

The role of freshwater and marine productivity in defining the overall outcome for an Atlantic salmon population

Marie Nevoux, Mathieu Buoro, Emilien Lasne, Etienne Prévost, Etienne Rivot

▶ To cite this version:

Marie Nevoux, Mathieu Buoro, Emilien Lasne, Etienne Prévost, Etienne Rivot. The role of freshwater and marine productivity in defining the overall outcome for an Atlantic salmon population. CNL(23)52, North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization. 2023. hal-04495907

HAL Id: hal-04495907 https://hal.science/hal-04495907

Submitted on 8 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



The role of freshwater and marine productivity in defining the overall outcome for an Atlantic salmon population

Marie Nevoux^{1,2}, Mathieu Buoro^{2,3}, Emilien Lasne^{1,2}, Etienne Prévost^{2,3}, Etienne Rivot^{1,2}

¹DECOD (Ecosystem Dynamics and Sustainability), INRAE, Institut Agro, IFREMER, 35042 Rennes, France. ²MIAME- Management of Diadromous Fish in their Environment, OFB, INRAE, Institut Agro, UNIV PAU & PAYS ADOUR/E2S UPPA, 35042 Rennes, France. ³Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour (UPPA), E2S UPPA, INRAE, ECOBIOP, Saint-Pée-sur- Nivelle, France

Introduction

Understanding the demographic and ecological processes shaping the response of populations to multiple stressors is a prerequisite for scientific assessment of populations and their sound science-based management. This approach is particularly critical in the context of rapidly changing ecosystems under climate change (Cooke *et al.* 2023), but it is highly challenging to implement in the case of migratory species like Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Indeed, the anadromous life cycle of Atlantic salmon makes the species particularly vulnerable to multiple stressors acting at different times throughout their life cycles and across a wide range of ecosystems (fresh water, estuarine and marine) and spatial scales (Bull et al. 2022). They also highlight a large diversity of life-history strategies (Erkinaro *et al.* 2018), which further complicates the understanding of interactions between individuals, populations and their environment.

The freshwater and the marine ecosystems are two distinct environments separated in space and in the timing of their use throughout the life cycle. Nevertheless, influences of environmental conditions accumulate throughout the life history of individuals, and carry-over effects can have long-lasting consequences across life stages. The life of salmon in the marine environment thus partly dependents on its earlier life in fresh water. Similarly, the life of salmon returning to fresh water carry over the influence of its life at sea. However, the most visible changes may not always be the most impactful in terms of population dynamics and resilience.

Overall, understanding the processes that shape population productivity and resilience calls for an integrative approach to consider the cumulative influence of environmental conditions throughout the whole life cycle. Such an approach would consider both short term effects of stressors on specific life stages together with their propagation to future life stages through carry-over-effects. In the longer term this also calls for a comprehensive understanding of evolutionary processes that shape the evolution of life histories and the potential adaptation of salmon to climate change.

Carry over effect of the environmental conditions experienced in fresh water on the marine life

Survival and growth of juveniles in fresh water is the first bottleneck of Atlantic salmon population dynamics and productivity, with both direct and carry-over effects on the marine phase. The early development of salmon in fresh water ends at the time of the migration of smolts to the sea. From an initial number of eggs spawned, density independent and density dependent processes, most of them being controlled by environmental conditions in the river, such as food resources, temperature and flow, influence the survival and growth of salmon parrs. Thus, growth conditions and survival in fresh water controls the number of smolts released at sea and hence the expected number of individuals to return to spawn after the marine sojourn.

In the southern edge of the freshwater distribution area, growth conditions during the first year can be high hence offering the opportunity for male parr to mature precociously (Baglinière & Maisse 1985; Thorpe 2007). Proportion of precocious male parr may even increase in the future under climate change, in response to increasing temperature in fresh water, and reduced survival at sea (Caswell *et al.* 1984; Thorpe 2007). As a direct consequence, a non-negligible part of male individuals may complete their life cycle without migration to the sea. Although early maturation does not prevent later migration, it does result in higher mortality for parr (Buoro *et al.* 2010). Precocious maturation therefore has direct short-term consequences on population dynamics and productivity by substantially biasing the sex ratio, reducing the number of migrating smolts and hence the number of returning adults. Underlying genetic mechanisms and potential long term evolutionary consequence of precocious parr development are poorly known and deserve future research (Lepais *et al.* 2017).

