

Unraveling the Role of MIXL1 Activation in Endoderm Differentiation of Isogenic Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells

Pierre Osteil, Sarah Withey, Nicole Santucci, Nader Aryamanesh, Chi Nam Ignatius Pang, Nazmus Salehin, Jane Sun, Annie Qin, Jiayi Su, Hilary Knowles, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Pierre Osteil, Sarah Withey, Nicole Santucci, Nader Aryamanesh, Chi Nam Ignatius Pang, et al.. Unraveling the Role of MIXL1 Activation in Endoderm Differentiation of Isogenic Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells. 2024. hal-04496012

HAL Id: hal-04496012 https://hal.science/hal-04496012

Preprint submitted on 8 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Unraveling the Role of MIXL1 Activation in Endoderm Differentiation of Isogenic Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells

Pierre Osteil,^{1*¢} Sarah Withey,³ Nicole Santucci,¹ Nader Aryamanesh,⁴ Ignatius Pang,⁴ Nazmus Salehin,² Jane Sun,¹ Annie Qin,¹ Jiayi Su,¹ Hilary Knowles,¹ Simon Cai,⁴ George Craft,⁵ Mark Graham,⁵ Xiucheng Bella Li,¹ Ernst Wolvetang,³ and Patrick P. L. Tam, ^{1,2*}

¹Embryology Research Unit, Children's Medical Research Institute, University of Sydney, Australia,
 ²School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Australia,
 ³Australian Institute for Bioengineering and Nanotechnology, University of Queensland, Australia
 ⁴Bioinformatics Group, Children's Medical Research Institute, University of Sydney, Australia,
 ⁵, Biomedical Proteomics, Children's Medical Research Institute, University of Sydney, Australia
 * To whom correspondence should be addressed; E-mail: pierre.osteil@uca.fr, ptam@cmri.org.au
 ^{\$\phi\$} Present address: Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, INSERM, GReD Institute, Faculté de Médecine, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France.

17 Human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSC) possess the ability to differentiate into a 18 multitude of tissue types but display heterogeneity in the propensity of differentiation 19 into specific tissue lineages. An examination of isogenic hiPSC lines revealed variations 20 in the endoderm propensity under directed differentiation conditions. Characterization of 21 the transcriptome and proteome of the hiPSC lines showed that MIXL1 activity at the early 22 differentiation stage correlated with the efficacy of generating definitive endoderm and 23 further differentiation into endoderm derivatives. Enforced expression of MIXL1 in the 24 endoderm-incompetent hiPSCs enhanced the propensity of endoderm differentiation, 25 suggesting that modulation of key drivers of lineage differentiation can re-wire hiPSC to the desired lineage propensity for stem cell products. 26

27 Introduction

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

28 Human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) are noted for their ability to differentiate into a multitude of cell and tissue types 1-6. Many protocols have been developed to direct the 29 differentiation of hiPSCs to desirable types of cells or tissues, including the endoderm precursor, 30 the definitive endoderm⁷⁻¹⁰ and endoderm derivatives such as intestinal cells ¹¹⁻¹³ pancreatic 31 cells¹⁴ and hepatocytes^{15–18}. A recent study of a bank of hiPSC lines derived from 125 individuals 32 33 revealed that hiPSC lines respond differently when directed to differentiate to definitive 34 endoderm¹⁹, suggesting that there is innate heterogeneity in the propensity for endoderm 35 differentiation among hiPSC lines. In this study the lineage propensity has been linked to specific quantitative trait loci (QTL). Both genetic determinants ²⁰⁻²², and somatic or epigenetic memory 36 related to the cell/tissue of origin²³⁻²⁶, have been shown to underpin the variable lineage 37 38 specification and differentiation propensity of hiPSCs. The impact of epigenetic memory on 39 establishment of functional tissue nevertheless remains unresolved, even when examining isogenic cell lines from the same cellular resource and reprogrammed under the same 40

41 conditions²⁷. In the present study, we investigated the propensity of endoderm differentiation of 42 eleven pluripotent lines of four sets of isogenic hiPSCs by tracking the differentiation from pluripotent cells to definitive endoderm (DE), hepatocytes and intestinal organoids (hIO). We 43 44 showed that in isogenic hiPSCs, early activation and a high level of MIXL1 expression were associated with enhanced propensity of endoderm differentiation. In the mouse embryo, Mix11 45 is expressed in the primitive streak and the nascent mesoderm during gastrulation and 46 47 expression persists in the primitive streak of the early-somite-stage embryo^{28,29}. Loss of Mixl1 48 function is associated with deficiency of DE and under-expansion of the nascent mesoderm³⁰. In the mouse embryonic stem cells, loss of Mix11 function leads to inefficient differentiation of 49 lateral mesoderm tissue and hematopoietic lineages³¹, whereas constitutive *Mixl1* activity 50 promotes the differentiation of Foxa2+/ECad+ DE cells³². In mouse epiblast stem cells, activation 51 of *Mixl1* at the early phase of differentiation correlates with improved endoderm differentiation²⁸. 52 53 Analysis of the molecular attributes of DE differentiation revealed that the activity of MIXL1 at the early phase of hiPSC differentiation promotes the differentiation of SOX17+ DE cells when 54 confined to defined size micropattern³³. We further showed that enhanced expression of MIXL1 55 56 in hiPSCs augmented endoderm propensity, advancing the understanding of how lineage propensity can be re-wired to generate fit-for-purpose pluripotent stem cells for translational 57 58 application.

59 **Results**

60 Early onset of gastrulation is necessary for definitive endoderm formation

61 Eleven hiPSC lines from four isogenic groups (two males and two females)^{34,35} were subjected to DE differentiation by following the manufacturer instruction (STEMDiff definitive endoderm 62 protocol) (Figure 1A) and assessed for expression of FOXA2 and SOX17 on Day 4 of 63 differentiation (Figure 1B and C, Supplementary Figure S1A and B). Among the hiPSCs, C32 64 had the lowest expression of both endodermal transcription factors, despite comparable cell 65 morphology to other cell lines (Figure 1C), suggesting that this cell line is not amenable to 66 definitive endoderm differentiation. To track the developmental trajectory, cells were collected in 67 triplicate every day from Day 0 (pluripotency) to Day 4 (DE), and the expression of 96 genes 68 involved in regulating pluripotency to gastrulation was profiled. Line C32 showed the least 69 70 progression across the 4 days of differentiation in the PCA plot (Figure 1D). By taking PC1 as an endoderm differentiation efficiency proxy¹⁹, to infer an endoderm specification pseudotime, 71 the average of triplicate PC eigenvalue along the PC1 axis was calculated to rank the hiPSC 72 73 lines (Figure 1E). The results show that C32 ranked last, indicating that low DE differentiation efficiency could account for the differentiation failure previously suggested by the absence of 74 FOXA2 and SOX17 double positive cells. 75

To unveil the earliest manifestation of such discrepancies, the same PCA as Figure 1D was plotted for each day, to discern differences among cell lines that may not be visible on the full timeline of differentiation (Figure 1F). The C32 line displayed disparity on the main axis from the cohort at Day 1 (Figure 1G). The low differentiation efficiency does not appear to be linked to a slower down-regulation of pluripotency factors as there are no significant differences among the 11 cell lines for *POU5F1, NANOG, SOX2, PRDM14, ZFP42* and *FGF5* mRNA expression



Figure 1 – Definitive endoderm differentiation heterogeneity among isogenic lines: A) Differentiation protocol used to generate definitive endoderm (DE) cells; **B)** Immunostaining images of DE cells on FOXA2 (green) and SOX17 (magenta), scale bar = 100µm; **C)** Signal intensity measurement of immunostaining of panel B, n= 3. **D)** PCA obtained from microfluidic RT-qPCR data on DE differentiation time courses. Each day is represented by the same color as Figure 1A (inserted on top left). Purple squares highlight C32 at Day 4 of DE differentiation; **E)** PC1 axis projection of hiPSC at Day 4 of DE differentiation representing efficiency of differentiation as pseudotime. C32 is highlighted in purple. **F)** PCA obtained from microfluidic RT-qPCR data on DE differentiation, identical dataset to figure 1D, but plotted for each day. C32 is highlighted in purple; **G)** Genes' contribution to PC1 and PC2 axis of the Day 1 PCA of Figure 2F. The position of the arrows correlates with the position of the samples on the PCA at Day 1. Colour scale is between 0 and 1. A score of 1 indicates maximum contribution of a particular gene to PC1. **H)** Genes' expression time course during DE differentiation. C32 is highlighted in purple. **p**.value: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001.

83 down-regulated at Day1 in C32 compared to the cohort (Figure 1H). C32 also has the lowest 84 levels of expression of SOX17, HHEX, OTX2 and LHX1 at Day 4. KRT19, AXIN2, GATA6 and 85 GATA4 are expressed at a lower level in the C32 line, although this did not reach significance 86 (Supplementary Figure S2Aii). Surprisingly, FOXA2 and NODAL are not dysregulated in the C32 line. In addition, mesodermal genes (BMP4, MYH7, KLF5, KDR, PDGRFa and CD34) 87 88 (Supplementary Figure S2Aiii) as well as ectodermal genes (KRT10, SOX1, NES, FOXD3, 89 PAX6 and DCX) (Supplementary Figure S2Aiv) showed no significant difference between C32 90 and other lines.

- In conclusion, the inability of C32 to activate the molecular program of germ layer differentiation to a threshold level may be predictive of the low endoderm propensity of this hiPSC line.
- 93

94 Low endodermal propensity line fails to progress toward functional tissue

95 Human iPSC lineage propensity was further assessed by the outcome of differentiation into two endoderm derivatives, hepatocytes (HCm) and intestinal organoids (hIOs) (Figure 2A). C32 was 96 compared to the higher DE propensity cell line C11^{34,35}. Both cell lines were able to differentiate 97 98 into hepatocytes (Figure 2C). Microfluidic RT-qPCR analysis of genes specific to hepatocyte 99 development did not reveal any major differences in the transcriptome between these two cell 100 lines during hepatocyte differentiation (Figure 2B). The phenotype of AAT- and ALB-expressing hepatocytes was also similar (Figure 2C, D and Supplementary Figure S1C). However, C32-101 102 derived hepatocytes showed lower Cytochrome P450 3A4 activity across replicates (Figure 2E) 103 indicating that C32 hepatocytes might have a less efficient metabolism compared to C11.

104 In parallel, hIOs were generated from these two cell lines (Figure 2F). At the mid/hindgut budding 105 spheroid stage, the C32 line generated fewer spheroids than the C11 line (Figure 2Gi). The few 106 spheroids that were successfully generated were embedded into Matrigel but did not grow as 107 well as C11 spheroids (Figure 2Gii). Consequently, hIO development was arrested early after 108 passage 3 (Figure 2Giii). The hIO differentiation of C32 line was repeated with changes in 109 seeding densities and batches of cells, but the outcome remained unsuccessful (data not 110 shown). Microfluidic RT-qPCR analysis of genes specific to intestinal organoid differentiation did 111 not show any discernible signature that could be indicative of inefficient differentiation prior to 112 the hIO development failure of the C32 cell line (Figure 2H). In contrast, C11 derived hIOs harbor the representative cell types of the intestinal epithelium: enterocytes (CDX2+), intestinal stem 113 114 cells (SOX9), enteroendocrine cells (CHGA), goblet cells (UEA-1) and Paneth cells (LYZ) 115 (Figure 2I).

Together, these results indicate that C32 behaved differently from the C11 line, and displayed a lower propensity for endoderm differentiation. The C32 cell line therefore was labelled as a hiPSC line that is refractory to endoderm differentiation in our subsequent analyses.

119

120 Hippo signaling is up regulated in the refractory cell line

Bulk RNA-seq was performed at Day 1 of DE differentiation to discover genes involved in differential regulation of endodermal propensity at the early phase of germ layer differentiation



123

Figure 2 – Low endodermal propensity fails to produce functional tissue: A) Differentiation protocol used to generate hepatocytes from C32 and C11; B) PCA obtained from microfluidic RT-qPCR data on hepatocyte differentiation; C) Brightfield pictures of hepatocytes differentiation; D) Immunostaining images on AAT (green) and ALB (magenta) markers of hepatocytes differentiation; E) Results of fluorescent analysis of CYP3A4 activity; F) Differentiation protocol used to generate intestinal organoids; G) Brightfield pictures of intestinal organoid differentiation at the Hindgut stage (i), spheroid generation stage (ii) and maintenance stage (iii); H) PCA obtained from microfluidic RT-qPCR data on intestinal organoid differentiation; I) Immunostaining of intestinal organoids of C11 cell lines on different cell types of the gut epithelium: Goblet cells (UEA-1), Intestinal Stem cell (SOX9), enteroendocrine cells (CHGA), epithelium (CDX2) and Paneth cells (LYZ) as well as proliferating cells (Ki67). Nuclei are revealed by DAPI.

124 (gastrulation). Five cell lines were analyzed including two females (C32 and C7) and 3 males 125 (C9, C11, C16). Transcriptomic differences showed that C32 differs significantly from the 4 other cell lines (Supplementary Figure S2B and D), displaying enrichment of transcriptomic signature 126 of mesoderm (circulatory system and heart: RUNX1, VEGFA) and ectoderm (neural: SOX2, 127 POU3F2) (Supplementary Figure S2C) derivatives. Most of the genes associated with 128 129 gastrulation (e.g. MIXL1, EOMES, MESP1, APLN, DKK1, GATA6, etc...) (Supplementary 130 Figure S2C) were down-regulated in C32. To eliminate the gender bias in the above analysis, 131 C7, an isogenic clone of C32, was analyzed in parallel. The results of this comparison were similar to the global analysis. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) analysis revealed that 132 133 C7 expressed genes associated with gastrulation (MIXL1, EOMES, TBXT, SNAI1, CER1) at a higher level, while C32 differentially expressed genes associated with cell adhesion (VIM, EZR, 134 135 FLNA, FLNC, COL1A1, FN1, ITGA2/3/6) and Hippo signaling (CCN1, CCN2, AMOT, AJUBA, 136 CDH11) (Supplementary Figure S2E). The transcriptomic analysis thus highlights a possible ectoderm and mesoderm bias of the C32 cell line during germ layer differentiation that is in 137 138 keeping with its lower endodermal propensity. It also indicated a possible role for altered Hippo 139 signaling and cell adhesion in negatively modulating endoderm differentiation.

