliomas: From the bench to bedside. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **2015**, *43*, 579–585. [CrossRef]
- Albert, N.L.; Unterrainer, M.; Fleischmann, D.F.; Lindner, S.; Vettermann, F.; Brunegraf, A.; Vomacka, L.; Brendel, M.; Wenter, V.; Wetzel, C.; et al. TSPO PET for glioma imaging using the novel ligand 18F-GE-180: First results in patients with glioblastoma. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2017, 44, 2230–2238. [CrossRef]
- 111. Junck, L.; Olson, J.M.M.; Ciliax, B.J.; Koeppe, R.A.; Watkins, G.L.; Jewett, D.M.; McKeever, P.E.; Wieland, D.M.; Kilbourn, M.R.; Starosta-Rubinstein, S.; et al. PET Imaging of human gliomas with ligands for the peripheral benzodiazepine binding site. *Ann. Neurol.* **1989**, 26, 752–758. [CrossRef]
- 112. Zinnhardt, B.; Roncaroli, F.; Foray, C.; Agushi, E.; Osrah, B.; Hugon, G.; Jacobs, A.H.; Winkeler, A. Imaging of the glioma microenvironment by TSPO PET. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2021**, *49*, 174–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Unterrainer, M.; Fleischmann, D.F.; Vettermann, F.; Ruf, V.; Kaiser, L.; Nelwan, D.; Lindner, S.; Brendel, M.; Wenter, V.; Stöcklein, S.; et al. TSPO PET, tumour grading and molecular genetics in histologically verified glioma: A correlative 18F-GE-180 PET study. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2020, 47, 1368–1380. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 114. Vettermann, F.J.; Harris, S.; Schmitt, J.; Unterrainer, M.; Lindner, S.; Rauchmann, B.-S.; Palleis, C.; Weidinger, E.; Beyer, L.; Eckenweber, F.; et al. Impact of TSPO Receptor Polymorphism on [18F]GE-180 Binding in Healthy Brain and Pseudo-Reference Regions of Neurooncological and Neurodegenerative Disorders. *Life* 2021, *11*, 484. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 115. Wang, Z.; Zhang, M.; Wang, L.; Wang, S.; Kang, F.; Li, G.; Jacobson, O.; Niu, G.; Yang, W.; Wang, J.; et al. Prospective Study of ⁶⁸Ga-NOTA-NFB: Radiation Dosimetry in Healthy Volunteers and First Application in Glioma Patients. *Theranostics* **2015**, *5*, 882–889. [CrossRef]
- 116. Lapa, C.; Lückerath, K.; Kleinlein, I.; Monoranu, C.M.; Linsenmann, T.; Kessler, A.F.; Rudelius, M.; Kropf, S.; Buck, A.K.; Ernestus, R.-I.; et al. ⁶⁸Ga-Pentixafor-PET/CT for Imaging of Chemokine Receptor 4 Expression in Glioblastoma. *Theranostics* 2016, 6, 428–434. [CrossRef]
- 117. Kumar, A.; ArunRaj, S.T.; Bhullar, K.; Haresh, K.P.; Gupta, S.; Ballal, S.; Yadav, M.; Singh, M.; Damle, N.A.; Garg, A.; et al. Ga-68 PSMA PET/CT in recurrent high-grade gliomas: Evaluating PSMA expression in vivo. *Neuroradiology* 2021, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- Marner, L.; Henriksen, O.M.; Lundemann, M.; Larsen, V.A.; Law, I. Clinical PET/MRI in neurooncology: Opportunities and challenges from a single-institution perspective. *Clin. Transl. Imaging* 2017, *5*, 135–149. [CrossRef]

- Wang, K.; Qiao, Z.; Zhao, X.; Li, X.; Wang, X.; Wu, T.; Chen, Z.; Fan, D.; Chen, Q.; Ai, L. Individualized discrimination of tumor recurrence from radiation necrosis in glioma patients using an integrated radiomics-based model. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2020, 47, 1400–1411. [CrossRef]
- 120. Liao, C.-Y.; Jen, J.-H.; Chen, Y.-W.; Li, C.-Y.; Wang, L.-W.; Liu, R.-S.; Huang, W.-S.; Lu, C.-F. Comparison of Conventional and Radiomic Features between 18F-FBPA PET/CT and PET/MR. *Biomolecules* **2021**, *11*, 1659. [CrossRef]