Freshwater growth and genetic determinism partly drives the age at smoltification (Debes et al. 2020), and thus the smolts body size. The mean age of smolts in Europe follows a latitudinal gradient, ranging from 1.0 in southern France (Prouzet 1990) to 4.1 in northern Finland (Englund et al. 1999), as temperature and day length positively correlate with the productivity of the freshwater ecosystem and the length of the growing season (Metcalfe & Thorpe 1990). Comparatively, there is little difference in the mean body size of smolts between populations, suggesting that strong selection forces may be controlling this life history trait. Within populations, fast growing individuals are likely to smoltify at a young age (Buoro et al. 2010; Debes et al. 2020), while slow growers delay smoltification decision to take advantage of another year of growth and migrate to the sea with a larger body size (Russell et al. 2012). This heterogeneity in the size of smolts has significant implication for salmon life at sea, through survival and maturation. There is evidence that larger smolts are more likely to survive at sea than smaller ones (Russell et al. 2012; Gregory et al. 2018, 2019), as a larger size may confer better swimming performance for predator avoidance, lower vulnerability to gape-size limited predator, and a more rapid shift to high energetic piscivorous diet. In addition, there is evidence of a correlation between freshwater and marine growth, although the direction of the reported effect differs between studies (Nicieza & Braña 1993; Salminen 1997; Jonsson & Jonsson 2007). Any change in the marine growth may potentially impact the survival, the age at maturation and thus the age composition of the returning adults.

Another factor controlling survival of smolts at sea, which is likely to be climate dependent, is the phenology of smolts migration. The migration phenology of smolts is globally driven by the photoperiod, but the local freshwater conditions dictate annual population-specific run timing (Otero *et al.* 2014). Spring flood events and temperature changes for instance contribute to the onset of seaward migration (McCormick *et al.* 1998; Simmons *et al.* 2021). The run timing dictates the specific condition of the environment faced by smolts upon sea entry, such as the availability of prey, thermal conditions and current-induced transport. It is a site-specific evolutionary adaptation to maximise the chance of entering the sea during the window of optimal conditions for growth and survival (Thorstad *et al.* 2012). As climate change has profound and varied effects on the phenology of species through the modification of the ontogeny and environmental clues triggering migration e.g. thermal and hydrological regimes (Todd *et al.* 2012; Otero *et al.* 2014), there is a risk of increasing mismatch between the smolt migration phenology and the optimal windows in coastal and marine ecosystems.

Overall, the smolt body size and their timing of migration will have an impact on survival and growth at sea (Jonsson *et al.* 2017). But all smolts are not equal in their ability to survive, grow and mature in the marine environment, and this heterogeneity is partly driven by the conditions encountered by parts during their life in fresh water. Thus, freshwater productivity should not only be assessed by the number of smolts, but also by their *quality*, or phenotype, and their underlying genotype.

Carry over effect of the environmental conditions experienced at sea on the life back in fresh water

The marine life of anadromous salmon ends with the return migration of maturing individuals to the fresh water, up to several months before the reproduction. The environments encountered during marine migration contribute to natural and fishery induced mortality and growth and maturation, that ultimately shape the abundance of returning individuals, as well as their phenotype (e.g. age, size, sex) and the underlying genotype (Debes *et al.* 2020; Mobley *et al.* 2021). From a population dynamics perspective, the main bottleneck is probably the number and the quality of egg produced, rather than the number of spawners itself. The number of eggs spawned is determined by the number of returning adults, survival in fresh water until spawning, and sex-specific fecundity. All these processes result from the interaction between individual characteristics derived from the migration in the marine environment, the timing of the transition from the sea to fresh water, and the conditions experienced in the freshwater environment until reproduction.