140

141 Refractory cell line harbors a unique molecular signature during 142 differentiation of primitive-streak like cells

143 We further investigated three cell lines (i.e., C32, C11 and C16) by single-cell RNAseq (scRNAseq) at 3 time points during differentiation: Day 0 (pluripotency), 1 (peri-gastrulation) and 4 144 145 (definitive endoderm) (Figure 3A). After filtering, 41336 cells were retained for analysis. The 146 tSNE plot (Figure 3B) showed that cells were segregated by time into three major clusters 147 corresponding to each day. Each cluster were further divided into smaller clusters based on their 148 transcriptomic differences (Figure 3C). While C32 cells displayed a unique transcriptomic profile 149 at Day 1, the individual sub-clusters of C32 cells were found within the cell clusters of each of 150 the other cell lines at Day 4 (Figure 3D), albeit present at different abundance, suggesting that 151 the molecular signatures were shared by cells of the three hiPSC lines.

152 To better appreciate the discrepancy of the cells at Day 1, the identity of single cells was 153 annotated based on a human post-implantation embryo dataset³⁶, as Epiblast, Primitive Streak, 154 Mesoderm. Emergent Mesoderm. Advanced mesoderm Nascent and Endoderm (Supplementary Figure S3A and B). Cells of the C32 line retained an Epiblast signature at Day 155 156 1 (55% of cells) and did not display a primitive-streak like cell state like the C11 and C16 cell 157 lines (Supplementary Figure S3C and D).

158 Finally, individual gene comparison of cell states across the 4-day differentiation showed C32 159 cells maintained a robust expression of pluripotency-related factors, (SOX2, NANOG, POU5F1). 160 Interestingly, Nodal targets and antagonists, *LEFTY1* and *LEFTY2*, were up-regulated at Day 1 (Figure 3E). Genes associated with germ layer differentiation, MIXL1, LHX1, DKK1, DKK4, and 161 endoderm related genes (GSC, GATA6) were down-regulated. While SOX17 and FOXA2 were 162 not down-regulated, the proportion of endoderm cells in the C32 line was reduced relative to 163 other cell lines (Figure 3E and Supplementary Figure S3D), in agreement with the IHC data. 164 165 Collectively, scRNA-seq, bulk RNA-seq and microfluidic RT-qPCR all pointed at a failure or a 166 delay of the C32 line in differentiating to primitive-streak like cells.



Figure 3 – scRNA-seq demonstrates that C32 is part of low propensity cell lines: A) Definitive Endoderm Differentiation protocol used for C32, C16 and C11. Blue circles highlight the samples selected for scRNA-seq. **B**) tSNE plot obtained from scRNA-seq data. **C**) SC3 clustering obtained from scRNA-seq data. **D**) tSNE plot colored for each cell line. **E**) Gene expression for each sample. **F and G**) PCA showing integration of our scRNA-seq data with data from Cuomo et al, **F**) represents cells grouped for each day; **G**) grouped for each sample. The pink arrow indicates time progression during differentiation; **H**) PC1 axis projection of hiPSC at Day 3/4 of DE differentiation representing efficiency of differentiation as pseudotime. **I**) Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) between the Top 20 cell lines (better) (blue) versus the Low 20 (less efficient) cell line (red) represented on a Volcano plot.

167

168 Single-cell transcriptomic analysis as a tool to rank lineage propensity

To test if the information learned from the scRNA-seq analysis from our cell line cohort may be extrapolated to hiPSC lines in general, the scRNA dataset was combined with that of 125 hiPSC lines previously profiled for endoderm differentiation *in vitro*¹⁹ (Figure 3F and G). Each cell line was ranked for endoderm propensity based on their PC1 eigenvalue at Day 4 (Figure 3H) as described before. The data show that C32 ranks among the lowest 20% propensity cell lines, while C16 and C11 ranked higher.

- Using this classification, the Top 20 cell lines (Top20) and the lowest 20 cell lines (Low20), were analyzed for DEGs. This analysis revealed that genes associated with primitive streak formation were up-regulated in Top20 cell lines (*TBXT*, *DKK1*, *SNAI1*, *MIXL1*, *LHX1*, *etc...*) while Low20 cell lines retained a pluripotent signature (*NANOG*, *DPPA2*, *ZIC1*) (Figure 31). The data infer that the Low20 lines are less competent for germ layer differentiation and that the C32 characteristics apply to a number of other hiPSCs of low endoderm differentiation propensity.
- We conclude that irrespective of the sequencing technology used (SMART-seq2 vs 10X) and the differentiation protocol (homemade versus commercial), single cell data sets can be used to rank hiPSC cell lines in terms of endodermal differentiation propensity based on PC1 Eigen value score. This in turn provides a useful tool that will enable the identification of cell lines with superior differentiation propensity prior to the use of these cells to productively generate the endodermal derivatives.

187 **Refractory hiPSC showed unique proteomic signature**

188 Although C32 differed from other cell lines at Day 1, the single cell transcriptomic data showed 189 little difference between C32 and the two other cell lines (C11 and C16) at Day 0, while cells 190 were pluripotent and undifferentiated. To explore other signatures that may reflect inter-line 191 differences in endoderm differentiation propensity, the proteome of eleven cell lines was 192 analyzed at Day 0 (Supplementary Figure S4). This discovery proteome screen revealed that 193 C32 clustered separately from other groups of hiPSC lines and its isogenic counterpart, C7 194 clustered with a different group of female cell lines (Supplementary Figure S4A). Compared to 195 C7, C32 displayed a higher level of expression of pluripotency-related factors, PODXL and 196 FZD7, and downregulation of FN1 (associated with pharyngeal endoderm)³⁷ (Supplementary 197 Figure S4B and C). Of note, PODXL expression is maintained at Day1 of DE differentiation 198 (Figure S2E) and is also associated with kidney differentiation, a mesoderm derivative⁶, 199 correlating with our previous observation that C32 might be poised for mesoderm differentiation. 200 The proteomic signature supports our previous findings that the retention of pluripotency in the 201 C32 line contributes to the failure of differentiation towards the endoderm lineage.

202 MIXL1 is required for promoting endoderm differentiation

Since our data of the refractory line C32 showed that dysregulation of *MIXL1* expression early in lineage differentiation may underpin the low endoderm propensity, we next tested the requirement of *MIXL1* for endoderm differentiation. To this end, frameshift mutations of *MIXL1* were engineered in C32 and C16 lines by CRISPR editing to generate *MIXL1* loss of function cell lines: C32-MKO line and C16-MKO line respectively. We validated the introduction of the MIXL1 gene edits by sequencing the targeted regions and confirmed this did not impact pluripotency of these lines (Supplementary Figure S5).

Separately, the C32 line was engineered by constitutive expression of a dead Cas9, with no nuclease activity, linked to VP64, a potent transcriptional activator $(dCas9-PVP64)^{38,39}$. This line was genetically modified further to express two sgRNAs that targeted the dCas9-VP64 to the promoter of *MIXL1*, in a doxycycline controllable fashion $(C32-Dox)^{40}$. These two guides (sgRNA4 and 7 – Figure S6F) displayed the strongest activation of the gene compared to 7 other guides when tested in HEK cells (Figure S6G).

216 We next quantified *MIXL1* expression in the KO lines and the C32-Dox line with and without induction at Day 1 of DE differentiation. No MIXL1 expression can be detected in C32-MKO and 217 218 C16-MKO (Figure 4A and B) relative to C32-Dox cells treated with DMSO (C32-Dox0) 219 (Figure 4A and B). Maximal induction of MIXL1 expression was achieved, at a saturating 220 concentration of $2\mu q/mL$ Dox. Beyond which, at $16\mu q/mL$ Dox, MIXL1 expression was reduced possibly due to the toxicity that impacts negatively on mitochondrial gene activity. The levels of 221 induced expression of MIXL1 with $1\mu g/mL$ of Doxycycline, quantified by immunofluorescence, 222 223 were within the physiological range (comparable to C16 at Day 1). Further studies of C32-Dox 224 cells were therefore performed at 1μ g/mL Doxycycline (C32-Dox1) (Figure 4A and B).

225 To assess how outcomes of endoderm differentiation were modulated by different levels of 226 *MIXL1* expression, FOXA2 and SOX17 expression was quantified after 4 days of differentiation. 227 Increasing MIXL1 expression in C32-DOX resulted in higher expression of both markers 228 (Figure 4C and D), further reinforcing a role of *MIXL1* in promoting endoderm differentiation. Surprisingly, however, C32-MKO cells displayed FOXA2 and SOX17 expression levels similar 229 230 to C16-MKO and C32-Dox0. This finding suggests that MIXL1 dysregulation may not be the sole 231 cause of inefficient endoderm specification and differentiation. However, these results indicate that modulation of MIXL1 expression can have an effect on DE formation. 232

233 MIXL1 plays a role in chromatin organization

234 To elucidate the impact of MIXL1 on chromatin accessibility, Assay for Transposase-Accessible 235 Chromatin using sequencing (ATAC-seg) was performed on C16 and C16-MKO cell lines at Day 236 1 of DE differentiation, when MIXL1 expression is maximal. Comparing the accessible regions 237 (or reads pile-up called as peaks) showed that MIXL1 deletion led to multiple changes in 238 chromatin accessibility (Supplementary Figure S6A), and in particular, less closing regions 239 (Supplementary Figure S6B), than opening (Supplementary Figure S6C). This observation suggests that MIXL1 may be responsible for opening and closing regions during germ layer 240 241 differentiation. To understand the role of these regions, motif discovery was performed on 242 differentially accessible chromatin regions. This analysis revealed that peaks with less accessibility in MKO lines contain the motifs TAATNNNATTA (PROP1, PHOXA2), which is the 243 dual homeobox motif recognized by MIXL1 (Supplementary Figure S6D). In the absence of 244 *MIXL1*, more accessible peaks are associated with TEAD1 and FOXH1 motifs (Supplementary 245 246 Figure S6E). FOXH1 is known as a cofactor of GSC which negatively regulates MIXL1 in the 247 mouse⁴¹. TEAD1 is the transcription factor bound by YAP/TAZ when Hippo signaling is 248 inhibited⁴². This is consistent with the observation that the C32 cell line expresses low MIXL1



Figure 4 – MIXL1 functional genomic study reveals its role in endodermal differentiation:

A) Immunostaining images on MIXL1 (green) for C16 and C32 either WT or MIXL1-KO (MKO) and C32 with inducible MIXL1 expression under three different concentrations of doxycycline (0, 1 and 2µg/mL) called C32-iMIXL1. Nuclei are revealed by DAPI (white). **B)** MIXL1 signal intensity normalized on DAPI signal for concentration of doxycycline spanning from 0 to 16µg/mL, WT and MKO conditions. 2 levels are highlighted a physiological level, corresponding to the level of C16 and 1ug/mL of doxycycline and an overexpression level corresponding to 2µg/ml. (n= 3). **C)** Immunostaining images of FOXA2 (green) and SOX17 (magenta) on Day 4 of DE differentiation in MKO and iMIXL1 cell lines. **D)** Quantification of FOXA2 and SOX17 immunostaining.

expression and exhibits up-regulation of YAP/TAZ targets (*CCN1*, *CCN2*) (Supplementary
 Figure S2E).

Collectively the data reveal that MIXL1 may be a pioneer transcription factor involved in modulating chromatin accessibility of its targets and possibly influences signaling pathways such as Hippo and WNT, as inferred from the TCF3 motifs found in open regions in the C16-MKO lines.

Physiological levels of MIXL1 activity can rescue the endoderm propensity of refractory cells in germ layer differentiation.

To assess the function of *MIXL1*, we used the 2D stem cell micropattern model to elucidate its functional attribute in germ layer differentiation (Figure 5A, Supplementary Figure S7 and S8). Five cell lines, C32, C32-MKO, C32-Dox, C16 and C16-MKO, were used to generate micropatterned cultures that recapitulate germ layer formation in response to BMP4^{33,43,44}.

262 The emergence of primitive streak-like cells was assessed via the immunostaining of TBXT and 263 MIXL1 proteins 24h after BMP4 supplementation. In the C32 cell line, similar expression kinetics 264 were observed, but was temporally delayed from 48h onwards. At 24h MIXL1 signal was almost 265 undetectable (Figure 5B). In the C16 line TBXT and MIXL1 were expressed at peak level at 24h 266 followed by decreased expression at 48h. Some cells were co-expressing both proteins (Figure 267 5C). At 48h, DE differentiation was assessed by FOXA2 and SOX17 expression. 268 FOXA2+/SOX17+ cells were sparse in the C16 line (Figure 5F). This low propensity for DE cells in micropattern has already been documented^{33,43,45}. However, no double positive cells were 269 detected in C32 line (Figure 5F). Instead, separate domains of FOXA2+ cells (inner ring) and 270 271 SOX17+ cells (outer ring) were identified. These results from the C16 and C32 lines confirm that 272 a change in culture format could not rescue the phenotype of refractory endoderm differentiation 273 of the C32 line.