- 121. Verger, A.; Filss, C.P.; Lohmann, P.; Stoffels, G.; Sabel, M.; Wittsack, H.J.; Kops, E.R.; Galldiks, N.; Fink, G.R.; Shah, N.J.; et al. Comparison of (18)F-FET PET and Perfusion-Weighted MRI for Glioma Grading: A Hybrid PET/MR Study. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2017, 44, 2257–2265. [CrossRef]
- 122. Pellerin, A.; Khalifé, M.; Sanson, M.; Rozenblum-Beddok, L.; Bertaux, M.; Soret, M.; Galanaud, D.; Dormont, D.; Kas, A.; Pyatigorskaya, N. Simultaneously Acquired PET and ASL Imaging Biomarkers May Be Helpful in Differentiating Progression from Pseudo-Progression in Treated Gliomas. *Eur. Radiol.* 2021, *31*, 7395–7405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 123. Tatekawa, H.; Hagiwara, A.; Yao, J.; Oughourlian, T.C.; Ueda, I.; Uetani, H.; Raymond, C.; Lai, A.; Cloughesy, T.F.; Nghiemphu, P.L.; et al. Voxelwise and Patientwise Correlation of 18F-FDOPA PET, Relative Cerebral Blood Volume, and Apparent Diffusion Coefficient in Treatment-Naïve Diffuse Gliomas with Different Molecular Subtypes. J. Nucl. Med. 2021, 62, 319–325. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lohmeier, J.; Bohner, G.; Siebert, E.; Brenner, W.; Hamm, B.; Makowski, M.R. Quantitative biparametric analysis of hybrid 18F-FET PET/MR-neuroimaging for differentiation between treatment response and recurrent glioma. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 14603. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 125. Werner, J.-M.; Stoffels, G.; Lichtenstein, T.; Borggrefe, J.; Lohmann, P.; Ceccon, G.; Shah, N.J.; Fink, G.R.; Langen, K.-J.; Kabbasch, C.; et al. Differentiation of treatment-related changes from tumour progression: A direct comparison between dynamic FET PET and ADC values obtained from DWI MRI. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2019**, *46*, 1889–1901. [CrossRef]
- 126. D'Souza, M.M.; Sharma, R.; Jaimini, A.; Panwar, P.; Saw, S.; Kaur, P.; Mondal, A.; Mishra, A.; Tripathi, R.P. 11C-MET PET/CT and advanced MRI in the evaluation of tumor recurrence in high-grade gliomas. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* **2014**, *39*, 791–798. [CrossRef]
- 127. Mauler, J.; Maudsley, A.A.; Langen, K.-J.; Nikoubashman, O.; Stoffels, G.; Sheriff, S.; Lohmann, P.; Filss, C.; Galldiks, N.; Kops, E.R.; et al. Spatial Relationship of Glioma Volume Derived from ¹⁸F-FET PET and Volumetric MR Spectroscopy Imaging: A Hybrid PET/MRI Study. J. Nucl. Med. 2018, 59, 603–609. [CrossRef]
- 128. Bumes, E.; Wirtz, F.-P.; Fellner, C.; Grosse, J.; Hellwig, D.; Oefner, P.J.; Häckl, M.; Linker, R.; Proescholdt, M.; Schmidt, N.O.; et al. Non-Invasive Prediction of IDH Mutation in Patients with Glioma WHO II/III/IV Based on F-18-FET PET-Guided In Vivo 1H-Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy and Machine Learning. *Cancers* 2020, 12, 3406. [CrossRef]
- 129. Stopa, B.M.; Juhász, C.; Mittal, S. Comparison of Amino Acid PET to Advanced and Emerging MRI Techniques for Neurooncology Imaging: A Systematic Review of the Recent Studies. *Mol. Imaging* 2021, 2021, 1–19. [CrossRef]
- Salvadori, J.; Imbert, L.; Perrin, M.; Karcher, G.; Lamiral, Z.; Marie, P.-Y.; Verger, A. Head-to-head comparison of image quality between brain 18F-FDG images recorded with a fully digital versus a last-generation analog PET camera. *EJNMMI Res.* 2019, 9, 61. [CrossRef]