The maturation decision takes place at sea and has profound repercussions on the reproductive trajectories of individuals, on the migration routes and their vulnerability to fisheries and on the dynamics of the population. The timing of the maturation decision results from an interplay between the genotype and growth-related environmental conditions. Maturation decision controls the duration of the marine sojourn, and thus the size of returning fish, which positively correlates to fecundity and reproductive success. The advantage of a larger size in late maturing individuals in terms of per capita fecundity is counterbalanced by a higher mortality with longer time spend at sea and generally higher fishing mortality due to the selectivity of fisheries for larger fish. Maturation is also a sex-specific decision that is partly controlled by some major effect genes with sex-specific dominance (Barson et al. 2015). As a result of those genotypic interactions, the threshold of physiological condition that trigger maturation decision is higher in females than in males (Tréhin et al. 2021). This mechanism explains the high proportion of females in multi-sea winter salmon observed in most populations. All these elements support the idea that any change in the growth condition at sea affects the sex-ratio and the fecundity of salmon returning to the river, i.e. potential egg deposition. Furthermore, poor diet at sea by females might limit the energy content of the eggs, hence their quality, with potential consequences on the progeny (Maamela et al. 2023).

The timing of the return migration and entry in fresh water is another life history trait that may influence the sensitivity of salmon to environmental pressure and hence salmon productivity. It illustrates another carry-over effect, where the legacy of salmon life at sea persists beyond freshwater entry. The spawning migration phenology is strongly correlated to the age at maturation, with one sea winter (1SW) salmon generally returning later, i.e. in the summer, than multi-sea winter (MSW) salmon. This difference is particularly large in southern Europe where the long-lasting spring-summer season allows MSW to run as early as February or March and peak in April-May, while 1SW peak run is in July. For a given sea-age class, we also observe high variability in the timing of return migration between individuals and between years. Temporal trends are likely to be associated with climate change in the ocean (Valiente *et al.* 2011; Dempson *et al.* 2017), and trophic conditions in particular, as individuals in low

body condition may delay their return migration (Todd *et al.* 2012; Bal *et al.* 2017). As a first approach the phenology of salmon return migration does not seem to constrain the success of the reproduction as it occurs months in advance of the spawning activity, especially in southern Europe where reproduction generally takes place as late as mid-December. However, the time of river entry may be critical in determining the survival of pre-spawning salmon, by controlling the duration and the timing of the freshwater sojourn, and thus the exposure of salmon to environmental stressors like temperature or diseases, and in-river fisheries. Salmon entering fresh water in summer may suffer from high temperatures in the estuary and lower reaches, sometimes exceeding thermal tolerance, when early migrants have already reached cooler habitat far upstream (Baisez *et al.* 2011). Thermal stress is amplified in small river systems where thermal refuges may be absent.

Towards an integrative approach of the salmon population dynamics, using life-cycle models

Climate change affects daily salmon life, both in fresh water and in the marine environment. Because these interacting effects differ in intensity, direction, duration and in their consequence on population dynamics, studying a single effect in isolation may result in inaccurate and misleading conclusions.

As a rough figure, let's say that survival from egg to smolt is about 3‰, survival at sea is about 10%, while survival from return in fresh water to spawning is often ignored but may likely sit somewhere above 50%. Therefore, in a simplified representation of the life cycle with multiplicative effect of transition rates from eggs to eggs, increasing any of the transition rates by the same factor would ultimately have the same effect on the productivity from eggs to eggs. However, the different rates of transition that shape population dynamics throughout the life cycle are clearly not independent, as any change that affect one life stage can have multiple repercussions on the subsequent life stages. It is thus extremely difficult to anticipate the response of a population to a given change and identify leverages that would have a positive impact on its dynamics and resilience (Piou & Prévost 2013). To grasp this extremely complex picture of interacting effects and long-term consequences throughout the salmon life cycle, life-cycle models offer a relevant tool for integrating available knowledge throughout the salmon life cycle (Bull *et al.* 2022).