MKO lines were analyzed similarly and MIXL1 could not be detected in both KO lines, and TBXT did not appear to be affected in its spatiotemporal pattern (Figure 5D and E). Regarding DE differentiation, the main effect of the loss of MIXL1 activity was the reduced population of FOXA2+ cells (Figure 5F).

278 To elucidate the effect of induced *MIXL1* activity on DE differentiation of the incompetent iPSC 279 line, the C32Dox lines were cultured in micropatterns under BMP4 condition with induction by 280 DOX at 2 doxycycline concentration: 1µg/mL, corresponding to physiological condition and 281 2µg/mL, a condition where MIXL1 is overexpressed. Endoderm differentiation at Day 2 of differentiation of induced C32Dox line (C32-iMIXL1), compared with C32 line (parental, low 282 283 propensity line) and C16 line (high propensity line), was assessed by the presence of SOX17+ 284 and FOXA2+ cells in the micropatterns. C32-induced cells displayed increased number and 285 density of SOX17+ and FOXA2+ cells (Figure 5G, H) compared to C32 line and C16 line (Figure 5F) and the control condition without doxycycline. Reconstitution of physiological levels of MIXL1 286 287 activity therefore restored the endoderm propensity of iPSCs that are inherently incompetent for 288 endoderm differentiation. In addition, increasing the doxycycline concentration increased the 289 level of double positive cells in the micropatterned cultures indicating a correlation between 290 MIXL1 level and DE cells formation.





Figure 5 – **MIXL1 induction rescues DE phenotype in a pseudo-embryo model. A)** Protocol to establish stem cell-micropattern model of germ layer differentiation; **B to E)** Immunostaining images of MIXL1 and TBXT at 24h and 48h after BMP4 induction on C32 **(B)**, C16 **(C)**, C32-MKO **(D)** and C16-MKO **(E). F** and **G)** Immunostaining images of FOXA2 (green) and SOX17 (magenta) at 48h after BMP4 induction on C32, C32-MKO, C16 and C16-MKO **(G)** and C32-iMIXL1 under three different concentrations of doxycycline (0, 1 and 2µg/mL). **H)** Average signaling for FOXA2 and SOX17 measured on micropatterns (n= 10).

292 **Discussion**

293 In this study, isogenic human induced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC) lines were analyzed and 294 compared for their propensity in generating definitive endoderm that is capable of progressing 295 to functional endoderm derivatives, here tested by the formation of intestinal organoids and 296 hepatocytes (See Figure 2). One cell line of the cohort (C32) was found to be inefficient in 297 differentiation towards the endoderm lineage. The low propensity for endoderm derivatives is 298 accompanied by a bias toward the mesoderm lineage. Our results suggest that during germ 299 layer differentiation, C32 activates the genetic program of vascularization and heart formation 300 more efficiently than the rest of the cohort (See Supplementary Figure S2C). In addition, the C32 hiPSC line has been used in a previous study to produce kidney organoid⁶ supporting the 301 302 potential mesoderm bias of the C32 cell line.

303 We sought to determine molecular markers of endoderm propensity of hiPSC lines, at the 304 pluripotency and early exit stages. Transcriptomic and proteomic analyses did not reveal evident 305 bias in lineage propensity of the refractory line C32, except for a higher level of expression of some pluripotency-related factors at the proteomic level (Supplementary Figure S4). The higher 306 pluripotency level of C32 may underpin the poor performance in endoderm differentiation of this 307 308 cell line. To gain a holistic view of the differentiation potential of isogenic hiPSC lines, 4 groups 309 of isogenic hiPSC lines (2 males and 2 females) were subjected to deep transcriptomic analysis. 310 This again highlighted the failure of C32 in activating the gastrulation genetic program properly. In other words, C32 differentiation was inefficient and delayed in initiating germ layer formation 311 312 compared to its isogenic clone C7 and the rest of the cohort.

313 The single cell transcriptomic data was analyzed in conjunction with the transcriptomic data of a previous large scale study¹⁹ surveying 125 hiPSC lines during definitive endoderm 314 315 differentiation. Despite the use of different protocols (homemade medium versus commercial kit for the present study) and sequencing chemistry (SMART-seq2 versus 10X Chromium for the 316 317 present study), the data were remarkably comparable. We found that the cell lines with the 318 lowest differentiation score at the end of the DE differentiation, have a significantly low 319 expression of genes involved in gastrulation including MIXL1. These results further validated our 320 microfluidic RT-qPCR findings and enabled the identification of a gene panel and novel tool for 321 ranking hiPSC lines for the propensity of endoderm differentiation and ability to generate mature 322 endoderm tissues.

The transcriptomic survey of the cohort revealed that the gene *MIXL1* is expressed at a low level in endoderm-incompetent cell lines, and this is corroborated via cross comparison of the aforementioned study¹⁹. Our endoderm differentiation data of stem cell-derived micropattern further points to a causal relationship between the expression of *MIXL1* and efficiency of DE differentiation. We proposed that *MIXL1* is a useful biomarker for screening human pluripotent stem cells for competency of endoderm differentiation by quantification of *MIXL1* expression in cells at 24h of directed differentiation.

The ATAC-seq data pointed to a possible role for MIXL1 in regulating chromatin accessibility. Of note, the target regions opened in the MIXL1-KO cell line are strongly enriched for TEAD1 binding sites. TEAD1 is a transcription factor involved in Hippo signaling⁴². This data, when combined with our observation that Hippo target genes (e.g., *CCN1, CCN2*) are more strongly activated in the refractory C32 line (Supplementary Figure S2E), suggests an important relationship between chromatin status and the Hippo pathway that is regulated by *MIXL1*. Interestingly, the closed chromatin region in the MIXL1-KO line mainly contains the dual homeobox binding sites TAATNNNATTA, recognized by MIXL1. This suggests that MIXL1 may be involved in regulating accessibility to its own transcriptional targets.

339 Our study has provided a comprehensive survey of endoderm differentiation in an isogenic 340 cohort and provides a framework for future study of the molecular mechanisms that underpin 341 endoderm specification during germ layer differentiation of pluripotent stem cells and in 342 embryonic development.

343 Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the support of Advanced Imaging for all imaging and Vector and Genome Engineering facilities at CMRI for the help in generating the KO lines. The Advanced Microscopy Facility at CMRI for the image generation. Prof. Kristopher Kilian and his team for sharing the micropattern protocols and training. This work was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) (Project Grant ID1127976). PPLT was supported by Senior Principal Research Fellowship (NHMRC Grant ID110751)

350 Author contributions

351 PO, EW and PPLT conceived of the study. PO, AW, Ni.S, PPLT designed experimentation. PO 352 and Ni.S were the primary scientist and completed most of the cell and molecular biology, live 353 and fixed imaging, and biochemical analysis. AQ, Jy.S, XBL assisted with molecular biology, live and fixed imaging, and biochemical analysis. HK and Ni.S completed the RNA-seg and scRNA-354 355 seq and NA performed the associated analysis. SC helped with preliminary analysis. Ni.S 356 completed ATAC-seq and Na.S the associated analysis. Ja.S, IP, GC and MG completed all mass spectrometry and associated analysis. PO, EW and PPLT secured funding. PO completed 357 358 experimental analysis and interpretation and created the figures with assistance from NA and 359 PPLT. PO, Ni.S and PPLT wrote the manuscript with assistance from all authors.

360 **Conflict of interest**

361 We the authors declare no conflict of interest

362 Figures Legend

363 Figure 1 – Definitive endoderm differentiation heterogeneity among isogenic lines:

A) Differentiation protocol used to generate definitive endoderm (DE) cells; B) Immunostaining
 images of DE cells on FOXA2 (green) and SOX17 (magenta), scale bar = 100μm; C) Signal
 intensity measurement of immunostaining of panel B, n= 3. D) PCA obtained from microfluidic
 RT-qPCR data on DE differentiation time courses. Each day is represented by the same color
 as Figure 1A (inserted on top left). Purple squares highlight C32 at Day 4 of DE differentiation;

369 E) PC1 axis projection of hiPSC at Day 4 of DE differentiation representing efficiency of 370 differentiation as pseudotime. C32 is highlighted in purple. F) PCA obtained from microfluidic 371 RT-qPCR data on DE differentiation, identical dataset to figure 1D, but plotted for each day. C32 372 is highlighted in purple; G) Genes' contribution to PC1 and PC2 axis of the Day 1 PCA of Figure 2F. The position of the arrows correlates with the position of the samples on the PCA at Day 1. 373 Colour scale is between 0 and 1. A score of 1 indicates maximum contribution of a particular 374 375 gene to PC1. H) Genes' expression time course during DE differentiation. C32 is highlighted in 376 purple. p.value: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001.

Figure 2 – Low endodermal propensity fails to produce functional tissue:

378 A) Differentiation protocol used to generate hepatocytes from C32 and C11; B) PCA obtained from microfluidic RT-qPCR data on hepatocyte differentiation; C) Brightfield pictures of 379 hepatocytes differentiation; **D**) Immunostaining images on AAT (green) and ALB (magenta) 380 381 markers of hepatocytes differentiation; E) Results of fluorescent analysis of CYP3A4 activity; F) Differentiation protocol used to generate intestinal organoids; G) Brightfield pictures of intestinal 382 383 organoid differentiation at the Hindgut stage (i), spheroid generation stage (ii) and maintenance 384 stage (iii); H) PCA obtained from microfluidic RT-gPCR data on intestinal organoid 385 differentiation; I) Immunostaining of intestinal organoids of C11 cell lines on different cell types 386 of the gut epithelium: Goblet cells (UEA-1), Intestinal Stem cell (SOX9), enteroendocrine cells 387 (CHGA), epithelium (CDX2) and Paneth cells (LYZ) as well as proliferating cells (Ki67). Nuclei 388 are revealed by DAPI.

Figure 3 – scRNA-seq demonstrates that C32 is part of low propensity cell lines:

390 A) Definitive Endoderm Differentiation protocol used for C32, C16 and C11. Blue circles 391 highlight the samples selected for scRNA-seq. B) tSNE plot obtained from scRNA-seq data. C) SC3 clustering obtained from scRNA-seq data. D) tSNE plot colored for each cell line. E) Gene 392 393 expression for each sample. F and G) PCA showing integration of our scRNA-seg data with data from Cuomo et al, F) represents cells grouped for each day; G) grouped for each sample. The 394 395 pink arrow indicates time progression during differentiation; H) PC1 axis projection of hiPSC at 396 Day 3/4 of DE differentiation representing efficiency of differentiation as pseudotime. I) Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) between the Top 20 cell lines (better) (blue) versus the 397 398 Low 20 (less efficient) cell line (red) represented on a Volcano plot.

Figure 4 – MIXL1 functional genomic study reveals its role in endodermal differentiation:

400 A) Immunostaining images on MIXL1 (green) for C16 and C32 either WT or MIXL1-KO (MKO) 401 and C32 with inducible MIXL1 expression under three different concentrations of doxycycline (0, 402 1 and 2µg/mL) called C32-iMIXL1. Nuclei are revealed by DAPI (white). B) MIXL1 signal intensity normalized on DAPI signal for concentration of doxycycline spanning from 0 to 16µg/mL, WT 403 404 and MKO conditions. 2 levels are highlighted a physiological level, corresponding to the level of 405 C16 and 1ug/mL of doxycycline and an overexpression level corresponding to 2µg/ml. (n= 3). C) Immunostaining images of FOXA2 (green) and SOX17 (magenta) on Day 4 of DE 406 407 differentiation in MKO and iMIXL1 cell lines. D) Quantification of FOXA2 and SOX17 408 immunostaining.

Figure 5 – MIXL1 induction rescues DE phenotype in a pseudo-embryo model.

A) Protocol to establish stem cell-micropattern model of germ layer differentiation; **B to E)**

Immunostaining images of MIXL1 and TBXT at 24h and 48h after BMP4 induction on C32 (B),

412 C16 (C), C32-MKO (D) and C16-MKO (E). F and G) Immunostaining images of FOXA2 (green)

and SOX17 (magenta) at 48h after BMP4 induction on C32, C32-MKO, C16 and C16-MKO (G)

and C32-iMIXL1 under three different concentrations of doxycycline (0, 1 and 2µg/mL). H)

415 Average signaling for FOXA2 and SOX17 measured on micropatterns (n= 10).

416 **Resource availability**

417 Lead contacts

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contacts, Patrick Tam (p.tam@cmri.org.au) and Pierre Osteil (pierre.osteil@uca.fr).

421 Material availability

The materials used in this study are listed in the key resources table. Materials generated by our laboratory in this study are available on request, however, there are restrictions to the availability of human iPSC lines due to a Material Transfer Agreement.