- 131. Salvadori, J.; Odille, F.; Karcher, G.; Marie, P.-Y.; Imbert, L. Fully digital PET is unaffected by any deterioration in TOF resolution and TOF image quality in the wide range of routine PET count rates. *EJNMMI Phys.* **2021**, *8*, 1. [CrossRef]
- Lohmann, P.; Elahmadawy, M.A.; Gutsche, R.; Werner, J.-M.; Bauer, E.K.; Ceccon, G.; Kocher, M.; Lerche, C.W.; Rapp, M.; Fink, G.R.; et al. FET PET Radiomics for Differentiating Pseudoprogression from Early Tumor Progression in Glioma Patients Post-Chemoradiation. *Cancers* 2020, *12*, 3835. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 133. Pyka, T.; Gempt, J.; Hiob, D.; Ringel, F.; Schlegel, J.; Bette, S.; Wester, H.-J.; Meyer, B.; Förster, S. Textural Analysis of Pre-Therapeutic [18F]-FET-PET and Its Correlation with Tumor Grade and Patient Survival in High-Grade Gliomas. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2016, 43, 133–141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 134. Haubold, J.; Demircioglu, A.; Gratz, M.; Glas, M.; Wrede, K.; Sure, U.; Antoch, G.; Keyvani, K.; Nittka, M.; Kannengiesser, S.; et al. Non-Invasive Tumor Decoding and Phenotyping of Cerebral Gliomas Utilizing Multiparametric 18F-FET PET-MRI and MR Fingerprinting. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2020, 47, 1435–1445. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 135. Lohmann, P.; Lerche, C.; Bauer, E.K.; Steger, J.; Stoffels, G.; Blau, T.; Dunkl, V.; Kocher, M.; Viswanathan, S.; Filss, C.P.; et al. Predicting IDH Genotype in Gliomas Using FET PET Radiomics. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 13328. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 136. Zaragori, T.; Oster, J.; Roch, V.; Hossu, G.; Chawki, M.B.; Grignon, R.; Pouget, C.; Gauchotte, G.; Rech, F.; Blonski, M.; et al. 18F-FDOPA PET for the Non-Invasive Prediction of Glioma Molecular Parameters: A Radiomics Study. J. Nucl. Med. 2021, 63, 147–157. [CrossRef]
- 137. Kong, Z.; Lin, Y.; Jiang, C.; Li, L.; Liu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Dai, C.; Liu, D.; Qin, X.; Wang, Y.; et al. 18F-FDG-PET-Based Radiomics Signature Predicts MGMT Promoter Methylation Status in Primary Diffuse Glioma. *Cancer Imaging* **2019**, *19*, 58. [CrossRef]
- Meißner, A.-K.; Gutsche, R.; Galldiks, N.; Kocher, M.; Jünger, S.T.; Eich, M.-L.; Montesinos-Rongen, M.; Brunn, A.; Deckert, M.; Wendl, C.; et al. Radiomics for the Non-Invasive Prediction of the BRAF Mutation Status in Patients with Melanoma Brain Metastases. *Neuro-Oncology* 2021, noab294. [CrossRef]
- 139. Carles, M.; Popp, I.; Starke, M.M.; Mix, M.; Urbach, H.; Schimek-Jasch, T.; Eckert, F.; Niyazi, M.; Baltas, D.; Grosu, A.L. FET-PET radiomics in recurrent glioblastoma: Prognostic value for outcome after re-irradiation? *Radiat. Oncol.* **2021**, *16*, 46. [CrossRef]
- Lohmann, P.; Kocher, M.; Ceccon, G.; Bauer, E.K.; Stoffels, G.; Viswanathan, S.; Ruge, M.I.; Neumaier, B.; Shah, N.J.; Fink, G.R.; et al. Combined FET PET/MRI radiomics differentiates radiation injury from recurrent brain metastasis. *NeuroImage Clin.* 2018, 20, 537–542. [CrossRef]

- 141. Ahrari, S.; Zaragori, T.; Rozenblum, L.; Oster, J.; Imbert, L.; Kas, A.; Verger, A. Relevance of Dynamic 18F-DOPA PET Radiomics for Differentiation of High-Grade Glioma Progression from Treatment-Related Changes. *Biomedicines* **2021**, *9*, 1924. [CrossRef]