Life cycle models, whether they are individual (e.g. Piou & Prévost 2012) – or populationbased (e.g. Rivot *et al.* 2004; Massiot-Granier *et al.* 2014), propose a unified framework that can be applied across different scales of time and space (e.g. index river, stock unit, oceanic basin). Age and stage-based life cycle models are useful tools to explore the influence of environmental changes on eco-evolutionary process that shape population dynamics and productivity. They are key tools to represent how the articulation of transition rates and their dependence throughout the life cycle control population dynamics and productivity. Retrospective models may have the potential to assess what transition contributed the most to past change in population growth rate, given a selected set of hypotheses.

Life-cycle models have the great advantage to provide a more realistic representation of the composition of the population, i.e. the diversity in individual characteristics that are of demographic relevance (e.g. sex, age, growth, genotype). This is critical as population dynamics and resilience is not only a matter of salmon abundance, as a given number of adults can produce a different number of eggs. For instance, marine return rate is not merely quantified as the ratio between the number of smolts and the number of returning adults. It is considered as the result of post-smolt survival, 1SW maturation, dispersal and balance between immigration and emigration, later survival, including fisheries. Thus, such models can allow to better assess the potential demographic mechanisms driving observed changes in salmon

abundance and productivity. As an illustration, the life-cycle model developed by Olmos *et al.* (2019) pointed at a decline in post-smolt survival concomitant to the increase in 1SW maturation in most North Atlantic salmon stock units. Life-cycle models also can integrate new knowledge gained from the recent development of molecular sexing, providing further support for sex-specific maturation decision, but also similar post-smolt survival in females and males (Tréhin 2022).

By adding external forcing of climate change within the model, it is possible to investigate stage-specific response to climate change and compare the relative contribution of different drivers to the population dynamics. Using an individual-based life-cycle model, Piou and Prévost (2013) simulated the response of a salmon population from southern Europe to different scenarios of climate change in fresh water and in the ocean. Within the context of the scenarios tested, they found that climate-induced change in the freshwater environment (water temperature and flow) during the juvenile stage alone would not lead to extinction of the population, while reduced oceanic growth appeared as a more significant threat for population persistence. In contrast, the large-scale multi-population model developed by Olmos *et al.* (2020) is not very specific about salmon life in fresh water. However, the explicit representation of successive oceanic domains used by salmon at sea pointed at temporal variations of sea surface temperature on feeding grounds common to multiple populations as a main driver of large-scale change in marine survival (Olmos *et al.* 2020).

Finally, many of the observed changes in salmon are affecting traits that have a heritable component, e.g. growth, migration timing, age at maturation. This is a reminder that the phenotype of an individual results from the interaction between its genotype and the environment. For example, following the theory of proximate mechanisms, smoltification and maturation decision is conceived as a comparison of the current status of the salmon (e.g. energy content) with a genetically determined threshold, which triggers a change of state (Thorpe et al. 1998). More and more studies shed light on the mechanisms and genetic architecture behind these life history decisions (e.g. sex-dependent dominance at a single locus for the age at maturation, following Barson et al. (2015)). An explicit representation of these evolutionary processes in the framework and time frame of population dynamics models is therefore necessary to better understand the phenotypic and demographic changes observed in the past and to evaluate the capacity to respond to climate change. If the environment changes, the set of life history traits that may maximise an individual's fitness (i.e. contribution to next generation) may change, as well as the selective pressure acting on those traits. Eco-evo models extend life-cycle population models and allow to estimate the selectivity of different forcing factors, and to investigate the evolution of traits and population resilience or adaptability for different scenarios (Lamarins et al. 2022).

A key challenge in investigating eco-evolutionary processes that shape population dynamics and productivity of salmon populations comes from the difficulty to observe individuals in the wild across the large range their spatial distribution. Embedding mechanistic life cycle modelling approaches within a statistical framework to derive inferences in the process remains a huge challenge. Building upon available knowledge of the biology and the ecology of Atlantic salmon, modern statistical tools relying on hidden state variables to embed complex eco-evo demographic and population models within statistical modelling can accommodate a variety of data, such as catch statistics and scientific surveys (Rivot *et al.* 2004; Peyrard & Gimenez 2022). They are powerful tools for both stock assessment and exploration of the consequences of possible scenarios combining climate change, exploitation regulation and mitigation measures (Piou *et al.* 2015).