425 Data and Code availability

- All raw sequencing data can be found on Gene Expression Omnibus under the accession number GSE260552, GSE260553, GSE260554 and are available as of the date of publication.
- The raw mass spectrometry datasets generated in this study are available via PRIDE:
 PXD048788, http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/archive/projects/PXD048788
- 431 • All microfluidic RT-qPCR data can be found on the GitHub page 432 (https://github.com/PierreOsteil/ScriptsForOsteilEtAl2024) and are available as of the 433 date of publication.
- All original codes are available as of the date of publication and can be found on the following GitHub page: https://github.com/PierreOsteil/ScriptsForOsteilEtAl2024.
 Bioinformatic source codes and their corresponding DOIs are listed in the key resources table
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.t

440 Experimental Model and Subject Details

441 Cell Lines

A cohort of 11 human iPSC lines composed of 2 to 3 isogenic cell lines from 4 patients (2 males and 2 females) was provided by the Australian Institute for Bioengineering and Nanotechnology 444 (AIBN), University of Queensland. Briefly, hiPSC lines derived from fibroblast cells or foreskin 445 tissue were generated using a non-integrating episomal reprogramming system (oriP/EBNA1based pCEP4 episomal vectors pE-P4EO2SCK2MEN2L and pEP4EO2SET2K from Addgene) 446 447 carrying OCT4, SOX2, KLF4 and CMYC. All lines maintained a normal karyotype and were capable of forming teratomas that contained derivatives of the three germ layers^{34,35}. For routine 448 449 maintenance, hiPSCs were cultured in mTeSR1 (STEMCELL Technologies) on six-well plates 450 precoated with hESC-qualified Matrigel (Corning). The culture plates were incubated at 37°C 451 and 5% CO2. The medium was changed daily. The colonies morphology was evaluated under an inverted microscope. Cells were passaged at 70-80% confluency with ReLeSR(STEMCELL 452 Technologies) at a split ratio of 1/5 to 1/30 depending on the cell line, into a new well of a 6-well 453 454 plate. Experiments were approved by the Sydney Children's Hospitals Network Human 455 Research Ethics Committee under the reference: HREC/17/SCHN/167.

456 Method details

457 CRISPR-KO engineering

458 gRNA design and cloning

Single *S. pyogens* Cas9 gRNA (GCGCCGCGTTTCCAGCGTAC<u>CGG</u>) targeting *MIXL1* exon 1
 was designed using Geneious software, (http://www.geneious.com⁴⁶) based on the presence of
 a canonical NGG PAM (underlined in gRNA sequence) at the target site. Potential off-target sites
 were identified using Geneious software, (http://www.geneious.com⁴⁶). gRNA was cloned in
 Addgene plasmid 62988 following adopted protocol from Ran et al⁴⁷. Oligos used for cloning
 were:

465 Forward: 5' CACCGCGCCGCGTTTCCAGCGTAC

466 Reverse: 5' AAACGTACGCTGGAAACGCGGCGC.

467 Nucleofection, clone selection and sequencing

468 Cells were transfected using a plasmid expressing Cas9 protein and gRNA targeting MIXL1 exon 1 following Amaxa[™] 4D Transfection protocol for 20 µl Nucleocuvette® Strip using P3 Primary 469 Cell 4D-Nucleofector® X Kit with program CA-137. After transfection cells were plated into 10 470 471 cm dish, coated with hESC-gualified Matrigel (BD Biosciences), prefilled with mTESR medium 472 (Stem Cell Technologies) mixed with 100% CloneR (Stem Cell Technologies). Twenty-four 473 hours post transfection cells were puromycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) selected with concentration of 1μ g/ml for the next 48 hours. Following puromycin selection media was 474 changed every day and the percentage of CloneR (Stem Cell Technologies) in media was 475 476 reduced during the next days as single cells were dividing and started forming individual 477 colonies. Single colonies were picked and transferred individually in a single well of a 96 well 478 plate where they were grown to be split and frozen for further sequencing analysis. Cells were 479 detached using ReLeSR (Stem Cell Technologies) and clones were frozen as cell aggregates 480 in CryoStor® CS10 (Stem Cell Technologies). Clone selection, screening of the CRISPR/Cas9 481 clones for editing events and validation of allelic deletions of individual clones was done following protocol from Bauer et al. ⁴⁸ for genomic deletions in mammalian cells. The PCR was designed 482 to amplify the sequence flanking the gRNA on exon 1 targeting location with the expected 483

484 amplicon of 800bp. PCR analysis for the presence of indels were done with primers: Forward:
 485 5'GGAGGGTATAAGTGCGGCC Reverse: 5'CCTCATCTGTGTCTTCTCCCG

486 All PCR reactions were done in 50 μ l volume using Q5 high fidelity polymerase (NEB) following 487 NEB Q5 high fidelity PCR protocol. In short, PCR reaction mix was made by mixing 100ng of 488 genomic DNA sample from each clone with 10μ l of 5xQ5 reaction Buffer, 1μ l of 10mM dNTPs, 489 2.5 μ l of each (forward and reverse) 10 μ M primer, 10 μ l of 5xQ5 High GC Enhancer, 0.5 μ l of Q5 490 Polymerase and topped up to 50μ l with H₂O. PCR reaction started with initial denaturation with 491 temperature of 98°C for 30s followed by 34 cycles of 10s denaturation at 98°C, annealing at 492 60°C for 20s and extension at 72°C for 20s ending with final extension at 72°C for 5min. PCR 493 reaction was run on 1.5% agarose gel where expected amplicon of 800bp for each analyze clone 494 was detected. In total, 43 samples were separately amplified by PCR and analyzed by 495 sequencing for the presence of indels at the exon 1 targeted site. Next, sequenced clones were 496 analyzed for genome editing and indel percentages were calculated via TIDE⁴⁹ using a control 497 chromatogram for comparison. Decomposition windows, left boundaries, and indel ranges were optimized to have the highest alignment possible. After TIDE analysis 11 clones were selected 498 499 for validation of biallelic deletion clones for targeted genomic region of exon 1, which was done following standard protocol from Bauer et al.48 500

501 **Differentiation protocols**

502 **Definitive endoderm differentiation and characterization**

503 For direct differentiation into Definitive Endoderm, the cells were subject to induction using STEMdiff Definitive Endoderm kit (STEMCELL Technologies) for 4 days, following 504 505 manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, cells were passaged into single cells with StemProAccutaseCell 506 Dissociation Reagent (Life Technologies) and seeded with mTeSR1 containing Y-27632 dihydrochloride Rock Inhibitor (Tocris, Cat. No. 1245). After 24 hours, the cells are washed with 507 PBS and then cultured for 4 days in STEMdiff Definitive Endoderm Basal medium with 508 509 Supplements A and B for the first day and then Supplement B only for the subsequent 3 days, 510 with daily medium changes. Samples were harvested daily for RNA and Protein extraction (n=3).

To characterise the definitive endoderm, cells were seeded onto glass coverslips coated with 511 hESC-gualified Matrigel (Corning) before treating with STEMdiff[™] Definitive Endoderm kit 512 513 (STEMCELL) as described above. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at RT for 514 20 min. They were washed with PBS twice and then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 515 (Merck) in dPBS (Gibco) (PBST) at RT for 5min. The cells were blocked with 3% bovine serum 516 albumin (Merck Aldrich) in PBST at room temperature for 1 hour. They were incubated with 517 primary antibody at 4°C overnight (FOXA2 (Abcam) 1:300, SOX17 (R&D Systems) 1:20). Cells were washed with dPBS three times, then incubated with corresponding secondary antibodies 518 519 at RT for 1 hour. The cell nuclei were stained with DAPI ($1\mu g/mI$) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in 520 dPBS for 10 min at RT, and then washed three times with dPBS. Cells were mounted with 521 Fluoromount-G (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and imaged on Ziess Axio Imager Z2 widefield 522 microscope.

523 Human Intestinal Organoids differentiation and characterization

524 hiPSC-derived intestinal organoids were formed using the STEMdiffIntestinal Organoid Kit 525 (StemCell Technologies), following the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, cells were passaged as clumps using ReLeSR (StemCell Technologies). Once 80-90% confluency was reached, 526 527 differentiation was initiated with DE Medium (STEMdiffEndoderm Basal Medium plus 528 STEMdiffDefinitive Endoderm Supplement CJ) for 3 days, with daily medium changes. 529 Subsequent mid-hindgut differentiation was induced with MH Medium (STEMdiffEndoderm 530 Basal Medium plus STEMdiffGastrointestinal Supplement PK and STEMdiffGastrointestinal 531 Supplement UB) for 6 days, with daily medium changes. Free-floating mid-/hindgut spheroids, 532 collected at 24-hour intervals within the MH Medium treatment, were embedded in Matrigel 533 (Corning) in wells of NunclonDelta surface 24-well plate (Thermo Fisher Scientific), overlaid with 534 STEMdiffIntestinal Organoid Growth Medium (STEMdiffIntestinal Organoid Basal Medium plus 535 STEMdiffIntestinal Organoid Supplement (StemCell Technologies) and GlutaMAX (Gibco)), performing medium change every 3 - 4 days, incubating at 37°C with 5% CO₂. After 7 - 10 days 536 537 of incubation, cultures were passaged. Briefly, all plasticware were pre-wetted with Anti-538 Adherence Rinsing Solution (StemCell Technologies). Matrigel domes containing organoids 539 were broken manually by pipetting up and down with cold DMEM/F-12 (Gibco), seeding 40-80 540 organoid fragments per 50μ l Matrigel dome.

541 Organoids were removed from Matrigel similar to that described for splitting organoids above 542 and were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at RT for 30 min. They were washed with PBS 543 twice and then permeabilised with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Merck) in dPBS (Gibco) (PBST) at RT for 544 1 hour. The organoids were blocked with CAS-Block (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 90min and 545 then incubated with primary antibody (Sox9 (Merck) 1:500, Ki67 (Abcam) 1:250, CHGA (Novus 546 Biologicals) 1:200, CDX2 (Biogenex) 1:250, Lysozyme (Dako) 1:200) overnight at 4°C. Organoids were washed with PBST four times, then incubated with corresponding secondary 547 antibodies and stain (DAPI (1 µg/ml) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for nuclei in all samples and 548 549 UEA-1 (Vector Laboratories) 1:200, for select organoids) in CAS-Block at RT for 3 hours. The organoids were then washed four times with PBST, followed by clearing in FUNGI solution (50%) 550 (v/v) glycerol, 9.4% (v/v) dH₂O, 10.6M Tris base, 1.1mM EDTA, 2.5M fructose and 2.5M urea) 551 for 40min. Organoids were imaged using a μ -slide (Ibidi) on Zeiss Cell Observer Spinning Disc 552 553 confocal microscope.

554 Hepatocytes differentiation and characterization

555 hiPSC lines were differentiated toward hepatocytes following the protocol from Baxter et al.⁵⁰ 556 Briefly, cells were directed into Stage 1a/definitive endoderm-like cells by culturing in RPMI 557 media containing 1mM L-glutamine, 0.5% FBS, 100ng/mL Activin-A and 25ng/mL Wnt3a for 2 558 days, followed by Stage 1b/definitive endoderm-like cells by culturing in RPMI media containing 559 1mM I-Glutamine, 0.5% FBS and 100ng/mL Activin-A for a further 2 days. Stage 2/hepatoblast-560 like cells was initiated by incubating for a further 6 days with Hepatocyte culture medium (HCM) 561 containing 20ng/mL BMP2 and 30 ng/mL Fgf4. Hepatocyte-like cells were made by further incubating the cultures in Stage 3a media (HCM containing 20ng/mL Hepatocyte Growth Factor 562 (Peprotech)) for 5 days followed by a further 15 days in Stage 2b media (HCM containing 10 563 μ g/mL Oncostatin M (R&D Systems Cat No. 295-OM) and 10nM dexamethasone). 564

11 and C32, by incubation with P450-Glo[™] CYP3A4 assay reagent (Promega). The analysis
 was performed according to manufacturer recommendation.

567 Micropatterns preparation

568 **Micropattern chip fabrication**

Micropattern chip fabrication was conducted using the protocol of Lee et al.⁵¹, with specific 569 modification and optimization. In brief, coverslips were sonicated in 70% ethanol for 15min and 570 571 in deionized water for 15min. The clean coverslips were sequentially incubated in 0.5% (3-572 aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTS) (Merck) for 3min, 0.5% glutaraldehyde (Merck, Cat. No. 573 G6257) for 30min. After air drying, the coverslips were deposited on a 20μ L drop made of 10% acrylamide (Merck), 0.87% bisacrylamide (Merck), 0.1% ammonium persulfate (Merck, Cat. No. 574 575 A3678) and 0.1% N,N,N',N'-Tetramethylethylenediamine (Merck), to make the gel at a stiffness 576 of 100KPa. After the stiffness droplet was semi-solidified, the whole system was submerged into 577 70% ethanol, resulting in a smooth polyacrylamide gel forming. Gelled coverslips were sequentially coated with 64% hydrazine hydrate (Fisher Scientific) for 1h and 2% glacial acetic 578 579 acid (Merck) for 1h. To generate polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) stamp, SYLGARD[™] 184 Silicone 580 Elastomer Curing Agent and Base (Dow) were mixed at a 1:10 ratio before loading to the stamp 581 mold, provided by the Kilian Lab at the University of New South Wales. Next, the solidified PDMS 582 stamp was coated with $25\mu g/mL$ vitronectin (Life Technologies) and 3.5 mg/mL sodium periodate (Merck) for 1h. After air-drying the stamp, patterned vitronectin was stamped onto the gelled 583 584 coverslip at 0.343N for 1min. Stamped gels were stored overnight in PBS + 1% Penicillin-585 Streptomycin at 4°C.