- Martens, C.; Debeir, O.; Decaestecker, C.; Metens, T.; Lebrun, L.; Leurquin-Sterk, G.; Trotta, N.; Goldman, S.; Van Simaeys, G. Voxelwise Principal Component Analysis of Dynamic [S-Methyl-11C]Methionine PET Data in Glioma Patients. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 2342. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 143. Kaley, T.; Barani, I.; Chamberlain, M.; McDermott, M.; Panageas, K.; Raizer, J.; Rogers, L.; Schiff, D.; Vogelbaum, M.; Weber, D.; et al. Historical benchmarks for medical therapy trials in surgery- and radiation-refractory meningioma: A RANO review. *Neuro-Oncology* 2014, 16, 829–840. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Guedj, E.; Graillon, T.; Chinot, O.; Taieb, D. Treatment of aggressive recurrent meningiomas: Spinning towards peptide receptor radionuclide therapy. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2019**, *46*, 537–538. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 145. Mirian, C.; Duun-Henriksen, A.K.; Maier, A.; Pedersen, M.M.; Jensen, L.R.; Bashir, A.; Graillon, T.; Hrachova, M.; Bota, D.; Van Essen, M.; et al. Somatostatin Receptor-Targeted Radiopeptide Therapy in Treatment-Refractory Meningioma: Individual Patient Data Meta-analysis. J. Nucl. Med. 2021, 62, 507–513. [CrossRef]
- 146. Schumacher, T.; Hofer, S.; Eichhorn, K.; Wasner, M.; Zimmerer, S.; Freitag, P.; Probst, A.; Gratzl, O.; Reubi, J.-C.; Maecke, H.; et al. Local injection of the 90Y-labelled peptidic vector DOTATOC to control gliomas of WHO grades II and III: An extended pilot study. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med.* 2002, 29, 486–493. [CrossRef]
- 147. Heute, D.; Kostron, H.; Von Guggenberg, E.; Ingorokva, S.; Gabriel, M.; Dobrozemsky, G.; Stockhammer, G.; Virgolini, I.J. Response of Recurrent High-Grade Glioma to Treatment with ⁹⁰Y-DOTATOC. J. Nucl. Med. **2010**, *51*, 397–400. [CrossRef]
- 148. Lange, F.; Kaemmerer, D.; Behnke-Mursch, J.; Brück, W.; Schulz, S.; Lupp, A. Differential somatostatin, CXCR4 chemokine and endothelin A receptor expression in WHO grade I–IV astrocytic brain tumors. *J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.* 2018, 144, 1227–1237. [CrossRef]
- 149. Kneifel, S.; Bernhardt, P.; Uusijärvi, H.; Good, S.; Plasswilm, L.; Buitrago-Téllez, C.; Müller-Brand, J.; Mäcke, H.; Merlo, A. Individual voxelwise dosimetry of targeted 90Y-labelled substance P radiotherapy for malignant gliomas. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2007, 34, 1388–1395. [CrossRef]
- Krolicki, L.; Bruchertseifer, F.; Kunikowska, J.; Koziara, H.; Królicki, B.; Jakuciński, M.; Pawlak, D.; Apostolidis, C.; Mirzadeh, S.; Rola, R.; et al. Prolonged survival in secondary glioblastoma following local injection of targeted alpha therapy with 213Bi-substance P analogue. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2018, 45, 1636–1644. [CrossRef]
- 151. Kumar, A.; Ballal, S.; Yadav, M.P.; ArunRaj, S.T.; Haresh, K.P.; Gupta, S.; Damle, N.A.; Garg, A.; Tripathi, M.; Bal, C. 177Lu-/68Ga-PSMA Theranostics in Recurrent Glioblastoma Multiforme: Proof of Concept. *Clin. Nucl. Med.* **2020**, *45*, e512–e513. [CrossRef]
- 152. Kirchner, M.A.; Holzgreve, A.; Brendel, M.; Orth, M.; Ruf, V.C.; Steiger, K.; Pötter, D.; Gold, L.; Unterrainer, M.; Mittlmeier, L.M.; et al. PSMA PET Imaging in Glioblastoma: A Preclinical Evaluation and Theranostic Outlook. *Front. Oncol.* 2021, 11, 774017. [CrossRef] [PubMed]