Toward predictive ecology to forecast effects of climate change in fresh water and at sea on North Atlantic salmon populations

Atlantic salmon is one of the most studied vertebrate organisms worldwide. Currently available knowledge already fuels models of an increasing complexity. Life cycle models, whether individual or population-based, can be statistical, allowing inferences to be drawn from data on the processes that govern the eco-evolutionary dynamics of populations and the factors that condition them (e.g. effects of temperature on survival, growth on maturation). They are typically hindcast oriented but can be used to make short-term projections. Life cycle models can also be simulation-oriented by relying on data-estimated processes and parameters. They can aim at forecasting population futures (over several generations) in response to different scenarios of change in the environment and in management practices. These two approaches are thus complementary, despite having different goals.

Noteworthy, these models are still in their infancy relative to those used for climate predictions. Their ability to predict the fate of Atlantic salmon in the future remains an unachieved goal and this is not necessarily their main strength or interest. Indeed, predicting the physical characteristics of future climate and their consequences on the functioning of the ecosystems salmon live in remains highly uncertain as illustrated by the range of scenarios assessed by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Instead, life cycle models can be primarily useful for exploring and contrasting the potential consequences of scenarios on the future state of salmon populations and guiding managers in the choice of management measures favouring resilience and robustness to uncertainties. This approach is illustrated by Piou *et al.* (2015), which showed that current fishing practice is likely to worsen the effects of climate change in the marine environment. In this study, only scenarios of reduced fishing mortality on multi-sea winter would ensure population resilience towards a degradation of marine growth conditions. In response to the difficulty in assessing which is the most important transition in the life cycle, modellers and managers should better identify on which transition we can reasonably expect to act.

Uncertainties also arise from the complexity of the response of salmon to climatic conditions, and from their interaction with the response of other organisms, including anthropogenic activities. The difficulty in predicting the response of salmon to future changes is exacerbated by the fact that salmon are facing a set of environmental conditions that have never been encountered before, i.e. for which no historical observations are available. There is a clear need to increase the overall eco-physiological knowledge base, especially tolerance thresholds for major environmental stressors and how such stressors affect performance within, and beyond, their tolerated range (McKenzie et al. 2016). In addition, our understanding of the ecoevolutionary processes and mechanisms that govern population functioning (e.g., the influence of environmental effects vs. genetic changes) remains limited, restricting our ability to anticipate population response. Methodological developments are still needed to better integrate different types of available data and knowledge (Bull et al. 2022). This requires mobilizing data that embraces all life stages in both freshwater and marine phase, across a large range of scales and ecosystems (Diack et al. 2022). This would allow for changes in spatial scales within the model structure - from population (Rivot et al. 2004; Piou & Prévost 2012) to metapopulation (Lamarins et al. 2022) to regional stock unit (Massiot-Granier et al. 2014), and to the Atlantic basin as a whole (Olmos et al. 2020), and to develop indicators relevant for both ecological, evolutionary and management perspective.

In this context, both scientists and managers need to develop an honest and critical appraisal of model outputs, in order to not over-state their usage and realism in forecasting salmon's future. Still, life-cycle models are great tools to run projections under alternative scenarios of

climate change. They also provide a platform to foster cooperation between scientists and stakeholders and to guide management action (Bull *et al.* 2022).