586 Germ layer differentiation on micropatterns and analysis

Differentiation protocol was adapted from Warmflash et al.44 Since the micropatterned chip 587 generation required many hands-on manipulations, all culture media were supplemented with 588 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin. hiPSCs were seeded as single cells to micropattern chip at a density 589 of 2.5x10⁵ cells/cm² with 10µM Y-27632 ROCK inhibitor in mTeSR Plus supplemented 1% 590 591 Penicillin-Streptomycin into a total volume of 1mL per well of a 24-well plate. At about 80% 592 confluency, germ layer differentiation was induced by adding 50 ng/mL BMP4 (R&D) in mTeSR1. 593 The cells grown on micropatterns were washed with PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS 594 at RT for 20 min. They were washed with PBS twice and then permeabilised with 0.1% Triton X-595 100 (Merck) in dPBS (Gibco) (PBST) at RT for 1 hour. The cells were blocked with 3% bovine 596 serum albumin (Merck) in PBST at room temperature for 1 hour. They were incubated with 597 primary antibody at 4°C overnight (MIXL1 (Abcam) 1:50, T/Brachyury (Santa Cruz) 1:50, FOXA2 598 (Abcam) 1:300, SOX17(R&D Systems) 1:20). Cells were washed with PBST three times, then 599 incubated with corresponding secondary antibodies at RT for 1 hour. The cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (1 µg/ml) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in dPBS for 10 min at RT, and then washed twice 600 601 more with PBS. Cells were mounted with Fluoromount-G (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Micropatterned coverslips were imaged on Zeiss AiryScan LSM880 confocal microscope. All 602 image analysis was performed using a custom macro. The nuclei from micropatterned images 603 604 taken were segmented using the StarDist method⁵² via Fiji software⁵³ using default parameters (except probability/score threshold = 0.7) and the versatile (fluorescent nuclei).pb model. R 605

606 software was used with a custom script where target protein immunofluorescence was 607 normalized to the DAPI intensity of the same cell.

608 Microfluidic RT-qPCR preparation and analysis

609 **RNA extraction**

Snap frozen cell pellets had total RNA extracted using ISOLATE II RNA mini kit (Bioline) following manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, samples were lysed, homogenized and passed through a spin column containing a silica membrane to which the RNA binds. DNase 1 digestion eliminated potential genomic DNA contamination and the preparation was washed to remove impurities such as cellular debris and salts. The purified RNA was eluted with RNase free water and total RNA concentration was determined using Nanodrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific). RNA was used either for Microfluidic RT-qPCR or RNA-sequencing.

617 **cDNA synthesis and preparation**

Total RNA was adjusted to a concentration of $200 \text{ng}/\mu$ l. A 5μ l reaction mix was prepared composing of 1μ l Reverse Transcription Master Mix (Fluidigm), 3μ l of RNase free water and 1μ l of RNA and incubated in a thermocycler using the following conditions: 25°C for 5min, 42°C for 30min and 85°C for 5min.

622 **cDNA preamplification**

623 3.75μ l of preamplification mix (comprising 105.6 μ l of Preamp MasterMix (Fluidigm), 52 μ l of 100 624 μ M pooled primer and 237.6 μ l DNAse/RNAse free water) and 1.25 μ l of cDNA sample was added 625 into each well of a 96 well plate and incubated as follows: 95°C for 2min then 10 cycles of 95°C 626 for 15secand 60°C for 4min.

627 cDNA clean-up

628 cDNA clean-up was performed by adding 2μ l of the following mix: 168 μ l DNase free water, 24 μ l 629 10x Exo1 reaction buffer and 48 μ l Exonuclease I (New England Biolabs), into each well and 630 incubating in a thermocycler for 30min at 37°C followed by 15min at 80°C. Samples were diluted 631 10x with low EDTA TE buffer.

632 **Primer and sample set-up**

A sample mix was prepared as follows (per 96-well plate): 495μ I of 2X SsoFast EvaGreen SuperMix with low ROX (Biorad) and 49.5μ I 25X DNA Binding Dye (Fluidigm). 4.95μ I sample mix was added with 4.05μ I of diluted sample. Primers were prepared in the following mix (per 96-well plate): 450μ I 2X Assay Loading Reagent (Fluidigm) and 405μ I low EDTA TE buffer. 105μ I of primer mix was added with 0.45μ I combined forward and reverse primers. Samples and primer mixes were loaded onto a 96.96 Dynamic Array IFC plate (Fluidigm) and run on the Biomark System.

640 ATAC-seq samples preparation and analysis

641 Cell Preparation

642 hiPSC were collected at Day1 of the DE diff protocol (STEMDiff) and were processed following 643 ⁵⁴. Briefly, 5 x 10⁴ cells were collected at Day 1 of DE differentiation and lysed in cold lysis buffer (10 mM Tris·Cl, pH 7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% (v/v) Igepal CA-630). Intact nuclei were 644 separated by centrifugation at 500xg and immediately digested in transposase mix containing 645 646 25μ I 2x Tagment DNA buffer, 2.5μ I Tagment DNA enzyme I (Illumina) and 22.5μ I nuclease-free 647 water for 30min at 37°C. Digested chromatin fragments were then purified using the MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen), according to manufacturer's instructions. The fragments of DNA 648 649 were then pre-amplified by adding 10μ L purified DNA sample, 10μ L RNase-free water, 2.5μ L of 650 each primer (Where each reaction had non-barcoded primer "Ad1_noMix" and one barcoded primer 'Ad2.1' - 'Ad2.9' added) and 25µL NEBNext High-Fidelity 2x PCR Master Mix (NEB) and 651 was run under the following conditions: 72°C for 5min, 98°C for 30secand then 5 cycles of 98°C 652 653 for 10sec, 63°C for 30sec and 72°C for 1min. The number of additional cycles to run was 654 calculated by running a RT-qPCR side reaction - a reaction mixture containing 5µL of the pre-655 amplified PCR product, 3.9µL nuclease-free water, 0.25µL of each primer, 0.6µl 25x SYBR Green and 5μ L NEBNext High-Fidelity 2x PCR Master Mix was run under the following 656 657 conditions: 98°C for 30secand then 20 cycles of 98°C for 10sec, 63°C for 30sec and 72°C for 1min. The linear fluorescence versus cycle number was plotted and the cycle number (N) 658 required to reach one-third of the maximum relative fluorescence was determined. The final 659 660 amplification reaction (the remaining 45μ) pre-amplified PCR product) was run under the following conditions: 98°C for 30sec and then N cycles of 98°C for 10sec, 63°C for 30sec and 661 662 72°C for 1min. Amplified samples were then purified using AMPure XP magnetic beads 663 (Beckman Coulter) to remove small fragments and primer-dimers less than 100 bp long (1.3x 664 beads) and large fragments (0.5x beads) using a Dynamag-2 magnet (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

To determine the integrity, fragment size and concentration, the DNA library was analyzed using the Agilent HSD5000 ScreenTape System (Agilent). Libraries were then 101 bp paired-end sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 (Illumina)

668Data analysis

669 ATAC-seq reads were processed using the alignment and filtering functions of the PreNet 670 pipeline⁵⁴. Paired-end reads were mapped to the hg38 genome using bowtie2⁵⁵, allowing for 671 local mapping, a maximum insert size of 2000 bp and a maximum of 4 multimapping hits (-local -X 2000 -k 4). Multimapping reads were allocated using 'assignmultimappers.py' from the 672 (https://github.com/ENCODE-DCC/atac-seq-673 ENCODE ATAC-seq pipeline pipeline/tree/master/src). Reads with MAPQ < 30 were excluded and only unique, paired reads 674 that aligned outside blacklisted regions⁵⁶ were used for subsequent analyses. Filtering steps 675 were performed using samtools⁵⁷ and sambamba⁵⁸. Qualifying reads were then converted to 676 pseudo-single end reads and peaks were detected using MACS2⁵⁹, with BED input files and 677 reads shifted by -100 bp and extended to 200bp to capture Tn5 transposase events: -f BED -678 679 shift -100 -extend 200 -q 0.05. Biological replicates were analyzed individually and then 680 consensus peak list was created to include only peaks appearing in at least two of the three replicates. Accessibility within a pooled consensus peak list was estimated by quantifying Tn5 681 events in each of the biological replicates using featureCounts⁶⁰. The DESeg2⁶¹ package within 682

683 R was used to identify differentially accessible regions. The regions were filtered for adjusted 684 P_{\neg} -value < 0.05 and an absolute Log2(Fold change) > 1. Coverage tracks were created using the bamCoverage function of deepTools⁶² (-normalization RPKM -bs 10) and visualized within 685 686 Integrative Genomics Viewer.⁶³ findMotifsGenome.pl from HOMER⁶⁴ was used to identify over enriched motifs, between 6 bp and 12 bp in size, within regions of differential accessibility using 687 688 a repeat masked version of the hg38 sequence (-mask -len 6,7,8,9,10,11,12). Coverage tracks 689 summarizing and combining biological replicates were created using WiggleTools⁶⁵ to guantify 690 the mean coverage per 10 bp bin. These tracks were used for heatmap visualizations created 691 using plotHeatmap from deeptools.

692 Bulk RNA sequencing analysis

693 Cell preparation and library prep

694 Illumina RNA Library prep was performed by GENEWIZ 695 (https://www.genewiz.com/Public/Services/Next-Generation-Sequencing). Samples at Day 1 of 696 DE differentiation (1 - 20μ g RNA) were run on HiSeq4000 with a read depth of 20M paired end 697 reads (2x 150PE).

698 Data pre-processing

- 699 Details of the procedure can be found in Aryamanesh and colleagues ⁶⁶
- 700 Statistical Analysis
- 701 Details of the procedure can be found in Aryamanesh and colleagues ⁶⁶

702 Single-cell RNA sequencing

703 **Cell preparation**

hiPSCs were dissociated using Accutase and counted to load 10,000 cells into on channel of a
 10X Chromium chip. One channel per sample was used. After emulsion cell lysis and RNA was
 extracted followed by library preparation. Libraries were sent to Novogene for sequencing.

Single cell suspensions were passed through 40μ m cell strainer (Corning) and concentration was adjusted to 1000 cells/ μ L. Suspensions were loaded in single-cell-G Chip (10X Genomics) for target output of 10,000 cells per sample. Single-cell droplet capture was performed on the Chromium Controller (10X Genomics). cDNA library preparation was performed in accordance with the Single-Cell 3' v 3.0 or v3.1 protocol. Libraries were evaluated for fragment size and concentration using Agilent HSD5000 ScreenTape System (Agilent).

Samples were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq4000 instrument according to manufacturer's
 instructions (Illumina). Sequencing was carried out using 2x150 paired-end (PE) configuration
 with a sequencing depth of 20,000 reads per cell. Sequencing was performed by GENEWIZ.

716 Data pre-processing

717 Details of the procedure can be found in Aryamanesh and colleagues ⁶⁶

718 Statistical Analysis

- 719 Details of the procedure can be found in Aryamanesh and colleagues ⁶⁶
- 720

721 **Proteomics**

Sample were prepared and data were obtained by the Proteomic facility at CMRI.

723 Proteomics sample preparation and Mass spectrometry

Eleven hiPSC lines from four isogenic groups were prepared for proteomics analyses using the Accelerated Barocycler lysis and extraction digestion sample preparation method⁶⁷. The tryptic peptides were desalted using Waters Oasis C18 HLB 30mg SPE cartridges. The amount of peptide in each sample was measured using the absorption of 280 nm light (Implen Nanophotometer, Labgear, Australia).

A reference sample was prepared by pooling equal amount of peptide from 25 of the 45 hiPSC samples. Aliquots containing 10 µg of peptide from each sample were labeled with tandem mass tag (TMT) 16-plex reagents (TMTpro, Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Three separate TMT16plex sets were prepared with eleven samples per set and the reference sample included in each set.

736

730

	hiPSC cell	TMT_	TMT_	TMT_	TMTpro 16-
#	line_Name	Set-1	Set-2	Set-3	plex label
1	Eu79	+	+	+	126
2	Eu86	+	+	+	127N
3	Eu87	+	+	+	127C
4	C9	+			128N
5	C11			+	128C
6	C16	+			129N
7	C7	+			129C
8	C32	+			130C
9	C4	+	+	+	131N
10	C2	+	+	+	131C
11	С3		+	+	132N
12	Universal / reference sample	1			134

+, samples were combined to produce the reference sample used as the common sample in the three TMT 16plex experiments.

738

739

High pH fractionation was performed using the Pierce High pH Reversed-Phase Peptide
Fractionation Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. A total of 80 µg of peptide for each
of the TMT16-plex sets were loaded, washed with water then 5% Acetonitrile/0.1% Triethylamine
solution. A total of fifteen High-pH step elution's were collected from 8% to 50% Acetonitrile /
0.1% Triethylamine. The high-pH elution's were dried to completeness and resuspended in 0.1%
Formic acid and the peptide concentration was determined using the absorption of 280 nm light.
The peptides from each High pH elution were resolved by reversed phase chromatography on

a 300 x 0.075 mm column packed with ReproSil Pur C18 AQ 1.9 mm resin (Dr Maisch, Germany)
 using an Ultimate 3000 RSLC nano system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The column was heated
 to 50 °C using a column oven (PRSO-V1, Sonation lab solutions).

751

752 The chromatography buffer A was 0.1% formic acid in water and buffer B was 0.1% formic acid, 753 90% acetonitrile and 9.99% water and the flow rate was 250 nL/min. For each high pH fraction, 754 1 to 2 µg of peptide was directly loaded onto the column in 99% buffer A and 1% buffer B for 30 755 min. The gradient started from 1% to 7% buffer B in 6 min, then to 30% buffer B in 51 min, then to 35% buffer B in 10 min and to 99% buffer B in 3 min and held at 99% buffer B for 8 min. MS 756 757 acquisition was performed for the entire 120 min. The fifteen high pH elution steps collected for 758 each TMT16-plex set were individually analysed using a data-dependent acquisition LC-MS/MS 759 method. Between each TMT16plex set, one blank was run.

760

761 Peptides were detected by tandem mass spectrometry using a Q Exactive Plus hybrid 762 quadrupole-orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The Nanospray Flex ion source (Thermo Fisher Scientific) spray operated at 2.3 kV. The capillary temperature was 763 764 250°C and the S lens radio frequency level was 50. The MS scan was from m/z 375 to 1500 at 765 a resolution of 70.000 full width at half maximum with an automatic gain control target of 3 x 10⁶ counts for a maximum ion time of 100 ms. For each MS scan, up to 12 of the most intense ions 766 above a threshold of 5.2 x 10⁴ counts were selected for an MS/MS scan. MS/MS scans were at 767 768 a resolution of 35,000 full width at half maximum for a maximum ion time of 115 ms and automatic gain control target of 2 x 10^5 counts. The isolation window was 1.1 units of the m/z 769 scale, the fixed first mass was set at m/z 120 and the normalized collision energy was 30. 770 771 Peptides with charge state <2 +or >8 +or with unassigned charge were excluded. Dynamic exclusion of previously scanned peptides was for 35 s. 772

773 774 The raw LC-MS/MS data were processed with MaxQuant v1.6.7.0⁶⁸ using the following settings: The fasta file was the Human reference proteome downloaded from UniProtKB on January 12. 775 776 2022 and containing 101,017 entries including protein isoforms and Retention time standards. 777 Protease specificity was Trypsin/P with up to 3 missed cleavages. Carbamidomethyl (C) was a 778 fixed modification and the TMTpro 16plex reagents were designated isobaric labels. 779 Deamidation (N and Q), oxidation (M) and acetylation (protein N-terminus) were variable 780 modifications. A maximum of 5 modifications per peptide was allowed. The minimum score for 781 modified peptides was 40. The minimum peptide length was 6 and maximum peptide mass was 6,000 Da. The peptide spectrum match, protein, and modification site false discovery rate was 782 1%. A dependent peptide search was performed with a 1% false discovery rate. Modified 783 784 peptides and their counterpart non-modified peptides were excluded from protein quantification. A second peptide search was enabled. The tolerance for MS and MS/MS spectra was 4.5 ppm 785

and 20 ppm, respectively. All other settings were left as the default within MaxQuant v1.6.7.0.
 The three TMTpro 16plex sets were searched together (Set1, Set2, Set3); fractions 1 to 15 for
 each TMT set representing the High pH elution fractions.

789

790 Data cleaning, normalization and hypothesis testing

791 The data cleaning, normalization and hypothesis testing were performed using the ProteomeRiver pipeline⁶⁹ and the implementation is briefly described here. To extract the protein 792 793 abundance data from the MaxQuant output, the 'proteinGroups.txt' output file from MaxQuant⁷⁰ 794 were processed. Each protein group must have at least one unique peptide. Any proteins were 795 removed from further analysis if they match any entries in the contaminants or the reverse 796 sequence decoy databases, in which the protein accession starts with CON or REV prefixes 797 respectively. The 'reporter intensity corrected' column were used for further analysis. Proteins 798 with one or more missing values in any samples were removed from further analysis.

The following rules from Engholm-Keller et al.⁷¹ were used to identify a representative UniProt accession for each protein group. 1. For proteins that mapped to multiple UniProtKB protein accessions, the accession with the highest 'protein existence (PE)' value was kept as the best evidence. Where the protein accession was an isoform (therefore lacking PE information), the PE value was taken from the parent protein. 2. When the PE value was equal, a Swiss-Prot (sp) entry was taken over a TrEMBL (tr) entry. 3. If both entries were Swiss-Prot, the non-isoform was selected. 4. If both entries were isoforms, the longest isoform was selected.

806 To perform data normalization, samples were log (base 2) transformed and between sample 807 normalization were performed using scaled normalization from the 'limma' R package. The remove unwanted variation 'ruv' R package⁷² was used to remove batch effects. The method 808 809 relies on having a set of endogenous negative control proteins, which are proteins with little 810 changes in protein abundances between different cell types or experimental treatments. For this 811 study, a set of 500 empirical negative control proteins with high q-values indicating little or no 812 change in protein expression across sample were identified from an initial ANOVA test. The 813 RUVIII method⁷³ was used to remove the unwanted variations across the samples and six 814 unwanted components (k = 7) were removed by the tool. The RUVIII method requires the 815 experiment design matrix, a matrix describing the replicates for each treatment condition, and 816 the list of negative control proteins.

817 Differential abundance analysis of proteins was performed using the adjusted abundance matrix. 818 Differential abundance analysis of proteins involved pairwise hypothesis testing of samples from 819 FA3 cell line with samples of another type of cell line and all possible pairs were analyzed. Linear 820 model for comparing each pair of time points was fitted using the 'ImFit' function and the p-values 821 calculated using the empirical Bayes method 'eBayes' function. Trended and robust analysis 822 were enabled. The false discovery rate correction was applied to the moderated p-values by 823 calculating the q-values⁷⁴. Significant differentially expressed proteins were defined as those 824 with q-values less than 0.05.

825 Clustering Analysis

826 For a protein to be included in the clustering, it must be statistically down-regulated (q-value 827 <0.05) in six or more FA3 versus another cell line, or statistically up-regulated (q-value <0.05) in six or more FA3 versus another cell line. The protein must also be statistically significant (g-828 829 value <0.05) in at least one of the comparisons of FA3 with any of the KO or DOX cell lines. The 830 z-standardized log2 abundance of the sample, excluding samples of the KO or DOX cell lines, 831 were used in the clustering analysis (e.g. 10 values were used in clustering). Consensus clustering were performed 'diceR' R library⁷⁵. All statistically significant differentially abundant 832 833 proteins. After assessment with the consensus clustering tools, using multiple clustering algorithms, including 'pam', 'km', 'som', 'hc', and 'diana', and different distance metrics 834 835 'euclidean', 'canberra', 'minkowski', and 'spearman', self-organizing maps (som) with seven 836 clusters (k=7) was identified to be a reliable method to use. The diceR tool automatically 837 identifies robust consensus clusters by merging the results from 100 runs of self-organizing 838 maps. The clusters identified were used for functional enrichment analysis (see below for 839 details).

840 **Functional Enrichment**

Functional enrichments were performed using the Fisher's exact test implemented in the 'clusterProfiler' R library⁷⁶. The background list of proteins included all the proteins in the dataset after the data cleaning step. The query list of proteins includes the following: 1) significantly differentially abundant proteins with positive log fold-change, 2) significantly differentially abundant proteins with negative log fold-change, 3) the list of proteins from each cluster from self-organizing maps. Gene ontology annotations from UniProt⁷⁷ and the KEGG⁷⁸ and Reactome⁷⁹ pathway databases were used for the enrichment analyses.

848 **Quantification and Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using R software. The type of statistical test performed, the meaning of dispersion and precision measurements as well as the significance of each experiment is indicated in the corresponding figure, figure legends and/or in the method details. Outliers have been omitted to facilitate visualization. For micropattern quantification, images were taken from 3 to 10 micropatterns within a coverslip. For microfluidic PCR, three biological replicates were collected for each cell line and timepoint.

855 Supplementary Figures

Figure S1: A) Brightfield pictures of definitive endoderm differentiation for the 5 cell lines. Day4
images were zoomed in (inlet) to show the cell morphology of the endodermal cells at the end
of the differentiation protocol. Scale bar are indicated on the lowest right image of each panel;
B) Immunostaining quantification of FOXA2 (green) and SOX17 (magenta); related to Figure 1;
C) Immunostaining images on AAT (green) and ALB (magenta) markers of hepatocytes
differentiation;

Figure S2: A) Genes' expression time course during DE differentiation related to i) Pluripotency,
ii) Endoderm, iii) Mesoderm and iv) Ectoderm. C32 is highlighted in purple. B) Heatmap of
differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of C32 cell lines versus the rest of the cell line used in the
study. C) Venn diagram of up and down DEGs compared with relevant ontologies. D) PCA
representing data of bulk RNAseq of Day1 samples. E) DEGs between C32 and C7. Dots that
are colored have a p.value < 0.05.

Figure S3: A) Schematic of the annotation transfer performed in our study; **B)** Result of the annotation transfer applied on our scRNA-seq dataset; **C)** The same results facetted for each cell line; **D)** Stacked barplot representing the proportion of each cell type for each cell line.

Figure S4: A) PCA representing the proteomic signature of hiPSC; B) Volcano plot representing
 peptides significantly differentially expressed between C32 and C7; C) Allocation of peptides to
 proteins and respective LogFC

Figure S5: Staining for SOX2 (green) and OCT4 (magenta) on three colonies of A) C16; B)
C16-MKO; C) C32; D) C32-MKO. Scale bar = 100μm

Figure S6: A) Volcano plot representing Differentially Accessible Chromatins (DACs) region
between C16 and C16-MKO. B and C) Heatmap showing ATAC-seq signal from C16 and C16KO for DACs (B) more accessible in C16 and (C) in C16-MKO. D and E) Motifs enriched in
DACs more accessible in (D) C16 and (E) C16-MKO. F) Genomic region of 350bp upstream of
Mixl1 TSS. 7 guides RNA predicted by Benchling are highlighted. G) RT-qPCR results on *Mixl1*expression in HEK cells transfected with single guides or tandems.

Figure S7: Panels of 10 micropatterns at 48h post BMP4 treatment, stained with FOXA2, SOX17 and DAPI for A) C32, B) C32-MKO, C) C16 and D) C16-MKO. E) Indicates the average cell density for all micropattern analyzed.

Figure S8: A) Panels of 9 micropatterns at 48h post BMP4 treatment, stained with FOXA2, SOX17 and DAPI for C32-iMXL1 at 0, 1 and 2µg/mL of Doxycycline. **B)** Indicates the average cell density for all micropattern analyzed.

888

889 **References**

- Takahashi, K. *et al.* Induction of Pluripotent Stem Cells from Adult Human Fibroblasts by Defined
 Factors. *Cell* 131, 861–872 (2007).
- Biron Differentiated into Motor Neurons. *Science* 321, 1218–1221 (2008).
- 894 3. Friedman, C. E. et al. Single-Cell Transcriptomic Analysis of Cardiac Differentiation from Human
- PSCs Reveals HOPX-Dependent Cardiomyocyte Maturation. *Cell Stem Cell* 23, 586-598.e8
 (2018).
- 4. Nayler, S. P. *et al.* Human iPSC-Derived Cerebellar Neurons from a Patient with AtaxiaTelangiectasia Reveal Disrupted Gene Regulatory Networks. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **11**, 321
 (2017).
- 900 5. Park, I.-H. *et al.* Disease-Specific Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Cell* **134**, 877–886 (2008).
- 901 6. Takasato, M. *et al.* Kidney organoids from human iPS cells contain multiple lineages and model
 902 human nephrogenesis. *Nature* 526, 564–568 (2015).
- 903 7. Cheng, X. *et al.* Self-Renewing Endodermal Progenitor Lines Generated from Human Pluripotent
 904 Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 10, 371–384 (2012).
- 905 8. D'Amour, K. A. *et al.* Efficient differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to definitive
 906 endoderm. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 23, 1534–1541 (2005).
- 907 9. Loh, K. M. *et al.* Efficient Endoderm Induction from Human Pluripotent Stem Cells by Logically
- 908 Directing Signals Controlling Lineage Bifurcations. *Cell Stem Cell* **14**, 237–252 (2014).
- 909 10. Green, M. D. et al. Generation of anterior foregut endoderm from human embryonic and induced
- 910 pluripotent stem cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 267–272 (2011).

- 911 11. McCracken, K. W., Howell, J. C., Wells, J. M. & Spence, J. R. Generating human intestinal tissue
 912 from pluripotent stem cells in vitro. *Nat. Protoc.* 6, 1920–1928 (2011).
- 913 12. Miura, S. & Suzuki, A. Brief summary of the current protocols for generating intestinal organoids.
- 914 *Dev. Growth Differ.* **60**, 387–392 (2018).
- 915 13. Spence, J. R. *et al.* Directed differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells into intestinal tissue
- 916 in vitro. *Nature* **470**, 105–109 (2011).
- 917 14. D'Amour, K. A. *et al.* Production of pancreatic hormone–expressing endocrine cells from human
 918 embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 24, 1392–1401 (2006).
- 919 15. Hannan, N. R. F., Segeritz, C.-P., Touboul, T. & Vallier, L. Production of hepatocyte-like cells from
- human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 430–437 (2013).
- 921 16. Varghese, D. S., Alawathugoda, T. T. & Ansari, S. A. Fine Tuning of Hepatocyte Differentiation
- 922 from Human Embryonic Stem Cells: Growth Factor vs. Small Molecule-Based Approaches. Stem
- 923 *Cells Int.* **2019**, 1–18 (2019).
- 924 17. Basma, H. *et al.* Differentiation and Transplantation of Human Embryonic Stem Cell–Derived
 925 Hepatocytes. *Gastroenterology* 136, 990-999.e4 (2009).
- 926 18. Hay, D. C. et al. Highly efficient differentiation of hESCs to functional hepatic endoderm requires
- 927 ActivinA and Wnt3a signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **105**, 12301–12306 (2008).
- 928 19. Cuomo, A. S. E. *et al.* Single-cell RNA-sequencing of differentiating iPS cells reveals dynamic
- genetic effects on gene expression. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 810 (2020).
- 930 20. Burrows, C. K. *et al.* Genetic Variation, Not Cell Type of Origin, Underlies the Majority of
 931 Identifiable Regulatory Differences in iPSCs. *PLOS Genet.* **12**, e1005793 (2016).
- 932 21. Kajiwara, M. *et al.* Donor-dependent variations in hepatic differentiation from human-induced
- 933 pluripotent stem cells. *PNAS* **109**, 12538–12543 (2012).