References

Baglinière J-L, Maisse G (1985) Precocious maturation and smoltification in wild Atlantic salmon in the Armorican massif, France. *Aquaculture*, **45**, 249–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(85)90274-1

Baisez A, Bach J-M, Leon C, Parouty T, Terrade R, Hoffmann M, Laffaille P (2011) Migration delays and mortality of adult Atlantic salmon Salmo salar en route to spawning grounds on the River Allier, France. *Endangered Species Research*, **15**, 265–270. https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00384

Bal G, Montorio L, Rivot E, Prévost E, Baglinière J-L, Nevoux M (2017) Evidence for longterm change in length, mass and migration phenology of anadromous spawners in French Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*: changing *s. salar* size and phenology. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **90**, 2375–2393. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.13314

Barson NJ, Aykanat T, Hindar K, Baranski M, Bolstad GH, Fiske P, Jacq C, Jensen AJ, Johnston SE, Karlsson S, Kent M, Moen T, Niemelä E, Nome T, Næsje TF, Orell P, Romakkaniemi A, Sægrov H, Urdal K, Erkinaro J, Lien S, Primmer CR (2015) Sex-dependent dominance at a single locus maintains variation in age at maturity in salmon. *Nature*, **528**, 405–408. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16062

Bull CD, Gregory SD, Rivot E, Sheehan TF, Ensing D, Woodward G, Crozier W (2022) The likely suspects framework: the need for a life cycle approach for managing Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) stocks across multiple scales. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **79**, 1445–1456. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsac099

Buoro M, Prévost E, Gimenez O (2010) Investigating Evolutionary Trade-Offs in Wild Populations of Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*): Incorporating Detection Probabilities and Individual Heterogeneity. *Evolution*, **64**, 2629–2642. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01029.x

Caswell H, Naiman RJ, Morin R (1984) Evaluating the consequence of reproduction in complex salmonid life cycles. *Aquaculture*, **43**, 123–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(84)90016-4

Cooke SJ, Madliger CL, Lennox RJ, Olden JD, Eliason EJ, Cramp RL, Fuller A, Franklin CE, Seebacher F (2023) Biological mechanisms matter in contemporary wildlife conservation. *iScience*, 106192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2023.106192

Debes PV, Piavchenko N, Erkinaro J, Primmer CR (2020) Genetic growth potential, rather than phenotypic size, predicts migration phenotype in Atlantic salmon. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **287**, 20200867. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.0867

Dempson B, Schwarz CJ, Bradbury IR, Robertson MJ, Veinott G, Poole R, Colbourne E (2017) Influence of climate and abundance on migration timing of adult Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) among rivers in Newfoundland and Labrador. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*, **26**, 247–259. https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12271

Diack G, Bull C, Akenhead SA, van der Stap T, Johnson BT, Rivot E, Patin R, Hernvann P-Y, Schubert A, Bird T, Saunders M, Crozier W (2022) Enhancing data mobilisation through a centralised data repository for Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.): Providing the resources to promote an ecosystem-based management framework. *Ecological Informatics*, **70**, 101746. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2022.101746

Englund V, Niemelø E, Lønsman M, Heino M (1999) Variations in Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., smolt age in tributaries of the River Teno, Finland. *Fisheries Management and Ecology*, **6**, 83–86. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2400.1999.00131.x

Erkinaro J, Czorlich Y, Orell P, Kuusela J, Falkegård M, Länsman M, Pulkkinen H, Primmer CR, Niemelä E (2018) Life history variation across four decades in a diverse population complex of Atlantic salmon in a large subarctic river. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2017-0343

Gregory SD, Armstrong JD, Britton JR (2018) Is bigger really better? Towards improved models for testing how Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* smolt size affects marine survival. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **92**, 579–592. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.13550

Gregory SD, Ibbotson AT, Riley WD, Nevoux M, Lauridsen RB, Russell IC, Britton JR, Gillingham PK, Simmons OM, Rivot E (2019) Atlantic salmon return rate increases with smolt length. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **76**, 1702–1712. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsz066

Jonsson N, Jonsson B (2007) Sea growth, smolt age and age at sexual maturation in Atlantic salmon. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **71**, 245–252. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2007.01488.x

Jonsson B, Jonsson M, Jonsson N (2017) Influences of migration phenology on survival are size-dependent in juvenile Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **95**, 581–587. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjz-2016-0136