- 934 22. Kyttälä, A. *et al.* Genetic Variability Overrides the Impact of Parental Cell Type and Determines
 935 iPSC Differentiation Potential. *Stem Cell Rep.* 6, 200–212 (2016).
- 936 23. Nishizawa, M. *et al.* Epigenetic Variation between Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell Lines Is
- an Indicator of Differentiation Capacity. *Cell Stem Cell* **19**, 341–354 (2016).
- 938 24. Ohi, Y. *et al.* Incomplete DNA methylation underlies a transcriptional memory of somatic cells in
 939 human iPS cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 13, 541–549 (2011).
- 940 25. Phetfong, J. *et al.* Cell type of origin influences iPSC generation and differentiation to cells of the
 941 hematoendothelial lineage. *Cell Tissue Res.* 365, 101–112 (2016).
- 942 26. Shao, K. *et al.* Induced Pluripotent Mesenchymal Stromal Cell Clones Retain Donor-derived
 943 Differences in DNA Methylation Profiles. *Mol. Ther.* 21, 240–250 (2013).
- 944 27. Sanchez-Freire, V. *et al.* Effect of Human Donor Cell Source on Differentiation and Function of
 945 Cardiac Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 64, 436–448 (2014).
- 946 28. Pearce, J. J. H. & Evans, M. J. Mml, a mouse Mix-like gene expressed in the primitive streak. *Mech.*947 *Dev.* 87, 189–192 (1999).
- 948 29. Wolfe, A. D. & Downs, K. M. Mixl1 localizes to putative axial stem cell reservoirs and their
 949 posterior descendants in the mouse embryo. *Gene Expr. Patterns* 15, 8–20 (2014).
- 30. Hart, A. H. *et al.* Mixl1 is required for axial mesendoderm morphogenesis and patterning in the
 murine embryo. *Development* **129**, 3597–3608 (2002).
- 952 31. Ng, E. S. et al. The primitive streak gene Mixl1 is required for efficient haematopoiesis and BMP4-
- 953 induced ventral mesoderm patterning in differentiating ES cells. *Development* 132, 873–884
 954 (2005).
- 955 32. Lim, S. M. et al. Enforced Expression of Mixl1 During Mouse ES Cell Differentiation Suppresses
- 956 Hematopoietic Mesoderm and Promotes Endoderm Formation. *Stem Cells* **27**, 363–374 (2009).

- 957 33. Tewary, M. et al. High-Throughput Micro-Patterning Platform Reveals Nodal-Dependent
- 958 Dissection of Peri-Gastrulation-Associated versus Pre-Neurulation Associated Fate Patterning.
- 959 http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/465039 (2018) doi:10.1101/465039.
- 960 34. Becherel, O. J. et al. A new model to study neurodegeneration in ataxia oculomotor apraxia type
- 961 2. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **24**, 5759–5774 (2015).
- 962 35. Briggs, J. A. *et al.* Integration-Free Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Model Genetic and Neural
- 963 Developmental Features of Down Syndrome Etiology. *Stem Cells* **31**, 467–478 (2013).
- 964 36. Tyser, R. C. V. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomic characterization of a gastrulating human embryo.
- 965 *Nature* **600**, 285–289 (2021).
- 37. Wang, X. *et al.* Fn1 Regulates the Third Pharyngeal Pouch Patterning and Morphogenesis. *J. Dent. Res.* **101**, 1082–1091 (2022).
- 38. Omachi, K. & Miner, J. H. Comparative analysis of dCas9-VP64 variants and multiplexed guide
 RNAs mediating CRISPR activation. *PLoS ONE* 17, e0270008 (2022).
- 39. Jinek, M. *et al.* A programmable dual RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial
 immunity. *Science* 337, 816–821 (2012).
- 40. Perez-Pinera, P. *et al.* RNA-guided gene activation by CRISPR-Cas9-based transcription factors.
- 973 Nat. Methods **10**, 973–976 (2013).
- 41. Izzi, L. *et al.* Foxh1 recruits Gsc to negatively regulate Mixl1 expression during early mouse
 development. *EMBO J.* 26, 3132–3143 (2007).
- 976 42. Ota, M. & Sasaki, H. Mammalian Tead proteins regulate cell proliferation and contact inhibition
- 977 as transcriptional mediators of Hippo signaling. *Development* **135**, 4059–4069 (2008).

978	43. Morgani, S. M., Metzger, J. J., Nichols, J., Siggia, E. D. & Hadjantonakis, AK. Micropattern
979	differentiation of mouse pluripotent stem cells recapitulates embryo regionalized cell fate
980	patterning. <i>eLife</i> 7 , e32839 (2018).

- 981 44. Warmflash, A., Sorre, B., Etoc, F., Siggia, E. D. & Brivanlou, A. H. A method to recapitulate early
- 982 embryonic spatial patterning in human embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 847–854 (2014).
- 983 45. Plouhinec, J.-L., Simon, G., Vieira, M., Collignon, J. & Sorre, B. Dissecting signaling hierarchies in
- the patterning of the mouse primitive streak using micropatterned EpiLC colonies. *Stem Cell Rep.*
- 985 S2213-6711(22)00262-4 (2022) doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2022.05.009.
- 46. Kearse, M. *et al.* Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the
 organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 28, 1647–1649 (2012).
- 47. Ran, F. A. *et al.* Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nat. Protoc.* 8, 2281–2308
 (2013).
- 48. Bauer, D. E., Canver, M. C. & Orkin, S. H. Generation of Genomic Deletions in Mammalian Cell
 Lines via CRISPR/Cas9. *J. Vis. Exp.* 52118 (2014) doi:10.3791/52118.
- 49. Etard, C., Joshi, S., Stegmaier, J., Mikut, R. & Strähle, U. Tracking of Indels by DEcomposition is a
- Simple and Effective Method to Assess Efficiency of Guide RNAs in Zebrafish. *Zebrafish* 14, 586–
 588 (2017).
- 50. Baxter, M. *et al.* Phenotypic and functional analyses show stem cell-derived hepatocyte-like cells
- better mimic fetal rather than adult hepatocytes. *J. Hepatol.* **62**, 581–589 (2015).
- 51. Lee, L. H. *et al.* Micropatterning of human embryonic stem cells dissects the mesoderm and
 endoderm lineages. *Stem Cell Res.* 2, 155–162 (2009).
- 999 52. Weigert, M., Schmidt, U., Haase, R., Sugawara, K. & Myers, G. Star-convex Polyhedra for 3D Object
- 1000 Detection and Segmentation in Microscopy. in 2020 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of

- 1001 *Computer Vision (WACV)* 3655–3662 (IEEE, Snowmass Village, CO, USA, 2020).
 1002 doi:10.1109/WACV45572.2020.9093435.
- 1003 53. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9,
 1004 676–682 (2012).
- 1005 54. Salehin, N., Tam, P. P. L. & Osteil, P. Prenet: Predictive network from ATAC-SEQ data. *J. Bioinform.*1006 *Comput. Biol.* 18, 2040003 (2020).
- 1007 55. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* 9, 357–
 1008 359 (2012).
- 56. Amemiya, H. M., Kundaje, A. & Boyle, A. P. The ENCODE Blacklist: Identification of Problematic
 Regions of the Genome. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 9354 (2019).
- 1011 57. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078–2079
 1012 (2009).
- 1013 58. Tarasov, A., Vilella, A. J., Cuppen, E., Nijman, I. J. & Prins, P. Sambamba: fast processing of NGS
- 1014 alignment formats. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 2032–2034 (2015).
- 1015 59. Zhang, Y. et al. Model-based Analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. 9, R137 (2008).
- 1016 60. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning
- 1017 sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2014).
- 1018 61. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
- 1019 seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).
- 1020 62. Ramírez, F. *et al.* deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis.
- 1021 *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, W160–W165 (2016).
- 1022 63. Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Robinson, J. T. & Mesirov, J. P. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): high-
- 1023 performance genomics data visualization and exploration. *Brief. Bioinform.* **14**, 178–192 (2013).

- 1024 64. Heinz, S. *et al.* Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis1025 regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Mol. Cell* 38, 576–589
 1026 (2010).
- 1027 65. Zerbino, D. R., Johnson, N., Juettemann, T., Wilder, S. P. & Flicek, P. WiggleTools: parallel
- 1028 processing of large collections of genome-wide datasets for visualization and statistical analysis.
- 1029 *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1008–1009 (2014).
- 1030 66. Aryamanesh, N. A Reproducible and Dynamic Workflow for Analysis and Annotation of scRNA-
- 1031 Seq Data. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **2490**, 101–140 (2022).
- 1032 67. Lucas, N. *et al.* Accelerated Barocycler Lysis and Extraction Sample Preparation for Clinical
- 1033 Proteomics by Mass Spectrometry. J. Proteome Res. 18, 399–405 (2019).
- 1034 68. Tyanova, S., Temu, T. & Cox, J. The MaxQuant computational platform for mass spectrometry1035 based shotgun proteomics. *Nat. Protoc.* 11, 2301–2319 (2016).
- 1036 69. Pang, Ignatius C, Waardenberg, Ashley J, Aryamanesh, Nader, & Graham, Mark E. ProteomeRiver
 1037 pipeline. (2023).
- 1038 70. Cox, J. & Mann, M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-
- range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26, 1367–
 1040 1372 (2008).
- 1041 71. Engholm-Keller, K. et al. The temporal profile of activity-dependent presynaptic phospho-
- signalling reveals long-lasting patterns of poststimulus regulation. *PLOS Biol.* 17, e3000170
 (2019).
- 1044 72. Gagnon-Bartsch, J. A. & Speed, T. P. Using control genes to correct for unwanted variation in
 1045 microarray data. *Biostatistics* 13, 539–552 (2012).

- 1046 73. Molania, R., Gagnon-Bartsch, J. A., Dobrovic, A. & Speed, T. P. A new normalization for Nanostring
 1047 nCounter gene expression data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, 6073–6083 (2019).
- 1048 74. Storey, J. D. A Direct Approach to False Discovery Rates. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol.* 64,
 1049 479–498 (2002).
- 1050 75. Chiu, D. S. & Talhouk, A. diceR: an R package for class discovery using an ensemble driven
 1051 approach. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**, 11 (2018).
- 1052 76. Wu, T. *et al.* clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *The*1053 *Innovation* 2, 100141 (2021).
- 1054 77. The UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. *Nucleic Acids* 1055 *Res.* 51, D523–D531 (2023).
- 1056 78. Kanehisa, M., Furumichi, M., Sato, Y., Kawashima, M. & Ishiguro-Watanabe, M. KEGG for
 1057 taxonomy-based analysis of pathways and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 51, D587–D592 (2023).
- 1058 79. Gillespie, M. *et al.* The reactome pathway knowledgebase 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, D687–
- 1059 D692 (2022).

1060



















С	Idenfier	Name	logFC
	Q6GYQ0	RALGAPA1, GARNL1, KIAA0884, TULIP1	1.19771
	P48681	NES, Nbla00170	0.75524
	075084	FZD7	0.712103
	000592	PODXL, PCLP, PCLP1	0.701107
	P14209	CD99, MIC2, MIC2X, MIC2Y	0.682289
	Q9H2G4	TSPYL2, CDA1, DENTT, TSPX, HRIHFB2216	0.66118
	P09234	SNRPC	0.659824
	Q07352	ZFP36L1, BERG36, BRF1, ERF1, RNF162B, TIS11B	0.590807
	P11137	MAP2	0.569085
	Q9HCJ6	VAT1L, KIAA1576	0.562332
	P48509	CD151, TSPAN24	0.55891
	Q96QD9	FYTTD1, UIF	-0.56781
	Q13614	MTMR2, KIAA1073	-0.56782
	P06732	СКМ, СКММ	-0.58283
	Q96QT6	PHF12, KIAA1523	-0.6327
	P42226	STAT6	-0.75175
	P04040	CAT	-0.94585
	Q9Y446	РКРЗ	-1.05386
	P02751	FN1, FN	-1.05565





D

Known factor motifs			
Motif	Factor	<i>P</i> -value	
FIAAIE	PROP1	10 ⁻¹³	
ATTASSATTA	PHOX2A	10 ⁻¹³	
TAATÇ <mark>ş</mark> sattaş	PAX7	10 ⁻⁸	
EETAATTAS	LHX9	10 ⁻⁷	
TAATTAS	LHX2	10-7	

De novo motifs

Motif	Best match	<i>P</i> -value
<u>STAATCCAATTA</u>	PHOX2B	10-21
TAAATGGAAZ	SOX15	10-10
ACCAGGTGGC	E2A	10-10
GCSGCCAGGA	SPDEF	10 ⁻⁹
<u>GGACTGGGAA</u>	RBPJ	10 ⁻⁸



F

Known factor motifs		
Motif	Factor	P-value
FICATTCCA	TEAD1	10 ⁻⁹
ISTCICCATTE	FOXH1	10-8
ESTGASTCASES	JUN-AP1	10-8
ASTGASTCA	BATF	10 ⁻⁷
ELECTOCCACTORICOSE	CTCF	10 ⁻⁷

t

	De novo motifs		
,	Motif	Best match	P-value
	TTGTGGATTC	FOXH1	10 ⁻¹⁸
,	<u><u><u><u></u></u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u></u></u>	CTCF	10 ⁻¹⁵
	TGAGTCATC	FOSL2	10 ⁻¹³
	AATCCCTCT AATCCCTCTCA	SPIB	10 ⁻¹³
	TECHAAGGGA	TCF3	10 ⁻¹³