Lamarins A, Fririon V, Folio D, Vernier C, Daupagne L, Labonne J, Buoro M, Lefèvre F, Piou C, Oddou-Muratorio S (2022) Importance of interindividual interactions in eco-evolutionary population dynamics: The rise of demo-genetic agent-based models. *Evolutionary Applications*, **15**, 1988–2001. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13508

Lepais O, Manicki A, Glise S, Buoro M, Bardonnet A (2017) Genetic architecture of threshold reaction norms for male alternative reproductive tactics in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). *Scientific Reports*, **7**, 43552. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43552

Maamela KS, Åsheim ER, Debes PV, House AH, Erkinaro J, Liljeström P, Primmer CR, Mobley KB (2023) The effect of temperature and dietary energy content on female maturation and egg nutritional content in Atlantic salmon. *Journal of Fish Biology*, n/a. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.15318

Massiot-Granier F, Prévost E, Chaput G, Potter T, Smith G, White J, Mäntyniemi S, Rivot E (2014) Embedding stock assessment within an integrated hierarchical Bayesian life cycle modelling framework: an application to Atlantic salmon in the Northeast Atlantic. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **71**, 1653–1670. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fst240

McCormick SD, Hansen LP, Quinn TP, Saunders RL (1998) Movement, migration, and smolting of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **55**, 77–92. https://doi.org/10.1139/d98-011

McKenzie DJ, Axelsson M, Chabot D, Claireaux G, Cooke SJ, Corner RA, De Boeck G, Domenici P, Guerreiro PM, Hamer B, Jørgensen C, Killen SS, Lefevre S, Marras S, Michaelidis B, Nilsson GE, Peck MA, Perez-Ruzafa A, Rijnsdorp AD, Shiels HA, Steffensen JF, Svendsen JC, Svendsen MBS, Teal LR, van der Meer J, Wang T, Wilson JM, Wilson RW, Metcalfe JD (2016) Conservation physiology of marine fishes: state of the art and prospects for policy. *Conservation Physiology*, **4**, cow046. https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/cow046

Metcalfe NB, Thorpe JE (1990) Determinants of Geographical Variation in the Age of Seaward-Migrating Salmon, Salmo salar. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, **59**, 135. https://doi.org/10.2307/5163

Mobley KB, Aykanat T, Czorlich Y, House A, Kurko J, Miettinen A, Moustakas-Verho J, Salgado A, Sinclair-Waters M, Verta J-P, Primmer CR (2021) Maturation in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar, Salmonidae): a synthesis of ecological, genetic, and molecular processes. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, **31**, 523–571. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-021-09656-w

Nicieza AG, Braña F (1993) Relationships among Smolt Size, Marine Growth, and Sea Age at Maturity of Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar) in Northern Spain. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **50**, 1632–1640. https://doi.org/10.1139/f93-184

Olmos M, Massiot-Granier F, Prévost E, Chaput G, Bradbury IR, Nevoux M, Rivot E (2019) Evidence for spatial coherence in time trends of marine life history traits of Atlantic salmon in the North Atlantic. *Fish and Fisheries*, **20**, 322–342. https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12345

Olmos M, Payne MR, Nevoux M, Prévost E, Chaput G, Pontavice HD, Guitton J, Sheehan T, Mills K, Rivot E (2020) Spatial synchrony in the response of a long range migratory species (*Salmo salar*) to climate change in the North Atlantic Ocean. *Global Change Biology*, **26**, 1319–1337. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14913

Otero J, L'Abée-Lund JH, Castro-Santos T, Leonardsson K, Storvik GO, Jonsson B, Dempson B, Russell IC, Jensen AJ, Baglinière J-L, Dionne M, Armstrong JD, Romakkaniemi A, Letcher BH, Kocik JF, Erkinaro J, Poole R, Rogan G, Lundqvist H, MacLean JC, Jokikokko E, Arnekleiv JV, Kennedy RJ, Niemelä E, Caballero P, Music PA, Antonsson T, Gudjonsson S, Veselov AE, Lamberg A, Groom S, Taylor BH, Taberner M, Dillane M, Arnason F, Horton G, Hvidsten NA, Jonsson IR, Jonsson N, McKelvey S, Naesje TF, Skaala Ø, Smith GW, Saegrov H, Stenseth NC, Vøllestad LA (2014) Basin-scale phenology and effects of climate variability on global timing of initial seaward migration of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Global Change Biology*, **20**, 61–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12363

Peyrard N, Gimenez O (2022) *Statistical Approaches for Hidden Variables in Ecology*. ISTE Ltd, Hoboken.

Piou C, Prévost E (2012) A demo-genetic individual-based model for Atlantic salmon populations: Model structure, parameterization and sensitivity. *Ecological Modelling*, **231**, 37–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.01.025

Piou C, Prévost E (2013) Contrasting effects of climate change in continental vs. oceanic environments on population persistence and microevolution of Atlantic salmon. *Global Change Biology*, **19**, 711–723. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12085

Piou C, Taylor MH, Papaïx J, Prévost E (2015) Modelling the interactive effects of selective fishing and environmental change on Atlantic salmon demogenetics. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **52**, 1629–1637. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12512

Prouzet P (1990) Stock characteristics of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) in France: a review. *Aquatic Living Resources*, **3**, 85–97. https://doi.org/10.1051/alr:1990008

Rivot E, Prévost E, Parent E, Baglinière JL (2004) A Bayesian state-space modelling framework for fitting a salmon stage-structured population dynamic model to multiple time series of field data. *Ecological Modelling*, **179**, 463–485. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.05.011

Russell IC, Aprahamian MW, Barry J, Davidson IC, Fiske P, Ibbotson AT, Kennedy RJ, Maclean JC, Moore A, Otero J, Potter T (E. CE), Todd CD (2012) The influence of the freshwater environment and the biological characteristics of Atlantic salmon smolts on their subsequent marine survival. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, **69**, 1563–1573. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsr208

Salminen M (1997) Relationships between smolt size, postsmolt growth and sea age at maturity in Atlantic salmon ranched in the Baltic Sea. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, **13**, 121–130. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.1997.tb00111.x

Simmons OM, Gregory SD, Gillingham PK, Riley WD, Scott LJ, Britton JR (2021) Biological and environmental influences on the migration phenology of Atlantic salmon Salmo salar smolts in a chalk stream in southern England. *Freshwater Biology*, **66**, 1581–1594. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13776

Thorpe JE (2007) Maturation responses of salmonids to changing developmental opportunities. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **335**, 285–288.

Thorpe JE, Mangel M, Metcalfe NB, Huntingford FA (1998) Modelling the proximate basis of salmonid life-history variation, with application to Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L. *Evolutionary Ecology*, **12**, 581–599. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022351814644

Thorstad EB, Whoriskey F, Uglem I, Moore A, Rikardsen AH, Finstad B (2012) A critical life stage of the Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*: behaviour and survival during the smolt and initial post-smolt migration. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **81**, 500–542. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2012.03370.x

Todd CD, Friedland KD, MacLean JC, Whyte BD, Russell IC, Lonergan ME, Morrissey MB (2012) Phenological and phenotypic changes in Atlantic salmon populations in response to a changing climate. *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil*, **69**, 1686–1698. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fss151

Tréhin C (2022) Réponse des populations de salmonidés migrateurs aus changements globaux : rôle de la croissance dans les stratégies d'histoire de vie et la dynamique de population chez le saumon atlantique (Salmo salar). These de doctorat Thesis. Rennes, Agrocampus Ouest.

Tréhin C, Rivot E, Lamireau L, Meslier L, Besnard A-L, Gregory SD, Nevoux M (2021) Growth during the first summer at sea modulates sex-specific maturation schedule in Atlantic salmon. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **78**, 659–669. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2020-0236

Valiente AG, Juanes F, Garcia-Vazquez E (2011) Increasing Regional Temperatures Associated with Delays in Atlantic Salmon Sea-Run Timing at the Southern Edge of the European Distribution. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, **140**, 367–373. https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2011.557018