1	AGCTTT GATG	AGGACAGACG	GGACTAGGGC	AAGGCTCA AG	gRNA-1
41	GCCCAGGCCA	TGTAAGGCAC	AGGCCAGGCA	AGG TGCTTCC	aRNA-2
81	GCCGCTCTTA	ACGGGGATTT	GACCCGGAGA	AGAGAGTTCT	gradie
121	GTCGCCTG CG	GCCCGCGCAC	CCGGGCCCCT	CCGGGCTGCC	gRNA-3
161	CCTCCCAGGC	AAATAGTCCT	TCGGGGATGT	GGATTGCGCC	aRNA-4
181	GTCCGGGCGG	GTGGGCGGGG	AAGAACACGG	AGGGGGCCGG	gradier
201	GACCCAAGTT	CACGCCTCCC	TCCTGCATCC	CGCAGCCGGC	gRNA-5
241	GGAAGCGATT	ATTCCCCGGC	GTCTGGCGGG	GCCGGGGGCG	aRNA-6
281	GGCTCGCACC	CGGAGGAGAC	ACGGGTCTCC	CCGAGCCCAC	
321	CTTTGATCGC	ACCGCCCCGC	CTTCCCAGCC	CCTGGCCCGG	gRNA-7
361	GAGGGTATAA	GTGCGGCCCG	CGCC		Mixl1 TSS











Key resources table

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		·
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-MixL1	Abcam	Cat# ab28411; RRID: AB_881536
Goat Polyclonal anti-T/Brachyury	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-17745; RRID: AB_2200243
Rabbit Monoclonal anti-FoxA2	Abcam	Cat# ab108422; Clone: EPR4466; RRID: AB_11157157
Goat Polyclonal anti-Sox17	R&D Systems	Cat# AF1924; RRID: AB_355060
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-Sox9	Millipore	Cat# AB5535; RRID: AB_2239761
Rabbit Monoclonal anti-Ki67	Abcam	Cat# AB16667; Clone: SP6; RRID: AB_302459
Mouse Monoclonal anti-Chromogranin A	Novus Biologicals	Cat# NBP2-29428; Clone: CGA/414
Mouse Monoclonal anti-CDX2	BioGenex	Cat# MU392A-UC; Clone: CDX2-88; RRID: AB_2923402
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-Lysozyme	Agilent	Cat# A0099; RRID: AB_2341230
Mouse Monoclonal anti-Oct3/4	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-5279; Clone: C10; RRID: AB 628051
Rabbit Monoclonal anti-Sox2	Cell Signaling Technologies	Cat# 3579; Clone: D6D9; RRID: AB_2195767
Donkey anti-goat IgG (H+L), Alexa Fluor 488 Conjugated	Invitrogen	Cat# A11055; RRID: AB_2534102
Donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L), Alexa Fluor 594 Conjugated	Invitrogen	Cat# R37119; RRID: AB_2556547
Donkey anti-mouse IgG (H+L), Alexa Fluor 488 Conjugated	Invitrogen	Cat# A21202; RRID: AB_141607
Donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L), Alexa Fluor 647 Conjugated	Invitrogen	Cat# A31573; RRID: AB_2536183
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant protei	ns	· — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
hESC-qualified Matrigel	Corning	Cat# 85850
mTeSR1	StemCell Technologies	Cat# 85850
CloneR	StemCell Technologies	Cat# 05888
Puromycin dihydrochloride	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A1113802
ReLeSR	StemCell Technologies	Cat# 05872
CryoStor CS10	StemCell Technologies	Cat# 07930
dNTP Mix (10mM each)	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat# R0192
StemPro Accutase Cell Dissociation	Life Technologies	Cat# A1110501
Neayelli Y-27632 dihydrochloride Rock Inhibitor	Tocris	Cat# 1245
Resement Membrane Matricel	Corning	Cat# 354234
STEMdiff Intestinal Organoid Growth	StemCell Technologies	Cat# 05145
Medium		

GlutaMAX Supplement, 100x	Gibco	Cat# 35050061
Anti-Adherence Rinsing Solution	StemCell Technologies	Cat# 07010
(3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# A3648
Gluteraldehyde solution, 25%	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# G6257
Acrylamide solution, 40%	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# A4058
N-N'-Methylenebisacrylamide solution, 2%	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# M1533
Ammonium persulfate	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# A3678
N,N,N',N'-Tetramethylethylenediamine	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# T7024
Hydrazine hydrate, 100% (hydrazine, 64%)	Fisher Scientific	Cat# AC196711000
Acetic acid, glacial	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# PHR1748
SYLGARD 184 Lilicone Elastomer kit	Dow	Cat# 1317318
Vitronectin (VTN-N) Recombinant Human	Life Technologies	Cat# A14700
Protein, Truncated		
Sodium periodate	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# 311448
Penicillin-Streptomycin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# P4333
Recombinant Human BMP-4 Protein	R&D Systems	Cat# 314-BP
Paraformaldehyde	Scharlau	Cat# PA00950500
Triton X-100	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# X100
DPBS (1x)	Gibco	Cat# 14190-144
Bovine serum albumin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# A3311
DAPI	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 62247
Fluoromount-G	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 00-4958-02
CAS-Block Histochemical Reagent	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 008120
Ulex Europaeus Agglutinin I (UEA I),	Vector Laboratories	Cat# RL-1062-2
Rhodamine		0.1// 0.0.010
	Cnem-Supply	
	Sigma-Aldrich	
	Sigma-Aldrich	
Fructose	Sigma	
	Cnem-Supply	
Reverse Transcription Master Mix	Fluidigm	Cat# 100-6298
	Fluidigm	Cat# 100-5580
	New England Biolabs	Cat# M0293S
SsoFast EvaGreen SuperMix with low ROX	BIO-RAD	Cat# 1725211
20x DNA Binding Dye	Fluidigm	Cat# 100-7609
2x Assay Loading Reagent	Fluidigm	Cat# 100-7611
Sodium chloride	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# /13/6
Magnesium chloride	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# 208337
Igepal CA-630	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# 18896
NEBNext High Fidelity 2x PCR Mix	New England Biolabs	Cat# M0541S
AmPure XP magnetic beads	Beckman Coulter	Cat#A63880
Fluoromount-G Mounting Medium	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#00-4958-02
mTeSR Plus	StemCell Technologies	Cat#100-0276
Critical commercial assays	1	
P3 Primary Cell 4D-Nucleofector X Kit	Lonza	Cat# V4LP-3002
Q5 High Fidelity DNA Polymerase kit	New England Biolabs	Cat# M0491
STEMDiff Definitive Endoderm Kit	StemCell Technologies	Cat# 05110

STEMDiff Intestinal Organoid Kit	StemCell Technologies	Cat# 05140
P450-Glo CYP3A4 Assay with Luciferin-IPA	Promega	Cat# V9001
Isolate II RNA Mini kit	Bioline	Cat# BIO-52073
Tagment DNA TDE1 Enzyme and Buffer kit	Illumina	Cat# 20034197
MinElute PCR Purification Kit	Qiagen	Cat# 28004
Deposited data		
Micropattern data	This paper	https://github.com/PierreOst
		eil/ScriptsForOsteilEtAl2024
ATAC-seq data	This paper	GSE260552
RNA-seq data	This paper	GSE260553
scRNA-seq data	This paper	GSE260554
Proteomic data	This paper	PXD048788
Codes	This paper	https://github.com/PierreOst
		eil/ScriptsForOsteilEtAl2024
Experimental models: Cell lines		
Human: CRL1502 C7 hiPSC line	AIBN, UQ, Australia	N/A
Human: CRL1502 C32 hiPSC line	AIBN, UQ, Australia	N/A
Human: C32-MKO hiPSC line	VGEF, CMRI, Australia	N/A
Human: C32-Dox	AIBN, UQ, Australia	N/A
Human: FB C2 hiPSC line	AIBN, UQ, Australia	N/A
Human: FB C3 hiPSC line	AIBN, UQ, Australia	N/A
Human: FB C4 hiPSC line	AIBN, UQ, Australia	N/A
Human: CCL54 Eu79 hiPSC line	AIBN, UQ, Australia	N/A
Human: CCL54 Eu86 hiPSC line	AIBN, UQ, Australia	N/A
Human: CCL54 Eu87 hiPSC line	AIBN, UQ, Australia	N/A
Human: CRL2429 C9 hiPSC line	AIBN, UQ, Australia	N/A
Human: CRL2429 C11 hiPSC line	AIBN, UQ, Australia	N/A
Human: CRL2429 C11 MKO hiPSC line	VGEF, CMRI, Australia	N/A
Human: CRL2429 C16 hiPSC line	AIBN, UQ, Australia	N/A
Human: C16-MKO hiPSC line	VGEF, CMRI, Australia	N/A
Oligonucleotides		
S. pvogens Cas9 MIXL1 aRNA sequence	This paper	N/A
forward 5'-		
GCGCCGCGTTTCCAGCGTACCGG-3'		
MIXL1 gRNA sequence	This paper	N/A
Forward: 5'		
	This paper	NI/A
Reverse: 5'		IN/A
AAACGTACGCTGGAAACGCGGCGC-3'		
MIXL1 gRNA exon 1 indel sequence	This paper	N/A
Forward: 5'GGAGGGTATAAGTGCGGCC-3'		
MIXL1 gRNA exon 1 indel sequence	This paper	N/A
Reverse:		
5'CCTCATCTGTGTCTTCTTCCCG-3'		
Microfluidic qRT-PCR oligonucleotides	This paper	https://github.com/PierreOst eil/ScriptsForOsteilEtAl2024
ATAC-seq oligonucleotides	T Buenrostro J.D, et. al.	NA
	(2013)	

Recombinant DNA				
pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro (PX459) V2.0	Addgene	Cat# 62988		
Software and algorithms				
Geneious	Kearse et al. (2012); DOI: <u>10.1093/bioinformatics/bt</u> s199	http://www.geneious.com; RRID: SCR_010519		
TIDE	Brinkman et al, (2014); DOI: <u>10.1093/nar/gku936</u>	https://tide.nki.nl; RRID: SCR_023704		
Fiji	Schindelin <i>et al</i> ., (2012); DOI:10.1038/nmeth.201 9	http://fiji.sc; RRID:SCR_002285		
Fluidigm Real Time PCR Analysis software	Fluidigm	https://www.fluidigm.com/sof tware; RRID:SCR_015686		
R Project for Statistical Computing	R Core Team (2021)	https://www.r-project.org/; RRID:SCR_001905		
PreNet Pipeline	Salehin et al. (2020); DOI: 10.1142/S0219720 02040003X	https://doi.org/10.1142/S021 972002040003X		
Bowtie2	Langmead et al. (2012); DOI: 10.1038/nmeth.1923	https://bowtie- bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/ index.shtml; RRID: SCR_016368		
ENCODE ATAC-seq pipeline	Kim DS (2023); DOI: 10.1007/978-1-0716- 2899-7_17	https://github.com/ENCODE -DCC/atac-seq- pipeline/tree/master/src; RRID: SCR_023100		
SAMTOOLS	Heng et al. (2009); DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bt p352	http://samtools.sourceforge. net; RRID: SCR_002105		
Sambamba	Tarasov et al. (2015); DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bt v098	http://www.open- bio.org/wiki/Sambamba.Sa mbamba		
MACS, Version 2	Zhang et. al (2008); DOI: 10.1186/gb-2008-9-9- r137	https://github.com/macs3- project/MACS; RRID: SCR_013291		
featureCounts	Liao et al. (2014); DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bt t656	http://subread.sourceforge.n et; RRID: SCR_012919		
DESeq2	Love et al. (2014); DOI: 10.1186/s13059-014- 0550-8	http://www.bioconductor.org/ packages/release/bioc/html/ DESeq2.html; RRID: SCR_015687		
deeptools	Ramirez et al. (2016); DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkw257	https://github.com/deeptools /deepTools; RRID: SCR_016366		
Integrative Genomics Viewer	Thorvaldsdóttir et al. (2013); DOI: 10.1093/bib/bbs017	http://www.broadinstitute.org /igv; RRID: SCR_011793		

HOMER	Heinz et al. (2010); DOI:	https://github.com/sipcaptur
	10.1016/j.molcel.2010.05	e/homer; RRID:
	.004	SCR_010881
Wiggle I ools	Zerbino et al. (2014);	Www.github.com/Ensembl/
	DOI. 10.1003/bioinformatics/bt	SCP 001170
	t737	SCK_001170
ProteomeRiver pipeline	Pang I, Waardenberg A,	https://bitbucket.org/cmri-
	Aryamanesh N, Graham	bioinformatics/proteomeriver
	M	/src/main/
MaxQuant	Cox et al. (2008); DOI:	http://www.biochem.mpg.de/
	10.1038/nbt.1511	<u>5111/95/maxquant;</u> RRID:
RUV-2	Gagnon-Bartsch et al	https://github.com/cran/ruy/b
	(2012): DOI:	lob/master/R/RUV2.R
	10.1093/biostatistics/kxr	
	034	
RUV-III	Molania et al. (2019);	https://github.com/cran/ruv/b
	DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkz433	lob/master/R/RUVIII.R
DiceR	Chiu et al. (2018); DOI:	https://CRAN.R-
	10.1186/s12859-017-	project.org/package=diceR
alvatar Drofilor	1996-y	
Cluster Profiler	10 1016/i vinn 2021 100	nup.//bloconductor.org/pack
	141	erProfiler html: RRID:
	171	SCR 016884
UniProt	UniProt Consortium	https://www.uniprot.org/;
	(2023); DOI:	RRID: SCR_002380
	10.1093/nar/gkac1052	
KEGG	Kanehisa et al. (2023);	https://www.kegg.jp; RRID:
		SCR_012773
Deastama		http://www.roootomo.org
Reactome	DOI:	RRID: SCR 003485
	10.1093/nar/gkab1028	
Other	1011000,,g.tale 10_0	
Nunclon Delta surface 24-well plate	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# NUN142475
Dynamag-2 magnet	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 12321D
HSD5000 ScreenTape	Agilent	Cat# 5067-5592
μ-slide 18-well - flat	Ibidi	Cat# 81826
40µm cell strainer	Corning	Cat# CLS431750
Single-Cell-G Chip	10x Genomics	Cat# 1000127